OPEN ACCESS

Potential Dependent Mn Oxidation and Its Role in Passivation of $Ni_{38}Fe_{20}Cr_{22}Mn_{10}Co_{10}$ Multi-Principal Element Alloy Using Multi-Element Resolved Atomic Emission Spectroelectrochemistry

To cite this article: Junsoo Han et al 2021 J. Electrochem. Soc. 168 051508

Manuscript version: Accepted Manuscript

Accepted Manuscript is "the version of the article accepted for publication including all changes made as a result of the peer review process, and which may also include the addition to the article by IOP Publishing of a header, an article ID, a cover sheet and/or an 'Accepted Manuscript' watermark, but excluding any other editing, typesetting or other changes made by IOP Publishing and/or its licensors"

This Accepted Manuscript is© .



This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License (CC BY, http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse of the work in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

View the article online for updates and enhancements.

Potential Dependent Mn Oxidation and Its Role in Passivation of Ni38Fe20Cr22Mn10Co10 Multi-Principal Element Alloy Using Multi-Element Resolved Atomic Emission Spectroelectrochemistry

Journal:	Journal of The Electrochemical Society
Manuscript ID	JES-103658.R1
Manuscript Type:	Research Paper
Date Submitted by the Author:	21-Apr-2021
Complete List of Authors:	HAN, Junsoo; University of Virginia, Center for Electrochemical Science and Engineering Li, Xuejie; Chimie-ParisTech, PSL Univerisity, IRCP-CNRS Gerard, Angela; University of Virginia, Materials Science and Engineering Lu, Pin; QuesTek Innovations LLC Saal, James; QuesTek Innovations LLC Frankel, Gerald; The Ohio State University, Fontana Corrosion Center; Ogle, Kevin; Chimie-Paristech, PSL, IRCP-CNRS Scully, John; University of Virginia, Materials Science and Engineering
Keywords:	Multi-principal element alloy, Passivation, AESEC, XPS, EIS

SCHOLARONE[™] Manuscripts

2 3	1	Potential Dependent Mn Oxidation and Its Role in Passivation of Ni ₃₈ Fe ₂₀ Cr ₂₂ Mn ₁₀ Co ₁₀
4 5 6	2	Multi-Principal Element Alloy Using Multi-Element Resolved Atomic Emission
7 8	3	Spectroelectrochemistry
9 10		
11	4	
12 13	5	Junsoo Han, ^{1,z} Xuejie Li, ² Angela Y. Gerard, ¹ Pin Lu, ³ James E. Saal, ⁴ Gerald S. Frankel, ^{5,*}
14 15 16	6	Kevin Ogle, ² and John R. Scully ^{1,*}
10 17 18	7	¹ Department of Materials Science and Engineering, University of Virginia, Charlottesville,
19 20	8	Virginia 22904, USA
21 22 23	9	² Chimie ParisTech, PSL Research University, CNRS, Institut de Recherche Chimie Paris (IRCP),
24 25	10	F-75005 Paris, France
26 27	11	² QuesTek Innovations LLC, Evanston, Illinois 60201, USA
28 29	12	⁴ Citrine Informatics, Redwood City, California 94063, USA
30 31 32	13	⁵ Fontana Corrosion Center, The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio 43210, USA
33	14	*Electrochemical Society Fellow.
34 35	15	^z E-mail: junsoo.han@chimieparistech.psl.eu
36	16	
37 38 39	17	Abstract
40 41	18	The identity of passivating oxides on multi-principal element alloys is of great interest as their
42 43	19	optimization offers the potential for exceptional corrosion resistance in aqueous solutions over a
44 45 46	20	broad range of potential and pH. This study focuses on a non-equiatomic $Ni_{38}Fe_{20}Cr_{22}Mn_{10}Co_{10}$
47 48	21	solid solution alloy and tracks the fate of each alloying element during linear sweep voltammetry,
49 50	22	low and intermediate potential holds in the passive potential domain as well as during open circuit
51 52 53	23	relaxation after anodic polarization in slightly acidified Cl ⁻ solution. Ni dissolves at all potentials,
54 55 56	24	Fe and Co are incorporated into oxides or hydroxides in low concentrations whilst Cr and Mn are
57 58		1

enriched at passive potentials. At low passivating potentials, Mn(II) dissolves and is incorporated
in minor amounts in oxides containing large concentrations of Cr(III). Considerable enrichment in
Mn(II)-species occurs relative to Cr(III) in the oxide at 0.1 V vs. SCE. Electrochemical impedance
spectroscopy suggests the presence of layered oxides with marginal passivation at high Mn(II)
levels. The formation of these oxides depends on a combination of thermodynamic and kinetic
factors as well as the sequence of passivation.

This paper is part of the JES Focus Issue on Characterization of Corrosion Processes in Honor of
Philippe Marcus.

34 Introduction

Multi-principal element alloys (MPEAs) have exhibited a range of outstanding mechanical properties as well as promising catalytic attributes [1, 2]. In some cases, emerging information on high corrosion resistance of the MPEAs [3, 4] suggests the possibility of utilization in harsh environments. For instance, these materials may be considered for nuclear waste container applications [5] due to potentially high corrosion resistance, high strength, and thermal/radiation-damage resistance [6, 7]. In contrast to conventional corrosion resistant alloys [8, 9], MPEAs enjoy a large compositional design space because of the possible formation of a single solid solution or two-phase structure over a range of alloying element compositions [10]. Single phase MPEAs are attributed to the high mixing entropy, which can enhance solid solution phase stability and chemical homogeneity [4, 11]. Other factors such as grain orientation, short range order vs. random solid solution, interfacial properties, and interphase heterogeneity undoubtably affect corrosion but have yet to be explored extensively.

Given the large concentrations of all principal elements in equiatomic alloys (possiblygreater than 20 at.% for 5 elements or less), there is high potential for passive film formation due

Page 3 of 34

to either dominant single element containing near-stoichiometric or complex oxides at a variety of potentials, pH levels and in various electrolytes as suggested by potential-pH (E-pH) diagrams [8]. However, material design for corrosion resistance remains unclear as both scientific details and figures of merit and are uncertain [10]. Identifying the contribution of the individual alloying elements to the passivation and dissolution resistance of the MPEAs is critical to understanding the corrosion behavior of the MPEAs. In this study, we explore one specific alloy within the Fe-Ni-Co-Cr-Mn MPEA system which is a well reported system with significant metallurgy, high temperature oxidation and aqueous corrosion data existing in the literature [12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17]. For Cr containing MPEAs, Cr(III) enrichment in the oxide film has been observed [18, 19]. Cr is often considered to be the main element contributing to the stable passive film formation in numerous transition metal alloys, as in the case of conventional Ni-Cr alloys and stainless steel [20, 21, 22]. Cr₂O₃ has one of the lowest free energies of formation of the single element oxides in binary as well as Cr-Mn-Ni-Co-Fe MPEAs [23]. Moreover, thermodynamic calculations suggest Cr-based corundum and spinel oxides hosting multi-element solid solutions are the most stable oxide phases over broad E-pH regions [8, 24]. Corundum (Fe, Cr)₂O₃, spinel (Fe, Ni, Cr, Mn, Co)₃O₄, cubic (Co, Fe)₂O₃, and (Ni, Mn)₂(Ni, Mn, O)₃ oxide solid solutions with space group Ia3 (prototype cubic Mn₂O₃), are stable over large portions of the E-pH diagram for a particular Ni₃₈Fe₂₀Cr₂₂Mn₁₀Co₁₀ MPEA [24]. However, Cr containing MPEAs have sometimes been found to possess inferior corrosion resistance compared to stainless steels [25]. Reports of both high and low corrosion resistance and high or low Cr-based oxide concentrations in oxides in similar Ni-Fe-Cr-Mn-Co MPEAs render any blanket generalizations regarding corrosion protection afforded by Cr alloying as untenable [25, 26, 27, 28, 29].

The role of Mn in the alloys is relatively less well understood. Mn has been added to the stainless steel as it is a well-known austenite stabilizing element [30], and also attractive for designing face-centered cubic (FCC) MPEAs. However, formation of MnS inclusions causes significant degradation in corrosion resistance of the stainless steel [31, 32, 33]. The effect of Mn on high temperature oxidation of both Fe-Mn-Cr and MPEAs is relatively well established [27, 34, 35]. It is noteworthy that multi-phase oxides of Cr and Mn in Fe alloys are relatively less protective than Cr oxides without Mn during high temperature oxidation, attributed to fast Mn cation transport across Mn oxides and surface enrichment with attendant Mn depletion in the underlying alloy [27, 30, 31]. Voids, spalling, and destabilization of the FCC alloy are also observed during high temperature ($T > 600^{\circ}C$) oxidation of Ni-Fe-Cr-Mn-Co MPEAs [14, 36, 37, 38].

Adding Mn to Fe-Ni-Co-Cr single phase alloys is uniformly detrimental across a variety of alloys, electrolytes and passivating procedures aside from the benefit of stabilizing the FCC solid solution. For instance, adding Mn to an equimolar Cr-Fe-Co-Ni MPEA resulted in more severe pitting corrosion than without Mn in 0.1 M NaCl [26] and poor passivation in 0.1 M H₂SO₄ solution [25, 27]. Yang et al. compared Fe-Ni-Co-Cr alloys with and without Mn and observed that the presence of Mn resulted in degraded film stability and decreased electrochemical impedance at low frequency in a 0.1 M H₂SO₄ solution. Rodriguez et al. investigated a series of $(\text{FeNiCo})_{1-x-y}(\text{Cr})_{x}(\text{Mn})_{y}$ alloys ranging from x + y = 0.23 to 0.40 (in molar fraction), in a pH = 4, 3.5 wt.% NaCl solution at $T = 40^{\circ}C$ [29].¹ They found that alloying with a Mn content greater

¹ In these alloys the Fe, Co, and Ni contents change with modifications in Cr + Mn, but the combination of FeCoNi was always maintained at or above 60 at.% although the individual concentrations of Fe, Co, and Ni could vary and were not necessarily 1:1:1. Moreover, while the exact at.% varies in all cases, the other consideration is that very little Fe, Co and Ni were found in the passive film.

than 13–15 at.% resulted in decreased corrosion performance with higher corrosion current density, and lower pitting potential. The least corrosion resistant alloy contained no Cr while incorporating 25 at.% Mn. In spite of all the composition variations a critical threshold Cr at.% could not be estimated, however, it was found that high Mn was detrimental to the passivity. That said, a Ni₃₈Fe₂₀Cr₂₂Mn₁₀Co₁₀ MPEA exhibited similar passivation behavior to Ni-20 at.% Cr [28] in 0.1 M NaCl at pH = 4. It is noteworthy that Mn content was, in this case, only 10 at.%.

Mn undergoes anodic oxidation readily as Mn²⁺ in an acidic solution at potentials below the reversible potentials for both water and oxygen reduction, according to thermodynamic predictions [24, 39]. This prediction has been experimentally demonstrated: Mn was depleted in the passive film of the 304 austenitic stainless steel in $2.0 \text{ M H}_2\text{SO}_4$ monitored by atomic emission spectroelectrochemistry (AESEC) [40]. Mn-based oxides were characterized in the passive film by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) after 6 h immersion in 10 wt.% H₂SO₄ in the case of Fe-xMn (x = 0.7, 2.0, and 5.0 wt.%) alloys [30]. It was concluded that the addition of 5.0 wt.% Mn to the steel resulted in the formation of a protective oxide, which increased the corrosion resistance characterized by electrochemical measurements [30]. For Fe-18Cr-xMn alloys (x = 0, 6, and 12 wt.%) in a 0.1 M NaCl solution at pH = 2, the passive film formed for the higher Mn content alloy resulted in a lower E_{pit} [31], indicative of the less protective oxide. It was concluded that Mn additions may suppress the passivation process by reducing the activity of adsorbed Cr species. The correlation between high or low Mn content in oxides on corrosion behavior may depend on alloy, environment, passivation steps, and most importantly, low vs. high potentials. At low passivating potentials, Cr-rich oxides were observed with some Mn incorporation [31]. However, at higher potentials, more Mn oxides were seen coincident with the thermodynamic stability of Mn₃O₄, Mn₂O₃, or Mn-containing spinels over a broader pH range [24, 28]. Concerning

Cr, one study found Cr oxide contents lower than the alloy composition, and in another study much higher Cr oxide content even at high anodic potentials [25, 41].

A more comprehensive understanding of the role of Mn and Cr is required to understand its role in the corrosion resistance of FCC MPEAs that often incorporate these elements. The first step in this process is to track the fate of alloyed Mn in the altered layer as well as the inner and outer layers of the passive films along with Cr.

our studies with a limited investigation into a single-phase We began Ni₃₈Fe₂₀Cr₂₂Mn₁₀Co₁₀ MPEA alloy for simplicity in the Ni-Fe-Cr-Mn-Co family as previously reported [28]. Tracking methods in both oxide and solution are required with elemental specificity. XPS considering core level analysis and outer shell studies has been shown to be necessary [41]. AESEC is used for mechanistic investigation of MPEAs as it directly measures the elemental dissolution rate of each alloying elements coupled with all AC and DC electrochemical measurements. This technique is useful, in particular, for the selective dissolution in multi-phase alloys [42] and passivation of Ni-based alloys [22, 43, 44, 45, 46]. It was recently deployed to examine a nearly equiatomic Al-Ti-V-Cr MPEA in Cl⁻-containing media [47, 48], equiatomic Co-Cr-Fe-Mn-Ni in 0.1 M H₂SO₄ [25], and non-equiatomic Ni-Cr-Fe-Ru-Mo-W in 2.0 M H₂SO₄ [49]. In combination with ex-situ XPS surface characterization of the outer layers of oxidized surfaces, AESEC provides a powerful approach to understand the fate of each alloying element.

In this work, the fate of the alloying elements, whether dissolved in the solution, or remaining at the surface (in the oxide or metal), was investigated for a Ni₃₈Fe₂₀Cr₂₂Mn₁₀Co₁₀ MPEA in 0.1 M NaCl, at pH = 4.0. It is generally observed that Cr is enriched in the passive film in the case of Ni-Cr alloys as well as stainless steels [20, 21, 22]. Cr enrichment during the early stages of passivation was previously monitored by mass-balance calculation via AESEC,

confirmed by *ex-situ* XPS and 3D-atom probe tomography (3D-APT) for a $Ni_{38}Fe_{20}Cr_{22}Mn_{10}Co_{10}$ MPEA in 0.1 M NaCl at pH = 4.0 [28]. However, for the Fe-Ni-Co-Cr-Mn MPEAs, either Cr depletion or enrichment has been reported in the passive films under different conditions [19, 29]. In this work, we demonstrate that Mn in a $Ni_{38}Fe_{20}Cr_{22}Mn_{10}Co_{10}$ MPEA showed potential dependent oxide enrichment in 0.1 M NaCl at pH = 4.0. Elements dissolved or accumulated in an oxidized state at the surface for a given potential were observed by *in-situ* AESEC and *ex-situ* XPS experiments. These were correlated with AC and DC electrochemistry and discussed in the context of thermodynamic and kinetic oxide factors controlling oxide composition. Potential dependent Mn enrichment in oxides was found to reduce the electrochemical impedance of the oxides formed pere. and lower corrosion resistance.

Experimental

Materials

The $N_{138}Fe_{20}Cr_{22}Mn_{10}Co_{10}$ MPEA was produced using a computational design approach and characterized in our previous work [9]. A $Ni_{78}Cr_{22}$ binary alloy was also investigated for comparison. All alloys were arc-melted, then solution heat treated at $T = 1100^{\circ}C$ for 96 h followed by water quenching. The alloy exhibited a compositionally homogeneous single-phase FCC characterized by energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) mapping and X-ray diffractometry (XRD). The sample surface was initially degreased with isopropanol in an ultrasonic bath for 15 min, rinsed with deionized (DI) water (MilliporeTM, 18.2 MΩ cm), and then dried with flowing N₂. The sample surface was ground with SiC paper up to P4000 under DI water then dried by flowing N₂ again. The 0.1 M NaCl electrolyte was prepared from analytical grade materials in DI water. The final electrolyte pH was adjusted to 4.0 by adding small amounts of 1.0 M HCl solution. The

electrolyte pH was chosen to represent a slightly acidic corrosive environment based on the thermodynamic simulation [24]. At this pH, thermodynamically stable soluble species of the alloying elements as well as non-soluble species will be present in a wide potential range. This pH is aggressive to challenge and interrogate passivity under conditions that were not benign, for comparison for stainless steel. The electrolyte was deaerated by bubbling Ar gas for 30 min prior to and during the experiments. All the experiments presented in this work showed reproducible results from at least three repeated experiments.

AESEC technique

The elemental dissolution rate of each alloy component of the MPEA during the electrochemical test was monitored *in-situ*, by the AESEC technique. The principles and detailed calculations used in this technique are available elsewhere [45, 50]. The sample was placed vertically in a specially designed electrochemical flow cell [51] where the released cations were transferred within the electrolyte to an Ultima 2C Horiba Jobin-Yvon inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectrometer (ICP-AES). A reference electrode (saturated calomel electrode, SCE) and a counter electrode (Pt foil) were positioned in a reservoir separated from the working electrode by a porous membrane enabling ionic current to pass between the two compartments but which prevented bulk mixing [45, 50].

A Gamry Reference 600TM was used for the electrochemical tests. The ICP-AES data acquisition system was specially adapted to measure the analog current and potential signals from the potentiostat in the same data file as the dissolved elemental emission intensities to facilitate the direct comparison between spectroscopic and electrochemical data [45].

Data analysis

The detailed data analysis of the AESEC technique is presented elsewhere [45]. The elemental concentration, $C_{M}^{},$ was calculated from the atomic emission intensity, $I_{M,\ \lambda}^{},$ at a characteristic wavelength of the element, λ , measured by the ICP spectrometer as: $C_{M} = (I_{M\lambda} - I_{M\lambda}^{\circ}) / \kappa_{\lambda}$ [1] where $I_{M, \lambda}^{\circ}$ is the background signal and κ_{λ} is the sensitivity factor for a given element, obtained from a standard ICP calibration method. The elemental dissolution rate per unit area, $v_{\rm M}$, can be calculated from C_M with the flow rate (f) of the electrolyte controlled by a peristaltic pump and the exposed surface area A as: $v_{\rm M} = f C_{\rm M} / A$ [2] It is often convenient to present the elemental dissolution rate as an equivalent elemental current density (j_M) using Faraday's law: $j_{M} = z_{M} F v_{M} / M_{M}$ [3] where M_M is the atomic weight of M, F is the Faraday constant, and z_M is the valence of the dissolving ions for oxidation such as $M \rightarrow M^{z^+} + z_M e^-$. The oxidation states of the dissolved M in 0.1 M NaCl, pH = 4 environment are assumed from thermodynamic prediction as Ni(II), Fe(II), Cr(III), Mn(II), and Co(II) [24]. It is often convenient to present the normalized elemental current densities (j_M) based on the bulk composition relative to j_{Ni} as: $j_{M}' = j_{M} (z_{Ni} X_{Ni} / z_{M} X_{M})$ [4] where X_M is the mass fraction of the element in the bulk alloy. Congruent dissolution is indicated when $j_{M}' = j_{Ni}$; otherwise non-congruent dissolution is suggested. When $j_{M}' < j_{Ni}$, M is being

retained as a corrosion product or does not completely oxidize while when $j_M' > j_{Ni}$ preferential dissolution of M is indicated.

The total quantity of dissolved element M, $Q_M(t)$, may be calculated as:

$$Q_{M}(t) = \int_{0}^{t} v_{M}(t) dt$$
[5]

208 The quantity of the excess element M remained at the surface (Θ_M) relative to Ni was calculated 209 by a mass-balance as:

$$\Theta_{M}(t) = [(X_{M} / X_{Ni}) Q_{Ni}(t)] - Q_{M}(t)$$
[6]

It is worth mentioning that the interference between AESEC intensity signals of Fe (259.94 nm) and Mn (257.61 nm) were assessed by an individual experiment using the ICP-AES method in the case of a standard solutions of known concentration. The interference of Fe signal to Mn was found to be negligible (< 0.6 %), therefore, Fe and Mn signals were not corrected in this work.

216 X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS)

The samples were characterized *ex-situ* by XPS using PHI-VersaProbe IIITM X-ray photoelectron spectrometer using an Al Ka X-rays (1468.7 eV) under analysis pressure less than 10^{-8} bar. A survey of XPS was conducted with a pass energy of 224 eV to obtain the maximum number of counts. A pass energy of 26 eV was used for the high-resolution spectra. XPS spectra was analyzed with KOLXPDTM software. Both XPS 2p and 3p core level spectra were obtained to characterize the sample surface after the electrochemical tests. The 3p core level peak analysis has an advantage in this work because the 2p peaks for Ni-Fe-Cr-Mn-Co MPEA have interference with the Auger transitions from some of the other alloying elements [41].

3 225

226 Electrochemical analysis

The electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) was conducted after potentiostatic experiments at a given potential for 4000 s (not coupling with AESEC) in the frequency range from 10⁵ to 10⁻² Hz. Potentiostatic EIS was carried out at a given potential after a potentiostatic hold for 4000 s at that potential, following to a cathodic reduction step at -1.3 V vs. SCE for 600 s. The data were recorded with 8 points per decade using a 10 mV_{rms} sine wave perturbation. The electrolyte resistance (R_{a}) was corrected from the real part of impedance (R_{r}) before the Bode plot construction for graphical analysis of constant-phase element (CPE) parameters [52] as well as circuit model fitting.

The linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) was performed with following procedure; 1) a constant potential at $E_{ap} = -1.3$ V vs. SCE was applied for 600 s to minimize the effect of air-formed oxide; 2) the potential was swept from -1.3 V to 0.8 V vs. SCE with 0.5 mV s⁻¹ scan rate; and 3) spontaneous dissolution rate during open circuit exposure as well as open circuit potential were recorded.

Results

0, *Elemental dissolution rates during upward potential sweep*

Fig. 1 reports the elementally resolved polarization data enabled by coupling AESEC with conventional DC linear sweep voltammetry (LSV), denoted as AESEC-LSV. The results are presented for the $Ni_{38}Fe_{20}Cr_{22}Mn_{10}Co_{10}$ MPEA in deaerated 0.1 M NaCl at pH = 4.0. The normalized elemental dissolution rates (j_M) are presented as equivalent dissolution current densities to facilitate comparison (Eqs. 3 and 4) with the net anodic or cathodic electrical current density, j_e, from the potentiostat. Fig. 2 shows a close-up in the vicinity of the zero-current potential $(E_{i=0})$ in the LSV.

Prior to the AESEC-LSV, a constant potential of $E_{ap} = -1.3$ V vs. SCE was applied for 600 s to minimize the pre-existing air-formed oxide. All five elemental dissolution rates showed two distinctive elemental dissolution rate peaks in the cathodic reduction step, indicating that the dissolution was associated with the electrochemical process. This was distinct from the perturbations of the j_e signal which may be related to the hydrogen evolution reaction as shown for other Ni-based alloys and an MPEA in a similar potential range [22, 44].

The AESEC-LSV results in the potential range from -0.7 V to 0.3 V vs. SCE are magnified in Fig. 2 including active and passive potential domains. The Ni dissolution equivalent current density, j_{Ni}, is shown as a dashed curve to indicate what the congruent dissolution rate of each element would be assuming complete Ni dissolution as described in the experimental section. The onset of anodically polarized elemental dissolution occurred slightly below $E_{i=0}$, near -0.46 V vs. SCE. The sum of $j_M (j_{\Sigma} = j_{Ni} + j_{Fe} + j_{Cr} + j_{Mn} + j_{Co})$ is also presented in the top panel of Fig. 2. j_e is the equivalent faradaic electrical current density obtained by potentiostat, simultaneously with the AESEC elemental dissolution rates (j_M). The formation of oxides is suggested by $j_{\Sigma} < j_e$ while $j_{\Sigma} >$ j_e may be due to either a significant cathodic current or a non-electrochemical dissolution mechanism. For $E > E_{i=0}$, two anodic peaks were observed near E = -0.25 V (a_1) and E = -0.15 V vs. SCE (a₂). The total elemental dissolution was nearly faradaic indicated by $j_{\Sigma} \approx j_{e}$ at higher potentials near a_2 . At potentials above a_2 , the formation of a passive film was indicated by $j_{\Sigma} < j_e$ in the passive potential domain. Selective Ni, Fe and Co dissolution is suggested in the passive potential domain where j_{M} ' = j_{Ni} (M = Ni, Fe, and Co), while non-congruent Mn and Cr dissolution is indicated by $j_M' < j_{Ni}$ (M = Mn and Cr). The latter may be due to the accumulation of oxidized Mn, and Cr species on the surface, probably in a form of oxide or hydroxide. In the potential

domain above the passive range (Figs. 1 and 2), Mn and Cr continued to exhibit non-congruentdissolution as shown in the passive domain.

After AESEC-LSV, the spontaneous elemental dissolution rates and the corresponding open circuit potential were recorded (Fig. 1). Monitoring elemental dissolution rate in this period can give insight into the elements joining the passive film formed during the polarization experiment and subsequent relaxation [22, 44, 53]. Only Mn showed less than congruent dissolution (j_{Mn} ' < j_{Ni}) in this period suggesting a continual build-up of Mn on the surface during the E_{oc} relaxation.

281 Elemental dissolution and passivation at a constant potential

Two potentials in the passive potential domain were chosen from Fig. 2 (*i.e.*, 0.0 V and 0.1 V vs. SCE). AESEC chronoamperometry (AESEC-CA, Fig. 3) was conducted while the net anodic current was monitored from the potentiostat. Previously, a significant Cr enrichment in the early stage of passivation was observed at a more negative potential ($E_{ap} = -0.25$ V vs. SCE) in the same electrolyte for the Ni₃₈Fe₂₀Cr₂₂Mn₁₀Co₁₀ MPEA [28].

Fig. 3(a) gives the AESEC-CA at $E_{ap} = 0.0 \text{ V}$ vs. SCE with j_M ', j_e and j_{Σ} measured after a cathodic potential hold for 600 s. The complete elemental oxidation was not accounted for by dissolution shown as $j_e > j_{\Sigma}$, which may indicate passive film formation. j_e as well as j_M approach stable values, indicative of a steady state between passive film formation and dissolution. Mn and Cr showed non-congruent dissolution with j_M ' $< j_{Ni}$ (dashed line) for the initial 100 s indicating their retention on the surface. Non-congruent Cr dissolution was also observed (j_{Cr} ' $< j_{Ni}$) throughout the AESEC-CA experiment indicating a continuous Cr-species surface accumulation.

For $E_{ap} = 0.1$ V vs. SCE (Fig. 3(b)), passive film formation is again suggested by $j_{\Sigma} < j_{e}$. In this case, however, passive film dissolution or local breakdown and pitting may be faster than oxide formation as both \boldsymbol{j}_e and \boldsymbol{j}_M ' increased with time. Cr and Mn again showed non-congruent dissolution as both $j_{Cr}{}^{\prime}$ and $j_{Mn}{}^{\prime}$ were lower than j_{Ni} throughout the measurement. This is in agreement with the previous AESEC-LSV curve (Figs. 1 and 2) where non-congruent Cr and Mn dissolution were observed at 0.1 V vs. SCE, indicating Cr- and Mn-based passive film formation. Further insight into the surface enrichment (Θ_M) may be obtained by the mass-balance of AESEC results calculated from Eq. 6, shown in Fig. 4. At $E_{ap} = 0.0$ V vs. SCE, the excess amount of oxidized Cr (Θ_{Cr}) increased with time to approximately 150 ng cm⁻² after 4000 s. At $E_{ap} = 0.1$ V vs. SCE (Fig. 4(b)) the Θ_{Cr} was approximately 500 ng cm⁻², and $\Theta_{Mn} \approx 290$ ng cm⁻² at the end of the experiment. The fraction of the excess alloying element at the surface during the AESEC-CA experiment is estimated from Fig. 4, and shown in Fig. 5. In both cases, Cr enrichment occurred in early stage of passivation (after several hundred seconds) in accordance with previous observation [28]. Mn accumulation was much greater at $E_{ap} = 0.1$ V vs. SCE where the excess Mn at the surface increased as a function of time (Fig. 5(b)). Dissolution and passivation kinetics at each potential compared to the Ni-Cr binary alloy The electrochemical response of the Ni₃₈Fe₂₀Cr₂₂Mn₁₀Co₁₀ MPEA was compared with that of a Ni-Cr binary alloy with the same at.% of Cr to investigate the effect of minor alloying elements such as Mn or Co. The electrical current density as a function of potential (LSV) is shown in Fig. 6(a), and the current density decay during a constant potential hold in the passive domain is given in Fig. 6(b). The dissolution and passivation kinetics during the potential hold experiments were investigated by potentiostatic EIS a s shown in Fig. 7. The j_e in the cathodic potential domain in

the LSV curve (Fig. 6(a)) for the $Ni_{38}Fe_{20}Cr_{22}Mn_{10}Co_{10}$ MPEA was lower than that of $Ni_{78}Cr_{22}$ binary alloy. The $Ni_{78}Cr_{22}$ binary alloy showed an anodic peak at a slightly more positive potential than that of the $Ni_{38}Fe_{20}Cr_{22}Mn_{10}Co_{10}$ MPEA.

Fig. 6(b) gives the j_e decay (in log-scale both j_e and time) as a function of time when a constant potential of either 0.0 V or 0.1 V vs. SCE was applied to the two alloys after 600 s of cathodic potential hold at $E_{ap} = -1.3$ V vs. SCE. The j_e decay for the Ni₃₈Fe₂₀Cr₂₂Mn₁₀Co₁₀ MPEA showed multiple metastable oxide breakdown events indicated by anodic perturbations in j_e for t > 80 s at both applied potentials, whereas the Ni₇₈Cr₂₂ binary alloy showed much fewer and lower perturbations in j_e .

EIS was conducted after a potentiostatic hold for 4000 s at $E_{ap} = 0.0$ V and 0.1 V vs. SCE, after a cathodic potential hold for 600 s at -1.3 V vs. SCE. These experiments provide insights into the dissolution kinetics as well as a possible oxide layer formation verified or corroborated independently by a simplified equivalent electrical circuit model, as shown in Figs. 7 and 8. The Bode plots and fitting results are presented in Fig. 7(a). Layered oxide circuit models were used to simulate the effect of a duplex oxide, as reported for a near equiatomic Ni-Fe-Cr-Mn-Co MPEA following high temperature oxidation [36, 54, 55] and aqueous passivation at room temperature [26, 27, 41]. For a Ni₃₈Fe₂₀Cr₂₂Mn₁₀Co₁₀ MPEA at $E_{ap} = 0.0$ V vs. SCE [27, 41] and Ni₇₈Cr₂₂ binary alloy [43, 56, 57], a two time-constant circuit model with constant-phase elements (CPE) was used; CPE_1/R_1 represents the inner oxide and CPE_2/R_2 represents the outer oxide, shown in Fig. 8(a). For the $Ni_{38}Fe_{20}Cr_{22}Mn_{10}Co_{10}$ MPEA at $E_{ap} = 0.1$ V vs. SCE, a circuit model with a relatively compact porous inner covered by an outer layer [58, 59] was utilized (Fig. 8(b)). The $CPE_{1,1}$ represents the CPE contribution of the inner oxide, $R_{1,1}$ is the inner oxide resistance within

the pore length, CPE_{dl} is the double layer component at the interface in parallel with a faradaic impedance (Z_F), and $CPE_{1,2}/R_{1,2}$ represents a thin outer oxide layer. Both circuits could be reasonably well fitted to the experimental data shown in Fig. 7. For the Ni₃₈Fe₂₀Cr₂₂Mn₁₀Co₁₀ MPEA at $E_{ap} = 0.1$ V vs. SCE, the modulus impedance (Z_{mod}) in the low-frequency domain (f <10⁻¹ Hz, Fig. 7(b)) was three orders of magnitude lower than that observed for $E_{ap} = 0.0$ V vs. SCE. This was likely due to the formation of less protective Mn oxide as previously indicated by a noncongruent Mn dissolution, Figs. 3(b) and 4(b), at this potential. Lower Z_{mod} in the low-frequency domain at $E_{ap} = 0.1$ V vs. SCE was also monitored for the Ni₇₈Cr₂₂ binary alloy (Fig. 7(b)) as compared to that at $E_{ap} = 0.0$ V vs. SCE. For the Ni₇₈Cr₂₂, the difference in Z_{mod} between two applied potentials was only one order of magnitude. The inner oxide resistance $(R_{l, 1})$ of the $Ni_{38}Fe_{20}Cr_{22}Mn_{10}Co_{10}MPEA$ at $E_{ap} = 0.1$ V vs. SCE obtained from the circuit model fitting using Fig. 8(b) was 160 Ω cm² whereas that the inner oxide resistance (R₁) at E_{ap} = 0.0 V vs. SCE was 1.2 x 10⁵ Ω cm² using Fig. 8(a). This may indicate a less protective oxide at E_{ap} = 0.1 V vs. SCE compared to that at $E_{ap} = 0.0$ V vs. SCE for the Ni₃₈Fe₂₀Cr₂₂Mn₁₀Co₁₀MPEA.

9 353

354 Passive film characterization by XPS

The surface of the Ni₃₈Fe₂₀Cr₂₂Mn₁₀Co₁₀ MPEA after each potentiostatic hold experiment was characterized by *ex-situ* XPS, shown in Figs. 9 and 10. Analyzing the 2p XPS data for a Ni-Fe-Cr-Mn-Co based MPEA is difficult due to the overlap of 2p and Auger transitions [41]. To this end, the high-resolution XPS 3p core level spectra were obtained from 35 to 80 eV, shown in Fig. 10. Page 17 of 34

The Cr $2p_{3/2}$ spectra were analyzed because there is no interference between 2p Auger transitions from the other elements and 2p photoelectron signal of Cr. At both applied potentials, the Cr 2p_{3/2} spectra could be fitted by Cr(0) metallic (574.2 eV), Cr(III) hydroxide (577.3 eV), and Cr(III) oxide (576.2 eV) peaks [60]. For Mn, the XPS 2p core level spectrum near 640 eV binding energy is sometimes explored to examine Mn(II) or Mn(III), and Mn-oxide species [61, 62]. However, this binding energy largely overlaps with the Ni Auger signal [41], which makes both quantitative and qualitative analysis of Mn 2p difficult. A shoulder in the peak of the Mn 2p photoelectron spectrum near 655 eV for the $Ni_{38}Fe_{20}Cr_{22}Mn_{10}Co_{10}$ MPEA polarized to 0.1 V vs. SCE was observed, which does not have any interference with Ni Auger transitions. This may indicate the presence of Mn(II) species, possibly a Mn-oxide [63]. This peak was not observed for the Ni₃₈Fe₂₀Cr₂₂Mn₁₀Co₁₀ MPEA at $E_{ap} = 0.0$ V vs. SCE. The suggestion of minimal Mn-based oxide formation at this potential is consistent with the AESEC results shown in Figs. 3 and 4. The XPS 3p core level spectrum of the $Ni_{38}Fe_{20}Cr_{22}Mn_{10}Co_{10}MPEA$ shows distinct peaks indicative of the presence of Mn-oxide species at $E_{ap} = 0.1$ V vs. SCE (Fig. 10(b)). A Mn metallic peak at 47.0 eV and a Mn oxide peak at 48.6 eV, possibly attributed to Mn(II) [64], were similarly characterized by the XPS 3p analysis of a near equiatomic Ni-Fe-Cr-Mn-Co MPEA [41]. At E_{ap} =

0.0 V vs. SCE (Fig. 10(a)), only a Mn metallic peak was detected.

- Discussion

The role of Mn and Cr

In this work, it is posited that Mn has a detrimental effect on the passivity of the $Ni_{38}Fe_{20}Cr_{22}Mn_{10}Co_{10}$ MPEA in a 0.1 M NaCl, at pH = 4 solution. The effect is potential-dependent and degrades the corrosion resistance of Ni₃₈Fe₂₀Cr₂₂Mn₁₀Co₁₀ MPEA compared to a

Ni₇₈Cr₂₂ binary alloy under the same conditions. EIS and DC electrochemistry of Ni₃₈Fe₂₀Cr₂₂Mn₁₀Co₁₀ MPEA indicated that a change in potential from 0.0 V to 0.1 V vs. SCE was more detrimental to the passive film than the same potential applied to a Ni₇₈Cr₂₂ binary alloy. As a consequence, increased instability of the passive film (j_e decay as a function of time punctuated with breakdown events, Fig. 6(b)) and lowered Z_{mod} (and the inner oxide resistance, Fig. 7) are consistent with XPS 3p characterization (Fig. 10), which corroborates with the AESEC massbalance to indicate excess Mn at the surface (Figs. 4 and 5).

The results herein demonstrate that Mn can congruently dissolve or remain on the surface depending on the applied potential in the passive potential domain of the $Ni_{38}Fe_{20}Cr_{22}Mn_{10}Co_{10}$ MPEA in a 0.1 M NaCl at pH = 4 solution. A layered oxide is indicated by EIS analysis using a circuit model fit, similar to previous observation in the case of an equiatomic Ni-Fe-Cr-Mn-Co MPEA in 0.05 M H_2SO_4 [41]. The cation release detected at $E_{ap} = 0.0$ V vs. SCE suggested nearly congruent elemental dissolution rates for Ni, Fe, Co, and Mn but not for Cr, accounting for Cr enrichment in the oxide (Fig. 3(a)). The surface did not reach a stable state at $E_{ap} = 0.1$ V vs. SCE as indicated by both j_e and j_M increasing as a function of time (Fig. 3(b)). At $E_{ap} = 0.1$ V vs. SCE, nearly congruent dissolution of Ni, Fe and Co was observed. In contrast, Cr and Mn dissolution was found to be non-congruent. For an equiatomic Ni-Fe-Cr-Mn-Co MPEA, both the natively formed oxide and electrochemically formed passive film were characterized to have an outer Cr, Fe and Co-based oxide, and an inner Cr- and Mn-based oxide as analyzed by the XPS 3p core level spectra and time of flight-secondary ion mass spectroscopy (ToF-SIMS) [41]. A strong segregation of Cr and Mn in the presence of O on the surface and in oxide phases is predicted for the Cr-Mn-Fe-Co-Ni MPEA by an *ab initio* thermodynamic simulation [23]. The result at $E_{ap} = 0.1$ V vs. SCE may be explained by this thermodynamic prediction assuming the outer Cr-, Fe-, and Co-based

Page 19 of 34

oxide layer dissolved at least partially at this potential whereas the inner Cr- and Mn-based oxides

remained (Fig. 3(b)) due to the strong low segregation free energy of Cr and Mn [23]. It should be noted that the Cr oxide formation and congruent Mn dissolution at $E_{ap} = 0.0 V$ vs. SCE observed in Fig. 3 is in accordance with the thermodynamic predictions for the same MPEA composition and electrolyte used in this work [24]. However, the apparent build-up of Mn at $E_{ap} = 0.1$ V vs. SCE contradicts the thermodynamic calculations which predicted that Mn would be completely oxidized to soluble Mn^{2+} over a broad potential range at pH = 4 [24]. The thermodynamically stable formation of (Fe,Cr)₂O₃ was predicted due to the low mixing Gibbs energy of Cr₂O₃ and Fe₂O₃. In contrast, Mn₂O₃ mixing on the corundum lattice was not favored [24]. Limited Fe₂O₃ formation, if any, and non-congruent Mn dissolution was observed by AESEC at $E_{ap} = 0.1$ V vs. SCE (Fig. 3(b)). Therefore, it can be speculated that Mn-based oxides such as MnO, Mn₂O₃, Mn₃O₄, or a MnCr₂O₄ spinel are more likely possibilities in this system at this potential. Except for the spinel, these oxides are not likely mixed with Cr2O3. These Mn oxides may have similar free energy of formation to each other and compared to other transition metal oxides, the thermodynamic probability of the formation of one versus the other is similar [65]. Hence, the Cr_2O_3 and Mn oxides, whether MnO, Mn_3O_4 or Mn_2O_3 , likely exist as phase separated layers as seen during high temperature oxidation [37]. This situation is detrimental to corrosion resistance of an oxide film. Hence the Mn oxide formed on other MPEAs and steel surfaces are not protective in aqueous solutions [30, 31, 37].

The role of other alloying elements

427 Ni was observed to dissolve into solution and only minor or trace amounts of Fe and Co 428 were found in oxides on the $Ni_{38}Fe_{20}Cr_{22}Mn_{10}Co_{10}$ MPEA (Figs. 4 and 5). The Fe 3p spectrum of

the $Ni_{38}Fe_{20}Cr_{22}Mn_{10}Co_{10}$ MPEA after $E_{ap} = 0.1$ V vs. SCE (Fig. 10(b)) showed metallic and oxide state peaks at 52.8 eV and 55.2 eV, respectively. Fe dissolved congruently during AESEC-CA experiments as shown in Fig. 4 and was estimated to be accumulated at the surface at concentrations of only 50 ng cm⁻² after 4000 s at both applied potentials (Fig. 5). A small amount of oxidized Co is also seen in XPS 3p spectra. It is proposed here that remaining oxidized Fe and Co might form spinels with Cr but these cannot be a source of protection as they could not account for all the Cr which is oxidized and also would not be present in sufficient amount to cover the surface. It should be also noted that an air-formed oxide possibly formed during sample transfer to the XPS instrument. The Fe 3p oxide component after AESEC-CA experiments might be due to the Fe-based oxide formation during sample transfer after polishing, which was not maintained in a vacuum. Hence, the dominant oxides are Cr and Mn-rich and likely in a layered unmixed state with dissolution of Fe, Ni, and Co.

442 Conclusions

alloying elements during dissolution and passivation of the The fate of Ni₃₈Fe₂₀Cr₂₂Mn₁₀Co₁₀ MPEA differs distinctly depending on the applied potential. Ni dissolves at all potentials investigated in this work, Fe and Co are incorporated into oxides or hydroxides in low concentrations, while Cr is enriched in the oxide at passive potentials. Mn(II) both dissolves and is incorporated in minor amounts in oxides containing large concentrations of Cr(III) at low passivating potential. Considerable presence of Mn(II) is observed in the oxide relative to Cr(III) at $E_{ap} = 0.1$ V vs. SCE.

It is posited that Mn has a detrimental effect on passivity of the Ni₃₈Fe₂₀Cr₂₂Mn₁₀Co₁₀
 MPEA. The effect is potential-dependent and degrades the corrosion resistance of the

3 4	452	$Ni_{38}Fe_{20}Cr_{22}Mn_{10}Co_{10}$ MPEA compared to a $Ni_{78}Cr_{22}$ binary alloy in 0.1 M NaCl at pH =
5 6	453	4. EIS and DC electrochemical tests indicate that change in potential from 0.0 V to 0.1 V
7 8 0	454	vs. SCE is detrimental to corrosion resistance of the electrochemically formed passive film
9 10 11	455	compared to the Ni ₇₈ Cr ₂₂ binary alloy.
12 13	456	• The presence of Mn-based oxide was indicated indirectly by non-congruent dissolution in
14 15	457	AESEC results and corroborated by XPS spectra, including 3p core level analysis at E_{ap} =
16 17 18	458	0.1 V vs. SCE. This Mn-containing oxide layer was not as protective as the Cr-rich oxide
19 20	459	indicated by increasing elemental dissolution rates with time at this potential. A lower Z_{mod}
21 22 23	460	in the low-frequency EIS as well as lower inner oxide resistance obtained from a model
24 25	461	circuit fitting for the Ni ₃₈ Fe ₂₀ Cr ₂₂ Mn ₁₀ Co ₁₀ MPEA at this potential are also indicative of
26 27	462	the less protective oxide. This was corroborated by j_e versus time in constant potential
28 29 30	463	experiments, which indicated increased anodic current densities and local breakdown in
31 32	464	the MPEA compared to the $Ni_{78}Cr_{22}$ binary alloy.
33 34 35	465	• For the Ni ₃₈ Fe ₂₀ Cr ₂₂ Mn ₁₀ Co ₁₀ MPEA, a layered oxide is suggested by EIS and supported
36 37	466	by thermodynamic calculations when a constant potential is applied in the passive potential
38 39	467	domain. At $E_{ap} = 0.1$ V vs. SCE, a porous oxide is indicated and interpreted to occur by
40 41 42	468	selective dissolution of Ni, Fe, and Co leaving behind Cr and Mn-based oxide layers.
43 44	469	
45 46	470	Acknowledgement
47 48 49	471	The research was primarily supported as part of the Center for Performance and Design of Nuclear
50 51	472	Waste Forms and Containers (WastePD), an Energy Frontier Research Center (ERFC) funded by
52 53 54	473	the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE), Office of Science, Basic Energy Sciences (BES), under
54 55 56 57	474	award #DE-SC0016584. Utilization of the PHI VersaProbe III TM instrument was supported by

Nanoscale Materials Characterization Facility (NMCF) within University of Virginia funded by NSF award (#162601) for acquisition of this instrument. K. Ogle and the AESEC experiments were supported by the Agence Nationale de Recherche, grant # ANR-20-CE08-0031 (Tapas 2020).

Author X. Li is grateful to Chinese Scholarship Council (CSC) for the financial support.







https://mc04.manuscriptcentral.com/jes-ecs





Fig. 2. Results from AESEC-LSV of the Ni₃₈Fe₂₀Cr₂₂Mn₁₀Co₁₀ MPEA in a deaerated 0.1 M NaCl, pH = 4 solution. j_M , the sum of j_M (j_{Σ}) and electrical current density (j_e) magnified in the active and passive potential domain from Fig. 1 are presented. j_M curves are given with offset. Horizontal lines indicate zero value (j_M = 0). Dashed line indicates the j_{Ni} to determine congruent dissolution of each alloying element. If j_M equals to the dashed line, that may indicate congruent dissolution of M. If j_M is lower than the dashed line, dissolution of M is non-congruent.















References

2
3
4
5
6
7
0

59

60

1

6 [1] D.B. Miracle, and O.N. Senkov, "A critical review of high entropy alloys and related concepts", Acta 7 *Mat.*, **122**, 448-511 (2017). http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.actamat.2016.08.081. 8 [2] R. Feng, M.C. Gao, C. Lee, M. Mathes, T. Zuo, S. Chen, J.A. Hawk, Y. Zhang, and P.K. Liaw, "Design 9 of light-weight high-entropy alloys", Entropy-Switz, 18, 333 (2016). https://doi:10.3390/e18090333. 10 [3] T.H. Tsai, and J.W. Yeh, "High-entropy alloys: A critical review", Mater. Res. Lett., 2 (2014) 107–123. 11 12 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/jactamat.2013.04.058. 13 [4] Y. Qiu, M.A. Gibson, H.L. Fraser, and N. Birbilis, "Corrosion characteristics of high entropy alloys", 14 Mat. Sci. and Tech., 31 (2015) 1235-124. https://doi.org/10.1179/1743284715Y.000000026. 15 [5] G.S. Frankel, J. Vienna, and J. Lian, "WastePD, an innovative center on materials degradation", npj 16 Materials Degradation, 1:5 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41529-017-0002-5. 17 [6] L.J. Santodonato, Y. Zhang, M. Feygenson, C.M. Parish, M.C. Gao, R.J. Weber, J.C. Neuefeind, Z. 18 Tang, P.K. Liaw, "Deviation from high-entropy configurations in the atomic distributions of a multi-19 principal-element alloy", Nature Communications, 6, 5964 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms6964. 20 [7] J-W. Yeh, S-J. Lin, "Breakthrough applications of high-entropy materials", J. Mat. Research, 33(19), 21 3129-3137 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1557/jmr.2018.283. 22 [8] P. Lu, J.E. Saal, G.B. Olson, T.S. Li, O.J. Swanson, G.S. Frankel, A.Y. Gerard, K.F. Quiambao, and 23 J.R. Scully, "Computational materials design of a corrosion resistant high entropy alloy for harsh 24 environments", Scripta Mater., 153 (2018) 19-22. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scriptamat.2018.04.040. 25 [9] P. Lu, J.E. Saal, G.B. Olson, T. Li, S. Sahu, O.J. Swanson, G.S. Frankel, A.Y. Gerard, and J.R. Scully, 26 "Computational design and initial corrosion assessment of a series of non-equimolar high entropy alloys", 27 Scripta Mater., 172 (2019) 12-16. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scriptamat.2019.07.003. 28 [10] J.R. Scully, S.B. Inman, A.Y. Gerard, C.D. Taylor, W. Windl, D.K. Schreiber, P. Lu, J.E. Saal, and 29 G.S. Frankel, "Controlling the corrosion resistance of multi-principal element alloys", Scripta Mat., 188, 30 31 96-101 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scriptamat.2020.06.065. 32 [11] C.D. Talor, P. Lu, J. Saal, G.S. Frankel, and J.R. Scully, "Integrated computational materials 33 of alloys", *npj* Materials Degradation, engineering corrosion resistant 2:6 (2018).34 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41529-018-0027-4. 35 [12] Z. Cui, Z. Qin, P. Dong, Y. Mi, D. Gong, and W. Li, "Microstructure and corrosion properties of 36 FeCoNiCrMn high entropy alloy coating prepared by high speed laser cladding and ultrasonic surface 37 mechanical rolling treatment", Mat. Letters, 259, 126768 (2020). 38 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matlet.2019.126769. 39 [13] G.R. Holcomb, J. Tylczak, and C. Carney, "Oxidation of CoCrFeMnNi high entropy alloys", JOM, 40 67(10), 2326-2339 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1007/s11837-015-1517-2. 41 [14] Y.-K. Kim, Y.-A. Joo, H.S. Kim, and K.-A. Lee, "High temperature oxidation behavior of Cr-Mn-42 Fe-Co-Ni high entropy alloy", Intermetallics, 98, 45-53 (2018). 43 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intermet.2018.04.006. 44 [15] T. Nagase, T. Kakeshita, K. Matsumura, K. Nakazawa, S. Furuya, N. Ozoe, and K. Yoshino, 45 "Development of Fe-Co-Cr-Mn-Ni high entropy case iron (HE cast iron) available for casting in air 46 atmosphere", Materials and Design, 184, 108172 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes.2019.108172. 47 [16] C. Wang, J. Yu, Y. Yu, Y. Zhao, Y. Zhang, and X. Han, "Comparison of the corrosion and passivity 48 behavior between CrMnFeCoNi and CrFeCoNi coatings prepared by argon arc cladding", J. Mat. Res. 49 50 Technol., 9(4), 8482-8496 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmrt.2020.05.093. 51 [17] Q. Ye, K. Feng, Z. Li, F. Lu, R. Li, J. Huang, and Y. Wu, "Microstructure and corrosion properties 52 of CrMnFeCoNi high entropy alloy coating", Appl. Surf. Sci., 396, 1420-1426 (2017). 53 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apsusc.2016.11.176. 54 55 56 57 58

Journal of The Electrochemical Society
[18] K.F. Oujambao, S.I. McDonnell, D.K. Schreiber, A.Y. Gerard, K.M. Freedy, P. Lu, J.F. Saal, G.S.
Frankel, and J.R. Scully, "Passivation of a corrosion resistant high entropy alloy in non-oxidizing sulfate
solutions", Acta Mat., 164 (2019) 362-376. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actamat.2018.10.026.
[19] T. Li, O. J. Swanson, G.S. Frankel, A.Y. Gerard, P. Lu, J.E. Saal, and J.R. Scully, "Localized corrosion
behavior of a single-phase non-equimolar high entropy alloy", Elecrochim. Acta, 306 (2019) 71-84.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.electata.2019.03.104.
[20] D. Hamm, K. Ogle, CO. A. Olsson, S. Weber, and D. Landolt, "Passivation of Fe-Cr alloys studied
with ICP-AES and EQCM", Corros. Sci., 44 (2002) 1443-1456. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0010-
938X(01)00147-0.
[21] K.L. Cwalina, H.M. Ha, N. Ott, P. Reinke, N. Birbilis, and J.R. Scully, "In operando analysis of passive
film growth on Ni-Cr and Ni-Cr-Mo alloys in chloride solutions", J. Electrochem. Soc., 166(11) C3241-
C3253 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1149/2.0261911 jes. [22] X. Li, and K. Ogla, "The magnitudian of Ni Cr. Ma allows: Time resolved envishment and dissolution
of Cr and Mo during passiva active eveles" L Electrochem Soc. 166(11) C2170 C2185 (2010)
$t_{1} = 0.0000000000000000000000000000000000$
[23] A Ferrari and F Körmann "Surface segregation in Cr-Mn-Fe-Co-Ni high entropy alloys" Annl Surf
<i>Sci</i> 533 147471 (2020) https://doig.org/10.1016/j.apsusc.2020.147471
[24] K. Wang, J. Han, A.Y. Gerard, J.R. Scully, and BC. Zhou, "Potential-pH diagrams considering
complex ocide solution phase for understanding aqueous corrosion of multi-principal element allovs". <i>npi</i>
<i>Mat. Deg.</i> , 4:35 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41529-020-00141-6.
[25] H. Luo, Z. Li, A. M. Mingers, D. Raabe, "Corrosion behavior of an equiatomic CoCrFeMnNi high-
entropy alloy compared with 304 stainless steel in sulfuric acid solution", Corros. Sci., 134, 131-139
(2018). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.corsci.2018.02.031.
[26] H. Torbati-Sarraf, M. Shabani, P.D. Jablonski, G.J. Pataky, and A. Poursaee, "The influence of
incorporation of Mn on the pitting corrosion performance of CrFeCoNi high entropy alloy at different
temperature", Mat. & Design, 18, 108170 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes.2019.108170.
[27] J. Yang, J. Wu, C.Y. Zhang, S.D. Zhang, B.J. Yang, W. Emori, and J.Q. Wang, "Effects of Mn on the
electrochemical corrosion and passivation behavior of CoFeNiMnCr high-entropy alloy system in H ₂ SO ₄
system", J. Alloys and Compounds, 819, 152943 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jallcom.2019.152943.
[28] A.Y. Gerard, J. Han, S.J. McDonnell, K. Ogle, E.J. Kautz, D.K. Schreiber, J.E. Saal, G.S. Frankel, and
J.R. Scully, "Aqueous passivation of multi-principal element alloy Ni ₃₈ Fe ₂₀ Cr ₂₂ Mn ₁₀ Co ₁₀ : Unexpected high
Cr enrichment within the passive film", Acta Materialia, 198, 121-133 (2020).
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actamat.2020.07.024.
[29] A. Rodriguez, J.H. Tylczak, and M. Ziomek-Moroz, "Corrosion behavior of CoCrFeMnNi high-
entropy alloys (HEAs) under acidic aqueous conditions", ECS Trans., 77(11), 741-752 (2017).
https://doi.org/10.1149/07/11.07/41ecst.
[30] M. Kim and J. Kim, "Effect of manganese on the corrosion behavior of low carbon steel in 10 wt."
sulturic acid", Int. J. Electrochem. Sci., 10 (2015) 68/2-6885.
[51] K. Park, and H. Kwon, "Effect of Min on the localized corrosion behavior of Fe-18Cr alloys",
<i>Electrochim. Acta</i> , 55 (2010) 5421-5427. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.electata.2010.01.006.
$\begin{bmatrix} 52 \end{bmatrix}$ G. wrangien Fluing and suipnide inclusions in steel", Corros. Sci., 14 (19/4) 331-349.
IIIIps://doi.org/10.1010/50010-958A(/4)8004/-8. [22] F.G. Wahh, T. Sutar and P.C. Allring "Migraphanical maggingments of the discriminant
[55] E.O. WEDD, I. SUIEI, and K.O. AIKIFE, INICIDELECTROCHEMICAL measurements of the dissolution of single MnS inclusions, and the prediction of the critical conditions for nit initiation on stainlass steal?" I
<i>Electrochem</i> Soc. 148 (5) B186 B105 (2001) https://doi.org/10.1140/1.1260205
[34] S. Fajardo, I. Lorente, I.A. Liménez, I.M. Bastidas, and D.M. Bastidas, "Effect of Mn additions on
the corrosion behaviour of TWIP Fe-Mn-Al-Si austenitic steel in chloride solution" Corros Sci 154
246-253 (2019) https://doi org/10 1016/i corsci 2019 04 026
2 10 200 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1010/j.colsei.2017.040.
32
https://mc04 manuscriptcentral.com/ies-ecs
https://meohinanascipteentai.com/jes/ees

2	
4	[25] T.D. Neuwan, J. Zhang, and D.J. Voung, "Effect of Mn on oxide formation by Eq. Cr and Eq. Cr. Ni
5	[55] I.D. Nguyen, J. Zhang, and D.J. Foung, Effect of Min on oxide formation by Fe-CF and Fe-CF-NI allows in dry and wat CO, gases at 650°C?? Correspondent 112, 110, 127 (2016)
6	anoys in dry and wet CO_2 gases at 050 C , $CO/105$. Sci., 112 , 110-127 (2010).
7	Intp://dx.doi.org/10.1010/J.coisci.2010.07.014.
8	[50] G. Laplanche, U.F. Volkeri, G. Eggeler, and E.P. George, Oxidation behavior of the Crivin FeCoNI
9	nign-entropy alloy", Oxid. Met., 85, 629-645 (2016). https://doi.org/10.100//s11085-016-9616-1.
10	[37] F.H. Stott, F.I. Wei, and C.A. Enanoro, "The influence of manganese on the high-temperature
11	oxidation of iron-chromium alloys", Werkstoffe und Korrosion, 40, 198-208 (1989).
12	https://doi.org/10.1002/maco.19890400403.
13	[38] R. K. Wild, "High temperature oxidation of austenitic stainless steel in low oxygen pressure", <i>Corros.</i>
14	Sci., 17, 87-104 (1977). https://doi.org/10.1016/0010-938X(77)90011-7.
15	[39] W. Sun, D. A. Kitchaev, D. Kramer, and G. Ceder, "Non-equilibrium crystallization pathways of
16	manganese oxide in aqueous solution", Nature Commun., 10:573 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-
17	019-08484-6.
18	[40] K. Ogle, M. Mokaddem, and P. Volovitch, "Atomic emission spectroelectrochemistry applied to
19	dealloying phenomena II. Selective dissolution of iron and chromium during active-passive cycles of an
20	austenitic stainless steel", <i>Electrochem</i> , Acta, 55 (3), 913-921 (2010).
21	https://doi.org/10.1016/i.electrata.2009.08.028.
22	[41] L. Wang, D. Mercier, S. Zanna, A. Seveux, M. Laurent-Broco, L. Perrière, I. Guillot, and P. Marcus,
23	"Study of the surface oxides and corrosion behaviour of an equiatomic CoCrFeMnNi high entropy alloy
24	by XPS and ToE-SIMS" Corros Sci. 167 108507 (2020) https://doi.org/10.1016/j.corsci.2020.108507
25	[42] I Han D Thierry and K Ogle "7r based conversion coating on 7n and 7n Al Mg allow coating:
26	[42] J. Hall, D. Hillerly, and K. Ogle, Zi-based conversion coating on Zir and Zir-Ai-Mg anoy coating. Understanding the appalarating effect of Cu(II) and NO -" Scurf & Coat. Task. 402 , 126226 (2020).
27	Understanding the accelerating effect of $Cu(1)$ and NO_3 , <i>surf. & Coal. Tech.</i> , 402, 120230 (2020).
28	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.surfcoat.2020.126236.
29	[43] K.L. Cwalina, H.M. Ha, N. Ott, P. Reinke, N. Birbilis, and J.R. Scully, "In operando analysis of passive
30	film growth on Ni-Cr and Ni-Cr-Mo alloy in chloride solutions", J. Electrochem. Soc., 166(11),
31	C32410C3253 (2019). https://doi.org.10.1149/2.0261911jes.
32	[44] J.D. Henderson, X. Li, D.W. Shoesmith, J.J. Noël, and K. Ogle, "Molybdenum surface enrichment and
33	release during transpassive dissolution of Ni-based alloys", Corros. Sci., 147, 32-40 (2019).
34	https://doi.org/10.1016/i.corsci.2018.11.005.
35	[45] K Ogle "Atomic emission spectroelectrochemistry: Real-time rate measurements of dissolution
36	corrosion and passivation" Corrosion 75(12) 1398-1419 (2019) https://doi.org/10.5006/3336
37	[46] X Li LD Hondorson ED Eilico D Zagidulin MC Biosinger E Sun B Oion DW Shoesmith
38	[40] A. Li, J.D. Henderson, F.F. Fince, D. Zagidunn, M.C. Diesinger, F. Sun, D. Qian, D. W. Shoeshinn, LI, Noël, and K. Ogla, "The contribution of Cr and Mo to the presidential of Ni22Cr and Ni22Cr10Mo
39	J.J. Noei, and K. Ogie, The contribution of CI and Nio to the passivation of NizzCI and NizzCI folio
40	alloys in summine acid, <i>Corros. Sci.</i> , 176 , 109015 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.corsi.2020.109015.
41	[4/] Y. Qiu, R. Liu, I. Gengenbach, O. Gharbi, S. Choudhary, S. Thomas, H.L. Fraser, and N. Birbilis,
42	"Real-time dissolution of a compositionally complex alloy using inline ICP and correlation with XPS", <i>npj</i>
43	<i>Mat. Degrad.</i> , 4:7 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41629-020-0112-3.
44	[48] S. Choudhary, Y. Qiu, S. Thomas, and N. Birbilis, "Element-resolved electrochemical analysis of
45	transpassive dissolution and repassivation behavior of the multi-principle element alloy AlTiVCr",
46	Electrochim. Acta, 362, 137104 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.electacta.2020.137104.
47	[49] X. Li, J. Han, P. Lu, J.E. Saal, G.B. Olson, G.S. Frankel, J.R. Scully, K. Ogle, "Dissolution and
48	passivation of a Ni-Cr-Fe-Ru-Mo-W high entropy alloy by elementally resolved electrochemistry", J.
49	Electrochem, Soc., 167 (2020) 061505, https://doi.org/10.1149/1945-7111/ab7f86.
50	[50] K Ogle and S. Weber, "Anodic dissolution of 304 stainless steel using atomic emission
51	spectroelectrochemistry" I Flectrochem Soc 147 (5) 1770-1780 (2000)
52	https://doi.org/10.11/0/1.1303/33
53	[51] D. I. odi, K. Ogle, A. Storbaye, "Méthode d'analyze d'un échantillon métallique non dissolution de se
54	[J1] I. Loui, K. Ogie, A. Stollaye, "viethoue a analyse a un condition metallique par dissolution de sa
55	surface, et dispositif pour sa finise en œuvre, Patent, 92-3/82, France (1992).
56	[52] W.E. Orazem, N. Pedere, and B. Tribollet, "Ennanced graphical representation of electrochemical
57	impedance data", J. Electrochem. Soc., 155(4), B129-B136 (2006). https://doi.org/10.1149/1.2168377.
58	33
59	

 [53] J. Han, and K. Ogle, "The anodic and cathodic dissolution of α-phase Zn-68Al in alkaline media", <i>Corros. Sci.</i>, 148, 1-11 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.corsci.2018.11.033. [54] Y.J. Li, A. Savan, K. Kostka, H.S. Stein, and A. Ludwig, "Accelerated atomic-scale exploration of phase evolution in compositionally complex materials", <i>Mater. Hori.</i>, 5, 86-92 (2018).
https://doi.org/10.1039/c/mh00486a. [55] E.J. Kautz, S.V. Lambeets, D.E. Perea, A.Y. Gerard, J. Han, J.R. Scully, J.E. Saal, and D.K. Schreiber, "Element redistributions during early stage of oxidation in a Ni38Cr22Fe20Mn10Co10 multi- principal element alloy", <i>Scripta Mat.</i> , 194 , 113609 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scriptamat.2020.10.051.
[56] P. Jakupi, D. Zagidulin, J.J. Noël, and D.W. Shoesmith, "The impedance properties of the oxide film on the Ni-Cr-Mo alloy-22 in neutral concentrated sodium chloride solution", <i>Electrochim. Acta</i> , 56 , 6251-6259 (2011). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.electacta.2010.07.064.
[57] J.D. Henderson, B. Almusned, M. Momeni, S. Anderson, V. Dehnavi, D. Zagidulin, D.W. Shoesmith, and J.J. Noël, "Investigating the influence of Cr and Mo additions to commercial Ni-based alloys exposed to neutral and acidic chloride solutions", <i>J. Elecrochem. Soc.</i> , 167 , 131512 (2020).
 https://doi.org/10.1149/1945-/11/abbea/. [58] I. Epelboin, M. Keddam, and J.C. Lestrade "Faradaic impedance and intermediates in electrochemical reactions", <i>Faraday Discuss. Chem. Soc.</i>, 56, 264-275 (1973). https://doi.org/10.1149/1.3077576. [59] M.E. Orazem, and B. Tribollet, "Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy", <i>John Wiley & Sons</i>, 2nd Ed. 201-205 (2017).
 [60] M.C. Biesinger, B.P. Payne, A.P. Grosvenor, L.W.M. Lau, A.R. Gerson, R. St.C. Smart, "Resolving surface chemical states in XPS analysis of first row transition metals, oxides and hydroxides: Cr, Mn, Fe, Co, and Ni", <i>Appl. Sur. Sci.</i>, 257, 2717-2730 (2011). http://doi:10.1016/j.apsusc.2010.10.051. [61] H.W. Nesbitt, and D. Banerjee, "Interpretation of XPS Mn(2p) spectra of Mn oxyhydroxides and
 constraints on the mechanism of MnO₂ precipitation", <i>American Mineralogist</i>, 83, 305-315 (1998). [62] A. Ramírez, P. Hilebrand, D. Stellmach, M.M. May, P. Bogdanoff, and S. Fiechter, "Evaluation of MnO_x, Mn₂O₃, and Mn₃O₄ electrodeposited films for the oxygen evolution reaction of water", <i>J. Phys. Chem. C</i>, 118(26), 14073-14081 (2014). https://doi.org/10.1021/jp500939d. [63] V. Di Castro, and G. Polzonetti, "XPS study of MnO oxidation", <i>J. Electron Spectroscopy and related</i>
 Phenomena, 48(1), 117-123 (1989). https://doi.org/10.1016/0368-2048(89)80009-X. [64] E.S. Ilton, J.E. Post, P.J. Heaney, F.T. Ling, and S.N. Kerisit, "XPS determination of Mn oxidation states in Mn (hydro)oxides", <i>Appl. Surf. Sci.</i>, 366, 475-485 (2016). http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apsusc.2015.12.159.
[65] LF. Huang, and J.M. Rondinelli, "Reliable electrochemical phase diagrams of magnetic transition metals and related compounds from high throughput ab initio calculations", <i>npj Mat. Deg.</i> , 3:26 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41529-019-0088-z.