PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

Construction of lyapunov function using gradient method to stability analysis of the nitrogenphosphate-phytoplankton-sediment interaction model

To cite this article: Widowati et al 2020 J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 1524 012033

View the <u>article online</u> for updates and enhancements.

You may also like

- <u>Crasovskii approach to construct</u> <u>Lyapunov function and its derivative</u> <u>function for analyzing stability of non-linear</u> <u>systems</u> Shengguo Zhang, Wanchun Liu, Yuxuan
- Tang et al.
- Quantum control based on three forms of Lyapunov functions Guo-Hui Yu, , Hong-Li Yang et al.
- <u>Bounding extrema over global attractors</u> <u>using polynomial optimisation</u> David Goluskin





DISCOVER how sustainability intersects with electrochemistry & solid state science research



This content was downloaded from IP address 3.139.90.131 on 04/05/2024 at 13:47

Construction of lyapunov function using gradient method to stability analysis of the nitrogen-phosphate-phytoplanktonsediment interaction model

Widowati¹, S P Putro², and E Triyana³

^{1,3}Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Mathematics, Diponegoro University, Jl. Prof Soedarto SH, Semarang 50275, Central Java, Indonesia
²Department of Biology, Faculty of Science and Mathematics, Diponegoro University, Jl. Prof Soedarto SH, Semarang 50275, Central Java, Indonesia.

Corresponding author:wiwied.mathundip@gmail.com;widowati@lecturer.undip.ac.id

Abstract. The significant growth in catch fisheries and aquaculture production has enhanced the world's capacity to consume diverse and nutritious food; however, this fast-growing industry has resulted in the environmental disturbance, especially caused by fish farming. The nutrient was generated from fish excretion and unfed pellet in the form of dissolved organic matter, especially as nitrogen and phosphate particulates. Therefore, applying mathematical models is crucial to understand and minimize the impact on the water ecosystem, thus maximizing productivity. This paper aims to research to analyze the global and stability of the equilibrium point the dynamical system that water and sediment in aquaculture Integrated Multi-Trophic Aquaculture (IMTA) system. Analysis stability global has been proved by constructing the Lyapunov function. The gradient method is used to construct the Lyapunov function in the most general form. The method is based on the assumption of a variable gradient function by determining V and ∇V . In the Lyapunov method construction, if the function V(x) would be definite positive, whereas derivative V(x) would be a definite negative. Models of nitrogen, phosphate, phytoplankton, and sediment system exhibited globally asymptotically stable. This implies that fish farming using the IMTA system on Karimunjawa island was still considerably under normal condition.

1. Introduction

Multi-species polyculture in central and eastern Europe, Asia and Latin America is used to increase fish production by utilizing leftover feed and waste recycling to improve water quality in production systems. Indonesia ranks second after China as a major producer of marine fisheries [1]. The development of the aquaculture sector is growing rapidly and attracting the attention of researchers, industry, and policymakers as a promising opportunity for the massive expansion of the aquaculture industry [5]. Integrated Multi-Trophic Aquaculture (IMTA) is polyculture cultivation with several marine biotas that are maintained in it to optimize the recycling of waste as a food source [9].

The aim of the Integrated Multi-Trophic Aquaculture (IMTA) is to become an ecologically balanced aquaculture practice by processing multi-species from various trophic levels to optimize the

Content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 licence. Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI. Published under licence by IOP Publishing Ltd 1

recycling of feed and stool [11] as a food source. This provides an opportunity to increase production and economic output if it is managed optimally for each species. The IMTA aquaculture system which combines dynamic energy budgets for several species from different trophic levels was developed to optimize the productivity of multi-species [7].

Water, sediment characteristics and agricultural practices are environmental variables that play an important role in aquaculture[2]. Seabed and sediment ecosystems can be affected by particulate nutrients that sink rapidly into the deep sea. Nutrients with organic molecules, dissolved organic N and P are absorbed and assimilated by phytoplankton [12]. Particulate nutrients (nitrogen and phosphorus) can be converted by microalgae from waste into biomass and bio-products, absorption of nutrients and assimilation by phytoplankton, thereby increasing the sustainability of wastewater treatment [13]. Nitrogen and phosphate concentrations in macroalgae differ morphologically which describes various processes in controlling nutrient availability and can be used as effective indicators of various sources of nutrients in the tropical system [6].

Nitrogen is a key element in the cultivation and management of important ponds. In aquaculture systems, nitrogen accumulation causes disturbance of ecosystem balance [14]. Also, carbon, nitrogen, and phosphate can estimate potential pollution from aquaculture facilities [15] [16]

The purpose of this study is to determine the stability analysis of dynamic models of nitrogen and phosphate concentrations in sediments and phytoplankton in the area of the Integrated Multi-Trophic Aquaculture (IMTA) Aquarium using the Lyapunov method [8].

2. Gradient Method to Construction Lyapunov Function

The Aquaculture growth is not a major cause of nutrient loading into aquatic ecosystems but is a result of fast-growing industries [19]. The impact on the environment cannot be ignored, to reduce environmental pollution caused by leftover food and metabolic products, which is done by implementing integrated multi-trophic cultivation (IMTA). IMTA can be in the form of land-based or open water systems such as marine or freshwater systems and several species are combined [10] to reduce environmental pollution. Phytoplankton in IMTA plays an important role because it has chlorophyll for photosynthesis [12]. The interaction of phytoplankton in aquaculture is related to its role in reducing nitrogen and phosphate concentrations. Dynamic models of nitrogen, phosphate, phytoplankton, and sediments in the IMTA will determine global analysis using the Variable Gradient Method is a formal approach to compiling Lyapunov functions [3]. The interaction model of the nitrogen, phosphate, phytoplankton, and sediments on the IMTA system is explained by the following ordinary differential equation

$$\dot{P} = \beta P - (\mu + \gamma) P,$$

$$\dot{N} = q\Lambda + \omega\theta S - \gamma N - \nu N - \alpha\beta P \frac{N}{N+F},$$

$$\dot{F} = (1-q)\Lambda + (1-\omega)\theta S - \gamma F - \alpha\beta P \frac{F}{N+F},$$

$$\dot{S} = \mu P - \theta S,$$
(1)

where P, N, F, S are phytoplankton, nitrogen, fosfat and sediment, respectively at t is time. Linear equation depending on the time

 $\dot{x} = f(x,t)$ with $\dot{x} = \begin{bmatrix} P \\ \dot{N} \\ \dot{F} \\ \dot{S} \end{bmatrix}$

so obtained

1524 (2020) 012033 doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1524/1/012033

$$\begin{split} f_1 &= \beta P - (\mu + \gamma) P, \\ f_2 &= q \Lambda + \omega \theta S - \gamma N - v N - \alpha \beta P \frac{N}{N + F}, \\ f_3 &= (1 - q) \Lambda + (1 - \omega) \theta S - \gamma F - \alpha \beta P \frac{F}{N + F}, \end{split}$$

 $f_4 = \mu P - \theta S$,

Gradient equation of Lyapunov function

$$\nabla V = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11}P & a_{12}N & a_{13}F & a_{14}S \\ a_{21}P & a_{22}N & a_{23}F & a_{24}S \\ a_{31}P & a_{32}N & a_{33}F & a_{34}S \\ a_{41}P & a_{42}N & a_{43}F & a_{44}S \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\nabla V = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} & a_{14} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} & a_{24} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} & a_{34} \\ a_{41} & a_{42} & a_{43} & a_{44} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} P \\ N \\ F \\ S \end{bmatrix}$$

then from equation (1), the differential \dot{V} can be determined from ∇V (the gradient of *V*) as follows [4] $\dot{V}(\mathbf{x}) = \nabla V^T f$

$$\dot{V}(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11}P + a_{12}N + a_{13}F + a_{14}S \\ a_{21}P + a_{22}N + a_{23}F + a_{24}S \\ a_{31}P + a_{32}N + a_{33}F + a_{34}S \\ a_{41}P + a_{42}N + a_{43}F + a_{44}S \end{bmatrix}^{T} \begin{bmatrix} \beta P - (\mu + \gamma)P \\ q\Lambda + \omega\theta S - \gamma N - \nu N - \alpha\beta P \frac{N}{N + F} \\ (1 - q)\Lambda + (1 - \omega)\theta S - \gamma F - \alpha\beta P \frac{F}{N + F} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= (a_{11}P + a_{12}N + a_{13}F + a_{14}S)(\beta P - (\mu + \gamma)P)$$
$$+ (a_{21}P + a_{22}N + a_{23}F + a_{24}S)(q\Lambda + \omega\theta S - \gamma N - \nu N - \alpha\beta P \frac{N}{N + F})$$
$$+ (a_{31}P + a_{32}N + a_{33}F + a_{34}S)((1 - q)\Lambda + (1 - \omega)\theta S - \gamma F - \alpha\beta P \frac{F}{N + F})$$
$$+ (a_{41}P + a_{42}N + a_{43}F + a_{44}S)(\mu P - \theta S)$$

We assume that the gradient of the undetermined Lyapunov function has the following form $\nabla V_1 = a_{11}P + a_{12}N + a_{13}F + a_{14}S$

 $\nabla V_{2} = a_{21}P + a_{22}N + a_{23}F + a_{24}S$ $\nabla V_{3} = a_{31}P + a_{32}N + a_{33}F + a_{34}S$ $\nabla V_{4} = a_{41}P + a_{42}N + a_{43}F + a_{44}S$ The curl equation is $\frac{\partial \nabla V_{1}}{\partial N} = \frac{\partial \nabla V_{2}}{\partial P} \Rightarrow a_{12} = a_{21}$ $\frac{\partial \nabla V_{1}}{\partial F} = \frac{\partial \nabla V_{3}}{\partial P} \Rightarrow a_{13} = a_{31}$ $\frac{\partial \nabla V_{1}}{\partial S} = \frac{\partial \nabla V_{4}}{\partial P} \Rightarrow a_{14} = a_{41}$ Then \dot{V} can be computed as $V(\mathbf{x}) = \int \nabla V d\mathbf{x}$

Journal of Physics: Conference Series **1524** (2020) 012033 doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1524/1/012033

$$= \int_{0}^{P} \nabla V_{1} dP + \int_{0}^{N} \nabla V_{2} dN + \int_{0}^{F} \nabla V_{3} dF + \int_{0}^{S} \nabla V_{4} dS$$

$$= \int_{0}^{P} a_{11}P + a_{12}N + a_{13}F + a_{14}S dP + \int_{0}^{N} a_{21}P + a_{22}N + a_{23}F + a_{24}S dN$$

$$+ \int_{0}^{F} a_{31}P + a_{32}N + a_{33}F + a_{34}S dF + \int_{0}^{S} a_{41}P + a_{42}N + a_{43}F + a_{44}SdS$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}a_{11}P^{2} + a_{12}NP + a_{13}FP + a_{14}SP + \frac{1}{2}a_{22}N^{2} + a_{21}PN + a_{23}FN + a_{24}SN$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2}a_{33}F^{2} + a_{31}PF + a_{32}NF + a_{34}SF + \frac{1}{2}a_{44}S^{2} + a_{41}PS + a_{42}NS + a_{43}FS$$

$$V(x) = \frac{1}{2}a_{11}P^{2} + \frac{1}{2}a_{22}N^{2} + \frac{1}{2}a_{33}F^{2} + \frac{1}{2}a_{44}S^{2} + 2a_{12}NP + 2a_{13}FP + 2a_{14}SP + 2a_{23}FN + 2a_{24}SN + 2a_{34}SF$$
(2)

Next, make the positive definite matrix equation for the Lyapunov function as follows

$$V(x) = X^{T} P X$$

$$V(x) = \begin{bmatrix} P & N & F & S \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} & A_{13} & A_{14} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} & A_{23} & A_{24} \\ A_{31} & A_{23} & A_{33} & A_{34} \\ A_{41} & A_{24} & A_{34} & A_{44} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} P \\ N \\ F \\ S \end{bmatrix}$$

$$V(x) = \begin{bmatrix} P & N & F & S \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A_{11}P + A_{12}N + A_{13}F + A_{14}S \\ A_{21}P + A_{22}N + A_{23}F + A_{24}S \\ A_{31}P + A_{32}N + A_{33}F + A_{34}S \\ A_{41}P + A_{42}N + A_{43}F + A_{44}S \end{bmatrix}$$

$$V(x) = A_{11}P^{2} + A_{12}PN + A_{13}PF + A_{14}PS + A_{21}NP + A_{22}N^{2} + A_{23}NF + A_{24}NS \\ + A_{31}FP + A_{32}FN + A_{33}F^{2} + A_{34}FS + A_{41}SP + A_{42}SN + A_{43}SF + A_{44}S^{2}$$

$$V(x) = A_{11}P^{2} + A_{22}N^{2} + A_{33}F^{2} + A_{34}FS + A_{41}SP + A_{42}SN + A_{43}SF + A_{44}S^{2}$$

$$V(x) = A_{11}P^{2} + A_{22}N^{2} + A_{33}F^{2} + A_{34}FS + A_{41}SP + A_{42}SN + A_{43}SF + A_{44}S^{2}$$

$$V(x) = A_{11}P^{2} + A_{22}N^{2} + A_{33}F^{2} + A_{44}S^{2} + 2A_{12}PN + 2A_{13}PF + 2A_{14}PS + 2A_{23}NF + 2A_{24}NS + 2A_{34}FS$$

$$(3)$$
Equation (2) and (3) is same, than
$$V(x) = \frac{1}{2}a_{11}P^{2} + \frac{1}{2}a_{22}N^{2} + \frac{1}{2}a_{33}F^{2} + \frac{1}{2}a_{44}S^{2} + 2a_{12}NP + 2a_{13}FP + 2a_{14}SP + 2a_{23}FN + 2a_{24}SN + 2a_{34}SF$$

$$= A_{11}P^{2} + A_{22}N^{2} + A_{33}F^{2} + A_{44}S^{2} + 2A_{12}PN + 2A_{13}PF + 2A_{14}PS + 2A_{23}NF + 2A_{24}NS + 2A_{34}FS$$

We obtained

$$A_{11} = \frac{1}{2}a_{11}; A_{22} = \frac{1}{2}a_{22}; A_{33} = \frac{1}{2}a_{33};$$

$$2A_{12} = 2a_{12}; 2A_{13} = 2a_{13}; 2A_{14} = 2a_{14};$$

$$2A_{23} = 2a_{23}; 2A_{24} = 2a_{24}; 2A_{34} = 2a_{34};$$

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2}a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} & a_{14} \\ a_{12} & \frac{1}{2}a_{22} & a_{23} & a_{24} \\ a_{13} & a_{23} & \frac{1}{2}a_{33} & a_{34} \\ a_{14} & a_{24} & a_{34} & \frac{1}{2}a_{44} \end{bmatrix}$$

Using Sylvester's criterion to determine the first, second, third and fourth-order respectively from the A matrix.

$$\Delta_1 = \frac{1}{2} a_{11} < 0$$

$$\begin{split} \Delta_{2} &= \begin{vmatrix} \frac{1}{2}a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{12} & \frac{1}{2}a_{22} \end{vmatrix} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2}a_{11}, \frac{1}{2}a_{22}\right) - \left(a_{12}a_{12}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{4}a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{22} \\ &= \frac{1}{4}a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{12} > 0 \\ \Delta_{3} &= \begin{vmatrix} \frac{1}{2}a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{12} & \frac{1}{2}a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{13} & a_{23} & \frac{1}{2}a_{33} \end{vmatrix} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2}a_{11}, \frac{1}{2}a_{22}, \frac{1}{2}a_{33}\right) + \left(a_{12}a_{23}a_{13}\right) + \left(a_{13}a_{12}a_{22}a_{3}\right) - \left(a_{13}, \frac{1}{2}a_{22}a_{13}\right) - \left(a_{23}, \frac{1}{2}a_{11}a_{23}\right) - \left(a_{12}, \frac{1}{2}a_{33}a_{12}\right) < 0 \\ \Delta_{4} &= \begin{vmatrix} \frac{1}{2}a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} & a_{14} \\ a_{12} & \frac{1}{2}a_{22} & a_{23} & a_{24} \\ a_{13} & a_{23} & \frac{1}{2}a_{33} & a_{44} \\ a_{14} & a_{24} & a_{34} & \frac{1}{2}a_{44} \end{vmatrix} \\ \Delta_{4} &= \frac{1}{16}\left(-4a_{33}a_{44} + 16a_{24}^{2}\right)a_{12}^{2} + \frac{1}{16}\left((16a_{23}a_{44} - 32a_{24}a_{34})a_{13} + \left(-32a_{23}a_{34} + 16a_{23}a_{33})a_{14}\right)a_{12} \\ &+ \frac{1}{16}\left(-4a_{22}a_{44} + 16a_{24}^{2}\right)a_{13}^{2} + a_{14}\left(a_{22}a_{34} - 2a_{23}a_{24}\right)a_{13} + \frac{1}{16}\left(-4a_{22}a_{33} + 16a_{23}^{2}\right)a_{14}^{2} \\ &+ \frac{1}{16}\left(-4a_{23}^{2}a_{44} + 16a_{23}a_{24}a_{34} - 4a_{24}^{2}a_{33} + a_{22}\left(a_{33}a_{44} - 4a_{34}^{2}\right)\right)a_{11} > 0 \end{split}$$

if. $\beta, \alpha, \gamma, \mu, \Lambda, q, v > 0; a_{11}a_{12}, a_{13}, a_{14} < 0; a_{21}, a_{22}, a_{23}, a_{24} < 0; a_{31}, a_{32}, a_{33}, a_{34} < 0; a_{41}, a_{42}, a_{43}, a_{44} < 0$ Than V(x) positive definite. Thus the system of equation (1) is globally asymptotically stable.

3. Numerical simulation

The simulation stability of the nitrogen, phosphate, phytoplankton, and sediment of the system (1) has been demonstrated in this section. Numerical simulation will be used to analyze the dynamics of the system (1).

Table 1. Model Parameters				
Parameters	Definition	Value	Dimension	Source
Λ	Total waste input	4.0	mg g ⁻¹ day ⁻¹	[9]
β	phytoplankton growth rate	0.3	day ⁻¹	[17]
α	the growth of phytoplankton which is affected by nitrogen and phosphate levels	0.5	day-1	[17]
q	the proportion of waste entering the water	0.4	Dimensionless	[18]
μ	sedimentation rate of phytoplankton	0.8	day ⁻¹	[9]
γ	water exchange rate	0.05	day-1	[9]
θ	total remineralization sediment	1	Dimensionless	[18]
ω	the proportion of remineralization rate of N in the sludge	0.02	Dimensionless	[18]
v	Volatilization rate	0.05	day ⁻¹	[9]

1524 (2020) 012033 doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1524/1/012033

Table 1 provides the value of the other fixed parameter, their units, and the source. The mathematical model is a non-linear system as follows,

 $\dot{P} = -0.55P$,

$$\dot{N} = 1.6 + 0.02S - 0.1N - 0.15P \frac{N}{N+F},$$

$$\dot{F} = 2.4 + 0.98S - 0.05F - 0.15P \frac{F}{N+F},$$

$$\dot{S} = 0.8P - S,$$
(4)

equation depending on the time

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{x}} = f(\boldsymbol{x}, t), \text{ with } \dot{\boldsymbol{x}} = \begin{bmatrix} \dot{P} \\ \dot{N} \\ \dot{F} \\ \dot{S} \end{bmatrix}$$

so obtained $f_1 = -0.55P$,

$$\begin{split} f_2 &= 1.6 + 0.02S - 0.1N - 0.15P \frac{N}{N+F}, \\ f_3 &= 2.4 + 0.98S - 0.05F - 0.15P \frac{F}{N+F}, \\ f_4 &= 0.8P - S, \end{split}$$

Gradient equation of Lyapunov function

$$\nabla V = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11}P & a_{12}N & a_{13}F & a_{14}S \\ a_{21}P & a_{22}N & a_{23}F & a_{24}S \\ a_{31}P & a_{32}N & a_{33}F & a_{34}S \\ a_{41}P & a_{42}N & a_{43}F & a_{44}S \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\nabla V = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} & a_{14} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} & a_{24} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} & a_{34} \\ a_{41} & a_{42} & a_{43} & a_{44} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} P \\ N \\ F \\ S \end{bmatrix}$$

then from equation (4), the differential \dot{V} can be determined from ∇V (the gradient of *V*) as follows [4] $\dot{V}(\mathbf{x}) = \nabla V^T f$

$$\dot{V}(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11}P + a_{12}N + a_{13}F + a_{14}S \\ a_{21}P + a_{22}N + a_{23}F + a_{24}S \\ a_{31}P + a_{32}N + a_{33}F + a_{34}S \\ a_{41}P + a_{42}N + a_{43}F + a_{44}S \end{bmatrix}^{T} \begin{bmatrix} -0.55P \\ 1.6 + 0.02S - 0.1N - 0.15P \frac{N}{N+F} \\ 2.4 + 0.98S - 0.05F - 0.15P \frac{F}{N+F} \\ 0.8P - S \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= (a_{11}P + a_{12}N + a_{13}F + a_{14}S)(-0.55P) \\+ (a_{21}P + a_{22}N + a_{23}F + a_{24}S)(1.6 + 0.02S - 0.1N - 0.15P \frac{N}{N+F}) \\+ (a_{31}P + a_{32}N + a_{33}F + a_{34}S)(2.4 + 0.98S - 0.05F - 0.15P \frac{F}{N+F}) \\+ (a_{41}P + a_{42}N + a_{43}F + a_{44}S)(0.8P - S)$$

That obtained $\dot{V}(\mathbf{x})$ is a negative definite function if β , α , γ , θ , ω , μ , Λ , q, v > 0, $a_{11}a_{12}$, a_{13} , $a_{14} < 0$; a_{21} , a_{22} , a_{23} , $a_{24} < 0$; a_{31} , a_{32} , a_{33} , $a_{34} < 0$; a_{41} , a_{42} , a_{43} , $a_{44} < 0$ Obtained

$$\dot{V}(\mathbf{x}) = -(a_{11}P + a_{12}N + a_{13}F + a_{14}S)(-0.55P)$$

1524 (2020) 012033 doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1524/1/012033

$$-\left(a_{21}P + a_{22}N + a_{23}F + a_{24}S\right)\left(1.6 + 0.02S - 0.1N - 0.15P\frac{N}{N+F}\right) - \left(a_{31}P + a_{32}N + a_{33}F + a_{34}S\right)\left(2.4 + 0.98S - 0.05F - 0.15P\frac{F}{N+F}\right) - \left(a_{41}P + a_{42}N + a_{43}F + a_{44}S\right)\left(0.8P - S\right)$$

Then \dot{V} can be computed as

 $\begin{aligned} \nabla(\mathbf{x}) &= \int \nabla V dx \\ &= \int_{0}^{P} \nabla V_{1} dP + \int_{0}^{N} \nabla V_{2} dN + \int_{0}^{F} \nabla V_{3} dF + \int_{0}^{S} \nabla V_{4} dS \\ &= \int_{0}^{P} a_{11} P + a_{12} N + a_{13} F + a_{14} S dP + \int_{0}^{N} a_{21} P + a_{22} N + a_{23} F + a_{24} S dN \\ &+ \int_{0}^{F} a_{31} P + a_{32} N + a_{33} F + a_{34} S dF + \int_{0}^{S} a_{41} P + a_{42} N + a_{43} F + a_{44} S dS \\ &= \frac{1}{2} a_{11} P^{2} + a_{12} N P + a_{13} F P + a_{14} S P + \frac{1}{2} a_{22} N^{2} + a_{21} P N + a_{23} F N + a_{24} S N \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} a_{33} F^{2} + a_{31} P F + a_{32} N F + a_{34} S F + \frac{1}{2} a_{44} S^{2} + a_{41} P S + a_{42} N S + a_{43} F S \end{aligned}$ $V(x) &= \frac{1}{2} a_{11} P^{2} + \frac{1}{2} a_{22} N^{2} + \frac{1}{2} a_{33} F^{2} + \frac{1}{2} a_{44} S^{2} + 2a_{12} N P + 2a_{13} F P + 2a_{23} F N + 2a_{24} S N + 2a_{34} S F \end{aligned}$ (6)

Next, make the positive definite matrix equation for the Lyapunov function as follows $V(x) = X^T P X$

$$V(x) = \begin{bmatrix} P & N & F & S \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} & A_{13} & A_{14} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} & A_{23} & A_{24} \\ A_{31} & A_{23} & A_{33} & A_{34} \\ A_{41} & A_{24} & A_{34} & A_{44} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} P \\ N \\ F \\ S \end{bmatrix}$$
$$V(x) = \begin{bmatrix} P & N & F & S \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A_{11}P + A_{12}N + A_{13}F + A_{14}S \\ A_{21}P + A_{22}N + A_{23}F + A_{24}S \\ A_{31}P + A_{32}N + A_{33}F + A_{34}S \\ A_{41}P + A_{42}N + A_{43}F + A_{44}S \end{bmatrix}$$

 $V(x) = A_{11}P^{2} + A_{12}PN + A_{13}PF + A_{14}PS + A_{21}NP + A_{22}N^{2} + A_{23}NF + A_{24}NS + A_{31}FP + A_{32}FN + A_{33}F^{2} + A_{34}FS + A_{41}SP + A_{42}SN + A_{43}SF + A_{44}S^{2}$ $V(x) = A_{11}P^{2} + A_{22}N^{2} + A_{33}F^{2} + A_{44}S^{2} + 2A_{12}PN + 2A_{13}PF + 2A_{14}PS + 2A_{23}NF + 2A_{24}NS + 2A_{34}FS$

(7)

Equation (6) and (7) is the same, so: $V(x) = \frac{1}{2}a_{11}P^{2} + \frac{1}{2}a_{22}N^{2} + \frac{1}{2}a_{33}F^{2} + \frac{1}{2}a_{44}S^{2} + 2a_{12}NP + 2a_{13}FP + 2a_{14}SP + 2a_{23}FN + 2a_{24}SN + 2a_{34}SF$ $= A_{11}P^{2} + A_{22}N^{2} + A_{33}F^{2} + A_{44}S^{2} + 2A_{12}PN + 2A_{13}PF + 2A_{14}PS + 2A_{23}NF + 2A_{24}NS + 2A_{34}FS$

We obtained

$$A_{11} = \frac{1}{2}a_{11}; A_{22} = \frac{1}{2}a_{22}; A_{33} = \frac{1}{2}a_{33};$$

$$2A_{12} = 2a_{12}; 2A_{13} = 2a_{13}; 2A_{14} = 2a_{14};$$

$$2A_{23} = 2a_{23}; 2A_{24} = 2a_{24}; 2A_{34} = 2a_{34};$$

Diperoleh matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2}a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} & a_{14} \\ a_{12} & \frac{1}{2}a_{22} & a_{23} & a_{24} \\ a_{13} & a_{23} & \frac{1}{2}a_{33} & a_{34} \\ a_{14} & a_{24} & a_{34} & \frac{1}{2}a_{44} \end{bmatrix}$$

Using Sylvester's criterion to determine the first, second, third and fourth-order respectively from the *A* matrix.

$$\begin{split} \Delta_{1} &= \frac{1}{2} a_{11} < 0 \\ \Delta_{2} &= \begin{vmatrix} \frac{1}{2} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{12} & \frac{1}{2} a_{22} \end{vmatrix} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2} a_{11} \cdot \frac{1}{2} a_{22} \right) - \left(a_{12} a_{12} \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2} a_{11} \cdot \frac{1}{2} a_{22} \right) - \left(a_{12} a_{12} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} a_{11} a_{22} - a_{12} a_{12} > 0 \\ \Delta_{3} &= \begin{vmatrix} \frac{1}{2} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{12} & \frac{1}{2} a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{13} & a_{23} & \frac{1}{2} a_{33} \end{vmatrix} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2} a_{11} \cdot \frac{1}{2} a_{22} \cdot \frac{1}{2} a_{33} \right) + \left(a_{12} a_{23} a_{13} \right) + \left(a_{13} a_{12} a_{22} \right) - \left(a_{13} \cdot \frac{1}{2} a_{22} a_{13} \right) - \left(a_{23} \cdot \frac{1}{2} a_{11} a_{23} \right) - \left(a_{12} \cdot \frac{1}{2} a_{33} a_{12} \right) < 0 \\ \Delta_{4} &= \begin{vmatrix} \frac{1}{2} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} & a_{14} \\ a_{12} & \frac{1}{2} a_{22} & a_{33} & a_{34} \\ a_{13} & a_{23} & \frac{1}{2} a_{33} & a_{34} \\ a_{14} & a_{24} & a_{34} & \frac{1}{2} a_{41} \end{vmatrix} \\ \Delta_{4} &= \frac{1}{16} \left(-4a_{23}a_{44} + 16a_{23}^{2} \right) a_{12}^{2} + \frac{1}{16} \left((16a_{23}a_{44} - 32a_{24}a_{34} \right) a_{13} + \left(-32a_{23}a_{34} + 16a_{23}a_{33} \right) a_{14} \right) a_{12} \\ &+ \frac{1}{16} \left(-4a_{22}a_{44} + 16a_{24}^{2} \right) a_{13}^{2} + a_{14} \left(a_{22}a_{34} - 2a_{23}a_{24} \right) a_{13} + \frac{1}{16} \left(-4a_{22}a_{33} + 16a_{23}^{2} \right) a_{14}^{2} \\ &+ \frac{1}{16} \left(-4a_{23}a_{44} + 16a_{23}a_{24}a_{34} - 4a_{22}^{2}a_{33} + a_{22} \left(a_{33}a_{44} - 4a_{23}^{2} \right) a_{11} > 0 \end{split}$$

if. $\beta, \alpha, \gamma, \mu, \Lambda, q, v > 0; a_{11}a_{12}, a_{13}, a_{14} < 0; a_{21}, a_{22}, a_{23}, a_{24} < 0; a_{31}, a_{32}, a_{33}, a_{34} < 0; a_{41}, a_{42}, a_{43}, a_{44} < 0$ Than V(x) positive definite. Thus the system of equation (4) is globally asymptotically stable.

4. Conclusion

This paper has discussed the models of nitrogen, phosphate, phytoplankton, and sediment in IMTA and the model is a system of non-linear differential equations. Nitrogen and phosphate are important factors in phytoplankton growth and sediment deposition globally asymptotically stable. Analysis stability globally has been proved by constructing the Lyapunov function. From analysis and numerical result, we obtained that models of nitrogen, phosphate, phytoplankton, and sediment system is globally asymptotically stable. This shows that the cultivation of the IMTA system on Karimunjawa island is secure as fish farming.

Acknowledgment

The authors would like to thank the Directorate General of Higher Education (Simlitabmas-DIKTI) for financially supporting this research project under the scheme of Excellent Research For National Strategic Grant year 2018 project. The study was supported by the Laboratory of Computer Modelling, Mathematics Departement, Center of Marine Ecology and Biomonitoring for Sustainable Aquaculture (Ce-MEBSA), and Laboratory of Ecology and Biosystematics, Biology Departement, Faculty of Science and Mathematics, Diponegoro University.

References

- [1] FAO.The State of World Fisheries and Aquaculture: Meeting the sustainable development goals. (Rome) 2018
- [2] Putro S P and Robertson J 2012 Proceedings of the second isnpinsa
- [3] Slotine J J E and Li W 1991 *Applied Non-Linear Control Pearson* (Prentice Hall Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey)
- [4] Mohammedali K H, Fadhel F S and Ahmad N A 2015.*The 10th Asian Control Conference*, *IEEE*, Pp. 1-6
- [5] Troell M, Joyce A, Chopin T, Neori A, Buschmann A H, and Fang J G 2009 Aquaculture 297 1–9
- [6] Fong P, Kamer K, Boyer K E, and Boyle K A 2001 Mar Ecol Prog Ser 220: 137–152
- [7] Ren J S, Dozeya J S, Plewa D R, Fang J, and Galla M 2012 Ecological Modelling 246 34–46
- [8] Widowati, Putro S P, Maan N, and Sulpiani R 2019 J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 1217 012059
- [9] Silfiana, Widowati, Putro S P and Udjiani T 2018 J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 983 012122
- [10] Neori A, Chopin T, Troell M, Buschmann A, Kraemer G, and Halling C 2004 Aquaculture 231:361-391
- [11] Cunha H M and Otero A 2017 Algal Research 24 416–424
- [12] Olsen L M, Holmer M, and Olsen Y 2008 Perspectives of nutrient emission from fish aquaculture in coastal waters Literature review with evaluated state of knowledge. FHF project no. 542014
- [13] Mirquez L D, Lopes F, Taidi B, and Pareau D 2016 *Biotechnology Reports* 11 18–26
- [14] Montealegre R J, Verdegem M C J, Dam A V, Verreth J A J 2002 Ecological Modelling 147 123–152
- [15] Chatvijitkul S, Boyd C E, and Davis D A 2017 World Aquaculture Society 12443
- [16] Harrison P J and Hurd C I 2001 Cah. Biol. Mar 42 71-82
- [17] Deng Y, Zhao M, Yu H and Wang Y 2015 Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society 2015 823026 8
- [18] Putro S P, Widowati, Suryono, Adhi S, Imam T, Firdaus A, and Imam F (2018) Internat. J. Sci. Eng. 9 (2):85-89
- [19] Herath S S and Satoh S 2015 *Feed and Feeding Practices in Aquaculture* http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-08-100506-4.00015-5