PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

Assessment of strength of elements produced using 3D printing technology

To cite this article: A Yu Perelygina et al 2021 IOP Conf. Ser.: Mater. Sci. Eng. 1064 012052

View the article online for updates and enhancements.

You may also like

- <u>Charge spectrum of galactic cosmic ray</u> <u>nuclei as measured in meteorite olivines</u> Andrei B Aleksandrov, Aleksandr V Bagulya, Mikhail S Vladimirov et al.
- Rational design of low-tonnage technological complexes for fine and ultrafine grinding of materials
 D N Perelygin, I P Boichuk and A S Gorlov
- <u>Search for transuranium elements</u> (methods, results, and prospects) G B Zhdanov





DISCOVER how sustainability intersects with electrochemistry & solid state science research



This content was downloaded from IP address 3.23.127.197 on 07/05/2024 at 11:48

IOP Conf. Series: Materials Science and Engineering

Assessment of strength of elements produced using 3D printing technology

A Yu Perelygina, V B Raspopina, A V Rudykh and P A Grigorov

Irkutsk National Research Technical University, 83, Lermontova str., Irkutsk, 664074, Russia

1064 (2021) 012052

E-mail: perelygina@ex.istu.edu, raspopina_vb@ex.istu.edu

Abstract. In some cases, 3D-printed products must be able to resist the stresses caused by their functionality. The design of bionic artificial hand is considered as a construction made using 3D printing technology, the strength of which must be assessed. The artificial limb is made using extrusion plastic printing. In order to assess the strength of the artificial limb, the experimental study of the samples made using 3D printing technology was carried out. The influence of three parameters on the strength characteristics of the samples was studied: the percentage of filling, the filling pattern and the direction of the material layering in the sample.

1. Introduction

The powerful possibilities of additive technologies make them relevant in the modern world of digital production [6, 12, 13, 22]. Nowadays their functionality has increased significantly from prototyping to structures that accept workloads [12, 13, 15, 19, 21, 25]. Moreover, it covers such high-tech spheres of production as aircraft construction, shipbuilding, mechanical engineering, instrument production and the field of medical engineering.

In particular, the technology of three-dimensional printing or 3D-printing, called FDM - printing (Fused Deposition Modeling) is of great interest. It is based on extrusion printing, the essence of the method of which is that under the influence of an extruder, the source material is heated to a predetermined temperature and squeezed out through a nozzle, forming the required product layer by layer in accordance with the electronic model. This technology is interesting for its simplicity in operation, the ability to create parts of almost any geometry complexity and availability for a wide range of users.

The spectrum ranges from industrial to household users. This is due to the offer on the market of 3D printers of any price category with different technical capabilities that determine different functionality. In this regard, this direction of 3D printing is rapidly developing and already covers various products, the reliability criteria of which include the criteria of strength and rigidity. This means that the issues of the assessment of the strength of such products are becoming relevant [13–15, 19, 20, 26].

In particular, in the field of medicine, additive technologies have become extremely popular in many areas [20–24] for example, in the production of implants, prosthetics, dentistry, etc. Here the prototype model should take into account its physical and mechanical characteristics along with the geometric parameters of the prototype. Therefore, the important aspect of 3D production is to ensure the strength and stiffness corresponding to the prototype.

IOP Conf. Series: Materials Science and Engineering

2. Problem statement

The students of Irkutsk National Research University in the student innovation bureau "Aviator" are developing a bionic artificial hand for disabled people who have no wrist joint. Along with a wide functionality of the limb, one of the main advantages of the development is affordable price. It was decided to make the details of the limb using additive technology - plastic extrusion printing. For the production of the parts of the limb, inexpensive materials available to a wide range of consumers are proposed: ABS plastic, PetG plastic.

The performance characteristics of bionic artificial hand necessitate a responsible attitude towards its strength reliability. The design of the limb (Fig. 1) is a complex structure, the elements of which are simultaneously in different stress-strain states. Moreover, for an obvious reason, these states change during the operation of the limb. According to the classical theory of strength, the assessment of the parameters of the stress-strain state of a body requires knowledge of the mechanical characteristics of the material from which it is made [4]. At the same time, the theory is based on a number of hypotheses, principles and assumptions. Their performance has been proven experimentally. The important component of the theory is hypotheses about the properties of the studied body [3]. At the same time, they do not forget about the natural discreteness of the material. The schematization of the internal forces of a deformed body is based on this model of material properties.



Figure 1. Design of bionic artificial hand

The combination of this theory, the information on the mechanical characteristics of materials and modern technologies for the automation of engineering calculations of structures allows carrying out the calculations of a structure of almost any complexity with a high degree of reliability of the results. However, the additive technology used for the production of the main parts of the structure of bionic artificial hand does not allow assessing the parameters of the stress-strain state of the structure, based on the classical theory of strength. Considering how the content of the volume occupied by the body is formed [1, 17], the application of hypotheses about the homogeneity and continuity of the material is queried. In this case, it is necessary to take into account the structure that is formed from the filament of the corresponding material [7]. At the same time, the solution to this problem is carried out not at the micro, but at the macro level. Since the assessment of the structural components is correlated with the categories comparable to the diameter of the extruder bore.

In order to assess the parameters of strength and stiffness of a product, it is necessary to know the mechanical characteristics of the filament materials, that is, the monofilament of the raw material. As a rule, the producer declares them. However, this information is insufficient to assess the strength and stiffness of the product itself. Practice shows that these characteristics of a filament and a product do not coincide. In particular, the strength of the product is lower than the strength of the monofilament

of the raw material [4, 7]. Moreover, the value of this deviation depends on the factors both reasoned by the printing mode and the structure that fills the volume of the product [5, 8–10, 14, 18].

There are enough thermoplastics available for non-industrial 3D printing. Moreover, practice shows that the same thermoplastic from different producers has different characteristics. The characteristics may differ even when they are produced by one and the same producer and from one and the same thermoplastic but differently colored. Since the colorant of the material can affect the characteristics of the plastic.

The information on the research of the parameters of the stress-strain state of 3D-printed products is not uniform and, accordingly, not systematized. As a rule, these studies are carried out on the basis of field tests. These are tests of the samples or finished products with the violation of integrity and fixation of the value of the corresponding parameter of the state of strength or stiffness at this stage and the use of the terminology relevant within the framework of the hypothesis of the homogeneity and continuity of the material [8, 10, 11, 18].

The specificity of the design of the artificial hand is such that full-scale experiments that provide information on the strength and stiffness of the structure under all possible loading methods are impossible. Apart from other reasons they are impossible only because the number of experimental samples due to the number of loading cases is large.

However, the guarantee of product reliability is essential. As a result, a deep analysis of the structure is required, containing a reliable calculation of strength and stiffness. Therefore, a correct description of the material behavior of the loaded structure is required. In this case, the experimental studies of the behavior of loaded samples are needed.

There is information on the strength characteristics of molded plastic samples, while the design of bionic artificial hand requires the characteristics of samples layer-by-layer printed on a 3D printer. At this stage of the creation of bionic artificial hand, the information on the behavior of materials under static loads is relevant. In order to obtain this kind of information, the samples were tested for axial tension.

3. Input data for the experiment

The sizes of the sampless for testing for axial tension were taken in accordance with GOST 11262-2017 [16]. Figure 2 shows a standard sample of A type.



Figure 2. Sample of A type

The samples were made from PetG thermoplastic, produced by ABS Maker. The samples were printed on Anycubic Chiron printer with a print speed of 50 mm / sec, a working table temperature of 75 ° C and a nozzle temperature of 220 ° C. We tested the samples printed with two filling patterns: lines inclined at an angle to the longitudinal axis of the sample (Fig. 3, a) and triangles (Fig. 3, b). In the first case, the direction of the printing filaments periodically changed from layer to layer. Accordingly, the layers were attached to each other point wise, namely, in the limited areas of contact of the printing filaments. The location of these zones can be schematically characterized as the position of intersection points of the directions of printing filaments. In the second case, the structure of each layer can be characterized as a uniform grid with triangular cells. Moreover, the position of

IOP Conf. Series: Materials Science and Engineering

1064 (2021) 012052

each triangle does not change from layer to layer. Therefore, the layers are not attached to each other point wise, but along the entire path of the printing filament of a layer.



Figure 3. Filling patterns

The above mentioned analysis of the structure of the arrangement of the filaments when filling the sample volume allows assuming that the behavior of externally identical samples may differ under the action of the same loading scheme with different filling patterns.

Moreover, we can assume that it will differ for externally identical samples with the same filling pattern, but with a different direction of layer formation. In one case, the layers are formed in the direction of the minimum cross-sectional size of the sample, in the other case, in the direction of the maximum cross-sectional size of the sample (Fig. 4). Here, there is different amount of material in the cross sections of the samples. Within the framework of the theory of axial tension-compression, this factor determines different parameters of strength for externally identical samples. Therefore, the tests were carried out with two types of samples, printed flat (Fig. 4, a) and standing on the edge (Fig. 4, b).

10 samples were printed for each position, filling density and filling pattern. The tests were carried out on INSTRON 5982 tension testing machine for static testing with a loading rate of 1 mm / min.



Figure 4. Position of samples when printing

Research results 4.

Table 1 and Figure 5 shows the results of tension tests of the samples with the considered filling patterns (Fig. 3), the samples were printed with 60% filling density. The following designations are adopted in the tables of the article:

N_{max} - maximum effort, N;

 N_{max}^{aver} - average maximum effort, N;

 Δl - absolute elongation at maximum load, mm;

MEACS 2020		IOP Publishing
IOP Conf. Series: Materials Science and Engineering	1064 (2021) 012052	doi:10.1088/1757-899X/1064/1/012052

 Δl^{aver} - - average value of absolute elongation at maximum load, mm.

The abscissa shows the absolute elongation values at the moment of loading the samples. We mentioned above that 10 samples were printed for each filling pattern, but at the time of testing, some samples were destroyed outside the working area and were selected.

Filling pattern	No. sample	N _{max}	N_{max}^{aver}	Δl	Δl^{aver}
	2	1212		3,2	
Triangular	4	1214		3,2	3,1±(0,2)
	6	1086	1196±(60)	2,5	
	8	1237		3,3	
	9	1230		3,2	
	1	1285		3	
Linear	2	1383	$1279 \pm (60)$	3,4	2.4 + (0.2)
	3	1369	1378±(09)	3,5	$5,4\pm(0,2)$
	5	1474		3,8	

Table 1. Characteristics of samples with different filling patterns

According to Table 1 and Figure 5, the value of the average maximum tension force endured by the samples with a linear filling pattern exceeded by 13% the value of the average maximum tension force for samples with triangular elements. As for the stiffness characteristics, the average value of absolute elongation at maximum load for the samples with a linear pattern was 9% higher than for the samples with triangular filling. Perhaps the differences in strength and stiffness characteristics were associated both with the nature of the attachment of layers to one another during printing and with their structure, as it was mentioned earlier.

In further experiments, all the samples were printed with a triangular filling pattern as the sample with the most stable mechanical properties during testing. Let us consider the results of the experiments with different position of the samples during printing (flat and standing on the edge (Fig. 4)).



Figure 5. Tension diagrams of the samples with different filling patterns

IOP Conf. Series: Materials Science and Engineering 1064 (2021) 012052 doi:10.1088/1757-899X/1064/1/012052



Figure 6. Tension diagrams of the samples with different position of samples when printing

According to Table 2 and Figure 6, the position of the samples when printing does not affect the characteristics of the samples, since the deviation between the values of tension forces when printing flat and standing is 1%. However, the stiffness characteristic when printing samples flat is 9% higher when printing standing on the edge.

In order to assess the influence of the filling density of the samples, we also conducted tests, the results of which are shown in Table 3 and Figure 7.

Position of samples	No. sample	N _{max}	N ^{aver} Nmax	Δl	Δl^{aver}
	2	1212		3,2	
	4	1214		3,2	
Standing on the edge	6	1086	1196±(60)	2,5	$3,1\pm(0,2)$
	8	1239		3,3	
	9	1230		3,2	
	1	1177		3,5	
	2	1197		3,5	
Flat	3	1177	1181±(59)	3,3	$3,4\pm(0,2)$
	4	1176		3,5	
	5	1177		3,3	

Table 2. Characteristics of the samples with different position of samples when printing

	Table 3.	Characteristics	of the s	samples	depending	on the	% of filling
--	----------	-----------------	----------	---------	-----------	--------	--------------

Filling density of the samples	No. sample	N _{max}	N ^{aver}	Δl	Δl^{aver}
	2	1212		3,2	
60%	4	1214		3,2	
	6	1086	1196±(60)	2,5	$3,1\pm(0,2)$
	8	1237		3,3	
	9	1230		3,2	
	1	1391		3,1	
	3	1299		2,9	
100%	6	1350	1378±(69)	3,1	3±(0,2)
	8	1427		3	
	9	1424		3,1	

IOP Conf. Series: Materials Science and Engineering 1064 (2021) 012052 doi:10.1088/1757-899X/1064/1/012052



 Δl , mm

Figure 7. Tension diagrams of the samples at different filling densities

Thus, according to Table 3 and Figure 7, the average maximum tension force maintained by the samples with a filling density of 100% exceeds by 13% the average tension force for the samples with a filling density of 60%. At the same time, the mean value of absolute elongation at maximum load differs by only 3%, which is included in the confidence interval.

5. Conclusion

In this article, the authors studied three parameters of influence on the strength and stiffness characteristics of the samples: sample filling pattern, percentage of sample filling, direction of layering in the sample (the position of the samples when printing). Moreover, each influence parameter had two values, which were shown in Table 4. It summarized the results of all experiments, presented through the average values of the corresponding parameters of strength and stiffness.

Value of influence parameters					
Inclined lines 60%	Triangles 60%	Triangles 60%	Triangles 100%		
Edge	Edge	Flat	Edge		
1378±(69)	1196±(60)	1181±(59)	1378±(69)		
3,4±(0,2)	3,1±(0,2)	3,4±(0,2)	3,0±(0,2)		
	Inclined lines 60% Edge 1378±(69) 3,4±(0,2)	Value of influenInclined linesTriangles 60% 60% EdgeEdge $1378\pm(69)$ $1196\pm(60)$ $3,4\pm(0,2)$ $3,1\pm(0,2)$	Value of influence parametersInclined linesTrianglesTriangles 60% 60% 60% EdgeEdgeFlat $1378\pm(69)$ $1196\pm(60)$ $1181\pm(59)$ $3,4\pm(0,2)$ $3,1\pm(0,2)$ $3,4\pm(0,2)$		

Table 4. Experiment results

The experimental results allow assuming that with two equal parameters of influence (filling pattern and direction of layering), the strength characteristics of the samples depend on the density of their filling (60% and 100%), namely, with the increase in density, the maximum load endured by the samples increases. In addition, it affects strength characteristics and filling pattern (printing with lines or triangles) when the other two influence parameters are the same. However, the location of the samples during printing, that is, the direction of layering (standing on the edge or flat), with the other two equal parameters, does not affect the value of the average maximum tension force.

Regarding the stiffness characteristics of the samples, the experiments showed a significant effect of the filling patterns and the position of the samples when printed on the average absolute elongation.

The filling density with two equal parameters does not affect the specified characteristic (Table 4). Moreover, during the tests it was found that the samples printed with a linear pattern and a filling density of 60% and the samples printed with a triangular pattern and a filling density of 100% have equal values of the average tension force endured by the samples. A similar situation is observed for the characteristic of stiffness (Table 4). That is, it can be assumed that in order to achieve the required strength and stiffness characteristics several influence parameters should be combined in a certain way provided that the filament is saved.

It is necessary to note that the volume of experiments carried out during the preparation of this article does not allow making unambiguous conclusions about the strength and stiffness of the samples. As a result, at the moment it does not allow assessing the strength of a bionic artificial hand (Fig. 1). However, the results of the experiments make it possible to see some trends in the development of this topic and require further research with a large number of samples.

References

- [1] Balashov A V, Cherdantsev A O, Novikovsky E A, Ananyin S V and Beloplotov S V 2016 Study of the strength of products obtained by 3D printing *Polzunovsky Bull*. **2** 61-64
- [2] Kocheshkov I V, Kamonichkina N V and Kamonichkin D T 2019 Prospects for the use of 3D printing with polymeric materials in the manufacture and repair of engineering products Innovative Renovation Technologies in Mechanical Engineering: Coll. of I67 Proc. of the Int. Sci. and Tech. Conf. dedicated to the 150th anniversary of the Faculty of Mechanical Engineering Technologies and the Department of Materials Processing Technologies of M. N.E. Bauman (4-5 February 2019, Moscow) (Moscow: IIU MGOU) pp 95-99
- [3] Rabotnov Yu N 1988 *Mechanics of a deformable solid body*, training manual for universities, 2nd ed (Moscow: Science) 712 p
- [4] Dragunov Yu G, Zubchenko A S, Kashirsky Yu V et al 2014 *Grade of steels and alloys*, 4th ed. (Moscow) 1216 p
- [5] Petrov V M, Bezpalchuk S N and Yakovlev S P 2017 About the influence of structure on the strength of plastic products obtained by 3D printing *Bull. of the State University and River Fleet named after Admiral S. O. Makarov* **9(4)** 765-776. DOI: 1021821 / 2309-5180-2017-9-4-765-776.
- [6] Dizon J R C, Espera A H, Chen Q and Advincula R C 2017 Mechanical characterization of 3Dprinted polymers *Additive Manufacturing* **20** 44-67. DOI: 10.1016/j.addma.2017.12.002.
- [7] Balachov A V and Makarova M I 2019 Study of the structure and properties of products obtained by 3D printing *Engineering J. of Don* **1**
- [8] Wu W, Geng P, Li G, Zhao D, Zhang H and Zhao J 2015 Influence of Layer Thickness and Raster Angle on the Mechanical Properties of 3D-Printed PEEK and a Comparative Mechanical Study between PEEK and ABS *Materials* **8** 5834-5846. DOI: 10.3390 / ma809527.
- [9] Kovan V, Tezel T, Camurlu H E and Topal E S 2018 Effect of printing parameters on mechanical properties of 3D printed PLA / carbon fiber compos *Materials Science*. *Non-Equilibrium Phase Transformations* **4** 126-128.
- [10] Cantrell J, Rohde S, Damiani D et al 2017 Experimental Characterization of the Mechanical Properties of 3D-Printed ABS and Polycarbonate Parts *Advancement of Optical Methods in Experimental Mechanics* **3** 89-105. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-319-41600-7_11.
- [11] Divyathej M V, Varun M and Rajeev P 2016 Analysis of mechanical behavior of 3D printed ABS parts by experiments *Int. J. of Scientific & Engineering Research* **7**(**3**) 116-124
- [12] Nikitina L L and Gavrilova O E 2015 Prospects for the use of modern technologies of 3D printing in the production of light industry products from polymer materials *Bull. of the Technological University* 18(7) 224-226
- [13] Kocheshkov I V, Kamonichkina N V and Kamonichkin D T 2019 Prospects for the use of modern 3D printing technologies with polymeric materials in the manufacture and repair of

IOP Conf. Series: Materials Science and Engineering 1064 (2021) 012052 doi:10.1088/1757-899X/1064/1/012052

machine-building products Proc. of the Int. Sci. and Tech. Conf. "Innovative Renovation Technologies in Mechanical Engineering" pp 95-99

- [14] Coogan T J and Kazmer D O 2020 Prediction of interlayer strength in material extrusion additive manufacturing *Additive Manufacturing* **35** 1-16. DOI: 10.1016/j.addma.2020.101368.
- [15] Balashov A V, Zabortseva M N, Elyubaeva A S and Akhmetkali B K 2018 Improving the accuracy of gear wheels obtained by 3D printing *Proc. of the IX Int. Sci. and Pract. Conf. "Innovations in Mechanical Engineering"* pp 326-333
- [16] 2018 GOST 11262-2017 (ISO 527-2: 2012) Plastics. Tensile test method. Interstate standard (Moscow: Standartinform) 44 p
- [17] 2018 GOST R 57558-2017 (ISO / ASTM 52900: 2015) Russian Federation. Additive technological processes. Basic principles. Part 1. Terms and definitions. National standard (Moscow: Standartinform) 16 p
- [18] Kartashova E D and Muizemnek A Yu 2018 Identification of the parameters of the ABS-plastic model obtained by layer-by-layer fusion *Models, systems, networks in economics, technology, nature and society* 1(25) 130-140
- [19] Munasinghe N, Woods M, Miles L and Paul G 2019 3-D Printed Strain Sensor for Structural Health Monitoring IEEE Int. Conf. on Cybernetics and Intelligent Systems (CIS) and the IEEE Int. Conf. on Robotics, Automation and Mechatronics (RAM), Bangkok. DOI: 10.1109 / CIS-RAM47153.2019.9095826
- [20] Popescu D, Baciu F, Vlăsceanu D, Cotruț C and Marinescu R 2020 Effects of multiple sterilizations and natural aging on the mechanical behavior of 3D-printed ABS *Mechanics of Materials* 148 1-12. doi.org/10.1016/j.mechmat.2020.103423
- [21] Javaid M and Haleem A 2018 Additive manufacturing applications in orthopedics: A review *J. of Clinical Orthopedics and Trauma* **9** 202-206
- [22] Reddy R D P 2020 Additive manufacturing in drug delivery applications: A review *Int. J. of Pharmaceutics* **589** 1-34
- [23] da Silva L A, Medola F O, Rodrigues A C T and Sandnes F E 2019 Interdisciplinary-Based Development of User-Friendly Customized 3D Printed Upper Limb Prosthesis, in: Ahram T, Falcao C (eds) Advances in Usability, User Experience and Assistive Technology. AHFE 2018. Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing, vol 794 (Springer, Cham) DOI: https:// doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-94947-5 88.
- [24] da Silva B B, Porsani R N, Hellmeister L A V, Medola F O and Paschoarelli L C 2020 Design and Development of a Myoelectric Upper Limb Prosthesis with 3D Printing: A Low-Cost Alternative, in: Di Nicolantonio M, Rossi E, Alexander T (eds) Advances in Additive Manufacturing, Modeling Systems and 3D Prototyping. AHFE 2019. Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing, vol 975 (Springer, Cham) DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-20216-3 30</u>.
- [25] Kulikov A A, Nebyshinets Yu V, Sidorova A V and Balanovsky A E 2020 3D printing technology for metal products: from a computer-aided design system to a real part *Irkutsk State Technical University Bull.* 24(4) 728–739. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.21285/1814-3520-2020-4-728-739</u>.
- [26] Larchenko A G 2019 Assessment of the quality of products made of polymer materials for machine-building purposes *Irkutsk State Technical University Bull.* 23(3) 463–471. DOI: 10.21285 / 1814-3520-2019-3-463-471.
- [27] Govorkov A S, Lavrenteva M V and Fokin I V 2018 Mathematical modeling of making mechanical engineering products based on an information model *MATEC Web of Conferences* 224 02022. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1051/matecconf/201822402022.
- [28] Lavrentyieva M and Govorkov A 2017 Using a discrete product model to determine the design element junctures MATEC Web of Conferences 129 03002. DOI: 10.1051/matecconf/201712903003