PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

The study of automotive vehicles technical operation indicators

To cite this article: I N Kiryushin and V N Retyunskikh 2019 IOP Conf. Ser.: Mater. Sci. Eng. 489 012017

View the article online for updates and enhancements.

You may also like

- <u>Hybrid and electric vehicle tribology: a</u> review
 Hemanth G, Suresha B and Ananthapadmanabha
- <u>Research and Implementation of Electric</u> <u>Vehicle Braking Energy Recovery System</u> <u>Based on Computer</u> Xianhuan Wu
- <u>Design and Analysis of Bumper Beam and Energy Absorbers by Using Composite Materials</u> Jegadheesan Chinnasamy, Somasundaram Periasamy, Vivekanandhan Chinnasamy et al.





DISCOVER how sustainability intersects with electrochemistry & solid state science research



This content was downloaded from IP address 3.145.63.136 on 04/05/2024 at 04:48

IOP Conf. Series: Materials Science and Engineering 489 (2019) 012017 doi:10.1088/1757-899X/489/1/012017

The study of automotive vehicles technical operation indicators

I N Kiryushin and V N Retyunskikh

Ryazan Institute (branch) of Moscow Polytechnic University, Ryazan, Russia

aitts@rimsou.ru

Abstract. Nowadays automobile transport plays the main role nationwide. That's why transport efficiency increasing is the pressing issue of technical automobile transport operation. To assess and compare the different enterprises' operation vehicle (automobile) fleets efficiency, the production coefficients, automobile vehicles and vehicle (automobile) fleets technical readiness and other. The proposed type of expressions facilitates the calculation and relationship understanding for determining the technical readiness coefficient and determines the main factors relationship characterizing the automobile vehicles and vehicle (automobile) fleets operation efficiency.

Nowadays automobile transport plays the main role not only in agricultural passenger transfer and cargo transportation but nationwide. This mean of transport can change railway and river transport, ensuring reliability and regularity of product delivery from provider to consumer [1]. That's why transport efficiency increasing is the pressing issue of technical automobile transport operation [2]. To assess and compare the different enterprises' operation vehicle (automobile) fleets efficiency, the production coefficients, automobile vehicles and vehicle (automobile) fleets technical readiness, as well as the non-working days coefficient is used.

In modern scientific and educational sources, these indicators have the following definition and calculation formula[3]:

The output coefficient(α_{out}) determines the calendar proportion time during which the vehicle (automobile) or fleet performs transport work on the line:

$$\alpha_{\text{out}} = \frac{D_e}{D_e + D_r + D_n} = \frac{D_e}{D_c};$$
(1)

where D_e is the number of vehicle (automobile) exploitation days;

 D_r is the number of automobile vehicle idle time in repair and maintenance service days;

 D_n is the number of downtime in good condition for organizational reasons days;

D_c is the number of cycle days.

The technical readiness coefficient (α_t) determines the proportion of working time during which the vehicle (automobile) or fleet is operational and can be used in the transport process:

$$\alpha_{t} = \frac{D_{e}}{D_{e} + D_{r}};$$
(2)



IOP Conf. Series: Materials Science and Engineering 489 (2019) 012017 doi:10.1088/1757-899X/489/1/012017

The non-working days (α_n) coefficient defines the calendar time share during which the serviceable automobile (automobile group) is not used in the transport process for organizational reasons (days off, vacation, lack of work, etc.):

$$\alpha_{n} = \frac{D_{n}}{D_{c}};$$
(3)

IOP Publishing

In determining these coefficients for vehicle (automobile) fleets, days (D) are replaced in vehicle (automobile) days (AD) formulas.

It is worth noting that in determining the technical readiness (α_t) coefficient they determine the working time proportion and the other two factors – the calendar time share. Because of this difference, there are often discrepancies and errors in these indicators calculation, as it turns out that the automobile vehicle idle time in good condition for organizational reasons is not taken into account in the technical readiness coefficient (α_t) calculation. And in many literary sources, it is accurately specified that automobile vehicles stand idle in technically serviceable condition [3]. Therefore, in order to find the total number of days when the automobile vehicle is in good technical condition, the number of downtime days for organizational reasons D_n should be added to the number of operation days D_e [4].

The expression (2) denominator is the days sum when the vehicle is in a technically sound condition D_e and the days when the vehicle is in a technically defective condition D_r . As it was established, the technically serviceable car condition is characterized by the operation days sum and idle time in good condition for organizational reasons days, this means that in the denominator for the calculations accuracy it is necessary to add the number of idle time for organizational reasons days D_n . In this case, the denominator will be given to the number of days in the D_c operating cycle [4].

Then expression (2) takes the form:

$$\alpha_{t} = \frac{D_{e} + D_{n}}{D_{e} + D_{r} + D_{n}} = \frac{D_{e} + D_{n}}{D_{c}}$$

$$\tag{4}$$

where D_e+D_n is the number of days per cycle when the automobile vehicle is in good technical condition.

In most of the literature the ratio α_{out} to α_t is considered as one of the operation vehicle (automobile) fleets efficiency indicators:

$$\frac{\alpha_{out}}{\alpha_t} = \frac{D_e + D_n}{D_e + D_r + D_n} = \frac{D_c - D_n}{D_c} = 1 - \frac{D_n}{D_c} = 1 - \alpha_n;$$
(5)

From the expression (5) it can be concluded that the ratio α_{out} to α_t is in direct connection with the non-working days coefficient α_n . And the expression for determining the output coefficient has the form:

$$\alpha_{\rm out} = \alpha_{\rm t} (1 - \alpha_{\rm n}) \tag{6}$$

In our case, this ratio α_{out} to α_t will have the following form:

$$\frac{\alpha_{\text{out}}}{\alpha_{\text{t}}} = \frac{\frac{D_{\text{e}}}{D_{\text{e}} + D_{\text{r}} + D_{\text{n}}}}{\frac{D_{\text{e}} + D_{\text{r}} + D_{\text{n}}}{D_{\text{e}} + D_{\text{r}} + D_{\text{n}}}} \times \frac{D_{\text{e}} + D_{\text{r}} + D_{\text{n}}}{D_{\text{e}} + D_{\text{n}}} = \frac{D_{\text{e}}}{D_{\text{e}} + D_{\text{n}}}$$
(7)

If we change the numerator and denominator in the formula (7), we get a more convenient expression for the analysis:

$$\frac{\alpha_{\rm t}}{\alpha_{\rm out}} = \frac{D_{\rm e} + D_{\rm n}}{D_{\rm e}} = 1 + \frac{D_{\rm n}}{D_{\rm e}};\tag{8}$$

Based on the formulas (1) and (3) we get:

$$\frac{\alpha_{\rm n}}{\alpha_{\rm out}} = \frac{D_{\rm n}}{D_{\rm c}} : \frac{D_{\rm e}}{D_{\rm c}} = \frac{D_{\rm n}}{D_{\rm e}};$$

Then expression (8) takes the form:

IOP Conf. Series: Materials Science and Engineering 489 (2019) 012017 doi:10.1088/1757-899X/489/1/012017

$$\frac{\alpha_{\rm t}}{\alpha_{\rm out}} = 1 + \frac{\alpha_{\rm n}}{\alpha_{\rm out}};\tag{9}$$

IOP Publishing

As a transformation expression result (9), we get the final expression form to determine the main coefficients relationship characterizing the automobile vehicle and vehicle (automobile) fleets operation efficiency:

$$\alpha_t = \alpha_{out} + \alpha_n; \tag{10}$$

$$\alpha_{\text{out}} = \alpha_t - \alpha_n; \tag{11}$$

To check the formula correctness (10), substitute the coefficients values into it (1), (3), (4):

$$\frac{D_e + D_n}{D_c} = \frac{D_e}{D_c} + \frac{D_n}{D_c} = \frac{D_e + D_n}{D_c};$$
(12)

Expression (12) confirms the main indicators relationship efficiency theoretical analysis correctness of automobile vehicles and vehicle (automobile) fleets operation among themselves.

Now it is necessary to check the results in practice. To do this, let us resolve the issue of determining the automobile vehicle and vehicle (automobile) fleets operation efficiency during the calendar year in two ways: standard and using the derived expressions.

Task 1. Truck ZIL-130 had carried out transport work during the calendar year ($D_c = 365$ days). With a five-day working week, the non-working days' number for the year was $D_n = 118$ days, while in maintenance service and repair the automobile vehicle stood idle $D_r = 25$ days. Determine the release coefficients values, technical readiness and non-working days.

Standard solution:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{out} &= \frac{D_e}{D_e + D_r + D_n} = \frac{D_e}{D_c} = \frac{D_c - D_r - D_n}{D_c} = \frac{365 - 25 - 118}{365} = \frac{222}{365} = 0,608;\\ \alpha_t &= \frac{D_e}{D_e + D_r} = \frac{222}{222 + 25} = 0,899;\\ \alpha_n &= \frac{D_n}{D_c} = \frac{118}{365} = 0,323; \end{aligned}$$

Proposed solution:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{\text{out}} &= \frac{D_e}{D_e + D_r + D_n} = \frac{D_e}{D_c} = \frac{D_c - D_r - D_n}{D_c} = \frac{365 - 25 - 118}{365} = \frac{222}{365} = 0,608;\\ \alpha_t &= \frac{D_e + D_n}{D_e + D_r + D_n} = \frac{D_e + D_n}{D_c} = \frac{222 + 118}{365} = 0,932;\\ \alpha_n &= \frac{D_n}{D_c} = \frac{118}{365} = 0,323; \end{aligned}$$

It is visible that the non-working days account increases technical readiness coefficient value as automobile vehicles on storage and their idle time waiting for transport work statement is allowed only in technically serviceable condition.

Task 2. Truck ZIL-130 had carried out transport work during the calendar year ($D_c = 365$ days). With a six-day working week, the non-working days' number for the year was $D_n = 65$ days, while in maintenance service and repair the automobile vehicle stood idle $D_r = 50$ days. Determine the release coefficients values, technical readiness and non-working days.

Standard solution:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{\text{out}} &= \frac{D_{\text{e}}}{D_{\text{e}} + D_{\text{r}} + D_{\text{n}}} = \frac{D_{\text{e}}}{D_{\text{c}}} = \frac{D_{\text{c}} - D_{\text{r}} - D_{\text{n}}}{D_{\text{c}}} = \frac{365 - 50 - 65}{365} = \frac{250}{365} = 0,685;\\ \alpha_{\text{t}} &= \frac{D_{\text{e}}}{D_{\text{e}} + D_{\text{r}}} = \frac{250}{250 + 50} = 0,833;\\ \alpha_{\text{n}} &= \frac{D_{\text{n}}}{D_{\text{c}}} = \frac{65}{365} = 0,178; \end{aligned}$$

Proposed solution:

IOP Publishing

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{\text{out}} &= \frac{D_{\text{e}}}{D_{\text{e}} + D_{\text{r}} + D_{\text{n}}} = \frac{D_{\text{e}}}{D_{\text{c}}} = \frac{D_{\text{c}} - D_{\text{r}} - D_{\text{n}}}{D_{\text{c}}} = \frac{365 - 50 - 65}{365} = \frac{250}{365} = 0,685;\\ \alpha_{\text{t}} &= \frac{D_{\text{e}} + D_{\text{n}}}{D_{\text{e}} + D_{\text{r}} + D_{\text{n}}} = \frac{D_{\text{e}} + D_{\text{n}}}{D_{\text{c}}} = \frac{250 + 65}{365} = 0,863;\\ \alpha_{\text{n}} &= \frac{D_{\text{n}}}{D_{\text{c}}} = \frac{65}{365} = 0,178; \end{aligned}$$

Let us check the main indicators value, based on the expressions (6) and (11):

$$\alpha_{\text{out}} = \alpha_{\text{t}}(1 - \alpha_{\text{n}}) = 0,833(1 - 0,178) = 0,685;$$

and

 $\alpha_{out} = \alpha_t - \alpha_n = 0,863 - 0,178 = 0,685;$

Based on the calculations, it can be concluded that using the presented technical readiness coefficient calculation version is possible to determine this indicator, like the rest, for the entire operating cycle without additional calculations. It should be noted that the calculation result is more important than the conventional calculation version. This is due to the fact that the downtime days for organizational reasons imply the downtime of technically serviceable rolling stock.

The proposed expressions type for determining the technical readiness coefficient and to determine the main coefficients relationship characterizing the automobile vehicle and vehicle (automobile) fleets operational efficiency facilitates their calculation and relationship understanding.

References

- [1] Uspensky I A et al 2013 *The tractor-transport units movement studies Rural mechanic No.* 5 (51), pp 36-7
- [2] Kolupaev S V et al 2017 Actual problems of vehicles operation". The XIX International scientific and practical conference materials, pp. 102-5
- [3] Anikin N V et al 2012 Motor transport enterprises designing: the textbook for the course projects (Ryazan: Publishing house FGBOU VPO RGATU), p.115
- [4] Kolotov A S et al 2011 Scientific works collection of the Ryazan state agrotechnological University named after P. A. Kostychev pp. 255-6