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Abstract

Urban areas are currently responsible for ~70 % of the global energy-related carbon dioxide (CO₂) emissions, and rapid ongoing global urbanization is increasing the number and size of cities. Thus, understanding city-scale CO₂ emissions and how they vary between cities with different urban densities is a critical task. While the relationship between CO₂ emissions and population density has been explored widely in prior studies, their conclusions were sensitive to inconsistent definitions of urban boundaries and the reliance upon CO₂ emission inventories that implicitly assumed population relationships.

Here we provide the first independent estimates of direct per capita CO₂ emissions (Epc) from spaceborne atmospheric CO₂ measurements from the Orbiting Carbon Observatory-2 (OCO-2) for a total 20 cities across multiple continents. The analysis accounts for the influence of meteorology on the satellite observations with an atmospheric model. The resultant upwind source region sampled by the satellite serves as an objective urban extent for aggregating emissions and population densities. Thus, we are able to detect emission “hotspots” on a per capita basis from a few cities, subject to sampling restrictions from OCO-2. Our results suggest that Epc declines as population densities increase, albeit the decrease in Epc is partially limited by the positive correlation between Epc and per capita Gross Domestic Product (GDP). As additional CO₂-observing satellites are launched in the coming years, our space-based approach to understanding CO₂ emissions from cities has significant potential in tracking and evaluating the future trajectory of urban growth and informing the effects of carbon reduction plans.
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1. Introduction

As concerns mount among the global community for stabilizing climate and limiting warming to within 1.5°C from pre-industrial levels (Allen et al 2019), cities have attracted attention as an arena where carbon emissions have the potential for significant reductions. While ongoing urbanization brings challenges to human welfare (Asrar et al 2019), urbanization is also an opportunity to transition cities to be more “sustainable”. As such, many mayors around the world have made pledges to reduce carbon emissions from their cities (e.g., C40, Watts et al 2015). Against this societal and scientific backdrop, urgent needs exist for studies of carbon emissions and how such emissions vary with socioeconomic drivers. Given the highly dynamic and heterogeneous nature of urban systems, empirical relationships between urban CO₂ emissions and other characteristics about the cities may help inform the effectiveness of carbon reduction actions.

As two of the most widely studied urban characteristics, total population count and population density are closely linked to the intensity of socioeconomic activities and resulting CO₂ emissions (Fragkias et al 2013, Gudipudi et al 2016). Compared to population size, population density contains information about the spatial allocation of individuals, with implications for urban planning. Furthermore, per capita emission accounting is commonly used as the basis with
which emission reductions obligations as part of climate change agreements (Aldy 2006).

Numerous papers have attempted to elucidate city-scale CO₂ emissions and how they covary with population (and density) with both empirical fits and theoretical explanations (Bettencourt et al. 2007, Fragkias et al. 2013, Bettencourt 2013, Oliveira et al. 2014, Gately et al. 2015, Gudipudi et al. 2016, 2019, Ribeiro et al. 2019). For example, a “sublinear” emission-density relationship implies a slower increase in total emission (i.e., decrease in per capita emission, Epc) relative to the increase in population density. In addition to population (density), competing factors may affect the efficiency of population density in modifying Epc. To address the impact on emissions from competing factors, Fragkias et al. (2013) and Gately et al. (2015) examined their emission-population relationship with additional factors such as per capita personal income, job density, and population growth rate. Recent efforts have been made towards overcoming the possible confounding effects from urban area, GDP, and energy consumption, using sophisticated frameworks further inspired by the economic theory of production or the Kaya Identity (Ribeiro et al. 2019, Gudipudi et al. 2019).

However, prior studies examining per capita CO₂ estimates and how they covary with urban characteristics are subject to two main weaknesses:

1) **Definitions of urban extent differ among studies.** Consequently, inconsistent urban definitions leads to discrepancies in per capita urban emissions and divergent scaling relations and conclusions on “whether larger cities are greener” (Fragkias et al. 2013, Oliveira et al. 2014).

2) **Lack of observational constraints.** Most prior studies infer CO₂ emissions from self-reported data or emission inventories. Differing methods and purposes may result in reported emissions being inconsistent between local, regional, and national scales (Guan et al. 2012). In addition, population data are often used in inventory development. Thus, analyses of relationships between inventory-based emissions and population could simply reproduce the inventories’ underlying assumptions.

As global-scale CO₂ measurements have become available in recent years, studies have emerged to leverage spaceborne CO₂ measurements for studying urban emissions and urban characteristics. For example, column CO₂ (XCO₂) enhancements due to urban emissions derived from OCO-2 have been related to urban area sizes and self-reporting fossil fuel emissions over dozens of global cities (Labzovskii et al. 2019). However, this study did not explicitly derive CO₂ emissions from the satellite measurements nor account for atmospheric transport.

In this work, we provide the first independent observational constraint on per capita emissions and their relationship with population density and per capita GDP, by using spaceborne CO₂ measurements from OCO-2 (Crisp et al. 2017), launched by NASA in 2014. OCO-2 retrieves the vertically integrated atmospheric column CO₂ (XCO₂) concentrations and has already demonstrated capability for informing the global carbon cycle (Liu et al. 2017) and quantifying fine-scale CO₂ emissions from power plants (Nassar et al. 2017) and a megacity (Hedelius et al. 2018).

### 2. Data and Methodology

By using OCO-2 measurements (Sect. 2.1) and an atmospheric transport model (Sect. 2.2), our goal is to extract the urban XCO₂ signal and use it to quantify city-wide per capita CO₂ emissions (Epc) in different cities, with an attempt to examine how the urban emissions scale with variables such as population density and GDP (Sect. 2.3 – 2.4).

#### 2.1. Target cities and OCO-2 observations

We select 20 cities across the midlatitudes over multiple continents (black boxes in figure 1(a)) based on favourable data quality and sampling patterns from OCO-2, minimal interference from vegetation, and relatively high population density according to the Gridded Population of the World (GPWv4; CIESIN, 2017). As an indicator of potential interference from vegetation, contiguous solar-induced florescence (CSIF) product (Zhang et al. 2018) during northern hemispheric non-growing season in 2016 is used to confirm minimal vegetation activities in target cities (figure 1(a)). We also examined the residual biogenic impact on our emission estimates (SI Appendix, Text D2).

Only satellite tracks whose upwind regions overlapping with the urban land during the non-growing season between September 2014 and April 2019 were analyzed for every city. The numbers of qualified satellite tracks span from 6 to 10 for northern hemispheric cities and are smaller for southern hemispheric cities (Table S1). In the end, 134 satellite tracks were analyzed for emission estimates. For every track, we selected 50 to 90 screened satellite soundings with quality flags of zero from version 9 of OCO-2 lite files (OCO-2 Science Team/Michael Gunson, Ammarie Eldering 2018) to interpret track-specific urban XCO₂ signals (further explained in Sect. 2.3). More details on the criteria for selecting cities and satellite tracks are described in SI Appendix, Text A.
Figure 1. An illustration of the distribution of 20 target cities, satellite-observed XCO₂ and modeled source-receptor sensitivities. a) Maps of 1 km × 1 km population density (PPS, capita km⁻²; in red) from GPWv4, with daily mean clear-sky contiguous SIF averaged over the north hemispheric non-growing season in 2016 [mW m⁻² nm⁻¹ sr⁻¹; in green]. Only areas with high PPS (> 500 capita km⁻²) are plotted to better reveal population centers. Spatial domains of 2° × 3° (black rectangles) are used to select OCO-2 soundings and to place X-STILT receptors. b) Maps of latitudinal integrated source-receptor sensitivities [XFoot, ppm-°N/ (µmol m⁻² s⁻¹); in gray] and PPS-weighted XFoot [ppm-°N/ (µmol capita⁻¹ s⁻¹); in purple] in log₁₀ scale from X-STILT with XCO₂ measurements from OCO-2 [ppm; blue to red] over Las Vegas on 02/08/2018 2000 UTC. c) Latitude series of screened XCO₂ (quality flags QF = 0, gray triangles) with average values in each latitude bin (black triangles). Background XCO₂ (dashed green line) is defined as the mean observed XCO₂ over modeled background latitude ranges. The latitude range (light red) affected by the urban plume is interpolated from X-STILT.
2.2. Atmospheric transport model and input datasets

To calculate CO₂ emissions from the observed urban XCO₂ signal and account for wind patterns affecting the satellite measurements, we adopted the atmospheric column version of the Stochastic Time-Inverted Lagrangian Transport (X-STILT, Wu et al. 2018) model. 100 air parcels are released per vertical level in the atmospheric columns (“column receptors”) corresponding to selected satellite soundings. To transport air parcels in the model, we used theEta Data Assimilation System (EDAS) at 40 km grid spacing for the U.S. cities and the Global Data Assimilation System (GDAS) at 0.5° grid spacing (Rolph et al. 2017) for the remaining cities. Errors in the wind fields and their impacts on per capita emissions are carefully investigated (Sect. 2.4).

Next, source-receptor sensitivities, also referred to as “footprint” (Lin et al. 2003, Fasoli et al. 2018), are generated at the horizontal resolution of 1 km × 1 km with a spatial coverage of 20°-lat × 20°-lon around each city center. “Column footprints” (XFoot, gray shading in figure 1(b)) are matrices that link potential upwind source regions to downwind atmospheric columns with units of ppm / (µmol m⁻² s⁻¹), with proper weighting using OCO-2 vertical weighting functions. Therefore, the upwind source regions of the satellite soundings are elucidated by X-STILT, which is also the region for examining the overlap with gridded population density maps (purple shading in figure 1(b)). Only population densities within source regions are taken into account to match with the corresponding urban signal observed by the satellite. Our objective approach of inferring source regions from the atmospheric model avoids having to impose, a priori, city boundaries.

The main dataset used to derive population density is the Gridded Population of the World (GPWv4, CIESIN 2017). LandScan 2017 High Resolution Global Population Dataset (Dobson et al. 2000) was used to evaluate possible bias in GPWv4 and its influence on scaling results (SI Appendix, Text D1). Both products provide global population data at 1 km grid spacing. We adopted gridded GDP per capita (Kummu et al. 2018), to assess how CO₂ emissions covary with socioeconomic variables.

2.3. Calculations of satellite-based urban signal, background XCO₂, Epc, and effective PPS

We solve for an intensive quantity—the per capita CO₂ emissions (Epc)—from all direct emitters over the source region affecting the satellite-observed XCO₂, as elucidated by X-STILT. Instead of attempting to calculate spatially explicit emissions, we treat the entire city as a whole and estimate an overall emission value per satellite track per city. The city-wide calculation is more robust against errors in the atmospheric model and their impact on emission estimates (Wu et al. 2018).

Epc per track per city is calculated as the ratio between an urban signal and a population density (PPS)-weighted column footprint as showed in equation (1):

\[ E_{pc} = \frac{\int_{l1}^{l2} X_{CO₂,ff} (x,y,l) \, dx \, dy}{\sum_{x,y} (PPS(x,y)) \int_{l1}^{l2} X_{Foot}(x,y,l) \, dx \, dy} \times \frac{X_{FF}}{X_{Foot}} \]  

(1)

where \( x, y \) are indices for the spatial grid, \( l \) is the latitude of every receptor/sounding. Spatial column footprints XFoot(\( x, y, l \)) are generated at every 50°–90° column receptors for a given track. The integral in the numerator is a latitudinally integrated XCO₂,ff enhancement due to fossil fuel combustion, or simply an urban XCO₂ signal. The entire summation in the denominator describes the overlapping region between XFoot and PPS, which is revealed by the purple shading in figure 1(b).

More specifically, XCO₂,ff along the satellite swath are calculated as differences between a track-specific background and observed total XCO₂. We followed the forward-simulation approach presented in Wu et al (2018) to estimate an urban-enhanced latitude range (from \( l_1 \) to \( l_2 \); red ribbon in figure 1(c)) and background value over background region outside the latitude range. As not all source areas indicated by XFoot are associated with high population density, gridded PPS from GPWv4 is convolved with latitudinally integrated XFoot. Lastly, a linear regression curve is fitted whose slope serves as the one overall Epc estimate per city (figure S1).

To examine the relationship between Epc and PPS, a representative PPS value is required for every city. Instead of simply taking the average of PPS within a prescribed urban area, we calculate an effective population density (PPS_eff) as the footprint-normalized PPS:

\[ PPS_{eff} = \frac{\sum_{x,y} (PPS(x,y)) \int_{l1}^{l2} X_{Foot}(x,y,l) \, dx \, dy} {\sum_{x,y} \int_{l1}^{l2} X_{Foot}(x,y,l) \, dx \, dy} \times \frac{X_{FP}}{X_{Foot}} \]  

(2)

where XFoot is the sum of footprints excluding those over lands or water bodies whose PPS are zero and XFoot serves as a spatial weighting factor. In other words, population densities within the urban core are often weighted more, with more leverage on influencing the satellite-observed XCO₂. Therefore, PPS_eff vary among different satellite tracks under different meteorological conditions. Besides PPS_eff, we also calculated average PPS over the source region (PPS_aver) to scale against Epc as a sensitivity test (SI Appendix, Text D1).
Similar to the calculation of $P_{PPS}$, effective per capita GDP is also calculated for every track and city with spatial footprint serving as an areal weighting function.

### 2.4. Uncertainty estimates for $E_{pc}$

The overall fractional error of $E_{pc}$ is comprised of fractional errors in both observed urban signals and the atmospheric model (namely, from horizontal transport), according to $E_{pc}$ calculation in equation 1. Vertical transport in X-STILT typically has a smaller uncertainty impact than horizontal transport (Wu et al. 2018) and thus excluded from this study. Both observational and modeling errors are first quantified for each column receptor and then aggregated to track-level errors, after accounting for error covariances. Finally, by assuming statistical independence of errors between tracks, track-level errors decay as a function of $\sqrt{N}$, where $N$ represents the numbers of tracks per city. Technical details of error quantifications are available in SI Appendix, Text C2.

### 3. Results

#### 3.1. OCO-2/X-STILT $E_{pc}$ estimates

The average urban signal observed by OCO-2 varies from 0.21 to 1.56 ppm (Lat-avg. column in Table S1). Our estimates of $E_{pc}$ range from 1.22 to 44.30 tCO$_2$ yr$^{-1}$. Estimates especially for low-emission cities fall within the same approximate range as national-level estimates from World Bank (World Bank 2014) or the Emissions Database for Global Atmospheric Research (EDGAR, Janssens-Maenhout et al. 2019), even given differences in reporting years. Relative uncertainties of $E_{pc}$ fluctuate from 16.0–46.9% for different cities (Table S1). These uncertainties stem from a combination of measurement noise and errors in retrieving satellite XCO$_2$, background XCO$_2$, and the winds used to drive X-STILT.

A few cities stand out especially due to their high $E_{pc}$. Yinchuan is one of the four major coal production cities in China (Liu and Cai 2018). Due to high coal resources and intensive coal production (Liu and Cai 2018), $E_{pc}$ for Yinchuan is about five times higher than China’s national-level estimates (Table S1). In contrast, two other cities in China, Lanzhou and Xi’an, have comparable or slightly higher $E_{pc}$ as the national average level. Middle Eastern cities are well-known for their natural gas and oil productions, while high $E_{pc}$ of Johannesburg may be attributed to its coal-fired power plants as also seen from NO$_x$ instruments like TROPOMI (Reuter et al. 2019).

To further understand the unique emission structures for individual cities and plausible causes for the extremely high $E_{pc}$, we adopt sectoral breakdown of emissions from an emission inventory (EDGARv5.0, Crippa et al. 2019, https://data.europa.eu/8094/JRC_DATASET_EDGAR). These sectoral emissions, independent from OCO-2-based emissions, are utilized to calculate the XCO$_2$ percentage from every sector over all fossil fuel CO$_2$ (FFCO$_2$ sectors (ppm / ppm; in %). It is noteworthy that ~12.9 to 81.5% of the EDGAR-modeled XCO$_2$ enhancements are caused by power industries for different cities, and cities with high $E_{pc}$ have high shares from their power industries (figure S2a). This finding underscores the importance of power plants in overall urban emissions (Singer et al. 2014). As direct emissions from power industries are often unrelated to urban population (density) while emissions from buildings are expected to be related, we adopted the power-to-building XCO$_2$ enhancement ratio (figure S2b) as an indicator of the relative importance of power industries. This indicator identified Riyadh, Yinchuan, and Johannesburg as cities with heavy power industries given their high power-to-building concentration ratios.

#### 3.2. Possible factors that drive $E_{pc}$

We next turn to the scaling relationship between $E_{pc}$ and the effective population density ($PP_{eff}$, equation 2)—an overall population density representing each city. $E_{pc}$ generally declines as $PP_{eff}$ rises, even after uncertainties in $E_{pc}$ are accounted for via Monte Carlo simulation. 5,000 slopes of the $E_{pc}$-$PP_{eff}$ relation in logarithmic space from the Monte Carlo analysis (blue lines in figure 2) have a mean value of –0.97 (gray lines in figure 2) after removing such cities from consideration.

In addition to $PP_{eff}$, we examine the relationship between $E_{pc}$ and per capita GDP ($GDP_{pc}$), which may shed light on $E_{pc}$’s dependency on city-wide living standards. $E_{pc}$ increases linearly with $GDP_{pc}$ in logarithmic space, excluding a few industrial cities (figure S3). As a simple attempt to disentangle emission effects from $GDP_{pc}$, we carried out multiple regression fits using both $PP_{eff}$ and $GDP_{pc}$ in logarithmic space with $E_{pc}$’s uncertainties accounted via Monte Carlo simulations. For all 20 cities, coefficients of $GDP_{pc}$ are all positive with a mean of 0.59, while coefficients of $PP_{eff}$ become less negative than the previous fit using just $PP_{eff}$ as the explanatory variable (~–1.06 to ~–0.83), implying that the decrease in $E_{pc}$ is partially limited by the positive correlation between $E_{pc}$ and $GDP_{pc}$.

Possibly to a lesser extent, $E_{pc}$-$PP_{eff}$ relationship could be affected by other factors, e.g., emission seasonality caused by lack of summertime tracks, potential biases in GPWv4 and $PP_{eff}$ calculations, and minor residual vegetation influences.
gradient. For instance, E_p using LandScan may differ from those using GPWv4, especially for Doha and Riyadh (5th vs. 6th columns in Table S1). However, sensitivity analyses suggest that the inverse E_p-PPS relation is robust (SI Appendix, Text D). Lastly, population dynamics (e.g., transient vs. resident population) may potentially affect the track-level E_p and scaling slopes, but has not been studied in this paper due to unavailability of such datasets to our knowledge.

The scaling relationship obtained in this study is estimated based on a “production-based/direct” emissions perspective, as informed from the satellite-observed CO2 plumes that attribute emissions to sources at locations where the CO2 is emitted to the atmosphere. However, urban residents rely on food and energy produced elsewhere. The use of renewable or nuclear energy could affect E_p by replacing traditional energy sources like coal or natural gas. However, the quantitative impact on E_p and the scaling relation from switching to clean energy is difficult to estimate with the current dataset. It is also possible that the scaling relationship could differ for the alternative consumption-based perspective, since residents of large and dense cities can consume goods and services that result in emissions elsewhere (Moran et al. 2018, Jones and Kammen 2014). For example, it has been suggested that the carbon footprint per household (consumption perspective) and its variation with population density differs between urban cores, suburbs, and rural areas (Jones and Kammen 2014). However, we did not examine the population density dependence on CO2 emissions at sub-urban scale or for subsets of population densities, given limited sample size and increasing complexity for calculating E_p over suburbs and rural places from lower signal-to-noise ratio in XCO2 and potential interference from biospheric fluxes.

While consumption-based emission accounting brings unique insights to international mitigation efforts, it may suffer from higher uncertainties due to emission reallocations (Peters 2008). Nonetheless, we stress that both the direct emissions perspective adopted in our analysis and the consumption-based emissions perspective are equally important and relevant, from both research and mitigation standpoints.

4. Discussion

Studying the urban agglomeration and carbon emissions have increased in number within the past few decades. Some have related total carbon emissions to total population (Fragkias et al. 2013, Oliveira et al. 2014, Gudipudi et al. 2019) while others used per capita emissions versus population density (Gudipudi et al. 2016, Gately et al.).
tracks (figure 1(b)) and focusing on an intensive quantity like E_pc. Therefore, we discuss our results in light of previously-published papers reporting the relationship between E_pc and population density. A few decades ago, an inverse relation was first discovered between per capita annual gasoline use and population density for dozens of large cities around the globe (Newman and Kenworthy 1989). A similar decline in per capita on-road CO2 emission with increased population density was found for US cities (Gately et al 2015). Outside the transportation sector, residential and commercial CO2 emissions also scale sublinearly with population density over US cities (Gudipudi et al 2016).

More recently, an analysis using ground-based CO2 measurements derived a nonlinear relation between the total daytime FFCO2 fluxes and PPSSeff across five local regions in Salt Lake City (Mitchell et al 2018). Total FFCO2 fluxes first increase with PPSSeff but then stabilize for PPSSeff > 200 individual km\(^2\), suggesting denser neighbourhoods even within the same urban area are associated with lower per capita emissions. Linear, sublinear and superlinear relations are associated respectively with individual human needs; infrastructure; and creation of information, wealth and resources (Bettencourt et al 2007). Thus, we speculate that our derived sublinear relation can likely be explained by denser cities’ capabilities in enhancing productivities and reducing per capita emissions for sectors like transportation, where infrastructures and resources are shared and commuting distance and cost are largely reduced (Bettencourt et al 2007, Glaeser and Kahn 2010).

We acknowledge that the city and track numbers sampled in this study, while covering multiple continents, remain small. The limited sample size renders difficult detailed comparisons within or across nations. Therefore, we only attempted to focus on the overall scaling relation without further breakdowns. Although we tried to account for competing factors’ impact on E_pc-PPS scaling relationship, more comprehensive studies using more observational data are needed. Nevertheless, the limited number of cities analyzed here arise from the small number of suitable tracks, the choice to filter out seasons and areas with potential interference from vegetation, and the fact that OCO-2 was not explicitly designed for urban monitoring but for large-scale, global observations of atmospheric CO2.

5. Conclusion

Despite low sample sizes, our study offers a unique viewpoint at the scale of urban carbon emissions using independent space-based observations, rather than the erstwhile approach of relying on emission inventories. In the upcoming few years, rapid growth in satellite observations is expected. For instance, the “snapshot area mapping” (SAM) mode from OCO-3 (Eldering et al 2019) would yield more frequent observations with a wider spatial coverage around hundreds of cities. OCO-3’s SAM mode will carry out additional XCO2 observations distributed densely over a region spanning approximately 80 km by 80 km, centered over the urban core of cities from around the world. Thus, researchers will have the opportunity to derive CO2 emissions from different parts of an urban area and relate them with population statistics or other socio-economic indicators at sub-urban scales. The investigation into the temporal trends of carbon emissions, population densities, or their resultant scaling relations would also be meaningful due to ongoing carbon mitigation efforts.

6. Database Deposition
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