#### PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

# Hydrothermal synthesis and characterization of nano-particles $\gamma\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$

To cite this article: H P Buwono et al 2021 IOP Conf. Ser.: Mater. Sci. Eng. 1073 012011

View the article online for updates and enhancements.

# You may also like

- Epitaxial growth and electric properties of -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>(110) films on -Ga<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>(010) substrates
  Mai Hattori, Takayoshi Oshima, Ryo
  Wakabayashi et al.
- Influence of Metal Oxide Coatings on the Microstructural and Electrochemical Properties of Different Carbon Materials Jesse J. Wouters, M. Isabel Tejedor-Tejedor, Julio J. Lado et al.
- Influence of Metal Oxide Coatings, Carbon Materials and Potentials on Ion Removal in Capacitive Deionization Jesse J. Wouters, M. Isabel Tejedor-Tejedor, Julio J. Lado et al.





DISCOVER how sustainability intersects with electrochemistry & solid state science research



This content was downloaded from IP address 3.12.36.30 on 07/05/2024 at 12:09

IOP Conf. Series: Materials Science and Engineering

# Hydrothermal synthesis and characterization of nanoparticles y-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>

#### H P Buwono<sup>\*</sup>, S Adiwidodo, H Wicaksono and H I Firmansyah

Mechanical Engineering Department, Politeknik Negeri Malang, Jl. Soekarno Hatta No. 9, Jatimulyo, Kec. Lowokwaru, Kota Malang, Jawa Timur 65141, Indonesia

1073 (2021) 012011

\*haris.puspito@polinema.ac.id

Abstract. The study of hydrothermal treatment on the phase formation and the crystallites of gamma-alumina ( $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) was performed. Different reaction time and temperature of hydrothermal treatment were investigated. The preparation of  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> includes precipitation of aluminum nitrate nonahydrate by ammonia, aging, washing, transferred the gel into the hydrothermal reactor, drying, and calcination at 600 °C. The precipitation was stopped at pH equals 7.5. The reaction times of hydrothermal treatment were 1 - 2 hours, and the temperatures were 140 – 200 °C. X-Ray Diffraction analysis was used to investigate the phase formation and the particle size. The results show all the samples represented pure nano  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. The examination of hydrothermal treatment of  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> reveals as the reaction time longer, the crystallite grows bigger, as well as the higher temperature applied. The smallest  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> obtained based on the Scherrer equation is 4 nm and the biggest is 6.5 nm. Interestingly, the peaks of the  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> prepared by hydrothermal treatment at the longest time and the highest temperature have shifted into a higher diffraction angle. In the case of this sample, the peaks shifted into a higher diffraction angle. That is means there is a change in the lattice structure of  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> probably was affected by the lattice of hydroxyl content.

#### **1. Introduction**

Alumina, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, is a ceramic material used in across-the-board applications for adsorbents, abrasives, catalysts, and catalyst supports [1-7]. The extensive use of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> in heterogeneous catalysis has ascended since its high chemical and thermal stability as well as its desirable textural properties such as porosity, surface area, and acidity/basicity [3,4,8]. Alumina has many phases depend on the condition. The phase transformation of alumina is closely related to temperature [9-11]. The most stable phase is alpha-alumina (α-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) present at a temperature higher than 1100 °C. The highest specific surface area is gamma-alumina ( $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) present at 400 – 600 °C. So that  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> is a metastable phase among alumina phases. Gamma-alumina is also frequently used as a support of heterogenous catalysts due to its high specific surface area, high thermal stability, and desirable acidity/basicity. It is also used widely as catalysts and adsorbents for chemical processes [2,12-19]. Commercially  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> has been prepared through precipitation and sol-gel route [1,2,8,9].

Nowadays the attention has shifted towards nanostructured materials since changing the morphology at the nanoscale can probably lead to materials with different physical and chemical properties, regardless of the widespread use of bulk  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> [2,9]. By controlling the morphology, it can lead to selective contact of support and metal so that different metal support interactions are observed [20-24].

Content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 licence. Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI. Published under licence by IOP Publishing Ltd 1

These specific interactions can offer stability to metal nanoparticles. The surface properties of the nanoparticles also play an important role in their catalytic properties [19,25,26]. For instance, the surface area of TiO was closely related to a hydrothermal parameter such as filling factor, temperature, stirring, raw materials specific surface area, and reaction time [27]. The hydrothermal treatment also affected the total acidity, acidity distribution on  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. However the textural properties of the  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> like specific surface area prepared by hydrothermal treatment almost the same to those samples prepared by precipitation, as well as the pore volume of samples [28]. The hydrothermal treatment also affected the binding energy of Pt on  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> so that it increases the selectivity of propane dehydrogenation and its turn over frequency (TOF) [25].

To prepare nanoparticle different structure-direct-agent alike surfactant and others were used in the synthesis using either room temperature such as precipitation or hydrothermal treatment method under high temperature over 100  $^{0}$ C and high pressure by autoclave reactors [1,15,29]. In this present work, we prepared nanoparticle of  $\gamma$ -alumina by hydrothermal treatment compared with precipitation method. The samples crystallized well and the growth of diameter crystallize size was observed at hydrothermal treatment. Then we investigated the effect of crystallization temperature and reaction time under hydrothermal treatment. The prepared  $\gamma$ -alumina was characterized by X-Ray Diffraction (XRD) analysis.

## 2. Experimental

#### 2.1. Preparation

Gamma-alumina ( $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) was prepared from aluminum nitrate nano hydrate (Merck, 98.5% in wt.). All the chemicals were used as received without any further purification. Initially, aluminum nitrate nano hydrate was dissolved in water under vigorous stirring. The molar ratio of water to aluminum nitrate nano hydrate was equal to 1. An aqueous ammonia solution (Merck, 25% in wt.) was added dropwise until the pH of the supernatant was 7.5. After aging for 1 hour, the slurry was transferred into a hydrothermal reactor and it was treated at 140 – 200 °C for 1 – 2 hours. The white gel thus obtained was recovered by filtration and washed with deionized water. After drying overnight at 110 °C, the solid thus obtained was calcined in air at 600 °C for 6 h. As a reference, another  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> was prepared by precipitation method in the same way as the above without hydrothermal treatment.

#### 2.2. Characterization

Powder X-Ray Diffraction (XRD) analysis was performed using monochromatic Cu Kα radiation (PanAnalytical). The diameter crystallite sizes were calculated by the Scherrer equation.

## 3. Results and discussion

## 3.1. Peak intensity

Figure 1 shows the XRD pattern of  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> synthesized by precipitation (1a) and hydrothermal treatment (1b-d) after calcination at 600 °C, for 6 h. Three intense peaks were identified. According to US Patent US Patent No. US 2009/0104.108 1A, three peaks of typical  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> appeared at 38°, 45°, and 67° after calcined at 600 °C for 6 h [30]. According to Rahmanpour, the peaks of calcined g-alumina at 550 °C for 4 h appeared at 37.8°, 45.7°, and 66.9° [2]. Moreover, the pattern was compared with Joint Committee on Powder Diffraction Standards (JCPDS) 29-0063 as supplied in the supporting information (see Figure S1) and it also showed three intense peaks at 38°, 45°, and 67° assigned to (3 1 1), (4 0 0), and (4 4 0) consecutively with the lattice is face-centered cubic (fcc). Therefore, the prepared material represents the single phase of  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> so that it was synthesized successfully via both precipitation and hydrothermal treatment.

Figure 1 also shows the effect of hydrothermal treatment on the diffraction peaks intensity. All  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> prepared by hydrothermal treatment (Figure 1 b-d) shows the diffraction peaks intensity higher than that prepared by precipitation. It also shows under hydrothermal treatment that as the temperature

increase, the diffraction peaks intensity increases as well as the reaction time. That figure shows that under hydrothermal treatment, the particles were recrystallized and it grew into bigger particles. It is also clear that the  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> under hydrothermal treatment has good crystallinity compared with that prepared by precipitation.



**Figure 1.** X-Ray Diffraction of  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> after calcination at 600 °C for 6 h for precipitation (a) and hydrothermal (b-d) at different conditions b) 140 °C for 1 h, c) 140 °C for 2 h, and d) 200 °C for 2 h.

#### 3.2. Average crystallite size

The boarding of the peaks occurs in the g-alumina prepared by precipitation as shown in Figure 1 (a). The hydrothermal treatment at 140 °C – 200 °C for 1 – 2 h leads to more matching of the (d) spacing and increase the intensity. That is means the crystallites grow bigger than that prepared by the precipitation method. To confirm the size of the diameter crystallite, Scherrer calculation was performed. The Scherrer equation was shown in equation 1 where D is the diameter crystallite, k is a dimensionless shape factor,  $\lambda$  is the X-ray wavelength,  $\beta$  is the line broadening at half the maximum intensity (FWHM), and  $\theta$  is the Bragg angle.

$$D = \frac{k \lambda}{\beta \cos \theta} \tag{1}$$

**Table 1.** Diameter crystallite sizes of  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> after calcination at 600 °C for 6 h for precipitation (a) and hydrothermal (b-d) at different conditions b) 140 °C for 1 h, c) 140 °C for 2 h, and d) 200 °C for 2 h.

Identity	Sample	D (nm)
а	$\gamma$ -Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> - Precipitation	3.73
b	γ -Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> - HT 140 °C, 1h	4.08
с	γ -Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> - HT 140 °C, 2h	4.59
d	γ -Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> - HT 200 °C, 2h	6.53

ATASEC 2020		IOP Publishing
IOP Conf. Series: Materials Science and Engineering	1073 (2021) 012011	doi:10.1088/1757-899X/1073/1/012011

Table 1 shows the diameter of the crystallite size. It shows the crystallite sizes of samples prepared by hydrothermal treatment growth bigger than that prepared by precipitation. During hydrothermal treatment, the crystallite restructure. Jun-Cheng et. al showed the hydrothermal treatment of  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> for prolongation of the reaction time led to a decrease in the specific surface area which closely related to the overgrowth of the surface crystallites [28]. Based on the crystallite size, it could be inferred that the bigger crystallite size, the surface area probably also decreased. The specific surface area of samples at moderate hydrothermal conditions (140 °C, 1 – 2 h) is quite the same. However, the specific surface area at a high temperature (200 °C), even for moderate reaction time, is decreased.

#### *3.3. Shifted peaks at a higher diffraction angle*

Figure 2 shows the XRD of samples at 55 - 75 degrees. It clearly shows that at the moderate hydrothermal condition (140 °C, 1-2 h) the peaks quite the same with the sample prepared by precipitation. However, at the high temperature (200 °C) the peaks shifted into a higher diffraction angle. Compared with samples prepared by precipitation, the shifted peaks at hydrothermal treatment were observed for ZnS, ZnAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, and BaTiO<sub>3</sub> [31–33]. Hayashi and Ebina showed that as the hydrothermal temperature increase, the peaks intensity of diffraction pattern increase and shifted, and the lattice hydroxyl content decreased thus, tetragonality might be closely related to the lattice hydroxyl content along with to the particle size [33]. A similar state probably applied to this sample that at the high-temperature hydrothermal treatment the peaks intensity increased and shifted so that the structure was affected by the lattice of hydroxyl content.



**Figure 2.** X-Ray Diffraction of  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> after calcination at 600 °C for 6 h for precipitation (a) and hydrothermal (b-d) at different conditions b) 140 °C for 1 h, c) 140 °C for 2 h, and d) 200 °C for 2 h.

#### 4. Conclusion

Nanoparticles of  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> were successfully synthesized through hydrothermal treatment. The crystallites size diameter of  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> prepared by a hydrothermal treatment was bigger than those prepared by precipitation. They are 4 – 6.5 nm, in contrast to those prepared by precipitation (3.7 nm). The prolonged hydrothermal treatment time, as well as the higher temperature, the crystallites grow bigger. The shifted peaks into higher diffraction angle of  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> were observed on the 200 °C and 2 h of hydrothermal treatment indicating the crystal lattices were affected by the lattice of hydroxyl content.

5. Supporting information

IOP Conf. Series: Materials Science and Engineering 1073

doi:10.1088/1757-899X/1073/1/012011



**Figure 3.** X-Ray Diffraction (XRD) patterns of gamma-alumina ( $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) of Joint Committee on Powder Diffraction Standards (JCPDS) 29-0063.

#### Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank you to UPT P2M Politeknik Negeri Malang DIPA No. SP DIPA 023.18.2.677606/2020 with contract no. 5272/PL2.1/HK/2020 for financial support.

#### References

- [1] Bell T E, González-Carballo J M, Tooze R P and Torrente-Murciano L 2017 *RSC advances* **7**(36) 22369-22377
- [2] Rahmanpour O, Shariati A and Nikou M R K 2012 Int J Chem Eng Appl. 125-8
- [3] Yao H C, Japar S and Shelef M 1977 *J Catal* **50**(3) 407–18
- [4] Burch R and Loader P K 1996 Appl Catal A Gen. 143(2) 317–35
- [5] Weckhuysen B M, Verberckmoes A A, Debaere J, Ooms K, Langhans I and Schoonheydt R A 2000 J Mol Catal A Chem. 151(1–2) 115–31
- [6] Shi H, Chen J, Yang Y and Tian S 2014 Fuel Process Technol. 118 161–70
- Bautista F M, Campelo J M, Garcia A, Luna D, Marinas J M and Romero A A et al. 1994 *J Catal*. 145 107–25
- [8] Keshavarz A R, Rezaei M and Yaripour F 2011 J Nat Gas Chem. 20(3) 334–8
- [9] Pimsorn P, Tipakonthitikul R, Niyompan A, Kanchanarat N and Tunkasiri T 2008 *Adv Mater Res.* **55–57** 653–6
- [10] Paranjpe K Y 2017 Pharma Innov. 6(11) 236–8
- [11] Khairani R, Setiawan H and Sunendar B 2016 J Keramik dan Gelas Indones. 25(2) 89–95
- [12] Chen Y-W and Wang W-J 2000 React Kinet Catal Lett. 71(1) 41–6
- [13] Buwono H P, Minami S, Uemura K and Machida M 2015 Ind Eng Chem Res. 54(29) 7233-40
- [14] Park J, Sato H, Shibata K, Shao S, Nishihama S and Yoshizuka K 2012 J Ion Exch. 23(3) 82-7
- [15] Ali S, Abbas Y, Zuhra Z and Butler I S 2019 Nanoscale Adv. 1(1) 213-8
- [16] Bahrin D, Subagjo S and Susanto H 2016 Bull Chem React Eng Catal. 11(1) 93-9

IOP Conf. Series: Materials Science and Engineering 1073 (2021) 012011 doi:10.1088/1757-899X/1073/1/012011

**IOP** Publishing

- [17] Liu Y, Wang X, Tian F and Jia C 2004 *Cuihua Xuebao*. 25(9) 721–6
- [18] Ibrahim M M 2019 J Environ Chem Eng. 7(1) 102848
- [19] Zhang H, Ruan Y, Feng Y, Su M, Diao Z and Chen D 2019 J Colloid Interface Sci. 536 180-8
- [20] Srifa A, Viriya-empikul N, Assabumrungrat S, Faungnawakij K, Stakheev A Y and Kustov L M 2016 J Catal. 5(1) 3693–705
- [21] Lee J, Burt S P, Carrero C A, Alba-Rubio A C, Ro I and O'Neill B J 2015 J Catal. 330 19–27
- [22] Jun J W, Suh Y W, Suh D J and Lee Y K 2018 Catal Today. 302(September 2016) 108–14
- [23] Arun N, Sharma R V and Dalai A K 2015 Renew Sustain Energy Rev. 48 240–55
- [24] Stakheev A Y and Kustov L M 1999 Appl Catal A Gen. 188(1) 3–35
- [25] Mironenko R M, Belskaya O B, Talsi V P, Gulyaeva T I, Kazakov M O and Nizovskii A I 2014 Applied Catal A: Gen. 469 472–82
- [26] Li J, Xiang L, Feng X and Wang Z 2008 254 2077-80
- [27] Safaei M, Sarraf-Mamoory R, Rashidzadeh M and Manteghian M 2010 Physica Status Solidi C 7(11–12) 2727–30
- [28] Jun-Cheng L, Lan X, Feng X, Zhan-Wen W and Fei W 2006 Appl Surf Sci. 253(2) 766–70
- [29] Mahajan S 2001 Hydrothermal synthesis reactor Encycl Mater Sci Technol. 3989–92
- [30] Jun K-W, Lee Y-J, Kim S-M and Jeon Y K 2009 Patent Application Publication Pub. No.: US 2009 / 0186126A1.1(19) 2–5
- [31] Tuan N T, Trung-Kien N D, Huy P T and Tung N H 2011 *e-Journal Surf Sci Nanotechnol*. 9(March 2015) 521–5
- [32] Kumari P, Dwivedi Y and Bahadur A 2018 Optik (Stuttg). 154(October) 126–32
- [33] Hayashi H and Ebina T 2018 J Ceram Soc Japan. 126(3) 214–20