

The ATLAS All-Sky Stellar Reference Catalog

J. L. Tonry¹, L. Denneau¹, H. Flewelling¹, A. N. Heinze¹, C. A. Onken², S. J. Smartt³, B. Stalder⁴,

H. J. Weiland¹, and C. Wolf²

¹ Institute for Astronomy, University of Hawaii, 2680 Woodlawn Drive, Honolulu, HI 96822, USA; tonry@hawaii.edu

Research School of Astronomy and Astrophysics, Australian National University, Canberra, ACT 2611, Australia

³ Astrophysics Research Centre, School of Mathematics and Physics, Queen's University Belfast, Belfast BT7 1NN, UK ⁴ LSST, 950 N. Cherry Avenue, Tucson, AZ 85719, USA

Received 2018 August 21; revised 2018 September 20; accepted 2018 September 21; published 2018 November 5

Abstract

The Asteroid Terrestrial-impact Last Alert System (ATLAS) observes most of the sky every night in search of dangerous asteroids. Its data are also used to search for photometric variability, where sensitivity to variability is limited by photometric accuracy. Since each exposure spans 7.6 corner to corner, variations in atmospheric transparency in excess of 0.01 mag are common, and 0.01 mag photometry cannot be achieved by using a constant flat-field calibration image. We therefore have assembled an all-sky reference catalog of approximately one billion stars to $m \sim 19$ from a variety of sources to calibrate each exposure's astrometry and photometry. Gaia DR2 is the source of astrometry for this ATLAS Refcat2. The sources of g, r, i, and z photometry include Pan-STARRS DR1, the ATLAS Pathfinder photometry project, ATLAS reflattened APASS data, SkyMapper DR1, APASS DR9, the Tycho-2 catalog, and the Yale Bright Star Catalog. We have attempted to make this catalog at least 99% complete to m < 19, including the brightest stars in the sky. We believe that the systematic errors are no larger than 5 mmag rms, although errors are as large as 20 mmag in small patches near the Galactic plane.

Key words: atmospheric effects – instrumentation: photometers – surveys – techniques: photometric

1. Introduction

The Asteroid Terrestrial-impact Last Alert System (ATLAS) has been funded by NASA to find dangerous asteroids that might threaten the Earth (Tonry et al. 2018). Henceforth known as the ATLAS project, it requires extremely accurate photometry and astrometry for a number of reasons.

- 1. Good astrometry is critical for determining precise minorplanet orbits. ATLAS achieves a positional accuracy of ~ 0.07 rms per star relative to a fit to a frame or an astrometric reference for m < 17, so without an absolute astrometric reference catalog (such as Gaia) that is substantially better than that, we cannot provide the Minor Planet Center (MPC) with measurements of asteroid positions that exploit the full potential of our system for precise astrometry. Such homogeneous, precise measurements will ultimately aid the detection and measurement of nongravitational forces on asteroid orbits, e.g., the Yarkovsky effect.
- 2. From good photometry, we can derive extremely accurate light curves, periods, and colors for asteroids (see Vereš et al. 2015 as an example from Pan-STARRS data). In the long run, this will allow nongravitational torques to be measured (due to, e.g., the YORP effect; Lowry et al. 2007).
- 3. We detect asteroids by subtracting a static "wallpaper" image of the sky, and that subtraction does not reach photon-limited performance unless the astrometry is precise to at least 0.05 pixel and the photometry to at least 0.03 mag.
- 4. As a by-product of the asteroid search, ATLAS also produces light curves for every other detected object in the sky, whose value for a myriad of scientific studies depends on the quality of the photometry. There is rich discovery space for time-domain studies of stars,

galaxies, and transients: ATLAS data are already contributing through the first release of a 4.7 million variable star catalog (Heinze et al. 2018).

5. A particular challenge for calibrating wide-field images is patchy, very thin clouds, common across the 30 deg^2 field of view in ATLAS. We address this challenge by identifying ${\sim}10^5$ stars in each frame and deriving a "cloud correction" by comparing them to an accurate reference catalog. Such patchy obscuration has been detected before when hypercalibration of large, wellcalibrated data has been achieved (e.g., the contrail effect visible when Pan-STARRS and the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS) data were combined by Finkbeiner et al. 2016).

An all-sky photometric catalog with the accuracy required by ATLAS is not currently available. While the ESA/Gaia mission (Data Release 2 (DR2) overview description; Gaia Collaboration et al. 2018) is expected to provide the groundwork for such a catalog at an unprecedented level of precision, it will not support ATLAS bandpasses until the release of lowresolution spectra expected in the DR3 by 2021. Since ATLAS needs a precise reference catalog now, the purpose of this work is to construct it from a variety of existing sources, and we expect this catalog to be beneficial to other projects as well. This paper is part of a series that describes the ATLAS project and its various components and data products, the first two being the system definition paper (Tonry et al. 2018) and the first variable star data release (Heinze et al. 2018).

There are many sources of optical photometry, none of which provides the perfect reference source for ATLAS observations, which currently range from the north celestial pole to decl. -50° , include the Galactic plane, and extend as bright as $m \sim 0$. ATLAS has also started on two additional units in South Africa and Chile. Contemporary surveys most often employ griz-filter systems, similar to bandpasses used in the SDSS (Fukugita et al. 1996, 2011) and Pan-STARRS (Tonry et al. 2012; Chambers et al. 2016; Flewelling et al. 2016). Hence, it seems natural to construct a reference catalog with griz photometry and then apply mild color transformations when using it to calibrate observations using different filter sets. We initially started calibrating ATLAS data with Gaia Data Release 1 (DR1; Gaia Collaboration et al. 2016), Pan-STARRS Data Release 1 (DR1; Chambers et al. 2016; Magnier et al. 2016c), and the AAVSO Photometric All Sky Survey (APASS) Data Release 9 (DR9; Henden et al. 2016), but a number of issues cause problems. Gaia DR1 has holes in its coverage, Pan-STARRS DR1 is not accurate brighter than g < 14 and does not extend south of decl. -30° , and APASS DR9 photometry is not reliable at the 0.05 mag level. To optimally exploit ATLAS data, we therefore require a new allsky reference catalog that meets our own strict requirements.

We spent a year collecting gri images with a small ATLAS Pathfinder Telescope on Mauna Loa in order to obtain photometry for stars brighter than the $m \sim 14$ mag limit of Pan-STARRS and to push our photometric reference south of decl. -30° . In addition, the AAVSO/APASS team very generously sent us a nearly complete set of images covering the sky from the south pole to decl. $+20^{\circ}$, which we reflattened, rephotometered, and recombined into a new southern sky catalog.

Gaia DR2 is a truly beautiful product. The completeness is excellent; few stars brighter than m < 18 are missing. The astrometry (and proper motions and parallaxes) is superb, of course. In addition, *Gaia* DR2 offers G_{BP} and G_{RP} photometry, which appears to be extremely uniform and accurate. Another important recent data source is the SkyMapper DR1 (Wolf et al. 2018), which provides *griz* for most of the southern sky. Although its photometry is currently tied to APASS DR9, it goes considerably deeper and is more accurate. Of course, the Two Micron All Sky Survey (2MASS; Skrutskie et al. 2006) is a well-calibrated all-sky resource, as are the Tycho-2 catalog (Høg et al. 2000) and the Yale Bright Star Catalog (BSC; Hoffleit 1964).

In this paper, we present a compilation of these sources to produce an all-sky catalog of stellar photometry that we believe is accurate and virtually complete to $m \sim 19$. The catalog data are based on our new observations, our rereductions, and the extant catalog data, synthesizing all the *griz* photometry into a best estimate of *griz* for each star on the Pan-STARRS bandpasses.

We will describe our Pathfinder observations and reductions, our APASS rereductions, the procedure we followed for each catalog to bring it onto the Pan-STARRS *griz*-bandpass system, and the production of the final catalog. We call this final data product ATLAS Refcat2. The precise content of Refcat2 is described in Appendix A.

2. External Sources of Photometry

2.1. 2MASS

The 2MASS (Skrutskie et al. 2006) covered the entire sky in the infrared JHK_s bandpasses. The systematic errors in astrometry are no larger than 0."05 and in photometry no larger than 0.02 mag, but the infrared magnitudes are not directly convertible to gri at the level required here. These magnitudes are very useful in combination with the Gaia photometry to distinguish stellar color from reddening, however. We downloaded the 2MASS data from IPAC.⁵

2.2. APASS DR9

The AAVSO has released a catalog of stellar photometry for about 50 million stars over the entire sky from APASS DR9 (Henden et al. 2016). The astrometry is tied to UCAC3 (Zacharias et al. 2010) with an accuracy better than 0."1, and its photometry includes *BVgri* across the range 7 < m < 17. Their cameras are an Apogee U16m with a 4k KAF16803 detector and Astrodon *u'*, *g'*, *r'*, *i'*, *z'*, *B*, and *V* filters. The KAF16803 detector has lower quantum efficiency than our ATLAS Pathfinder CCID20 CCDs (see Section 3.1), but APASS used 180/90/90 s exposures in *gri*, so the depths are comparable.

As described below, we corrected for substantial systematic photometric offsets in this published DR9 data set. Because APASS carried out a flattening correction, photometry, and detection combination for DR9 that is independent of the work performed here, we consider it to be a quasi-independent source of photometry, and we added it into the sources of *gri* magnitudes once these offsets were corrected on a square-degree basis.

2.3. Pan-STARRS

The Pan-STARRS1 Telescope (Chambers et al. 2016) surveyed the sky north of decl. -30° many times in *grizy* filters between 2010 and 2014. The Pan-STARRS bandpasses have been well characterized (Tonry et al. 2012). These data have been homogenized using an "ubercal" procedure (Padmanabhan et al. 2008; Schlafly et al. 2012) and tied to the Space Telescope Science Institute's "Calspec" stars (Bohlin et al. 2001; Bohlin 2007, 2014). The Pan-STARRS1 data processing (Magnier et al. 2016a, 2016b; Waters et al. 2016) and calibration (Magnier et al. 2016c) provide accurate *grizy* photometry (<0.005 mag) and astrometry (<20 mas), but only for stars fainter than $m \sim 14$; brighter stars are saturated.

We used the Pan-STARRS DR1 catalog database (Flewelling et al. 2016) by running a query that checks that the object has a stack magnitude less than 19 in any of g, r, i, or z and that bestDetection=1 and primaryDetection=1 in the StackObjectThin tables and reports back the mean positions (R.A./decl.) and mean point-spread function (PSF) magnitudes in grizy from the ObjectThin and Mean-Object tables. This query was chosen to restrict the objects to those that are bright enough for ATLAS, as well as to select objects that have been seen multiple times by Pan-STARRS and for which the Pan-STARRS stack photometry (measurement from the coadd of all images) succeeded. We use the Pan-STARRS mean photometry (mean of measurements from all images) because it has had ubercal corrections applied (see Schlafly et al. 2012; Magnier et al. 2016c), whereas the corresponding stack photometry has not. However, to maximize the probability that an object is a real star (and not a spurious detection, transient source, or moving object), it is useful to check that it exists and that certain flags are set in the stack table. In Appendix B, we provide an example of the query, should others wish to select a similar set of Pan-STARRS1 data from the MAST archive.⁶

⁵ http://irsa.ipac.caltech.edu/2MASS/download/allsky

⁶ http://mastweb.stsci.edu/ps1casjobs/

THE ASTROPHYSICAL JOURNAL, 867:105 (16pp), 2018 November 10

2.4. SkyMapper

In 2017 December, SkyMapper published a slight revision to their first data release, DR1.1,⁷ comprising 285 million sources (Wolf et al. 2018). The DR1.1 employs 2MASS and a selection of APASS stars to set observation zero-points (the number that converts flux reported by photometry routines to calibrated magnitude) and determine magnitudes. We found that photometric errors in APASS DR9 had left a substantial imprint on the SkyMapper photometry, so we corrected the SkyMapper photometry on each square degree, as described below. We caution that the point-source photometry in DR1.1 is derived from 1D growth curves and thus is only reliable for isolated sources that have no neighbors within 10″.

2.5. Tycho-2 and Bright Stars

The ESA *Hipparcos* satellite (van Leeuwen 2007) surveyed the entire sky to determine the parallaxes of bright stars, and it included the Tycho photometry instrument. The Tycho-2 catalog it produced contains almost all of the stars in the sky brighter than $m \sim 12$ (Høg et al. 2000). Its astrometry is superb, and its photometry is quite homogeneous over the entire sky, albeit subject to crowding problems in the Galactic plane. Tycho had two filters, B_T and V_T , similar to Johnson *B* and *V*, so it cannot be compared directly with *gri* photometry without significant color transformations.

The 2MASS team performed a match between Tycho-2 and 2MASS, providing $B_T V_T JHK$ photometry for about 2.5 million stars. Pickles & Depagne (2010) then used this 2MASS match to determine a best-fitting stellar spectral energy distribution (SED) from their compendium of SEDs and published synthetic *gri* photometry for each of the Tycho-2 stars.

The Tycho-2 catalog becomes quite incomplete for m < 3, so we augmented it with the Yale BSC (Hoffleit 1964). The *griz* magnitudes were estimated from the *B*, *V*, and (U - B) and (R - I) colors when available. These estimates are not particularly accurate, but they ensure the completeness of the final Refcat2. We shifted the Tycho-2 and BSC coordinates to epoch 2015.5 according to the Tycho-2 proper motions and merged the two, with a preference for Tycho-2 for stars listed by both.

2.6. Gaia

The Gaia Collaboration published a first data release in 2016 (DR1; Gaia Collaboration et al. 2016) and issued a greatly improved second data release (DR2) on 2018 April 25 (Gaia Collaboration et al. 2018). The DR2 has three substantial improvements relative to DR1: it closes holes in the sky, provides Gaia " G_{BP} " and " G_{RP} " magnitudes for stars brighter than $G \sim 19$, and provides proper motions and parallaxes for many stars. The star coordinates in DR2 are all epoch 2015.5. This is a revolutionary data set, not only because of the unprecedented depth and accuracy of the astrometry but also because of the accuracy of the photometry. Comparison of DR1 G magnitudes against Pan-STARRS clearly showed the Gaia scan patterns, indicating photometry errors at the ~ 0.02 mag level. The DR2 does not show Gaia scan patterns relative to Pan-STARRS at the 0.005 mag level or better, and of course, there is every expectation that the Gaia photometry can be homogeneous over the entire sky. As we discuss later (Section 4.2), we do observe a small difference between *Gaia* DR2 photometry and ground-based photometry that correlates with star density.

3. New Sources of Photometry Data and Our Processing

In addition to extant catalogs, our reference catalog also includes new photometric information over much of the sky from our own ATLAS Pathfinder observations, as well as from a reprocessing of APASS images.

3.1. ATLAS Pathfinder Hardware and Survey

The ATLAS Pathfinder Telescope is a Takahashi Epsilon-180 astrograph that has a 5° diameter field of view, an aperture of 180 mm, and a focal length of 500 mm. It is equipped with an FLI PDF focuser and an FLI filter changer. The filter changer has Astrodon⁸ 50 mm SDSS Gen-2 *g*-, *r*-, and *i*-band filters inserted. These filters have very square bandpasses with half-transmission points of 401–550 (*g* filter), 562–695 (*r* filter), and 695–844 nm (*i* filter). This is followed by a Uniblitz 45 mm shutter and a custom CCD camera. The CCD camera has two 2k × 4k Lincoln Lab CCID20 CCDs with 15 μ m pixels for a plate scale of 6″.2 arcsec pixel⁻¹. The Takahashi illuminates a circle of diameter 3000 pixels, so the field of view is about 19 deg². These CCDs are back-illuminated and 45 μ m thick, so their quantum efficiency and uniformity are excellent.

The telescope was used on Mauna Loa in the ATLAS Ash dome at the NOAA observatory at an elevation of 11,000 feet for approximately 2 yr prior to the installation of the ATLAS 0.5 m telescope. We observed between MJD 57,396 (2016 January 9) and 57,782 (2017 January 29), initially collecting images in a single filter on a given night, applying dithers, and letting some time elapse between observations. In 2016 March (MJD 57,449), we switched to a mode of collecting three consecutive images in *gri* at each pointing, covering about one-fifth of the visible sky on a given night. We normally observed in five decl. bands between -45 and +90. All exposure times were 30 s, and the Takahashi vignettes about 40% of the light at the edge of the field of view.

The Takahashi telescope produces a focal surface that curls up at the edge of the field of view, and at f/2.8, there is a distinct nonuniformity of focus. In addition, the detectors are slightly tilted with respect to the focal surface. At its best, the Takahashi makes images of about 1.2 pixels, but we deliberately chose a focus that produces images of about 1.7 pixels full width at half maximum (FWHM) over the entire field of view. There is a distinct variation in the shape of the images as a function of position, but the overall FWHM is reasonably constant for all filters over the entire field of view. However, prior to running DoPhot, we elected to convolve images with a Gaussian of 2 pixels FWHM. This costs about a half magnitude in sensitivity but significantly improves the photometric accuracy over the field.

Among the Pathfinder data, "good nights" were identified as those with a scatter in zero-point (magnitude that provides 1 count s⁻¹) less than 0.03 mag (4/3 the quartile range) as a function of airmass over the majority of the night, and "good observations" were selected. A total of 362 nights and 232,558 exposures in *gri* yielded 213 nights that were at least partly photometric, with a total of 165,294 exposures. After fairly

⁷ http://skymapper.anu.edu.au/data-release

⁸ https://astrodon.com/products/astrodon-photometrics-sloan-filters/



Figure 1. Coverage of the sky by "good" Pathfinder exposures is illustrated on the left, with the number of visits coded by color: blue is 5, yellow is 15, red is 20, and white is 28 or more. Filters *g*, *r*, and *i* run from top to bottom, and R.A. increases to the left. The right panels show the sky coverage by "good" APASS observations, with the number of visits coded by color: blue is two, yellow is five, red is eight, and white is nine or more.

stringent cuts on quality-control metrics, 159,397 exposures survived to provide stellar photometry. Our sky coverage of these exposures is displayed in Figure 1. Each image produces a table of about 30,000 stars with an astrometric accuracy of about 0.02 relative to *Gaia* DR1 and a photometric accuracy of about 0.05 mag relative to Pan-STARRS at $g \sim 16.5$, $r \sim 16$, and $i \sim 15.5$. The Pathfinder observations saturate at approximately m < 9.

3.2. Photometric Processing of ATLAS Pathfinder Data

The basic ATLAS pipeline (Tonry et al. 2018) was used to reduce the ATLAS Pathfinder data. The stages involve bias subtraction, division by a flat field, and identifying many stars to determine pixel positions and fluxes. A subset of these stars is matched to sky positions using code from astrometry. net (Lang et al. 2010) to obtain an initial astrometric solution. All stars are then matched to the first-generation ATLAS reference catalog⁹ to derive an accurate astrometric solution expressed as WCS coefficients and a photometric zero-point. Refcat1 has estimates of star magnitudes on the Pan-STARRS g_{P1} , r_{P1} , and i_{P1} filter system (Tonry et al. 2012), and these magnitudes are converted to the Pathfinder *gri* observational bandpasses (see Table 2 below).

The flat field is initially a nighttime sky-flat image derived from the median of all images in a given filter for a night, but then the stars from images that overlap the Pan-STARRS catalog are compared with Pan-STARRS magnitudes, a loworder 8×8 spline fit to the differences is multiplied into the flat field to make a "photo flat" or "star flat," and the data from the night are rereduced with this new flat field. As described below, the purpose of the photo flat is to correct intra-exposure photometric variations, not to get the final zero-point for the exposure correct; the zero-point evaluation follows a completely different procedure. This photo flat serves for a lunation.

Finally, we run a version of DoPhot (Schechter et al. 1993) that was modified by Alonso-Garcia (Alonso-Garcia et al. 2012) to accept floating point data and cope with a varying PSF. We also had to make a number of modifications to DoPhot as well to get the variable PSF to work properly and be able to introduce an external variance image. DoPhot processes an image iteratively. At any point, it maintains a catalog of all stars it has detected, and it subtracts its model of those stars from the image before detecting and measuring new stars or remeasuring old stars in the catalog. In this way,

⁹ ATLAS project internal version Refcat1 based on *Gaia* DR1, Pan-STARRS, and APASS DR9.

DoPhot does a very creditable job of dealing with high star densities and blended images.

DoPhot calculates two different fluxes for most stars: an "aperture magnitude," which is the sum of the flux within a largeaperture ($\sim 30''$) radius, and a "fit magnitude," which is the flux derived from the integral of the DoPhot PSF function. The former includes almost all the light from a star but is noisy; the latter has systematic errors when the DoPhot PSF model does not match the actual star profile. All stars have a "fit magnitude," but only bright stars have an "aperture magnitude." By examining the difference between the aperture and fit magnitudes for bright stars, the fit magnitudes for faint stars can be converted to aperture magnitudes. The result is that we can produce low-noise instrumental magnitudes for each star that are referred to largeaperture fluxes.

3.3. APASS Rereduction

The APASS project kindly provided ATLAS with 258,312 images of the southern sky on a 3 TB external drive. They were taken at the APASS facility at the Cerro Tololo Inter-American Observatory in Chile, primarily (237,498 images) in the *g*, *r*, *i*, *B*, and *V* filters over a span of MJD 55,507 (2010 November 7) to 56,725 (2014 March 9). They cover nearly the entire sky with decl. $< +20^{\circ}$, with few gaps (the southern "crack in the sky" that stretches between α , δ 260°, -60° and 270°, -77° is not covered by these images). The APASS system has a 2°.9 × 2°.9 field of view with 2″.6 pixels, and exposure times were varied so as to extend the dynamic range to brighter stars.

We reduced all APASS exposures through the ATLAS pipeline, including the match with ATLAS reference catalog Refcat1. Because the APASS observing strategy on each night brought the telescope well north of decl. -30° , virtually every night overlapped the Pan-STARRS catalog well enough to provide a photo-flat correction to the APASS flat field. This was not a trivial modification, amounting to a peak-to-peak of 0.2 mag over a typical image and an rms of about 0.05 mag. There was also a dramatic, steady decrease in zero-point by 0.7 mag in all filters over this 3.3 yr span. We found that the Pan-STARRS flat-field comparison was very consistent in a given filter from night to night, and on the few nights when APASS did not venture north of -30° , we used the photo-flat correction from the closest night that did. The astrometric errors are typically 0."07 in each coordinate at $m \sim 15$, and the typical photometric errors in the long exposures are 0.05 mag at $g \sim 17.5, r \sim 16.5, i \sim 15, B \sim 17.5, \text{ and } V \sim 16.5.$

As with the Pathfinder data, "good nights" and "good observations" were identified from the scatter in zero-point as a function of airmass and quality-control metrics. A total of 692 nights yielded 542 nights that we deem photometric, with a total of 178,094 exposures, of which 154,406 in g, r, i, B, and V provided stellar photometry. The APASS sky coverage is displayed in the right panel of Figure 1. (There is also an APASS-north survey, which we did not use.)

It is important that readers and users note the following. Hereafter, the "APASS" catalog will be used to mean the APASS data that have been reprocessed by ATLAS, and the "APASS DR9" catalog will refer to the magnitudes published by the APASS project. These share many of the same data files, but the processing is completely different. As detailed below, this rereduction of APASS made the intra-CCD photometry about a factor of 3 better than what was published in APASS DR9, the reprocessed photometry is about 2 mag deeper, and,

 Table 1

 Survey Zero-points

Survey	ZP	g	dg	r	dr	i	di	z	dz
APASS DR9		53	11	-13	12	-34	17		
SkyMapper		-5	10	-18	10	-9	6	10	8
DR1.1									
APASS	diff	0	17	0	10	0	12		
APASS	secz	0	13	0	7	0	11		
APASS	GMP	0	2	0	1	$^{-1}$	2		
Pathfinder	GMP	$^{-1}$	1	-0	1	-1	2		
SkyMapper	GMP	$^{-2}$	2	-3	2	$^{-2}$	2	0	1
APASS DR9	GMP	-3	2	-2	2	1	2		

Note. For each survey, the median difference in mmag with respect to Pan-STARRS for $-28 < \delta < +18$ is given in *gri* filters and *z* if available. These differences are calculated from the median of matched stars over each coordinate square degree, then the median of these and their rms (derived from quantiles) is listed. The leftmost column gives the survey, and the next column lists the source of zero-point recalibration, discussed in this section.

Table 2Color Terms

y	x	C_0	<i>C</i> ₁	rms
$(g_{AP} - g_{P1})$	$(g_{P1} - r_{P1})$	0.023	0.054	0.032
$(r_{AP} - r_{P1})$	$(g_{P1} - r_{P1})$	-0.058	0.023	0.039
$(i_{AP} - i_{P1})$	$(g_{P1} - r_{P1})$	0.003	0.057	0.050
$(g_{PT} - g_{P1})$	$(g_{P1} - r_{P1})$	-0.007	0.045	0.043
$(r_{PT} - r_{P1})$	$(g_{P1} - r_{P1})$	0.018	-0.034	0.036
$(i_{PT} - i_{P1})$	$(g_{P1} - r_{P1})$	0.020	-0.029	0.042
$(g_{SM} - g_{P1})$	$(g_{P1} - r_{P1})$	0.010	-0.228	0.032
$(r_{SM} - r_{P1})$	$(g_{P1} - r_{P1})$	0.004	0.039	0.016
$(i_{SM} - i_{P1})$	$(r_{P1} - i_{P1})$	0.008	-0.110	0.022
$(z_{SM}-z_{P1})$	$(r_{P1} - i_{P1})$	-0.004	-0.097	0.020
$(g_{P1} - g_{AP})$	$(g_{AP} - r_{AP})$	-0.009	-0.061	0.026
$(r_{P1} - r_{AP})$	$(g_{AP} - r_{AP})$	0.065	-0.026	0.027
$(i_{P1} - i_{AP})$	$(r_{AP} - i_{AP})$	-0.015	-0.068	0.045
$(g_{P1} - g_{A9})$	$(g_{A9} - r_{A9})$	-0.008	-0.099	0.021
$(r_{P1} - r_{A9})$	$(g_{A9} - r_{A9})$	-0.050	0.040	0.026
$(i_{P1} - i_{A9})$	$(r_{A9} - i_{A9})$	-0.015	0.042	0.046
$(g_{P1} - g_{PT})$	$(g_{PT} - r_{PT})$	0.012	-0.048	0.018
$(r_{P1} - r_{PT})$	$(g_{PT} - r_{PT})$	-0.017	0.035	0.019
$(i_{P1} - i_{PT})$	$(r_{PT} - i_{PT})$	-0.011	0.053	0.025
$(g_{P1} - g_{SM})$	$(g_{SM} - r_{SM})$	0.004	0.272	0.029
$(r_{P1} - r_{SM})$	$(g_{SM} - r_{SM})$	-0.016	-0.035	0.021
$(i_{P1} - i_{SM})$	$(r_{SM} - i_{SM})$	-0.011	0.100	0.016
$(z_{P1} - z_{SM})$	$(r_{SM} - i_{SM})$	0.009	0.082	0.020

Note. Polynomial coefficients $y = C_0 + C_1 x$ are listed for conversions between catalog and Pan-STARRS magnitudes. The subscripts are as follows: Pan-STARRS (*P*1), ATLAS Pathfinder (*PT*), Skymapper (*SM*), reprocessed APASS (*AP*), and APASS DR9 (*A*9).

even after we correct APASS DR9 into agreement with *Gaia* and Pan-STARRS, this rereduced APASS catalog is distinctly more homogeneous across the sky.

4. Zero-points

Table 1 shows a catalog comparison with Pan-STARRS in the same equatorial band between -28° and $+18^{\circ}$ decl., collecting median differences of star magnitudes over each coordinate square degree and then examining the statistics between these square degrees. Color terms from Table 2 have been applied to each catalog's magnitudes to bring them into agreement with Pan-STARRS.

Note that APASS DR9 has substantial offsets with respect to Pan-STARRS (53 mmag in g, for example) and substantial rms values of 11–17 mmag. Similarly, SkyMapper DR1.1 is better corrected in terms of zero-point and was distinctly tighter (rms of 6–10 mmag), but the large-scale differences between APASS and Pan-STARRS are echoed in SkyMapper DR1.

We regard an rms of 10 mmag as unacceptable for our reference catalog because it is a systematic error that would be impressed on every observation that used it and fluctuations of many times the rms occur around the sky. Although a given ATLAS exposure averages 30 such square degrees, these fluctuations tend to be spatially correlated, and we could potentially incur photometry errors of as much as 3 times the rms of the reference catalog. While it is straightforward to alter APASS DR9 or SkyMapper on a square degree–by–square degree basis to agree with Pan-STARRS, this does not help us for the southern sky.

4.1. Combining Detections

Initial attempts to assemble the Pathfinder and APASS detections into a consistent set of photometry over the sky were only successful at the 10 mmag level—not good enough for our purposes. The reference catalog, photo flats, and dithers did a good job of making the photometry consistent (\sim 10 mmag) within a given exposure, and averaging many detections could then, in principle, bring the photometry to the accuracy we need.

However, determination of zero-points for the exposures to make a combination whose systematic error was below 10 mmag proved to be difficult. We used a combination of three methods to determine zero-points for exposures: (1) set the zero-point directly by comparison with external authority, (2) set the zero-point by regression against airmass on photometric nights, and (3) set the zero-point by intercomparison of overlapping exposures (the ubercal approach; Padmanabhan et al. 2008; Schlafly et al. 2012).

Although Pan-STARRS is an excellent external authority and the basis for the photo flats, it does not exist south of decl. -30° . Gaia is available all-sky but provides only very broad bands, and it is a priori not clear how well they constrain the narrower Pan-STARRS passbands. It turned out to be challenging to determine zero-points from airmass regression for two reasons. We were simply reluctant to severely restrict the list of workable nights in search of truly photometric nights; we did not feel that we would have enough exposures remaining to cover the sky. The second reason is that the zero-point for an exposure actually depends on more than airmass. Since the zero-point is the conversion between summed flux and magnitude, it depends on the details of the photometry algorithm, not just the aperture and detector quantum efficiency and gain. In particular, the pixel size of 6."2 (Pathfinder) or 2."6 (APASS) means that variations in star density cause very substantial shifts in the zero-point, and PSF size and shape are additional factors. The zero-point for images derived by comparison with Pan-STARRS (0."26 pixels) during a night depends markedly on galactic latitude, photometry algorithm, and parameters, as well as the expected dependency on airmass.

We wrote an elaborate program to simultaneously solve for as many as 10^5 zero-points based on inter-exposure differences

(the third method) in addition to absolute zero-points provided by an external authority (first method) and airmass regression (second method). This procedure worked very well. With external zero-points weighted lightly, the resulting errors in star magnitudes (judged by comparison with Pan-STARRS in the belt with $-30^{\circ} < \text{decl.} < +20^{\circ}$) are very smooth but have large-scale variations, as might be expected from the differential constraint. When the zero-points from airmass regression and external authority were heavily weighted, the small-scale variation became much rougher on the scale of an observation footprint in the south, where we did not have external zero-points, but the rms improved slightly.

The rms values of the reflattened APASS images were 17–12 mmag when we let the inter-exposure differences dominate the fit weights (labeled "diff" in the second column of Table 1) and 13–11 mmag when zero-points from airmass regression dominated (labeled "secz" in Table 1). Optimizing the weights between differences and external zero-points made things slightly better, but we could not find a combination that made the rms values substantially better than 10 mmag. Since this is the rms between square-degree tiles, it represents an unacceptable systematic error.

4.2. The Gaia+2MASS+PS1 Subset and Analysis

The release of Gaia DR2 created a new opportunity to set zero-points. The Gaia bandpasses are very broad and very different from griz. Here, G is approximately 410–840 nm, G_{BP} is approximately 335–660 nm, and G_{RP} is approximately 640–900 nm, but G and G_{RP} have extensive red tails and G_{BP} a substantial dip between 365 and 400 nm.¹⁰ However, comparison between Gaia magnitudes synthesized from Pan-STARRS griz revealed little spatial variation across the sky. It therefore appeared to be possible to pick a subset of Gaia stars that match with 2MASS and have low reddening and use the Gaia and 2MASS magnitudes to make accurate estimates of griz magnitudes over the entire sky. Since our goal is to set the overall zero-point for exposures with photometry for many stars and good internal photometric consistency, a small subset of stars can serve to set the zero-point for all stars in that exposure.

Experiments revealed that the most fruitful comparison was *griz* with *Gaia* magnitudes in the $(G_{BP} - G_{RP})$, (J - H) plane. The stellar locus has a tight regression for unreddened, nonred stars, and the reddening vector is distinctly nonparallel to the stellar locus, so (J - H) can serve to deredden as well as distinguish giant from dwarf stars. Comparing the *Gaia* and 2MASS data with the Pan-STARRS DR1 catalog revealed an appropriate subset of stars and regressions for Pan-STARRS *griz* from the *Gaia* and 2MASS magnitudes (abbreviated GMP).

The stars that are chosen for the GMP subset have

- 1. neither a *Gaia* VARIABLE nor a DUPLICATE flag set;
- 2. uncertainties in G_{BP} and G_{RP} less than 0.03 mag;
- 3. uncertainties in J and H less than 0.05 mag;
- 4. $0.7 \leq (G_{BP} G_{RP}) \leq 1.4, \ 0.2 \leq (J H)) \leq 0.5,$ AG < 1; and
- 5. $(G G_{RP}) (G R)_{SSL} < 0.05$,

¹⁰ https://www.cosmos.esa.int/web/gaia/dr2

where

$$(G - R)_{SSL} = +0.0175 + 0.642(G_{BP} - G_{RP}) - 0.0784(G_{BP} - G_{RP})^2 + 0.002(G_{BP} - G_{RP})^3 (1)$$

is the *Gaia* stellar locus. Evidently, the color cuts are removing very blue and very red stars, regardless of whether the color is intrinsic or caused by reddening. We are also removing stars with $AG \ge 1$ that *Gaia* believes are extremely reddened (*AG* is the *Gaia* extinction estimate in the *Gaia* broadband *G* bandpass), and the last cut is removing stars that deviate from the stellar locus in *Gaia* colors.

We found that the residuals of Pan-STARRS magnitudes with respect to a regression based on the GMP subset of *Gaia* and 2MASS magnitudes still had rather large errors (~0.1 mag) near the Galactic plane, but these correlated well with star density. These deviations are minimal below a threshold star density but then increase roughly proportionally to the square root of star density (inverse of mean star separation) in more crowded regions. We use the count of *Gaia* stars with 15 < G < 16 in each angular square degree (N_{15-16}) to create a star proximity variable we term $ng = (N_{15-16})^{1/2}$. In the *i* band, for example, the threshold for disagreement is $ng \sim 50$ (2500 stars deg⁻²), and the disagreement rises to ~100 mmag at $ng \sim 100$.

We do not understand the origin of these deviations. They do not depend directly on galactic latitude (extremely obscured areas right on the plane have small *ng* and small deviation). They do not appear to depend on star brightness, are still present when 2MASS is removed from the *Gaia*–Pan-STARRS comparison, and do not appear to correlate well with reddening, either *Gaia* estimates or the total column reddening from Schlegel et al. (1998). Star density correlates with extinction and giant/dwarf ratio, of course, but neither of these variables correlates as well as star density. Our best guess is that *Gaia* incurs some sort of photometry offset as it scans areas with very high star densities that creates a small error.

Our predictions for Pan-STARRS *griz* from *Gaia* and 2MASS for the GMP subset are

$$(g_{P1} - G_{BP}) = -0.0321 - 0.0520 X + 0.1314 X^{2} + 0.0084 Y + 0.00189 n + 0.01326 n^{2} - 0.00243 n^{3},$$
(2)

$$(r_{P1} - G) = +0.1940 - 0.4013 X + 0.2022 X^2 - 0.0974 Y + 0.02234 n + 0.00352 n^2 - 0.00124 n^3,$$
(3)

$$(i_{P1} - G_{RP}) = +0.3564 - 0.0268 X + 0.0429 X^2 - 0.0537 Y - 0.01324 n + 0.03023 n^2 - 0.00484 n^3,$$
(4)

$$(z_{P1} - G_{RP}) = +0.4706 - 0.1733 X$$

-0.0362 X² + 0.0714 Y - 0.02992 n
+0.03099 n² - 0.00509 n³, (5)

where $X = (G_{BP} - G_{RP})$ is the *Gaia* color, Y = (J - H) is the 2MASS color, and $n = ng/50 = (N_{15-16})^{1/2}/50$ is the scaled, square root star density.

The advantage of using GMP to set zero-points is its uniformity over the entire sky. The cost of such an approach is that errors in the Gaia and 2MASS source catalogs are impressed on all the results, and the risk is that systematic changes in reddening, metallicity, dwarf/giant population ratio, and crowding will also create systematic biases. Our judgment is that the Gaia systematic errors are less than 0.01 mag (although we are not certain about crowded regions) and that the coefficients multiplying (J - H) are small enough to ensure that the 2MASS systematic errors will not contribute at the 0.01 mag level either. We examine the final results for systematic errors below and find that they are small. Using GMP to set the photometric basis for our reference catalog appears to be the best we can do for photometry south of -30° decl., at least until the release of Gaia DR3, which will presumably synthesize griz from the BP/RP spectra.

We created a GMP catalog of stars for the entire sky using the regressions of Equations (2)–(5) and used them to set zero-points for all our APASS rereductions and Pathfinder observations. Since GMP is a regression that produces Pan-STARRS bandpass magnitudes from *Gaia* and 2MASS, we must first convert the Pan-STARRS g_{P1} , r_{P1} , i_{P1} , and z_{P1} to each individual catalog's bandpass before comparison. To our knowledge, only Pan-STARRS has published bandpasses measured in situ, so we did not trust any estimates of color terms derived from integration of SEDs against bandpass estimates but worked entirely empirically. Regressions for catalog magnitude as a function of Pan-STARRS magnitude and vice versa over the usual decl. band are given in Table 2.

The relations in Table 2 are not inverses. The calculation of catalog magnitude as a function of Pan-STARRS was derived from the GMP subset of stars in order to set zero-points (the same relation is applicable to both the APASS rereduction and APASS DR9.) The relations that predict Pan-STARRS magnitude as a function of catalog magnitude are, conversely, calculated from all stars, regardless of color or reddening. In order to derive these, we matched all stars from each catalog to Pan-STARRS, sorted the independent variable (g - r) or (r - i) from the catalog into bins of size 0.1 mag, and then took the median of all magnitude differences in that bin. We fitted a line to a selected range in abscissa and verified that it matched trends visible in these medians, as well as the cloud of all points. The results for the APASS rereduction and APASS DR9 are quite independent of each other, because the APASS rereduction includes much fainter and redder stars than APASS DR9. As with all simple, empirical, linear color-term transformations, these do not pretend to high accuracy for stars with extremely red or blue SEDs. Therefore, individual Refcat2 magnitudes for very red or blue stars without direct Pan-STARRS photometry (south of decl. -30° or brighter than $m \sim 14$) should be treated with care.

The APASS rereduction and Pathfinder detections were then grouped by matching against the list of all *Gaia* stars, and weighted median magnitudes were calculated for each star. (The "weighted median" occurs at the 50% quantile of the cumulative inverse variance weights for all detection magnitudes.) An uncertainty was estimated from the weighted quartiles and the number of contributing observations. When this uncertainty is significantly discrepant from the individual uncertainties, we flag the star as a potential variable.

There are real stars in the APASS and Pathfinder observations for which the closest *Gaia* star is more than 10["]8 distant.

Table 3Gaia-only Color Terms

y	C_0	C_1	Domain
$(g_{P1} - G_{RP})$	-0.19	1.25	<1.5
$(g_{P1} - G_{RP})$	-0.50	1.45	≥1.5
$(r_{P1} - G_{RP})$	0.08	0.48	<1.5
$(r_{P1} - G_{RP})$	-0.23	0.69	≥1.5
$(i_{P1} - G_{RP})$	0.34	0.03	<1.5
$(i_{P1} - G_{RP})$	0.17	0.14	≥1.5
$(z_{P1} - G_{RP})$	0.49	-0.21	<1.5
$(z_{P1}-G_{RP})$	0.45	-0.18	≥1.5

Note. Polynomial coefficients $y = C_0 + C_1(G_{BP} - G_{RP})$ to convert *Gaia* magnitudes to Pan-STARRS magnitudes. The broken linear relations change coefficients according to the value of $(G_{BP} - G_{RP})$ and the "Domain" column.

For these, we assembled a median magnitude by grouping among themselves, and we include them in the Refcat2 output. We endeavored to avoid galaxies in the DoPhot output for APASS and Pathfinder, but these images do not have a lot of spatial resolution, so a few bright galaxies have crept into Refcat2 (about 0.02% of the total). These non-*Gaia* objects can be distinguished because the *Gaia* magnitude and uncertainty are zero. Appendix A provides details.

The photometry of the final APASS and Pathfinder objects is compared against Pan-STARRS in Table 1, with GMP listed as the source of the zero-point. The scatter for APASS and Pathfinder is now only a few mmag.

We also used the GMP set of stars to correct the photometry of APASS DR9. Because the reduction, photometric analysis, and detection combination used by the APASS project for DR9 is completely different from our rereduction, there is merit in treating it as an independent set of photometry. We cannot reflatten the APASS DR9 observations and recombine them, but we can correct on a square-degree basis using the GMP subset; as noted above, much of the variation of APASS DR9 with respect to Pan-STARRS occurs on scales of many degrees, so a square-degree correction should not create serious discontinuities.

Similarly, we created a new version of SkyMapper DR1.1 where the magnitudes for *griz* in each square degree are shifted to agree with the GMP estimates. SkyMapper DR1.1 flags some stars as having uncertain photometry because of crowding. For these, we added 0.2 mag in quadrature to the uncertainties. This 0.2 mag is really an operational choice rather than an estimate of true uncertainty. The effect causes the contribution to the final magnitude to be minimal if another catalog has data and serves to flag uncertain photometry when only SkyMapper contributes.

For both APASS DR9 and SkyMapper, the magnitudes in each filter and square degree are shifted by a constant value, so the relative photometry between stars of different brightness in a given square degree is not changed. The regressions to convert these revised APASS DR9 and SkyMapper magnitudes to the Pan-STARRS bandpasses are given in Table 2.

Finally, we list in Table 3 a crude set of regressions to get Pan-STARRS magnitude estimates from *Gaia* magnitudes alone in order to provide some sort of magnitude estimate when no other catalog is available. (These are given large systematic errors, which deweights their contribution if some other catalog provides real *griz* photometry.) There is a large scatter, of course, and these are not available for stars too faint to have G_{BP} and G_{RP} . There is a prominent set of red stars (M giants) that diverge significantly from this relationship, but for magnitudes fainter than m > 14, the M dwarfs will dominate.

5. Catalog Combination

The pieces of our reference catalog now need to be combined. For the master list of stars, we collect all *Gaia* stars for which at least one of *G*, *G*_{BP}, or *G*_{RP} is brighter than $m \leq 19$. To this list, we add objects from any of the other catalogs that seem to be bona fide stars and are farther than 0°.001 (3".6) from the nearest *Gaia* star (0°.003 for Pathfinder and APASS). By including stars from Tycho-2 and the BSC, we think Refcat2 contains all stars brighter than $m \sim 10$ with no omissions, although the usual caveats about unresolved binary stars and faint stars near bright stars apply. As noted above, we do not expect stars in Refcat2 with m < 10 to have particularly accurate photometry, although the coordinates should be quite good. These non-*Gaia* stars are a very small fraction (~0.1%) of Refcat2. Details are provided in Appendix A.

Matching between catalogs is done with a tolerance of 0°.0002 (0".72). Pathfinder and APASS use *Gaia* DR1 coordinates (epoch 2015.5), and Tycho and BSC are shifted to epoch 2015.5. Pan-STARRS DR1 is epoch ~2013, but we did not shift the *Gaia* coordinates to that (imprecise) epoch, so a handful of high proper motion stars or stars with a very large parallax may have failed to match correctly. SkyMapper coordinates derive from UCAC4 (epoch 2000), so match failures will occur for stars with proper motions larger than ~50 mas yr⁻¹, similarly for APASS DR9.

For this master list, we have Gaia data for most stars, 2MASS data for many stars, and griz photometry for all stars from a variety of sources, including Gaia, GMP, Pan-STARRS, SkyMapper, Pathfinder, APASS, APASS DR9, and Tycho-2/BSC, all put on the Pan-STARRS bandpasses using the relations above. We soften each star's formal error of each magnitude by augmenting it in quadrature with a value that reflects what we consider each catalog's systematic reliability to be: 0.1 for Gaia DR2 only (Table 3); 0.015 using the GMP regression but increasing when star colors leave the restricted GMP domain; 0.01 for Pan-STARRS but increasing by 1 mag mag⁻¹ for g < 14.0, r < 13.7, i < 13.5, and z < 13.2 and 0.1 mag deg⁻¹ south of decl. -30° ; 0.02 for SkyMapper, Pathfinder, and APASS; 0.05 mag for APASS DR9; and 0.1 mag for Tycho-2/BSC. The estimation of g_{P1} , r_{P1} , i_{P1} , and z_{P1} for each star is a straightforward process that converts each catalog's data to Pan-STARRS bandpasses according to Tables 2 and 3; computes the weighted average for each star from all contributing catalogs; tests whether any contributor is worse than 3σ and, if so, increases the error on the worst offender by twice its σ deviation; and iterates until no contributor deviates by more than 3σ . A bitmap is kept of all contributing catalogs to each final magnitude, as well as a count of the number of times a contributor had its error increased.

In order to make Refcat2 more useful for observations that do not enjoy the spatial resolution of Pan-STARRS or *Gaia*, for each star in the catalog, we calculate the distance to stars in the full *Gaia* DR2 that are bright enough to interfere with its photometry. We assemble the cumulative flux of neighboring stars in *Gaia* G as a function of distance from each star and note the radius where this flux exceeds 0.1, 1.0, and 10 times the central star's G brightness, out to a maximum distance of 36''.

We also provide the total column extinction values at the location of each star from Schlegel et al. (1998). Although many stars will lie in front of some or all of the dust, this may prove to be helpful for some applications.

More details about the precise content of the catalog may be found in Appendix A.

6. Catalog Properties

6.1. Star Counts

Figure 2 shows the star counts in all contributing surveys as a function of star brightness. The assembled Refcat2 looks no different than the *Gaia* counts because it includes all *Gaia* stars, as well as a tiny fraction more. Many features are apparent, such as the progressive failure of Pathfinder to be able to discern crowded stars fainter than r > 15, the dramatically lower density of stars in the GMP resulting from the severe selection filters applied, the tiny "crack in the sky" in the APASS counts, and, of course, the markedly different appearance of the Galactic plane in the 2MASS *J* band.

Note that the APASS DR9 counts also show the APASS DR8 stars in the northern hemisphere, although these were not used in the production of Refcat2. Pan-STARRS loses stars in the *r* band relative to the redder *Gaia G* band due to extinction, but the correspondence between the star lists is nearly perfect at these bright magnitudes. The APASS counts are also very similar to *Gaia* for r < 16, although the completeness for 16 < g < 17 begins to fall off.

6.2. Catalog Comparisons with Refcat2

Figures 3 and 4 show the difference between the star magnitudes in each of eight catalogs relative to the final Refcat2. These are computed as the median difference between the catalog's star magnitudes (converted to Pan-STARRS bandpasses using the color terms of Table 2) and Refcat2, evaluated on each square degree of the sky. Pathfinder and APASS have g, r, and i comparisons, and GMP, Pan-STARRS, and SkyMapper also have z comparisons. The color palette stretches between -0.015 and +0.015 mag.

Close inspection of the GMP–Refcat2 comparison does not show any obvious *Gaia* scan pattern (although it is obvious if the DUPLICATE stars are not eliminated), but it is possible to discern tiny errors in Pan-STARRS: the 3° honeycomb pattern and 10° square chunk pattern can be seen at the 5 mmag level. Because of the heavy weighting given to Pan-STARRS, these artifacts are not seen in the Pan-STARRS–Refcat2 comparison, indicating that these features have been carried into Refcat2.

The third row of Figure 3 shows the DR1.1 SkyMapper magnitudes, spatially corrected on a degree scale to GMP. The roughness in the -30 < decl. < +0 overlap region with Pan-STARRS is relatively large because its zero-point is corrected by GMP, not Pan-STARRS. Some minor offsets from crowding are visible in the Large Magellanic Cloud. The fourth row shows the uncorrected SkyMapper DR1.1 comparison as a reference (but we do not use uncorrected magnitudes). The flaws that SkyMapper inherited by using zero-points from APASS DR9 photometry are visible in this panel.

Figure 4 shows the comparison with Pathfinder, APASS as rereduced for this work, and APASS DR9 published by the APASS project. The Pathfinder comparison looks a bit better

than the GMP where it overlaps with Pan-STARRS, presumably because the bandpasses are more closely matched (compare the top rows of Figures 3 and 4). The mild discontinuity at decl. -30° shows the onset of a southern systematic error in Refcat2. Pathfinder also reveals a honeycomb pattern on 5° scales at the 5 mmag rms level arising from the Takahashi telescope vignetting and imperfect flattening.

The APASS comparison reveals the APASS footprint and the imperfections in the reflattening we imposed. The APASS DR9 comparison is based on the magnitudes published by the APASS project corrected on a square-degree basis by GMP, and the comparison looks somewhat different than the rereduction of APASS above. Apart from the effects of our rereduction of the images, APASS DR9 is more than 1 mag shallower than the APASS rereduction, so different stars are being compared. Some of the degree-by-degree roughness in these images stems from fluctuations in degrees with few matching stars. The fourth row in Figure 4 shows the APASS DR9 (and DR8 for decl. north of $+20^{\circ}$) before correction by GMP. Not only are there large differences in degree and much larger scales, the mean offsets listed in the upper left corner are significant.

6.3. HST Standards

The SkyMapper project integrated the Calspec set of *HST* standard-star SEDs against the Pan-STARRS bandpasses to make predictions for magnitudes in the g_{P1} , r_{P1} , i_{P1} , and z_{P1} bands.¹¹ We do not expect great accuracy for Refcat2 magnitudes brighter than m < 10 because the only contributions are from Tycho-2, BSC, or *Gaia*, with rather gross color transformations to *griz*.

The differences between Refcat2 magnitudes and HST Calspec magnitudes are shown in Figure 5 as a function of g magnitude and (g - r) color.

Restricting to stars fainter than g > 10, we find the difference between Refcat2 and 36 *HST* standards to be $\Delta g = +0.014 \pm 0.013$, $\Delta r = +0.000 \pm 0.016$, $\Delta i = -0.001 \pm 0.017$, and $\Delta z = +0.000 \pm 0.025$. Restricting to stars fainter than g > 13, the differences with respect to 17 *HST* standards are $\Delta g = +0.003 \pm 0.013$, $\Delta r = -0.007 \pm 0.015$, $\Delta i = -0.003 \pm 0.015$, and $\Delta z = +0.008 \pm 0.021$. We do not regard any of these differences as significant enough to compel us to adjust the absolute zero-point that has been set by GMP and its calibration against Pan-STARRS. There are eight *HST* standards south of decl. -30° , but only one is fainter than g > 10. These scatter evenly among the northern stars and do not show any offset in any of these bandpasses, confirming that Refcat2 maintains all-sky accuracy even where Pan-STARRS makes no contribution.

6.4. Refcat2 Errors and Uncertainties

The *griz* photometry in Refcat2 has both statistical and systematic errors. The statistical errors listed for each star's magnitude in Refcat2 are derived from the errors of extant catalogs and photometry augmented by judicious systematic allowances according to the trustworthiness of zero-point adjustments we have applied, as well as the degree of color correction from catalog to standard Pan-STARRS bandpasses. The combination process of photometry from different catalogs

¹¹ http://skymapper.anu.edu.au/filter-transformations/



Figure 2. Coverage of the sky by the various contributing surveys is shown, with color coding indicating the log number of stars per square degree. From top to bottom are *Gaia*, 2MASS, Pan-STARRS, SkyMapper, Pathfinder, APASS, APASS DR9, and GMP, and from left to right are 11 < r < 14, 14 < r < 15, 15 < r < 16, and 16 < r < 17, except *G* is used for *Gaia* and the ranges apply to J + 2 for 2MASS. The color scale is logarithmic from 50 to 10,000; magenta is 70, green is 300, yellow is 700, and red is 3000 stars deg⁻². The Refcat2 counts are indistinguishable from the *Gaia* counts in the top panel.

appears to obey Gaussian statistics for most stars, which gives us confidence that the individual star magnitudes have reasonable error estimates. The distribution of χ^2/dof and the frequency of outlier rejection provided in the catalog are consistent with a Gaussian distribution with a few percent tail from variable stars and other outliers. However, Refcat2 remains a heterogeneous compilation at some level, and examination of magnitude error as a function of magnitude will reveal disjoint clumps according to the dominant contributor during the catalog combination.

It is difficult to be certain about systematic error and fidelity to absolute photometry. The comparison with the STScI Calspec standards affirms the absolute photometry heritage of Refcat2 through Pan-STARRS. Comparing *Gaia* with Pan-STARRS, two completely different sets of photometry with completely different processes to achieve internal



Figure 3. Median difference in *griz* between star magnitudes in the GMP, Pan-STARRS, SkyMapper DR1.1, and uncorrected SkyMapper DR1.1 catalogs relative to Refcat2 are coded by color and evaluated on each square degree in the sky. The color indicates the disagreement between the catalog and Refcat2: black and white when outside the range ± 15 mmag, and green to red for ± 5 mmag. A mean offset indicated in the upper left corner is also subtracted from the catalog before comparison with Refcat2. Left to right are the *g*, *r*, *i*, and *z* magnitudes, modified from the catalog to Pan-STARRS according to the color terms of Table 2 before subtraction.

consistency, is a means to understand the systematic errors that may be present in each.

The top two rows in Figure 3 illustrate the difference between GMP versus Refcat2 and Pan-STARRS versus Refcat2. The difference between Pan-STARRS and Refcat2 in the second row is virtually nonexistent, as expected because Pan-STARRS observations have lower errors than any other contributor to Refcat2 and therefore strongly dominate the weighted average magnitudes for stars.

However, GMP is also fundamental to Refcat2 because it provides the normalization for the Pathfinder and APASS exposures that were rereduced, as well as the renormalization of APASS DR9 and SkyMapper DR1.1. Therefore, by construction, the difference between GMP and Refcat2 south of decl. -30° is also very small.

We can therefore get a sense of the systematic inaccuracy of Refcat2 by looking at the discontinuity in the GMP–Refcat2 comparison north and south of decl. -30° . Figure 6 shows the GMP–Refcat2 comparison evaluated on square degrees in a pair of 4° wide strips immediately above and below the discontinuity.

The northern strip shows marked deviations from zero, exceeding ± 20 mmag at particular locations, although the rms is much less than 10 mmag. The *r* band has the worst residuals: 5119 (coordinate) deg² deviate by more than 5 mmag, 771 deg² deviate by more than 10 mmag, and 23 deg² deviate by more than 20 mmag. The *g* band never deviates by as much as 20 mmag, the *i* band deviates by at least 20 mmag on 5 deg², and the *z* band on 1 deg². Formally, the difference between GMP and Pan-STARRS evaluated on each square degree over the entire sky north of decl. -28° has an rms of about 2 mmag, but of course it is the excursions that are of concern. Because the Pan-STARRS–Refcat2 comparison has no such deviations, we can conclude that these reflect error in the GMP predictions for Pan-STARRS magnitudes. We presume that GMP is continuous and actually has errors akin to the ones visible in the north strip, and so Refcat2 has such errors south of decl. -30° . As noted above, the GMP–Refcat2 comparison north of decl. -30° reveals hints of the Pan-STARRS footprint and chunks, so the northern part of Refcat2 surely also has systematic errors of at least 2 mmag.

Although the all-sky rms is about 2 mmag, there are obvious coherent patches, particularly in r and z near the galactic anticenter where the GMP–Refcat2 difference is consistently around ± 5 mmag. These patches remain from the densitycorrection terms of Equation (2) that erased much bigger errors near the Galactic plane. Although we have a suspicion that this has to do with *Gaia*–Pan-STARRS filter mismatch and gradients in stellar population or metallicity, we were unable to find an all-sky correlate with these features. It is also conceivable that the ubercal procedure that sets the internal consistency of the Pan-STARRS photometry has wandered because of poor constraints on large-scale error. However, for our purposes, this level of error is not important, and we do not have an all-sky method to correct for it.

Therefore, our summary assessment of the accuracy of Refcat2 is that, by construction, it matches Pan-STARRS north of decl. -30° with an rms systematic error of at least 2 mmag, and south of decl. -30° , it has an rms systematic error of at least 3 mmag, except near the galactic center, where systematic errors can occasionally grow to more than 20 mmag. However, note that these excursions are more correlated with stellar density than galactic latitude; the differences are small right on the plane because the extinction is so high that the star density is lower.



Figure 4. Median difference in *gri* between star magnitudes in the Pathfinder, APASS, APASS DR9, and uncorrected APASS DR9 catalogs relative to Refcat2 are coded by color and evaluated on each square degree in the sky. The color indicates the disagreement between the catalog and Refcat2: black and white when outside the range ± 15 mmag, and green to red for ± 5 mmag. A mean offset indicated in the upper left corner is also subtracted from the catalog before comparison with Refcat2. Left to right are the *g*, *r*, and *i* magnitudes, modified from the catalog to Pan-STARRS according to the color terms of Table 2 before subtraction.

7. Summary and Conclusions

We have produced an all-sky astrometric and photometric reference catalog (Refcat2) to meet the needs of the ATLAS project: all-sky uniformity, accuracy, and completeness for 6 < m < 19, including the Galactic plane.

We have merged data from the three publicly released star catalogs of *Gaia*, Pan-STARRS, and SkyMapper. We supplemented this with new ATLAS Pathfinder survey data, and we reprocessed APASS images in the southern sky to improve photometric uniformity and fidelity. Addition of bright stars from Tycho-2 and the Yale BSC filled in the brightest stars that affect observations even when saturated and that are required by small-aperture, very wide-field instruments. We have also added 2MASS near-infrared *JHK* magnitudes for each object, when they exist, to remove the need for a user to do an extra catalog cross-match.

To our knowledge, Refcat2 currently is the best singlereference catalog for photometric calibration of wide-field surveys. The release of *Gaia* DR2 has obviously been a game changer for astrometry, and we recognize the exquisite calibration by including the most important *Gaia* data in the catalog table. The salient features of the catalog are summarized below; details on the content of Refcat2 are found in Appendix A.

1. Refcat2 lists 991 million stars in the range $-1.5 < m \leq 19$ for which we provide *griz* magnitudes. In this context, *m*

means the brightest of the *g*, *r*, and *i* magnitudes. We believe Refcat2 is virtually complete to m < 19 over the entire sky. Refcat2 also repeats the *Gaia* DR2 coordinates, parallax, proper motion, and *G*, *G*_{BP}, and *G*_{RP} magnitudes, as well as *Gaia* estimates of photosphere temperature and extinction. We augment this with Schlegel et al. (1998) extinction values, and we compute proximity statistics for each star's neighbors that may interfere with photometry. The 2MASS *JHK* magnitudes are provided when available.

- 2. All *griz* photometry has been transformed to the Pan-STARRS g_{P1} , r_{P1} , i_{P1} , and z_{P1} bandpasses. Extension of the PS1 photometry to catalogs south of decl. -30° and brighter than m < 14 uses a two-step procedure of identifying a small fraction of stars from the *Gaia* and 2MASS catalogs with well-behaved photometric properties and determining relationships to convert *Gaia* and 2MASS photometry for these stars to the Pan-STARRS system (the GMP subset).
- 3. For reasons we do not fully understand, the GMP regressions produced residuals that depend on stellar sky density, possibly linked to a small photometry offset in *Gaia* when scanning high-density fields. Whatever the reason, inclusion of a stellar density term in the regression equations removes the residual satisfactorily.
- 4. The GMP subset is then transformed as required to each catalog's bandpasses to provide zero-points of observations or mean offsets on a square-degree basis. The



Figure 5. Comparisons between Refcat2 *griz* magnitudes and those integrated from 70 *HST* Calspec SEDs over the Pan-STARRS bandpasses are shown, offset by 0.2 mag for clarity. Left is the difference as a function of *g*, right is (g - r). Three regimes of accuracy (m < 10 from Tycho-2, 10 < m < 14 from Pathfinder and APASS, and m > 14 from Pan-STARRS) are evident.

uniformity of *Gaia* and 2MASS is the foundation for the uniformity of Refcat2 in the south and brighter than m < 14.

- 5. The Refcat2 *griz* magnitudes are weighted means from all contributing catalogs. Pan-STARRS has the greatest signal-to-noise in the transformed *griz* magnitudes, so north of decl. -30° and fainter than m > 14, Refcat2 magnitudes are effectively Pan-STARRS DR1 magnitudes.
- 6. Comparisons of Refcat2 with the GMP subset indicate that the rms systematic error in Refcat2 is on the order of 3 mmag, but excursions in systematic error as large as 20 mmag do appear for selected square degrees near the Galactic plane.
- 7. Comparisons of Refcat2 with synthetic magnitudes from the STScI Calspec set of stars reveal no significant offsets in any of the bandpasses, implying that Refcat2 *griz* agrees with the Calspec system and Pan-STARRS bandpasses at the 5 mmag level or better.

The future operations of ATLAS in the south, ongoing SkyMapper operations and data releases, and *Gaia* DR3 (expected around 2021) will lead to improvements, and we envisage revising this data product when these become available. The Large Synoptic Survey Telescope (LSST) is expected to produce a definitive survey in the southern hemisphere with its first data release due around 2023, and extremely accurate photometry is part of its baseline requirement. Although the LSST itself will saturate around m < 17, a calibration telescope is also planned that will be able to work to much brighter limits, so these should provide a useful cross-check on both the bright and faint ends of Refcat2 when their calibrated results become available.

ATLAS observations and this work were supported by NASA grant NN12AR55G. The AAVSO Photometric All-Sky Survey (APASS) was funded by the Robert Martin Ayers Sciences Fund. SJS acknowledges funding from STFC grants ST/P000312/1 and ST/N002520/1.

This work has made use of data from the European Space Agency (ESA) mission *Gaia* (https://www.cosmos.esa.int/ gaia), processed by the *Gaia* Data Processing and Analysis Consortium (DPAC; https://www.cosmos.esa.int/web/gaia/ dpac/consortium). Funding for the DPAC has been provided by national institutions, in particular, the institutions participating in the *Gaia* Multilateral Agreement.

Data products were used from the Two Micron All Sky Survey, which is a joint project of the University of Massachusetts and the Infrared Processing and Analysis Center/California Institute of Technology, funded by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration and the National Science Foundation.

The Pan-STARRS1 Surveys (PS1) and the PS1 public science archive have been made possible through contributions by the Institute for Astronomy; the University of Hawaii; the Pan-STARRS Project Office; the Max Planck Society and its participating institutes; the Max Planck Institute for Astronomy, Heidelberg, and the Max Planck Institute for Extraterrestrial Physics, Garching; Johns Hopkins University; Durham University; the University of Edinburgh; Queen's University Belfast; the Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics; the Las Cumbres Observatory Global Telescope Network Incorporated; the National Central University of Taiwan; the Space Telescope Science Institute; the National Aeronautics and Space Administration under grant No. NNX08AR22G issued through the Planetary Science Division of the NASA Science Mission Directorate; National Science Foundation grant No. AST-1238877; the University of Maryland; Eotvos Lorand University (ELTE); Los Alamos National Laboratory; and the Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation.

The national facility capability for SkyMapper has been funded through ARC LIEF grant LE130100104 from the Australian Research Council, awarded to the University of Sydney, the Australian National University, Swinburne University of Technology, the University of Queensland, the University of Western Australia, the University of Melbourne, Curtin University of Technology, Monash University, and the Australian Astronomical Observatory. SkyMapper is owned and operated by the Australian National University's Research School of Astronomy and Astrophysics. The survey data were processed and provided by the SkyMapper Team at ANU. The SkyMapper node of the All-Sky Virtual Observatory (ASVO) is hosted at the National Computational Infrastructure (NCI). Development and support for the SkyMapper node of the



Figure 6. Median differences between *griz* star magnitudes in the GMP catalog relative to Refcat2 evaluated on square degrees (shifted by 0.05 mag for clarity) are shown as a function of galactic latitude for two bands: -36 < decl. < -32 (left) and -28 < decl. < -24 (right). The northern points (right) display differences in GMP relative to Refcat2 and by extension Pan-STARRS; the southern points presumably have similar errors with respect to Pan-STARRS, but their comparison with Refcat2 is very nearly zero because GMP set the zero-points of all the observations contributing to Refcat2 south of -30° .

ASVO has been funded in part by Astronomy Australia Limited (AAL) and the Australian Government through the Commonwealth's Education Investment Fund (EIF) and National Collaborative Research Infrastructure Strategy (NCRIS), particularly the National eResearch Collaboration Tools and Resources (NeCTAR) and the Australian National Data Service Projects (ANDS).

Appendix A Refcat2 Details

Refcat2 is served from MAST at the Space Telescope Science Institute (https://archive.stsci.edu/prepds/atlasrefcat2/). All of our data products are available at MAST via doi:10.17909/t9-2p3r-7651. The STScI provides Refcat2 in a variety of formats: the basic bzip2 compressed tar archives with integer fields described here, other types of file compression, and a version that replaces the scaled integers with values that have the correct units. The STScI also provides an interface into a database that permits cone searches and other queries. Finally, STScI will match Refcat2 against the UV photometry from the *GALEX* satellite and IR photometry from the *WISE* satellite and provide those data as well.

Refcat2 is normally provided as a set of bzip2 compressed tar archives of 64,800 files, one for each (coordinate) square degree in the sky. The file names reflect the coordinate location: rrr +dd.rc2. For example, 270-20.rc2 is the square degree with $270 \leq \text{R.A.} < 271$ and $-20 \leq \text{decl.} < -19$. The stars in each square-degree file are sorted by increasing R.A. The data are given in comma-separated variable (CSV) format, using scaled integers for all real numbers as described in Table 4. Abbreviations include "10ndeg" for 10^{-8} deg, "10 uas" for 10^{-5} arcsec, "mas" for 10^{-3} arcsec, and "mmag" for 10^{-3} mag.

When a magnitude is not available (for example, 2MASS at the faint end or G for non-*Gaia* stars), the magnitude and its uncertainty are set to 0, otherwise the magnitude uncertainty is given as at least 1 mmag.

The *g*-band total column extinction Ag is computed from the E(B - V) values of Schlegel et al. (1998), multiplied by 0.88 as recommended by Schlafly & Finkbeiner (2011), and also by

Ag/E(B-V) = 3.613 - 0.0972 (g-i) + 0.0100 $(g-i)^2$ (Tonry et al. 2012).

The proximity statistics rp1, r1, and r10 are derived by summing the cumulative *G*-band flux of all *Gaia* stars as a function of distance from each star and reporting the radius where this flux first exceeds 0.1 (rp1), 1 (r1), and 10 (r10) times the flux of the star. These are given the value 999 (99."9) when a star is so isolated that the cumulative flux never reaches the threshold within the 36" search radius.

The griz-contrib entries identify contributors to the griz magnitudes. Bits 0–7 are set when a catalog contributes to the statistical average with a magnitude uncertainty less than 0.2: *Gaia* DR2 (bit 0), GMP (bit 1), Pan-STARRS (bit 2), SkyMapper (bit 3), Pathfinder (bit 4), APASS (bit 5), APASS DR9 (bit 6), and Tycho-2/BSC (bit 7). For example, the code rcontrib = 06 implies that r contributions with an uncertainty less than 0.2 mag came from GMP and Pan-STARRS.

Gaia DR2 does not include all bright stars; Polaris is missing, for example, as well as an $m \sim 12$ star at R.A. 93.7759, decl. + 15.0451. Stars found in the contributing catalogs that are no closer than 3."6 (Pan-STARRS, Sky-Mapper, and Tycho/BSC) or 10."8 (Pathfinder and APASS) to the nearest Gaia star are added to Refcat2 with zero values for all Gaia-specific quantities. A real star can therefore be inhibited from inclusion because Gaia DR2 lists a faint star nearby, except for Tycho/BSC, for which the Gaia match must be within 2 mag of the non-Gaia candidate. A non-Gaia star may be identified in Refcat2 because it will always have dGaia = 0.

Examining a statistical sample of these non-Gaia objects, we see a change in behavior around $g \sim 12$, where the Tycho-2 catalog ends. About 78% of the non-Gaia inclusions in Refcat2 brighter than $g \sim 12$ really are stars; about 18% are double-star blends, mostly from APASS DR9; and the remainder are bright galaxies, completely false triggers (often in the outskirts of a bright star), or coordinates that did not link with the Gaia stars (possibly a transient). The double-star blends almost always have the individual stars also present in Refcat2 as Gaiamatching entries. About 0.25% of the stars with m < 10 in Refcat2 do not appear in Gaia DR2; 8946 stars in Refcat2 brighter than m < 12.5 are missing from Gaia DR2.

Table 4Refcat2 Table Columns

Field	Name	Entry	Units	Meaning	Description
1	R.A.	28000001672	[10ndeg]	280°00001672	R.A. from Gaia DR2, J2000, epoch 2015.5
2	decl.	-1967818581	[10ndeg]	$-19^{\circ}_{\cdot}67818581$	Decl. from Gaia DR2, J2000, epoch 2015.5
3	plx	98	[10 uas]	0.98 mas	Parallax from Gaia DR2
4	dplx	10	[10 uas]	0.10 mas	Parallax uncertainty
5	pmra	114	$[10 uas yr^{-1}]$	1.14 mas yr^{-1}	Proper motion in R.A. from Gaia DR2
6	dpmra	16	$[10 uas yr^{-1}]$	0.16 mas yr^{-1}	Proper-motion uncertainty in R.A.
7	pmdec	-1460	[10 uas yr ⁻¹]	$-14.60 \text{ mas yr}^{-1}$	Proper motion in decl. from Gaia DR2
8	dpmdec	15	$[10 \text{ uas yr}^{-1}]$	0.15 mas yr^{-1}	Proper-motion uncertainty in decl.
9	Gaia	15884	[mmag]	15.884	Gaia DR2 G magnitude
10	dGaia	1	[mmag]	0.001	Gaia DR2 G-magnitude uncertainty
11	BP	16472	[mmag]	16.472	Gaia G_{BP} magnitude
12	dBP	10	[mmag]	0.010	Gaia G_{BP} -magnitude uncertainty
13	RP	15137	[mmag]	15.137	Gaia G_{RP} magnitude
14	dRP	1	[mmag]	0.001	Gaia G_{RP} -magnitude uncertainty
15	Teff	4729	[K]	4729 K	Gaia stellar effective temperature
16	AGaia	895	[mmag]	0.895	Gaia estimate of G-band extinction for this star
17	dupvar	2		2	Gaia flags coded as CONSTANT (0), VARIABLE (1), or NOT_AVAILABLE (2) + 4*DUPLICATE
18	Ag	1234	[mmag]	1.234	Schlegel, Finkbeiner, & Davis estimate of total column g-band extinction
19	rpl	50	[0‼1]	5."0	Radius where cumulative G flux exceeds $0.1 \times$ this star
20	rl	50	[0‼1]	5."0	Radius where cumulative G flux exceeds $1 \times$ this star
21	r10	155	[0‼1]	15."5	Radius where cumulative G flux exceeds $10 \times$ this star
22	g	16657	[mmag]	16.657	Pan-STARRS g_{P1} magnitude
23	dg	10	[mmag]	0.010	Pan-STARRS g_{P1} -magnitude uncertainty
24	gchi	23	[0.01]	0.23	χ^2 /dof for contributors to g
25	gcontrib	1f	[%02x]	00011111	Bitmap of contributing catalogs to g
26	r	15915	[mmag]	15.915	Pan-STARRS r_{P1} magnitude
27	dr	12	[mmag]	0.012	Pan-STARRS r_{P1} -magnitude uncertainty
28	rchi	41	[0.01]	0.41	χ^2 /dof for contributors to r
29	rcontrib	3f	[%02x]	00111111	Bitmap of contributing catalogs to r
30	i	15578	[mmag]	15.578	Pan-STARRS i_{P1} magnitude
31	di	10	[mmag]	0.010	Pan-STARRS <i>i</i> _{P1} -magnitude uncertainty
32	ichi	49	[0.01]	0.49	χ^2 /dof for contributors to <i>i</i>
33	icontrib	Of	[%02x]	00001111	Bitmap of contributing catalogs to i
34	Z	15346	[mmag]	15.346	Pan-STARRS z_{P1} magnitude
35	dz	12	[mmag]	0.012	Pan-STARRS z_{P1} -magnitude uncertainty
36	zchi	0	[0.01]	0.00	χ^2 /dof for contributors to z
37	zcontrib	06	[%02x]	00000110	Bitmap of contributing catalogs to z
38	nstat	0		0	Count of griz deweighted outliers
39	J	14105	[mmag]	14.105	2MASS J magnitude
40	dJ	36	[mmag]	0.036	2MASS <i>J</i> -magnitude uncertainty
41	Н	14105	[mmag]	14.105	2MASS H magnitude
42	dH	53	[mmag]	0.053	2MASS <i>H</i> -magnitude uncertainty
43	K	13667	[mmag]	13.667	2MASS K magnitude
44	dK	44	[mmag]	0.044	2MASS K-magnitude uncertainty

Fainter than $g \sim 12$, the fractions of stars, galaxies, and false triggers near bright stars change discontinuously. About 31% appear to be real stars, 27% appear to be diffraction artifacts from bright stars, 23% are galaxies, and 19% are probably transients, eruptive stars, or errors. About 0.1% of Refcat2 is not found in *Gaia* DR2 for these fainter sources.

A Refcat2 user should therefore use these non-Gaia objects according to application. If a relatively complete sample of m > 12 stars is needed for an astrometric or photometric solution, there is no reason to include any non-Gaia stars, and selecting on dGaia > 0 may be advisable. If the user wants to know whether there is a star with m < 3 within a degree, then using the non-Gaia entries is mandatory. If a detection appears in a difference image, it is a good idea to check the non-Gaia entries as well for the identity of the object, but there is a

chance ($\sim 0.1\%$) that a non-*Gaia* entry may not really be a bona fide star at the specified location.

A small fraction of Refcat2 entries are galaxies, inherited from *Gaia* DR2 and Pan-STARRS. Comparing a subset of Refcat2 objects with SDSS DR12 star/galaxy identifications and using Pan-STARRS (Kron-PSF) magnitudes, we find a galaxy contamination rate that depends on magnitude and galactic latitude. For $b^{\rm II} > 60^{\circ}$ and m < 17, the contamination is about 1.5%, rising to ~10% for m < 19. For $b^{\rm II} < 30^{\circ}$, the contamination is about 2% for m < 19. Overall, for m < 16, the galaxy fraction is less than 1%. Virtually all galaxies can be rejected by selecting objects for which *Gaia* provides a nonzero proper-motion uncertainty, dpmra and dpmdec, at the cost of about 0.7% of all real stars.

Parenthetically, users interested in transforming Refcat2 to SDSS should not use the relations of Tonry et al. (2012), which are appropriate for SDSS DR7. The SDSS photometry evolves with each release, so although the color terms of Tonry et al. (2012) may still be correct, the offsets are not.

The catalog is distributed in five magnitude chunks without overlap: gri < 16 (00_m_16.tbz, 105M stars, 5.9GB), $16 \leq gri < 17$ (16_m_17.tbz, 107M stars, 5.6GB), $17 \leq gri < 18$ (17_m_18.tbz, 204M stars, 9.8GB), $18 \leq gri < 19$ (18_m_19.tbz, 369M stars, 17GB), and $19 \leq gri$ (19_m_20.tbz, 206M stars, 8.7GB). Any star that has ($16 \leq m < 17$) where *m* is the brightest of *g*, *r*, and *i* lies in the second chunk, etc. There are red stars with $g \ge 16$ that appear in the first chunk because i < 16, but a user who wants a complete sample with g < 17, for example, needs to only examine the first two chunks. Stars appear in the fifth chunk with $gri \ge 19$ because our Pan-STARRS selection also includes red stars with z < 19, but that chunk is very incomplete.

The entire compressed catalog amounts to about 50 bytes per star (about 1 byte per field), depending on how many fields are populated. The expectation is that users will select a subset of stars and fields chosen according to their application, which can greatly reduce the size and increase the access speed of a database table. The data are partitioned into square-degree files so that a survey such as ATLAS, whose field of view will typically touch ~40 such files, can dispense with a database and simply use the file system to rapidly return all the stars in a given exposure.

Appendix B Pan-STARRS Catalog Query

This is the query used to select objects from Pan-STARRS DR1 at the STScI MAST archive,¹² as described in Section 2.3:

select raMean, decMean, gMeanPSFMag, gMean-PSFMagErr, rMeanPSFMag, rMeanPSFMagErr, iMeanPSFMag, iMeanPSFMagErr, zMeanPSFMag, zMeanPSFMagErr, yMeanPSFMag, yMeanPSFMagErr from ObjectThin join MeanObject on object-Thin.uniquePspsOBid = meanObject.uniquePspsOBid join stackObjectThin on objectThin. objID = stackObjectThin.objID where bestdetection = 1 and primarydetection = 1 and ((gKronMag < 19 and gKronMag > 0) or (rKron-Mag < 19 and rKronMag > 0) or (iKronMag < 19 iKronMag > 0) or (zKronMag < 19and and zKronMag > 0))

This query does not attempt to do any star-galaxy separation. The Pan-STARRS catalog flag QF_OBJ_EXT (which implies a likely extended object) indicates that about

12% of sources could potentially be galaxies. As noted above, the fraction of galaxies, in fact, depends strongly on magnitude and galactic latitude.

ORCID iDs

- J. L. Tonry https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2858-9657
- H. Flewelling https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1050-4056
- A. N. Heinze () https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3313-4921
- C. A. Onken b https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0017-349X
- S. J. Smartt b https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8229-1731
- B. Stalder () https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0973-4900

References

- Alonso-Garcia, J., Mateo, M., Sen, B., et al. 2012, AJ, 143, 70
- Bohlin, Dickinson, & Calzetti 2001, AJ, 122, 2118
- Bohlin, R. C. 2007, in ASP Conf. Ser. 364, The Future of Photometric, Spectrophotometric and Polarimetric Standardization, ed. C. Sterken (San Francisco, CA: ASP), 315
- Bohlin, R. C. 2014, AJ, 147, 127
- Chambers, K. C., Magnier, E. A., Metcalfe, N., et al. 2016, arXiv:1612.05560
- Finkbeiner, D. P., Schlafly, E. F., Schlegel, D. J., et al. 2016, ApJ, 822, 66
- Flewelling, H. A., Magnier, E. A., Chambers, K. C., et al. 2016, arXiv:1612. 05243
- Fukugita, M., Ichikawa, T., Gunn, J. E., et al. 1996, AJ, 111, 1748
- Fukugita, M., Yasuda, N., Doi, M., Gunn, J. E., & York, D. G. 2011, AJ, 141, 47
- Gaia Collaboration, Brown, A. G. A., Vallenari, A., et al. 2016, A&A, 595, A2
- Gaia Collaboration, Brown, A. G. A., Vallenari, A., et al. 2018, A&A, 616, A1
- Heinze, A. N., Tonry, J. L., Denneau, L., et al. 2018, arXiv:1804.02132
- Henden, A. A., Templeton, M., Terrell, D., et al. 2016, yCat, 2336
- Hoffleit, D. 1964, Catalogue of Bright Stars (3rd ed.; New Haven, CT: Yale Univ. Observatory)
- Høg, E., Fabricius, C., Makarov, V. V., et al. 2000, A&A, 357, 367
- Lang, D., Hogg, D. W., Mierle, K., Blanton, M., & Roweis, S. 2010, AJ, 139, 1782
 - Lowry, S. C., Fitzsimmons, A., Pravec, P., et al. 2007, Sci, 316, 272
 - Magnier, E. A., Chambers, K. C., Flewelling, H. A., et al. 2016a, arXiv:1612. 05240
 - Magnier, E. A., Schlafly, E. F., Finkbeiner, D. P., et al. 2016c, arXiv:1612. 05242
 - Magnier, E. A., Sweeney, W. E., Chambers, K. C., et al. 2016b, arXiv:1612. 05244
 - Padmanabhan, N., Schlegel, D. J., Finkbeiner, D. P., et al. 2008, ApJ, 674, 1217
 - Pickles, A., & Depagne, É. 2010, PASP, 122, 1437
 - Schechter, P. L., Mateo, M., & Saha, A. 1993, PASP, 105, 1342
 - Schlafly, E. F., & Finkbeiner, D. P. 2011, ApJ, 737, 103
 - Schlafly, E. F., Finkbeiner, D. P., Jurić, M., et al. 2012, ApJ, 756, 158
 - Schlegel, D. J., Finkbeiner, D. P., & Davis, M. 1998, ApJ, 500, 525
 - Skrutskie, M. F., Cutri, R. M., Stiening, R., et al. 2006, AJ, 131, 1163
 - Tonry, J. L., Denneau, L., Heinze, A. N., et al. 2018, PASP, 130, 064505
 - Tonry, J. L., Stubbs, C. W., Lykke, K. R., et al. 2012, ApJ, 750, 99
 - van Leeuwen, F. 2007, A&A, 474, 653
 - Vereš, P., Jedicke, R., Fitzsimmons, A., et al. 2015, Icar, 261, 34
 - Waters, C. Z., Magnier, E. A., Price, P. A., et al. 2016, arXiv:1612.05245
 - Wolf, C., Onken, C. A., Luvaul, L. C., et al. 2018, PASA, 35, 10 Zacharias, N., Finch, C., Girard, T., et al. 2010, AJ, 139, 2184

¹² http://mastweb.stsci.edu/ps1casjobs/