### PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

# Amino acid and fatty acid compositions of Rusip from fermented Anchovy fish (*Stolephorussp*)

To cite this article: D Koesoemawardani et al 2018 IOP Conf. Ser.: Mater. Sci. Eng. 344 012005

View the article online for updates and enhancements.

## You may also like

- <u>Prototype Design Of Automatic Anchovy</u> <u>Drying Robot Using Arduino ATmega 2560</u> M Pramudia, A Salim and T Prasetyo
- <u>Relative exposure to microplastics and</u> prey for a pelagic forage fish
  J M Chavarry, K L Law, A D Barton et al.
- <u>Organoleptic Test and Formalin Content of</u> <u>Dried Anchovy (*Stolephorus sp.*) in <u>Sawojajar Market, Brebes Regency</u> Heru Kurniawan Alamsyah, Sri Mulyani, Kusnandar et al.</u>





DISCOVER how sustainability intersects with electrochemistry & solid state science research



This content was downloaded from IP address 18.191.88.249 on 04/05/2024 at 21:53

# Amino acid and fatty acid compositions of Rusip from fermented Anchovy fish (Stolephorussp)

D Koesoemawardani<sup>1</sup>, S Hidayati and Subeki

Department of Agricultural Technology Faculty of Agriculture Lampung University Jl. Prof. Sumantri Brojonegoro No. 1 Bandar Lampung Lampung 35145 Indonesia, Phone (0721)-781823, fax (0721)-702767

Email: dyahthp@gmail.com

Abstract. Rusip is a typical food of Bangka Belitung Indonesia made from fermented anchovy. This study aims to determine the properties of chemistry, microbiology, composition of amino acids and fatty acids from fermented fish spontaneously and non spontaneously. Spontaneous rusip treatment is done by anchovy fish (Stolephorussp) after cleaning and added salt 25% (w/w) and palm sugar 10% (w/w). While, non-spontaneous rusip is done by adding a culture mixture of Streptococcus, Leuconostoc, and Lactobacillus bacteria 2% (w/v). The materials are then incubated for 2 weeks. The data obtained were then performed t-test at the level of 5%. Spontaneous and non-spontaneous rusip fermentation process showed significant differences in total acid, reducing sugar, salt content, TVN, total lactic acid bacteria, total mold, and total microbial. The dominant amino acid content of spontaneous and non-spontaneous rusip are glutamic acid and aspartic acid, while the dominant fatty acids in spontaneous and nonspontaneous rusip are docosahexaenoic acid, palmitic acid, oleic acid, arachidonic acid, stearic acid, eicosapentaenoic acid, palmitoleic acid, and myristic acid.

#### 1. Introduction

Rusip is typical of Bangka Belitung Indonesian food made from fermented anchovy [18] [1]. Besides fish, other ingredients are added in the manufacture of salt rusipare 25% and 10% of palm sugar, then fermented for 1-2 weeks. Rusip have a light brown color to dark gray, sweet, sour and salty, typical aroma of fish, and generally consumed as a condiment or mixed dishes [18].[17]states that the value of TVN rusip still high, so it needs further in detail processing with the addition of lactic acid bacteria culture. The results showed changes in microbiological and chemical properties rusip during the fermentation process. The fermentation process is non-spontaneous rusip have chemical and microbiological properties better than spontaneous fermentation [19]. Changes in chemistry, microbiology, amino acids, fatty acids, as well as sensory may occur during the process of fermentation of fish due to processing and differential treatment[3],[8],[15],[16],[21], [33],[35].Lactic acid bacteria have a big impact on the nutritional quality and shelf life of the products of fermentation [2]. This study aims to determine the nature of chemistry, microbiology, as well as the composition of amino acids and fatty acids rusip from fermented anchovy spontaneous and non-spontaneous.

Content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 licence. Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI. Published under licence by IOP Publishing Ltd

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> To whom any correspondence should be addressed.

#### 2. Materials and methods

Spontaneous rusip processing is done by anchovy (*Stolephorussp*) after cleaning and then added salt as much as 25% (w / w) and palm sugar 10% (w / w). While the non-spontaneous rusip done by adding mixed cultures of bacteria *Streptococcus, Leuconostoc,* and *Lactobacillus* as much as 2% (w/v). The next ingredient incubated for 2 weeks [19].

Observations chemical and microbiological include: total acid [4], protein content [4], fat [4], reduced sugar [9], the water content [4], salinity [7], pH [4], TVN [4], total lactic acid bacteria [11], total microbial [11], total mold [11], the amino acid composition (HPLC), and the fatty acid composition (GC-MS). The data were then performed t test at 5% level.

#### 3. Results and discussion

Spontaneous and non-spontaneous rusip production was performed with the same incubation period of 2 weeksThe observation of chemical and microbiological properties of spontaneous and non-spontaneous rusip can be seen in Table 1.

Table 1. Characteristics of chemical and microbiological properties of Rusip

parameters	Rusip	
	Spontaneous	Non spontaneous
Total acid (%)	2.28 <sup>a</sup>	3.60 <sup>b</sup>
protein content (%)	14,45 <sup>a</sup>	13,64 <sup>a</sup>
Fat content (%)	0,38ª	0,44ª
Sugar reduction (%)	6.42 <sup>a</sup>	5.01 <sup>b</sup>
Moisture content (%)	66,52ª	67,27ª
Salinity (%)	24,94ª	19,49 <sup>b</sup>
pH	5,61ª	5,74ª
TVN (mg N / 100 g)	31.76 <sup>a</sup>	20.19 <sup>b</sup>
Total lactic acid bacteria (log cfu /g)	9.66 <sup>a</sup>	12.09 <sup>b</sup>
Total mold (log cfu /g)	9.66 <sup>a</sup>	5.81 <sup>b</sup>
Total microbe (log cfu /g)	9.84 <sup>a</sup>	8.42ª

Description: Figures in row followed by the same letters mean no significant difference in the level of 5%

The results showed that the protein content of spontaneous rusip(14.45%) and non-spontaneous (13.64%) more than in the previous study by 12.81% [15]. Table 1 shows the differences in the characteristics of the spontaneous and non-spontaneous rusip due to differences in the total amount of lactic acid bacteria in rusip. Rusip non spontaneous containing lactic acid bacteria 12:09 log cfu / g higher than the spontaneous rusip 9.66 log cfu/g. This is because the process of making non spontaneous rusip added mixed cultures of lactic acid bacteria Streptococcus, Leuconostoc, and Lactobacillus. Thus, the lactic acid bacteria dominate the growth during the fermentation process rusip[19]. This is in line with research [1] which states that the fermentation rusip with the addition of mixed cultures of lactic acid bacteria produce a total lactic acid bacteria higher compared to single culture, the high total lactic acid bacteria fermentation rusip give different characteristics of the resulting product as the value of total acid, salinity, TVN, total fungi, and total microbes.

Rusip non spontaneous containing acid total 3.60% higher than rusip spontaneous 2.28%. This is because the mixed culture of lactic acid bacteria added to the fermentation process can increase the growth rate and produce lactic acid through heterofermentative and homofermentative processes [20]One of the lactic acid bacteria added to the process of making rusip is Leuconostocsp which is a heterofermentative group of bacteria [23]. Leuconostocsp bacteria can grow well for 15 days of fermentation process [22]. Addition of lactic acid bacteria culture to the sindol which is a fish

IOP Publishing

fermentation product from India gives changes of organoleptic, physicochemical, and microbiological properties of the resulting product [5].

Non-spontaneous rusip has a salt content 19.49% lower than spontaneous rusip 24.94%. The dominant growth of lactic acid bacteria since the beginning of the fermentation process is able to suppress the growth of bacterial decomposition and pathogens [30]. Thus, the use of salt by lactic acid bacteria in spontaneous rusip more than spontaneous rusip[20]. The high lactic acid bacteria in non spontaneousrusip also affects the decrease of TVN, total mold, and total microbial value[19] [20]. The addition of cultures of lactic acid bacteria to fermentation products will produce metabolite products that are antimicrobial, increase sensory, nutrient, and shelf life of the resulting product[2], [31], [32].

Amino acids (%)		Rusip	
	Spontaneous	Non spontaneous	
aspartic acid	1,39 a	1.41 a	
glutamateacid	2,07 a	2.06 a	
serine	0,56 a	0 , 56 a	
histidine	0,31 a	0.28 a	
glycine	0,57 a	0.61 a	
threonine	0.55 a	0.51 a	
arginine	0.55 a	0.53 a	
alanine	0.91 a	0.90 a	
tyrosine	0.43 a	0.45 a	
methionine	0.33 a	0.07 b	
valine	0.66 a	0.66 a	

Description: Figures in row followed by the same letters mean no significant difference in the level of 5%

Table 2 shows that the amino acid composition of spontaneous and non spontaneousrusip is complete both essential and non essential amino acids. The results showed that amino acid composition between non spontaneous and spontaneous rusip was not significantly except for methionine and lysine. Dominant amino acid in rusip is glutamate acid and aspartate acid. [15] mentioned that glutamate acid, aspartate acid, and lysine are the dominant amino acids in the rusip with higher protein digestibility values than budu.[12]stated that the addition of mixed cultures to the fish fermentation can increase the amino acid composition. The composition of amino acids is also similar to other fish fermentation products such as oyster sauce[3], fish sauce [14] and[6], sauce oyster [13],fermented shrimp [10],budu and Rusip[15].

The dominant amino acid composition in fish fermentation product is glutamate acid. The difference in the number of each amino acid is caused by the difference in the free amino acid balance produced by autolysis and microbial activity [14]. Amino acids significantly affect the taste of Yu-lu fish fermentation products [25],[14]. Glutamate acid gives the aroma of meaty[14]. Amino acids glycine, alanine, serine, and threonine give a sweet taste, while valine, phenylalanine, and histidine give a bitter taste [24].[27]states that glutamic acid is closely related to "umami", which is produced as a relatively stable amino acid in the absence of secondary decomposition during fermentation.

#### Table 3. Fatty acidcompositions of Rusip

Eatty agids $(0/)$	Rusip	
Fatty acids (%)	Spontaneous	Non spontaneous
caprylic acid, C8: 0	0.05 a	0.05 a
capric acid, C10: 0	0.03 a	0.04 a
lauric acid, C12: 0	0.34 a	0.30 a
acid tridecanoat, C13: 0	0.11 a	0.11 a
acid myristate, C14: 0	3.21 a	2.87 a
acid pentadekanoat, C15: 0	0.61 a	0.56 a
acid palmitate, C16: 0	10.95 a	9.62 a
acids palmitoleic, C16: 1	3.26 a	2.89 a
acid heptadekanoat, C17: 1	0.82 a	0.71 a
acid cis-10-heptadecanoat, C17: 1	0.16 a	0.15 a
stearic acid, C18: 0	4.12 a	3.52 a
acid elaidic, C18: 2n6c	0.08 a	0.06 a
oleic acid, C18: 1n9c	4.66 a	4 , 15 a
linoleic acid, C18: 2n6c	0.67 a	0.61 a
arakidat acids, C20: 0	0.31 a	0.26 a
g-linolenic acid, C18: 3n6	0.15 a	0.13 a
acid cis-11-eicosenoat, C20: 1	0.08 a	0.07 a
acids linolenic, C18: 3n3	0 , 40 a	0.25 a
heneicosanoat acids, C21: 0	0.09 a	0.08 a
acid cis-11,14- eicosedienoat, C20: 2	0.10 a	0.10 a
behenic acid, C22: 0	0.43 a	0.37 a
acid cis-8,11,14-eicosetrienoat, C20: 3n6	0.13 a	0.13 a
erucat acid, C22: 1N9	0.02 a	0.03 a
acid cis-11,14,17-eicosetrienoat, C20: 3n3	0.04 a	0.05 a
arachidonic acid, C20:4n6,	4.53 a	4.01 a
tricosanoat acid C23: 0	0.08 a	0.12 a
acid lignocerat, C24: 0	0.79 a	0.74 a
acid cis-5,8,11,14,17-eicosapentaenoic, C20: 5n3	4.05 a	3.37 a
nervonat acid, C24: 1	0.23 a	0.19 a
cis-4,7,10,13,16 Acid, 19-docosahexaenoat, C22: 6n3	16.62 a	14.77 b

Table 3 shows that fatty acids dominant in rusip are docosahexaenoic acid, palmitic acid, oleic acid, arachidonic acid, stearic acid, eicosapentaenoate, palmitoleic acid, and myristic acid. The results showed that the composition of spontaneous and non-spontaneous fatty acids was not significantly different except docosahexaenoic acid. The content of docosahexaenoic acid in spontaneous rusip is 16,62% higher than non spontaneous 14,77%. The high content of docosahexaenoic acid causes a distinctive aroma in fish sauce[6].[34] mentioned that the content of docosahexaenoic acid in the bekasem from anchovy fish is lower than the fresh anchovy. The fatty acid docosahexaenoate decreases after fermentation by addition of bacterial culture of lactic acid. This can happen because of the decrease in salt concentration in the rusip. Non-spontaneous rusip has a lower salinity than spontaneous rusip due to the growth of lactic acid bacteria utilizing salt from 25% to 19.49[20].

The fatty acid with one double bond (MUFA) or more than one double bond (PUFA) is an essential fatty acid commonly found in fish and is needed by the body [29].[26]states that free radicals can cause cellular damage in the body, so antioxidant compounds are needed. Antioxidant compounds can prevent damage by inhibiting the production of major catalysts in lipid peroxidation. The Maillard Reaction products (MRPs) formed during the fermentation process can increase antioxidant activity [28].[3]states that shrimp paste fermented with 25% salt addition for 36 days indicates antioxidant

activity. Rusip is a fermented product of fish fyang is also likely to show the antioxidant activity obtained from fatty acid content.

#### 4. Conclusions

The fermentation process rusip spontaneous and non-spontaneous show a real difference to the total acid, reducing sugar, salt content, TVN, total lactic acid bacteria, total fungi, and total microbes. The amino acid content dominant in rusip spontaneous and non-spontaneous are glutamic acid and aspartic acid, while the fatty acids predominantly on rusip spontaneous and non-spontaneous are docosahexaenoatacid, palmitic acid, asam oleic asamarachidonic, stearic acid, eicosapentaenoic acid, palmitoleic acid and myristic acid.

#### 5. References

- [1] Afriani 2008 Quality and potency of curd (dadih) as source additional income of buffalo farmer in Kerinci*JIIP***11** (3) 144
- [2] Adesokan I A, Odetoyinbo B B, Ekanola Y A, Avanrenren R E and Fakorede S 2011 Production of Nigerian Nono using lactic starter cultures Pakistan Journal of Nutrition 10 (3) 203.
- [3] Anggo A D, Ma'ruf W F, Swastawati F and Rianingsih L 2015 Changes of amino and fatty acids in anchovy (*Stolephorussp*) fermented fish paste with different fermentation periods *Procedia Environmental Sciences*23 58.
- [4] Association of Official Analytical Chemist 2005Official Methods of Analysis of The Association of Official Analytical Chemist. Arlington (Virginia USA Association of Official Analytical Chemists Inc) p 1141.
- [5] Das M K 2014 Starter culture development of sindol-a fermented fish product of Assam. International Journal of Agricultural Science and Research **4** (2) 107.
- [6] Dincer T, Cakli S, Kilinc B and Tolasa S 2010 Amino acid and fatty acid composition of fish sauce *Journal of Animal and Veterinary Advance***9** (2) 311.
- [7] FortunyM, SilvaE B,FilhoA C, MeloR LF V,NeleM, CoutinhoR CC, SantosA F 2008 Measuring Salinity in crude oils: Evaluation of methods and an improved procedure *Fuel*87 (7) 1241
- [8] Giri A, Nasu M, and Ohshima T 2012 Bioactive properties of Japanese fermented fish paste, fish miso, using koji inoculated with *Aspergillusoryzae International Journal of Nutrition and Food Sciences*1(1)13.
- [9] Gusakov A V, Kondratyeva E G and Sinitsyn A P 2011 Comparison of TwoMethods for Assaying Reducing Sugars in the Determination of Carbohydrase Activities International Journal of Analytical Chemistry 1
- [10] Hajeb P and Jinap S 2012 Fermented shrimp products as source of umami in Southeast Asia J Nutr Food Sci1.
- [11] Harrigan W. Fand McCance M E 1966 Laboratory Methods in Microbiology (London and New York: Academic Press) p 357
- [12] Ijong F G, and Ohta Y1995 Characteristics of bakasang fermented with lactic acid bacteriamixed culture *Journal of the Faculty of Applied Biological Science***34** (3)1.
- [13] Je J-Y, Park P-J, Jung W-K, and Kim S-K 2005 Amino acid changes in fermented oyster (*Crassostreagigas*) sauce with different fermentation periods *Food Chemistry***91**(1)15.
- [14] Jiang J-J,ZengQ-X, Zhu Z-W, Zhang L-Y 2007 Chemical and sensory changes associated Yulu fermentation process – a traditional Chinese fish sauce *Food Chemistry*104 (4)1629.
- [15] Khairi I N, Huda N, Abdullah W N W and Al-Karkhi A F M 2014 Protein quality of fish fermented product: Budu and Rusip. Asia Pacific Journal of Sustainable Agriculture Food and Energy2 (2) 17.

- [16] Kim Y-B, Choi Y-S, Ku S-K, Jang D-J, Hasnah and Moon K B 2014 Comparison of quality characteristics between belacan from Brunei Darussalam and Korean shrimp paste *Journal of Ethnic Foods***1** 19.
- [17] Koesoemawardani D<sup>a</sup> 2007 Conference proceedings of results of research and community service(Lampung University 6-7 September 2007) Research institutions Lampung University p 304
- [18] KoesoemawardaniD<sup>b</sup> 2007 Sensory analysis Rusip from Sungai Liat-Bangka JurnalTeknologidanIndustriHasilPertanian12 (2) p 36.
- [19] Koesoemawardani D, Rizal S, and Tauhid M 2013 Microbiological and chemical changes of Rusip during fermentation Agritech33 (3) 265.
- [20] Koesoemawardani D, Yuliana N and Sari M 2015 Proceedings of the national seminar and exhibition of food products 2015 "Innovation for Strengthening the role of industrial technology Toward Fulfillment acceleration of national food" (Semarang 20-21 October 2015) The association of Indonesian food technologists PATPI p 593.
- [21] Koo O K, Lee S J, Chung K R, Jang D J, Yang H J and Kwon D Y 2016 Korean traditional fermented fish products: jeotgal*Journal of Ethnic***3** 107.
- [22] Kurniati Y 2006 *Eassy*Fakulty of Agriculture Lampung University (Bandar Lampung: Lampung University)
- [23] Lahtinen S, Ouwehand A C, Salminen S, Wright A V 2011 Lactic Acid Bacteria: Microbiological and Functional Aspects (London:Taylor and Francis CRC Press) p 798.
- [24] Liu, Pei-zhi 1989 The umami of Yu-luFood Science(Chinese)4 37.
- [25] Lopetcharat K, Choi Y J, Park J W and Daeschel M A 2001 Fish Sauce Products and Manufacturing *Food Reviews International***17** 65.
- [26] Mohammed M T, Kadhim S M, Jassimand and Abbas S I 2015 Free radicals and human health. *International Journal of Innovation Sciences and Research***4** (6) 218.
- [27] Mizutani, T., Kimizuka, A., Ruddle, K., Ishige, N. 1992. Chemical components of fermented fish products. Journal of Food Composition and Analysis. Vol. 5 (2):152-159
- [28] Noguchi N and Niki E 1999 Chemistry of active oxygen species and antioxidants in A M Papas, Antioxidant status, diet, nutrition and health (Florida: CRC Press) p 3
- [29] Newton D E 2007 Food Chemistry (New York: An imprint of Infobase Publishing) p 225
- [30] Rosma A, Afiza TS, Wan Nadiah, WA, Liong MT and Gulam R R A 2009 Short communication microbiological, histamine and 3-MCPD contents of Malaysian unprocessed 'budu'. *International Food Research Journal* 16 589.
- [31] Savadogo A, Ouattara C A T, Bassole I H N and Traore A S 2004 Antimicrobial activities of lactic acid bacteria strains isolated from Burkina Faso fermented milk *Pakistan Journal of Nutrition***3** (3) 174.
- [32] Sholeva Z, Stefanova S and Chipeva V 1998 Screening of antimicrobial activities among *Bulgarianlactobacilli* strains *Journal of culture collections***2** 15.
- [33] Skåra T, Axelsson L, Stefânsson G, Ekstrand B and Hagen H 2015 Fermented and ripened fish products in the northern european countries *Journal of Ethnic Foods***2** 18.
- [34] Soetrisno U SS and Apriyantono RRS 2005 Nutrient quality and the safety bekasam as fermented small fish by addition of selected lactic acid bacteria or spontaneous fermentation. PGM28 (1)38.
- [35] Thapa N 2016 Ethnic fermented and preserved fish products of India and Nepal *Journal of Ethnic Foods* **3** 69.