

MOLECULAR AND IONIZED HYDROGEN IN 30 DORADUS. I. IMAGING OBSERVATIONS

SHERRY C. C. YEH^{1,2}, ERNEST R. SEAQUIST², CHRISTOPHER D. MATZNER², AND ERIC W. PELLEGRINI³¹ Subaru Telescope, National Astronomical Observatory of Japan, 650 North A'ohoku Place, Hilo, HI 96720, USA; yeh@naoj.org² Department of Astronomy & Astrophysics, University of Toronto, 50 St. George Street, Toronto, ON M5S 3H4, Canada³ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Toledo, 2801 West Bancroft Street, Toledo, OH 43606, USA*Received 2014 June 26; accepted 2015 April 18; published 2015 July 7*

ABSTRACT

We present the first fully calibrated H₂ 1–0 S(1) image of the entire 30 Doradus nebula. The observations were conducted using the NOAO Extremely Wide-field Infrared Imager (NEWFIRM) on the CTIO 4 m Blanco Telescope. Together with a NEWFIRM Br γ image of 30 Doradus, our data reveal the morphologies of the warm molecular gas and ionized gas in 30 Doradus. The brightest H₂-emitting area, which extends from the northeast to the southwest of R136, is a photodissociation region (PDR) viewed face-on, while many clumps and pillar features located at the outer shells of 30 Doradus are PDRs viewed edge-on. Based on the morphologies of H₂, Br γ , CO, and 8 μ m emission, the H₂ to Br γ line ratio, and Cloudy models, we find that the H₂ emission is formed inside the PDRs of 30 Doradus, 2–3 pc to the ionization front of the H II region, in a relatively low-density environment $<10^4 \text{ cm}^{-3}$. Comparisons with Br γ , 8 μ m, and CO emission indicate that H₂ emission is due to fluorescence, and provide no evidence for shock excited emission of this line.

Key words: H II regions – infrared: ISM – ISM: bubbles – ISM: molecules – Magellanic Clouds – photon-dominated region (PDR)

1. INTRODUCTION

Starburst feedback is vital in galaxy evolution, as it is important for unbinding large molecular clouds (Krumholz et al. 2006; Fall et al. 2010; Murray et al. 2010), driving gravitational collapse inside molecular clouds and triggering sequential star formation (Elmegreen & Lada 1977; Oey et al. 2005; Zavagno et al. 2010), driving turbulent motions within the clouds (Matzner 2002), eroding molecular clouds by photo-evaporation (Whitworth 1979; Williams & McKee 1997; Matzner 2002), and determining emission line spectra of the photoionized regions (Binette et al. 1997; Dopita et al. 2005, 2006; Draine 2011; Yeh & Matzner 2012; Yeh et al. 2013; Verdolini et al. 2013). While the effects of massive star feedback have been extensively discussed in the literature, a critical piece of information is missing: how do energy and momentum feedback from massive stars affect the molecular clouds' physical properties? We focus on the spatial distribution of molecular and ionized hydrogen emission, signals which highlight ionization fronts (IFs) and working surfaces in this region of active stellar feedback.

A prime site for the origin of H₂ emission is at the boundary of ionized gas, shocks, and molecular clouds, which is the working surface for many forms of stellar feedback into the dense gas. Because the excitation of H₂ molecules is sensitive to the density structure, radiation hardness, or mechanical energy input from shocks, H₂ ro-vibrational transitions are unique tracers to probe physical properties of the ISM under massive star feedback. The 30 Doradus nebula (30 Dor) in the LMC is well-studied and one of the nearest (50 kpc) starburst regions, at which distance a very high spatial linear resolution ($1'' = 0.2 \text{ pc}$) can be achieved. The 30 Dor nebula is dominated by the very young star cluster R136, which produces $10^{51.6}$ hydrogen-ionizing photons per second (Crowther & Dessart 1998), ionizing neutral material and driving it outward. Although the Dragonfish Nebula (Rahman et al. 2011) and NGC 3603 (Conti & Crowther 2004) are much closer ($<10 \text{ kpc}$) and produce hydrogen-ionizing luminosities of

$10^{51.8}$ and $10^{51.5} \text{ s}^{-1}$, respectively, 30 Dor's convenient location out of the galactic plane allows a straightforward comparison to more distant starburst regions. Many observations of 30 Dor have been presented in the wavelengths from the X-ray to radio (Chu & Kennicutt 1994; Poglitsch et al. 1995; Johansson et al. 1998; Rubio et al. 1998; Townsley et al. 2006; Indebetouw et al. 2009, 2013), however, a fully calibrated H₂ map of the entire 30 Dor has never been produced. Wide field of view (FOV) images of multiple ISM components are critical for followup studies on the dynamics of the region, and for the selection of sites for targeted observations, such as high-resolution spectroscopy and interferometric studies, which necessarily involves a smaller FOV.

Observations of H₂ in parts of 30 Dor have been performed by Poglitsch et al. (1995; hereafter P95) and Rubio et al. (1998; hereafter R98). P95 reported that the H₂ 1–0 S(1) morphology appeared fragmented ($\sim 1''$, 0.2 pc clumps), and suggested that the emission originated from dense molecular clumps. The P95 data is observed in a $3' \times 3'$ area in 30 Dor, which is a very small fraction of the region, while the (R98) H₂ data were collected in a small area ($<2' \times 2'$) and not calibrated. These observations were limited in sensitivity and FOV by the instruments available at the time, and the photodissociation region (PDR) physical conditions derived from P95 and R98 do not fully represent those of the entire 30 Dor.

In this paper, we present the first fully calibrated H₂ 1–0 S(1) image as well as a Br γ image of the full nebula, with a $1''$ angular resolution. We describe the observations and data reduction in Section 2. In Section 3, we show the H₂ and Br γ morphologies and determine line ratios, identify areas of interest for further analysis, and investigate the spatial relations between H₂ and Br γ . In Section 4, we present photoionization models using Cloudy in order to constrain the range of physical parameters inside the 30 Dor PDRs by comparing the modeled and observed H₂ to Br γ line ratio, and to explore the issue of bright line contamination we discovered during data reduction

Table 1
30 Doradus Observation Summary

Line	Vacuum Wavelength	Filter FWHM (nm)	Filter Name	Observed Dates ^a	Total Integration Time (minutes)
Ks continuum	...	320.0	Ks	Nov 10, 12	22
H ₂ 1–0 S(1)	2.121 μm	24.0	2124 nm	Nov 10–12	210
Br γ	2.166 μm	24.4	2168 nm	Nov 11	14

Note.

^a Data were taken in year 2010.

in the H₂ image. The origin of the H₂ 1–0 S(1) emission is discussed in Section 5. Finally, we summarize the paper in Section 6. In the paper, all H₂ emission refers to the H₂ 1–0 S(1) transition, unless indicated otherwise.

2. OBSERVATIONS AND DATA REDUCTION

We observed 30 Dor using the NOAO Extremely Wide-field Infrared Imager (NEWFIRM; Probst et al. 2008) on the CTIO 4 m Blanco Telescope, over three half-nights on 2010 November 10–12. NEWFIRM has a FOV of $28' \times 28'$, and its pixel scale is $0''.4$ per pixel. The H₂ 1–0 S(1) ($2.12 \mu\text{m}$) and Br γ ($2.17 \mu\text{m}$) emission line data were taken using the 2124 nm H₂ and 2168 nm Br γ narrow band filters, respectively. See Table 1 for filter parameters. The broadband continuum data were collected using the Ks filter. The total exposure time of the H₂ image was 210, and 14 minutes for the Br γ image. The observations were dithered in a random pattern in a $30''$ box to fill the gaps between detector arrays. The photometric standard star S121-E was observed in both 2124 and 2168 nm filters to serve as the flux calibrator. Table 1 summarizes details of the observations. Because the angular size of 30 Dor in the sky is about the same as the size of NEWFIRM FOV, in order to obtain sky images free of nebular emissions, we nodded the telescope on and off⁴ the target following the sky-target-target-sky sequence.

Data reduction was carried out using the NEWFIRM pipeline V1.3 (Swaters et al. 2009). Dark subtraction was first applied to the data, followed by a linearity correction and flat fielding. Sky background was determined by taking the median of four preceding and four subsequent off-source sky exposures, which are free of extended emission, and the background level was scaled to match that in target images and then subtracted. An astrometric solution was obtained using the 2MASS catalog, and all images were reprojected and stacked. The sky background was then redetermined and subtracted by masking objects (including stars and extended nebular emission) detected in the first pass, and new stacks of images were produced.

2.1. PSF Matching

We carried out a photometric analysis of field stars using the software package SExtractor (Bertin & Arnouts 1996). Stars with detection higher than 5σ in areas free of extended nebular emission are identified by SExtractor, and their photometric parameters, such as flux and FWHM, are recorded in catalogs. We first extracted the mode of the distribution of FWHM in each stacked image, namely Br γ , H₂, and Ks images, as the representative seeing in each image. It showed that Br γ and Ks images have better seeing ($<1''.0$) than the H₂ image. We then

convolved the Br γ and Ks images with Gaussian kernels until the convolved FWHM matched that of the H₂ image. After the Gaussian convolution, the seeing in H₂, Br γ , and Ks images is $1''.0$, and this is the resolution in every image presented in the paper unless indicated otherwise.

2.2. Flux Calibration

The standard star S121-E (Persson et al. 1998) was observed as a flux standard. The Br γ and H₂ filters are centered closely at the Ks filter central wavelength, and we apply the magnitude-to-flux density conversion factors derived from the S121-E data, to flux calibrate the 30 Dor data. Data were taken under stable weather and nearly constant airmass, therefore the major uncertainties of measured fluxes come from bright emission line contamination in the Ks filter, which are discussed in Section 2.3.1.

2.3. Continuum Subtraction

To produce emission line images, one must subtract continuum emission in the narrowband (NB) data. The ideal way to subtract continuum in a NB image is to use an off-line center NB filter with identical FWHM, and such an NB image shows continuum without contamination of any emission lines. However, since off-line NB filters were not available when the observations were carried out, we therefore carried out continuum subtraction using the broadband (BB) Ks data.

Mathematically, the observed fluxes in each filter (2.12, 2.16 μm , and Ks) can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_{2124} &= F_{\text{H}_2} + F_{\text{ct}_{2124}}, \\
 F_{2168} &= F_{\text{Br}\gamma} + F_{\text{ct}_{2168}}, \text{ and} \\
 F_{\text{Ks}} &= F_{\text{H}_2} + F_{\text{Br}\gamma} + F_{\text{uk}} + F_{\text{ct}_{\text{Ks}}},
 \end{aligned}$$

where F_{2124} , F_{2168} , and F_{Ks} are fluxes measured in the 2.12, 2.16 μm , and Ks filters, respectively. The convention “ct” labels the continuum emission fluxes measured in a filter, and “uk” marks unknown emission line fluxes contained within the Ks filter.

Continuum subtraction in Br γ and H₂ images then will produce:

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_{2168} - \alpha F_{\text{Ks}} &= F_{\text{Br}\gamma} + F_{\text{ct}_{2168}} \\
 &\quad - \alpha (F_{\text{H}_2} + F_{\text{Br}\gamma} + F_{\text{uk}} + F_{\text{ct}_{\text{Ks}}}), \text{ yielding} \\
 F_{\text{Br}\gamma} &= \frac{F_{2168} - \alpha (F_{\text{Ks}} - F_{\text{H}_2} - F_{\text{uk}})}{1 - \alpha}, \quad (1)
 \end{aligned}$$

⁴ The telescope was nodded off-target 1 degree north of R136.

and

$$F_{2124} - \beta F_{Ks} = F_{H_2} + F_{ct_{2124}} - \beta(F_{H_2} + F_{Br\gamma} + F_{uk} + F_{ct_{Ks}}), \text{ yielding}$$

$$F_{H_2} = \left[\frac{F_{2124} - \beta(F_{Ks} - F_{Br\gamma})}{1 - \beta} \right] + \frac{\beta}{1 - \beta} F_{uk}, \quad (2)$$

where $\alpha F_{Ks} = F_{ct_{2168}}$ and $\beta F_{Ks} = F_{ct_{2124}}$. The equations are applicable at every pixel in the image. The values of α and β in principle should be close to the FWHM ratio of the Br γ to Ks filter and H $_2$ to Ks filter, respectively.

We determine α and β empirically by evaluating the stellar flux ratio of 2.16 μ m to Ks, and 2.12 μ m to Ks. We employed SExtractor to extract stellar fluxes in the 2.12, 2.16 μ m, and Ks images. The scaling factor α and β are 0.07 and 0.08, respectively, which is in good agreement with the filter FWHM ratios. The above equations are correct when the CCD response is linear in all three filters. However, stars with counts >10,000 Analog/Digital Units are saturated, i.e., the CCD response becomes nonlinear, and they cannot be completely subtracted. Therefore we exclude these stars in the analysis.

We found that the BB Ks data contain Br γ , H $_2$, and possibly other emission lines, as well as the continuum emission, which introduce contamination. We evaluate and discuss the bright line contamination issue in Section 2.3.1.

2.3.1. Bright Emission Line Contamination

No direct information is available to us on the possible emission lines that, other than Br γ and H $_2$, might contribute to the Ks filter emission. However, He I is a likely candidate since it is observed in other star-forming regions. For example, helium emission lines are reported in M16 in the 2 μ m regime (Levenson et al. 2000, hereafter L00), in addition to Br γ and H $_2$ lines. Among the detected emission lines, Br γ is the brightest in M16, and a bright He I line at 2.06 μ m is 70% of the total flux of Br γ . If the He I-to-Br γ ratio is the same in 30 Dor as in M16, the contamination from He I in the Ks filter will be noticeable.

The Br γ emission line in 30 Dor is likely the brightest among the emission lines in the Ks filter. If the He I line has the same relative strength in 30 Dor as in M16 (70%), Equation (1) shows that it is equivalent to about 5% of the continuum-subtracted Br γ emission, assuming it is distributed in the same way. Therefore continuum subtraction in the Br γ image may not be severely affected by bright emission line contamination, and Equation (1) can be approximated as

$$F_{Br\gamma} \simeq \frac{F_{2168} - \alpha F_{Ks}}{1 - \alpha}. \quad (3)$$

In the case of H $_2$ continuum subtraction, however, bright emission line contamination becomes significant. Following Equation (2), the first-pass continuum subtracted H $_2$ shows that if no correction was made, then there would be a noticeable over-subtracted area. Indeed, without correction, we noticed a region of negative emission representing the Br γ emission in the vicinity of the nebula. With careful visual inspection, we found that the negative components well resemble the morphology of the highest surface brightness Br γ emission. We then inspected the first-pass continuum subtracted H $_2$ and Br γ images on the pixel-to-pixel basis, comparing the pixel

values of the negative component in the H $_2$ image to the Br γ image. There is a tight correlation between the negative H $_2$ pixels and brightest Br γ pixels, confirming that the majority of over-subtraction comes from line emission, which correlates strongly with Br γ emission.

Let

$$F'_{H_2} \equiv \frac{F_{2124} - \beta(F_{Ks} - F_{Br\gamma})}{1 - \beta} \text{ and}$$

$$\xi F_{Br\gamma} \equiv F_{uk},$$

Equation (2) can be rearranged as

$$F'_{H_2} = F_{H_2} - \frac{\beta}{1 - \beta} \xi F_{Br\gamma}. \quad (4)$$

The slope $\frac{\beta}{1 - \beta} \xi$ in Equation (4) is -0.10, evaluated from fitting the residual data. Therefore we correct continuum subtraction of the H $_2$ image by

$$F'_{H_2} = F_{H_2} - (-0.10) F_{Br\gamma}. \quad (5)$$

We inspected the pixel values of the corrected H $_2$ image F'_{H_2} , and the majority of the negative pixels after the correction have values around 0. In fact the factor 0.10 is higher than the expected value $\beta/(1 - \beta) = 0.087$, which indicates that we have not only corrected for the contamination introduced by the Br γ emission, but also the contamination from other unknown bright emission lines. The bright-line contamination is thus $(0.10 - 0.087)/0.087 = 0.15$, or 15% of the Br γ emission flux, which corresponds to 1.3% of the continuum flux.

We found that, after correcting the H $_2$ image for the contamination, some negative pixels still persist in the areas very close to R136. Those pixels can only be corrected by $\frac{\beta}{1 - \beta} \xi = 0.14$, instead of 0.10 in Equation (4). However, this leads to over-correction in the image, i.e., bright Br γ features become prominent in the H $_2$ image, which indicates that the contaminating emission is stronger relative to Br γ near the cluster than further away. The corresponding total brightness of this contamination is 61% of the Br γ flux, assuming that the emission line flux is distributed in the Ks band in the same way as Br γ , which leads to an additional 4% of the continuum flux, which is also the uncertainty in the contamination-corrected H $_2$ image. We suspect that the He I line at 2.06 μ m is the major source of continuum contamination other than Br γ , and its contamination becomes more significant in the central region of 30 Dor. Several He I lines are detected in the M16 H II region, in addition to Br γ and H $_2$ 1-0 S(1) (L00). As noted earlier, the brightest He emission line detected in M16 in the K band is He I at 2.06 μ m, and its flux of the He I line is 70% of the total flux of Br γ . The 61% of the Br γ flux contamination we have empirically estimated for the region near R136 is as significant as that in the M16 case. Note that variations in the continuum slope, such as those induced by variations in extinction, will also not be consistent with constant values of our α and β parameters.

We do not have any He line data in 30 Doradus to constrain the degree of contamination; therefore we turn to Cloudy simulations to explore this issue, which is discussed in Section 4. In this paper, the H $_2$ image is empirically corrected for contamination following Equation (4).




Figure 1. Three-color composite image of 30 Doradus. North is up and east is to the left. Red: H_2 , displayed in linear scale; green: Ks continuum in logarithm stretch; blue: $Br\gamma$ in logarithm stretch. R136 is marked by a black circle. The seeing is $1''.0$. H_2 -emitting areas of interest are marked by white dashed ellipses, including (A) the bright H_2 band to the northeast of R136, (B) filaments pointing north-ward of R136, and (C) pillars to the southeast of R136. A zoomed-in figure of Area C is shown on the right. A bright H_2 finger in Area A is indicated by a black arrow.

3. RESULTS

3.1. H_2 and $Br\gamma$ Morphologies

Fully calibrated H_2 and $Br\gamma$ images of 30 Dor are presented in a three-color composite image in Figure 1. Red is H_2 , blue is $Br\gamma$, and green is the Ks band continuum. Both $Br\gamma$ and Ks are stretched logarithmically to emphasize the areas of highest surface brightness, while H_2 is displayed in the linear scale because the line brightness dynamic range is much smaller. The star cluster R136 is marked by a black circle. Note that the pulsar wind nebula N157B, which is located at the same distance and $7'$ to the southwest of 30 Dor, is also present in the image. In this paper we focus only on the analysis of 30 Dor and will ignore N157B.

The $Br\gamma$ emission reveals the spatial distribution of ionized gas in 30 Dor. Areas with the highest surface brightness appear to trace an arch structure extending from northeast to southwest of the R136 cluster, consistent with the ionized gas morphology reported in the literature (Chu & Kennicutt 1994; Poglitsch et al. 1995; Pellegrini et al. 2010). To the north and west of R136, $Br\gamma$ appears quite filamentary and its surface brightness becomes lower. To the southeast of 30 Dor, the $Br\gamma$ morphology reveals multiple shell structures, enveloping pillars and clumpy features. The total flux in an area $3'.1 \times 3'.7$ is measured as $1.02 \times 10^{-10} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$. Although this is higher than the total $Br\gamma$ flux reported in P95 ($4 \times 10^{-11} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$) measured in the same area, our $Br\gamma$ image detects fainter structure than that in the P95 image.

The most prominent H_2 emission is seen to the northeast of R136, in conjunction with the bright $Br\gamma$ arch and coincident with lower surface brightness $Br\gamma$ emission. This prominent H_2 -emitting area, which is also known as 30Dor-10 (e.g., Johansson et al. 1998; Indebetouw et al. 2009, 2013), also marked as Area A in Figure 1, spans at least $3'$ by $3'$ in the plane of the sky, which corresponds to $36 \times 36 \text{ pc}$ at a distance of 50 kpc. The H_2 emission in 30Dor-10 seems somewhat




Figure 2. CO 1–0 contours (white contours, Wong et al. 2011) superimposed on the three-color composite image as shown in Figure 1. The CO contours start at 10% of the peak intensity (12.4 K km s^{-1}) and increase in 10% steps. The CO data angular resolution is $60''$. R136 is marked by a black circle.

disordered and extended, with clumps close to R136 and filaments extending away from R136. The total H_2 flux measured in the area is $5.16 \times 10^{-12} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ after masking bright saturated stars. The H_2 emission to the west of R136 appears much clumpier and mixed with high surface brightness $Br\gamma$ emission. To the north and northeast of R136 (Area B), H_2 appears in the form of filaments which seem to form a chimney pointing away from R136, and their morphology is poorly correlated with the $Br\gamma$ filaments in the same area. Prominent pillar features are seen in the southeast of R136, pointing toward the ionizing source R136 and are encompassed by the $Br\gamma$ emission, such as that in Area C. These $Br\gamma$ envelopes have sharp outer boundaries, with radii of curvature significantly greater than those of the H_2 pillars they envelop. This is suggestive of a photo-evaporative flow bounded by the pressure of hot gas. No H_2 emission was detected to the northwest of R136. Overall, the $Br\gamma$ and H_2 emission appear to form walls of the cavities or holes seen in 30 Dor. The observed H_2 emission is located well within the nebula (defined by optical BB data). We will discuss Areas A, B, and C in detail in Section 3.2.

3.2. Areas of Interest

We identified areas of interest for further analysis by comparing the H_2 , $Br\gamma$, and CO morphologies as shown in Figures 1 and 2. The H_2 and $Br\gamma$ data were superimposed with the CO 1–0 data of 30 Dor (Wong et al. 2011).⁵ We found that bright H_2 -emitting areas spatially correlate very well with the bulk of CO emission (Figure 2). Based on the morphological correlation between H_2 and CO emission, and H_2 and $Br\gamma$ emission, three areas are identified as areas of interest: (1) Area

⁵ We chose the Wong et al. (2011) CO data for comparison instead of the Indebetouw et al. (2013) ALMA data, because we are interested in the CO distribution in the entire 30 Dor. The ALMA image FOV is too small, and the interferometric data is not sensitive to extended structures. Nevertheless, the ALMA data will be useful for detailed studies of smaller objects of interest in the region.

A: the northeastern band of H_2 emission with the highest H_2 surface brightness, and it spatially coincides with the brightest CO emission in 30 Dor. This area is also known as 30Dor-10, as noted earlier; (2) Area B: the filament pointing north and away from R136, which is relatively bright and spatially correlated well with the peak of CO emission in the same area; and (3) Area C: pillars to the southeast of R136 at the outer shell of 30 Dor, which have clearly defined morphology in the H_2 emission and are surrounded by $\text{Br}\gamma$ -emitting envelopes; no CO emission is detected in this area (by the ATNF Mopra Telescope, Wong et al. 2011). A zoomed-in figure of Area C is shown in Figure 1.

H_2 was detected in an earlier observation (P95) in the western part of Area A, in a much smaller $2' \times 2'$ area. The H_2 morphology observed by P95 was reported very clumpy, which is consistent with the H_2 morphology shown here (Figure 1). The peak H_2 surface brightness in the P95 result is $5.41 \times 10^{-15} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ arcsec}^{-2}$. However, this peak intensity arises from a bright star in the field and thus may not be reliable. In our wide-field observations, more H_2 emission is seen toward the eastern part of Area A. After excluding bright saturated stars, the maximum H_2 surface brightness measured is $2.15 \times 10^{-15} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ arcsec}^{-2}$. H_2 in Area A also coincides with the bulk of CO emission in 30 Dor (Wong et al. 2011) (Figure 2). No H_2 emission is seen completely uncorrelated with either the $\text{Br}\gamma$ or CO emission. With only one ro-vibrational transition of H_2 emission, and without making further assumptions, we estimated the mass contained in the upper state of the observed H_2 transition in Area A to be $0.01 M_\odot$, estimated with the Einstein A coefficient $A_{S(1)} = 2.09 \times 10^{-7} \text{ s}^{-1}$ (Turner et al. 1977; Wolniewicz et al. 1998).⁶ This mass is orders of magnitude lower than the molecular mass estimated from CO emissions of $8.5 \times 10^4 M_\odot$ (Johansson et al. 1998; Pineda et al. 2012), which implies that the observed H_2 emission requires the existence of only a negligible fraction of the total molecular mass.

Area B also shows high H_2 intensity, and the maximum H_2 surface brightness in this area is $6.44 \times 10^{-16} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ arcsec}^{-2}$. This region was outside the FOV of the P95 observations. Area B lines up well with its CO counterpart (Figure 2), similar to Area A.

Area C was outside the FOV of the P95 observations as well. The peak surface brightness measured is $1.76 \times 10^{-15} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ arcsec}^{-2}$, comparable to that measured in other areas. Although Area C does not appear to have any CO counterpart, its H_2 emission indicates the presence of molecular clouds in that area. The morphology of the pillars is very similar to the ones observed in smaller H II regions, such as M16 (Hester et al. 1996, L00). We highlight a few interesting morphological features in Area C that will lead to follow-up detailed studies of the region: (1) the H_2 emission is enclosed within the $\text{Br}\gamma$ emission which extends toward R136, (2) the H_2 emission appears at the leading edge of regions where other molecular cloud tracers are present, such as the $8 \mu\text{m}$ emission, and (3) the $\text{Br}\gamma$ emission is bounded by a sharp outer boundary whose radius of curvature is significantly larger than that of the H_2 , which implies possible pressure confinement by hot gas. A crude estimate of the projected distance between the $\text{Br}\gamma$ envelopes and the pillars is $\sim 3 \text{ pc}$. Area C's neighbor clumps also show similar separation

between $\text{Br}\gamma$ and H_2 . We will return to discuss this point in Section 5.1.

3.3. The Spatial Relationships Between Ionized and Molecular Gas in 30 Doradus

The overall morphology of the observed H_2 emission is well correlated with the $\text{Br}\gamma$ distribution within 30 Dor—when $\text{Br}\gamma$ emission is present, H_2 emission is either seen very near the $\text{Br}\gamma$ emission, such as the outer shells and pillar features, or appears to be a counterpart of the $\text{Br}\gamma$ emission, such as Area A and the region just west of R136.

Bright H_2 -emission is seen to be spatially coincident with the $\text{Br}\gamma$ emission in Area A (Figure 1), except for an excess of $\text{Br}\gamma$ emission around the limb of the “finger” seen in H_2 . This suggests that we are viewing the H II region roughly face-on, except around the limb. Furthermore, the CO-emitting gas in the core of the finger is likely to be marginally optically thick at $2.2 \mu\text{m}$, judging from the ALMA observations of ^{13}CO presented by Indebetouw et al. (2013). They estimate ^{13}CO column densities $> 5 \times 10^{15} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ along this finger (and clumps an order of magnitude higher column density; their Figure 10), and adopt $N_{\text{H}_2} = 5 \times 10^6 N_{^{13}\text{CO}}$; along with a dust opacity of roughly $1.3 \times 10^{-20} \text{ cm}^2$ per H atom at $2.17 \mu\text{m}$ (Weingartner & Draine 2001), we estimate the characteristic optical depth of the finger to be ~ 0.65 . It is therefore quite likely that most of the observed emission is emitted on the Earthward side of the molecular finger. The absence of obvious shadows associated with high-column clumps of ^{13}CO corroborates this interpretation.

On a similar basis, we infer that emission seen just west of R136 is likely to originate from behind the cluster (as seen from earth), on the basis that the Wong et al. (2011) CO contours extend into this region. This geometry is further supported by recent optical emission line studies by Pellegrini et al. (2011; P11). With optical emission line ratios together with modeled ionization parameters, P11 suggests that Area A and the region just west of 30 Dor are located 60 pc behind R136.

Area B may share the same geometry as the regions we discussed above—this filament of molecular gas should be behind the $\text{Br}\gamma$ emission and R136. Although the $\text{Br}\gamma$ morphology is filamentary with rather poor correlation with the H_2 emission, $\text{Br}\gamma$ is seen to partially coincide with the H_2 and CO emission in the area instead of being on the edge of molecular clouds. This suggests that the $\text{Br}\gamma$ emission is not shielded by molecular clouds, and we are viewing this region face-on. Lacking high-resolution CO data, however, we cannot draw this conclusion with certainty.

Area C is likely oriented differently relative to 30 Dor than are Areas A and B. The bright $\text{Br}\gamma$ emission in this region shows relatively poor spatial correlation with the H_2 emission. $\text{Br}\gamma$ is seen closer to R136 in the projected plane of sky, and it envelops the H_2 emission, which has a relatively small projected area. Although $\text{Br}\gamma$ extends outward from the H_2 emission (and toward 30 Dor) to a similar degree here as in Areas A and B, the much smaller H_2 -emitting regions give it more of the appearance of an evaporation flow.

In all three regions there are locations where $\text{Br}\gamma$ emission extends away from a boundary of the H_2 emission, generally in the direction toward 30 Dor, before terminating at an enclosing boundary about two to three parsecs away. To be specific, in

⁶ Note that this mass estimate yields a lower limit of molecular mass, because it does not include the mass associated with all other levels.




Figure 3. H_2 to $Br\gamma$ line ratio map of 30 Dor, convolved by Gaussian kernels to a $4''/5$ resolution. The colorbar marks the H_2 to $Br\gamma$ ratio; R136 is marked by a cyan cross.

Figure 1 we measure projected standoff distances of 2.5 pc perpendicular to the finger in Area A (to the southeast); of 2.2 pc (toward 30 Dor) from the small H_2 blob at the southern end of Area A; of about 1.9 pc (toward 30 Dor) from parts of the H_2 ridge in Area B; and of 1.9 pc (toward 30 Dor) and 2.2 pc (perpendicularly to the northeast) from the H_2 pillars in Area C. All of these characteristics—the tendency of $Br\gamma$ emission to enclose H_2 , its tendency to extend a few parsecs toward 30 Dor, and its tendency to meet a sharp boundary, are expected to reflect the physical origin of these two types of emission, as well as the relative importance of effects such as photo-evaporation and confinement by stellar wind pressure.

3.4. H_2 to $Br\gamma$ Line Ratio

The morphological relations between H_2 , $Br\gamma$, and CO emission indicate that the observed H_2 emission traces the PDRs in 30 Dor. With data of only one H_2 emission line, we do not have sufficient information to firmly constrain the physical quantities in the PDRs. Nevertheless, the line ratio of H_2 to $Br\gamma$ is a useful guide to delineate the spatial distribution and structure of molecular gas relative to ionized gas in the PDRs, especially when a PDR is viewed face-on. Combining with numerical modeling efforts, the observed H_2 to $Br\gamma$ line ratio offers a hint of the physical properties of molecular and ionized gas in 30 Dor.

A line ratio map H_2 to $Br\gamma$ is shown in Figure 3. Pixels in the $Br\gamma$ image were clipped at a 3σ level, and pixels with S/N higher than $50\sigma^7$ detection in the H_2 image were masked in order to exclude bright saturated stars. A line ratio map then was convolved to a $4''/5$ resolution with a Gaussian kernel.

Overall, areas with higher $H_2/Br\gamma$ ratios in 30 Dor are clumpy, with ratios of 0.2–0.5. Most areas show lower $H_2/Br\gamma$ ratio ~ 0.1 , which agrees with the same line ratio observed in M16 (L00, derived from the total fluxes). Higher $H_2/Br\gamma$ ratios are seen in Area A, Area B, filaments north of Area B, and some isolated pillar features (including Area C) at the outer shells of 30 Dor.

In Area A, the H_2 to $Br\gamma$ ratio across the area appears clumpy, with some localized high line ratio areas and “voids.”

The maximum ratio is 0.5, and the overall ratio is >0.2 . The clumpy H_2 distribution and H_2 to $Br\gamma$ line ratios seen in Area A indicate that FUV radiation could penetrate deeper into the molecular clouds. The maximum line ratio at Area B is 0.45, and the overall ratio is ~ 0.3 . The line ratio morphology suggests that $Br\gamma$ across this area is fairly filamentary. Area C displayed a high H_2 to $Br\gamma$ line ratio of 0.3, which coincides with the bright H_2 -emitting area at the tip of the pillars.

We note that the two ISM components traced by $Br\gamma$ and H_2 arise in adjacent but noticeably separate areas, and the local, apparent line ratios are subject to significant projection effects. The projection effect is most severe in regions where the ionized gas envelops a molecular pillar viewed edge-on, such as in Area C.

4. PHOTOIONIZATION MODELS

4.1. Motivations

Our main motivation to carry out numerical simulations is to explore a wide range of physical conditions in H II regions and PDRs, and obtain emission line intensities as a function of physical conditions. The observed $Br\gamma$ and one single H_2 emission provide very limited information, but with numerical simulations, we are still able to learn something useful about the physical conditions in 30 Dor. Another motivation is to explore the issue of bright emission line contamination in the data. A series of H_2 emission lines, hydrogen recombination lines, and He recombination lines are present in the $2\mu m$ regime. We found evidence of additional emission line contamination (other than $Br\gamma$) in the Ks continuum, affecting continuum subtraction in the H_2 image (see Section 2.3.1). We have empirically corrected for the contamination, and the estimated contamination level is in good agreement with similar types of observations in other H II regions. Numerical simulations are helpful for investigating feasible origins of contamination and consequences.

4.2. Model Parameters

We generated models of simple H II regions to study emission line intensities and physical conditions of molecular clouds in 30 Dor. First we used Starburst99 (Leitherer et al. 1999) to generate ionizing continuum spectra of a massive coeval star cluster at 2 Myr age because the age of R136 is $\lesssim 2$ Myr (de Koter et al. 1998; Massey & Hunter 1998). The star cluster is assumed to be massive enough to fully sample the initial mass function, which has exponents -1.3 and -2.3 between stellar mass boundaries of 0.1, 0.5, and $120 M_\odot$. We employed the Geneva high mass-loss evolutionary tracks with 0.4 solar metallicity. The Geneva high mass-loss tracks are optimized for modeling atmospheres of high-mass stars and are recommended by Maeder & Meynet (1994). We adopt Pauldrach/Hillier atmospheres and the LMC UV line library. The atmospheres include non-LTE and line-blanketing effects (Smith et al. 2002) for O stars (Pauldrach et al. 2001) and Wolf-Rayet stars (Hillier & Miller 1998).

Starburst99 output continuum spectra are fed into Cloudy 08.00⁸ as the ionizing continuum of each simulated H II region. Pellegrini et al. (2010, 2011) suggested that the inner 15 pc of 30 Dor lacks ionized gas and thus molecular clouds. The IF

⁷ An empirical value to mask saturated bright stars.

⁸ Calculations were performed with version 08.00 of Cloudy, last described by Ferland et al. (1998).

near Area A identified in Pellegrini et al. (2010) is located at a projected distance of 30 pc, and materials behind the star cluster R136 are at a characteristic distance of 60 pc. We therefore set the inner radius of the simulated H II regions at 30 and 60 pc with respect to the ionizing source.⁹ A wide density grid $\log(n_{\text{H}}) = 1\text{--}5$ is incorporated in the calculations. We adopt the dust grain size distributions of the LMC (Weingartner & Draine 2001; Pellegrini et al. 2011), and Cloudy’s default ISM abundances at 0.4 solar metallicity. Each calculation stops when the cloud temperature drops to 30 K, well inside a PDR. Integrated line luminosities, Br γ at 2.17 μm , He I at 2.06 μm , H₂ 1–0 S(1) at 2.12 μm , H₂ 1–0 S(0) at 2.22 μm , and H₂ 2–1 S(1) at 2.25 μm , are calculated and recorded.

5. MODEL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

With the model parameters indicated in Section 4.2, we first modeled H II regions under perfect force balance between thermal pressure of the cloud and incident radiation and wind pressure. At the distance 30 and 60 pc away from the ionizing source, ionized gas density in the force-balanced H II regions appears constant; however, in the PDR region the cloud pressure can become unrealistically high when the ionized gas density is high. This is because our simple models do not include turbulence and magnetic field pressure terms, which are important in supporting molecular clouds. The modeled regions thus form artificially high thermal pressure beyond the IF in order to meet the force-balance criterium. To resolve this problem, we turned to the constant density model. The Br γ emission intensities calculated in both force balance and constant density models are consistent to within 1%; therefore it is reassuring that the constant density models adequately represent the emission line spectra in the ionized gas, which is important in affecting the FUV spectra entered into PDR. We generated tables of emission line intensities as a function of the density grid and interpolated the observed line ratios using such tables, to obtain plausible range of physical conditions in 30 Dor.

5.1. Molecular Gas Density

We also modeled simple H II regions with parameters described in Section 4 and computed the Br γ and H₂ 1–0 S(1) emission intensities as a function of gas density $\log_{10} n_{\text{H}} = 1$ to 5 (Figure 4). The computed H₂ to Br γ line ratios at 30 and 60 pc are shown in purple and gray lines, and the dotted and dashed lines mark the observational constraints of molecular gas densities and H₂/Br γ ratios in Area A and C. The lower limit of molecular gas densities is set by the ionized gas densities evaluated from the [S II] emission line doublet in Pellegrini et al. (2010) near the IFs at Areas A and C. The ionized gas densities at those locations are found to be 10 and $10^{1.9} \text{ cm}^{-3}$, respectively. The upper limit of the H₂ to Br γ line ratio in each area is set by our observations.

The H₂ to Br γ line ratio in most parts of Area A is <0.5 , implying that the molecular gas density is $<10^4 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ at 30 pc and $<10^3 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ at 60 pc. The maximum H₂ to Br γ ratio in Area A is ~ 0.5 , and the corresponding molecular gas density would be $\sim 10^{4.3}$ and $10^{3.1} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ at a distance 30 and 60 pc, respectively. The simple comparison of observed and modeled line ratios suggests that H₂ in Area A is formed in relatively




Figure 4. H₂ 1–0 S(1) to Br γ line ratios as a function of molecular gas density (n_{mol}) and distance to the ionizing source. The purple line shows H₂ to Br γ line ratio computed at a distance of 30 pc, and the line ratio computed at 60 pc is shown in the gray line. Observational constraints on H₂ to Br γ ratios and n_{mol} in Areas A and C are marked by blue dotted and cyan dashed lines, respectively.

low density areas in the PDR of 30 Dor, close to the surface of the molecular cloud in conjunction to the IF, where ionized gas density is $\sim 10^{2.7} \text{ cm}^{-3}$. This is consistent with our findings in Section 3 that the observed H₂ emission arises from the PDR near IFs.

In the Area C pillars, the maximum H₂ to Br γ line ratio measured is 0.3, where H₂ emission intensity is also highest. The projected distance of Area C is greater than 30 pc, we therefore refer to the 60 pc model. At the upper limit of the H₂ to Br γ ratio of 0.3, the molecular gas density would be $\sim 10^{2.4} \text{ cm}^{-3}$. The Area C H₂ emission is seen with a projected separation of 3 pc from its Br γ envelope. With the ionized gas density $10^{1.9} \text{ cm}^{-3}$, a depth of 3 pc corresponds to $A_V < 0.5$. One expects molecular hydrogen to form inside a molecular cloud at depths $A_V \sim 0.13$ (van Dishoeck & Black 1988), which further supports the notion that the observed H₂ emission in Area C is formed inside the PDR but at a rather shallow depth. This conclusion holds just as well for the other locations where we have identified Br γ extending 2–3 pc from an edge in the H₂ emission. As noted in Section 3.4, the apparent H₂/Br γ line ratio determined on small scales is subject to projection effects because of this physical offset.

We can compare the observed H₂ emission fluxes with PDR models such as Sternberg & Dalgarno (1989; SD89) and Black & van Dishoeck (1987; BvD87), and constrain molecular gas densities in Areas A, B, and C. The FUV radiation field χ in 30 Dor is $\sim 500 \chi_0$ (Pineda et al. 2009; Anderson et al. 2014, where χ_0 (Draine 1978) relates to the Habing (1968) field by a factor of 1.71), while SD89 and BvD87 predicted that the H₂ 1–0 S(1) intensity is $\lesssim 2\%$ of the total H₂ intensity at such radiation hardness. Adopting the average H₂ emission intensities at Areas A, B, and C as 2% of the total H₂ intensity, the corresponding molecular gas densities in those areas are $<10^4 \text{ cm}^{-3}$, in coarse agreement with our Cloudy calculations. To firmly constrain molecular cloud densities in the 30 Dor PDR, we will need multiple ro-vibrational transitions of H₂ emission in followup studies. With one H₂

⁹ Regions modeled at a projected distance <10 pc would require ionizing sources other than R136 (Pellegrini et al. 2011).

transition and $\text{Br}\gamma$, nevertheless, our analysis suggests that molecular clouds associated with the observed H_2 emission have densities $<10^4 \text{ cm}^{-3}$.

5.2. Fluorescence or Shock Excitation? Origin of the H_2 1–0 $S(1)$ Line Emission

NIR molecular hydrogen emission lines in H II regions can form either via (1) pure fluorescence excitation or (2) shock heating, and the best way to distinguish fluorescence from shock excitation is to analyze multiple transitions of rovibrational molecular hydrogen emission lines. Although such spectroscopic data do not yet exist, the H_2 morphology, compared with CO morphology and H_2 to $\text{Br}\gamma$ ratios, suggests no evidence of shock excitation.

As reported in Section 3.1 and Section 3.2, the H_2 morphology generally correlates well with that of CO. H_2 is likely to correlate poorly with CO emission in the case of shock excitation, which is often seen in star-forming regions with active protostellar outflows. A good example is the Orion A giant molecular cloud (Davis et al. 2009).

The H_2 to $\text{Br}\gamma$ line ratio is another diagnostic to distinguish fluorescence from shock excitation. In shock-dominated regions, the line ratio is often found greater than unity (Puxley et al. 2000; Medling et al. 2015); while in massive star-forming regions, the H_2 to $\text{Br}\gamma$ ratio is <0.6 (Joseph et al. 1984; Moorwood & Oliva 1988; Rodríguez-Ardila et al. 2004, 2005; Riffel et al. 2010). The reason behind the distinctive line ratios is fairly simple. Shocks cannot excite $\text{Br}\gamma$ emission, therefore in shock-dominated regions the H_2 to $\text{Br}\gamma$ ratio will be high, regardless of viewing angle. On the other hand, UV radiation from massive stars excites $\text{Br}\gamma$ emission as well as H_2 . $\text{Br}\gamma$ is often very bright, and when viewed face-on, one naturally finds relatively low H_2 to $\text{Br}\gamma$ ratios. In 30 Dor, the line ratio is no greater than 0.5 (see Section 3.4), which favors fluorescence as the dominant H_2 excitation mechanism. We note that in regions viewed edge-on where $\text{Br}\gamma$ is seen spatially offset from H_2 , such as the pillars in Area C, the H_2 to $\text{Br}\gamma$ ratio will be very low. Nevertheless, the presence of both $\text{Br}\gamma$ and H_2 excludes shock excitation, regardless of viewing angle.

5.2.1. H_2 and $8 \mu\text{m}$ Emission Correlation

The $8 \mu\text{m}$ emission adds additional information for diagnosing the origin of H_2 emission in 30 Dor. Emission at $8 \mu\text{m}$ is largely dominated by Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbon (PAH) emission, which is excited by FUV radiation in PDRs. Shocks, on the other hand, will destroy the PAH molecules and suppress the emission (Flower & Pineau des Forêts 2003; Micelotta et al. 2010). Therefore spatial and morphological correlations between the H_2 and $8 \mu\text{m}$ emission provide hints of the excitation mechanism of the observed H_2 emission. Good morphological correlations between the two distributions would suggest that H_2 emission is predominantly fluorescence excited, just like the $8 \mu\text{m}$ emission; poor morphological correlations would otherwise imply that the FUV radiation is not a major excitation source of the observed H_2 emission. The only excessive H_2 emission related to shock activities is found near protostars at $4.5 \mu\text{m}$, e.g., Cyganowski et al. (2008) and Lee et al. (2013).

We convolved the H_2 image and Spitzer IRAC $8 \mu\text{m}$ image to the same spatial resolution of $2''.0$, and the superimposed image is shown in Figure 5. The H_2 emission is displayed in




Figure 5. Spatial and morphological correlations between H_2 and $8 \mu\text{m}$ emission in 30 Doradus. H_2 emission is shown in red, and the image is convolved to a $2''.0$ resolution to match that in the $8 \mu\text{m}$ image. The $8 \mu\text{m}$ emission is shown in green. R136 is marked by a cyan cross.




Figure 6. Surface brightness of H_2 and $8 \mu\text{m}$ in Area A of 30 Doradus. The color bar indicates the number of pixels in each surface brightness bin of H_2 and $8 \mu\text{m}$ data. The H_2 and $8 \mu\text{m}$ intensities show an excellent correlation in this area, implying that the H_2 emission originated inside the PDRs.

red, while the $8 \mu\text{m}$ emission is in green. The overall morphologies of H_2 and $8 \mu\text{m}$ emission correlate very well in the entire 30 Dor nebula, as well as in all three areas of interest: A, B, and C. In Figure 6, we plotted the surface brightness of H_2 and $8 \mu\text{m}$ emission in Area A. The H_2 and $8 \mu\text{m}$ emission intensities also show a rather tight correlation. The good morphological and intensity correlations between H_2 and $8 \mu\text{m}$ emission strongly implies that the observed H_2 emission is predominantly excited by the FUV radiation in the PDR.

In several places within the nebula, especially Area C, H_2 and $8 \mu\text{m}$ emission highlight pillar structures. These are enclosed by thicker layers of ionized gas traced by $\text{Br}\gamma$ (noted in Section 3), which in turn have sharp boundaries that presumably arise from the pressure of the X-ray emitting hot gas. An example is shown in a zoomed-in figure in Figure 1. In

Table 2
Emission Lines Within the Ks Bandpass

Line	Wavelength (μm)
Br γ	2.17
He I 2 ¹ P–2 ¹ S	2.06
H ₂ 1–0 S(2)	2.03
H ₂ 1–0 S(1)	2.12
H ₂ 1–0 S(0)	2.22
H ₂ 2–1 S(1)	2.25

other locations, such as the finger in Area A, the 8 μm emission shares an inner edge with the Br γ emission, as though the grains responsible for this emission permeate the photoionized gas. We intend to further pursue the physical interpretation of these correlations in a future paper.

5.3. Bright Emission Line Contamination

We use our models to further explore the bright emission line contamination issue in our H₂ image. Br γ at 2.17 μm and H₂ 1–0 S(1) at 2.12 μm are present in the modeled continuum spectra and are very close to the center of the Ks band filter, as expected. In addition, there are He I 2¹P–2¹S emission lines at 2.06 μm , H₂ 1–0 S(2) at 2.03 μm , H₂ 1–0 S(0) at 2.22 μm , and H₂ 2–1 S(1) at 2.25 μm . Table 2 summarizes the emission lines in the Ks band.

The H₂ 1–0 S(0), H₂ 1–0 S(2), and H₂ 2–1 S(1) lines are negligible, for they contribute <10% of the Br γ flux in the Ks band. The He I line is the most plausible source of continuum contamination in our data. The calculated line ratios He I to Br γ at densities <10⁴ cm^{−3} are 0.6 to 0.7, which suggests that the He I line will contribute 60% to 70% of the Br γ intensity to the continuum level, in agreement with the empirically evaluated 61% level in Section 2.3.1 and the 70% level in M16 (L00). Since Br γ emission is 7% of the Ks continuum, the He I contamination in the filter will be up to 4%, assuming the distribution is the same.

6. SUMMARY

We present the first and fully calibrated H₂ 1–0 S(1) emission image of the entire 30 Doradus nebula, as well as a Br γ image. In the data reduction process, we confirmed Br γ and He I emission line contamination in the Ks continuum via empirical analysis and Cloudy simulations. The error in contamination-corrected H₂ images is estimated to be ~4%.

The overall morphology of H₂ correlates well with Br γ and CO emission, implying that the observed H₂ originates from the PDRs in 30 Doradus. The brightest H₂-emitting areas (Area A and Area B) are PDRs viewed face-on located behind the ionizing source R136, and the warm molecular clouds traced by H₂ appear to be clumpy. Those regions also trace the CO morphology well, further indicating that these PDRs are face-on, with layers of ionized gas, warm molecular gas, and cold molecular clouds. Discontinuity of H₂ and Br γ morphology is found at the outer shells and pillar features (such as Area C) in 30 Dor, where the H₂ pillars are encompassed by Br γ envelopes of sharp boundaries. This suggests that we are viewing the the shells and pillars of the H II region edge-on. The mean projected separation between the Br γ envelope and H₂ clumps is 3 pc ($A_V < 0.7$), indicating that H₂ emission is formed in the PDRs close to the surface of the molecular

clouds. The density of H₂-emitting gas is inferred from the observed H₂ to Br γ line ratios, the Cloudy model results, as theoretical predictions of H₂ emission in PDRs. The molecular gas density is estimated to be <10⁴ cm^{−3}. Low H₂ to Br γ line ratios (<0.5), as well as good morphological correlations between the H₂ and 8 μm emission, implying again that the observed H₂ emission is excited by FUV radiation.

While it requires multiple transitions of ro-vibrational H₂ lines to constrain the excitation mechanisms and physical parameters of the ISM, such data do not yet exist. Our imaging observations suggest that the observed H₂ emission likely arises from a lower density layer of the PDR near IFs in 30 Doradus. We found no sign of shock-excited H₂ emission, and all indications were consistent with fluorescent excitation.

We thank the anonymous referee for detailed and constructive comments. We also thank all CTIO 4 m Blanco Telescope staff for their assistance during the observing runs. S.C.C.Y. acknowledges help on data reduction from Ron Probst, Mark Dickinson, and Robert Swaters. S.C.C.Y.'s research is supported by a NAOJ-Subaru Telescope Fellowship and a University of Toronto Fellowship. C.D.M.'s research is supported by an NSERC Discovery grant. We are also pleased to thank Lee Armus, Tim Heckman, Norman Murray, and Peter Martin for useful discussions.

REFERENCES

- Anderson, C. N., Meier, D. S., Ott, J., et al. 2014, *ApJ*, **793**, 37
 Bertin, E., & Arnouts, S. 1996, *A&AS*, **117**, 393
 Binette, L., Wilson, A. S., Raga, A., & Storchi-Bergmann, T. 1997, *A&A*, **327**, 909
 Black, J. H., & van Dishoeck, E. F. 1987, *ApJ*, **322**, 412
 Chu, Y.-H., & Kennicutt, R. C., Jr. 1994, *ApJ*, **425**, 720
 Conti, P. S., & Crowther, P. A. 2004, *MNRAS*, **355**, 899
 Crowther, P. A., & Dessart, L. 1998, *MNRAS*, **296**, 622
 Cyganowski, C. J., Whitney, B. A., Holden, E., et al. 2008, *AJ*, **136**, 2391
 Davis, C. J., Froebrich, D., Stanke, T., et al. 2009, *A&A*, **496**, 153
 de Koter, A., Heap, S. R., & Hubeny, I. 1998, *ApJ*, **509**, 879
 Dopita, M. A., Fischera, J., Crowley, O., et al. 2006, *ApJ*, **639**, 788
 Dopita, M. A., Groves, B. A., Fischera, J., et al. 2005, *ApJ*, **619**, 755
 Draine, B. T. 1978, *ApJS*, **36**, 595
 Draine, B. T. 2011, *ApJ*, **732**, 100
 Elmegreen, B. G., & Lada, C. J. 1977, *ApJ*, **214**, 725
 Fall, S. M., Krumholz, M. R., & Matzner, C. D. 2010, *ApJL*, **710**, L142
 Ferland, G. J., Korista, K. T., Verner, D. A., et al. 1998, *PASP*, **110**, 761
 Flower, D. R., & Pineau des Forêts, G. 2003, *MNRAS*, **343**, 390
 Habing, H. J. 1968, *BAN*, **19**, 421
 Hester, J. J., Scowen, P. A., Sankrit, R., et al. 1996, *AJ*, **111**, 2349
 Hillier, D. J., & Miller, D. L. 1998, *ApJ*, **496**, 407
 Indebetouw, R., Brogan, C., Chen, C.-H. R., et al. 2013, *ApJ*, **774**, 73
 Indebetouw, R., de Messières, G. E., Madden, S., et al. 2009, *ApJ*, **694**, 84
 Johansson, L. E. B., Greve, A., Booth, R. S., et al. 1998, *A&A*, **331**, 857
 Joseph, R. D., Wade, R., & Wright, G. S. 1984, *Natur*, **311**, 132
 Krumholz, M. R., Matzner, C. D., & McKee, C. F. 2006, *ApJ*, **653**, 361
 Lee, H.-T., Liao, W.-T., Froebrich, D., et al. 2013, *ApJS*, **208**, 23
 Leitherer, C., Schaerer, D., Goldader, J. D., et al. 1999, *ApJS*, **123**, 3
 Levenson, N. A., Graham, J. R., McLean, I. S., et al. 2000, *ApJL*, **533**, L53
 Maeder, A., & Meynet, G. 1994, *A&A*, **287**, 803
 Massey, P., & Hunter, D. A. 1998, *ApJ*, **493**, 180
 Matzner, C. D. 2002, *ApJ*, **566**, 302
 Medling, A. M., U, V., Rich, J. A., et al. 2015, *MNRAS*, **448**, 2301
 Micelotta, E. R., Jones, A. P., & Tielens, A. G. G. M. 2010, *A&A*, **510**, A36
 Moorwood, A. F. M., & Oliva, E. 1988, *A&A*, **203**, 278
 Murray, N., Quataert, E., & Thompson, T. A. 2010, *ApJ*, **709**, 191
 Oey, M. S., Watson, A. M., Kern, K., & Walth, G. L. 2005, *AJ*, **129**, 393
 Pauldrach, A. W. A., Hoffmann, T. L., & Lennon, M. 2001, *A&A*, **375**, 161
 Pellegrini, E. W., Baldwin, J. A., & Ferland, G. J. 2010, *ApJS*, **191**, 160
 Pellegrini, E. W., Baldwin, J. A., & Ferland, G. J. 2011, *ApJ*, **738**, 34

- Persson, S. E., Murphy, D. C., Krzeminski, W., Roth, M., & Rieke, M. J. 1998, [AJ](#), **116**, 2475
- Pineda, J. L., Mizuno, N., Röllig, M., et al. 2012, [A&A](#), **544**, A84
- Pineda, J. L., Ott, J., Klein, U., et al. 2009, [ApJ](#), **703**, 736
- Poglitsch, A., Krabbe, A., Madden, S. C., et al. 1995, [ApJ](#), **454**, 293
- Probst, R. G., George, J. R., Daly, P. N., Don, K., & Ellis, M. 2008, *Proc. SPIE*, 7014, 72
- Puxley, P. J., Ramsay Howat, S. K., & Mountain, C. M. 2000, [ApJ](#), **529**, 224
- Rahman, M., Moon, D.-S., & Matzner, C. D. 2011, [ApJL](#), **743**, L28
- Riffel, R. A., Storch-Bergmann, T., & Nagar, N. M. 2010, [MNRAS](#), **404**, 166
- Rodríguez-Ardila, A., Pastoriza, M. G., Viegas, S., Sigut, T. A. A., & Pradhan, A. K. 2004, [A&A](#), **425**, 457
- Rodríguez-Ardila, A., Riffel, R., & Pastoriza, M. G. 2005, [MNRAS](#), **364**, 1041
- Rubio, M., Barbá, R. H., Walborn, N. R., et al. 1998, [AJ](#), **116**, 1708
- Smith, L. J., Norris, R. P. F., & Crowther, P. A. 2002, [MNRAS](#), **337**, 1309
- Sternberg, A., & Dalgarno, A. 1989, [ApJ](#), **338**, 197
- Swaters, R. A., Valdes, F., & Dickinson, M. E. 2009, in *ASP Conf. Ser.* 411, *Astronomical Data Analysis Software and Systems XVIII*, ed. D. A. Bohlender, D. Durand, & P. Dowler (San Francisco, CA: ASP), 506
- Townsend, L. K., Broos, P. S., Feigelson, E. D., et al. 2006, [AJ](#), **131**, 2140
- Turner, J., Kirby-Docken, K., & Dalgarno, A. 1977, [ApJS](#), **35**, 281
- van Dishoeck, E. F., & Black, J. H. 1988, [ApJ](#), **334**, 771
- Verdolini, S., Yeh, S. C. C., Krumholz, M. R., Matzner, C. D., & Tielens, A. G. G. M. 2013, [ApJ](#), **769**, 12
- Weingartner, J. C., & Draine, B. T. 2001, [ApJ](#), **548**, 296
- Whitworth, A. 1979, [MNRAS](#), **186**, 59
- Williams, J. P., & McKee, C. F. 1997, [ApJ](#), **476**, 166
- Wolniewicz, L., Simbotin, I., & Dalgarno, A. 1998, [ApJS](#), **115**, 293
- Wong, T., Hughes, A., Ott, J., et al. 2011, [ApJS](#), **197**, 16
- Yeh, S. C. C., & Matzner, C. D. 2012, [ApJ](#), **757**, 108
- Yeh, S. C. C., Verdolini, S., Krumholz, M. R., Matzner, C. D., & Tielens, A. G. G. M. 2013, [ApJ](#), **769**, 11
- Zavagno, A., Anderson, L. D., Russeil, D., et al. 2010, [A&A](#), **518**, L101