Nonet?



## You may also like

- Localization of the glueball and possible decuplets of tensor mesons Micha Majewski
- <u>The Partner of  $f_4(2050)$  in the  $1^3F_4$  Meson</u> <u>Nonet</u> Xue-Chao Feng, , Ke-Wei Wei et al.
- <u>Effects of Flavour-Dependent qq</u> <u>Annihilation on the Mixing Angle of the</u> <u>Isoscalar Octet-Singlet and Schwinger's</u> <u>Nonet Mass Formula</u> Li De-Min, Yu Hong and Shen Qi-Xing

View the article online for updates and enhancements.

To cite this article: Li De-Min et al 2000 Chinese Phys. Lett. 17 558

Is  $f_1(1420)$  the Partner of  $f_1(1285)$  in the  ${}^3P_1 q\bar{q}$ 

# You ma

## Is $f_1(1420)$ the Partner of $f_1(1285)$ in the ${}^3P_1 q\bar{q}$ Nonet? \*

LI De-Min (李德民), YU Hong (郁宏), SHEN Qi-Xing (沈齐兴)

Institute of High Energy Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100039

### (Received 2 November 1999)

Based on a  $2 \times 2$  mass matrix, the mixing angle of the axial vector states  $f_1(1420)$  and  $f_1(1285)$  is determined to be 51.5°, and the theoretical results about the decay and production of the two states are presented. The theoretical results are in good agreement with the present experimental results, which suggests that  $f_1(1420)$  can be assigned as the partner of  $f_1(1285)$  in the  ${}^{3}P_1$   $q\bar{q}$  nonet. We also suggest that the existence of  $f_1(1510)$  needs further experimental confirmation.

PACS: 14.40.Cs

The quark model predicts that there are two isoscalar states in the  ${}^{3}P_{1}$   $q\overline{q}$  nonet. However, up to date there have been three states  $f_1(1285), f_1(1420),$ and  $f_1(1510)$  with I = 0 and  $J^{PC} = 1^{++}$  listed by the Particle Data Group.<sup>1</sup> For  $f_1(1285)$ , it is believed that it is well established as the  $u\overline{u} + d\overline{d}$  member of the  ${}^{3}P_{1}$   $q\bar{q}$  nonet. Therefore,  $f_{1}(1420)$  and  $f_1(1510)$  compete for the  $s\overline{s}$  assignment in the  ${}^3P_1$  $q\overline{q}$  nonet, and one of them must be a non- $q\overline{q}$  state. On the one hand, in  $K^-p \rightarrow \Lambda K \overline{K} \pi$ ,<sup>2,3</sup>  $f_1(1510)$ has been observed but  $f_1(1420)$ . On the other hand,  $f_1(1420)$  has been reported in  $K^-p$  but in  $\pi^-p$ ,<sup>4</sup> while two experiments do not observe  $f_1(1510)$  in  $K^-p.^{4,5}$ These facts make the classification of  $f_1(1420)$  and  $f_1(1510)$  controversial.<sup>2,6-10</sup> However, the absence of  $f_1(1510)$  in radiative  $J/\psi$ ,<sup>11,12</sup> central collisions<sup>13</sup> and  $\gamma\gamma$  collisions<sup>14</sup> leads to the conclusion that  $f_1(1510)$ seems not to be well established and its assignment as the  $s\overline{s}$  member of the  ${}^{3}P_{1} q\overline{q}$  nonet is premature.<sup>10</sup>

Recently, Close *et al.* assigned  $f_1(1420)$  as the partner of  $f_1(1285)$  by applying their glueball- $q\overline{q}$  filter technique to the axial vector nonet.<sup>10</sup> In this letter, we shall discuss the possibility of  $f_1(1420)$  being the partner of  $f_1(1285)$  in the  ${}^3P_1$   $q\overline{q}$  nonet by studying the mixing effects of  $f_1(1420)$  and  $f_1(1285)$ .

In the  $|S\rangle = |s\bar{s}\rangle$ ,  $|N\rangle = |u\bar{u} + d\bar{d}\rangle/\sqrt{2}$  basis, the mass square matrix describing the quarkoniaquarkonia mixing can be written as follows:<sup>15</sup>

$$M^{2} = \begin{pmatrix} M_{S}^{2} + A_{S} & \sqrt{2}A_{SN} \\ \sqrt{2}A_{NS} & M_{N}^{2} + 2A_{N} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (1)$$

where  $M_S$  and  $M_N$  are the masses of the bare states  $|S\rangle$  and  $|N\rangle$ , respectively;  $A_S$ ,  $A_N$ ,  $A_{SN}$  and  $A_{NS}$  are the mixing parameters which describe the quarkoniaquarkonia transition amplitudes. Here, we assume that the physical states  $|f_1(1420)\rangle$  and  $|f_1(1285)\rangle$  are the eigenstates of the matrix  $M^2$  with the eigenvalues of  $M_1^2$  and  $M_2^2$ , respectively. From the above we can get the following equations:

$$M_S^2 + M_N^2 + 2A_N + A_S = M_1^2 + M_1^2, \qquad (2)$$

 $(M_S^2 + A_S)(M_N^2 + 2A_N) - 2A_{SN}A_{NS} = M_1^2 M_2^2.$  (3) According to the factorization hypothesis<sup>15</sup>

$$A_{SN} = A_{NS} \equiv \sqrt{A_N A_S},\tag{4}$$

we can introduce a parameter R to get

$$A_{NS} = A_N R, \quad A_S = A_N R^2 \tag{5}$$

with  $0 < R \leq 1$ . From Eqs. (2), (3) and (5),  $A_N$  and R can be expressed as

$$A_N = \frac{(M_N^2 - M_1^2)(M_N^2 - M_2^2)}{2(M_S^2 - M_N^2)},$$
(6)

$$R^{2} = \frac{2(M_{1}^{2} - M_{S}^{2})(M_{S}^{2} - M_{2}^{2})}{(M_{N}^{2} - M_{1}^{2})(M_{N}^{2} - M_{2}^{2})}.$$
 (7)

Diagonalizing the matrix  $M^2$ , we can get a unitary matrix U which transforms the states  $|S\rangle$  and  $|N\rangle$  to the physical states  $|f_1(1420)\rangle$  and  $|f_1(1285)\rangle$ ,

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & y_1 \\ x_2 & y_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\sqrt{2}A_NR}{-C_1} & \frac{M_1^2 - M_S^2 - A_NR^2}{-C_1} \\ \frac{\sqrt{2}A_NR}{-C_2} & \frac{M_2^2 - M_S^2 - A_NR^2}{-C_2} \end{pmatrix}$$
  
with  $C_1 = \sqrt{2A_{2N}^2R^2 + (M_1^2 - M_2^2 - A_NR^2)^2}, C_2 = (8)$ 

with  $C_1 = \sqrt{2A_N^2 R^2 + (M_1^2 - M_S^2 - A_N R^2)^2}, C_2 = \sqrt{2A_N^2 R^2 + (M_2^2 - M_S^2 - A_N R^2)^2}.$ We choose  $M_1 = 1.4262$  CoV  $M_2 = 1.2819$  CoV

We choose  $M_1 = 1.4262$  GeV,  $M_2 = 1.2819$  GeV and  $M_N = 1.23$  GeV, the mass of the isovector state  $a_1(1260)$ .<sup>1</sup>  $M_S$  can be obtained from the Gell-Mann-Okubo mass formula  $M_S^2 = 2M_{K_{1A}}^2 - M_{a_1}^2$ ,<sup>16</sup> where  $M_{K_{1A}} = 1.313$  GeV.<sup>17</sup> We take  $M_1, M_2, M_N$  and  $M_S$ as input and get the numerical form of the matrix Uas follows:

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & y_1 \\ x_2 & y_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -0.96 & -0.28 \\ -0.28 & 0.96 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (9)

<sup>\*</sup>Supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No. 19991487, and the Chinese Academy of Sciences under Grant No. LWTZ-1298.

<sup>©</sup>by the Chinese Physical Society

 $|f_1(1420)\rangle = -0.96|S\rangle - 0.28|N\rangle, \tag{10}$ 

$$|f_1(1285)\rangle = -0.28|S\rangle + 0.96|N\rangle.$$
(11)

If we re-express the physical states  $|f_1(1420)\rangle$  and  $|f_1(1285)\rangle$  in the Gell-Mann basis  $|8\rangle = |u\overline{u} + d\overline{d} - 2s\overline{s}\rangle/\sqrt{6}, |1\rangle = |u\overline{u} + d\overline{d} + s\overline{s}\rangle/\sqrt{3}$ , the physical states  $|f_1(1420)\rangle$  and  $|f_1(1285)\rangle$  can be read as

$$|f_1(1420)\rangle = \cos\theta |8\rangle - \sin\theta |1\rangle, \qquad (12)$$

$$|f_1(1285)\rangle = \sin\theta |8\rangle + \cos\theta |1\rangle. \tag{13}$$

with  $\theta = 51.5^{\circ}$ , which is in good agreement with the result  $\theta \sim 50^{\circ}$  given by Close et al.<sup>10</sup>

Performing an elementary SU(3) calculation,<sup>18-20</sup> we obtain

$$\frac{Br[f_1(1285) \to \phi\gamma]}{Br[f_1(1285) \to \rho\gamma]} = \frac{4}{9} \left(\frac{P_{\phi}}{P_{\rho}}\right)^3 \left(\frac{x_2}{y_2}\right)^2 = 0.007,$$
(14)

$$\frac{\Gamma[f_1(1420) \to \gamma\gamma]}{\Gamma[f_1(1285) \to \gamma\gamma]} = \left(\frac{M_1}{M_2}\right)^3 \frac{(y_1 + \sqrt{2}x_1/5)^2}{(y_2 + \sqrt{2}x_2/5)^2} = 0.539,$$
(15)

$$\frac{Br[J/\psi \to \gamma f_1(1420)]}{Br[J/\psi \to \gamma f_1(1285)]} = \left[\frac{P_{f_1(1420)}}{P_{f_1(1285)}}\right]^3 \frac{(\sqrt{2}y_1 + x_1)^2}{(\sqrt{2}y_2 + x_2)^2} = 1.36,$$
(16)

$$\frac{Br[J/\psi \to f_1(1420)\omega]}{Br[J/\psi \to f_1(1285)\phi]} = \frac{P_\omega}{P_\phi} \left[\frac{y_1}{x_2(1-2s)}\right]^2 > 1.029,$$
(17)

where  $P_j$   $[j = \phi, \rho, \omega, f_1(1285), f_1(1420)]$  is the momentum of the final state meson j in the center of mass system, and s is the parameter describing the effects of SU(3) breaking. For the axial vector mesons, we expect  $0 < s \leq 1.^{20}$  Let  $r_{\rm th}$  stand for the ratio of Eq. (15) to Eq. (16), so we have

$$r_{\rm th} = 0.397.$$
 (18)

Using the data cited by  $PDG^{1}$ , we have

$$\frac{Br[f_1(1285) \to \phi\gamma]}{Br[f_1(1285) \to \rho\gamma]} = \frac{(7.9 \pm 3.0) \times 10^{-4}}{(5.4 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-2}} = 0.015 \pm 0.009.$$
(19)

$$\frac{\Gamma[f_1(1420) \to \gamma\gamma]}{\Gamma[f_1(1285) \to \gamma\gamma]} = \frac{0.34 \pm 0.18}{Br(f_1(1420) \to K\overline{K}\pi)}, \quad (20)$$

$$\frac{Br[J/\psi \to \gamma f_1(1420)]}{Br[J/\psi \to \gamma f_1(1285)]} = \frac{(8.3 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{-4}}{(6.5 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-4}} \\ \cdot \frac{1}{Br(f_1(1420) \to K\overline{K}\overline{\pi})},$$
(21)

 $\frac{Br[J/\psi \to f_1(1420)\omega]}{Br[J/\psi \to f_1(1285)\phi]} = \frac{(6.8 \pm 2.4) \times 10^{-4}}{(2.6 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}} = 2.62 \pm 1.42.$ (22)

The ratio  $r_{ex}$  of Eq. (20) to Eq. (21) gives

$$r_{\rm ex} = 0.27 \pm 0.23. \tag{23}$$

From Eqs. (14)-(23), we find that the theoretical results are in good agreement with the experimental results, i.e.,  $|f_1(1420)\rangle = -0.96|S\rangle - 0.28|N\rangle$ and  $|f_1(1285)\rangle = -0.28|S\rangle + 0.96|N\rangle$  are compatible with the experimental results. This suggests that the present experimental results support the assignment of  $f_1(1420)$  as the partner of  $f_1(1285)$  in the  ${}^{3}P_1 q\bar{q}$ nonet.

We do not intend to discuss in detail the questionable interpretation of  $f_1(1510)$  with dominant  $s\bar{s}$ structure and that of the non- $q\bar{q}$  nature of  $f_1(1420)$  in this letter (see Ref. 10). However, from our results, we believe that if  $f_1(1510)$  with I = 0 and  $J^{PC} = 1^{++}$ really exists, it should be a non- $q\bar{q}$  state. Its existence of  $f_1(1510)$  needs further experimental confirmation.

In conclusion, by studying the mixing effects of  $f_1(1285)$  and  $f_1(1420)$ , we find that the present experimental results support the assignment of  $f_1(1420)$  as the partner of  $f_1(1285)$  in the  ${}^3P_1 q\bar{q}$  nonet. We also suggest that the existence of  $f_1(1510)$  needs further experimental confirmation.

#### REFERENCES

- <sup>1</sup> Particle Data Group, Eur. Phys. J. C 3 (1998) 1.
- <sup>2</sup> P. Gavillet et al., Z. Phys. C 16 (1982) 119.
- <sup>3</sup> D. Aston et al., Phys. Lett. B 201 (1988) 573.
- <sup>4</sup> S. I. Bityukov et al., Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 39 (1984) 735.
- <sup>5</sup> E. King et al., Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.) 21 (1991) 11.
- <sup>6</sup> M. S. Chanowtiz, Phys. Lett. B 187 (1987) 409.
- <sup>7</sup> R. S. Longacre, Phys. Rev. D 42 (1990) 874.
- <sup>8</sup> J. Weinstein and N. Isgur, Phys. Rev. D 27 (1983) 588.
- <sup>9</sup> Q. X. Shen and H. Yu, High Energy Phys. Nucl. Phys. 14 (1990) 614 (in Chinese).
- <sup>10</sup> F. E. Close and A. Kirk, Z. Phys. C 76 (1997) 469.
- <sup>11</sup> Z. Bai et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 65 (1990) 2507.
- <sup>12</sup> J. E. Augustin et al., Phys. Rev. D 46 (1992) 1951.
- <sup>13</sup> D. Barberis et al., Phys. Lett. B 413 (1997) 225.
- <sup>14</sup> H. Aihara et al., Phys. Rev. D 38 (1988) 1.
- <sup>15</sup> M. M. Brisudova, L. Burakovsky and T. Goldman, Phys. Rev. D 58 (1998) 114015.
- <sup>16</sup> S. Okubo, Prog. Theor. Phys. 27 (1962) 949.
- <sup>17</sup> M. Suzuki, Phys. Rev. D 47 (1993) 1252.
- <sup>18</sup> F. E. Close, An Introduction to Quarks and Partons (Academic press, London, 1979) p. 62.
- <sup>19</sup> H. E. haber and J. Perrier, Phys. Rev. D 32 (1985) 2961.
- <sup>20</sup> A. Seiden et al., Phys. Rev. D 38 (1988) 824.

read as