The Astrophysical Journal, 652:845, 2006 November 20
© 2006. The American Astronomical Society. All rights reserved. Printed in U.S.A.

ERRATUM: "STUDY OF THE GALACTIC INTERSTELLAR MEDIUM FROM HIGH-RESOLUTION X-RAY SPECTROSCOPY: X-RAY ABSORPTION FINE STRUCTURE AND ABUNDANCES OF O, Mg, Si, S, AND Fe" (ApJ, 620, 274 [2005])

Yoshihiro Ueda, Kazuhisa Mitsuda, Hiroshi Murakami, and Kyoko Matsushita

Due to an error at the Press, some proof corrections were not carried faithfully to the published version of the paper. In particular, several values of abundance ratios are incorrect in the text, and are inconsistent with the correct values given in Table 6. The difference is within the error and does not essentially affect the conclusion.

1. In the Abstract, in line 10, the mean value of the O/Si ratio should be 0.55 ± 0.17, not 0.63 ± 0.17. In the last line, the estimated O fraction in silicate grains should be 17%–43%, not 15%–37%.

2. In Table 1, the values of the column (4) (page 275) should read 2.1, 2.8, and 4.8 ($\times 10^{22}$ cm^{-2}) for GX 13+1, GX 5–1, and GX 340+0, respectively.

3. In § 3.1 (page 276, 6th line of first paragraph) the value "$N_{\rm H} \simeq (2\text{–}6) \times 10^{22}$ cm^{-2}" should read "$N_{\rm H} \simeq (2\text{–}5) \times 10^{22}$ cm^{-2}".

4. In § 4.1 (page 282), the last sentence should read (corrected parts are underlined): "Consequently, we determine the number ratios of H/Si, O/Si, Mg/Si, S/Si, Fe/Si, and Mg/O to be <u>15,000 ± 11,000 (0.55 ± 0.39 solar)</u>, <u>13.3 ± 3.9 (0.55 ± 0.17 solar)</u>, 1.22 ± 0.14 (1.14 ± 0.13 solar), 0.47 ± 0.06 (1.03 ± 0.12 solar), 1.28 ± 0.41 (0.97 ± 0.31 solar), and <u>0.100 ± 0.046 (2.2 ± 1.1 solar)</u>, respectively."

5. In § 4.2 (page 284), the 9th line of the last paragraph, the value "1.93 ± 0.86 solar" should read "2.2 ± 1.1 solar".

6. In § 4.3 (page 284), first paragraph, 4th from last line, the value "(O/Si = 15.0 ± 4.0)" should read "(O/Si = 13.3 ± 3.9)", and in the second to last line "0.15–0.37" should read "0.17–0.43". In the second paragraph of § 4.3, 11th line, the value "$(O)_{sil}/(O) = 0.25^{+0.10}_{-0.05}$" should read "$(O)_{sil}/(O) = 0.29^{+0.12}_{-0.07}$", and in the 18th line "53%–20% = 33%" should read "49%–22% = 27%".

7. In § 5 (page 285), in the third line of the 5th paragraph "0.63 ± 0.17" should read "0.55 ± 0.17", and in the second to last line of the 6th paragraph "15%–37%" should read "17%–43%".

The Press sincerely regrets these errors.