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Abstract

In this study we present findings from investigations into interactions between biomass tar and two iron based oxygen carrier materials (OCMs) designed for chemical-looping applications: a 100\% Fe₂O₃ (100Fe) OCM and a 60 wt\% Fe₂O₃/40 wt\% Al₂O₃ (60Fe40Al) OCM. A novel 6 kW, two-stage, fixed-bed reactor was designed and constructed to simulate a chemical-looping combustion (CLC) process with \textit{ex situ} gasification of biomass. Beech wood was pyrolysed in the first stage of the reactor at 773 K to produce a tar-containing fuel gas that was used to reduce the OCM loaded into the 2nd stage at 973 K. The presence of either OCM was found to significantly reduce the amount of biomass tars exiting the reactor by up to 71 wt\% compared with analogous experiments in which the biomass tar compounds were exposed to an inert bed of sand. The tar cracking effect of the 60Fe40Al OCM was slightly greater than the 100Fe OCM although the reduction in the tar yield was roughly equivalent to the increase in carbon deposition observed for the 60Fe40Al OCM compared with the 100Fe OCM. In both cases, the tar cracking effect of the OCMs appeared to be independent of the oxidation state in which the OCM was exposed to the volatile biomass pyrolysis products (i.e. Fe₂O₃ or Fe₃O₄). Exposing the pyrolysis vapours to the OCMs in their oxidised (Fe₂O₃) form favoured the production of CO₂. The production of CO was favoured when the OCMs were in their reduced (Fe₃O₄) form. Carbon deposition was removed in the subsequent oxidation phase with no obvious deleterious effects on the reactivity in subsequent CLC cycles with reduction by 3 mol\% CO.

1. Introduction

Development of a commercially viable carbon capture and sequestration (CCS) technology for fossil fuel power generation is necessary to avoid anthropogenic climate change \cite{1, 2}. Chemical-looping combustion (CLC) is an indirect combustion process that utilises a regenerable solid oxygen sorbent (oxygen carrier (OC) material, OCM), typically a metal oxide, to transfer oxygen from the combustion air to the fuel such that direct contact between air and fuel is avoided \cite{3–6}. CLC is a variant on an oxy-fuel carbon capture system that offers the potential for a much lower energy penalty as CO₂ separation is achieved intrinsically such that additional energy-intensive gas separation steps are avoided \cite{7}.

To date, research efforts have predominantly focused on the development of a CLC technology using fossil fuels such as natural gas \cite{4, 8–11}, coal \cite{12–17} and pet coke \cite{18–20}; however over the last few years there has been growing interest in the application of CLC technology for biomass utilisation \cite{21–25}. Bioenergy-CCS (BECCS) processes are of particular interest as they have the potential to result in negative CO₂ emissions i.e. a net removal of CO₂ from the atmosphere and represent one of the most efficient and cost-effect methods for doing so \cite{1, 26}. Negative emissions technologies will be necessary if mitigation...
efforts continue to fall short of targets and atmospheric greenhouse gas concentrations remain on an increasing trajectory towards stabilisation at dangerous levels [1].

Gasification of biomass typically produces substantial quantities of refractory tar compounds. Tar is difficult to combust in a chemical-looping system (gaseous oxygen is not necessarily present in the fuel reactor; the temperature may also be limited by endothermic gasification and chemical-looping fuel oxidation reactions) which can lead to reduced fuel conversion efficiencies [27]. Decomposition of tars on the surface of the OCM can result in severe coking and temporary deactivation. Coking also hinders the overall CO₂ capture efficiency of the process as regeneration of the OCM in air produces CO₂ which cannot be captured [27, 28].

A few research groups have now tested the use of biomass in interconnected dual fluidised bed CLC systems with in situ gasification and combustion of the biomass [22, 29]. Mendiara et al [25] studied the CLC of sawdust in a 500 kWth interconnected fluidised bed CLC unit with hematite as the OC. They achieved carbon capture efficiencies of >95% at temperatures between 1053–1188 K using steam and CO₂ as the gasifying agents. The tar content of the gas stream exiting the fuel reactor was 0.2–1.38 g Nm⁻³, which requires additional clean-up prior to CO₂ pipeline entry. Despite this, the tar destruction efficiency of the CLC process using hematite was ~5 times greater than that for similar experiments with a Fe/olivine tar cracking catalyst. The authors attributed the improved tar cracking efficiency of the CLC process to the ability of the hematite to react with tar rather than solely acting as a catalyst.

A large proportion of biomass/CLC research has focused on the development of bio-syngas upgrading/tar removal systems [24, 30, 31]. Larsson et al [32] studied ilmenite as a tar cracking catalyst to upgrade bio-syngas from gasification of woody biomass in a 2–4 MWth interconnected fluidized bed system. Adding small (~12 wt%) amounts of ilmenite to a solids inventory of sand reduced the tar yield by ~50 wt%, but reduced the cold gas efficiency by 10%. A similar earlier study using the same reactor found that ilmenite was less effective resulting in a reduction in the amount of tar exiting the process of 35% for a residence time of 0.4–0.5 s with a raw gas feed containing 30 g Nm⁻³ of tar [33]. The resulting (detectable) tar species were found to consist of predominantly stable ring structures such as benzenes and naphthalenes.

Lind et al [34] compared tar cracking capabilities of ilmenite and a synthetic Al₂O₃ supported NiO OCM in a chemical-looping reforming (CLR) process. The Ni-based OCM resulted in >95% reduction in the tar content of the outlet gas compared with 60% for the ilmenite catalyst at 1153 K and 1123 K respectively. Both materials were affected by small amounts of carbon deposition but no lasting effects on the reactivity after regeneration in the air reactor were observed. Carbon deposition was kept low (<0.25%) by maintaining a high solid circulation rate between the fuel and air reactors.

This paper presents findings from investigations into the effect of tars produced from biomass pyrolysis on the performance of two iron-based CLC OC materials (OCMs) (a pure Fe₂O₃ OCM and an OCM consisting of 60 wt% Fe₂O₃ supported with 40 wt% Al₂O₃). It makes use of a two-stage fixed-bed reactor, specifically designed for studying interactions between pyrolysis (or gasification) tar and OCMs for CLC processes. The conditions were tailored such that the tar content of the fuel gas was much larger than what would be expected in a commercial process, to accelerate potential degradation of the OCMs and enable more ready analysis. Furthermore, the use of large quantities of tars meant that appreciable amounts of tar could be collected after exposure to the OCMs for analysis. Previous work involving the use of biomass as a fuel for CLC processes demonstrates that improved tar cracking capabilities of OCMs to reduce the amount of tar exiting the process is necessary for CO₂ pipeline entry and improved overall process efficiency.

2. Experimental

2.1. Reactor

A 6 kWₑ, 2-stage fixed-bed reactor was designed and constructed, based on an update and upgrade of an existing reactor [35–38]. A number of limitations, were overcome, namely: (i) welded flange connections were replaced with twin-ferrule compression fittings to allow for operation at pressures up to 30 bar, and temperatures up to 1273 K; (ii) the reactor has a wider internal diameter to allow for solid fuel feeding during operation; (iii) inert quartz tubes incorporating sintered quartz disks, acting as bed supports, lined the inside of the reactor to prevent undesirable reactions between gaseous reactants and products with the potentially catalytically active reactor walls [39]; (iv) a new tar trap (described below) was designed to enable efficient tar trapping with an ice and salt water coolant as opposed to liquid N₂—this means that N₂ could be used as the carrier gas instead of He, and CO₂ and steam could be introduced as a reactive gas.

The reactor could be operated in a two-stage (figure 1) or single-stage configuration (not shown). The body was an Incoloy 800HT pipe (25.4 mm ID, 3.8 mm wall thickness). The first or single stage was 350 mm in length and contained only a single bed (i.e. the top section of the 2-stage reactor depicted in figure 1). For 2-stage operation, the reactor was 600 mm in length. A compression fitting at the top of the reactor provided the pressure sealed connection for the gas inlet, solid fuel feeder and 1st stage thermo-couples; a similar fitting at the base of the reactor
provided the connection to the tar trap and 2nd stage thermocouples.

Biomass samples were fed into the first stage of the reactor batch-wise. The feeder was a 50 ml stainless steel sample vessel with ball valves at the inlet and outlet. A \( \frac{1}{4} \) inch \((4.6 \text{ mm ID}, 0.9 \text{ mm wall thickness})\) stainless steel tube provided the pathway for the biomass sample into the reactor ensuring that all of the sample was fed onto the 1st stage bed support.

Mass flow controllers (Bronkhorst High-Tech B.V.) controlled and monitored the flow rate and composition of the gas entering the reactor, for fast and accurate gas switching. Pressure was controlled and monitored by a pressure controller and pneumatic valve (Bronkhorst High-Tech B.V.) at the exit of the tar trap.

Inert quartz tubes incorporating sintered quartz disks, which acted as the bed supports lined the inside of the reactor. A Viton seal at the top of the quartz tube prevented gas bypass. A bespoke high-temperature Inconel spring situated at the base of the quartz tube provided the force for sealing and compensated for reactor length changes from thermal expansion.

Depending on the operating conditions and gases used, the fuel could be pyrolysed, gasified or combusted in the 1st stage generating a fuel or exhaust gas for directly analysis (single-stage experiments) or further reaction in the 2nd stage. Ash and residual char was retained on the sintered quartz disk in the first stage and the evolved gases and volatiles were swept downstream into the tar trap or 2nd stage. The 2-stage configuration utilised a bed of either the OCMs or sand that were pre-loaded into the 2nd stage. The effects of these different solid particles on the fuel/exhaust gases could then be determined by comparison with the results obtained from single-stage experiments.

The single stage reactor was heated via two copper electrodes that were attached to the outside, at the top and bottom of the reactor body. The reactor body acted as a resistance heater. The 2-stage reactor was heated via three electrodes with a central bridging electrode (figure 1). The electrode that attached to the top of the 1st stage was rigid and acted as a support for the reactor. The other electrodes were attached to the reactor with flexible copper cables to allow for thermal expansion of the reactor body. All the electrodes were water-cooled. The two stages were heated using separate controllers and transformers enabling operation at different temperatures.
Table 1. Summary of experimental operating parameters carried out in the 2-stage fixed bed reactor with biomass pyrolysis in the first stage.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operating conditions</th>
<th>1st stage</th>
<th>2nd stage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Biomass feedstock</td>
<td>Beech wood (0.100 g, (d_p = 106–150 \mu m))</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd stage bed material</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Sand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100Fe OCM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>60Fe40Al OCM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(0.750 g, 300–425 (\mu m))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>773 K</td>
<td>973 K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressure</td>
<td>1.5 bara</td>
<td>0.25 m s(^{-1}), 0.40 m s(^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superficial velocity</td>
<td>(1.5 \text{ bar}\a)</td>
<td>(0.25 \text{ m s}^{-1}, 0.40 \text{ m s}^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduction phase(^c)</td>
<td>3% CO/15% CO(_2)/82% N(_2) (300 s)</td>
<td>3% CO/15% CO(_2)/82% N(_2) (300 s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxidation phase(^c)</td>
<td>4% O(_2)/96% N(_2) (300 s)</td>
<td>4% O(_2)/96% N(_2) (300 s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purge phase(^c)</td>
<td>100% N(_2) (120 s)</td>
<td>100% N(_2) (120 s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of cycles</td>
<td>5 (or 3 ended after the biomass pyrolysis phase)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biomass pyrolysis cycle no.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conditions of biomass pyrolysis</td>
<td></td>
<td>100% N(_2) PreRed(^a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100% N(_2) PostRed(^b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15% CO(_2) PreRed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15% CO(_2) PostRed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Pre-Red refers to experiments where the biomass pyrolysis phase is carried out before a gaseous reduction phase.
\(^b\) Post-Red refers to experiments where the biomass pyrolysis phase is carried out after a gaseous reduction phase.
\(^c\) Gas compositions are presented on a mole basis.

The tar trap design was an annular heat-exchanger consisting of a 1000 mm long, 1/2 inch OD (10.4 mm ID, 1.1 mm wall thickness) stainless steel inner tube with a 1 inch OD (21.2 mm ID, 2.1 mm wall thickness) outer tube connected around the outside of the 1/2 inch tube with 2 x 1 inch T-piece Swagelok connections. The gas exited the reactor through the internal 1/2 inch tube. The trap was cooled to ~270 K by pumping iced salt water around the annulus of the tar trap. The exit of the trap was loosely packed with ~6 g of glass wool to increase the internal surface area ensuring efficient trapping of the tars vapours in the form of aerosol droplets. The concentrations of CO\(_2\), CO and CH\(_4\) in the gas stream exiting the reactor were measured using online infrared analysers (ADC MGA 3000, 0–50 mol-% ± 1% of the measured value) connected after the pressure control valve. A thermal conductivity H\(_2\) analyser (Hi-Tech K1500) was also installed but H\(_2\) was not detected in any experiments.

Operation of the reactor was automated where appropriate using a control programme written in Agilent Vee, which controlled setpoints and monitored and collected data (logged every second) associated with fuel feeding, temperature, pressure, flow rates and gas analyser outputs.

2.2. Materials

Two OCMs were investigated: 100 wt% Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) (100Fe) and 60 wt% Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) supported by 40 wt% Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) (60Fe40Al). The OCMs were prepared via simple mechanical mixing and pelletisation. Stoichiometric quantities of the precursor powders, Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) (<5 \(\mu m\), Sigma Aldrich) and Al(OH)\(_3\) (Sigma Aldrich) were added to a high shear mini-mixer (Caleva Solutions Ltd) and dry mixed for 30 min to ensure the powders were well combined. Deionised water was added dropwise until an extrudable paste had formed, which was extruded through a 425 \(\mu m\) sieve. The extrudates were converted to spherical particles using a spheronizer (Caleva Solutions Ltd) and then sintered in a horizontal tube furnace for 4 h under a constant air purge. The 100Fe OCM particles were sintered at 1173 K and the 60Fe40Al OCM particles were sintered at 1273 K.

Beech wood was the sole biomass variety used in this study. Samples were first ground in a high-shear cutting mill then sieved to obtain a size fraction of 106–150 \(\mu m\) and finally dried in an air-circulating oven at 308 K for 16 h to remove the free moisture.

2.3. Operating conditions

A standard set of conditions were developed and used when operating the single-stage reactor (summarised in Table 1). 2.8 g of sand (David Ball; sieved to 300–425 \(\mu m\)) was loaded into the first stage to provide a small inert bed (5 mm in height) that the 1st stage bed thermocouple could be submerged in. Sand has a high thermal capacity and provided a stable heat sink, allowing stable and precise measurement and control of the 1st stage bed temperature. The 2nd stage bed (if used) was either loaded with 0.75 g of sand (300–425 \(\mu m\)) or 0.75 g of an OCM material (100Fe or 60Fe40Al, 300–425 \(\mu m\)).
Once the reactor was assembled, a cold calibration was performed using a certified calibration gas mixture (29.9 mol% CO₂, 9.7 mol% CO, 10.1 mol% CH₄, balance N₂) supplied by BOC. For the experiments involving fast pyrolysis of the beech wood in 15 mol% CO₂ (balance N₂) and 3 mol% CO₂, 15 mol% CO₂ (balance N₂), two cold blank cycles with a simulated biomass feed (i.e. empty feed vessel) were performed to establish a baseline concentration profile that could be used for data analysis and determining the final pyrolysis product distribution. A total flow rate of 60 ml s⁻¹ was used, equivalent to superficial velocities of 0.25 m s⁻¹ and 0.40 m s⁻¹ through the 1st and 2nd stages at 773 K and 973 K respectively. Gas was supplied from BOC gas cylinders of (i) pure CO₂, (ii) 10 mol% CO, balance N₂ and (iii) pure N₂; (iv) laboratory air was also supplied.

The reactor was heated to the setpoint temperatures. When temperatures were stable, the tar trap cooling system was switched on and the beech wood (0.1 g, 106–150 μm) was loaded into the feed vessel. The tar trap was allowed to cool for 15 min and the feed vessel was purged (10 ml s⁻¹) for at least 10 min before starting an experiment. A flow of 15 mol% CO₂, 85 mol% N₂ (certified premixed gas mixture supplied by BOC) was used for purging the feed vessel and pressure feeding the biomass for experiments in which 15 mol% CO₂ was introduced into the inlet gas. Gas was supplied from BOC gas cylinders of (i) pure CO₂, (ii) 10 mol% CO, balance N₂ and (iii) pure N₂; (iv) laboratory air was also supplied.

When using OCMs in the 2nd stage, the OCMs first needed to be activated by performing 2 simulated CLC cycles [40]. A simulated CLC cycle consisted of a 300 s reduction phase (15 mol% CO₂, 3 mol% CO, 82 mol% N₂) and a 300 s oxidation phase (4 mol% O₂, 96 mol% N₂) separated by two 120 s phases in which the reactor was purged with N₂. These conditions have been used elsewhere for the investigation of Fe-based OCM reduction kinetics and cycling performance testing [41, 42]. The activation CLC cycles were unnecessary for single-stage experiments or when the 2nd stage was loaded with sand. Biomass feeding was initiated once a stable target inlet gas composition had been reached. Biomass was fed by pressuring the sample feed vessel to 0.5 bar above the setpoint pressure of the reactor and then opening both valves to force the biomass sample into the first stage of the reactor.

Interactions between the OCMs and the volatile biomass pyrolysis products were investigated under two different inlet gas compositions: (i) 100% N₂ and; (ii) 15 mol% CO₂, 85 mol% N₂. When biomass pyrolysis was carried out under 100% N₂, no thermodynamic limitation on the final oxidation state of the OCM was imposed such that the OCM could have been reduced further than Fe₂O₃. The addition of 15 mol% CO₂ into the inlet gas controlled the final reduction state of the 100Fe OCM to Fe₃O₄ (based on the assumption of a simplified system involving CO, CO₂ and N₂ only and providing the concentration of CO in the reactor did not exceed 9.4 mol% at 973 K, see phase equilibrium diagram, figure S1). Biomass was fed into the reactor either before the 3rd cycle reduction (PreRed) or after the 3rd cycle reduction (PostRed) to investigate interactions between the OCM in its oxidised (Fe₂O₃) or reduced (Fe₃O₄) forms respectively.

Experiments were either ended immediately after the biomass pyrolysis phase to allow for retrieval of the OCM particles directly after exposure to the pyrolysis vapours; or continued such that another 2 complete cycles (gaseous reduction with 3 mol% CO, 15 mol% CO₂, balance N₂) were completed with the aim of establishing whether exposure to the volatile pyrolysis products affected the reactivity of the OCM in subsequent redox cycles. A higher O₂ concentration of 10 mol% was needed for the 3rd cycle oxidation phase after the biomass pyrolysis to ensure that the char bed remaining in the 1st stage was completely burnt off.

### 2.4. Product recovery

After each experiment, the reactor was dismantled and each of the reactor components was carefully washed with a 4:1 (v/v) solution of chloroform and methanol (150 ml) to recover tars. The washings were filtered using filter paper (Whatman, grade 5) to collect solids. The bulk of the solvent was removed by evaporation on a rotary evaporator (BUCHI-R210) at conditions of 333 K, 0.337 bar and 40 rpm until most of the solvent was evaporated. The tar was then transferred to an aluminium beaker and placed in a N₂-purged oven at 308 K for 2 h to evaporate residual solvent. The tar was then weighed to determine the gravimetric yield. Char yields were determined according to the method outlined in section S.1. All error ranges provided in the figures below are presented as a single standard deviation from the mean. Unless specifically stated otherwise, all data points are presented with an associated error range of a single standard deviation on either side of the mean.
2.5. Product characterisation

Size exclusion chromatography (SEC) (for the molecular size distribution) was used to characterise the tars (method outlined in section S.2) [43].

N₂₂₇⁻adsorption analysis (Micrometrics, Tristar 3000) was carried out to determine the BET surface area and BJH pore size distributions of the fresh, unreacted OCMs for the pore size range 2–200 nm. The size distribution and volume of pores in the pore size range 5–10000 nm was achieved using mercury porosimetry (Micrometrics Autopore IV). A summary of the morphological characteristics of the two OCMs is provided in table 2.

3. Results and discussion

Results from the single-stage pyrolysis experiments indicate that the inlet gas composition had little influence on the primary pyrolysis product distribution (figure 2). Tar yields were 42.9 wt% and 46.3 wt%; and char yields were between 20.3 wt% and 23.0 wt% experiments carried out in atmospheres containing 100 mol% N₂, and 15 mol% CO₂, (bal. N₂) respectively. Variation was within the experimental error of the measurements. This was to be expected as the temperature of the first stage (i.e. 773 K) was too low for gasification reactions between the pyrolysis products and CO₂ introduced in the inlet to take place at a fast enough rate to cause noticeable differences in the product distribution [44].

Subjecting the tar and other volatile pyrolysis products produced in the first stage to the 2nd stage at an elevated temperature of 973 K caused a substantial reduction in the tar yield (figure 2(a)). The reduction in the tar yield was accompanied by a significant increase in the yield of CO and a smaller increase in the CO₂ yield. An inert sand bed in the 2nd stage modestly reduced the tar yield further, without significantly affecting the gas yields. The tar yield was not affected by 15% CO₂ in the carrier gas, though slightly improved yields of CO and CO₂ were observed, attributed to gasification reactions between the CO₂ in the carrier gas and undetected pyrolysis products such as light hydrocarbons and carbon deposits.

Exposure of the pyrolysis products to the bed of 100Fe OCM in the 2nd stage, as opposed to an inert sand bed, caused an additional reduction in tar yield (figure 3) of between 26.7 and 38.9 wt%. The amount of tar recovered after exposure to the 100Fe bed in both its oxidised and reduced forms under the different gaseous environments was between 10.1 and 11.8 wt%. No distinguishable trend was observed between tar yield and the condition of the OC or inlet gas composition.

A larger reduction (relative to the 100Fe OCM) in the tar yield was observed when the pyrolysis products were exposed to the 60Fe₄₀Al OCM in the 2nd stage, of 48–71 wt%, most likely from enhanced oxidation, gasification and cracking on the substantially larger surface area of the 60Fe₄₀Al (table 2).

SEC analysis of the retrieved tar (figure 4) demonstrated subtle differences in the molecular mass distribution of the tar collected after exposure to both the 100Fe and 60Fe₄₀Al OCMs in their oxidised (Fe₂O₃) and reduced (Fe₃O₄) forms. The tar recovered after exposure to the reduced OCMs (i.e. ‘PostRed’ conditions) appeared to comprise of a larger proportion of the higher molecular mass fraction (excluded peak) and less of the lower molecular mass fraction (retained peak) compared with the tar recovered after exposure to the oxidised OCMs (‘PreRed’ conditions). The same trends in the SEC analysis were observed for the tar recovered after analogous experiments in an atmosphere of 15 mol% CO₂, balance N₂ (figure S.3). The SEC results indicate that the oxidation state of the
OMC may have had a small influence on the mechanism by which tar compounds crack and/or reform on the surface of the materials.

The conditions under which the OCMs were exposed to the volatile biomass pyrolysis products (i.e. oxidation state of the OCMs, and inlet gas composition) had a significant effect on the CO2 and CO yields (figure 5). The CO2 yields were substantially larger when the OCMs were exposed to the biomass pyrolysis products in their oxidized forms (i.e. experiments denoted as N2_PreRed and 15%CO2_PreRed), as would be expected if pyrolysis produces were being oxidised and in turn reducing Fe2O3 to Fe3O4.

The yield of CO2 with the 100Fe OCM during the N2_PreRed experiments (i.e. which started with Fe2O3/final oxidation state not thermodynamically constrained/more CO2 produced) was slightly higher at 40.9 wt% compared with the 15%CO2_Pre-Red experiments where the CO 2 yield was 37.1 wt% (reduction of Fe2O3 constrained to Fe3O4/more unreacted CO present/less CO2 produced). CO yields were consistent with this, and the 60Fe40Al OCM

Figure 3. Comparison of the tar yields produced after exposure to the sand beds at 973 K under the different inlet gas compositions (filled bars) and simulated CLC experiments in which the 100Fe(S) OCM (pattern filled) and 60Fe40Al OCM (empty bars) was exposed to volatile pyrolysis products during the 3rd cycle reduction phase at 973 K.

Figure 4. SEC analyses of the tar recovered from the fast pyrolysis of 0.100 g of beech wood in the two-stage reactor loaded with (a) the 100Fe OCM and (b) 60Fe40Al OCM in both their oxidised and reduced forms under a N2 atmosphere. Sand ( ), ‘PreRed’ conditions ( ) ‘PostRed’ conditions ( ).
displayed a similar trend, though with a slightly higher overall conversion. This may be because Fe₃O₄ can be further reduced to Fe₆Al₂O₄ (which was not suppressed by the inclusion of 15 mol% CO₂ in the carrier gas, see figure S.2).

Exposure of the volatile pyrolysis products to the OCMs in their reduced forms (PostRed experiments) produced less CO₂ and more CO than when the OCMs were exposed to the volatile pyrolysis products in their oxidised forms but both the CO₂ and CO yields were higher than those for equivalent experiments with an inert sand bed. More CO was produced in the post reduction experiments with the 100Fe OCM in an atmosphere of 100% N₂ compared with an atmosphere containing 15 mol% CO₂. The additional CO₂ produced was around the same for both the system which was thermodynamically constrained to remain as Fe₂O₃ (i.e. with 15 mol% CO₂ in the inlet gas) and that which was not (i.e. 100% N₂ in the inlet gas). This indicates that reactions of Fe₂O₃ and FeO with pyrolysis products (except CO) to produce CO were fast enough to appreciably occur. The thermodynamic constraint imposed on the 100Fe OCM system by the inclusion of 15 mol% CO₂ in the inlet gas meant that the increased yields of CO₂ and CO relative to the equivalent experiments with a 2nd stage sand bed could only have been a result of enhanced cracking and/or gasification of the pyrolysis products on the surface of the reduced 100Fe (Fe₆Al₂O₄) OCM.

As mentioned above, the reduction of the 60Fe₄Al OCM was not thermodynamically limited to Fe₂O₃ by the introduction of 15 mol% CO₂ in the inlet gas. The increased CO yield could therefore have been the result of either: (i) interactions between the pyrolysis products and the OCM in its less reactive reduced state (Fe₆Al₂O₄ and possible Fe₆Al₂O₄) resulting in a larger proportion of incomplete, partial oxidation reactions taking place and/or; (ii) enhanced cracking and gasification of the pyrolysis products during experiments carried out with a carrier gas of 15 mol% CO₂.

Interestingly, the pyrolysis product yields obtained after exposure to the 60Fe₄Al OCM were similar to the equivalent yields obtained after exposure to the 100Fe OCM despite the fact that the total amount of the active OC component Fe₂O₃ added to the reactor was lower in the case of the experiments involving the 60Fe₄Al OCM. The higher tar eliminating efficacy of the 60Fe₄Al OCM was most likely a result of: (i) increased contact between the OCM and pyrolysis products due to the higher surface area of the material (table 2); (ii) improved reactivity of the 60Fe₄Al OCM and/or; (iii) the improved oxygen transport capacity due to the ability of the OCM to interact with the support allowing for further reduction of Fe₂O₃ to Fe₆Al₂O₄. It has been reported elsewhere in the literature that the presence of Al₂O₃ enhances the reduction reactivity of Fe₂O₃ with CO allowing the formation of Fe₆Al₂O₄ compared with the reduction reactivity of Fe₆Al₂O₄ in the absence of Al₂O₃ where only Fe₀.₉₅O can be formed [45].

Methane yields were low and remained fairly constant between 2.7 and 3.5 wt%. The yields were not affected by the introduction of either OCM relative to the experiments in which the 2nd reactor stage contained an inert sand bed.

The OCMs retrieved after exposure to the pyrolysis products in the 3rd reduction cycle were coated with a thin layer of black carbon (figure S.4). The observed carbon deposition was likely due to thermal/catalytic decomposition of the hydrocarbon species produced during pyrolysis in the first stage on the surface of the OCMs [27]. Small samples of each of the 100Fe and 60Fe₄Al OCMs retrieved after exposure to the pyrolysis products were submitted for elemental (CHN) analysis in an attempt to quantify the extents of carbon deposition (table 3).

---

**Figure 5.** Detectable gas yields produced after the biomass pyrolysis products were exposed to (a) 100Fe OCM (pattern filled bars) and (b) 60Fe₄Al OCM (pattern filled bars) compared with the gas yields obtained after exposure to the sand beds (filled bars) at 973 K under the different inlet gas compositions. CO (dark grey bars), CO₂ (light grey bars) and CH₄ (white/polka dot filled bars).
Table 3. Extent of carbon deposition on the surface of the OCMs retrieved after exposure to the biomass pyrolysis products under the different conditions measured via elemental CHN analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Biomass feed condition</th>
<th>Carbon content of coked OC (wt%)</th>
<th>Carbon deposition as percentage of initial biomass feed weight (wt%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100Fe(S)</td>
<td>60Fe40Al(SAB)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100%N₂, Pre-Red</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>1.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100%N₂, Post-Red</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>1.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15%CO₂, Pre-Red</td>
<td>&lt;0.3</td>
<td>1.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15%CO₂, Post-Red</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>1.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3%CO15%CO₂, In-Red</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Assumes that carbon deposition was uniformly distributed across all the surfaces of the OC particles in the 2nd-stage reactor bed.

The results of elemental analysis revealed that the extent of carbon deposition was greatest in the case of the 60Fe40Al OCM compared with the 100Fe OCM (Table 3). Carbon deposition on the surface of the particles accounted for 2.7–5.5 wt% and 7.3–9.9 wt% of the initial biomass feed mass for the 100Fe OCM and 60Fe40Al OCM respectively. The increase in carbon deposition observed for the 60Fe40Al OCM compared with the 100Fe OCM was roughly equivalent to the magnitude of the difference between the reductions in the respective tar yields. The extent of carbon deposition was also higher when the OCMs were exposed to the pyrolysis products in their reduced form. These results show that controlling the conversion (or reduction) extent of these Fe-based OCMs as a measure for suppressing carbon deposition may not be sufficient on its own when dealing with chemical-looping processes using fuel gases containing large quantities of tar. However, regeneration of the coked OCMs was achieved in a subsequent oxidation period with 10 mol% O₂ and, importantly, no adverse effects on the reactivity of the OCMs in subsequent simulated CLC cycles was observed.

Based on the results reported here, the tar cracking effect of the OCMs appeared to be independent of the oxidation state in which the OCMs were exposed to the volatile biomass pyrolysis products (i.e. Fe₂O₃ or Fe₂O₄). This indicates that the enhanced elimination of tar in the presence of both Fe-based OCMs was due to enhanced thermal or catalytic cracking on the surface of the OCMs rather than the result of tar species directly reacting with the OCM. The increased yields of CO₂ that were observed when the biomass tar was exposed to the OCMs in their oxidised forms was likely due to the oxidation of lighter, previously undetected gaseous hydrocarbons and/or the oxidation of the products produced from the tar cracking interactions that took place on the surface of the OCMs.

Gas yield measurements revealed that exposing the pyrolysis products to the oxidised OCMs resulted in a greater conversion to CO₂ as is desirable in a CLC process. A lower conversion to CO₂ was observed when the pyrolysis products were exposed to the reduced OCMs with interactions appearing to favour production of CO. Conversion of the tar species and other pyrolysis products to CO is particularly desirable for applications of CLR and biomass syngas upgrading.

4. Conclusions

A new 6 kWₑ laboratory-scale, two-stage fixed-bed reactor for simulating CLC with ex situ solid fuel gasification has been designed and constructed. Studies of the interactions between OCMs consisting of pure iron oxide, and 60 wt% Fe₂O₃ iron oxide supported on Al₂O₃ and a gas stream produced from the pyrolysis of biomass to emulate a fuel gas containing large quantities of tar were carried out. The presence of both OCMs at 973 K was found to significantly reduce the amount of biomass tar by up to 71 wt% in the case of the 60 wt% Fe₂O₃/40 wt% Al₂O₃ OCM compared with experiments conducted with an inert bed.

Exposing the pyrolysis vapours to the OCs in their oxidised form favoured the production of CO₂. The production of CO wasfavoured when the OCs were in their reduced forms.

Both OCMs were affected by carbon deposition. Carbon deposition was removed in the subsequent oxidation phase with no obvious deleterious effects on the reactivity of the OCMs after exposure to the pyrolysis gases and vapours.
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