

# Generation of matrix models by Ŵ-operators

To cite this article: A. Morozov and Sh. Shakirov JHEP04(2009)064

View the article online for updates and enhancements.

# You may also like

- <u>Deconstructing effective non-Hermitian</u> <u>dynamics in quadratic bosonic</u> <u>Hamiltonians</u> Vincent P Flynn, Emilio Cobanera and Lorenza Viola
- <u>Solving matrix models in the 1/N-expansion</u> L O Chekhov
- <u>Notes on matrix models (matrix musings)</u> Dionysios Anninos and Beatrix Mühlmann

PUBLISHED BY IOP PUBLISHING FOR SISSA

RECEIVED: February 21, 2009 ACCEPTED: March 26, 2009 PUBLISHED: April 16, 2009

# Generation of matrix models by $\hat{W}$ -operators

# A. Morozov $^{a,b}$ and Sh. Shakirov $^{a,b}$

*E-mail:* morozov@itep.ru, shakirov@itep.ru

ABSTRACT: We show that partition functions of various matrix models can be obtained by acting on elementary functions with exponents of  $\hat{W}$  operators. A number of illustrations is given, including the Gaussian Hermitian matrix model, Hermitian model in external field and the Hurwitz-Kontsevitch model, for which we suggest an elegant matrix-model representation. In all these examples, the relevant  $\hat{W}$  operators belong to the  $\hat{W}^{(3)}$  algebra.

KEYWORDS: Matrix Models, Conformal and W Symmetry, Integrable Hierarchies

ARXIV EPRINT: 0902.2627



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Theory Department, Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics (ITEP), Bolshaya Cheremushkinskaya, Moscow, Russia

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>Department of General and Applied Physics, Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology, Institutskii per., Dolgoprudny, Russia

## Contents

1	Introduction	1		
<b>2</b>	2 Hermitian matrix model in external field and operator $\hat{W}_2$			
3	Gaussian hermitian model and operator $\hat{W}_{-2}$	5		
	3.1 The main relation, eq. $(3.1)$	5		
	3.2 Eq. $(3.1)$ from Faddeev-Popov trick	7		
	3.3 Eq. $(3.1)$ from Virasoro constraints	8		
	3.4 Non-gaussian models and operators $\hat{W}_{-p}$	9		
4	Hurwitz-Kontsevich model and operator $\hat{W}_0$	10		
	4.1 Approximate matrix integral	11		
	4.2 Corrections	12		
	4.3 Exact matrix integral	13		
	4.4 Character expansion	16		
	4.5 Appendix: $\operatorname{GL}(n)$ characters as eigenfunctions of $\hat{W}_0$	19		
<b>5</b>	Conclusion	23		

## 1 Introduction

These days we witness a renaissance of matrix model theory, both of its applications and of "theoretical theory". Matrix models are finally recognized as a source of new, badly needed, special functions and as a simplified, still representative, model of entire string/M-theory, with sophisticated generalized geometries of Calabi-Yau type behind the vacua structure substituted by a far better studied geometry of the Riemann surfaces. For summaries of the previous stages of matrix model theory see, for example, [1]-[5] and references therein. For recent papers with a number of advanced new developments see [6]-[17].

One of important issues about string theory partition functions is their generation by canonical procedures from simple canonical objects. There is a whole variety of such reductionistic properties. In the case of matrix models one can think of reducing them to a simpler (more fundamental) theory — like that of free fields on Riemann surfaces and thus to 2d conformal and finally to group theory, see [2, 5, 10, 11] for different stages of this project. One can embed matrix models into group theory in a somewhat different way, by exploring and exploiting integrability properties of partition functions [3, 5] (partition function of a quantum theory is always a kind of a  $\tau$ -function [18], and in the case of the eigenvalue matrix models these are usually  $\tau$ -functions from the well studied KP-Toda family, associated with the  $\widehat{U(1)}$  Lie algebra). One can instead express generic matrix models through a few simple ones, like the Kontsevich model [3], which has alternative origins in combinatorics and geometry of moduli spaces, see [13]-[15]. This is a part of reductionist program within the matrix model field itself, especially important if one uses it to model the pertinent features of string theory [13].

In this paper we address another reduction of the same type: from sophisticated to simple  $\tau$ -functions, but a much simpler one as compared to meron/instanton decompositions of [13]. Namely, as previously observed in the case of the Hurwitz-Kontsevich model [14], partition functions can be generated from some trivial  $\tau$ -functions — like  $e^{t_1}$  — by the action of non-trivial generators

Partition Function 
$$= e^{W}$$
 (Elementary Function)

from integrability-preserving  $\operatorname{GL}(\infty)$  group, which converts one family of Virasoro-like constraints into another (it is actually enough to look at the string equations). See the basics of the underlying theory of equivalent hierarchies in [19]. Operators  $\hat{W}$  are naturally classified by their spin: when constructed from free fields, the spin-k operators have the form

$$\hat{W}^{(k)}(z) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{W}_m^{(k)} \frac{(dz)^k}{z^{k+m}} \sim \left(\partial\Phi\right)^k(z)$$

i.e. are made from the k-th powers of the  $\widehat{\mathrm{U}(1)}$  currents on Riemann surfaces. In the simplest examples, which we consider in this paper, the relevant generators are just the next-complicated after the spin-2 Virasoro ones: the  $\widehat{W}^{(3)}$  operators [20] (we call them simply  $\widehat{W}$  in what follows). When expressed through the  $n \times n$  matrix-valued background field  $\psi$  (the Miwa variable), operators  $\widehat{W}^{(k)}$  which we consider in this paper resemble Laplace operators:

$$W_2^{(3)} = \operatorname{tr} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \psi}\right)^2 = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \psi_j^i \partial \psi_i^j}$$

and

$$W_0^{(3)} = \operatorname{tr} \left( \psi \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi^T} \right)^2 - n \operatorname{tr} \left( \psi \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi^T} \right) = \psi_k^j \psi_l^i \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \psi_j^i \partial \psi_l^k}$$

are the simplest illustrations of this property, which plays an important role below. The goal of this paper is just to describe a few examples, leaving intriguing applications to separate publications. Some relations to GKM theory [3] are immediately obvious, but even they will be discussed elsewhere – in order to clearly separate explicit formulas of the present paper from broader hypotheses and speculations.

# 2 Hermitian matrix model in external field and operator $\hat{W}_2$

To begin with, we consider external-field correlators in the Hermitian matrix model

$$C_{k_1,\ldots,k_m} = \int_{N \times N} d\phi \ e^{-\operatorname{Tr} \phi^2/2} \ \operatorname{Tr} (\phi + \psi)^{k_1} \ldots \operatorname{Tr} (\phi + \psi)^{k_m}$$

where the external field  $\psi$  is a constant Hermitian  $N \times N$  matrix and  $d\phi = \prod_{i,j} d\phi_j^i$  is the flat measure. Obviously, correlators  $C_{k_1,\ldots,k_m}$  are invariant under conjugation  $\psi \mapsto U\psi U^{-1}$ , i.e. they are functions of invariant variables  $T_k = \operatorname{Tr} \psi^k$ . For example

$$C_1 = T_1 \tag{2.1}$$

$$C_2 = T_2 + T_0^2 \tag{2.2}$$

$$C_3 = T_3 + 3T_0 T_1 \tag{2.3}$$

$$C_{1,1} = T_1^2 + T_0 \tag{2.4}$$

$$C_{2,2} = T_2^2 + 4T_2 + 2T_0^2 T_2 + 2T_0^2 + T_0^4$$
(2.5)

$$C_{1,1,2} = T_1^2 T_2 + T_0 T_2 + 4T_1^2 + T_0^2 T_1^2 + 2T_0 + T_0^3$$
(2.6)

Note, that correlators depend on N only through  $T_0 = \operatorname{Tr} \psi^0 = N$ . With the help of the shift operator

$$\exp\left(\operatorname{Tr}\phi\frac{\partial}{\partial\psi^{T}}\right)f(\psi) = f(\phi + \psi) \quad \forall f,$$

where  $(\psi^T)_j^i = \psi_i^j$  is the transposed matrix, the integral over  $\phi$  can be made Gaussian:

$$C_{k_1,\dots,k_m} = \int d\phi e^{-\operatorname{Tr} \phi^2/2} \operatorname{Tr} (\phi + \psi)^{k_1} \dots \operatorname{Tr} (\phi + \psi)^{k_m}$$
  
=  $\int d\phi \exp\left(-\operatorname{Tr} \phi^2/2 + \operatorname{Tr} \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi^T}\right) \operatorname{Tr} \psi^{k_1} \dots \operatorname{Tr} \psi^{k_m}$   
=  $\exp\left(\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \psi^T}\right)^2\right) \operatorname{Tr} \psi^{k_1} \dots \operatorname{Tr} \psi^{k_m}$   
=  $\exp\left(\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \psi}\right)^2\right) \operatorname{Tr} \psi^{k_1} \dots \operatorname{Tr} \psi^{k_m}$ 

In this way we find an explicit formula for all correlators:

$$C_{k_1,\dots,k_m} = e^{\hat{W}_2/2} T_{k_1}\dots T_{k_m}$$
(2.7)

where operator

$$\hat{W}_2 = \text{Tr} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \psi}\right)^2 = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \psi_j^i \partial \psi_i^j}$$

can be called a matrix Laplace operator. It converts invariant (under conjugation of  $\psi$ ) functions into invariant functions, and therefore, can be reduced to the space of such functions, where it acts as a differential operator of second order in invariant variables  $T_k$ . Indeed, by application of the chain rule

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \psi_j^i} F(T) = \sum_{a=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial T_a}{\partial \psi_j^i} \frac{\partial F(T)}{\partial T_a}$$

and similarly

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \psi_j^i \partial \psi_i^j} F(T) = \sum_{a,b=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial T_a}{\partial \psi_j^i} \frac{\partial T_b}{\partial \psi_i^j} \frac{\partial^2 F(T)}{\partial T_a \partial T_b} + \sum_{a=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial^2 T_a}{\partial \psi_j^i \partial \psi_i^j} \frac{\partial F(T)}{\partial T_a}$$

Taking derivatives of traces, it is easy to check that

$$\frac{\partial T_a}{\partial \psi_j^i} \frac{\partial T_b}{\partial \psi_i^j} = abT_{a+b-2}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial^2 T_a}{\partial \psi_j^i \partial \psi_i^j} = \sum_{k+l=a-2} (k+l+2) T_k T_l$$

Therefore

$$\hat{W}_2 = \text{Tr} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\psi}\right)^2 = \sum_{a,b=0}^{\infty} \left( (a+b+2)T_a T_b \frac{\partial}{\partial T_{a+b+2}} + abT_{a+b-2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial T_a \partial T_b} \right)$$
(2.8)

Once again, the last identity is true, when the operator acts on invariant functions, i.e. on functions of time-variables  $T_k$ . As usual, the partition function is introduced as generating function for all correlators. It depends on two sets of time-variables,  $t_k$  and  $T_k$ :<sup>1</sup>

$$Z(t|T) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!} \sum_{k_1,\dots,k_m=0}^{\infty} C_{k_1,\dots,k_m}(T) t_{k_1}\dots t_{k_m} = \int d\phi \ e^{-\operatorname{Tr} \phi^2/2 + \sum_{k\geq 0} t_k \operatorname{Tr} (\phi+\psi)^k}$$

It follows from (2.7), that

$$Z(t|T) = e^{W_2/2} e^{\sum_{k \ge 0} t_k T_k}$$
(2.9)

As one can see, partition function of the Hermitian matrix model in external field is generated from the trivial function  $e^{\Sigma t_k T_k}$  by the action of generator  $\hat{W}_2/2$ . Formula (2.9) is quite interesting: an explicit representation for the partition function, which does not involve matrix integrals. Also, eqs. (2.7) and (2.8) are very convenient to calculate particular correlators "by bare hands". For example,

$$C_{2,2} = \left(1 + \frac{1}{2}\hat{W}_2 + \frac{1}{4}(\hat{W}_2)^2\right)T_2^2$$

since all powers of  $\hat{W}_2$ , higher than 2, annihilate  $T_2^2$ . One finds

$$\hat{W}_2 T_2^2 = 8T_2 + 4T_0^2 T_2,$$
  

$$(\hat{W}_2)^2 T_2^2 = 16T_0^2 + 8T_0^4$$
(2.10)

and

$$C_{2,2} = T_2^2 + 4T_2 + 2T_0^2 T_2 + 2T_0^2 + T_0^4$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Through this section, to simplify our formulas and to make closer contact with [14] in s. 4 below, we omit the factor 1/k in Miwa transform  $T_k = \text{Tr }\psi^k$ , what, actually, spoils the natural symmetry between  $t_k$  and  $T_k$ . If 1/k is restored,  $T_k = \text{Tr }\psi^k/k$ , then the exponent in (2.9) acquires its usual form  $\exp(kt_kT_k)$ . In this case, however, one gets an additional factor  $\exp(t_0T_0)$ .

## 3 Gaussian hermitian model and operator $\hat{W}_{-2}$

## 3.1 The main relation, eq. (3.1)

Our next example is the simplest matrix model — Hermitian matrix model in Gaussian potential:

$$Z_G = \int_{N \times N} d\phi \ e^{-\operatorname{Tr} \phi^2/2} + \sum_{k \ge 0} t_k \operatorname{Tr} \phi^k$$

where the integral is taken over all  $N \times N$  Hermitian matrices with flat measure and depends on the set of time-variables  $t_k$ . Since  $\operatorname{Tr} \phi^0 = N$ , the dependence on  $t_0$  is given by simple multiplicative factor  $e^{Nt_0}$ :

$$Z_G = e^{Nt_0} \int_{N \times N} d\phi \ e^{-\operatorname{Tr} \phi^2/2} + \sum_{k>0} t_k \operatorname{Tr} \phi^k$$

We are going to demonstrate, that partition function of the Gaussian model is generated by operator  $\hat{W}_{-2}$ 

$$Z_G = e^{\hat{W}_{-2}/2} e^{Nt_0} \tag{3.1}$$

where

$$\hat{W}_{-2} = \sum_{a,b=0}^{\infty} \left( abt_a t_b \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{a+b-2}} + (a+b+2)t_{a+b+2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_a} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_b} \right)$$
(3.2)

Note, that  $\hat{W}_2$  in (2.9) is acting on T, while  $\hat{W}_{-2}$  in (3.1) — on *t*-variables. To prove (3.1), we make a Miwa transform — introduce an  $n \times n$  Hermitian matrix  $\psi$ , such that

$$t_k = \frac{1}{k} \operatorname{tr} \psi^{-k}, \quad k > 0$$

It is important that the size n of matrix  $\psi$  is absolutely independent of the initial size N of matrix  $\phi$ , because interaction terms in the action do not involve addition or multiplication of these matrices (this was not the case in s.2). This is the usual feature of Kontsevich-like matrix models [3], what emphasizes relation between the subject of this paper and GKM theory. Consequently, we distinguish operations tr and Tr, which denote traces of matrices of sizes n and N, respectively.

After the transform, the left hand side of (3.1) takes form

$$Z_G = e^{Nt_0} \int_{N \times N} d\phi \ e^{-\operatorname{Tr} \phi^2/2} \ \exp\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} \operatorname{tr} \psi^{-k} \operatorname{Tr} \phi^k\right)$$

Note, that  $t_0$  is not affected by this transform and remains a free parameter. Using the identity

$$\det \left( I \otimes I - \psi^{-1} \otimes \phi \right) = \exp \left( \operatorname{tr} \log \left( I \otimes I - \psi^{-1} \otimes \phi \right) \right) = \exp \left( -\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} \operatorname{tr} \psi^{-k} \operatorname{Tr} \phi^{k} \right)$$

the interaction terms are written in determinantal form:

$$Z_G = e^{Nt_0} \int_{N \times N} d\phi \ e^{-\operatorname{Tr} \phi^2/2} \ \frac{1}{\det \left(I \otimes I - \psi^{-1} \otimes \phi\right)}$$
$$= e^{Nt_0} \int_{N \times N} d\phi \ e^{-\operatorname{Tr} \phi^2/2} \ \frac{\left(\det \psi\right)^N}{\det \left(\psi \otimes I - I \otimes \phi\right)}$$
(3.3)

where  $(\phi \otimes \psi)_{j\nu}^{i\mu} = \phi_j^i \psi_{\nu}^{\mu}$  is the tensor product of two matrices, regarded as a  $nN \times nN$  matrix. Note, that i, j and  $\mu, \nu$  are different sorts of indices: i and j take values  $1, \ldots, N$ , while  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  take values  $1, \ldots, n$ . Eq. (3.3) is the expression that we need for the left hand side of (3.1).

Now let us calculate the right hand side. Operator  $\hat{W}_{-2}$  can be expressed as a differential operator of the second order in terms of  $\psi$  and  $t_0$ . However, we can always substitute the derivatives with respect to  $t_0$  by factors of N. A straightforward application of the chain rule, similarly to the previous sections, gives

$$\hat{W}_{-2} = \operatorname{tr}\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\psi^2} - \frac{N}{\psi}\right) = \left(\det\psi\right)^N \operatorname{tr}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\psi}\right)^2 \left(\det\psi\right)^{-N}$$

Its exponential is easy to find:

$$\exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\hat{W}_{-2}\right) = \left(\det\psi\right)^N \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{tr}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\psi}\right)^2\right) \left(\det\psi\right)^{-N}$$

Using the identity

$$\exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{tr}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\psi}\right)^{2}\right) = \int_{n \times n} d\phi \, \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{tr}\phi^{2} + \operatorname{tr}\left(\phi\frac{\partial}{\partial\psi}\right)\right)$$

and the properties of the shift operator, we obtain:

$$\exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\hat{W}_{-2}\right)e^{Nt_0} = \int_{n\times n} d\phi \, \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}\,\phi^2 + \mathrm{tr}\,\left(\phi\frac{\partial}{\partial\psi}\right)\right) \frac{e^{Nt_0}}{\left(\det\psi\right)^N}$$
$$= e^{Nt_0} \int_{n\times n} d\phi \, e^{-\mathrm{tr}\,\phi^2/2} \left(\frac{\det\psi}{\det(\psi+\phi)}\right)^N$$

This is what we get for the right hand side of (3.1). At first sight, this seems different from (3.3):

$$\int_{N \times N} d\phi \ e^{-\operatorname{Tr} \phi^2/2} \ \frac{\left(\det \psi\right)^N}{\det\left(\psi \otimes I - I \otimes \phi\right)} \quad \text{vs.} \quad \int_{n \times n} d\phi \ e^{-\operatorname{tr} \phi^2/2} \left(\frac{\det \psi}{\det\left(\psi + \phi\right)}\right)^N$$

even the integration goes over matrices of different size. However, in fact these two integrals are equal and we prove this fact in the following subsection.

### 3.2 Eq. (3.1) from Faddeev-Popov trick

We now prove (3.1) by proving the identity between these integrals:

$$\int_{N \times N} d\phi \ e^{-\operatorname{Tr} \phi^2/2} \ \frac{\left(\det \psi\right)^N}{\det \left(\psi \otimes I - I \otimes \phi\right)} \quad = \quad \int_{n \times n} d\phi \ e^{-\operatorname{tr} \phi^2/2} \left(\frac{\det \psi}{\det \left(\psi + \phi\right)}\right)^N$$

or in a more symmetric form

$$\int_{N \times N} d\phi \ e^{-\operatorname{Tr} \phi^2/2} \frac{1}{\det \left(\psi \otimes I - I \otimes \phi\right)} = \int_{n \times n} d\phi \ e^{-\operatorname{tr} \phi^2/2} \frac{1}{\det \left(\psi \otimes I + \phi \otimes I\right)}$$
(3.4)

We will use Faddeev-Popov's trick, i.e. representation of  $det^{-1}$  as a Gaussian integral over auxiliary fields:

$$\int_{N\times N} d\phi \ e^{-\operatorname{Tr}\phi^2/2} \frac{1}{\det\left(\psi\otimes I - I\otimes\phi\right)}$$
$$= \int_{N\times N} d\phi \int dbdc \ \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{Tr}\phi^2 + b_{\mu i}\left(\psi^{\mu}_{\nu}\delta^i_j - \delta^{\mu}_{\nu}\phi^i_j\right)c^{\nu j}\right)$$
(3.5)

$$\int_{n \times n} d\phi \ e^{-\operatorname{tr} \phi^2/2} \frac{1}{\det \left( I \otimes \psi + I \otimes \phi \right)}$$
$$= \int_{n \times n} d\phi \int db dc \ \exp\left( -\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} \phi^2 + b_{\mu i} \left( \psi^{\mu}_{\nu} \delta^i_j + \phi^{\mu}_{\nu} \delta^i_j \right) c^{\nu j} \right)$$
(3.6)

Here  $b_{\mu i}$  and  $c^{\nu j} = b^*_{\nu j}$  are bosonic (since determinant stands in denominator) Faddeev-Popov fields. Integrals over  $\phi$  are Gaussian. After  $\phi$  is integrated out, we obtain

$$\int_{N\times N} d\phi \ e^{-\operatorname{Tr}\phi^2/2} \frac{1}{\det\left(\psi\otimes I - I\otimes\phi\right)} = \int dbdc \ \exp\left(\frac{1}{2} \ b_{\mu i} b_{\nu j} c^{\mu j} c^{\nu i} + b_{\mu j} \psi^{\mu}_{\nu} c^{\nu j}\right)$$
$$\int_{n\times n} d\phi \ e^{-\operatorname{tr}\phi^2/2} \frac{1}{\det\left(I\otimes\psi + I\otimes\phi\right)} = \int dbdc \ \exp\left(\frac{1}{2} \ b_{\mu i} b_{\nu j} c^{\mu j} c^{\nu i} + b_{\mu j} \psi^{\mu}_{\nu} c^{\nu j}\right) (3.7)$$

i.e. the integrals become the same. Thus, our identity

$$\int_{N \times N} d\phi \ e^{-\operatorname{Tr} \phi^2/2} \frac{1}{\det \left( \psi \otimes I - I \otimes \phi \right)} = \int_{n \times n} d\phi \ e^{-\operatorname{tr} \phi^2/2} \frac{1}{\det \left( \psi \otimes I + \phi \otimes I \right)}$$

is true. Therefore, representation (3.1) is valid.

At that point it is worth mentioning, that there are other identities between Gaussian integrals, similar to (3.4). For example, there is a direct analogue of (3.4), with determinants standing in the numerator:

$$\int_{N \times N} d\phi \ e^{-\operatorname{Tr} \phi^2/2} \det \left( \psi \otimes I - I \otimes \phi \right) = \int_{n \times n} d\phi e^{+\operatorname{tr} \phi^2/2} \ \det \left( \psi \otimes I + \phi \otimes I \right)$$
$$= \int_{n \times n} d\phi \ e^{+\operatorname{tr} \phi^2/2} \ \det \left( \phi + \psi \right)^N \tag{3.8}$$

This identity is well-known as equivalence [21] between the Gaussian model and logarithmic Kontsevich model and is usually proved by orthogonal polynomial techniques [5]. Faddeev-Popov's trick seems to be a more economic and elegant way to prove such identities. When determinant stands in the numerator, one only needs to consider grassmanian Faddeev-Popov ghosts  $b_{\mu i}$  and  $c_{\nu j}$ , as it is usually done in Yang-Mills theory. Remarkably, identity breaks down, if determinants are raised to any other power, different from  $\pm 1$ .

## 3.3 Eq. (3.1) from Virasoro constraints

There are different other ways to derive (3.1). For example, Gaussian partition function satisfies a consistent system of linear differential equations called Virasoro constraints

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t_b} Z_G = \hat{L}_{b-2} Z_G = \left( \sum_{a=0}^{\infty} a t_a \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{a+b-2}} + \sum_{i+j=b-2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_j} \right) Z_G, \quad b \ge 1; \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t_0} Z_G = N Z_G$$

and (3.1) is their direct corollary. Indeed, summing by b from 1 to infinity with weight  $bt_b$ , we obtain

$$\hat{D}Z_G = \hat{W}_{-2}Z_G \tag{3.9}$$

Two operators appear in this equality: the degree (dilatation) operator

$$\hat{D} = \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} q t_q \frac{\partial}{\partial t_q} = \hat{L}_0 - N^2$$
(3.10)

and our familiar  $W_{-2}$ -operator

$$\hat{W}_{-2} = \sum_{a,b=0}^{\infty} \left( abt_a t_b \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{a+b-2}} + (a+b+2)t_{a+b+2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_a} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_b} \right)$$

with commutation relation

$$\hat{D}\hat{W}_{-2} - \hat{W}_{-2}\hat{D} = 2\hat{W}_{-2} \tag{3.11}$$

Notice, that  $Z_G$  is graded by the total *t*-degree:

$$Z_G = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} Z_G^{(s)}, \quad Z_G^{(s)} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k_1 + \dots + k_m = s} \left\langle \operatorname{tr} \phi^{k_1} \dots \operatorname{tr} \phi^{k_m} \right\rangle \frac{t_{k_1} \dots t_{k_m}}{m!}$$

Operator  $\hat{D}$  preserves this grading:

$$\hat{D}Z_G^{(s)} = sZ_G^{(s)}$$

As follows from (3.9), operator  $\hat{W}_{-2}$  respects the grading in the following sense:

$$\hat{W}_{-2}Z_G^{(s)} = (s+2)Z_G^{(s+2)}$$

and this implies that graded components of  $Z_G$  are generated, one by one, from the lowest component:

$$Z_G^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2} \hat{W}_{-2} Z_G^{(0)} \tag{3.12}$$

$$Z_G^{(4)} = \frac{1}{2 \cdot 4} \left( \hat{W}_{-2} \right)^2 Z_G^{(0)} \tag{3.13}$$
(3.14)

$$Z_G = Z_G^{(0)} + \frac{1}{2}\hat{W}_{-2}Z_G^{(0)} + \frac{1}{2\cdot 4}\left(\hat{W}_{-2}\right)^2 Z_G^{(0)} + \frac{1}{2\cdot 4\cdot 6}\left(\hat{W}_{-2}\right)^3 Z_G^{(0)} + \dots$$

$$= e^{\hat{W}_{-2}/2}Z_G^{(0)}$$
(3.15)

where  $Z_G^{(0)}$  obviously equals  $e^{Nt_0}$ , so that we derived (3.1) once again.

# 3.4 Non-gaussian models and operators $\hat{W}_{-p}$

It is tempting to generalize the above Virasoro derivation of (3.1) to non-Gaussian partition functions

$$Z_{NG} = \int_{N \times N} d\phi \ e^{-\operatorname{Tr} \phi^p / p + \sum_{k \ge 0} t_k \operatorname{Tr} \phi^k}, \quad p \ge 2$$

since Virasoro constraints for these models are not very complicated:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t_b} Z_{NG} = \left( \sum_{a=0}^{\infty} a t_a \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{a+b-p}} + \sum_{i+j=b-p} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_j} \right) Z_{NG}, \quad b \ge (p-1)$$

However, in the non-Gaussian case Virasoro constraints are labeled by  $b \ge (p-1)$ , not by  $b \ge 1$ . Immediate consequence of this is that  $Z_{NG}$  is no longer fixed unambiguously by Virasoro constraints alone: some additional requirements should be imposed (see [6] for the best studied Dijkgraaf-Vafa example). The technical procedure from s.3.3 is also inapplicable, because it is impossible to sum by b from 1 to infinity. Instead, one can sum from (p-1) to infinity and obtain

$$\hat{D}_{+}Z_{G} = \hat{W}_{-p}Z_{G} \tag{3.16}$$

where

$$\hat{D}_{+} = \sum_{b=p-1}^{\infty} bt_b \frac{\partial}{\partial t_b} = \hat{D} - \sum_{b=0}^{p-2} bt_b \frac{\partial}{\partial t_b}$$

and

$$\hat{W}_{-p} = \sum_{a,b=0}^{\infty} \left( abt_a t_b \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{a+b-p}} + (a+b+p)t_{a+b+p} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_a} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_b} \right)$$

Operator  $\hat{D}$  is the degree operator (3.10) in all *t*-variables and satisfies

$$\hat{D}\hat{W}_{-p} - \hat{W}_{-p}\hat{D} = p\hat{W}_{-p} \tag{3.17}$$

while  $\hat{D}_+$  does not satisfy (3.17) and has the meaning of degree operator in variables  $t_i$  with  $i \ge (p-2)$  — only a part of all variables. Such a partial grading is not very useful,

since  $\hat{W}_{-p}$  does not respect this grading. For these reasons, we can not deal with (3.16) as we did with (3.9). Some additional ideas are required to obtain a  $e^W$  representation for non-Gaussian, in particular, the Dijkgraaf-Vafa partition functions.

## 4 Hurwitz-Kontsevich model and operator $\hat{W}_0$

In the previous sections, we converted a matrix integral into exponent of a  $\hat{W}$ -operator, acting on a simple function. In this section, an inverse problem is considered: namely, conversion of the  $e^{\hat{W}}$  formula into a matrix integral. We discuss this topic with the example of Hurwitz-Kontsevich partition function [14], since historically the  $e^{\hat{W}}$ -representation for this function was found *before* the matrix integral. In result, we obtain an interesting matrix model representation for  $Z_{HK}$ .

According to [14],  $Z_{HK}$  depends on the time-variables  $p_k$  and additional deformation parameter t via

$$Z_{HK}(p) = e^{t\tilde{W}_0/2}e^{p_1} \tag{4.1}$$

where

$$\hat{W}_0 = \sum_{a,b=1}^{\infty} \left( (a+b)p_a p_b \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{a+b}} + abp_{a+b} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial p_a \partial p_b} \right)$$
(4.2)

Existence of such a formula suggests to look for a matrix integral, responsible for the appearance of  $\hat{W}_0$ . We find this integral in several steps: first, we find an approximate matrix integral, then calculate a few corrections and finally conjecture an exact matrix integral. In the spirit of the previous example, we introduce a Miwa variable — an  $n \times n$  matrix  $\psi$ , such that

$$p_k = \operatorname{tr} \psi^k$$

Note, that conventionally Miwa transform is defined as  $t_k = \operatorname{tr} \psi^k / k$ , but we use the rescaled times  $p_k = kt_k$  as in [14]. Operator  $\hat{W}_0$  can be expressed as a differential operator of second order in terms of  $\psi$ . Using the chain rule, just like in section 2, we obtain:

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \psi_{ij} \partial \psi_{kl}} F(p) = \sum_{a,b=1}^{\infty} \frac{\partial p_a}{\partial \psi_{ij}} \frac{\partial p_b}{\partial \psi_{kl}} \frac{\partial^2 F(p)}{\partial p_a \partial p_b} + \sum_{a=1}^{\infty} \frac{\partial^2 p_a}{\partial \psi_{ij} \partial \psi_{kl}} \frac{\partial F(p)}{\partial p_a}$$

and operator (4.2) is reproduced if we contract this relation with  $\psi_{kj}\psi_{il}$ :

$$\hat{W}_0 = \psi_{kj} \psi_{il} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \psi_{ij} \partial \psi_{kl}} = \operatorname{tr} \left( \psi \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi^T} \right)^2 - n \operatorname{tr} \left( \psi \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi^T} \right)$$
(4.3)

Let us remind, as usual, that identity

$$\psi_{kj}\psi_{il}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\psi_{ij}\partial\psi_{kl}} = \sum_{a,b=1}^{\infty} \left( (a+b)p_a p_b \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{a+b}} + abp_{a+b} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial p_a \partial p_b} \right)$$

is true, when the operator acts on invariant functions, i.e. on functions of time-variables  $p_k$ .

### 4.1 Approximate matrix integral

Having a differential operator in terms of  $\psi$ , one can rewrite its exponent as a matrix integral over auxiliary matrix  $\phi$ . Unfortunately, it is not possible to literally apply the method of the previous section — the identity one would use for this purpose is not quite true:

$$\int d\phi \, \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2t} \operatorname{tr} \phi^2 + \operatorname{tr} \phi \,\psi \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi^T}\right) \neq \, \exp\left(\frac{t}{2} \,\operatorname{tr} \left(\psi \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi^T}\right)^2\right) \tag{4.4}$$

This is because operator  $\hat{A} = \psi \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi^T}$  is more complicated, than the previously considered operator  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \psi^T}$ . Its components do not commute, forming a non-abelian  $\operatorname{GL}(n)$  algebra

$$\hat{A}_{j}^{i}\hat{A}_{l}^{k} - \hat{A}_{l}^{k}\hat{A}_{j}^{i} = \delta_{j}^{k}\hat{A}_{l}^{i} - \delta_{l}^{i}\hat{A}_{j}^{k}$$
(4.5)

As a result of this, (4.4) breaks down already in the 2nd order of perturbation theory in t:

$$\int d\phi \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2t} \operatorname{tr} \phi^{2}\right) \left(\operatorname{tr} \phi \hat{A}\right)^{4} = \left[\hat{A}_{j}^{i} \hat{A}_{i}^{j} \hat{A}_{l}^{k} \hat{A}_{k}^{l} + \hat{A}_{j}^{i} \hat{A}_{l}^{k} \hat{A}_{j}^{j} \hat{A}_{l}^{k} + \hat{A}_{j}^{i} \hat{A}_{l}^{k} \hat{A}_{k}^{l} \hat{A}_{i}^{j}\right] t^{2} \\ = \left[3 \left(\operatorname{tr} \hat{A}^{2}\right)^{2} + \left(\operatorname{tr} \hat{A}\right)^{2} - N \operatorname{tr} \hat{A}^{2}\right] t^{2}$$
(4.6)

i.e. two additional terms appear at the r.h.s. The leading contribution  $3\left(\operatorname{tr} \hat{A}^2\right)^2$  is what one would expect, if identity (4.4) was true. The other two terms are due to non-abelian nature of operators  $\hat{A}_j^i$  and can be considered as subleading contributions. Following this line of thinking, we state that (4.4) does not hold exactly, but holds *approximately* and can be considered as a 0-th approximation to a correct identity:

$$\int d\phi \, \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2t} \operatorname{tr} \phi^2 + \operatorname{corrections} + \operatorname{tr} \phi \hat{A}\right) \, = \, \exp\left(\frac{t}{2} \operatorname{tr} \hat{A}^2\right) \tag{4.7}$$

In the next section we will show, that corrections are suppressed by powers of t. Having this in mind, we use (4.7) to obtain an (approximate) Kontsevich-Hurwitz matrix integral:

$$e^{t\hat{W}_0/2}e^{\operatorname{tr}\psi} = \exp\left(\frac{t}{2}\operatorname{tr}\hat{A}^2 - \frac{nt}{2}\operatorname{tr}\hat{A}\right)e^{\operatorname{tr}\psi}$$
$$\approx \int_{n \times n} d\phi \, \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2t}\operatorname{tr}\phi^2 + \operatorname{tr}(\phi\hat{A}) - \frac{nt}{2}\operatorname{tr}\hat{A}\right)e^{\operatorname{tr}\psi} \tag{4.8}$$

where the integral is taken over all  $n \times n$  Hermitian matrices with conventional measure. Using

$$\exp\left(\operatorname{tr} M\hat{A}\right)f(\psi) = \exp\left(\operatorname{tr} M\psi\frac{\partial}{\partial\psi^{T}}\right)f(\psi) = f(e^{M}\psi) \quad \forall M, f,$$

we finally obtain

$$Z_{HK} = e^{t\hat{W}_0/2} e^{\operatorname{tr}\psi} \approx \int_{n \times n} d\phi \, \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2t} \operatorname{tr} \phi^2 + e^{-nt/2} \operatorname{tr} \left(e^{\phi}\psi\right)\right)$$
(4.9)

Emerging matrix model (4.9) with exponential potential in the presence of background field  $\psi$ , despite being only approximate, is very interesting. Its equation of motion

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \phi_j^i} \left( -\frac{1}{2t} \operatorname{tr} \phi^2 + e^{-nt/2} \operatorname{tr} \left( e^{\phi} \psi \right) \right) = -\frac{1}{t} \phi_i^j + e^{-nt/2} \left( \psi e^{\phi} \right)_i^j = 0$$

or briefly  $\phi e^{-\phi} = t e^{-Nt/2} \psi$  is a transcendental equation on matrix  $\phi$ , solved by Lambert function:

$$\phi_{\rm cl}(\psi) = y + y^2 + \frac{3}{2}y^3 + \frac{8}{3}y^4 + \ldots = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{m^{m-1}}{m!}y^m, \quad y = te^{-Nt/2}\psi$$

which — naturally — plays a big role in the still underdeveloped and mysterious theory of the Hurwitz-Kontsevich model [14]. Thus, despite written in the abelian approximation, matrix integral (4.9) captures correctly a crucially important feature of the Hurwitz-Kontsevich model and deserves further study.

#### 4.2 Corrections

Due to non-commutativity of operators  $A_j^i$ , there are non-vanishing corrections at the left hand side of (4.7). We introduce them in the form of additional potential

$$V(\phi, t) = \alpha(t) + \alpha_i(t) \operatorname{tr} \phi^i + \alpha_{ij}(t) \operatorname{tr} \phi^i \operatorname{tr} \phi^j + \alpha_{ijk}(t) \operatorname{tr} \phi^i \operatorname{tr} \phi^j \operatorname{tr} \phi^k + \dots$$

which appears at the left hand side in

$$\int d\phi \, \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2t}\operatorname{tr} \phi^2 + V(\phi, t) + \operatorname{tr} \phi \hat{A}\right) = \exp\left(\frac{t}{2}\operatorname{tr} \hat{A}^2\right) \tag{4.10}$$

Potential  $V(\phi, t)$  should possess a series expansion in non-negative powers of t

$$\alpha_{i_1\dots i_m}(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{i_1\dots i_m}^{(k)} t^k$$

because subleading contributions (like those at the right hand side of (4.6)) are always nested commutators of operators A and, therefore, always have *lower* A-degree than the leading contribution. To cancel these terms, potential  $V(\phi, t)$  must contain *higher* powers of t. The simplest terms in V can be found by direct calculations, similar to (4.6). A parametrization, which is more convenient for these direct calculations, is

$$e^{V} = \beta(t) + \beta_{i}(t) \operatorname{tr} \phi^{i} + \beta_{ij}(t) \operatorname{tr} \phi^{i} \operatorname{tr} \phi^{j} + \beta_{ijk}(t) \operatorname{tr} \phi^{i} \operatorname{tr} \phi^{j} \operatorname{tr} \phi^{k} + \dots$$

where  $\beta_{i_1,...,i_m}$  are another parameters, which can be easily expressed through  $\alpha_{i_1,...,i_m}$  and vice versa. Computer experiments show, that  $\beta_{i_1,...,i_m} = 0$ , if  $i_1 + \ldots + i_m$  is odd. For even

 $i_1 + \ldots + i_m$ , we have

$$\beta(t) = 1 - \frac{t}{24}n(n^2 - 1) + \frac{t^2}{1152}n^2(n^2 - 1)^2 - \frac{t^3}{82944}n^3(n^2 - 1)^3 + O(t^4)$$
(4.11)

$$\beta_2(t) = \frac{1}{24}n - \frac{t}{576}n^2(n^2 - 1) + \frac{t^2}{27648}n^3(n^2 - 1)^2 + O(t^3)$$
(4.12)

$$\beta_{11}(t) = -\frac{1}{24} + \frac{t}{576}n(n^2 - 1) - \frac{t^2}{27648}n^2(n^2 - 1)^2 + O(t^3)$$
(4.13)

$$\beta_4(t) = -\frac{1}{2880}n + \frac{t}{69120}n^2(n^2 - 1) + O(t^2)$$
(4.14)

$$\beta_{31}(t) = \frac{1}{720} - \frac{t}{17280} n(n^2 - 1) + O(t^2)$$
(4.15)

$$\beta_{22}(t) = \frac{1}{5760} (5n^2 - 6) - \frac{t}{138240} n(n^2 - 1)(5n^2 - 6) + O(t^2)$$
(4.16)

$$\beta_{211}(t) = -\frac{1}{576}n + \frac{t}{13824}n^2(n^2 - 1) + O(t^2)$$
(4.17)

$$\beta_{1111}(t) = \frac{1}{1152} - \frac{t}{27648}n(n^2 - 1) + O(t^2)$$
(4.18)

$$\beta_6(t) = \frac{1}{181440} n + O(t)$$

$$\beta_{--}(t) = \frac{5n^3 - 18n}{5n^3 - 18n} + O(t)$$
(4.16)

$$\beta_{222}(t) = \frac{5n^2 - 16n}{414720} + O(t) \tag{4.19}$$

$$\beta_{51}(t) = -\frac{1}{30240} + O(t)$$
  
$$\beta_{3111}(t) = -\frac{1}{17200} + O(t)$$
(4.20)

$$\beta_{3111}(t) = -\frac{1}{17280} + O(t)$$

$$\beta_{42}(t) = \frac{40 - 7n^2}{483840} + O(t)$$
(4.20)

$$\beta_{2211}(t) = \frac{6 - 5n^2}{138240} + O(t) \tag{4.21}$$

$$\beta_{33}(t) = -\frac{1}{18144} + O(t)$$

$$\beta_{21111}(t) = \frac{1}{27648}n + O(t) \tag{4.22}$$

$$\beta_{411}(t) = \frac{1}{69120}n + O(t)$$
  
$$\beta_{111111}(t) = -\frac{1}{20044} + O(t)$$
(4.23)

$$\beta_{321}(t) = \frac{1}{17280}n + O(t)$$
(4.24)

These terms cancel all subleading contributions up to  $t^6$ , i.e. they make eq. (4.10) valid up to the order  $t^6$ .

## 4.3 Exact matrix integral

Above results, obtained by direct computer calculations, reveal a nice structure. To see this structure, notice that the lowest term  $\beta(t)$  seems to exponentiate

$$\beta(t) = 1 - \frac{t}{24}n(n^2 - 1) + \frac{t^2}{1152}n^2(n^2 - 1)^2 - \frac{t^3}{82944}n^3(n^2 - 1)^3 + \dots \stackrel{?}{=} e^{-n(n^2 - 1)t/24}$$

as well as the next two terms:

$$\beta_2(t) = \frac{1}{24}n\left(1 - \frac{t}{24}n(n^2 - 1) + \frac{t^2}{1152}n^2(n^2 - 1)^2 + \dots\right) \stackrel{?}{=} \frac{1}{24}ne^{-n(n^2 - 1)t/24}$$
  
$$\beta_{11}(t) = -\frac{1}{24}\left(1 - \frac{t}{24}n(n^2 - 1) + \frac{t^2}{1152}n^2(n^2 - 1)^2 + \dots\right) \stackrel{?}{=} -\frac{1}{24}e^{-n(n^2 - 1)t/24}(4.25)$$

This is clearly a hint: coefficients  $\alpha$  are simpler, than coefficients  $\beta$ . Indeed, the simplification happens if we take a logarithm of the above series:

$$V(\phi, t) = \log (\beta(t) + \beta_i(t) \operatorname{tr} \phi^i + \beta_{ij}(t) \operatorname{tr} \phi^i \operatorname{tr} \phi^j + \dots)$$
(4.26)  
$$= -\frac{t}{24}n(n^2 - 1) + \frac{1}{24}\operatorname{tr} \phi^2 \operatorname{tr} \phi^0 - \frac{1}{24}\operatorname{tr} \phi^1 \operatorname{tr} \phi^1 - \frac{1}{2880}\operatorname{tr} \phi^4 \operatorname{tr} \phi^0 + \frac{1}{720}\operatorname{tr} \phi^3 \operatorname{tr} \phi^1 - \frac{1}{960}\operatorname{tr} \phi^2 \operatorname{tr} \phi^2 + \frac{1}{18144}\operatorname{tr} \phi^6 \operatorname{tr} \phi^0 - \frac{1}{30240}\operatorname{tr} \phi^5 \operatorname{tr} \phi^1 + \frac{1}{12096}\operatorname{tr} \phi^4 \operatorname{tr} \phi^2 - \frac{1}{18144}\operatorname{tr} \phi^3 \operatorname{tr} \phi^3 + \text{ higher order terms}$$

with an obvious notation tr  $\phi^0 = n$ . Somewhat mysteriously, the first item is the central term of the Virasoro algebra with c = t/2. As one can see, the potential is simple – much simpler than one could have expected. It does not contain terms with more than two traces (at least up to order  $\phi^6$ ).

We **conjecture**, that higher order terms have the same structure:

$$V(\phi, t) = -\frac{t}{24}n(n^2 - 1) + \sum_{(i,j)>(0,0)}^{\infty} \alpha_{ij} \operatorname{tr} \phi^i \operatorname{tr} \phi^j$$

where the sum is taken over all non-negative i, j except 0, 0. We have

$$\alpha_{20} = \alpha_{02} = \frac{1}{48} \qquad \alpha_{11} = -\frac{1}{24}$$

$$\alpha_{40} = \alpha_{04} = -\frac{1}{5760} \qquad \alpha_{31} = \alpha_{13} = \frac{1}{1440} \qquad \alpha_{22} = -\frac{1}{960}$$

$$\alpha_{60} = \alpha_{06} = \frac{1}{362880} \qquad \alpha_{51} = \alpha_{15} = -\frac{1}{60480} \qquad \alpha_{42} = \alpha_{24} = \frac{1}{24192} \qquad \alpha_{33} = -\frac{1}{18144}$$
(4.27)

while  $\alpha_{i,j}$  with odd i + j vanish. Looking at these numbers, it is easy to recognize that

$$\alpha_{ij} = \frac{(-1)^j}{2(i+j)} \frac{B_{i+j}}{i!j!}, \qquad i+j = \text{even positive},$$

where  $B_{2n}$  are Bernoulli numbers:

$$B_2 = \frac{1}{6}, \ B_4 = -\frac{1}{30}, \ B_6 = \frac{1}{42}, \ B_8 = -\frac{1}{30}, \ B_{10} = \frac{5}{66}, \ B_{12} = -\frac{691}{2730}, \dots,$$

generated by

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{B_k z^k}{k!} = \frac{z}{e^z - 1} - 1 + \frac{z}{2} \quad \text{or} \quad \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{B_k z^k}{k!} = \frac{z}{2} \coth\left(\frac{z}{2}\right) - 1$$

Thus our conjecture is that

$$\int d\phi \, \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2t} \operatorname{tr} \phi^2 - \frac{t}{24} n(n^2 - 1) + \sum_{\substack{i,j=0\\i+j\geq 2}}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^j}{2(i+j)} \frac{B_{i+j}}{i!j!} \, \operatorname{tr} \phi^i \, \operatorname{tr} \phi^j + \operatorname{tr} \phi \hat{A}\right) = \exp\left(\frac{t}{2} \, \operatorname{tr} \hat{A}^2\right)$$
(4.28)

and exact Hurwitz-Kontsevich matrix integral is

$$Z_{HK} = \int_{n \times n} d\phi \, \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2t} \operatorname{tr} \phi^2 - \frac{t}{24} n(n^2 - 1) + \sum_{\substack{i,j=0\\i+j \ge 2}}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^j}{2(i+j)} \frac{B_{i+j}}{i!j!} \operatorname{tr} \phi^i \operatorname{tr} \phi^j + \operatorname{tr} \left(e^{\phi - nt/2}\psi\right)\right)$$
(4.29)

As one can see, the Gaussian part  $-\text{tr} \phi^2/(2t)$  is indeed dominating in the small-t limit, because the Bernoulli part of potential is of order  $t^0$  and its constant part is of order t. To emphasize the  $t^0$ -nature of Bernoulli series as a "quasiclassical correction" one can simply move it to the integration measure, by summing up the series:

$$\sum_{\substack{i,j=0\\i+j\geq 2}}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^j}{2(i+j)} \frac{B_{i+j}}{i!j!} \operatorname{tr} \phi^i \operatorname{tr} \phi^j = \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{B_m}{2m \cdot m!} \operatorname{tr} \left(\phi \otimes I - I \otimes \phi\right)^m = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} \log\left(\frac{\sinh\left(\frac{\phi \otimes I - I \otimes \phi}{2}\right)}{\left(\frac{\phi \otimes I - I \otimes \phi}{2}\right)}\right)$$
(4.30)

and

$$\exp\left(\sum_{\substack{i,j=0\\i+j\geq 2}}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^j}{2(i+j)} \frac{B_{i+j}}{i!j!} \operatorname{tr} \phi^i \operatorname{tr} \phi^j\right) = \det\left(\frac{\sinh\left(\frac{\phi\otimes I - I\otimes\phi}{2}\right)}{\left(\frac{\phi\otimes I - I\otimes\phi}{2}\right)}\right)^{1/2}$$

so that

$$Z_{HK} = e^{-\frac{t}{24}n(n^2-1)} \int_{n \times n} \sqrt{\det\left(\frac{\sinh\left(\frac{\phi \otimes I - I \otimes \phi}{2}\right)}{\left(\frac{\phi \otimes I - I \otimes \phi}{2}\right)}\right)} \, d\phi \, \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2t} \operatorname{tr} \phi^2 + \operatorname{tr} \left(e^{\phi - nt/2}\psi\right)\right)$$
(4.31)

Note that, as usual for GKM [3, 5], this integral does not depend on n if expressed as a function of  $p_k = \operatorname{tr} \psi^k$ .

It may be convenient to shift  $\phi \mapsto \phi + nt/2$ . The determinantal part of the measure is obviously invariant under this shift, so we obtain

$$Z_{HK} = \int_{n \times n} \sqrt{\det\left(\frac{\sinh\left(\frac{\phi \otimes I - I \otimes \phi}{2}\right)}{\left(\frac{\phi \otimes I - I \otimes \phi}{2}\right)}\right)} d\phi \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2t} \operatorname{tr} \phi^2 - \frac{n}{2} \operatorname{tr} \phi - t\frac{n^3}{6} + t\frac{n}{24} + \operatorname{tr}\left(e^{\phi}\psi\right)\right)$$

This matrix model is, of course, of eigenvalue type. When expressed through eigenvalues  $\lambda_i$  of matrix  $\phi$ , the determinant part of the measure takes form

$$\det\left(\frac{\sinh\left(\frac{\phi\otimes I-I\otimes\phi}{2}\right)}{\left(\frac{\phi\otimes I-I\otimes\phi}{2}\right)}\right)^{1/2} = \prod_{i
$$= \exp\left(\frac{1-n}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i\right) \prod_{i(4.32)$$$$

and the angular integration can be done with the help of the Itzykson-Zuber formula [4]:

$$\int [dU] \exp\left(\operatorname{tr}\left(e^{\phi}U\psi U^{-1}\right)\right) = \frac{\operatorname{det}_{ab} \exp\left(e^{\lambda_{a}}\omega_{b}\right)}{\prod_{i < j}\left(e^{\lambda_{i}} - e^{\lambda_{j}}\right)\prod_{i < j}\left(\omega_{i} - \omega_{j}\right)}$$

where  $\omega_i$  are eigenvalues of matrix  $\psi$ . Under the integral sign, it is possible to substitute

$$\det_{ab} \exp\left(e^{\lambda_a}\omega_b\right) \mapsto \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^n e^{\lambda_i}\omega_i\right)$$

In this way we represent  $Z_{HK}$  as *n*-fold integral over eigenvalues:

$$Z_{HK} = \int d^n \lambda \,\prod_{i < j} \frac{\lambda_i - \lambda_j}{\omega_i - \omega_j} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2t} \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^2 - \frac{2n-1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i - t \frac{n^3}{6} + t \frac{n}{24} + \sum_{i=1}^n e^{\lambda_i} \omega_i\right)$$
(4.33)

Eq. (4.31) and its eigenvalue representation (4.33) is the corrected form of the naive matrix model (4.9). This is an inspiring formula, with many ingredients parallel to intriguing observations about  $Z_{HK}$  in [14], but further discussion remains beyond the scope of the present paper. Instead we describe in the next subsection an alternative representation of  $Z_{HK}$  in the form of a *discrete* matrix model [5].

#### 4.4 Character expansion

On the space of all polynomials in variables  $p_k$ , the Hurwitz operator  $\hat{W}_0$  acts in a sophisticated way, by mixing different monomials. However, under this sophisticated action certain polynomials – naturally called eigenfunctions of  $\hat{W}_0$  – map into a multiple of themselves. Actually, eigenfunctions  $\chi_R$  are *characters* of irreducible representations of GL(n), labeled by their signatures

$$R = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_m), \quad \text{with } \lambda_1 \ge \lambda_2 \ge \dots \ge \lambda_m$$

also known as partitions or Young diagrams. In this section, we will use two classical formulas from the theory of characters.

The first formula defines the eigenvalues of the operator  $\hat{W}_0$ :

$$\hat{W}_0 \chi_R = C_R \chi_R, \qquad C_R = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i \left( \lambda_i - 2i + 1 \right)$$
(4.34)

$\chi_{\varnothing} = 1,$	$\hat{W}_0\chi_{arnothing}=0$
$\chi_1 = p_1,$	$\hat{W}_0\chi_1=0$
$\chi_2 = \frac{1}{2}p_2 + \frac{1}{2}p_1^2,$	$\hat{W}_0\chi_2 = +2\chi_2$
$\chi_{1,1} = -\frac{1}{2}p_2 + \frac{1}{2}p_1^2,$	$\hat{W}_0 \chi_{1,1} = -2\chi_{1,1}$
$\chi_3 = \frac{1}{3}p_3 + \frac{1}{2}p_2p_1 + \frac{1}{6}p_1^3,$	$\hat{W}_0\chi_3 = 6\chi_3$
$\chi_{2,1} = -\frac{1}{3}p_3 + \frac{1}{3}p_1^3,$	$\hat{W}_0\chi_{2,1}=0$
$\chi_{1,1,1} = \frac{1}{3}p_3 - \frac{1}{2}p_2p_1 + \frac{1}{6}p_1^3,$	$\hat{W}_0\chi_{1,1,1} = -6\chi_{1,1,1}$
$\chi_4 = \frac{1}{4}p_4 + \frac{1}{8}p_2^2 + \frac{1}{3}p_1p_3 + \frac{1}{4}p_2p_1^2 + \frac{1}{24}p_1^4,$	$\hat{W}_0\chi_4 = 12\chi_4$
$\chi_{3,1} = -\frac{1}{4}p_4 - \frac{1}{8}p_2^2 + \frac{1}{4}p_2p_1^2 + \frac{1}{8}p_1^4,$	$\hat{W}_0\chi_{3,1} = 4\chi_{3,1}$
$\chi_{2,2} = \frac{1}{4}p_2^2 - \frac{1}{3}p_1p_3 + \frac{1}{12}p_1^4,$	$\hat{W}_0\chi_{2,2}=0$
$\chi_{2,1,1} = \frac{1}{4}p_4 - \frac{1}{8}p_2^2 - \frac{1}{4}p_2p_1^2 + \frac{1}{8}p_1^4,$	$\hat{W}_0\chi_{2,1,1} = -4\chi_{2,1,1}$
$\chi_{1,1,1,1} = -\frac{1}{4}p_4 + \frac{1}{8}p_2^2 + \frac{1}{3}p_1p_3 - \frac{1}{4}p_2p_1^2 + \frac{1}{24}p_1^4,$	$\hat{W}_0\chi_{1,1,1,1} = -12\chi_{1,1,1,1}$

We give a proof of this eigenvalue formula in the appendix in s.4.5. The first several eigenfunctions are

The second formula states, that  $e^{p_1}$  is decomposed into eigenfunctions with the following coefficients:

$$e^{p_1} = \sum_R d_R \chi_R, \qquad d_R = \frac{\prod_{i< j=1}^m (\lambda_i - \lambda_j - i + j)}{\prod_{i=1}^m (\lambda_i + m - i)!}$$
 (4.35)

It is well known as the *hook formula* [22]. As we show in s.4.5, it is a particular case of more general Cauchy identity (4.38). Up to the simple n-dependent factors  $d_R$  is the dimension

of representation R of GL(n):

$$\dim_R = d_R \cdot \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{(n+\lambda_i-i)!}{(n-i)!}$$

The first several coefficients  $d_R$  and corresponding dimensions  $\dim_R$  are

$d_{\varnothing} =$	1,	$\dim_{\emptyset} =$	1
$d_1 =$	1,	$\dim_1 =$	n
$d_2 =$	$\frac{1}{2}$ ,	$\dim_2 =$	$\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$
$d_{1,1} =$	$\frac{1}{2}$ ,	$\dim_{1,1} =$	$\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$
$d_3 =$	$\frac{1}{6}$ ,	$\dim_3 =$	$\frac{n(n+1)(n+2)}{6}$
$d_{2,1} =$	$\frac{1}{3}$ ,	$\dim_{2,1} =$	$\frac{n(n^2-1)}{3}$
$d_{1,1,1} =$	$\frac{1}{6}$ ,	$\dim_{1,1,1} =$	$\frac{n(n-1)(n-2)}{6}$
$d_4 =$	$\frac{1}{24}$ ,	$\dim_4 =$	$\frac{n(n+1)(n+2)(n+3)}{24}$
$d_{3,1} =$	$\frac{1}{8}$ ,	$\dim_{3,1} =$	$\frac{n(n+2)(n^2-1)}{8}$
$d_{2,2} =$	$\frac{1}{12},$	$\dim_{2,2} =$	$\frac{n^2(n^2-1)}{12}$
$d_{2,1,1} =$	$\frac{1}{8}$ ,	$\dim_{2,1,1} =$	$\frac{n(n-2)(n^2-1)}{8}$
$d_{1,1,1,1} =$	$\frac{1}{24}$ ,	$\dim_{1,1,1,1} =$	$\frac{n(n-1)(n-2)(n-3)}{24}$

Eqs. (4.34) and (4.35) imply the following character expansion for  $Z_{HK}$ :

$$Z_{HK} = \sum_{R} e^{tC_R/2} d_R \chi_R \tag{4.36}$$

This sum over partitions, i.e. a sum over representations of GL(n), can be regarded as a *discrete matrix model* [5] and can be handled by the methods of [23]. Its equivalence to the matrix model (4.31) is somewhat obscure and will be discussed elsewhere. A few first terms of the character expansion (4.36) are

$$Z_{HK}(p|t) = 1 + \chi_1 + \frac{1}{2}e^t\chi_2 + \frac{1}{2}e^{-t}\chi_{11} + \frac{1}{6}e^{3t}\chi_3 + \frac{1}{3}\chi_{21} + \frac{1}{6}e^{-3t}\chi_{111} + \frac{1}{24}e^{6t}\chi_4 + \frac{1}{8}e^{2t}\chi_{31} + \frac{1}{12}\chi_{22} + \frac{1}{8}e^{-2t}\chi_{211} + \frac{1}{24}e^{-6t}\chi_{1111} + \dots$$

or directly through the time-variables

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{HK}(p|t) &= 1 + p_1 + \left(\frac{1}{4}e^t - \frac{1}{4}e^{-t}\right)p_2 + \left(\frac{1}{18}e^{-3t} - \frac{1}{9} + \frac{1}{18}e^{3t}\right)p_3 \\ &+ \left(\frac{1}{96}e^{6t} - \frac{1}{32}e^{2t} + \frac{1}{32}e^{-2t} - \frac{1}{96}e^{-6t}\right)p_4 + \left(\frac{1}{4}e^t + \frac{1}{4}e^{-t}\right)p_1^2 \\ &+ \left(\frac{1}{12}e^{3t} - \frac{1}{12}e^{-3t}\right)p_2p_1 + \left(\frac{1}{192}e^{6t} - \frac{1}{64}e^{2t} + \frac{1}{48} - \frac{1}{64}e^{-2t} + \frac{1}{192}e^{-6t}\right)p_2^2 \\ &+ \left(\frac{1}{72}e^{6t} - \frac{1}{36} + \frac{1}{72}e^{-6t}\right)p_3p_1 + \left(\frac{1}{36}e^{3t} + \frac{1}{9} + \frac{1}{36}e^{-3t}\right)p_1^3 \\ &+ \left(\frac{1}{96}e^{6t} + \frac{1}{32}e^{2t} - \frac{1}{32}e^{-2t} - \frac{1}{96}e^{-6t}\right)p_2p_1^2 \\ &+ \left(\frac{1}{576}e^{6t} + \frac{1}{64}e^{2t} + \frac{1}{144} + \frac{1}{64}e^{-2t} + \frac{1}{576}e^{-6t}\right)p_1^4 + \dots \end{aligned}$$

Note, that in this way we obtain a series in p-variables, but summed in all orders of the t-variable.

## 4.5 Appendix: GL(n) characters as eigenfunctions of $\hat{W}_0$

In this review section our starting point is the free-fermion Wick theorem [5, 24],

$$\langle \prod_{i=1}^{m} \tilde{\psi}(x_i) \prod_{i=1}^{m} \psi(y_i) \rangle = \det_{i,j} \langle \tilde{\psi}(x_i) \psi(y_j) \rangle$$

– a generalized form of the Fay identity, KP-equations and Shottky relations,– which in the flat coordinates on the Riemann sphere reduces to the elementary Cauchy identity:

$$\Delta(x)\Delta(y)\prod_{i,j}\frac{1}{x_i-y_j} = \det_{i,j}\frac{1}{x_i-y_j},$$

where  $\Delta(x) = \prod_{i < j} (x_i - x_j)$ . Equivalently, it can be written as

$$\prod_{i,j} \frac{1}{1 - x_i y_j} = \frac{1}{\Delta(x)\Delta(y)} \det_{i,j} \frac{1}{1 - x_i y_j}$$
(4.37)

The series expansion of the right hand side has the form

$$\frac{1}{\Delta(x)\Delta(y)} \det_{i,j} \frac{1}{1 - x_i y_j} = \sum_R \chi_R \widetilde{\chi}_R$$

where sum goes over irreducible representations  $R = (\lambda_1 \ge \lambda_2 \ge \dots \ge \lambda_m)$  of GL(n), and

$$\chi_R = \chi_{\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_m} = \frac{\det_{m \times m} \left( x_j^{\lambda_i + m - i} \right)}{\det_{m \times m} \left( x_j^{m - i} \right)}, \qquad \widetilde{\chi}_R = \widetilde{\chi}_{\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_m} = \frac{\det_{m \times m} \left( y_j^{\lambda_i + m - i} \right)}{\det_{m \times m} \left( y_j^{m - i} \right)}$$

are the characters. Since characters are symmetric functions in  $x_i$  and  $y_j$ , by inverse Miwa transform they can be expressed through the time variables  $a_k = x_1^k + \ldots + x_m^k$  and  $b_k = y_1^k + \ldots + y_m^k$  (as in [14] we use the time-variables which differ from conventional ones,  $t_k$ , by a k-factor:  $p_k = kt_k$ ). Expressed through  $a_k$  and  $b_k$ , (4.37) takes the form

$$\exp\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} a_k b_k\right) = \sum_{\lambda_1 \ge \lambda_2 \ge \dots \ge \lambda_m} \chi_{\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_m}(a) \chi_{\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_m}(b) = \sum_R \chi_R(a) \chi_R(b)$$
(4.38)

In this form, it is also known as Cauchy identity. In particular case of  $a_k = \delta_{k,1}$ , we recover (4.35):

$$\exp(b_1) = \sum_R \chi_R(\delta_{k,1})\chi_R(b)$$
(4.39)

where coefficients  $d_R$  are given by characters

$$d_R = \chi_R(\delta_{k,1}) = \frac{\prod_{i< j=1}^m (\lambda_i - \lambda_j - i + j)}{\prod_{i=1}^m (\lambda_i + m - i)!}$$

The last equality requires a straightforward algebraic verification, which we do not include in this paper.

Apart from the two forms of Cauchy identity, a lot of equally explicit formulas are known for characters, see, for example, [3, 4, 22]. However, Cauchy identity is quite enough for our purpose. If we put  $a_k = x_1^k + \ldots + x_m^k$  and  $b_k = p_k$ , then (4.38) turns into a "generating function" for *m*-index characters w.r.t to the variables  $x_1, \ldots, x_m$ :

$$\chi(x_1, \dots, x_m) = \exp\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{x_1^k + \dots + x_m^k}{k} p_k\right)$$
$$= \sum_{\lambda_1 \ge \lambda_2 \ge \dots \ge \lambda_m} \frac{\det_{m \times m} \left(x_j^{\lambda_i + m - i}\right)}{\det_{m \times m} \left(x_j^{m - i}\right)} \chi_{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m}(p)$$
(4.40)

The simplest generating function corresponds to m = 1

$$\chi(x) = \exp\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^k}{k} p_k\right) = \sum_k x^k \chi_k$$

and the next-to-simplest corresponds to m = 2:

$$\chi(x,y) = \exp\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^k + y^k}{k} p_k\right) = \sum_{k \ge l} \left(\frac{x^{k+1}y^l - x^l y^{k+1}}{x - y}\right) \chi_{k,l}$$

Using these generating functions as a definition of polynomials  $\chi_{\lambda_1,...,\lambda_m}$ , we will now prove, that they are indeed eigenfunctions of the Hurwitz operator. Notice, that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial p_a}\chi(x_1,\ldots,x_m) = \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{x_i^a}{a} \,\chi(x_1,\ldots,x_m)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\chi(x_1,\ldots,x_m) = \sum_{a=1}^{\infty} p_a x_i^{a-1} \ \chi(x_1,\ldots,x_m)$$

Therefore

$$\hat{W}_{0} \chi(x_{1}, \dots, x_{m}) = \left(\sum_{a,b=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_{a} p_{b} x_{i}^{a+b} + \sum_{a,b=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i,j=1}^{m} p_{a+b} x_{i}^{a} x_{j}^{b}\right) \chi(x_{1}, \dots, x_{m}) \quad (4.41)$$
$$= \left(\sum_{a,b=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_{a} p_{b} x_{i}^{a+b} + \sum_{a,b=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i,j=1}^{m} p_{a+b} x_{i}^{a} x_{j}^{b}\right) \chi(x_{1}, \dots, x_{m}) \quad (4.41)$$

$$= \left(\sum_{a,b=1}^{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_a p_b x_i^{-1} + \sum_{s=2}^{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (s-1) p_s x_i^{-1} + \sum_{s=2}^{m} \sum_{i\neq j}^{m} \frac{p_s (x_1, \dots, x_m)}{x_i - x_j}\right)^{-1} \chi(x_1, \dots, x_m)$$
$$= \left[\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} + \sum_{i\neq j} \frac{x_i x_j}{x_i - x_j} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}\right)\right] \chi(x_1, \dots, x_m)$$

We have just proved, that generating functions satisfy

$$\hat{W}_0 \ \chi(x_1, \dots, x_m) = \left[ \sum_{i=1}^m x_i^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} + \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{x_i x_j}{x_i - x_j} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right) \right] \ \chi(x_1, \dots, x_m)$$
(4.42)

The operator on the right hand side is a Hamiltonian of Calogero-type dynamical system. The first term in square brackets is the kinetic energy, while the second term represents interaction. Instead of diagonalizing  $\hat{W}_0$ , we can do it for this Hamiltonian, which is much simpler. Let us show, that

$$\left[\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} + \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{x_i x_j}{x_i - x_j} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}\right)\right] \frac{\det_{m \times m} \left(x_j^{\lambda_i + m - i}\right)}{\det_{m \times m} \left(x_j^{m - i}\right)} = C_{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m} \frac{\det_{m \times m} \left(x_j^{\lambda_i + m - i}\right)}{\det_{m \times m} \left(x_j^{m - i}\right)} \quad (4.43)$$

where  $C_{\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_m}$  is a number (does not depend on x). This is obvious for m = 1

$$x^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} x^k = (k^2 - k) x^k$$

and almost obvious for m = 2:

$$\left[ x^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + y^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} + \frac{2xy}{x-y} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \right] \left( \frac{x^{k+1}y^l - x^l y^{k+1}}{x-y} \right)$$
$$= \left( k^2 + l^2 - k - 3l \right) \left( \frac{x^{k+1}y^l - x^l y^{k+1}}{x-y} \right) (4.44)$$

For higher m, the proof is a straightforward algebraic exercise, which we present here in full detail. To begin with, we show that both  $\Delta = \det(x_j^{m-i})$  and  $\widetilde{\Delta} = \det(x_j^{\lambda_i+m-i})$  are eigenfunctions of kinetic energy. Indeed, making use of explicit formulas for both determinants

$$\widetilde{\Delta} = \det_{m \times m} \left( x_j^{\lambda_i + m - i} \right) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_m} (-1)^{|\sigma|} x_1^{\lambda_{\sigma_1} + m - \sigma_1} \dots x_m^{\lambda_{\sigma_m} + m - \sigma_m}$$

and

$$\Delta = \det_{m \times m} \left( x_j^{m-i} \right) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_m} (-1)^{|\sigma|} x_1^{m-\sigma_1} \dots x_m^{m-\sigma_m}$$

we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i^2 \frac{\partial^2 \widetilde{\Delta}}{\partial x_i^2} = \sum_{\sigma \in S_m} (-1)^{|\sigma|} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left( \lambda_{\sigma_i} + m - \sigma_i \right) \left( \lambda_{\sigma_i} + m - \sigma_i - 1 \right) x_1^{\lambda_{\sigma_1} + m - \sigma_1} \dots x_m^{\lambda_{\sigma_m} + m - \sigma_m}$$

The sum over i, which appears in the right hand side, is invariant under permutations and factors out:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i^2 \frac{\partial^2 \widetilde{\Delta}}{\partial x_i^2} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left(\lambda_i + m - i\right) \left(\lambda_i + m - i - 1\right) \widetilde{\Delta}$$

Similarly

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i^2 \frac{\partial^2 \Delta}{\partial x_i^2} = \sum_{\sigma \in S_m} (-1)^{|\sigma|} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (m - \sigma_i) (m - \sigma_i - 1) x_1^{m - \sigma_1} \dots x_m^{m - \sigma_m}$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{m} (m - i) (m - i - 1) \Delta$$
(4.45)

So  $\Delta$  and  $\widetilde{\Delta}$  are eigenfunctions of kinetic energy. By straightforward differentiation, we obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} \left( \frac{\widetilde{\Delta}}{\Delta} \right) = \frac{1}{\Delta} \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i^2 \frac{\partial^2 \widetilde{\Delta}}{\partial x_i^2} - \frac{\widetilde{\Delta}}{\Delta^2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i^2 \frac{\partial^2 \Delta}{\partial x_i^2} - \frac{2}{\Delta^2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i^2 \frac{\partial \widetilde{\Delta}}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial x_i} + \frac{2}{\Delta^3} \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i^2 \widetilde{\Delta} \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial x_i} - \frac{2}{\Delta^2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (\lambda_i + 2m - 2i - 1) \frac{\widetilde{\Delta}}{\Delta} - \frac{2}{\Delta^2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i^2 \frac{\partial \widetilde{\Delta}}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial x_i} + \frac{2}{\Delta^3} \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i^2 \widetilde{\Delta} \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial x_i} + \frac{2}{\Delta^3} \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i^2 \widetilde{\Delta} \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial x_i} + \frac{2}{\Delta^3} \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i^2 \widetilde{\Delta} \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial x_i} + \frac{2}{\Delta^3} \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i^2 \widetilde{\Delta} \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial x_i} + \frac{2}{\Delta^3} \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i^2 \widetilde{\Delta} \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial x_i} + \frac{2}{\Delta^3} \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i^2 \widetilde{\Delta} \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial x_i} + \frac{2}{\Delta^3} \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i^2 \widetilde{\Delta} \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial x_i} + \frac{2}{\Delta^3} \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i^2 \widetilde{\Delta} \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial x_i} + \frac{2}{\Delta^3} \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i^2 \widetilde{\Delta} \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial x_i} + \frac{2}{\Delta^3} \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i^2 \widetilde{\Delta} \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial x_i} + \frac{2}{\Delta^3} \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i^2 \widetilde{\Delta} \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial x_i} + \frac{2}{\Delta^3} \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i^2 \widetilde{\Delta} \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial x_i} + \frac{2}{\Delta^3} \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i^2 \widetilde{\Delta} \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial x_i} + \frac{2}{\Delta^3} \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i^2 \widetilde{\Delta} \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial x_i} + \frac{2}{\Delta^3} \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i^2 \widetilde{\Delta} \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial x_i} + \frac{2}{\Delta^3} \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i^2 \widetilde{\Delta} \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial x_i} + \frac{2}{\Delta^3} \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i^2 \widetilde{\Delta} \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial x_i} + \frac{2}{\Delta^3} \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i^2 \widetilde{\Delta} \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial x_i} + \frac{2}{\Delta^3} \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i^2 \widetilde{\Delta} \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial x_i} + \frac{2}{\Delta^3} \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i^2 \widetilde{\Delta} \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial x_i} + \frac{2}{\Delta^3} \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i^2 \widetilde{\Delta} \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial x_i} + \frac{2}{\Delta^3} \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i^2 \widetilde{\Delta} \frac$$

Including interactions and considering the full Hamiltonian, we obtain

$$\left[\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} + \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{x_i x_j}{x_i - x_j} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}\right)\right] \frac{\widetilde{\Delta}}{\Delta} - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i \left(\lambda_i + 2m - 2i - 1\right) \frac{\widetilde{\Delta}}{\Delta} =$$
(4.47)
$$= \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{2x_i x_j}{x_i - x_j} \left(\frac{1}{\Delta} \frac{\partial \widetilde{\Delta}}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\widetilde{\Delta}}{\Delta^2} \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial x_i}\right) - \frac{2}{\Delta^2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i^2 \frac{\partial \widetilde{\Delta}}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial x_i} + \frac{2}{\Delta^3} \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i^2 \widetilde{\Delta} \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial x_i}$$

The sum over j is easily evaluated

$$\sum_{j \neq i} \frac{2x_i x_j}{x_i - x_j} = x_i \left( -2m + 2 + \frac{2x_i}{\Delta} \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial x_i} \right)$$

and, after cancelation of terms, we obtain

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} + \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{x_i x_j}{x_i - x_j} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right) \right| \quad \frac{\widetilde{\Delta}}{\Delta} - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i \left( \lambda_i + 2m - 2i - 1 \right) \frac{\widetilde{\Delta}}{\Delta}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i \left( -2m + 2 + \frac{2x_i}{\Delta} \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial x_i} \right) \left( \frac{1}{\Delta} \frac{\partial \widetilde{\Delta}}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\widetilde{\Delta}}{\Delta^2} \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial x_i} \right)$$

$$- \frac{2}{\Delta^2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i^2 \frac{\partial \widetilde{\Delta}}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial x_i} + \frac{2}{\Delta^3} \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i^2 \widetilde{\Delta} \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial x_i} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i \left( -2m + 2 \right) \left( \frac{1}{\Delta} \frac{\partial \widetilde{\Delta}}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\widetilde{\Delta}}{\Delta^2} \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial x_i} \right) = \left( -2m + 2 \right) \left( \operatorname{deg} \widetilde{\Delta} - \operatorname{deg} \Delta \right) \quad \frac{\widetilde{\Delta}}{\Delta}$$

$$(4.48)$$

By definition, deg  $\widetilde{\Delta} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} (\lambda_i + i - 1)$  and deg  $\Delta = \sum_{i=1}^{m} (i - 1)$ . So, we have proved the identity

$$\left[\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} + \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{x_i x_j}{x_i - x_j} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}\right)\right] \quad \frac{\widetilde{\Delta}}{\Delta} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i \left(\lambda_i - 2i + 1\right) \frac{\widetilde{\Delta}}{\Delta} \tag{4.49}$$

thus diagonalizing this Hamiltonian. At the same time, via Cauchy identity, we have proved the dual result

$$\sum_{a,b=1}^{\infty} \left( (a+b)p_a p_b \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{a+b}} + abp_{a+b} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial p_a \partial p_b} \right) \chi_{\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_m}(p) = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i \left( \lambda_i - 2i + 1 \right) \chi_{\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_m}(p)$$

$$\tag{4.50}$$

thus diagonalizing the  $W_0^{(3)}$  operator with quadratic eigenvalues

$$C_{\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_m} = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i (\lambda_i - 2i + 1)$$
(4.51)

Above calculation is somewhat tedious, but important: it shows, that the  $\hat{W}_0^{(3)}$  "cut-andjoin" operator, defining the generating function of Hurwitz numbers, can be rewritten as a Calogero-type Hamiltonian of multi-particle system in 1d with coordinates  $x_i$  and pairwise interactions between particles.

## 5 Conclusion

In this paper we considered three formulas, relating the two *a priori* unrelated kinds of objects: partition functions of the matrix models and generators of the *W*-algebra.

Eq. (3.1) provides a one more representation for Hermitian matrix model — the main personage of all matrix-model studies.

Eq. (2.9) describes a much less investigated version of the same model, with extra background field  $\psi$ . The two *W*-operators in the two formulas (2.9) and (3.1) act on the two conjugate sets of time-variables in Hermitian model. It would be interesting to extend these expressions to non-Gaussian, say, DV phases of the theory.

Finally, eqs. (4.1) and (4.31) provide an inspiring matrix-model representation for the Hurwitz-Kontsevich tau-function — a recently discovered new link between combinatorics and integrability theory. It would be very interesting to explicitly describe the intriguingly sophisticated Virasoro constraints for this partition function as the Ward identities for this matrix model.

## Acknowledgments

We are indebted to A.Mironov for important comments. Our work is partly supported by Russian Federal Nuclear Energy Agency and the Russian President's Grant of Support for the Scientific Schools NSh-3035.2008.2, by RFBR grant 07-02-00645, by the joint grants 09-01-92440-CE, 09-02-91005-ANF, 09-02-93105-CNRS and by the NWO project 047.011.2004.026. The work of Sh.Shakirov is also supported in part by the Moebius Contest Foundation for Young Scientists and by the Dynasty Foundation.

## References

[1]	E. Brézin, C. Itzykson, G. Parisi and J.B. Zuber, <i>Planar diagrams</i> ,
	Commun. Math. Phys. 59 (1978) 35 [SPIRES];
	D. Bessis, A new method in the combinatorics of the topological expansion,
	Commun. Math. Phys. 69 (1979) 147 [SPIRES];
	D. Bessis, C. Itzykson and J.B. Zuber, Quantum field theory techniques in graphical
	enumeration, Adv. Appl. Math. 1 (1980) 109;
	M.L. Mehta, A method of integration over matrix variables,
	Commun. Math. Phys. 79 (1981) 327 [SPIRES]; Random matrices, 2 <sup>nd</sup> edition, Acad. Press.,
	New York U.S.A. (1991);
	D. Bessis, C. Itzykson and J.B. Zuber, Quantum field theory techniques in graphical
	enumeration, Adv. Appl. Math. 1 (1980) 109;
	A.A. Migdal, Loop equations and 1/N expansion, Phys. Rept. <b>102</b> (1983) 199 [SPIRES];
	F. David, Planar diagrams, two-dimensional lattice gravity and surface models,
	<i>Nucl. Phys.</i> <b>B 257</b> (1985) 45 [SPIRES];
	$ J. \ Ambjørn, B. \ Durhuus \ and \ J. \ Fr\"{o}hlich, \ Diseases \ of \ triangulated \ random \ surface \ models \ and$
	possible cures, Nucl. Phys. <b>B 257</b> (1985) 433 [SPIRES];
	V.A. Kazakov, I.K. Kostov and A.A. Migdal, Critical properties of randomly triangulated
	planar random surfaces, Phys. Lett. B 157 (1985) 295 [SPIRES];
	D.V. Boulatov, V.A. Kazakov, A.A. Migdal and I.K. Kostov, Possible types of critical
	behavior and the mean size of dynamically triangulated random surfaces,
	Phys. Lett. B 174 (1986) 87 [SPIRES]; Analytical and numerical study of the model of
	dynamically triangulated random surfaces, Nucl. Phys. <b>B 275</b> (1986) 641 [SPIRES];
	V.A. Kazakov, Ising model on a dynamical planar random lattice: exact solution,
	<i>Phys. Lett.</i> A <b>119</b> (1986) 140 [SPIRES]; <i>The appearance of matter fields from quantum</i>
	fluctuations of 2D gravity, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 4 (1989) 2125 [SPIRES];

E. Brezin and V. Kazakov, *Exactly solvable field theories of closed strings*, *Phys. Lett.* **B 236** (1990) 144 [SPIRES];

D.J. Gross and A.A. Migdal, Nonperturbative two-dimensional quantum gravity, Phys. Rev. Lett. **64** (1990) 127 [SPIRES]; A nonperturbative treatment of two-dimensional quantum gravity, Nucl. Phys. **B 340** (1990) 333 [SPIRES];

A. Mironov and A. Morozov, On the origin of Virasoro constraints in matrix models: lagrangian approach, Phys. Lett. **B 252** (1990) 47 [SPIRES];

F. David, Loop equations and nonperturbative effects in two-dimensional quantum gravity, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 5 (1990) 1019 [SPIRES];

J. Ambjørn and Y.M. Makeenko, Properties of loop equations for the hermitean matrix model and for two-dimensional quantum gravity, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 5 (1990) 1753 [SPIRES];

L. Alvarez-Gaume, *Random surfaces, statistical mechanics, and string theory*, Lausanne lectures, Lausanne Switzerland (1990);

A. Levin and A. Morozov, On the foundations of the random lattice approach to quantum gravity, Phys. Lett. **B 243** (1990) 207 [SPIRES];

H. Itoyama and Y. Matsuo, Noncritical Virasoro algebra of D < 1 matrix model and quantized string field, Phys. Lett. **B 255** (1991) 202 [SPIRES];

P.H. Ginsparg, *Matrix models of 2D gravity*, hep-th/9112013 [SPIRES];

A. Marshakov, A. Mironov and A. Morozov, On equivalence of topological and quantum 2D gravity, Phys. Lett. B 274 (1992) 280 [hep-th/9201011] [SPIRES];

V.A. Kazakov and A.A. Migdal, *Induced QCD at large-N*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 397** (1993) 214 [hep-th/9206015] [SPIRES];

P. Di Francesco and C. Itzykson, A generating function for fatgraphs, Ann. Poincaré 59 (1993) 117 [hep-th/9212108] [SPIRES];

J.M. Daul, V.A. Kazakov and I.K. Kostov, *Rational theories of 2D gravity from the two matrix model*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 409** (1993) 311 [hep-th/9303093] [SPIRES];

M. Staudacher, Combinatorial solution of the two matrix model,

Phys. Lett. B 305 (1993) 332 [hep-th/9301038] [SPIRES];

J. Ambjørn, L. Chekhov, C.F. Kristjansen and Y. Makeenko, *Matrix model calculations beyond the spherical limit*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 404** (1993) 127 [Erratum ibid. **B 449** (1995) 681] [hep-th/9302014] [SPIRES];

P. Di Francesco, P.H. Ginsparg and J. Zinn-Justin, 2D gravity and random matrices, Phys. Rept. 254 (1995) 1 [hep-th/9306153] [SPIRES];

S. Kharchev, A. Marshakov, A. Mironov and A. Morozov, *Generalized Kazakov-Migdal-Kontsevich model: group theory aspects*,

Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 10 (1995) 2015 [hep-th/9312210] [SPIRES];

B. Eynard, Large random matrices: eigenvalue distribution, hep-th/9401165 [SPIRES];

V.A. Kazakov, M. Staudacher and T. Wynter, *Character expansion methods for matrix models of dually weighted graphs*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **177** (1996) 451 [hep-th/9502132] [SPIRES];

M. Adler, A. Morozov, T. Shiota and P. van Moerbeke, New matrix model solutions to the Kac-Schwarz problem, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. 49 (1996) 201 [hep-th/9603066] [SPIRES];
G. Akemann, Higher genus correlators for the Hermitian matrix model with multiple cuts, Nucl. Phys. B 482 (1996) 403 [hep-th/9606004] [SPIRES];

G. Akemann, P.H. Damgaard, U. Magnea and S. Nishigaki, Universality of random matrices in the microscopic limit and the Dirac operator spectrum, Nucl. Phys. **B** 487 (1997) 721 [hep-th/9609174] [SPIRES]; Multicritical microscopic spectral correlators of Hermitian and complex matrices, Nucl. Phys. **B** 519 (1998) 682 [hep-th/9712006] [SPIRES]; A. Marshakov, M. Martellini and A. Morozov, Insights and puzzles from branes: 4D SUSY Yang-Mills from 6D models, Phys. Lett. B 418 (1998) 294 [hep-th/9706050] [SPIRES];
T. Guhr, A. Müller-Groeling and H.A. Weidennuller, Random matrix theories in quantum

physics: common concepts, Phys. Rept. **299** (1998) 189 [cond-mat/9707301] [SPIRES]; V.A. Kazakov, I.K. Kostov and N.A. Nekrasov, D-particles, matrix integrals and KP

hierarchy, Nucl. Phys. B 557 (1999) 413 [hep-th/9810035] [SPIRES];

B. Eynard, Random matrices (2000), http://www-spht.cea.fr/articles\_k2/t01/014/publi.pdf;
P.J. Forrester, N.C. Snaith and J.J.M. Verbaarschot, Developments in random matrix theory,
J. Phys. A 36 (2003) R1 [cond-mat/0303207] [SPIRES];

S.Y. Alexandrov, V.A. Kazakov and D. Kutasov, *Non-perturbative effects in matrix models and D-branes*, *JHEP* **09** (2003) 057 [hep-th/0306177] [SPIRES];

V.A. Kazakov and I.K. Kostov, Instantons in non-critical strings from the two-matrix model, hep-th/0403152 [SPIRES];

G. Akemann, Y.V. Fyodorov and G. Vernizzi, On matrix model partition functions for QCD with chemical potential, Nucl. Phys. B 694 (2004) 59 [hep-th/0404063] [SPIRES];

A. Morozov, *Challenges of matrix models*, hep-th/0502010 [SPIRES];

J. Harnad and A.Y. Orlov, Fermionic construction of  $\tau$  functions and random processes, arXiv:0704.1157 [SPIRES].

[2] A. Gerasimov, A. Marshakov, A. Mironov, A. Morozov and A. Orlov, Matrix models of two-dimensional gravity and Toda theory, Nucl. Phys. B 357 (1991) 618;
Y. Makeenko, A. Marshakov, A. Mironov and A. Morozov, Continuum versus discrete Virasoro in one matrix models, Nucl. Phys. B 356 (1991) 574 [SPIRES];
S. Kharchev, A. Marshakov, A. Mironov and A. Morozov, Generalized Kontsevich model versus Toda hierarchy and discrete matrix models, Nucl. Phys. B 397 (1993) 339 [hep-th/9203043] [SPIRES];

S. Kharchev, A. Marshakov, A. Mironov, A. Morozov and S. Pakuliak, *Conformal matrix models as an alternative to conventional multimatrix models*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 404** (1993) 717 [hep-th/9208044] [SPIRES].

 [3] M. Kontsevich, Intersection theory on the moduli space of curves, Funkts. Anal. Prilozh. 25
 2 (1991) 50; Intersection theory on the moduli space of curves and the matrix Airy function, Commun. Math. Phys. 147 (1992) 1 [SPIRES];

S. Kharchev, A. Marshakov, A. Mironov, A. Morozov and A. Zabrodin, Unification of all string models with C < 1, Phys. Lett. **B 275** (1992) 311 [hep-th/9111037] [SPIRES]; Towards unified theory of 2D gravity, Nucl. Phys. **B 380** (1992) 181 [hep-th/9201013] [SPIRES];

M. Adler and P. van Moerbeke, A matrix integral solution to two-dimensional W(p) gravity, Commun. Math. Phys. 147 (1992) 25 [SPIRES];

P. Di Francesco, C. Itzykson and J.B. Zuber, *Polynomial averages in the Kontsevich model*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **151** (1993) 193 [hep-th/9206090] [SPIRES].

 [4] Harish-Chandra, Differential operators on a semi-simple Lie algebra, Amer. J. Math. 79 (1957) 87;

C. Itzykson and J.B. Zuber, *The planar approximation*. 2, *J. Math. Phys.* **21** (1980) 411 [SPIRES];

J. Duistermaat and G. Heckman, On the variation in the cohomology of the symplectic form of the reduced phase sapee, Invent. Math. 69 (1982) 259;

P. Di Francesco, 2D quantum gravity, matrix models and graph combinatorics, math-ph/0406013 [SPIRES];

A. Hietamaki, A.Y. Morozov, A.J. Niemi and K. Palo, Geometry of N = 1/2 supersymmetry and the Atiyah-Singer index theorem, Phys. Lett. **B 263** (1991) 417 [SPIRES];

A.Y. Morozov, A. Niemi and K. Palo, Supersymplectic geometry of supersymmetric quantum field theories, Nucl. Phys. B 377 (1992) 295 [SPIRES];

- M.J. Bowick, A. Morozov and D. Shevitz, *Reduced unitary matrix models and the hierarchy* of  $\tau$  functions, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 354** (1991) 496 [SPIRES];
- I.I. Kogan, A. Morozov, G.W. Semenoff and N. Weiss, Area law and continuum limit in 'induced QCD', Nucl. Phys. B 395 (1993) 547 [hep-th/9208012] [SPIRES]; Continuum
- limits of 'induced QCD': lessons of the gaussian model at D = 1 and beyond, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 8 (1993) 1411 [hep-th/9208054] [SPIRES];

A. Morozov, *Pair correlator in the Itzykson-Zuber integral*, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* A 7 (1992) 3503 [hep-th/9209074] [SPIRES];

S.L. Shatashvili, Correlation functions in the Itzykson-Zuber model,

Commun. Math. Phys. 154 (1993) 421 [hep-th/9209083] [SPIRES];

A. Mironov, A. Morozov and G.W. Semenoff, Unitary matrix integrals in the framework of generalized Kontsevich model. 1. Brezin-Gross-Witten model,

Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 11 (1996) 5031 [hep-th/9404005] [SPIRES];

B. Eynard, A short note about Morozov's formula, math-ph/0406063 [SPIRES];
A.P. Ferrer, B. Eynard, P. Di Francesco and J.B. Zuber, Correlation functions of Harish-Chandra integrals over the orthogonal and the symplectic groups, J. Stat. Phys. 129 (2009) 885 [math-ph/0610049] [SPIRES];
M. Bergere and B. Eynard, Some properties of angular integrals, arXiv:0805.4482

M. Bergere and B. Eynard, Some properties of angular integrals, arXiv:0805.4482 [SPIRES].

- [5] A. Morozov, Integrability and matrix models, Phys. Usp. 37 (1994) 1 [hep-th/9303139]
  [SPIRES]; Matrix models as integrable systems, hep-th/9502091 [SPIRES];
  A. Mironov, Matrix models vs. matrix integrals, Theor. Math. Phys. 146 (2006) 63 [Teor. Mat. Fiz. 146 (2006) 77] [hep-th/0506158] [SPIRES].
- [6] R. Dijkgraaf and C. Vafa, Matrix models, topological strings and supersymmetric gauge theories, Nucl. Phys. B 644 (2002) 3 [hep-th/0206255] [SPIRES]; On geometry and matrix models, Nucl. Phys. B 644 (2002) 21 [hep-th/0207106] [SPIRES]; A perturbative window into non-perturbative physics, hep-th/0208048 [SPIRES];

L. Chekhov and A. Mironov, *Matrix models vs. Seiberg-Witten/Whitham theories*, *Phys. Lett.* **B 552** (2003) 293 [hep-th/0209085] [SPIRES];

R. Dijkgraaf, S. Gukov, V. Kazakov and C. Vafa, Analysis of gauged matrix models, Phys. Rev. D 68 (2003) 045007 [hep-th/0210238] [SPIRES];

V. Kazakov and A. Marshakov, Complex curve of the two matrix model and its Tau-function, J. Phys. A 36 (2003) 3107 [hep-th/0211236] [SPIRES];

H. Itoyama and A. Morozov, The Dijkgraaf-Vafa prepotential in the context of general Seiberg-Witten theory, Nucl. Phys. B 657 (2003) 53 [hep-th/0211245]; Experiments with the WDVV equations for the gluino-condensate prepotential: the cubic (two-cut) case,

*Phys. Lett.* **B 555** (2003) 287 [hep-th/0211259] [SPIRES]; *Calculating gluino condensate prepotential, Prog. Theor. Phys.* **109** (2003) 433 [hep-th/0212032] [SPIRES];

Gluino-condensate (CIV-DV) prepotential from its Whitham-time derivatives,

Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 18 (2003) 5889 [hep-th/0301136] [SPIRES];

S.G. Naculich, H.J. Schnitzer and N. Wyllard, Matrix model approach to the N = 2 U(N) gauge theory with matter in the fundamental representation, JHEP **01** (2003) 015 [hep-th/0211254] [SPIRES];

- B. Feng, Geometric dual and matrix theory for SO/Sp gauge theories, Nucl. Phys. B 661 (2003) 113 [hep-th/0212010] [SPIRES];
- I. Bena, S. de Haro and R. Roiban, Generalized Yukawa couplings and matrix models, Nucl. Phys. B 664 (2003) 45 [hep-th/0212083] [SPIRES];
- C.-H. Ahn, Supersymmetric SO(N)/Sp(N) gauge theory from matrix model: exact mesonic vacua, Phys. Lett. **B 560** (2003) 116 [hep-th/0301011] [SPIRES];
- L. Chekhov, A. Marshakov, A. Mironov and D. Vasiliev, DV and WDVV,
- *Phys. Lett.* **B 562** (2003) 323 [hep-th/0301071] [SPIRES]; *Complex geometry of matrix models*, hep-th/0506075 [SPIRES];
- A. Dymarsky and V. Pestun, On the property of Cachazo-Intriligator-Vafa prepotential at the extremum of the superpotential, Phys. Rev. D 67 (2003) 125001 [hep-th/0301135] [SPIRES];
  Y. Ookouchi and Y. Watabiki, Effective superpotentials for SO/Sp with flavor from matrix models, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 18 (2003) 1113 [hep-th/0301226] [SPIRES];
- H. Itoyama and H. Kanno, Supereigenvalue model and Dijkgraaf-Vafa proposal, Phys. Lett. B 573 (2003) 227 [hep-th/0304184] [SPIRES]; Whitham prepotential and
- superpotential, Nucl. Phys. B 686 (2004) 155 [hep-th/0312306] [SPIRES];

M. Matone and L. Mazzucato, Branched matrix models and the scales of supersymmetric gauge theories, JHEP 07 (2003) 015 [hep-th/0305225] [SPIRES];

R. Argurio, G. Ferretti and R. Heise, An introduction to supersymmetric gauge theories and matrix models, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 19 (2004) 2015 [hep-th/0311066] [SPIRES];

M. Gomez-Reino, *Exact superpotentials, theories with flavor and confining vacua*, *JHEP* **06** (2004) 051 [hep-th/0405242] [SPIRES];

K. Fujiwara, H. Itoyama and M. Sakaguchi, Supersymmetric U(N) gauge model and partial breaking of N = 2 supersymmetry, Prog. Theor. Phys. **113** (2005) 429 [hep-th/0409060]

[SPIRES]; Partial breaking of N = 2 supersymmetry and of gauge symmetry in the U(N) gauge model, Nucl. Phys. B 723 (2005) 33 [hep-th/0503113] [SPIRES]; Supersymmetric U(N) gauge model and partial breaking of N = 2 supersymmetry,

Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. 164 (2007) 125 [hep-th/0602267] [SPIRES];

S. Aoyama, The disc amplitude of the Dijkgraaf-Vafa theory: 1/N expansion vs complex curve analysis, JHEP 10 (2005) 032 [hep-th/0504162] [SPIRES];
D. Berenstein and S. Pinansky, Counting conifolds and Dijkgraaf-Vafa matrix models for three matrices, hep-th/0602294 [SPIRES].

- [7] T.J. Hollowood, A. Iqbal and C. Vafa, *Matrix models, geometric engineering and elliptic genera*, *JHEP* **03** (2008) 069 [hep-th/0310272] [SPIRES].
- [8] A. Zabrodin, New applications of non-Hermitian random matrices, Annales Henri Poincaré 4 (2003) S851 [cond-mat/0210331] [SPIRES];
  P. Wiegmann and A. Zabrodin, Large-N expansion for normal and complex matrix ensembles, hep-th/0309253 [SPIRES];
  R. Teodorescu, E. Bettelheim, O. Agam, A. Zabrodin and P. Wiegmann, Normal random matrix ensemble as a growth problem: evolution of the spectral curve, Nucl. Phys. B 704 (2005) 407 [hep-th/0401165] [SPIRES].
- [9] A. Marshakov and N. Nekrasov, Extended Seiberg-Witten theory and integrable hierarchy, JHEP 01 (2007) 104 [hep-th/0612019] [SPIRES].
- [10] A.S. Alexandrov, A. Mironov and A. Morozov, Partition functions of matrix models as the first special functions of string theory. I: finite size Hermitean 1-matrix model, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 19 (2004) 4127 [Teor. Mat. Fiz. 142 (2005) 419] [hep-th/0310113]

[SPIRES]; Unified description of correlators in non-Gaussian phases of Hermitean matrix model, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 21 (2006) 2481 [hep-th/0412099] [SPIRES]; Solving Virasoro constraints in matrix models, Fortsch. Phys. 53 (2005) 512 [hep-th/0412205] [SPIRES];
A. Alexandrov, A. Mironov, A. Morozov and P. Putrov, Partition functions of matrix models as the first special functions of string theory. II. Kontsevich model, arXiv:0811.2825
[SPIRES].

B. Eynard, Topological expansion for the 1-hermitian matrix model correlation functions, JHEP 11 (2004) 031 [hep-th/0407261] [SPIRES]; Large-N expansion of the 2-matrix model, JHEP 01 (2003) 051 [hep-th/0210047] [SPIRES]; Master loop equations, free energy and correlations for the chain of matrices, JHEP 11 (2003) 018 [hep-th/0309036] [SPIRES];
B. Eynard and N. Orantin, Topological expansion of the 2-matrix model correlation functions: diagrammatic rules for a residue formula, JHEP 12 (2005) 034 [math-ph/0504058] [SPIRES];

L. Chekhov and B. Eynard, Hermitean matrix model free energy: feynman graph technique for all genera, JHEP **03** (2006) 014 [hep-th/0504116] [SPIRES]; Matrix eigenvalue model: feynman graph technique for all genera, JHEP **12** (2006) 026 [math-ph/0604014] [SPIRES]; B. Eynard, M. Mariño and N. Orantin, Holomorphic anomaly and matrix models, JHEP **06** (2007) 058 [hep-th/0702110] [SPIRES];

N. Orantin, Gaussian matrix model in an external field and non-intersecting Brownian motions, arXiv:0803.0705.

[12] J.H. Schwarz, Superconformal Chern-Simons theories, JHEP 11 (2004) 078
 [hep-th/0411077] [SPIRES];

A. Basu and J.A. Harvey, The M2-M5 brane system and a generalized Nahm's equation, Nucl. Phys. B 713 (2005) 136 [hep-th/0412310] [SPIRES];

J. Bagger and N. Lambert, Modeling multiple M2's, Phys. Rev. D 75 (2007) 045020
[hep-th/0611108] [SPIRES]; Gauge symmetry and supersymmetry of multiple M2-branes, Phys. Rev. D 77 (2008) 065008 [arXiv:0711.0955] [SPIRES]; Comments on multiple M2-branes, JHEP 02 (2008) 105 [arXiv:0712.3738] [SPIRES]; Three-algebras and N = 6 Chern-Simons gauge theories, Phys. Rev. D 79 (2009) 025002 [arXiv:0807.0163] [SPIRES];
A. Gustavsson, Algebraic structures on parallel M2-branes, Nucl. Phys. B 811 (2009) 66 [arXiv:0709.1260] [SPIRES]; Selfdual strings and loop space Nahm equations, JHEP 04 (2008) 083 [arXiv:0802.3456] [SPIRES];

S. Mukhi and C. Papageorgakis, *M2 to D2*, *JHEP* **05** (2008) 085 [arXiv:0803.3218] [SPIRES];

M.A. Bandres, A.E. Lipstein and J.H. Schwarz, N = 8 superconformal Chern-Simons theories, JHEP 05 (2008) 025 [arXiv:0803.3242] [SPIRES];

A. Morozov, On the problem of multiple M2 branes, JHEP **05** (2008) 076 [arXiv:0804.0913] [SPIRES];

J. Gomis, G. Milanesi and J.G. Russo, *Bagger-Lambert theory for general Lie algebras*, *JHEP* **06** (2008) 075 [arXiv:0805.1012] [SPIRES];

S. Benvenuti, D. Rodriguez-Gomez, E. Tonni and H. Verlinde, N = 8 superconformal gauge theories and M2 branes, JHEP **01** (2009) 078 [arXiv:0805.1087] [SPIRES];

P.-M. Ho, Y. Imamura and Y. Matsuo, *M2 to D2 revisited*, *JHEP* **07** (2008) 003 [arXiv:0805.1202] [SPIRES];

A. Morozov, From simplified BLG action to the first-quantized M-theory, JETP Lett. 87 (2008) 659 [arXiv:0805.1703] [SPIRES];

O. Aharony, O. Bergman, D.L. Jafferis and J. Maldacena, N = 6 superconformal Chern-Simons-matter theories, M2-branes and their gravity duals, JHEP 10 (2008) 091 [arXiv:0806.1218] [SPIRES];
I.A. Bandos and P.K. Townsend, Light-cone M5 and multiple M2-branes, Class. Quant. Grav. 25 (2008) 245003 [arXiv:0806.4777] [SPIRES]; SDiff gauge theory and the M2 condensate, JHEP 02 (2009) 013 [arXiv:0808.1583] [SPIRES];
J. Gomis, D. Rodriguez-Gomez, M. Van Raamsdonk and H. Verlinde, A massive study of M2-brane proposals, JHEP 09 (2008) 113 [arXiv:0807.1074] [SPIRES];
J.A. Minahan, W. Schulgin and K. Zarembo, Two loop integrability for Chern-Simons theories with N = 6 supersymmetry, JHEP 03 (2009) 057 [arXiv:0901.1142] [SPIRES].

[13] A. Givental, Semisimple Frobenius structures at higher genus, math.AG/0008067;
A.S. Alexandrov, A. Mironov and A. Morozov, Instantons and merons in matrix models, Physica D 235 (2007) 126 [hep-th/0608228] [SPIRES]; M-theory of matrix models, hep-th/0605171 [SPIRES];
N. Orantin, Symplectic invariants, Virasoro constraints and Givental decomposition,

N. Orantin, Symplectic invariants, Virasoro constraints and Givental decomposition, arXiv:0808.0635 [SPIRES].

[14] A. Hurwitz, Uber Riemann'sche Flachen mit gegebenen Verzweigungpunkten, Math. Ann. 39 (1891) 1; Uber die Anzal der Riemann'sche Flachen mit gegebenen Verzweigungpunkten, Math. Ann. 55 (1902) 51;

R. Vakil, *Enumerative geometry of curves via degeneration methods*, Ph.D. thesis, Harvard University, U.S.A. (1997);

I. Goulden and D. Jackson, Transitive factorisations into transpositions and holomorphic mappings on the sphere, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **125** (1997) 5160 [math/9903094];

S. Lando and D. Zvonkine, On multiplicitites of the Lyashko-Looijenga mapping on the discriminant strata, Funk. Anal. Appl. **33** (1999) 178; Counting ramified coverings and intersection theory on spaces of rational functions. I, math.AG/0303218;

S. Natanzon and V. Turaev, A compactification of Hurwitz space, Topology 38 (1999) 889;

A. Okounkov, Toda equations for Hurwitz numbers, Math. Res. Lett. 7 (2000) 447;

A. Givental, Gromov-Witten invariants and quantization of quadratic hamiltonians, math/0108100;

S. Lando, Ramified coverings of the two-dimensional sphere and intersection theory in spaces of meromorphic functions on algebraic curves, Russ. Math. Surv. 57 (2002) 463;

A. Okounkov and R. Pandharipande, Gromov-Witten theory, Hurwitz theory, and completed cycles, Ann. of Math. 163 (2006) 517 [math.AG/0204305];

T. Graber and R. Vakil, *Hodge integrals and Hirwitz numbers via virtual localization*, Compositio Math. **135** (2003) 25;

M. Kazarian and S. Lando, Towards the intersection theory of Hurwitz spaces,

math.AG/0410388; An algebro-geometric proof of Witten's conjecture, math/0601760;

M. Kazarian, *KP hierarchy for Hodge integrals*, based on the talk at the *Moscow Workshop* on Combinatorics of moduli spaces, Hurwitz numbers and cluster algebras, June, Moscow, Russia (2008), http://www.mi.ras.ru/~kazarian/papers/newwit0703.pdf;

A. Mironov and A. Morozov, Virasoro constraints for Kontsevich-Hurwitz partition function, JHEP 02 (2009) 024 [arXiv:0807.2843] [SPIRES].

 [15] J. Harer, D. Zagier, The Euler characteristic of the moduli space of curves I, Invent. Math. 85 (1986) 457;

S.K. Lando, A.K. Zvonkine, *Graphs on surfaces and their applications*, Springer, U.S.A. (2003);

E. Akhmedov and Sh. Shakirov, *Gluing of surfaces with polygonal boundaries*, to appear in *Funkts. Anal. Prilozh.*, arXiv:0712.2448;

A. Morozov and Sh. Shakirov, *Harer-Zagier correlation functions in gaussian matrix models*, to appear.

- [16] V. Dolotin and A. Morozov, Introduction to non-linear algebra, hep-th/0609022 [SPIRES];
  A. Morozov and M. Serbyn, Non-linear algebra and Bogolubov's recursion, Theor. Math. Phys. 154 (2008) 270 [hep-th/0703258] [SPIRES];
  V. Dolotin, A. Morozov and S. Shakirov, A<sub>∞</sub> structure on simplicial complexes, arXiv:0704.2609 [SPIRES]; Higher nilpotent analogues of A<sub>∞</sub> structure, Phys. Lett. B 651 (2007) 71 [arXiv:0704.2884] [SPIRES];
  A. Morozov and Sh. Shakirov, Analogue of the identity LogDet = TraceLog for resultants, arXiv:0804.4632; Resultants and contour integrals, arXiv:0807.4539;
  A. Anokhina, A. Morozov and S. Shakirov, Resultant as determinant of Koszul complex, arXiv:0812.5013 [SPIRES].
- [17] N. Amburg, E. Kreines and G. Shabat, Parasitic solutions of systems of equations determining the Belyi functions of plane trees, Mosc. Univ. Math. Bull. 59 (2004) 20;
  N. Adrianov et al., Catalog of dessins d'enfants with ≤ 4 edges, arXiv:0710.2658.
- [18] A.Morozov, String theory, what is it?, Sov. Phys. Usp. 35 (1992) 671 [Usp. Fiz. Nauk 162 (1992) 83] [SPIRES];
  A. Mironov, A. Morozov and L. Vinet, On a c number quantum τ-function, Theor. Math. Phys. 100 (1995) 890 [hep-th/9312213] [SPIRES];
  A. Gerasimov, S. Khoroshkin, D. Lebedev, A. Mironov and A. Morozov, Generalized Hirota equations and representation theory. 1. The case of SL(2) and SL<sub>q</sub>(2), Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 10 (1995) 2589 [hep-th/9405011] [SPIRES];
  S. Kharchev, A. Mironov and A. Morozov, Non-standard KP evolution and quantum τ-function, q-alg/9501013;
  A. Gorsky, I. Krichever, A. Marshakov, A. Mironov and A. Morozov, Integrability and Seiberg-Witten exact solution, Phys. Lett. B 355 (1995) 466 [hep-th/9505035] [SPIRES].
- S. Kharchev, A. Marshakov, A. Mironov and A. Morozov, Landau-Ginzburg topological theories in the framework of GKM and equivalent hierarchies, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 8 (1993) 1047 [Theor. Math. Phys. 95 (1993) 571] [hep-th/9208046] [SPIRES].
- [20] A. Zamolodchikov, Infinite additional symmetries in two-dimensional conformal quantum field theory, Theor. Math. Phys. 63 (1985) 1205 [Teor. Mat. Fiz. 65 (1985) 347] [SPIRES];
  V.A. Fateev and A.B. Zamolodchikov, Conformal quantum field theory models in two-dimensions having Z(3) symmetry, Nucl. Phys. B 280 (1987) 644 [SPIRES];
  A. Gerasimov, A. Marshakov and A. Morozov, Hamiltonian reduction of Wess-Zumino-Witten theory from the point of view of bosonization, Phys. Lett. B 236 (1990) 269 [Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 51 (1990) 371] [Yad. Fiz. 51 (1990) 583] [SPIRES];
  A. Gerasimov, A. Marshakov and A. Morozov, Free field representation of parafermions and

A. Gerasimov, A. Marshakov and A. Morozov, Free field representation of parafermions and related coset models, Nucl. Phys. B 328 (1989) 664 [Theor. Math. Phys. 83 (1990) 466] [Teor. Mat. Fiz. 83 (1990) 186] [SPIRES];

A. Marshakov and A. Morozov, A note on W(3) algebra, Nucl. Phys. B 339 (1990) 79 [Sov. Phys. JETP 70 (1990) 403] [SPIRES];

A. Morozov, On the concept of universal W algebra, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **51** (1990) 758 [Nucl. Phys. **B 357** (1991) 619] [Yad. Fiz. **51** (1990) 1190] [SPIRES].

- [21] L. Chekhov and Y. Makeenko, A hint on the external field problem for matrix models, Phys. Lett. B 278 (1992) 271 [hep-th/9202006] [SPIRES]; The Multicritical Kontsevich-Penner model, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 7 (1992) 1223 [hep-th/9201033] [SPIRES];
  J. Ambjørn, C.F. Kristjansen and Y.M. Makeenko, Higher genus correlators for the complex matrix model, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 7 (1992) 3187 [hep-th/9207020] [SPIRES].
- B. Sagan, The symmetric group. Representations, combinatorial algorithms, and symmetric functions, 2<sup>nd</sup> edition, Springer-Verlag, New York U.S.A. (2001);
  B. Simon, Representations of finite and compact groups, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, volume 10, American Mathematical Society, Providence, U.S.A. (1996).
- [23] B. Eynard, All orders asymptotic expansion of large partitions, J. Stat. Mech. (2008) P07023
   [arXiv:0804.0381] [SPIRES];
   A. Klemm and P. Sulkowski, Seiberg-Witten theory and matrix models, arXiv:0810.4944
   [SPIRES].
- [24] V. Knizhnik, Multiloop amplitudes in the theory of quantum strings and complex geometry, Usp. Fiz. Nauk 159 (1989) 401 [Sov. Phys. Usp. 32 (1989) 945];
  A. Gorsky, A. Marshakov, A. Mironov and A. Morozov, RG equations from Whitham hierarchy, Nucl. Phys. B 527 (1998) 690 [hep-th/9802007] [SPIRES];
  H.W. Braden, A. Mironov and A. Morozov, QCD, Wick's theorem for KdV tau-functions and the string equation, Phys. Lett. B 514 (2001) 293 [hep-th/0105169] [SPIRES].