# PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

# Rock images classification by using deep convolution neural network

To cite this article: Guojian Cheng and Wenhui Guo 2017 J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 887 012089

View the article online for updates and enhancements.

# You may also like

- <u>Reduced granularity in BHO-doped YBCO</u> <u>films on RABITS templates</u>
   Sigrid Holleis, Ilya A Shipulin, Ruben Hühne et al.
- How does soil water content influence permafrost evolution on the Qinghai-Tibet Plateau under climate warming?
   Fang Ji, Linfeng Fan, Xingxing Kuang et al.
- <u>Condition evaluation of in-service concrete</u> <u>electric poles based on improved multi-</u> <u>granularity cascading forest</u> Xu Hengbo, Li Fengjun and Dong Xuan





DISCOVER how sustainability intersects with electrochemistry & solid state science research



This content was downloaded from IP address 3.129.210.17 on 04/05/2024 at 14:05

IOP Conf. Series: Journal of Physics: Conf. Series 887 (2017) 012089

# Rock images classification by using deep convolution neural network

### **Guojian Cheng and Wenhui Guo**

School of Computer Science, Xi'an Shiyou University, Xi'an Shanxi, 710065, China. Email: gjcheng@xsyu.edu.cn, 846522705@qq.com

Abstract. Granularity analysis is one of the most essential issues in authenticate under microscope. To improve the efficiency and accuracy of traditional manual work, an convolutional neural network based method is proposed for granularity analysis from thin section image, which chooses and extracts features from image samples while build classifier to recognize granularity of input image samples. 4800 samples from Ordos basin are used for experiments under colour spaces of HSV, YCbCr and RGB respectively. On the test dataset, the correct rate in RGB colour space is 98.5%, and it is believable in HSV and YCbCr colour space. The results show that the convolution neural network can classify the rock images with high reliability.

#### **1. Introduction**

For the effective development of reservoirs, it is necessary to provide a comprehensive reservoir description and characterization to determine the underground gas content. Granularity analysis is an important work of it [1]. The traditional method for rock classification is a manual work with many problems such as time-consuming and low accuracy. With the development of science and technology, Artificial intelligence is successfully applied in all walks of life. Many domestic and foreign scholars have done researches in the automatic classification of rock images, such as, Cheng Guojian and Liu Ye [2-3] used shallow neural network and SVM to classify rock images. Mariusz Młynarczuk et al.[4] performed the Classification of thin rock images respectively in RGB, CIELab, YIQ and HSV colour spaces using the nearest neighbour algorithm, K-nearest neighbour, the nearest pattern algorithm, and the optimized spherical neighbourhood; Hossein Izadi et al. [5] established a neural network to identify the rock mineral, whose accuracy was 93.81%. The above methods show that the application of machine learning in rock classification can improve its efficiency and accuracy.

However, using machine learning to classify rock images still has the following shortcomings. Firstly, to classify rock images by machine learning is based on the premise of artificial extraction of image features. Secondly, if the images are large, training a shallow neural network is almost impossible.

Convolution neural network (CNN) is an important deep learning architecture. It can extract the image features automatically and has a high classify accuracy. CNN has achieved a wide range of applications such as plant classification, face recognition, handwritten Chinese character recognition and so on [6-8]. In this paper, we construct a new convolution neural network for rock classification, rock images respectively in RGB, HSV, YCbCr colour spaces are used to train it, then contrasted the results and choose the best one.

#### 2. The Rock Images

It is usually determined by professional geological researchers for types and structural parameters of rocks after identifying rock thin section under polarized light microscopy. The rock images used in

Content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 licence. Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI. Published under licence by IOP Publishing Ltd 1

IOP Conf. Series: Journal of Physics: Conf. Series 887 (2017) 012089

doi:10.1088/1742-6596/887/1/012089

this paper are all feldspar sandstones collected from an oil field in Ordos, they are divided into three types: (1) Coarse feldspar sandstones, as is shown in figure 1(a). (2) Medium granular feldspar sandstone, as is shown in figure 1(b). (3) Fine feldspar sandstone, as is shown in figure 1(c).





(a) Course feldspar sandstone (b) Medium granular feldspar sandstone (c) Fine feldspar sandstone

Figure 1. Example of three types of rock images

#### **3.** Convolution Neural Network

CNN was proposed by LeCun et al. [9] inspired by natural mechanisms in the 1990s.CNN are composed of several convolutional layers alternately connected with a number of pooling layers and can effectively characterize the essential features of the original image. It needs very little pre-process with original image. Convolution operations include continuous convolutions and discrete convolutions. The formulas are shown as Formula (1) and Formula (2):

Continuous convolution:

$$y(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} x(p)h(t-p)dp = x(t)*h(t)$$
(1)

Discrete convolution:

$$y(n) = \sum_{i=-\infty}^{+\infty} x(i)h(n-i) = x(n)*h(n)$$
(2)

There are some challenges in using CNN such as how many convolutional layers, how large the size of convolutional kernel and the learning rate of the network should be set are all worth to study carefully.

#### 4. Experiment

#### 4.1. Data Sources

In this paper, 4800 rock images in RGB colour space are selected as experimental samples, normalize the size of them to 224\*224. There are 1600 coarse-grained rock images, 1600 medium-grained rock images and 1600 fine-grained rock images. And 1200 rock images of each type are used as the training set, the remaining 400 are used as the test set. Then converting the 4800 rock images to YCbCr colour space and HSV colour space respectively, whose training set and test set distribution remains unchanged.

#### 4.2. The Architecture

The convolution neural network structure designed in this paper is shown in figure 2, which is a 6-layer structure, 4 layers are convoluted and 2 layers are fully connected. The convolution layers use ReLU [10] as the activation function and the fully connected layers do classification by the Softmax classifier.

IOP Conf. Series: Journal of Physics: Conf. Series 887 (2017) 012089





Figure 2. CNN structure

The original image is in RGB colour space, convert it to an RGB three-channel grayscale image as input. As is shown in figure 2 (The marks of the pooling layers S1, S2 and S3 are omitted in the figure), the size of the input image is 224\*224. The first convolution layer C1 uses 48 convolution kernels of 11\*11 (convolution step is 4 pixels) to obtain 48 feature maps of 56\*56 by convolution operation, and then let the 48 feature maps go through a pooling layer with a window whose size is 3\*3 (moving step is 2 pixels) obtaining 48 feature maps of 27\*27(S1). The second convolution layer C2 takes S1 as input and convolves them with 64 convolution kernels of 5\*5 (convolution step is 1 pixel) to obtain 64 slices of 27\*27 feature map, then let the 64 feature maps go through a pooling layer with a window whose size is 3\*3 (moving step size of 2 pixels) to get 64 feature maps S2 whose size are 13\*13(S2). The third convolution layer C3 takes S2 as input and convolves them with 128 convolution kernels of 3\*3 (convolution step size of 1 pixel) to obtain 128 slices of 13\*13 feature map. The fourth convolution layer C4 takes the 128 slices feature map as input and convolves them with 64 convolution cores of 3\*3 (convolution step size of 1 pixel) to obtain 64 feature maps whose size are 13\*13. Then let these 64 feature maps go through a pooling layer with a window size of 3\*3 (moving in steps of 2 pixels) to obtain 64 feature maps whose size are 6\*6(S3). Finally, transforming the result into a one-dimensional vector and use it as the input of the fully connected layer, which is connected with the fully connected layer f1 which has 512 neurons and classifies the rock images according to its granularity size by the Softmax classifier.

#### 4.3. Architecture Adjustment

The experimental data is loaded into memory by batch whose size is 100. An iteration is performed while a batch of data is loaded, where the dropout is set to 0.5.

4.3.1. Number of layers. Setting an appropriate number of convolution layers is one of the key steps in constructing a CNN. If the convolution layers are too less, the network will be not able to learn the essential features of the original image, if there are too many convolution layers, it may lead the network over-fitting. In this paper, the number of convolution layers of 5, 4 and 3 are studied respectively. Compared the convergence and error rate while each network has been subjected to 400 iterations, the results are shown in table 1 and figure 3.

Number of convolution layers	5	4	3
Network structure	11-7-5-3-3	11-7-5-3	11-7-5
Error rate	16.4%	3.1%	5.1%

Table 1. Error rate of different convolution layers

doi:10.1088/1742-6596/887/1/012089

IOP Conf. Series: Journal of Physics: Conf. Series 887 (2017) 012089



Figure 3. Network loss function for different convolution layers

It can be seen from figure.3 and table 1 that whenever the number of convolution layers is 3, 4 or 5, the network can converge quickly. However, when the 4-layer convolution structure is used, the loss function and the error rate are the lowest.

*4.3.2. Convolution kernel.* Convolution kernel size is another important factor affecting the efficiency of CNN. When using a 4-layer CNN, the convolution kernel size of it is set to 11-7-5-3, 11-5-3-3 and 11-3-3-3 respectively for experiment in this paper. After 400 iterations, the error rate is shown in Table 2 and the loss function curve is shown in Figure.4.



Figure 4. Loss function of different convolution kernel network

 Table 2. Error rate of different convolution kernel network

Network structure	11-7-5-3	11-5-3-3	11-3-3-3
Error rate	3.1%	1.5%	2.4%

From table 2 and figure.4, we can see that setting the convolution kernel size to 11-7-5-3, 11-5-3-3, 11-3-3-3 respectively, the network all can get convergence fast. However, when it is 11-7-5-3, the network convergence fluctuates greatly than the other two. But, the network with convolution kernel of 11-5-3-3 has the lowest error rate. Therefore, a 4-layer CNN whose convolution kernel is 11-5-3-3 is used in this paper.

*4.3.3. Learning rate.* Learning rate is a key factor influencing the convergence rate and classification accuracy. When a 4-layer CNN whose convolution kernel size is 11-5-3-3 by setting the initial learning rate as 0.01, 0.001, 0.0005, 0.0001 respectively, the error rate and iterations times are shown in Table 3.

Table 3. Relationship between convergence and learning rate								
Learning rate	0.01	0.001	0.0005	0.0001				
Convergence iterations	Doesn't converge	26	21	39				
Error rate of test set	56.8%	3.6%	1.5%	7.5%				

It can be seen from table 3 that when the initial learning rate is 0.01 the network does not converge because of the gradient step is too large and deviates from the optimal point. When the initial learning rate is 0.001, the gradient step is larger and the convergence speed of the network is slower and the error classification rate is lower. When the initial learning rate is 0.0005, the network convergences fast and the error classification rate is the lowest. When the initial learning rate is 0.0001, the network can convergence and finds the best point, but needs to iterate more times and the classification accuracy is lower. In summary, setting the initial learning rate as 0.0005 is the most appropriate.

#### 5. Validation

In order to prevent the network from over-fitting, this paper use cross-validation. Each type of rock image data set is randomly divided into ten subsets, which is 160 per subset for cross-validation. The production of data set and experimental process in YCbCr colour space and HSV colour space are consistent with that in RGB colour space. Table 4 shows the cross-validation results for RGB, YCbCr and HSV colour spaces.

Time	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	Average
HSV	0.21	0	0.21	0.21	0	0.21	0	0	0	0.21	0.117
YCbCr	0.41	0.21	0	0.21	0.41	0.21	0	0.41	0	0.21	0.253
RGB	2.1	1.04	1.2	2.3	1.0	0.83	1.04	0.83	2.3	2.5	1.66

Table 4. Cross-validation error rates

It can be seen from table 4 that the error rates of cross-validation in HSV, YCbCr and HSV colour spaces are all small. So the network does not appear the over-fitting phenomenon in the three colour spaces.

Granularity analysis can provide authenticate researchers essential basis for determining types and structural parameters of rocks, but it is a manual work with low reliability in traditional. However, the experiment results above shows that do the rock granularity analysis using CNN is with high accuracy which could improve the reliability and efficiency.

# 6. Conclusion

In this paper, CNN is used to identify the rock granularity. The experiments show that it has high reliability whether in HSV, YCbCr or RGB colour space. In RGB colour space, the classification accuracy achieves 98.5% with high efficiency. However, the experimental results still have deviation may be caused by the use of single polarized images. The next step of study can be committed to multi-polarized light in the rock image. In view of the high reliability of the application of CNN in rock image classification based on rock granularity, it can be considered to apply to the classification of rock components.

# 7. Acknowledgments

The main project is supported by the National Science and Technology (No.2011ZX05044) and Shaanxi Province industrial science and technology (No.2015GY104).

#### 8. References

[1] Wang Pujun, Jiao Yangyang, Uang Kaikai, Zengbao, Bian Weihua, Classification of Volcanogenic Successions and Its Application to Volcanic Reservoir Exploration in the Junggar Basin, NW China, Journal of Jilin University(Earth Science Edition), Volume 46,No.4,July 2016, Pages1056-1070

IOP Conf. Series: Journal of Physics: Conf. Series 887 (2017) 012089 doi:10.1088/1742-6596/887/1/012089

- [2] Cheng Guojian, Ma Wei, Wei Xinshan, Rong Chunlong, Nan Junxiang, Research of rock texture identification based on image processing and neural network, Journal of Xi'an Shiyou University( Natural Science Edition), Volume 28, September 2013, Pages 105-109
- [3] Guo Chao, Liu Ye, Recognition of Rock Images Based on Multiple Colour Spaces, Science Technology and Engineering, Volume 14, June 2014, Pages 247-251+255
- [4] Mariusz Młynarczuk, Andrzej Górszczyk, Bartłomiej Ślipek, The application of pattern recognition in the automatic classification of microscopic rock images, Computers & Geosciences, Volume 60, October 2013, Pages 126-133
- [5] Hossein Izadi, Javad Sadri, Mahdokht Bayati, An intelligent system for mineral identification in thin sections based on a cascade approach, Computers & Geosciences, Volume 99, February 2017, Pages 37-49, ISSN 0098-3004
- [6] Yuma Mikia, Chisako Muramatsua, Tatsuro Hayashib, Xiangrong Zhoua, Takeshi Haraa, Akitoshi Katsumatac, Hiroshi Fujitaa, Classification of teeth in cone-beam CT using deep convolutional neural network[J], Computers in Biology and Medicine 80 (2017) 24–29
- [7] Mads Dyrmann, Henrik Karstoft, Henrik Skov Midtiby, Plant species classification using deep convolutional neural network[J], biosystemsengineering151(2016)72-80
- [8] André Teixeira Lopes a, Edilson de Aguiar b, Alberto F. De Souza a, Thiago Oliveira-Santos, Facial expression recognition with Convolutional Neural Networks:Coping with few data and the training sample order[J], Pattern Recognition 61 (2017) 610–628
- [9] Hinton G E, Salakhutdinov R. Reducing the dimensionality of data with neural networks [J].Science, 2006, 313(5786):504-507.
- [10] Krizhevsky A, Sutskever I, Hinton G E. Imagenet classification with deep convolutional neural networks[C] Advances in neural information processing systems (NIPS 2012), 2012: 1097-1105.