PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

Low-energy electron scattering from methylene radicals: multichannel-coupling effects

To cite this article: K D Wang and H X Zhang 2020 J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 1412 182009

View the article online for updates and enhancements.

You may also like

al.

- Electron impact excitation of Ca II: cascade contribution to resonance transition A Z Msezane
- <u>A CMOS-MEMS clamped-clamped beam</u> <u>displacement amplifier for resonant switch</u> <u>applications</u> Jia-Ren Liu, Shih-Chuan Lu, Chun-Pu Tsai et al.
- <u>Electron scattering cross sections from</u> <u>NH₉: a comprehensive study based on *R*-<u>matrix method</u> Yingqi Chen, Xianwu Jiang, Lufeng Yao et</u>





DISCOVER how sustainability intersects with electrochemistry & solid state science research



This content was downloaded from IP address 3.134.77.195 on 04/05/2024 at 06:14

Journal of Physics: Conference Series

Low-energy electron scattering from methylene radicals: multichannel-coupling effects

K D Wang^{*} and H X Zhang

College of Physics and Materials Science, Henan Normal University, Xinxiang, 453007, P. R. China

Synopsis We reported elastic and excitation cross sections for electron collisions with methylene radical using R-matrix method for electron energies ranging from 0.01 to 15 eV in close-coupling (CC) approximation . We discuss the multichannel-coupling effects on the calculated cross sections and resonances, and how the number of excited states included in the target state impacts the convergence of the elastic and the $X^3B_1 \rightarrow 1^1A_1$ and $X^3B_1 \rightarrow 1^1B_1$ excitation cross sections, especially at higher impact energy. Finally, we estimate the accuracy of the 1¹A₁and 1¹B₁ excitation cross sections.

At low energies only the elastic channel is electronically open. With increasing the impact energy, other electronic states can be excited giving rise to the important questions: (1) how many of these states must be included in a calculation in order to predict an accurate cross section? (2) how do these multichannel effects affect the elastic cross sections and excitation cross sections? and (3) how sophisticated must the electronic states description be in order to accurately calculate the cross sections? For the purpose, we performed five different calculations within 1-state, 3-state, 15-state, 20-state, and 24state close-coupling (CC) approximations for electron collision with methylene radical using Rmatrix method [1].

Table 1. Parameters of identified e-CH2 resonances in 24-state CC model. (in eV)

State	Туре	Position	Parent state
${}^{2}B_{1}$	shape	0.05	X^3B_1
$^{2}A_{1}$	shape	0.64	X^3B_1
${}^{2}\mathbf{B}_{2}$	Feshbach	7.35	$1^{3}A_{2}$
$^{2}A_{1}$	Feshbach	7.48	$1^{3}A_{1}$
${}^{2}B_{1}$	Feshbach	8.63	$2^{3}B_{1}$

We compare elastic (integrated and differential), momentum-transfer, and excitation cross sections for e-CH₂ collision in five different CC models [2]. The results indicated that multichannel coupling has a substantial effect on the elastic and excitation cross sections and more target states are needed to include in the CC calculations in order to obtain the convergent cross section. This effect becomes even more important at higher impact energy. The obtained resonance parameters in 24-state

CC model are listed in Table 1 along with the tentative assignments.

There are threshold energy differences of 0.77 eV for $1^{1}A_{1}$ excited state and 0.31 eV for $1^{1}B_{1}$ excited state between our calculations and experiment values from Ref. [3]. We estimate that these energy differences may introduce a 17% uncertainty in the $X^{3}B_{1} \rightarrow 1^{1}A_{1}$ (see Fig. 1) and a 13% uncertainty for the $X^{3}B_{1} \rightarrow 1^{1}B_{1}$ excitation cross section.



Figure 1. Excitation cross sections in 3-state, 15-state, 15-state (with a smaller CAS), 20-state, and 24-state CC models. The 15-state excitation threshold has been shifted lower by 0.28 eV.

This work was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grants No. U1504109 and 11604085.

References

- [1] Tennyson J 2010 Phys. Rep. 491 29
- [2] Wang K et al 2018 Phys. Rev. A 97 012703
- [3] Jensen P et al 1988 J. Chem. Phys. 89 1327



Content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 licence. Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI. Published under licence by IOP Publishing Ltd

^{*} E-mail: wangkd@htu.cn