PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

Realistic shell-model calculations for astrophysically relevant Gamow-Teller distributions

To cite this article: N Itaco et al 2020 J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 1643 012050

View the article online for updates and enhancements.

You may also like

- <u>Gamow–Teller strength distributions for</u> <u>neutron-rich nitrogen, oxygen and fluorine</u> <u>isotopes</u> Yu-Mei Zhang
- <u>Comparison of Gamow–Teller strengths in</u> <u>the random phase approximation</u> Jameel-Un Nabi and Calvin W Johnson
- Impact of electron-captures on nuclei near <u>N = 50 on core-collapse supernovae</u> R Titus, C Sullivan, R G T Zegers et al.





DISCOVER how sustainability intersects with electrochemistry & solid state science research



This content was downloaded from IP address 3.135.247.76 on 11/05/2024 at 08:54

Journal of Physics: Conference Series

020) 012050 doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1643/1/012050

Realistic shell-model calculations for astrophysically relevant Gamow-Teller distributions

N Itaco ^{1,2}, L Coraggio ², and R Mancino ^{1,2}

 ¹ Dipartimento di Matematica e Fisica, Università degli Studi della Campania "Luigi Vanvitelli", viale Abramo Lincoln 5 - I-81100 Caserta, Italy
² Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Complesso Universitario di Monte S. Angelo, Via Cintia - I-80126 Napoli, Italy

E-mail: Nunzio.Itaco@unicampania.it

Abstract. Electron-capture reaction rates on medium-heavy nuclei are a key ingredient to describe the evolution of core-collapse and thermonuclear supernovae. To estimate these rates it is necessary to know the Gamow-Teller strength distributions involved. In this paper we report some preliminary results of the calculations of Gamow-Teller strength distributions for pf-shell nuclei performed in the framework of the realistic shell model.

1. Introduction

Nuclear weak interaction processes play a pivotal role in many astrophysical scenarios [1]. As a matter of fact, electron captures (ECs) on medium-heavy nuclei are crucial in both core-collapse (type II) and thermonuclear (Ia) supernovae evolution. Is is therefore mandatory to use accurate weak-interaction rates in the astrophysical simulations to get a realiable description of such scenarios. To this end, it is necessary to know reliable Gamow-Teller (GT) transition strength distributions for a large number of nuclei. In principle, one could get these informations from the experiment studying charge-exchange reactions and discrete β decays, actually, however, weak interaction processes take place in stellar environment at relatively high temperatures so that transitions from thermally populated excited states have to be taken into account. It is therefore impossible to directly measure a large fraction of the relevant GT strength distributions and one has to resort to theoretical calculations. To this end, it is obviously necessary to introduce a nuclear model to solve the nuclear many-body problem. Fuller, Fowler and Newman were the first in their pioneering papers [2, 3, 4, 5] to estimate the GT contribution to stellar weak interaction rates using an independent particle model and taking into account the available data from β decay experiments. Nowadays, the shell model may be considered the model of choice for such kind of calculations, see for instance Refs. [6, 7, 8].

On these grounds, we have performed a realistic shell-model study of the GT properties of some pf-shell nuclei that are astrophysically relevant. More precisely, starting from a realistic nucleon-nucleon (NN) potential, we have derived an effective shell-model Hamiltonian and consistently an effective GT operator, and we have employed both of them to calculate the GT distribution strenghts and compare them with the available data. The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. 2 it is briefly described the theoretical framework of our calculations, while in

27th International Nuclear Physics Conference (INPC2019)		IOP Publishing
Journal of Physics: Conference Series	1643 (2020) 012050	doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1643/1/012050

Sec. 3 we show and compare with experiment the results we have obtained for the GT properties of some selected pf-shell nuclei. Some concluding remarks are reported in Sec. 4.

2. Outline of calculations

The starting point of our calculation is provided by the high-precision CD-Bonn NN potential [9], that is smoothed integrating out its repulsive high-momentum components by way of the so-called $V_{\text{low}-k}$ approach [10, 11]. In this way we get a softer NN potential defined up to a cutoff Λ , that preserves the physics of the original CD-Bonn interaction. The value of Λ is chosen, as in many of our recent papers [12, 13, 14, 15, 16] equal to 2.6 fm⁻¹, this value being a trade off between the need of minimizing the role of the missing three-nucleon force (3NF) [15] and that of ensuring the perturbative behavior of the potential. The Coulomb potential is explicitly taken into account in the proton-proton channel.

Introducing the harmonic oscillator (HO) potential U it is possible to break up the Hamiltonian for a system of A nucleons as the sum of a one-body term H_0 , which describes the independent motion of the nucleons, and a residual interaction H_1 :

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^{A} \frac{p_i^2}{2m} + \sum_{i< j=1}^{A} V_{low-k}^{ij} = T + V_{low-k} =$$

= $(T + U) + (V_{low-k} - U) = H_0 + H_1$. (1)

A truncated model space is then defined in terms of the eigenvectors of H_0 . To study the GT transition strength distributions of pf-shell nuclei we employ a model space spanned by the four $0f_{7/2}$, $1p_{3/2}$, $1p_{1/2}$, $0f_{5/2}$ proton and neutron orbitals outside the doubly-closed ⁴⁰Ca core, and we derive an effective shell-model Hamiltonian H_{eff} , that takes into account the degrees of freedom that are not explicitly included in the shell-model framework.

This is done by resorting to the many-body perturbation theory, an approach that has been developed by Kuo and coworkers through the 1970s [17, 18]. More precisely, we use the well-known \hat{Q} box-plus-folded-diagram method [19], where the \hat{Q} box is defined as a function of the unperturbed energy ϵ of the valence particles:

$$\hat{Q}(\epsilon) = PH_1P + PH_1Q \frac{1}{\epsilon - QHQ}QH_1P \quad , \tag{2}$$

where the operator P projects onto the model space and $Q = \mathbf{1} - P$. In the present calculations the \hat{Q} box is expanded as a collection of one- and two-body irreducible valence-linked Goldstone diagrams up to third order in the perturbative expansion[20, 21].

Within this framework the effective Hamiltonian H_{eff} can be written in an operator form as

$$H_{\text{eff}} = \hat{Q} - \hat{Q}' \int \hat{Q} + \hat{Q}' \int \hat{Q} \int \hat{Q} - \hat{Q}' \int \hat{Q} \int \hat{Q} \int \hat{Q} + \dots , \qquad (3)$$

where the integral sign represents a generalized folding operation [22], and \hat{Q}' is obtained from \hat{Q} by removing first-order terms [23]. Actually, the above expression for H_{eff} is finally rewritten in terms of the \hat{Q} box derivatives, see Ref.[23] for details.

In present calculations, the single-proton and single-neutron energies have been chosen to reproduce the excitation energies of single-particle states in 57 Cu and 49 Ca, respectively, and to reproduce the odd-even mass difference around 56 Ni and 48 Ca [24]. Therefore, the two-body matrix elements (TBMEs) are obtained from $H_{\rm eff}$ by subtracting its one-body component.

As mentioned before, we want to derive microscopically the effective GT decay operator tailored for the chosen model space. To this end, we resort to the formalism presented by

27th International Nuclear Physics Conference (INPC2019)		IOP Publishing
Journal of Physics: Conference Series	1643 (2020) 012050	doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1643/1/012050

Suzuki and Okamoto in Ref. [25]. In this way it is possible to derive an effective one-body GT operator including consistently all contributions up to third-order in the perturbative expansion, without resorting to any empirically fitted parameter. All the details of the derivation of the effective GT operator can be found In Ref. [13], where there are also reported the single-particle matrix elements of the proton-neutron effective GT+ and GT operators.

3. Results

It is worth mentioning that there are available the experimental log ft values for a number of individual β decays of nuclei with mass ranging from A=41 to A=50. We have calculated the corresponding theoretical GT matrix elements and show them in Fig. 1, where they are compared with the experimental values [26]. More precisely, we plot in Fig. 1 the experimental versus the theoretical normalized matrix elements R(GT) defined as follows

$$R(GT) = M(GT)/W \quad , \tag{4}$$

where W represents the expected total strength, and $M(GT) = [(2J_i + 1)B(GT)]^{1/2}$. It can be seen that most of the theoretical matrix elements are in close agreement with the experimental ones, most of the points being on a straight line forming a $\pi/4$ angle with the x axis.



Figure 1. Theoretical and experimental R(GT), see text for details.



Figure 2. Running sums of the ${}^{45}Sc$ B(GT) strengths as a function of the excitation energy.

From the experimental point of view, the GT strength distribution can be extracted from the GT component of the charge-exchange-reaction cross section at zero degree, following the standard approach in the distorted-wave Born approximation (DWBA) [27, 28]:

$$\frac{d\sigma^{GT}(0^{\circ})}{d\Omega} = \left(\frac{\mu}{\pi\hbar^2}\right)^2 \frac{k_f}{k_i} N_D^{\sigma\tau} |J_{\sigma\tau}|^2 B(p,n) \quad , \tag{5}$$

where $N_D^{\sigma\tau}$ is the distortion factor, $|J_{\sigma\tau}|$ is the volume integral of the effective NN interaction, k_i and k_f are the initial and final momenta, respectively, and μ is the reduced mass.

Therefore we can compare the calculated running sums of the GT strengths $(\Sigma B(p, n))$, obtained with the effective GT operator, with the available data extracted from experiment.

The theoretical GT strength is defined as follows:

Journal of Physics: Conference Series

1643 (2020) 012050 doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1643/1/012050

IOP Publishing

$$B(p,n) = \frac{\left| \langle \Phi_f || \sum_j \vec{\sigma}_j \tau_j^- || \Phi_i \rangle \right|^2}{2J_i + 1} \quad , \tag{6}$$

where indices i, f refer to the parent and grand-daughter nuclei, respectively, and the sum is over all interacting nucleons.

In Fig. 2,3,4 we report the calculated running sums of the GT strengths as a function of the excitation energy for the three nuclei 45 Sc, 48 Ti, and 54 Fe, and compare them with the experiment, and with the shell-model results obtained using the phenomenological interaction KB3G with a quenching factor for the GT operator equal to 0.74.



Figure 3. Running sums of the 48 Ti B(GT) strengths as a function of the excitation energy.

Figure 4. Running sums of the 54 Fe B(GT) strengths as a function of the excitation energy.

From the inspection of the figures, it can be seen that our GT strength distributions are in a quite good agreement with the experimental ones, without the need of introducing any "ad hoc" quenching parameter.

4. Concluding remarks

The results that we have reported show that realistic shell-model calculations are able to provide a satisfactory description of the observed GT strength distributions in pf-shell nuclei. This approach does not require to introduce any "ad hoc" quenching parameter, thus supporting the reliability and the predictive power of the model.

References

- [1] Langanke K and Martínez-Pinedo G 2003 Rev. Mod. Phys. 75(3) 819-862
- [2] Fuller G M, Fowler W A and Newman M J 1980 Astrophys. J. Suppl. Ser. 42 447
- [3] Fuller G M, Fowler W A and Newman M J 1982 Astrophys. J. 252 715
- [4] Fuller G M, Fowler W A and Newman M J 1982 Astrophys. J. Suppl. Ser. 48 279
- [5] Fuller G M, Fowler W A and Newman M J 1985 Astrophys. J. 293 1
- [6] Aufderheide M B, Bloom S D, Resler D A and Mathews G J 1993 Phys. Rev. C 48(4) 1677-1685 URL https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevC.48.1677
- [7] Oda T, Hino M, Muto K, Takahara M and Sato K 1994 Atomic Data and Nuclear Data Tables 56 231 403 ISSN 0092-640X URL http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0092640X84710072
- [8] Langanke K and Martinez-Pinedo G 2001 Atomic Data and Nuclear Data Tables 79 1 46 ISSN 0092-640X URL http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0092640X01908654

27th International Nuclear Physics Conference (INPC2019)

Journal of Physics: Conference Series

1643 (2020) 012050

IOP Publishing

- [9] Machleidt R 2001 Phys. Rev. C 63 024001
- [10] Bogner S, Kuo T T S and Coraggio L 2001 Nucl. Phys. A 684 432c
- [11] Bogner S, Kuo T T S, Coraggio L, Covello A and Itaco N 2002 Phys. Rev. C 65 051301(R)
- [12] Coraggio L, De Angelis L, Fukui T, Gargano A and Itaco N 2017 Phys. Rev. C 95(6) 064324 URL https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevC.95.064324
- [13] Coraggio L, De Angelis L, Fukui T, Gargano A, Itaco N and Nowacki F 2019 Phys. Rev. C 100(1) 014316 URL https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevC.100.014316
- [14] Coraggio L, Covello A, Gargano A, Itaco N and Kuo T T S 2015 Phys. Rev. C 91(4) 041301
- [15] Coraggio L, Gargano A and Itaco N 2015 JPS Conference Proceedings 6 020046 URL https://journals.jps.jp/doi/abs/10.7566/JPSCP.6.020046
- [16] Coraggio L, Gargano A and Itaco N 2016 Phys. Rev. C 93(6) 064328
- [17] Kuo T T S and Osnes E 1990 Lecture Notes in Physics, vol. 364 (Springer-Verlag, Berlin)
- [18] Kuo T T S, Krmpotić F, Suzuki K and Okamoto R 1995 Nucl. Phys. A 582 205
- [19] Kuo T T S, Lee S Y and Ratcliff K F 1971 Nucl. Phys. A 176 65
- [20] Coraggio L, Covello A, Gargano A and Itaco N 2010 Phys. Rev. C 81 064303
- [21] Coraggio L, Covello A, Gargano A, NItaco and Kuo T T S 2012 Ann. Phys. 327(9) 2125
- [22] Brandow B H 1967 Rev. Mod. Phys. 39 771
- [23] Krenciglowa E M and Kuo T T S 1974 Nucl. Phys. A 235 171
- [24] Arnswald K, Braunroth T, Seidlitz M, Coraggio L, Reiter P, Birkenbach B, Blazhev A, Dewald A, Fransen C, Fu B, Gargano A, Hess H, Hirsch R, Itaco N, Lenzi S, Lewandowski L, Litzinger J, Mller-Gatermann C, Queiser M, Rosiak D, Schneiders D, Siebeck B, Steinbach T, Vogt A, Wolf K and Zell K 2017 *Physics Letters B* 772 599 – 606 ISSN 0370-2693 URL http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0370269317305889
- [25] Suzuki K and Okamoto R 1995 Prog. Theor. Phys. 93 905
- [26] Data extracted using the NNDC On-line Data Service
- [27] Goodman C D, Goulding C A, Greenfield M B, Rapaport J, Bainum D E, Foster C C, Love W G and Petrovich F 1980 Phys. Rev. Lett. 44(26) 1755-1759 URL https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.44.1755
- [28] Taddeucci T, Goulding C, Carey T, Byrd R, Goodman C, Gaarde C, Larsen J, Horen D, Rapaport J and Sugarbaker E 1987 Nuclear Physics A 469 125 - 172 ISSN 0375-9474 URL http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0375947487900893