

PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

On Oscillatory to Nonlinear Impulsive Differential Equation of Second-Order with Damping Term

To cite this article: Aqeel Fali Jaddoa 2021 *J. Phys.: Conf. Ser.* **1897** 012047

View the [article online](#) for updates and enhancements.

You may also like

- [A Tool for Conditions Tag Management in ATLAS](#)
A Sharmazanashvili, G Batiashvili, G Gvaberidze et al.
- [In situ gas-surface interactions: approaching realistic conditions](#)
Edvin Lundgren and Herbert Over
- [The changeable degree assessment of designed flood protection condition for designed unit of inter-basin water transfer project based on the entropy weight method and fuzzy comprehensive evaluation model](#)
Ren Minglei, Liu Yingfei, Fu Xiaodi et al.



ECS
The
Electrochemical
Society
Advancing solid state &
electrochemical science & technology

DISCOVER
how sustainability
intersects with
electrochemistry & solid
state science research

On Oscillatory to Nonlinear Impulsive Differential Equation of Second-Order with Damping Term

Aqeel Falih Jaddoa

Mathematics Department, Ibn Al-Haitham Education College for Pure Science, Baghdad University, Baghdad, Iraq

E-mail: aqeel.f.j@ihcoedu.uobaghdad.edu.iq

Abstract

The paper focuses on the sufficient conditions for oscillatory property to the nonlinear impulsive differential equation (IDE) of the second order with damping term. We submitted a suitable impulsive conditions with the nonlinear equation. The obtained new results in this article generalize and extend some modification results to linear (IDEs) with damping term in the references. We have been given illustrative example to apply the conditions in the main results.

1. Introduction

Differential equations theory is considered effective tool in modeling many phenomena and continuous processes in optimal control, population dynamics, industrial robots [1-5] and so forth. Researchers have searched for many and various methods of finding solutions and stability to differential equations, whether with fractional order [6-11]. Moreover, the researchers studied the properties that characterize all solutions with their behavior, and delved into the extraction of those features of the solutions, analyzing them, giving the scientific meaning to them, and providing recommendations and observations.

We also know that many scientific phenomena are not always in the form of continuous processes, but there are moments of change in the behavior of the solutions for certain periods, as this phenomenon is called impulses. Thus, the (IDEs) are more realistic to represent the phenomenon or scientific issue as a mathematical formula [12].

Although these periods are in the form of a few moments, they are not neglected. Rather, conditions are set for them that are compatible with the issue. Therefore, we note that there is great importance in study of impulsive differential equations and extracting properties to solutions for this type of equations.

Many authors are investigated qualitative features to solutions of differential equations with impulses effect such as asymptotic behavior [13-15], oscillation criteria [16-19] and stability [20,21].

The importance of applications of impulsive differential equations with damping term, it paid attention the authors to published several papers such as Thandapani, Kannan and Pinelas [22] presented the sufficient conditions to oscillation to forced delay (IDE) with damping term. Zeng; Wen; Peng and Huang [23] investigated the oscillatory property to second order linear (IDE) with damping term.

Our research is to consider oscillation criteria to nonlinear (IDE) in form:



$$\left. \begin{aligned} Y''(\kappa) + f(\kappa)Y'(\kappa) + g(\kappa)h(Y(\kappa)) &= 0, \quad \kappa \neq \kappa_l, \quad l = 1, 2, \dots \\ a_l \Delta Y'(\kappa_l) + b_l h(Y(\kappa_l)) &= 0, \quad \kappa = \kappa_l \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (1)$$

where a_l, b_l are sequences of positive real numbers, κ_l are the moments of impulses effect.

Let $f(\kappa), g(\kappa) \in PC([0, \infty); \mathcal{R})$, $h \in C(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R})$, $Yh(Y) > 0$ and $h'(Y(\kappa)) \geq \eta > 0$.

The $f(\kappa), g(\kappa)$ are piecewise continuous functions from the left and $g(\kappa)$ is the damping function. We define $\mu(\kappa)$ as the moments to impulses effect in $[\kappa_0, \kappa)$ with $\mu(\kappa) = \begin{cases} l, & \kappa_l < \kappa \leq \kappa_{l+1} \\ 0, & \kappa_0 \leq \kappa \leq \kappa_1 \end{cases}$.

Definition 1.1 [23]: Let $Y(\kappa) = Y(\kappa; \kappa_0)$ be the solution of equation (1), then $Y(\kappa)$ is characterized by the following:

- (a) If $\kappa \in (\kappa_l, \kappa_{l+1}]$, $Y(\kappa)$ satisfies the first equation in (1).
- (b) If $\kappa = \kappa_l$, $Y(\kappa)$ satisfies the impulsive condition of (1).
- (c) $Y'(\kappa)$ has two side limits with left continuous in impulsive points, where $Y'(\kappa_l)$ satisfies the impulsive condition of (1).

Definition 1.2 [23]: If $Y(\kappa)$ is a nontrivial solution to eq. (1), then $Y(\kappa)$ achieves the non-oscillatory feature if it satisfies eventually positive feature or eventually negative. Otherwise, $Y(\kappa)$ is oscillatory. The eq. (1) verifies oscillation feature if all nontrivial solutions are oscillatory.

2. Main Results

This section includes some new results to secure sufficient conditions for oscillatory feature for eq. (1).

Theorem 2.1: Let $f(\kappa) > 0$ and $\phi: \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}^+$ be continuous function, where $\mathcal{L} = \{(\kappa, \xi): \kappa \geq \xi \geq \kappa_0\}$. Assume that $\phi(\kappa, \xi)$ has continuous with non-positive partial derivative on ϕ for the variable ξ . Let $\varphi: \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}^+$ be continuous function such that:

$$-\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \phi(\kappa, \xi) = \varphi(\kappa, \xi) \sqrt{\phi(\kappa, \xi)}, \quad \kappa, \xi \in \mu \quad (2)$$

$$\text{And } \phi(\kappa, \kappa) = 0, \kappa \geq \kappa_0, \quad \phi(\kappa, \kappa) > 0, \quad \kappa \geq \xi \geq \kappa_0 \quad (3)$$

$$\limsup_{\kappa \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\phi(\kappa, \kappa_0)} \times \left\{ \int_{\kappa_0}^{\kappa} \left(g(\xi) \phi(\kappa, \xi) - \frac{1}{4\eta} \left(\varphi^2(\kappa, \xi) + 2\varphi(\kappa, \xi) f(\xi) \sqrt{\phi(\kappa, \xi)} + f^2(\xi) \phi(\kappa, \xi) \right) \right) d\xi + \sum_{l=1}^{\mu(\kappa)} \frac{b_l}{a_l} \phi(\kappa, \kappa_l) \right\} = \infty \quad (4)$$

Then eq. (1) has oscillatory property.

Proof. Suppose that $Y(\kappa)$ is nonoscillatory, so there is a $\mathcal{T} \geq \kappa_0$ such that $Y(\kappa) \neq 0, \kappa \geq \mathcal{T}$.

We define the Riccati Transform as:

$$\mathcal{S}(\kappa) = \frac{Y'(\kappa)}{h(Y(\kappa))}, \quad \kappa \geq \mathcal{T}$$

$$\mathcal{S}'(\kappa) = \frac{Y''(\kappa) \hbar(Y(\kappa)) - (Y'(\kappa))^2 \hbar'(Y(\kappa))}{\hbar^2(Y(\kappa))}$$

$$\mathcal{S}'(\kappa) = \frac{Y''(\kappa)}{\hbar(Y(\kappa))} - \left(\frac{Y'(\kappa)}{Y(\kappa)} \right)^2 \hbar'(Y(\kappa))$$

So, by the first equation of (1):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}'(\kappa) &= \frac{-\mathfrak{f}(\kappa)Y'(\kappa) - \mathfrak{g}(\kappa)\hbar(Y(\kappa))}{\hbar(Y(\kappa))} - \left(\frac{Y'(\kappa)}{Y(\kappa)} \right)^2 \hbar'(Y(\kappa)) \\ &\leq -\mathfrak{f}(\kappa)\mathcal{S}(\kappa) - \mathfrak{g}(\kappa) - \eta\mathcal{S}^2(\kappa) \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{S}'(\kappa) + \mathfrak{f}(\kappa)\mathcal{S}(\kappa) + \eta\mathcal{S}^2(\kappa) \leq -\mathfrak{g}(\kappa), \text{ for } \kappa \neq \kappa_l.$$

When $\kappa = \kappa_l$ then:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\mathcal{S}(\kappa_l) &= \mathcal{S}(\kappa_l^+) - \mathcal{S}(\kappa_l^-) \\ &= \frac{Y'(\kappa_l^+)}{\hbar(Y(\kappa_l^+))} - \frac{Y'(\kappa_l^-)}{\hbar(Y(\kappa_l^-))} \end{aligned}$$

But the function \hbar is continuous on $[\kappa_0, \infty)$

$$= \frac{Y'(\kappa_l^+) - Y'(\kappa_l^-)}{\hbar(Y(\kappa_l))}$$

$$\Delta\mathcal{S}(\kappa_l) = \frac{\Delta Y'(\kappa_l)}{\hbar(Y(\kappa_l))} = \frac{-\mathfrak{b}_l}{\mathfrak{a}_l}$$

The transformation $\mathcal{S}(\kappa)$ satisfies:

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}'(\kappa) + \mathfrak{f}(\kappa)\mathcal{S}(\kappa) + \eta\mathcal{S}^2(\kappa) &\leq -\mathfrak{g}(\kappa), & \kappa \neq \kappa_l \\ \Delta\mathcal{S}(\kappa_l) &= \frac{-\mathfrak{b}_l}{\mathfrak{a}_l} \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (5)$$

by choosing positive integer n with $\kappa_{n-1} \leq \mathcal{T} < \kappa_n$. Let $m = i(\kappa)$ for large enough κ that means $\kappa_m \leq \kappa < \kappa_{m+1}$. Assuming that \mathcal{I} denotes to the set of interval $[\mathcal{T}, \kappa]$ except the points $\kappa_n, \kappa_{n+1}, \dots, \kappa_m$, so it can see of the first equation of (5):

$$\begin{aligned} - \int_{\mathcal{T}}^{\kappa} \mathfrak{g}(\xi) \phi(\kappa, \xi) d\xi &= - \int_{\mathcal{I}} \mathfrak{g}(\xi) \phi(\kappa, \xi) d\xi \\ &\geq \int_{\mathcal{I}} \phi(\kappa, \xi) \mathcal{S}'(\xi) d\xi + \eta \int_{\mathcal{I}} \phi(\kappa, \xi) \mathcal{S}^2(\xi) d\xi \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathcal{I}} \mathfrak{f}(\xi) \phi(\kappa, \xi) \mathcal{S}(\xi) d\xi \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Since $\mathcal{S}(\kappa)$ is a piecewise continuous with continuity of ϕ , we see that:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(\kappa, \kappa)\mathcal{S}(\kappa) - \phi(\kappa, \mathcal{T})\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{T}) &= \phi(\kappa, \kappa)\mathcal{S}(\kappa) - \phi(\kappa, \kappa_l^+)\mathcal{S}(\kappa_l^+) + \phi(\kappa, \kappa_l^+)\mathcal{S}(\kappa_l^+) - \phi(\kappa, \kappa_l^-)\mathcal{S}(\kappa_l^-) \\ &\quad + \phi(\kappa, \kappa_l^-)\mathcal{S}(\kappa_l^-) - \phi(\kappa, \kappa_{l-1}^+)\mathcal{S}(\kappa_{l-1}^+) + \phi(\kappa, \kappa_{l-1}^+)\mathcal{S}(\kappa_{l-1}^+) - \\ \phi(\kappa, \kappa_{l-1}^-)\mathcal{S}(\kappa_{l-1}^-) &+ \phi(\kappa, \kappa_{l-1}^-)\mathcal{S}(\kappa_{l-1}^-) \\ &\quad - \phi(\kappa, \kappa_{l-2}^+)\mathcal{S}(\kappa_{l-2}^+) + \dots + \phi(\kappa, \kappa_l^+)\mathcal{S}(\kappa_l^+) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\phi(\kappa, \kappa_l^-) \mathcal{S}(\kappa_l^-) + \phi(\kappa, \kappa_l^-) \mathcal{S}(\kappa_l^-) - \phi(\kappa, \mathcal{T}) \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{T}) \\
& = \int_{\mathcal{J}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} (\phi(\kappa, \xi) \mathcal{S}(\xi)) d\xi + \sum_{l=n}^{\mu(\kappa)} (\phi(\kappa, \kappa_l^+) \mathcal{S}(\kappa_l^+) - \phi(\kappa, \kappa_l^-) \mathcal{S}(\kappa_l^-)) \\
& = \int_{\mathcal{J}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} (\phi(\kappa, \xi) \mathcal{S}(\xi)) d\xi + \sum_{l=n}^{\mu(\kappa)} \phi(\kappa, \kappa_l) (\mathcal{S}(\kappa_l^+) - \mathcal{S}(\kappa_l^-)) \\
& = \int_{\mathcal{J}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} (\phi(\kappa, \xi) \mathcal{S}(\xi)) d\xi + \sum_{l=n}^{\mu(\kappa)} \phi(\kappa, \kappa_l) \Delta \mathcal{S}(\kappa_l) \\
& = \int_{\mathcal{J}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} (\phi(\kappa, \xi) \mathcal{S}(\xi)) d\xi - \sum_{l=n}^{\mu(\kappa)} \frac{b_l}{a_l} \phi(\kappa, \kappa_l) \tag{7}
\end{aligned}$$

$\phi(\kappa, \kappa) = 0$, and by (7):

$$\int_{\mathcal{J}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} (\phi(\kappa, \xi) \mathcal{S}(\xi)) d\xi = -\phi(\kappa, \mathcal{T}) \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{T}) + \sum_{l=n}^{\mu(\kappa)} \frac{b_l}{a_l} \phi(\kappa, \kappa_l) \tag{8}$$

by (6) and (8), and the relationship of $\phi(\kappa, \xi)$ and $\varphi(\kappa, \xi)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \int_{\mathcal{J}} g(\xi) \phi(\kappa, \xi) d\xi \geq \int_{\mathcal{J}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} (\phi(\kappa, \xi) \mathcal{S}(\xi)) d\xi - \int_{\mathcal{J}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \phi(\kappa, \xi) \mathcal{S}(\xi) d\xi \\
& \quad + \eta \int_{\mathcal{J}} \phi(\kappa, \xi) \mathcal{S}^2(\xi) d\xi + \int_{\mathcal{J}} f(\xi) \phi(\kappa, \xi) \mathcal{S}(\xi) d\xi \\
& = -\phi(\kappa, \mathcal{T}) \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{T}) + \sum_{l=n}^{\mu(\kappa)} \frac{b_l}{a_l} \phi(\kappa, \kappa_l) + \int_{\mathcal{J}} \varphi(\kappa, \xi) \sqrt{\phi(\kappa, \xi)} \mathcal{S}(\xi) d\xi + \eta \int_{\mathcal{J}} \phi(\kappa, \xi) \mathcal{S}^2(\xi) d\xi \\
& \quad + \int_{\mathcal{J}} f(\xi) \phi(\kappa, \xi) \mathcal{S}(\xi) d\xi \\
& = -\phi(\kappa, \mathcal{T}) \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{T}) + \sum_{l=n}^{\mu(\kappa)} \frac{b_l}{a_l} \phi(\kappa, \kappa_l) \\
& \quad + \int_{\mathcal{J}} \left(\varphi(\kappa, \xi) \sqrt{\phi(\kappa, \xi)} \mathcal{S}(\xi) + \eta \phi(\kappa, \xi) \mathcal{S}^2(\xi) + f(\xi) \phi(\kappa, \xi) \mathcal{S}(\xi) \right) d\xi \\
& = -\phi(\kappa, \mathcal{T}) \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{T}) + \sum_{l=n}^{\mu(\kappa)} \frac{b_l}{a_l} \phi(\kappa, \kappa_l) \\
& \quad + \int_{\mathcal{J}} \left(\left(\varphi(\kappa, \xi) + f(\xi) \sqrt{\phi(\kappa, \xi)} \right) \sqrt{\phi(\kappa, \xi)} \mathcal{S}(\xi) + \eta \phi(\kappa, \xi) \mathcal{S}^2(\xi) \right) d\xi
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= -\phi(\kappa, \mathcal{T})\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{T}) + \sum_{l=n}^{\mu(\kappa)} \frac{b_l}{a_l} \phi(\kappa, \kappa_l) \\
&\quad + \int_{\mathcal{J}} \left(\frac{-1}{4\eta} \left(\varphi(\kappa, \xi) + \mathfrak{f}(\xi) \sqrt{\phi(\kappa, \xi)} \right)^2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \left[\frac{1}{2\sqrt{\eta}} \left(\varphi(\kappa, \xi) + \mathfrak{f}(\xi) \sqrt{\phi(\kappa, \xi)} \right) + \sqrt{\eta} \sqrt{\phi(\kappa, \xi)} \mathcal{S}(\xi) \right]^2 \right) d\xi.
\end{aligned}$$

So, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \int_{\mathcal{J}} g(\xi) \phi(\kappa, \xi) d\xi \\
& \geq -\phi(\kappa, \mathcal{T})\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{T}) + \sum_{l=n}^{\mu(\kappa)} \frac{b_l}{a_l} \phi(\kappa, \kappa_l) \\
& \quad + \int_{\mathcal{J}} \left(\frac{-1}{4\eta} \left(\varphi(\kappa, \xi) + \mathfrak{f}(\xi) \sqrt{\phi(\kappa, \xi)} \right)^2 \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \left[\frac{1}{2\sqrt{\eta}} \left(\varphi(\kappa, \xi) + \mathfrak{f}(\xi) \sqrt{\phi(\kappa, \xi)} \right) + \sqrt{m} \sqrt{\phi(\kappa, \xi)} \mathcal{S}(\xi) \right]^2 \right) d\xi \\
& \geq -\phi(\kappa, \mathcal{T})\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{T}) + \sum_{l=n}^{\mu(\kappa)} \frac{b_l}{a_l} \phi(\kappa, \kappa_l) - \frac{1}{4\eta} \int_{\mathcal{J}} \left(\varphi(\kappa, \xi) + \mathfrak{f}(\xi) \sqrt{\phi(\kappa, \xi)} \right)^2 d\xi. \\
& - \int_{\mathcal{J}} g(\xi) \phi(\kappa, \xi) d\xi + \frac{1}{4\eta} \int_{\mathcal{J}} \left(\varphi(\kappa, \xi) + \mathfrak{f}(\xi) \sqrt{\phi(\kappa, \xi)} \right)^2 d\xi - \sum_{l=n}^{\mu(\kappa)} \frac{b_l}{a_l} \phi(\kappa, \kappa_l) \\
& \geq -\phi(\kappa, \mathcal{T})\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{T}) \tag{9}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathcal{J}} g(\xi) \phi(\kappa, \xi) d\xi - \frac{1}{4\eta} \int_{\mathcal{J}} \left(\varphi(\kappa, \xi) + \mathfrak{f}(\xi) \sqrt{\phi(\kappa, \xi)} \right)^2 d\xi + \sum_{l=n}^{\mu(\kappa)} \frac{b_l}{a_l} \phi(\kappa, \kappa_l) \leq \phi(\kappa, \mathcal{T})\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{T}) \\
& \int_{\mathcal{J}} \left(g(\xi) \phi(\kappa, \xi) - \frac{1}{4\eta} \left(\varphi^2(\kappa, \xi) + 2\varphi(\kappa, \xi) \mathfrak{f}(\xi) \sqrt{\phi(\kappa, \xi)} + \mathfrak{f}^2(\xi) \phi(\kappa, \xi) \right) \right) d\xi + \sum_{l=n}^{\mu(\kappa)} \frac{b_l}{a_l} \phi(\kappa, \kappa_l) \\
& \leq \phi(\kappa, \mathcal{T})\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{T}) \tag{10}
\end{aligned}$$

so, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\kappa_0}^{\kappa} \left(g(\xi) \phi(\kappa, \xi) - \frac{1}{4\eta} \left(\varphi^2(\kappa, \xi) + 2\varphi(\kappa, \xi) \mathfrak{f}(\xi) \sqrt{\phi(\kappa, \xi)} + \mathfrak{f}^2(\xi) \phi(\kappa, \xi) \right) \right) d\xi + \sum_{l=n}^{\mu(\kappa)} \frac{b_l}{a_l} \phi(\kappa, \kappa_l) \\
& = \int_{\kappa_0}^{\mathcal{T}} \left(g(\xi) \phi(\kappa, \xi) - \frac{1}{4\eta} \left(\varphi^2(\kappa, \xi) + 2\varphi(\kappa, \xi) \mathfrak{f}(\xi) \sqrt{\phi(\kappa, \xi)} + \mathfrak{f}^2(\xi) \phi(\kappa, \xi) \right) \right) d\xi + \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \frac{b_l}{a_l} \phi(\kappa, \kappa_l) \\
& + \int_{\mathcal{T}}^{\kappa} \left(g(\xi) \phi(\kappa, \xi) - \frac{1}{4\eta} \left(\varphi^2(\kappa, \xi) + 2\varphi(\kappa, \xi) \mathfrak{f}(\xi) \sqrt{\phi(\kappa, \xi)} + \mathfrak{f}^2(\xi) \phi(\kappa, \xi) \right) \right) d\xi + \sum_{l=n}^{\mu(\kappa)} \frac{b_l}{a_l} \phi(\kappa, \kappa_l)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \int_{\kappa_0}^T \left(g(\xi) \phi(\kappa, \xi) - \frac{1}{4\eta} \left(\varphi^2(\kappa, \xi) + 2\varphi(\kappa, \xi) \mathfrak{f}(\xi) \sqrt{\phi(\kappa, \xi)} + \mathfrak{f}^2(\xi) \phi(\kappa, \xi) \right) \right) d\xi + \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \frac{b_l}{a_l} \phi(\kappa, \kappa_l) \\
&\quad + \phi(\kappa, T) \mathcal{S}(T) \\
&= \int_{\kappa_0}^T \left(g(\xi) \phi(\kappa, \xi) - \frac{1}{4\eta} \left(\varphi(\kappa, \xi) + \mathfrak{f}(\xi) \sqrt{\phi(\kappa, \xi)} \right)^2 \right) d\xi + \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \frac{b_l}{a_l} \phi(\kappa, \kappa_l) + \phi(\kappa, T) \mathcal{S}(T) \\
&\leq \int_{\kappa_0}^T g(\xi) \phi(\kappa, \xi) d\xi + \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \frac{b_l}{a_l} \phi(\kappa, \kappa_l) + \phi(\kappa, T) \mathcal{S}(T), \text{ since } \mathfrak{f}(\kappa) > 0 \\
&\leq \int_{\kappa_0}^T g(\xi) \phi(\kappa, \xi) d\xi + \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \frac{b_l}{a_l} \phi(\kappa, \kappa_l) + \phi(\kappa, T) |\mathcal{S}(T)| \\
&\leq \phi(\kappa, \kappa_0) \left(\int_{\kappa_0}^T |g(\xi)| d\xi + \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \frac{b_l}{a_l} + |\mathcal{S}(T)| \right)
\end{aligned}$$

the last inequality held by decreasing of $\phi(\kappa, \xi)$ with respect to ξ .

So, we conclude that:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\limsup_{\kappa \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\phi(\kappa, \kappa_0)} \\
&\quad \times \left\{ \int_{\kappa_0}^{\kappa} \left(g(\xi) \phi(\kappa, \xi) - \frac{1}{4\eta} \left(\varphi^2(\kappa, \xi) + 2\varphi(\kappa, \xi) \mathfrak{f}(\xi) \sqrt{\phi(\kappa, \xi)} + \mathfrak{f}^2(\xi) \phi(\kappa, \xi) \right) \right) d\xi \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{l=1}^{\mu(\kappa)} \frac{b_l}{a_l} \phi(\kappa, \kappa_l) \right\} < \infty,
\end{aligned}$$

Which is a contradiction with condition (4). \square

In the following result, we proposed two conditions and use the problem (5) to ensure the oscillatory property.

Theorem 2.2 Assume that, for $q > 1, 0 \leq \vartheta < 1$,

$$\limsup_{\kappa \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\kappa^q} \left\{ \int_{\kappa_0}^{\kappa} g(\xi) (\kappa - \xi)^q \xi^{\vartheta} d\xi + \sum_{l=1}^{\mu(\kappa)} \frac{b_l}{a_l} (\kappa - \kappa_l)^q \kappa_l^{\vartheta} \right\} = \infty \quad (11)$$

and

$$\limsup_{\kappa \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\kappa^q} \left\{ \int_{\kappa_0}^{\kappa} (q\xi - \vartheta(\kappa - \xi) + \mathfrak{f}(\xi) u(\kappa - \xi))^2 (\kappa - \xi)^{q-2} \xi^{\vartheta-2} d\xi \right\} < \infty \quad (12)$$

Then eq. (1) has oscillation property.

Proof:

Let $Y(\kappa)$ be a non-oscillatory, then there is a $T \geq \kappa_0$ with $Y(\kappa) \neq 0$ for $\kappa \geq T$.

Therefore the Riccati transform $\mathcal{S}(\kappa)$ satisfies (5):

$$\mathcal{S}'(\kappa) + \mathfrak{f}(\kappa)\mathcal{S}(\kappa) + \eta\mathcal{S}^2(\kappa) \leq -\mathfrak{g}(\kappa), \quad \kappa \neq \kappa_l$$

$$\Delta\mathcal{S}(\kappa_l) = \frac{-\mathfrak{b}_l}{\mathfrak{a}_l}$$

We multiply first inequality of (5) by $(\kappa - \xi)^{\varrho}\xi^{\vartheta}$ with integrating it from κ_0 to κ :

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int_{\kappa_0}^{\kappa} \mathfrak{g}(\xi)(\kappa - \xi)^{\varrho} \xi^{\vartheta} d\xi \\ & \geq \int_{\kappa_0}^{\kappa} (\kappa - \xi)^{\varrho} \xi^{\vartheta} \mathcal{S}'(\xi) d\xi + \int_{\kappa_0}^{\kappa} \mathfrak{f}(\xi)(\kappa - \xi)^{\varrho} \xi^{\vartheta} \mathcal{S}(\xi) d\xi \\ & \quad + \eta \int_{\kappa_0}^{\kappa} (\kappa - \xi)^{\varrho} \xi^{\vartheta} \mathcal{S}^2(\xi) d\xi \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\kappa_0}^{\kappa} (\kappa - \xi)^{\varrho} \xi^{\vartheta} \mathcal{S}'(\xi) d\xi \\ & = (\kappa - \kappa_0)^{\varrho} \kappa_0^{\vartheta} \mathcal{S}(\kappa_0) + \sum_{l=1}^{\mu(\kappa)} \frac{\mathfrak{b}_l}{\mathfrak{a}_l} (\kappa - \kappa_l)^{\varrho} \kappa_l^{\vartheta} + \varrho \int_{\kappa_0}^{\kappa} (\kappa - \xi)^{\varrho} \xi^{\vartheta} \mathcal{S}(\xi) d\xi \\ & \quad - \vartheta \int_{\kappa_0}^{\kappa} (\kappa - \xi)^{\varrho} \xi^{\vartheta-1} \mathcal{S}(\xi) d\xi \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Now put (14) in (13), we get:

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int_{\kappa_0}^{\kappa} \mathfrak{g}(\xi)(\kappa - \xi)^{\varrho} \xi^{\vartheta} d\xi \\ & \geq (\kappa - \kappa_0)^{\varrho} \kappa_0^{\vartheta} \mathcal{S}(\kappa_0) + \sum_{l=1}^{\mu(\kappa)} \frac{\mathfrak{b}_l}{\mathfrak{a}_l} (\kappa - \kappa_l)^{\varrho} \kappa_l^{\vartheta} + \varrho \int_{\kappa_0}^{\kappa} (\kappa - \xi)^{\varrho} \xi^{\vartheta} \mathcal{S}(\xi) d\xi \\ & \quad - \vartheta \int_{\kappa_0}^{\kappa} (\kappa - \xi)^{\varrho} \xi^{\vartheta-1} \mathcal{S}(\xi) d\xi + \int_{\kappa_0}^{\kappa} \mathfrak{f}(\xi)(\kappa - \xi)^{\varrho} \xi^{\vartheta} \mathcal{S}(\xi) d\xi \\ & \quad + \eta \int_{\kappa_0}^{\kappa} (\kappa - \xi)^{\varrho} \xi^{\vartheta} \mathcal{S}^2(\xi) d\xi \\ & = (\kappa - \kappa_0)^{\varrho} \kappa_0^{\vartheta} \mathcal{S}(\kappa_0) + \sum_{l=1}^{\mu(\kappa)} \frac{\mathfrak{b}_l}{\mathfrak{a}_l} (\kappa - \kappa_l)^{\varrho} \kappa_l^{\vartheta} \\ & \quad + \int_{\kappa_0}^{\kappa} (\varrho\xi - \vartheta(\kappa - \xi) + \mathfrak{f}(\xi)\xi(\kappa - \xi))(\kappa - \xi)^{\varrho-1} \xi^{\vartheta-1} \mathcal{S}(\xi) d\xi \\ & \quad + \eta \int_{\kappa_0}^{\kappa} (\kappa - \xi)^{\varrho} \xi^{\vartheta} \mathcal{S}^2(\xi) d\xi \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (\kappa - \kappa_0)^{\varrho} \kappa_0^{\vartheta} \mathcal{S}(\kappa_0) + \sum_{l=1}^{\mu(\kappa)} \frac{b_l}{a_l} (\kappa - \kappa_l)^{\varrho} \kappa_l^{\vartheta} \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{4\eta} \int_{\kappa_0}^{\kappa} (\varrho \xi - \vartheta(\kappa - \xi) + \mathfrak{f}(\xi) \xi (\kappa - \xi))^2 (\kappa - \xi)^{\varrho-2} \xi^{\vartheta-2} d\xi \\
&\quad + \int_{\kappa_0}^{\kappa} \left\{ \sqrt{\eta} (\kappa - \xi)^{\frac{\varrho}{2}} \xi^{\frac{\vartheta}{2}} \mathcal{S}(\xi) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\eta}} (\varrho \xi - \vartheta(\kappa - \xi) + \mathfrak{f}(\xi) \xi (\kappa - \xi)) (\kappa - \xi)^{\frac{\varrho-2}{2}} \xi^{\frac{\vartheta-2}{2}} \right\}^2 d\xi
\end{aligned}$$

From above, we get:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\kappa_0}^{\kappa} g(\xi) (\kappa - \xi)^{\varrho} \xi^{\vartheta} d\xi \\
&\leq -(\kappa - \kappa_0)^{\varrho} \kappa_0^{\vartheta} \mathcal{S}(\kappa_0) - \sum_{l=1}^{\mu(\kappa)} \frac{b_l}{a_l} (\kappa - \kappa_l)^{\varrho} \kappa_l^{\vartheta} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{4\eta} \int_{\kappa_0}^{\kappa} (\varrho \xi - \vartheta(\kappa - \xi) + \mathfrak{f}(\xi) \xi (\kappa - \xi))^2 (\kappa - \xi)^{\varrho-2} \xi^{\vartheta-2} d\xi \\
&\quad - \int_{t_0}^t \left\{ \sqrt{\eta} (\kappa - \xi)^{\frac{\varrho}{2}} \xi^{\frac{\vartheta}{2}} \mathcal{S}(\xi) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\eta}} (\varrho \xi - \vartheta(\kappa - \xi) + \mathfrak{f}(\xi) \xi (\kappa - \xi)) (\kappa - \xi)^{\frac{\varrho-2}{2}} \xi^{\frac{\vartheta-2}{2}} \right\}^2 d\xi \\
&\leq -(\kappa - \kappa_0)^{\varrho} \kappa_0^{\vartheta} \mathcal{S}(\kappa_0) - \sum_{l=1}^{\mu(\kappa)} \frac{b_l}{a_l} (\kappa - \kappa_l)^{\varrho} \kappa_l^{\vartheta} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{4\eta} \int_{\kappa_0}^{\kappa} (\varrho \xi - \vartheta(\kappa - \xi) + \mathfrak{f}(\xi) \xi (\kappa - \xi))^2 (\kappa - \xi)^{\varrho-2} \xi^{\vartheta-2} d\xi. \\
&\int_{\kappa_0}^{\kappa} g(\xi) (\kappa - \xi)^{\varrho} \xi^{\vartheta} d\xi + \sum_{l=1}^{\mu(\kappa)} \frac{b_l}{a_l} (\kappa - \kappa_l)^{\varrho} \kappa_l^{\vartheta} \\
&\leq -(\kappa - \kappa_0)^{\varrho} \kappa_0^{\vartheta} \mathcal{S}(\kappa_0) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{4\eta} \int_{\kappa_0}^{\kappa} (\varrho \xi - \vartheta(\kappa - \xi) + \mathfrak{f}(\xi) \xi (\kappa - \xi))^2 (\kappa - \xi)^{\varrho-2} \xi^{\vartheta-2} d\xi.
\end{aligned}$$

By dividing the last inequality by κ^{α} and take $\limsup_{\kappa \rightarrow \infty}$ for two sides:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\limsup_{\kappa \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\kappa^{\varrho}} \left\{ \int_{\kappa_0}^{\kappa} g(\xi) (\kappa - \xi)^{\varrho} \xi^{\vartheta} d\xi + \sum_{l=1}^{\mu(\kappa)} \frac{b_l}{a_l} (\kappa - \kappa_l)^{\varrho} \kappa_l^{\vartheta} \right\} \\
&\leq \limsup_{\kappa \rightarrow \infty} \frac{-(\kappa - \kappa_0)^{\varrho} \kappa_0^{\vartheta} \mathcal{S}(\kappa_0)}{\kappa^{\varrho}} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{4\eta} \limsup_{\kappa \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\kappa^{\varrho}} \left\{ \int_{\kappa_0}^{\kappa} (\varrho \xi - \vartheta(\kappa - \xi) + \mathfrak{f}(\xi) \xi (\kappa - \xi))^2 (\kappa - \xi)^{\varrho-2} \xi^{\vartheta-2} d\xi \right\} \\
&= \limsup_{\kappa \rightarrow \infty} -\left(1 - \frac{\kappa_0}{\kappa}\right)^{\varrho} \kappa_0^{\vartheta} \mathcal{S}(\kappa_0) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{4\eta} \limsup_{\kappa \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\kappa^{\varrho}} \left\{ \int_{\kappa_0}^{\kappa} (\varrho \xi - \vartheta(\kappa - \xi) + \mathfrak{f}(\xi) \xi (\kappa - \xi))^2 (\kappa - \xi)^{\varrho-2} \xi^{\vartheta-2} d\xi \right\} < \infty
\end{aligned}$$

Which is a contradiction with condition (11). \square

Corollary 2.1 Let $\phi(\kappa, \xi) = (1 - \frac{\xi}{\kappa})^{2\varrho}$, $\varrho > 1$ and:

$$\limsup_{\kappa \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \int_{\kappa_0}^{\kappa} \left((1 - \frac{\xi}{\kappa})^{\varrho} g(\xi) - \frac{1}{4\eta} \left(\frac{2\varrho}{\kappa} (1 - \frac{\xi}{\kappa})^{\varrho-1} f(\xi) + (1 - \frac{\xi}{\kappa})^{\varrho} f^2(\xi) \right) \right) d\xi + \sum_{l=1}^{\mu(\kappa)} \frac{b_l}{a_l} (1 - \frac{\kappa_l}{\kappa})^{2\varrho} \right\} = \infty \quad (15)$$

Then equation (1) is oscillatory.

Proof: Suppose that $\phi(\kappa, \xi) = (1 - \frac{\xi}{\kappa})^{2\varrho}$, $\varrho > 1$. Then $\varphi(\kappa, \xi) = \frac{2\varrho}{\kappa} (1 - \frac{\xi}{\kappa})^{\varrho-1}$. The functions ϕ and φ verify the conditions (2) and (3) in theorem (2.1).

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{\kappa \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\phi(\kappa, \kappa_0)} \int_{\kappa_0}^{\kappa} \varphi^2(\kappa, \xi) d\xi \\ &= \limsup_{\kappa \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{(1 - \frac{\kappa_0}{\kappa})^{2\varrho}} \int_{\kappa_0}^{\kappa} \frac{4\varrho^2}{\kappa^2} (1 - \frac{\xi}{\kappa})^{2\varrho-2} d\xi \right\} \\ &= \limsup_{\kappa \rightarrow \infty} \frac{4\varrho^2 (1 - \frac{\kappa_0}{\kappa})^{2\varrho-1}}{(2\varrho - 1)\kappa (1 - \frac{\kappa_0}{\kappa})^{2\varrho}} \\ &= \limsup_{\kappa \rightarrow \infty} \frac{4\varrho^2}{(2\varrho - 1)(\kappa - \kappa_0)} = 0 \\ & \frac{1}{\phi(\kappa, \kappa_0)} = \frac{1}{(1 - \frac{\kappa_0}{\kappa})^{2\varrho}} \rightarrow 1 \text{ when } \kappa \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

So, by applying the condition (4) of theorem (2.1):

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{\kappa \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\phi(\kappa, \kappa_0)} \\ & \times \left\{ \int_{\kappa_0}^{\kappa} \left(g(\xi) \phi(\kappa, \xi) - \frac{1}{4\eta} \left(\varphi^2(\kappa, \xi) + 2\varphi(\kappa, \xi) f(\xi) \sqrt{\phi(\kappa, \xi)} + f^2(\xi) \phi(\kappa, \xi) \right) \right) d\xi \right. \\ & \left. + \sum_{l=1}^{\mu(\kappa)} \frac{b_l}{a_l} \phi(\kappa, \kappa_l) \right\} \\ &= \limsup_{\kappa \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \int_{\kappa_0}^{\kappa} \left((1 - \frac{\xi}{\kappa})^{2\varrho} g(\xi) - \frac{1}{4\eta} \left(\frac{4\varrho}{\kappa} (1 - \frac{\xi}{\kappa})^{2\varrho-1} f(\xi) + (1 - \frac{\xi}{\kappa})^{2\varrho} f^2(\xi) \right) \right) d\xi \right. \\ & \left. + \sum_{l=1}^{\mu(\kappa)} \frac{b_l}{a_l} (1 - \frac{\kappa_l}{\kappa})^{2\varrho} \right\} = \infty \end{aligned}$$

By theorem (2.1), the eq. (1) is oscillatory. \square

In the following example, we applied the conditions in theorem (2.1) to guarantee the oscillation property.

Example (2.1): Suppose that $\phi(\kappa, \xi)$, $\varphi(\kappa, \xi)$ are defined as in corollary (2.1) and:

$$Y''(\kappa) + \frac{1}{\kappa^{\varrho+1}} Y'(\kappa) + \kappa^2 h(Y(\kappa)) = 0, \quad \kappa \neq \kappa_l, \quad l = 1, 2, \dots$$

$$l \Delta Y'(\kappa_l) + (l+1) h(Y(\kappa_l)) = 0, \quad \kappa = \kappa_l$$

Where $f(\kappa) = \begin{cases} \kappa, & \kappa \neq \kappa_l \\ 2, & \kappa = \kappa_l \end{cases}$, $g(\kappa) = \begin{cases} \frac{2}{\eta} \kappa^2, & \kappa \neq \kappa_l \\ \frac{1}{3}, & \kappa = \kappa_l \end{cases}$, $\varrho > 2$, $\kappa \geq \xi \geq \kappa_0 \geq 1$, and $a_l = l$,

$b_l = l + 1$. By applying the condition (15):

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{\kappa \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \int_{\kappa_0}^{\kappa} \left(\left(1 - \frac{\xi}{\kappa}\right)^{2\varrho} g(\xi) - \frac{1}{4\eta} \left(\frac{4\varrho}{\kappa} \left(1 - \frac{\xi}{\kappa}\right)^{2\varrho-1} f(\xi) + \left(1 - \frac{\xi}{\kappa}\right)^{2\varrho} f^2(\xi) \right) \right) d\xi \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \sum_{l=1}^{\mu(\kappa)} \frac{b_l}{a_l} \left(1 - \frac{\kappa_l}{\kappa}\right)^{2\varrho} \right\} \\ &= \limsup_{\kappa \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \int_{\kappa_0}^{\kappa} \left(\frac{2}{\eta} \xi^2 \left(1 - \frac{\xi}{\kappa}\right)^{2\varrho} - \frac{1}{4\eta} \left(\frac{4\varrho\xi}{\kappa} \left(1 - \frac{\xi}{\kappa}\right)^{2\varrho-1} + \xi^2 \left(1 - \frac{\xi}{\kappa}\right)^{2\varrho} \right) \right) d\xi \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \sum_{l=1}^{\mu(\kappa)} \frac{l+1}{l} \left(1 - \frac{\kappa_l}{\kappa}\right)^{2\varrho} \right\} \\ &= \limsup_{\kappa \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \frac{2\kappa_0^2(\kappa - \kappa_0)^{2\varrho+1}}{\eta(2\varrho+1)\kappa^{2\varrho}} + \frac{\kappa_0(\kappa - \kappa_0)^{2\varrho+2}}{\eta(\varrho+1)} + \frac{(\kappa - \kappa_0)^{2\varrho+3}}{\eta(\varrho+1)(2\varrho+3)} \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \frac{1}{4\eta} \left(\frac{2\kappa_0(\kappa - \kappa_0)^{2\varrho}}{\kappa^{2\varrho}} + \frac{2(\kappa - \kappa_0)^{2\varrho+1}}{(2\varrho+1)\kappa^{2\varrho}} + \frac{\kappa_0^2(\kappa - \kappa_0)^{2\varrho+1}}{(2\varrho+1)\kappa^{2\varrho}} + \frac{\kappa_0(\kappa - \kappa_0)^{2\varrho+2}}{2\varrho+2} \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. + \frac{(\kappa - \kappa_0)^{2\varrho+3}}{(2\varrho+2)(2\varrho+3)} \right) + \sum_{l=1}^{\mu(\kappa)} \frac{l+1}{l} \left(1 - \frac{\kappa_l}{\kappa}\right)^{2\varrho} \right\} \\ &= \limsup_{\kappa \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \frac{(7\kappa_0^2 - 2)(\kappa - \kappa_0)^{2\varrho+1}}{4\eta(2\varrho+1)\kappa^{2\varrho}} + \frac{7\kappa_0(\kappa - \kappa_0)^{2\varrho+2}}{8\eta(\varrho+1)} + \frac{7(\kappa - \kappa_0)^{2\varrho+3}}{8\eta(\varrho+1)(2\varrho+3)} \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \frac{1}{4\eta} \left(\frac{2\kappa_0(\kappa - \kappa_0)^{2\varrho}}{\kappa^{2\varrho}} \right) + \sum_{l=1}^{\mu(\kappa)} \frac{l+1}{l} \left(1 - \frac{\kappa_l}{\kappa}\right)^{2\varrho} \right\} \\ &= \limsup_{\kappa \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \frac{(\kappa - \kappa_0)^{2\varrho}}{\kappa^{2\varrho}} \left(\frac{(7\kappa_0^2 - 2)(\kappa - \kappa_0)}{4\eta(2\varrho+1)} - \frac{2\kappa_0}{4\eta} \right) + \frac{7\kappa_0(\kappa - \kappa_0)^{2\varrho+2}}{8\eta(\varrho+1)} + \frac{7(\kappa - \kappa_0)^{2\varrho+3}}{8\eta(\varrho+1)(2\varrho+3)} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \sum_{l=1}^{\mu(\kappa)} \frac{l+1}{l} \left(1 - \frac{\kappa_l}{\kappa}\right)^{2\varrho} \right\} = \infty, \end{aligned}$$

So, by corollary (2.1) the eq. (1) is oscillatory.

Conclusion

we obtained appropriate sufficient conditions to oscillation for equation (1) which generalize and extend some results in [23] to nonlinear case. By formulating appropriate impulsive conditions, we concluded that the impulses conditions play an important role to consider the qualitative features to solutions for differential equations.

References

1. Benchohra M., Henderson J., and Ntouyas S., (2006), *Impulsive differential equations and inclusions*. Hindawi Publishing Corporation.
2. Esuabana I. M. and Ugboh J. A., (2018), Survey of impulsive differential equations with continuous delay, *International Journal of Mathematics Trends and Technology*, **60** (1), 22-28.
3. Peng Y., Xiang X., and Wei W., (2007), Necessary conditions of optimality for second-order nonlinear impulsive differential equations, *Advances in Difference Equations*, Hindawi, **2007**, 1-17.
4. Hartman P., (2002), *Ordinary Differential Equations, Classic in Applied Mathematics*, Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, Siam.
5. Kelley W., Peterson A., (2004), *The Theory of Differential Equations Classical and Qualitative*, Pearson Education New Jersey.
6. Nemah E. M., (2020), Homotopy Transforms analysis method for solving fractional Navier-Stokes equations with applications, *Iraqi Journal of science*, **61**(8), 2048-2054. Doi: 10.24996/ijis. 2020.61.9.20.
7. Ghazi F.F. and Tawfig L.N. M., (2020), New Approach for solving two dimensional space PDF, *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, **1530**(2020), 1-9, 012066, doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1530/1/012066.
8. Aqeel F. J., (2015), " Solving Partial Differential equations by homotopy Perturbation Method ", *Journal of the College of Basic Education*, **21**(89), 157-164.
9. Ghazi F.F. and Tawfig L.N.M., (2020), Coupled Laplace- decomposition method for solving Klein-Gordon equation, *International Journal of Modern Mathematical Sciences*, **18**(1), 31-41.
10. Asmaa A. A. and Aqeel F. J., (2014), " The Approximate Solution of Newell-Whitehead-Segal and Fisher Equations using the Adomian Decomposition Method", *Al-Mustansiriyah J. Sci.*, **25**(4), 45-56.
11. Aqeel F.J., (2012), Spectral Methods to Investigation the Stability for Parabolic Problems, *Journal of the College of Basic Education*, **18**(76), 151-154.
12. Lakshmikantham V., Bainov D. D. and Simeonov P. S., (1989), *Theory of impulsive differential equations*, World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd.
13. Hussain A.M. and Aqeel F.J., (2019), Asymptotic behavior second order neutral differential equation with positive and negative coefficients and impulses effects, *Journal of Interdisciplinary Mathematics*, **22**(8), 1565-1568. Doi:10.1080/09720502.2019.1706859.
14. Pandian S. and Balachandran Y., (2013), Asymptotic behavior results for nonlinear impulsive neutral differential equations with positive and negative coefficients, *International Journal of Data Mining*, **2** (2), 13-21.
15. Hussain A.M. and Aqeel F.J., (2020), Asymptotic criteria neutral differential equations with positive and negative coefficients and impulses integral term, *Iraqi Journal of science*, **61**(9), 2315-2323. Doi: 10.24996/ijis. 2020.61.9.18.

16. Panigrahi S. and Tunc E., (2016), Oscillatory and asymptotic behavior of second order neutral dynamic equations with positive and negative coefficients, *Differential Equations & Applications*, **8**, (2), 207-223.
17. Sadhasivam V., Sunder P. and Santhi A., (2016), Oscillation and asymptotic behavior of solutions of second order homogenous neutral differential equations with positive and negative coefficients, *JOSR Journal of Mathematics*, **12** (3), 36-42.
18. Hussain A.M. and Aqeel F.J., (2019), Property of oscillation of first order impulsive neutral differential equations of impulses effects with positive and negative coefficients, *Journal of Al-Qadisiyah for Computer Science and Mathematics*, **11**(1), 45-51.
19. Hussain A.M. and Aqeel F.J., (2020), Oscillation criteria for solutions of neutral differential equations of impulses effects with positive and negative coefficients, *Baghdad Science Journal*, **17**(2), 537-544. Doi. Org/10.21123/bsj:2020.17.2.0537.
20. Sanjay K.S. and Amanpreet K., (2009), A new approach to stability of impulsive differential equations, *Int. Journal of Math. Analysis*, **3**, 179-185.
21. YuMei L. and JinRong W., (2014), A note on stability of impulsive differential equations, *Boundary Value Problems, a Springer Open Journal*, **2014**(67), 1-8.
22. Ethiraju T., Manju K. and Sadra P., (2016), Interval oscillation criteria for second-order forced impulsive delay differential equations with damping term, *Thandapani et al Springer Plus*, **5**(558), 1-16.
23. Kunwen W., Yuping Z., Huaqin P. and Lifang H., (2019), Philos-type oscillation criteria for second –order linear impulsive differential equation with damping, *Boundary Value Problems, a Springer Open Journal*, **2019** (111), 1-16.