New Journal of Physics

The open access journal at the forefront of physics

Deutsche Physikalische Gesellschaft DPG IOP Institute of Physics

PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

Three-player polaritons: nonadiabatic fingerprints in an entangled atom-molecule-photon system

To cite this article: Tamás Szidarovszky et al 2020 New J. Phys. 22 053001

View the article online for updates and enhancements.

You may also like

- Spectroscopic constants and potential energy curve of the iodine weakly bound $\begin{array}{l} 1, \mbox{ state correlating with the} \\ \underline{I(P_{1/2}) + I(P_{1/2})} \mbox{ dissociation limit} \\ M E Akopyan, V Baturo, S S Lukashov \end{array}$ et al.
- Continuous formation of vibronic ground state RbCs molecules via photoassociation C D Bruzewicz, Mattias Gustavsson, Toshihiko Shimasaki et al.
- Photon-excitation photon-emission maps (PhexPhem maps) with rovibronic resolution as a data base for theory and astrophysics part I: method and first results for H₂ Philipp Schmidt, Andre Knie, Andreas

Hans et al.

New Journal of Physics

The open access journal at the forefront of physics

Deutsche Physikalische Gesellschaft DPG

Published in partnership with: Deutsche Physikalische Gesellschaft and the Institute of Physics

CrossMark

OPEN ACCESS

RECEIVED 30 December 2019

REVISED 13 March 2020

ACCEPTED FOR PUBLICATION 23 March 2020

PUBLISHED 1 May 2020

Original content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 licence.

Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI.



Tamás Szidarovszky¹, Gábor J Halász² and Ágnes Vibók³

entangled atom-molecule-photon system

- Institute of Chemistry, Eötvös Loránd University and MTA-ELTE Complex Chemical Systems Research Group, H-1117 Budapest, Pázmány Péter sétány 1/A, Hungary
- ² Department of Information Technology, University of Debrecen, PO Box 400, H-4002 Debrecen, Hungary

Three-player polaritons: nonadiabatic fingerprints in an

³ Department of Theoretical Physics, University of Debrecen, PO Box 400, H-4002 Debrecen, Hungary and ELI-ALPS, ELI-HU Non-Profit Ltd., Dugonics tér 13, H-6720 Szeged, Hungary

E-mail: tamas821@caesar.elte.hu and vibok@phys.unideb.hu

Keywords: polariton, entanglement, rovibronic spectra, intensity borrowing, quantum control

Abstract

PAPER

A quantum system composed of a molecule and an atomic ensemble, confined in a microscopic cavity, is investigated theoretically. The indirect coupling between atoms and the molecule, realized by their interaction with the cavity radiation mode, leads to a coherent mixing of atomic and molecular states, and at strong enough cavity field strengths hybrid atom–molecule–photon polaritons are formed. It is shown for the Na₂ molecule that by changing the cavity wavelength and the atomic transition frequency, the potential energy landscape of the polaritonic states and the corresponding spectrum could be changed significantly. Moreover, an unforeseen intensity borrowing effect, which can be seen as a strong nonadiabatic fingerprint, is identified in the atomic transition peak, originating from the contamination of the atomic excited state with excited molecular rovibronic states.

1. Introduction

It is now understood that when molecules, viewed as quantum emitters, are placed inside an optical cavity and strongly interact with a confined radiation mode of the cavity, so-called polaritons are formed [1-6]. These are excited eigenstates, separated by the vacuum Rabi splitting, and they represent the interaction of the cavity radiation field with the molecular electronic excitations. As such, they are the quantum analogues of the well-known semiclassical molecular dressed states providing light-induced potentials [7].

Polaritonic chemistry has become an emerging research field, aimed at providing new tools for the fundamental investigation of light–matter interaction. Since the pioneering experimental work carried out by the group of Ebbesen, in which they observed that strong light–matter coupling could modify chemical landscapes [8], the field of 'molecular polaritons' experienced much activity from both experimental [9–19] and theoretical [20–39] research groups. Recent achievements on molecules strongly coupled to a cavity mode, such as that strong cavity–matter coupling can alter chemical reactivity [9, 38], provide long-range energy or charge transfer mechanisms [12, 37], modify nonradiative relaxation pathways through collective effects [35], and modify the optical response of molecules [31, 40], support the relevance of such a new chemistry. In most of the works published so far, many organic emitters were put into a cavity and the interest was mainly focused on the investigation of collective phenomena between the quantum radiation field and the molecular ensemble. In such theoretical descriptions, the molecules are usually treated with a reduced number of degrees of freedom or with some simplified models assuming two-level systems [20]. However, it is worth studying single-molecule cavity interactions as well, since a more detailed study of individual objects may also provide meaningful results [22, 23, 40–42].

In the case of a single molecule, including several internal nuclear degrees of freedom gives rise to nonadiabatic dynamics. The radiation field can strongly mix the vibrational, rotational, and electronic

degrees of freedom, thus creating light-induced avoided crossings (LIACs) or light-induced conical intersections (LICIs) between polaritonic potential-energy surfaces [43]. Recent works discussed how the natural avoided crossings (already present in field-free systems) can be manipulated by placing the molecule into a nano-cavity and influencing the ultrafast dynamics by means of a quantized radiation field [23, 42]. Field-dressed rovibronic spectra of diatomics within the framework of cavity quantum electrodynamics (CQED) have also been investigated recently [40], elucidating the significant contribution of molecular rotation to nonadiabatic effects in the spectrum.

In the present work, we investigate a complex quantum system consisting of three different types of entities; a cavity radiation mode, a molecule, and two-state atoms. We examine how the hybrid atom-molecule-photon polaritonic energy surfaces change under different conditions, and how this affects the spectrum of each component. We demonstrate how one component of the entangled system can manipulate the spectrum of another by means of indirect cavity coupling, thus resulting in the coherent mixing of atomic and molecular states and an unexpected strong nonadiabatic fingerprint in the atomic spectrum.

2. Polaritonic landscape of a three-player system

2.1. Theoretical approach

Within the framework of QED and the electric dipole representation, the Hamiltonian of a molecule and N_a atoms interacting with a single cavity mode can be written as [44]

$$\hat{H}_{\text{tot}} = \hat{H}_{\text{mol}} + \sum_{i=1}^{N_{a}} \hbar \omega_{a} \hat{\sigma}_{i}^{\dagger} \hat{\sigma}_{i} + \hbar \omega_{c} \hat{a}^{\dagger} \hat{a} - \sqrt{\frac{\hbar \omega_{c}}{2\epsilon_{0} V}} \hat{\mathbf{d}} \hat{\mathbf{e}} \left(\hat{a}^{\dagger} + \hat{a} \right), \tag{1}$$

where \hat{H}_{mol} is the field-free molecular Hamiltonian, $\hat{\sigma}_i^{\dagger}$ and $\hat{\sigma}_i$ are excitation and deexcitation operators, respectively, for the *i*th atom, ω_a is the atomic transition frequency, \hat{a}^{\dagger} and \hat{a} are photon creation and annihilation operators, respectively, ω_c is the frequency of the cavity mode, \hbar is Planck's constant divided by 2π , ϵ_0 is the electric constant, V is the volume of the electromagnetic mode, $\hat{\mathbf{e}}$ is the polarization vector of the cavity mode, and $\hat{\mathbf{d}} = \hat{\mathbf{d}}^{(mol)} + \hat{\mathbf{d}}^{(a)}$ is the sum of the molecular and atomic dipole moments.

It is useful to inspect the structure of the Hamiltonian of equation (1), when represented using $|\alpha\rangle|N\rangle|I\rangle$ product basis functions, where α and I are the molecular electronic and atomic quantum numbers, respectively, and N stands for the photon number. In our notation I = 0 indicates that all atoms are in their ground state, and I = n indicates that the *n*th atom is in an excited state, multiple atomic excitations are omitted in our model. The resulting Hamiltonian for a diatomic molecule reads (for simplicity we show the case when $\alpha = 1$ or 2, N = 0 or 1, and I = 0, 1 or 2, however, larger N and I values occur in the simulations below)

$$\hat{H} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{H}_{m} & \hat{A} & 0 & \hat{B} & 0 & \hat{B} \\ \hat{A}^{\dagger} & \hat{H}_{m} + \hbar\omega_{c} & \hat{B} & 0 & \hat{B} & 0 \\ 0 & \hat{B}^{\dagger} & \hat{H}_{m} + \hbar\omega_{a} & \hat{A} & 0 & 0 \\ \hat{B}^{\dagger} & 0 & \hat{A}^{\dagger} & \hat{H}_{m} + \hbar\omega_{a} + \hbar\omega_{c} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \hat{B}^{\dagger} & 0 & 0 & \hat{H}_{m} + \hbar\omega_{a} & \hat{A} \\ \hat{B}^{\dagger} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \hat{A}^{\dagger} & \hat{H}_{m} + \hbar\omega_{a} + \hbar\omega_{c} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (2)$$

where

$$\hat{H}_{\rm m} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{T} & 0\\ 0 & \hat{T} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} V_1(R) & 0\\ 0 & V_2(R) \end{bmatrix},\tag{3}$$

$$\hat{A} = \begin{bmatrix} g_{11}^{(\text{mol})}(R,\theta) & g_{12}^{(\text{mol})}(R,\theta) \\ g_{21}^{(\text{mol})}(R,\theta) & g_{22}^{(\text{mol})}(R,\theta) \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \hat{B} = \begin{bmatrix} g^{(a)} & 0 \\ 0 & g^{(a)} \end{bmatrix},$$
(4)

with

$$g_{ij}^{(\text{mol})}(R,\theta) = -\sqrt{\frac{\hbar\omega_c}{2\epsilon_0 V}} d_{ij}^{(\text{mol})}(R) \cos(\theta) \quad \text{and} \quad g^{(a)} = -\sqrt{\frac{\hbar\omega_c}{2\epsilon_0 V}} d^{(a)}, \tag{5}$$

where *R* is the internuclear distance, $V_i(R)$ is the *i*th potential energy curve (PEC), \hat{T} is the nuclear kinetic energy operator, $d_{ij}^{(mol)}(R)$ is the transition dipole moment matrix element between the *i*th and *j*th molecular electronic states, $d^{(a)}$ is the transition dipole between the atomic ground and excited state, and θ



Figure 1. Left panels: diabatic (dashed lines) and adiabatic (solid lines) PECs of the Na₂ + N_a atom system confined in a cavity with radiation-field wavelength of $\lambda = 653$ nm. The atomic excitation wavelength is 675 nm. Red, green, and blue colors represent the $|\alpha\rangle|N\rangle|I\rangle = |2\rangle|0\rangle|0\rangle, |1\rangle|1\rangle|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle|0\rangle|1\rangle$ states, i.e., molecular electronic, photonic, and atomic excitations, respectively. The color of the adiabatic curves (polaritons) at each given *R* value was generated by the mixture of red, green, and blue colors, with weights representing the weights of the different $|\alpha\rangle|N\rangle|I\rangle$ diabatic states within the given adiabatic state. The top and middle panels were generated with $N_a = 1$, and using a cavity-field strength of $\sqrt{\hbar\omega_c/2\epsilon_0 V} = 0.0001$ a.u. and 0.0005 a.u. respectively, while the bottom panel was generated with $N_a = 5$ and $\sqrt{\hbar\omega_c/2\epsilon_0 V} = 0.0005$ a.u. Right panels: absorption spectra corresponding to the PECs shown in the left panels. Red, green, and blue colors represent the $|\alpha v J\rangle|N\rangle|I\rangle = |2v J\rangle|0\rangle|0\rangle, |1v J\rangle|1\rangle|0\rangle$ and $|1v J\rangle|0\rangle|1\rangle$ -type states, i.e., molecular electronic, photonic, and atomic excitations, respectively. Note that molecular rovibrational excitation can occur in all three cases. The color of the individual peaks was generated by the mixture of red, green, and blue colors, with weights of the different $|\alpha v J\rangle|N\rangle|I\rangle$ states within the dressed state to which the transition occurs. The inset in the lower right panel shows the atomic transitions around 14 700 cm⁻¹ in their full height.

is the angle between the electric field polarization vector and the molecular transition dipole vector, assumed to be parallel to the molecular axis. In equation (2) no rotating-wave approximation is applied, the accuracy of the model is only limited by the dipole approximation, the neglection of dissipative processes, and the limited number of electronic excitations considered for the molecule and atoms. Naturally, the maximum value of the photon number N should be increased in practical applications until convergence is reached, resulting in a larger Hamiltonian and appropriate \sqrt{N} factors for the N > 1 Å and \hat{B} coupling terms [44].

2.2. Numerical results

Based on the Hamiltonian of equation (1), the left panels of figure 1 show the potential energy landscape of a Na₂ molecule and two-state atoms interacting with a single cavity radiation mode. For Na₂ the $V_1(R)$ and $V_2(R)$ PECs correspond to the $X^1\Sigma_g^+$ and the $A^1\Sigma_u^+$ electronic states, respectively, taken from reference [45]. For Na₂ $d_{11}^{(mol)}(R) = d_{22}^{(mol)}(R) = 0$ and the $d_{12}^{(mol)}(R)$ transition dipole was taken from reference [46], while $d^{(a)} = 1$ a.u. was used for the atoms. Figure 1 demonstrates that the coupling with the radiation field leads



radiation-field wavelengths λ_c and atomic excitation wavelengths λ_a . Upper panels: $\lambda_c = 653$ nm, $\lambda_a = 650$ nm, middle panels: $\lambda_c = 653$ nm, $\lambda_a = 625$ nm, and bottom panels: $\lambda_c = 620$ nm, $\lambda_a = 590$ nm.

to the formation of three 'bright' polaritonic surfaces, and as shown in the bottom left panel, $N_a - 1$ 'dark states'. Although these 'dark states' can affect excited state dynamics [35], they usually have no significant contribution to the spectrum [3, 47]. With increasing atom number the effective coupling with the field increases and the polaritonic surfaces become more pronounced.

The left panels of figure 2 show the polaritonic states formed for different atomic and photonic excitation energies. Note that the 590 nm wavelength for atomic excitation shown in the lower left panel of figure 2 stands for the ${}^{2}P \leftarrow {}^{2}S$ transition of Na atoms. It is clear from figures 1 and 2 that the presence of atoms, and their indirect coupling with molecules through the radiation mode, can considerably change the polaritonic landscape of molecules confined in cavities, providing an additional degree of freedom to modify and manipulate the excited state properties and dynamics of molecules.

3. Absorption spectrum

3.1. Theoretical approach

Now we turn to the weak-field absorption spectrum of the composite system. Similar to previous works [40, 48], the spectrum is determined by first computing the field-dressed states, i.e., the eigenstates of the full 'molecule + atoms + radiation field' system, and then computing the dipole transition amplitudes between the field-dressed states with respect to a probe pulse. The probe pulse is assumed to be weak, inducing primarily one-photon processes, implying that the standard approach [49] of using first-order time-dependent perturbation theory to compute the transition amplitudes should be adequate.

We determine the field-dressed states of the Hamiltonian in equation (1) by diagonlizing its matrix representation obtained with $|\alpha v J\rangle |N\rangle |I\rangle$ product basis functions, where v and J are the molecular vibrational and rotational quantum numbers, respectively. The field-free molecular rovibronic states $|\alpha v J\rangle$ are computed using 200 discrete variable representation (DVR) spherical-DVR basis functions [50] for each PEC with the related grid points placed in the internuclear coordinate range (0, 10) bohr. All states with J < 16 and an energy not exceeding the zero point energy of the respective PEC by more than 2000 cm⁻¹ were included into the product basis. The maximum photon number in the cavity mode was set to two.

The *i*th field-dressed state can then be expressed as

$$|\Psi_{i}^{\rm FD}\rangle = \sum_{\alpha,v,J,N,I} C_{i,\alpha vJNI} |\alpha vJ\rangle |N\rangle |I\rangle, \tag{6}$$

where the $C_{i,\alpha\nu JNI}$ coefficients are the eigenvector components obtained from the diagonalization. Using first-order time-dependent perturbation theory, the transition amplitude between two field-dressed states, induced by the weak probe pulse, is proportional to [44, 51]

$$\langle \Psi_i^{\rm FD} | \langle M | \hat{\mathbf{d}} \hat{\mathbf{E}} | M' \rangle | \Psi_j^{\rm FD} \rangle = \left(\langle \Psi_i^{\rm FD} | \hat{d}^{(\rm mol)} \cos(\theta) | \Psi_j^{\rm FD} \rangle + \langle \Psi_i^{\rm FD} | \hat{d}^{(a)} | \Psi_j^{\rm FD} \rangle \right) \langle M | \hat{E} | M' \rangle. \tag{7}$$

In equation (7), \dot{E} is the electric field operator of the weak probe pulse, assumed to have a polarization axis identical to that of the cavity mode, leading to $M = M' \pm 1$ for the probe pulse. Thus, equation (7) accounts for single-photon absorption or stimulated emission. When the field-dressed states are expanded according to equation (6), many terms in equation (7) become zero due to the selection rules of the atomic and molecular transitions involved. For a homonuclear diatomic molecule, such as Na₂, the $T_{j\leftarrow i}$ transition probability is proportional to

$$\left|\sum_{\alpha vJNI} \left(\sum_{\alpha' v'J'} C^*_{i,\alpha vJNI} C_{j,\alpha' v'J'NI} \langle v | d^{(\text{mol})}_{\alpha\alpha'}(R) | v' \rangle \langle J | \cos(\theta) | J' \rangle + \sum_{I' \neq I} C^*_{i,\alpha vJNI} C_{j,\alpha vJNI'} d^{(a)} \right)\right|^2.$$
(8)

Equation (8) demonstrates that in the general field-dressed case the spectral peaks cannot be evaluated as the sum of field-dressed atomic and field-dressed molecular peaks, because of the interference between atomic and molecular transitions. Thus, fingerprints of the coherent mixing of atomic and molecular states can appear in the spectrum of the composite system. Naturally, in the limit of the cavity field strength going to zero (and simultaneously the molecula–atom interaction going to zero) the transitions should reflect a spectrum composed as the sum of the molecular spectrum and the atomic spectrum. However, when molecular and atomic couplings with the cavity are strong enough for polaritonic states to be formed, the spectrum should reflect transitions corresponding to field-dressed states, which are a coherent mixture of states containing atomic, molecular, and photonic excitations.

3.2. Results and discussion

It is well known that the interaction of atoms with a (near) resonant electromagnetic field leads to a Rabi splitting of the energy levels in the excited state manifold. This mechanism leads to splittings in the spectral peaks of the light-dressed system, often referred to in spectroscopy as Autler–Townes splitting [52]. When $N_{\rm a}$ atoms simultaneously interact with the same mode of an electromagnetic field, a pair of splitted states appear, reflecting an effective coupling strength increased by $\sqrt{N_a}$, accompanied by $N_a - 1$ dark states with no shift appearing in their energy with respect to the field-free atomic states [47]. Depending on the homogeneity of the atom-field interactions, with inhomogeneity caused either by inhomogeneities in the field or the atomic transition frequencies, the energy level structure and the absorption spectrum could become more complex, as detailed in reference [47]. As for molecules interacting with a cavity radiation mode, Autler–Townes-type splittings, as well as 'intensity borrowing' effects [49, 53] and the formation of polaritonic potential energy surfaces, are reflected in the spectrum [40]. The right panels of figure 1 show the light-dressed spectra of an Na₂ molecule and two-state atoms interacting with a single cavity mode. All plotted peaks represent transitions from the ground state of the entangled 'atom + molecule + cavity' system, primarily composed of $|100\rangle|0\rangle|0\rangle$, to higher-lying field-dressed states, formed as a quantum superposition of molecular, atomic and photonic excited states. The composition of each mixed state is indicated by the color of its respective transition line, see details in the footnote of figure 1. In order to help identify overall intensity changes in the spectra, in addition to the peak splittings, an envelope for each spectrum was generated by convolving the peaks with a Gaussian function having a standard deviation of $\sigma = 50 \text{ cm}^{-1}$.

The upper right panel of figure 1 demonstrates that at lower coupling strengths a light-dressed spectrum of the molecule, and a well defined, separate atomic transition peak at 14 800 cm⁻¹ can be observed. The peaks between 15 000 – 17 000 cm⁻¹ mostly reflect transitions similar to the field-free molecular transitions $|2v1\rangle \leftarrow |100\rangle$, however, the presence of the coupling between the molecule and the cavity field is also visible in peak splittings and in the colors of some transitions deviating from red. With increasing coupling strength the molecular, atomic, and photonic states become entangled and three bright polaritonic states are formed, which is reflected in the three groups of peaks in the spectrum (see middle panels of figure 1). The splitting of the atomic peak at 14 800 cm⁻¹ in the middle right panel of figure 1 as well as the appearance of purple colored peaks near 15 100 cm⁻¹ indicates that a considerable mixing of the atomic and molecular states occurred. Increasing the atom number to N_a while keeping the cavity field strength fixed leads to (1) an $\sqrt{N_a}$ times increased effective atom–cavity coupling, resulting in a shift in the atomic transition frequency, (2) an N_a times increased atomic line strengths, and (3) the appearance of $N_a - 1$ dark states, see lower left panel of figure 1. Our numerical results show, as expected, that these dark states have no significant contribution to the spectrum (see lower right panel of figure 1).

A striking feature in figure 1 is that the roughly 1.3 overall peak intensity of the split atomic transition in the middle right panel is significantly different from the 1.0 intensity of the unsplit atomic peak of the upper right panel. This indicates an intensity borrowing effect in the atomic spectrum, i.e., the intensity of the atomic transition peak is modified, due to the contamination of the atomic excited state with molecular excited rovibronic states. This striking new phenomenon in the atomic spectrum is caused by the indirect coupling with the molecule, attributed to the radiation mode of the cavity.

The right panels of figure 2 show the absorption spectra obtained for the polaritonic states presented in the left panels of figure 2. The position and the composition of the dressed states, represented by the color of their transition peaks, reflect well the corresponding polaritonic landscapes. For example, peaks with various colors between $15\,600 - 17\,000$ cm⁻¹ in the upper right panel of figure 2 show the fact that in that energy region the polaritonic states (see upper left panel of figure 2) are composed of a strong mixture of molecular, atomic, as well as photonic excited states, represented by basis functions of the form $|2vJ\rangle|0\rangle|0\rangle$, $|1vJ\rangle|0\rangle|I \neq 0\rangle$, and $|1vJ\rangle|1\rangle|0\rangle$, respectively. On the other hand, the red peaks between $15\,000 - 16\,000$ cm⁻¹ in the lower right panel of figure 2 indicate that the corresponding polaritonic state includes mostly molecular excitation. Figure 2 demonstrates that the response of molecules (atoms) to light can be significantly modified and manipulated by the presence of atoms (molecules), and their simultaneous interaction with a cavity radiation mode.

4. Summary

To summarize, we demonstrated that when the composite system of a molecule and an atomic ensemble is confined in a microscopic cavity, then (1) three bright and $N_a - 1$ dark polaritonic states are formed, given that the cavity field strength is strong enough. (2) The indirect coupling between atoms and molecule, due to their interaction with the cavity radiation mode, leads to a coherent mixing of atomic and molecular states, and the general field-dressed states of the excited state manifold carry signatures of atomic, molecular, as well as photonic excitations. (3) The absorption spectrum of the confined entangled system reflects well the polaritonic landscape, and an intensity borrowing effect could be identified in the atomic transition peak, originating from the contamination of the atomic excited state with excited molecular rovibronic states. (4) It was shown that by changing the cavity wavelength and the atomic transition frequency, the potential energy landscape of the polaritonic states and the corresponding spectrum could be altered significantly. This shows, that by adding a second type of entity to a quantum system confined in a microscopic cavity, the dynamics of the system and its response to light can be significantly modified and manipulated. This extra degree of freedom implies new possible avenues in polaritonic chemistry.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported by the EU-funded Hungarian grant EFOP-3.6.2-16-2017-00005. The authors are grateful to NKFIH for support (Grant No. PD124623 and K119658).

ORCID iDs

Tamás Szidarovszky D https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0878-5212

References

- [1] Ebbesen T W 2016 Acc. Chem. Res. 49 2403
- [2] Feist J, Galego J and Garcia-Vidal F J 2018 ACS Photonics 5 205
- [3] Ribeiro R F, Martínez-Martínez L A, Du M, Campos-Gonzalez-Angulo J and Yuen-Zhou J 2018 Chem. Sci. 9 6325
- [4] Herrera F and Spano F C 2018 ACS Photonics 5 65
- [5] Flick J, Rivera N and Narang P 2018 Nanophotonics 7 1479
- [6] Ruggenthaler M, Tancogne-Dejean N, Flick J, Appel H and Rubio A 2018 Nat. Rev. Chem. 2 0118
- [7] Bandrauk A D, Aubanel E E and Gauthier J M 1994 Molecules in Laser Fields (New York: Marcel Dekker) vol 1
- [8] Hutchison J A, Schwartz T, Genet C, Devaux E and Ebbesen T W 2012 Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 51 1592
- [9] Thomas A et al 2016 Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 55 11462
- [10] Vergauwe R M A, George J, Chervy T, Hutchison J A, Shalabney A, Torbeev V Y and Ebbesen T W 2016 J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 7 4159
- [11] Chervy T, Thomas A, Akiki E, Vergauwe R M A, Shalabney A, George J, Devaux E, Hutchison J A, Genet C and Ebbesen T W 2017 ACS Photonics 5 217
- [12] Zhong X, Chervy T, Wang S, George J, Thomas A, Hutchison J A, Devaux E, Genet C and Ebbesen T W 2016 Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 55 6202
- [13] Vergauwe R M A, Thomas A, Nagarajan K, Shalabney A, George J, Chervy T, Seidel M, Devaux E, Torbeev V and Ebbesen T W 2019 Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 58 15324
- [14] Schwartz T, Hutchison J A, Genet C and Ebbesen T W 2011 Phys. Rev. Lett. 106 196405
- [15] Barachati F, Simon J, Getmanenko Y A, Barlow S, Marder S R and Kéna-Cohen S 2017 ACS Photonics 5 119
- [16] Long J P and Simpkins B S 2014 ACS Photonics 2 130
- [17] Muallem M, Palatnik A, Nessim G D and Tischler Y R 2016 J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 7 2002
- [18] Chikkaraddy R, de Nijs B, Benz F, Barrow S J, Scherman O A, Rosta E, Demetriadou A, Fox P, Hess O and Baumberg J J 2016 Nature 535 127
- [19] Damari R, Weinberg O, Krotkov D, Demina N, Akulov K, Golombek A, Schwartz T and Fleischer S 2019 Nat. Commun. 10 3248
- [20] Galego J, Garcia-Vidal F J and Feist J 2015 *Phys. Rev.* X **5** 041022
- [21] Galego J, Garcia-Vidal F J and Feist J 2016 Nat. Commun. 7 13841
- [22] Kowalewski M, Bennett K and Mukamel S 2016 J. Chem. Phys. 144 054309
- [23] Kowalewski M, Bennett K and Mukamel S 2016 J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 7 2050
- [24] Luk H L, Feist J, Toppari J J and Groenhof G 2017 J. Chem. Theory Comput. 13 4324
- [25] Groenhof G and Toppari J J 2018 J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 9 4848
- [26] Groenhof G, Climent C, Feist J, Morozov D and Toppari J J 2019 J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 10 5476
- [27] Yuen-Zhou J, Saikin S K and Menon V M 2018 J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 9 6511
- [28] Kéna-Cohen S and Yuen-Zhou J 2019 ACS Cent. Sci. 5 386
- [29] Campos-Gonzalez-Angulo J A, Ribeiro R F and Yuen-Zhou J 2019 Nat. Commun. 10 4685
- [30] Herrera F and Spano F C 2016 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116** 238301
- [31] Herrera F and Spano F C 2017 Phys. Rev. Lett. 118 223601
- [32] Flick J, Ruggenthaler M, Appel H and Rubio A 2017 Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. 114 3026
- [33] Flick J, Appel H, Ruggenthaler M and Rubio A 2017 J. Chem. Theory Comput. 13 1616
- [34] Vendrell O 2018 Chem. Phys. 509 55
- [35] Vendrell O 2018 Phys. Rev. Lett. **121** 253001
- [36] Fregoni J, Granucci G, Persico M and Corni S 2020 Chem 6 250
- [37] Schäfer C, Ruggenthaler M, Appel H and Rubio A 2019 Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. 116 4883
- [38] Mandal A and Huo P 2019 J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 10 5519
- [39] Mandal A, Krauss T D and Huo P 2020 ChemRxiv http://doi.org/10.26434/chemrxiv.11983806
- [40] Szidarovszky T, Halász G J, Császár A G, Cederbaum L S and Vibók Á 2018 J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 9 6215
- [41] Csehi A, Halász G J, Cederbaum L S and Vibók A 2017 J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 8 1624
- [42] Csehi A, Kowalewski M, Halász G J and Vibók Á 2019 New J. Phys. 21 093040
- [43] Csehi A, Halász G J and Vibók Á 2018 Chem. Phys. 509 91
- [44] Cohen-Tannoudji C, Dupont-Roc J and Grynberg G 2004 Atom–Photon Interactions: Basic Processes and Applications (Weinheim: Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH and Co. KGaA)
- [45] Magnier S, Millié P, Dulieu O and Masnou-Seeuws F 1993 J. Chem. Phys. 98 7113
- [46] Zemke W T, Verma K K, Vu T and Stwalley W C 1981 J. Mol. Spectrosc. 85 150
- [47] Houdré R, Stanley R P and Ilegems M 1996 Phys. Rev. A 53 2711
- [48] Szidarovszky T, Halász G J, Császár A G, Cederbaum L S and Vibók Á 2018 J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 9 2739
- [49] Bunker P R and Jensen P 1998 Molecular Symmetry and Spectroscopy (Ottawa: NRC Research Press)
- [50] Szidarovszky T, Császár A G and Czakó G 2010 Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 12 8373
- [51] Jaynes E T and Cummings F W 1963 Proc. IEEE 51 89
- [52] Autler S H and Townes C H 1955 *Phys. Rev.* **100** 703
- [53] Köppel H, Domcke W and Cederbaum L S 1984 Adv. Chem. Phys. 57 59