IOPscience

This content has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text.

Download details:

IP Address: 13.58.90.148 This content was downloaded on 13/05/2024 at 14:56

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

You may also like:

Monte Carlo Calculations in Nuclear Medicine (Second Edition)

Three-dimensional modeling of energy transport in a gliding arc discharge in argon St Kolev and A Bogaerts

Tailoring the excited-state energy landscape in supramolecular nanostructures Klaus Kreger, Hans-Werner Schmidt and Richard Hildner

INVERSE PROBLEMS NEWSLETTER

Part I

Theory and Computation

IOP Publishing

Nanoscale Energy Transport

Emerging phenomena, methods and applications

Bolin Liao

Chapter 1

Hydrodynamic phonon transport: past, present and prospects

Sangyeop Lee and Xun Li

Hydrodynamic phonon transport began to be studied several decades ago to verify the quantum theory of lattice thermal transport. The recent prediction of significant hydrodynamic phonon transport in graphitic materials shows its practical importance for high thermal conductivity materials and has brought this field renewed attention. As the study of this topic had been inactive to some extent for several decades, we aim to provide a brief overview of earlier studies as well as very recent studies. The topics we discuss in this chapter include the collective motion of phonons, several approaches to solving the Peierls–Boltzmann transport equation for hydrodynamic phonon transport, the role of normal scattering for thermal resistance and the propagation of second sound. Then, we close this chapter with our perspectives for future studies and the practical implications of hydrodynamic phonon transport.

1.1 Introduction

The transport of phonons, a major heat carrier in non-metallic solids, has usually been described using the diffusive limit, since Fourier's law was suggested 200 years ago. Fourier's law has a simple form that correlates thermodynamic driving force (i.e. temperature gradient, $-\nabla T$) and the resulting heat flux (q''):

$$\frac{1}{\kappa}q'' = -\nabla T. \tag{1.1}$$

This empirical law shows that there is always a damping coefficient, $1/\kappa$, involved in transport phenomena. $1/\kappa$ is the thermal resistance which determines the extent of damping in heat flow and the resulting heat flux at a given temperature gradient. However, such a damping effect is not observed in fluid flow, although both phonons and molecules are described well by the same Boltzmann transport theory.

Also, they have similar thermodynamic driving forces—molecules are driven by pressure gradient-like phonons that are driven by a temperature gradient. Assuming an infinitely large domain to exclude any effect from the boundary, the molecular flow at the macroscale can be described by Euler's equation,

$$\frac{\mathbf{D}(\rho \mathbf{u})}{\mathbf{D}t} = -\nabla p, \tag{1.2}$$

where ρ and **u** are the density and velocity of the fluid element, respectively. With the Lagrangian coordinate, equation (1.2) shows the acceleration of molecules under the pressure gradient $(-\nabla p)$ without any damping effect. This is the thermodynamic limit where the entropy generation is zero.

Now, one might ask a question-why does phonon flow as described by Fourier's law exhibit a damping effect while molecular flow does not? Interestingly, Nernst speculated a century ago that heat in high thermal conductivity materials may have inertia like a fluid [1]. The different behaviors of damping in molecular and phonon flows can be associated with the difference in the scattering processes of those two particles in terms of momentum conservation. For molecular flow, the total momentum of the molecules is always conserved upon molecule-molecule scattering. Therefore, inter-molecular scattering itself cannot cease the given molecular flow. For phonon flow, however, the total momentum of phonons is not always conserved upon phonon-phonon scattering. There are two different scattering mechanisms regarding the momentum conservation: normal and Umklapp scattering (hereafter N-scattering and U-scattering, respectively), suggested by Peierls [2]. As shown in figure 1.1(a), N-scattering involves phonon states with small wavevectors and the total momentum of phonon particles is conserved $(\mathbf{q}_1 + \mathbf{q}_2 = \mathbf{q}_3)$, as in the inter-molecular scattering case. However, for U-scattering, the total momentum of phonon particles is not conserved. As a result, the phonon propagation direction is reversed upon U-scattering, thus directly causing thermal resistance. Phonon scattering by impurities, as shown in figure 1.1(c), also directly causes thermal resistance as it does not conserve total momentum. Hereafter, R-scattering refers to combined U- and impurity-scattering. In most materials at room temperature, N-scattering is weak compared to R-scattering, leading to the large damping effect of heat flow in solid materials.



Figure 1.1. (a) Schematic of N-scattering, (b) U-scattering and (c) impurity scattering in the reciprocal space. The hexagon represents the first Brillouin zone.

We have assumed an infinitely large domain to compare the intrinsic damping of phonon flow and molecular flow. However, all solid materials have finite size, introducing phonon-boundary scattering. In most cases, the phonon-boundary scattering is diffuse boundary scattering rather than specular boundary scattering. The three types of phonon scattering (i.e. diffuse boundary scattering, N-scattering and R-scattering) influence phonon transport in different ways and thus there exist three regimes of phonon transport—the ballistic, hydrodynamic and diffusive regimes schematically shown in figure 1.2—depending on the dominant type of scattering mechanism. These three regimes occur in different ranges of temperature. The ballistic regime occurs at a low temperature where internal phonon scattering is much weaker than phonon-boundary scattering. Therefore, the phonon transport is limited by the diffuse boundary scattering and the thermal resistance is determined by the size and shape of the samples. As temperature increases, the internal phonon scattering starts to play a role in the transport process. At sufficiently low temperature, the majority of internal phonon scattering is N-scattering as phonon states with large wavevectors cannot be occupied. Because of the momentum conserving nature of N-scattering, the resulting phonon transport is similar to fluid flow and thus is called hydrodynamic phonon transport. Figure 1.2(b) shows the schematic of the heat flux profile, similar to the molecular Poiseuille flow. When temperature increases further, U-scattering becomes significant and the thermal resistance is due to the direct momentum destruction by U-scattering. As U-scattering occurs in any location, the heat flux has a spatially uniform profile as shown in figure 1.2(c).

The N- and U-scattering for phonons, since suggested by Peierls around a century ago [2], have been a foundation for the quantum theory of thermal transport in solids. Although the concept of N- and U-scattering was well accepted, the direct confirmation of N-scattering was still lacking. This led to the theoretical [4–10] and experimental efforts [11–15] for the prediction and observation of hydrodynamic phonon transport, namely, phonon Poiseuille flow and second sound, which will be discussed in more detail below. The phonon Poiseuille flow was first measured in solid He in the temperature range of 0.6–1.0 K [11]. Second sound was measured in solid ³He at 0.5 K [12], in NaF at around 15 K [13, 14] and in Bi at 2 K [15]. Those experimental observations combined with the theoretical studies directly confirm the N-scattering for phonons and show remarkably different effects of N- and U-scattering on thermal transport. This was regarded as 'one of the great triumphs of the theory of lattice vibrations' [16].



Figure 1.2. Schematic of phonon flux profile: (a) ballistic, (b) hydrodynamic and (c) diffusive. Reproduced with permission from [3]. Copyright 2015 Macmillan Publishers Ltd.

Despite the confirmation of hydrodynamic phonon transport, the study of this topic was inactive for several decades. As can be seen from the previous measurements, hydrodynamic phonon transport was observed in very low and narrow temperature ranges and thus was considered not relevant to practical applications. The conditions for hydrodynamic phonon transport are stringent because it is rare to satisfy the conditions of weak U-scattering and strong N-scattering at the same time. The U-scattering can easily be suppressed if the temperature is much lower than the Debye temperature so as to limit the phonon population to small wavevector states. However, if the temperature is lowered, there are not enough N-scattering events and the transport easily becomes ballistic. Thus, for hydrodynamic phonon transport to be significant, a material should exhibit a high Debye temperature and large anharmonicity at the same time. This is not common; a material with a high Debye temperature such as diamond usually exhibits small anharmonicity. The quality of the sample is another issue as impurity scattering is momentum destroying scattering and weakens the hydrodynamic features. It is interesting to note that NaF was chosen for the second sound experiments [13, 14, 17] because Na and F are naturally monoisotopic elements and thus at least isotope impurities do not exist.

Hydrodynamic phonon transport has recently received renewed attention after first-principles based studies predicted significant hydrodynamic phonon transport in graphitic materials including single-wall carbon nanotubes (SWCNTs) [18], graphene [3, 19] and graphite [20]. Interestingly, those graphitic materials exhibit a high Debye temperature and large anharmonicity at the same time, leading to strong N-scattering, shown in figure 1.3, and significant hydrodynamic phonon transport [3]. The light atomic mass of carbon and strong sp² bonding result in the high Debye temperature and weak U-scattering. Also, the flexural phonon modes from its layered atomistic structure are largely anharmonic for small wavevector states [21], leading to strong N-scattering.

The primary objective of this chapter is to provide a brief overview of basic concepts and recent studies of hydrodynamic phonon transport for those who have previously worked on ballistic and diffusive phonon transport. Other comprehensive



Figure 1.3. The mean free paths of N- and R-scattering in suspended graphene at 100 and 300 K from firstprinciples calculation.

review articles are available for advanced theoretical aspects [22, 23] and the macroscopic governing equations of the heatwave which is related to second sound [24]. This chapter is organized as follows. Section 1.2 discusses the displaced Bose–Einstein distribution as an equilibrium distribution under N-scattering and collective hydrodynamic phonon flow. Section 1.3 summarizes the methods to solve the Peierls–Boltzmann transport equation for hydrodynamic and quasi-hydrodynamic phonon transport. Section 1.4 provides our current understanding of the role of N-scattering for thermal resistance for various cases. Section 1.5 will review the theoretical and experimental studies of second sound. We then briefly discuss the future perspectives of phonon hydrodynamics in section 1.6.

We would also like to mention that the term 'hydrodynamic phonon transport' has been used in a different context in recent publications [25–31]. Those studies used phonon hydrodynamic equations that were derived assuming strong N-scattering compared to U-scattering and thus have a term similar to the viscous term of the Navier–Stokes equation [7, 8, 32]. However, to avoid any confusion, the phenomena studied in those studies are quasi-ballistic phonon transport and do not require strong N-scattering—the hydrodynamic equations were used to phenomenologically describe the quasi-ballistic transport. In this chapter, we focus on the hydrodynamic phenomena of phonon transport due to strong N-scattering and do not discuss the phenomenological hydrodynamic description of quasi-ballistic phonon transport. For the reader who is interested in the latter topic, a recent review article can provide a comprehensive summary [33].

1.2 Collective phonon flow

One unique feature of hydrodynamic transport that can be distinguished from the ballistic and diffusive regimes is the collective motion of particles. The term 'collective' is often used to describe different phenomena in solid-state physics. Here, we call the transport of particles collective when the flux of particles can be represented by a single value of velocity regardless of their quantum states. As an example, let us assume that we are able to track the movements of all molecules in a small fluid element. Assuming strong molecule–molecule scattering and small pressure gradient for the well-defined local equilibrium condition, the molecules then follow the displaced Boltzmann distribution:

$$f_{\rm B}^{\rm disp} = \left(\frac{m}{2\pi k_{\rm B}T}\right)^{3/2} \exp\left(-\frac{m \left|\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{u}\right|^2}{2k_{\rm B}T}\right),\tag{1.3}$$

where m, $k_{\rm B}$ and T represent the mass of a molecule, the Boltzmann constant and the temperature, respectively, **v** is the actual velocity of a molecule and **u** is the drift velocity. Note that the drift velocity is the same for all molecules regardless of their quantum states. Usually, the actual velocity is much larger than the drift velocity, making the movement of each molecule look random. However, the small drift velocity causes a net flow of molecules. As a result, the fluid element containing many molecules that seemingly move along random directions can move with the drift velocity as a whole. Thus, we call the molecular transport collective in this case.

Likewise, phonon particles show collective motion when the transport is hydrodynamic. The equilibrium distribution of phonons with N-scattering is the displaced Bose–Einstein distribution:

$$f^{\text{disp}} = \left[\exp\left(\frac{\hbar(\omega - \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{u})}{k_{\text{B}}T}\right) - 1 \right]^{-1}, \qquad (1.4)$$

where **q** and **u** are the phonon wavevector and drift velocity (or displacement), respectively. In most cases where the transport is non-hydrodynamic, **u** differs for each phonon mode. However, in the hydrodynamic regime, **u** is a constant for all phonon modes. The displaced distribution function can be linearized assuming a small displacement, i.e. $\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{u} \ll \omega$,

$$f^{\text{disp}} \approx f^0 + \frac{\hbar}{k_{\text{B}}T} f^0 (f^0 + 1) \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{u}.$$
(1.5)

The fact that the displaced Bose–Einstein distribution function is the equilibrium distribution upon N-scattering can be shown with Boltzmann's *H*-theorem [34]. For example, the rate of entropy generation upon coalescence three-phonon scattering is

$$\dot{S}_{\text{scatt}} \sim \sum_{ijk} (\phi_i + \phi_j - \phi_k)^2 P_{i,j}^k, \qquad (1.6)$$

where $P_{i,j}^k$ is the equilibrium transition rate of the coalescence process where the phonon particles at states *i* and *j* are merged to state *k*. A similar expression can be written for the decay process. ϕ_i represents the deviation of the distribution function from the stationary Bose–Einstein distribution f_i^0 (i.e. displaced Bose–Einstein distribution with zero displacement) and is defined as $\phi_i = (f_i - f_i^0)/(f_i^0(f_i^0 + 1))$. If the three phonon states exhibit the displaced Bose–Einstein distribution,

$$\phi_i + \phi_j - \phi_k = (\mathbf{q}_i + \mathbf{q}_j - \mathbf{q}_k) \cdot \mathbf{u}. \tag{1.7}$$

Considering the momentum conservation of N-scattering, $\mathbf{q}_i + \mathbf{q}_j = \mathbf{q}_k$, the entropy generation in this case is zero, verifying that the displaced Bose–Einstein distribution is an equilibrium distribution under N-scattering. From equation (1.7), even U-scattering ($\mathbf{q}_i + \mathbf{q}_j = \mathbf{q}_k \pm \mathbf{G}_m$) does not generate any entropy if the reciprocal lattice vector \mathbf{G}_m is orthogonal to \mathbf{u} . This was also shown through the simulation of second sound in a recent study [35].

Whether a certain scattering process is N- or U-scattering depends on the choice of the Brillouin zone, which may lead to confusion or misunderstanding about the role of N- and U-scattering on phonon transport. We would like to emphasize that the concept of momentum conservation for understanding the phonon transport is valid only when the crystal momentum is defined with the first Brillouin zone, which is the Wigner–Seitz unit cell in reciprocal space. Otherwise, the displaced distribution function in equation (1.4) is incorrect and distinguishing N- and U-scattering based on the non-Wigner–Seitz unit cell is not meaningful. With the linearized form of the displaced distribution function in equation (1.5), it is straightforward to show that the phonon particle flux $n_x^{"}$ can be described by the single value of **u**:

$$n_x'' = \frac{1}{NV} \sum_i v_x f^{\text{disp}} = \left(\frac{1}{NV} \sum_i v_x \frac{\hbar}{k_{\text{B}}T} f^0 (f^0 + 1) \mathbf{q}\right) \cdot \mathbf{u}, \qquad (1.8)$$

where N and V are the number of atoms and the volume of the unit cell, respectively. Similarly, heat flux $q_x^{"}$ is

$$q_x'' = \frac{1}{NV} \sum_i \hbar \omega v_x f^{\text{disp}} = \left(\frac{1}{NV} \sum_i \hbar \omega v_x \frac{\hbar}{k_{\text{B}}T} f^0 (f^0 + 1) \mathbf{q} \right) \cdot \mathbf{u}.$$
(1.9)

It is worth noting that both particle flux and heat flux are linearly proportional to the local drift velocity \mathbf{u} , representing the collective motion of phonon particles. The coefficients in the parentheses are constants determined by phonon dispersion and temperature. The fact that single value \mathbf{u} can describe the transport of all phonon particles is the basis for the macroscopic transport equation about \mathbf{u} which will be discussed in section 1.3.

As U-scattering cannot be completely avoided, the actual phonon distribution deviates from the displaced Bose–Einstein distribution to some extent:

$$f_i \approx f_i^0 + \frac{\hbar}{k_{\rm B}T} f_i^0 (f_i^0 + 1) (\mathbf{q}_i \cdot \mathbf{u} + \delta_i), \qquad (1.10)$$

where δ represents the deviation from the displaced Bose–Einstein distribution. It would be interesting to see how close the actual phonon distribution is to the displaced Bose–Einstein distribution in real materials in which hydrodynamic phonon transport is expected to be significant. In figure 1.4, we show the distribution function of phonon particles along the armchair direction in graphene at 100 K from the Peierls– Boltzmann transport equation (PBE) in an infinitely large sample case, which will be discussed in section 1.3. In most cases where the transport is not hydrodynamic, δ_i in equation (1.10) is larger compared to the collective part $\mathbf{q}_i \cdot \mathbf{u}$ and thus $(f_i - f_i^0)/(f_i^0(f_i^0 + 1))$ is nearly linear to $q_{i,x}$. However, in graphene, $(f_i - f_i^0)/(f_i^0(f_i^0 + 1))$ is nearly linear to $q_{i,x}$ with a constant slope, representing the collective motion of phonon particles with the same displacement regardless of the phonon mode. Figure 1.5 shows the contribution of the collective motion of phonon particles to total heat flux in (20,20) SWCNTs. At low temperature below 100 K, most of the heat is carried by the collective motion of phonon particles and the contribution of collective motion gradually decreases with temperature due to U-scattering.

1.3 Peierls–Boltzmann transport equation

The phonon distribution is described by the PBE:

$$\frac{\partial f_i(t, \mathbf{x})}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v}_i \cdot \nabla f_i(t, \mathbf{x}) = \sum_j G_{ij} f_j^d, \qquad (1.11)$$



Figure 1.4. Normalized deviational distribution, $(f_i - f_i^0)/(f_i^0(f_i^0 + 1))$, in an infinitely large graphene at 100 K. Reproduced with permission from [3]. Copyright 2015 Macmillan Publishers Ltd.



Figure 1.5. Contribution of collective motion of phonon particles to total heat flux in (20,20) SWCNT with naturally occurring 13 C isotope content (1.1%). Reproduced with permission from [18]. Copyright 2017 the American Physical Society.

where f_j^d is the deviational distribution function defined as $f_j - f_j^0$ and **G** is the scattering matrix. The original form of the PBE is known to be difficult to solve. The advection and scattering terms are in differential and integral forms and the unknown, $f_i(t, \mathbf{x})$, is a function in many dimensions including time, real space and reciprocal space domains. The equation has been often simplified assuming a steady state, a constant temperature gradient in an infinitely large sample, and very small deviation from the equilibrium distribution:

$$\mathbf{v}_i \cdot \nabla T \frac{\mathrm{d}f_i^0}{\mathrm{d}T} = \sum_j G_{ij} f_f^{\mathrm{d}}.$$
 (1.12)

The differential advection term in equation (1.11) was replaced with the spatially homogeneous term, $\mathbf{v}_i \cdot \nabla T(df_i^0/dT)$, by assuming the constant temperature gradient in an infinitely large sample. With these assumptions, the phonon distribution function is spatially homogeneous except for the change due to the temperature gradient. Then, the PBE could be simplified from the integro-differential equation to the homogeneous integral equation which is relatively easier to solve. The recently developed *ab initio* framework of lattice dynamics made it possible to calculate the scattering matrix, **G**, from first-principles [36, 37]. Also, several numerical techniques such as the full iterative method [38, 39] and the variational method [40] were developed to solve equation (1.12). Solving equation (1.12) with *ab initio* phonon dispersion and scattering matrix showed an excellent predictive power for the thermal conductivity of bulk samples [41].

For the hydrodynamic regime, however, the assumption of a spatially homogeneous distribution function is not valid. As schematically shown in figure 1.2(b), the heat flux and phonon distribution largely depend on the location in real space, and the advection term, $\mathbf{v}_i \cdot \nabla f_i$, in equation (1.11) cannot be homogeneous. Also, second sound is the temporal and spatial fluctuation of the temperature field which requires a description under an unsteady condition. Therefore, we would need to solve the PBE as an original form containing both differential and integral terms. We briefly review the past approaches used several decades ago to solve the PBE with several assumptions and also introduce recent approaches with minimal assumptions from first-principles.

One of the most challenging parts of solving the PBE is how to handle the integral scattering term. In the PBE, all phonon states are coupled to each other through the integral scattering term. Callaway suggested a simple form of scattering model from the fact that N-scattering and U-scattering tend to relax a phonon system to displaced and stationary Bose–Einstein distributions, respectively [42]. Although Callaway's scattering model was from intuition without rigorous theoretical considerations, it was later shown that the model can be formally derived by ignoring the off-diagonal terms of the N- and U-scattering matrices [43].

Early theoretical studies of phonon hydrodynamics derived macroscopic transport equations, such as the Navier–Stokes equation of fluid flow [4, 5, 7, 8, 32]. The work by Sussmann and Thellung [4] solved the PBE to the first order assuming no U-scattering and constructed momentum and energy balance equations. Some of Krumhansl group's work extended the transport equations to the case where U-scattering exists [5, 6]. The notable work by Guyer and Krumhansl [7, 8] solved the PBE in the eigenstate space of scattering operator which led to the concept of relaxon that will be discussed later. The derivation of these early studies was carefully examined and compared later by Hardy [32]. Although the details of derivation in the early studies are slightly different, they share the same basic idea. The idea is similar to how the Navier–Stokes equation is derived from the Boltzmann transport equation with the BGK scattering model, which is analogous to the N-scattering term of Callaway's scattering model. We briefly discuss Sussmann and Thellung's derivation here.

The momentum and energy balance equations can be simply derived from the PBE by taking momentum ($\hbar q$) and energy ($\hbar \omega$) as a moment of the PBE:

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial t} + \nabla_{\!\alpha} Q_{\alpha} = 0 \tag{1.13}$$

$$\frac{\partial P_{\alpha}}{\partial t} + \nabla_{\beta} p_{\alpha\beta} = 0, \qquad (1.14)$$

where

$$E = \frac{1}{NV} \sum_{i} \hbar \omega_{i} f_{i}$$
(1.15)

$$Q_{a} = \frac{1}{NV} \sum_{i} \hbar \omega_{i} v_{a,i} f_{i}$$
(1.16)

$$P_{\alpha} = \frac{1}{NV} \sum_{i} \hbar q_{\alpha,i} f_i \tag{1.17}$$

$$p_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{NV} \sum_{i} \hbar q_{\alpha,i} v_{\beta,i} f_i \,. \tag{1.18}$$

E and Q_{α} are the energy density and heat flux along the α -direction. P_{α} and $p_{\alpha\beta}$ are the α -direction momentum density and the momentum flux along the β -direction. Note that the right-hand sides of equations (1.13) and (1.14) are zero because total momentum and energy are conserved upon N-scattering. If U-scattering is considered, the momentum destroying term by U-scattering would appear in the momentum balance equation. In order to complete those momentum and energy balance equations, the phonon distribution function is required. The phonon distribution can be found by solving the PBE with the N-scattering term of Callaway's scattering model:

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla f = -\frac{f - f^{\text{disp}}}{\tau_{\text{N}}}.$$
(1.19)

Equation (1.19) can be further simplified if we assume $\dot{f} \approx \dot{f}^{\text{disp}}$ and $\nabla f \approx \nabla f^{\text{disp}}$. This assumption is analogous to the Chapman–Enskog expansion to the first order and is valid when N-scattering is strong [44]. To be more specific, N-scattering is considered strong when the relaxation time and mean free path of N-scattering are much smaller than the characteristic time and size of the system (e.g. the time period of temperature fluctuation for second sound and the sample size for steady-state heat flow). With such assumptions, it is straightforward to solve equation (1.19). Based on the phonon distribution function from equation (1.19) being plugged into equations (1.13) and (1.14), the following macroscopic governing equations can be derived:

$$\dot{T}' - \frac{1}{3}v_{\rm g}^2 \nabla^2 T' + \frac{1}{3} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0$$
(1.20)

$$\dot{u}_{\alpha} + v_{g}^{2} \nabla_{\alpha} T' - v_{g}^{2} \tau_{N} \left(\frac{2}{5} \nabla_{\alpha} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} + \frac{1}{5} \nabla^{2} u_{\alpha} \right) = 0, \qquad (1.21)$$

where v_g is the group velocity. T' is the dimensionless deviational temperature defined as $(T - T_0)/T_0$, where T_0 is an equilibrium temperature.

Although early theoretical studies [4, 5, 7, 8, 32] are slightly different from the details of derivation, they are based on the same assumptions: (i) N-scattering being much stronger than U-scattering such that f is closer to f^{disp} than f^0 and (ii) N-scattering being strong enough that $\dot{f} \approx \dot{f}^{\text{disp}}$ and $\nabla f \approx \nabla f^{\text{disp}}$. Because of these assumptions, the hydrodynamic equations derived in the early studies have several limitations. The macroscopic hydrodynamic equations may not accurately describe the following cases: (i) the characteristic size of a system being comparable to the mean free path of N-scattering, namely, phonon transport somewhere between the ballistic and hydrodynamic limits, and (ii) N-scattering being not much stronger than U-scattering, namely, phonon transport somewhere between the diffusive and hydrodynamic limits. In addition, the validity of Callaway's scattering model is questionable for quantitative purposes [45, 46].

As the full scattering matrix can now be calculated from first-principles and the hydrodynamic phonon transport has gained renewed attention, there are two recently developed methods to solve the PBE with the full scattering matrix in both real and reciprocal spaces without the assumption of strong N-scattering. Both approaches provide a solution of the PBE without any significant assumptions and thus can be useful to study complex transport phenomena where features of all three regimes exist to some extent [47].

The first approach is based on the eigenstates of the scattering matrix. The scattering matrix can be symmetrized by multiplying a factor, $2 \sinh(X_i/2)$, where $X_i = \hbar \omega_i / k_{\rm B} T$, to equation (1.11) such that the scattering matrix has an orthogonal set of eigenstates [43]:

$$\left(2\sinh\frac{1}{2}X_i\right)\mathbf{v}_i\cdot\nabla f_i = \sum_j G_{ij}^* f_j^{d^*},\tag{1.22}$$

where $f_j^{d^*}$ is $(2 \sinh \frac{1}{2}X_j)f_j^d$ and the scattering matrix, \mathbf{G}^* , is

$$G_{ij}^{*} = \left(\frac{2\sinh\frac{1}{2}X_{i}}{2\sinh\frac{1}{2}X_{j}}\right)G_{ij}.$$
 (1.23)

The orthogonal eigenstates of \mathbf{G}^* were later called relaxons [48]. The solution of the PBE, f^{d^*} , can then be expressed as a linear combination of relaxons and the equation for the coefficient (population) of each relaxon state can be derived from the PBE [48]. An advantage of the relaxon framework is that the relaxon has now a well-defined relaxation length and thus the thermal transport can be described with a simple kinetic description of relaxon particles. Phonons, if they experience strong N-scattering, do not have a well-defined relaxation length due to the complex interplay between N- and U-scattering processes and also its collective nature of motions.

The second approach employs the Monte Carlo (MC) method to solve the PBE with the full scattering matrix [49, 50]. The MC method was previously developed to solve the PBE with the single-mode relaxation time approximation (SMRT) for studying quasi-ballistic phonon transport [51–53]. The MC method with the SMRT stochastically determines the occurrence of scattering based on the probability of scattering. With the full scattering matrix, the MC method stochastically determines whether a certain scattering process occurs or not and the final state of phonon particles if the scattering is determined to occur. The energy-based PBE is chosen over the regular PBE due to its advantage of strict energy conservation:

$$\mathbf{v}_i \cdot \nabla(\omega_i f)_i = \sum_j B_{ij} \Big(\omega_j f_j^{\mathrm{d}} \Big), \tag{1.24}$$

where B_{ij} is the scattering matrix of the energy-based PBE, defined as $(\omega_i/\omega_j)G_{ij}$. The energy exchange upon scattering is described as

$$\omega_i f_i^{d}(t + \Delta t) = \sum_j Z_{ij}(\Delta t) \omega_j f_j^{d}(t), \qquad (1.25)$$

where the energy propagator matrix Z can be found as

$$\mathbf{Z}(\Delta t) = \mathbf{e}^{\mathbf{B}\Delta t}.\tag{1.26}$$

If the off-diagonal terms of matrix **B** are ignored and only diagonal terms are considered, equation (1.25) is recovered to the exponential decay of energy which is equivalent to the SMRT:

$$\omega_i f_i^{d}(t + \Delta t) = \exp(B_{ii} \Delta t) \omega_i f_i^{d}(t).$$
(1.27)

Note B_{ii} is the same as $-\tau_i^{-1}$ from equation (1.24). The scattering in equation (1.25) describes the transfer of energy from phonon state *j* to *i*. In an MC simulation, the destination state *i* can be stochastically determined and its detailed MC algorithm can be found in the literature [49, 50].

1.4 Steady-state phonon hydrodynamics

The N-scattering itself does not directly cause thermal resistance because of its momentum conserving nature. However, the N-scattering can affect thermal resistance when combined with momentum destroying scattering (R-scattering or diffuse boundary scattering) or thermal reservoirs that emit phonons for which the

distribution deviates from the displaced Bose–Einstein distribution. These situations are common in practical systems. We discuss the role of N-scattering for thermal resistance in three different cases: (i) an infinitely large sample, (ii) a sample with an infinite length but a finite width where diffuse boundary scattering destroys the phonon momentum along the flow direction and (iii) a sample with an infinite width but a finite length contacting hot and cold reservoirs that emit phonons with the stationary Bose–Einstein distribution.

1.4.1 Infinitely large sample

It is well known that the thermal conductivity is infinitely large when the N-scattering is the only scattering mechanism and the sample is infinitely large. Assuming that a local temperature gradient is applied and phonon flow is initiated, the phonons subsequently establish the displaced Bose–Einstein distribution through many N-scattering events. Then, the N-scattering does not further alter the displaced Bose–Einstein distribution and the phonons can continue to flow even without any temperature gradient, resulting in the infinite thermal conductivity. This leads to the simple statement that N-scattering itself does not cause thermal resistance. This simple statement, however, is true only when the distribution function is homogeneous in space as in the infinitely large sample. If there is a significant spatial variation of the distribution function, the N-scattering can cause thermal resistance. This will be discussed in section 1.4.3.

Even when the distribution function is homogeneous in space, N-scattering contributes to thermal resistance if U-scattering also exists. In general, phonon states with a small wavevector have very weak U-scattering. However, the small wavevector phonons can be scattered into larger wavevector states through N-scattering and then can be seen by U-scattering. A recent study on the thermal transport in SWCNTs [54] quantitatively shows the effect of N-scattering on thermal conductivity. The thermal conductivity of (10,10) SWCNT is 10 000 W m⁻¹ K⁻¹ when only U-scattering is considered, but it is significantly reduced to 2000 W m⁻¹ K⁻¹ when both N- and U-scattering processes are included.

1.4.2 Sample with an infinite length and a finite width

We consider a sample with an infinite length and a finite width to discuss the thermal resistance when N-scattering is combined with diffuse boundary scattering. As shown in figure 1.6, we consider a constant temperature gradient along the length direction, which drives the phonon flow.

The major mechanisms of thermal resistance in the diffusive and ballistic regimes are U-scattering and diffuse boundary scattering, respectively. In the hydrodynamic regime, we have a different mechanism for thermal resistance—the viscous damping effect which is a result of combined N- and diffuse boundary scattering. The drift velocity near boundaries is smaller than that in the middle of a sample due to diffuse boundary scattering. Thus, the drift velocity exhibits a gradient along the transverse direction (the *y*-direction in figure 1.6). Due to the drift velocity gradient, phonon momentum is transferred from the middle of the sample to the boundaries through



Figure 1.6. Schematic of phonon flow in an infinitely long sample with a finite width.



Figure 1.7. Temperature dependence of the phonon hydrodynamic viscosity of suspended graphene calculated with phonon dispersion and scattering rates from first-principles calculation. Reproduced with permission from [50]. Copyright 2018 the American Physical Society.

N-scattering processes and then finally is destroyed by the diffuse boundary scattering. The viscous damping term can be seen in the second-order derivative term in equation (1.21).

Based on the momentum balance equation from the PBE with its first-order solution discussed in section 1.3, an expression for the phonon hydrodynamic viscosity (μ_{ph}) can be derived [50]:

$$\mu_{\rm ph} = \frac{\sum_{i} q_x^2 v_{y,i}^2 f_i^0 (f_i^0 + 1) \tau_{{\rm N},i}}{\sum_{i} q_x v_{x,i} f_i^0 (f_i^0 + 1) \omega_i}.$$
(1.28)

A notable difference between the ballistic and hydrodynamic regimes is that the momentum transfer to the boundary in the hydrodynamic regime is impeded by N-scattering. As the N-scattering rate is increased, the rate of momentum transfer to the boundary, which determines the extent of viscous damping, is decreased. This can be seen in the phonon hydrodynamic viscosity as a function of temperature in figure 1.7. As temperature increases, the N-scattering rate is increased, resulting in the lower hydrodynamic phonon viscosity. The extent of viscous damping also depends on the width of the sample as indicated in the second-order derivative term in equation (1.21). The rate of momentum transfer in the hydrodynamic regime is proportional to $1/W^2$, where W is the width of a sample, while the rate in the ballistic regime is proportional to 1/W.

The viscous damping effect of the hydrodynamic regime causes peculiar dependences of thermal conductivity on temperature and sample width, which are distinguished from the ballistic and diffusive cases. In the ballistic regime, the thermal conductivity is linearly proportional to the sample width. The thermal conductivity of the diffusive regime is constant regardless of sample width. However, the thermal conductivity of the hydrodynamic regime superlinearly increases with the sample width due to the viscous damping that decreases as W^2 . In addition, the thermal conductivity of the hydrodynamic regime increases with temperature much faster than that of the ballistic regime as the viscous damping is weakened as temperature increases. The peculiar dependence of thermal conductivity on temperature was observed in solid He at a low temperature, verifying the existence of phonon Poiseuille flow [11]. Recently, these dependences have been predicted at a much higher temperature in graphene [3, 50, 55] and graphite [20], and experimentally observed in SrTiO₃ [56].

The peculiar dependences of thermal conductivity on temperature and sample width can be observed only when the actual transport phenomena are close to those in the ideal hydrodynamic regime without U-scattering. The thermal transport in graphitic materials at an intermediate temperature of above 100 K can exhibit all three different mechanisms of thermal resistance: U-scattering, direct diffuse boundary scattering, combined diffuse boundary and N-scattering. The significance of each mechanism can be evaluated using the momentum balance. The temperature gradient in figure 1.6 drives phonon flow and generates excess phonon momentum ($\Phi_{\nabla T}$). This momentum is balanced by momentum destructions by three different mechanisms: diffuse boundary scattering without internal phonon scattering (i.e. ballistic effect, Φ_B), diffuse boundary scattering combined with N-scattering (i.e. viscous damping or hydrodynamic effect, Φ_D). The momentum balance can be expressed as

$$\Phi_{\nabla T} = \Phi_{\rm B} + \Phi_{\rm H} + \Phi_{\rm D}. \tag{1.29}$$

Figure 1.8(a) shows that the thermal conductivity of an infinitely long graphene has a temperature dependence of $T^{2.03}$ when the temperature is below 90 K, much larger than that of the ballistic case $T^{1.68}$. This temperature range agrees with the momentum balance analysis of the same sample, as shown in figure 1.8(b). It is clear that below 90 K, $\Phi_{\rm H}$ is the major mechanism of the momentum destruction, indicating that viscous damping is significant at this condition [47].

1.4.3 Sample with an infinite width and a finite length contacting hot and cold reservoirs

When an infinitely wide sample contacts hot and cold reservoirs, as in figure 1.9, the phonons emitted from the reservoirs do not follow the displaced Bose–Einstein distribution. They follow a Bose–Einstein distribution distorted by a spectral transmission function at the interface between the sample and the reservoir. The N-scattering processes change this non-displaced Bose–Einstein distribution (i.e. non-collective) to the displaced Bose–Einstein distribution (i.e. collective).



Figure 1.8. Temperature dependence of (a) thermal conductivity and (b) the momentum balance in an infinitely long graphene sample with the width of 10 μ m from the MC solution of the PBE with the *ab initio* full three-phonon scattering matrix.



Figure 1.9. Schematic of sample geometry contacting hot and cold reservoirs.

As entropy is always generated when the distribution function is changed by scattering processes, as shown in equation (1.6), N-scattering causes thermal resistance near the interface between the sample and the reservoir where the emitted phonon flow becomes collective. The region where the thermal resistance occurs is within the order of the mean free path of N-scattering from the boundary. Figure 1.10 shows the formation of collective phonon flow at the cost of a temperature drop near the boundaries, resulting in the thermal resistance by N-scattering. Assuming N-scattering is the only scattering mechanism, the N-scattering far from the boundaries does not cause any temperature drop as the distribution function is already the displaced Bose–Einstein distribution.

The thermal resistance due to the transition between non-collective and collective phonon flows depends on materials. Figure 1.11 compares three-dimensional Debye phonon dispersion and graphite in terms of the reduction of phonon heat flux by N-scattering from the purely ballistic case. For the 3D Debye case, the reduction of heat flux is relatively small; the heat flux reduction by N-scattering is only around 5% for all three temperatures, 100, 200 and 300 K. However, for graphite, the reduction of heat flux is substantial; the heat flux is reduced by 20%, 30% and 40% at 100, 200 and 300 K, respectively. Other graphitic materials such as SWCNTs and graphene show a similar reduction of heat flux.

The large thermal resistance by N-scattering for graphitic materials can be explained with their nonlinear phonon dispersion with many phonon branches.



Figure 1.10. The profile of deviational temperature, defined as the difference between local temperature and global equilibrium temperature, and the drift velocity. The sample is (20,20) SWCNT contacting hot and cold reservoirs that have the deviational temperature of 0.001 and -0.001 K, respectively. The profile is calculated by the MC method of the PBE assuming Callaway's scattering model. The rate of N-scattering is assumed to be 10^{10} s⁻¹ and U-scattering is ignored. Reprinted with permission from [57]. Copyright 2019 Taylor and Francis.



Figure 1.11. The ratio between heat flux with N-scattering $(q_{\rm H}^{'})$ and without any internal scattering $(q_{\rm B}^{'})$ as a function of inverse Knudsen number in (a) three-dimensional Debye model and (b) graphite. The heat flux is calculated with the MC solution of the PBE with Callaway's scattering model. The rate of N-scattering is assumed to be 10^{10} s⁻¹ and U-scattering is ignored. Reproduced with permission from [57]. Copyright 2019 Taylor and Francis.

The rate of entropy generation due to scattering, equation (1.6), can be written as follows, assuming Callaway's scattering model and the stationary Bose–Einstein distribution for phonons emitted from the reservoirs:

$$\dot{S}_{\text{scatt}} = \left(\frac{\Delta T}{T}\right)^2 \frac{\hbar^2}{\tau_{\text{N}} k_{\text{B}} T^2 N V} \sum_{i} f_i^0 (f_i^0 + 1) \omega_i |q_{x,i}| (v_{x,i}^* - u_x'), \quad (1.30)$$

where $v_{x,i}^*$ is $\omega_i / |q_{x,i}|$ and u'_x is the drift velocity per temperature difference and can be found from the momentum conservation:

$$u_{x}' = \frac{\sum_{i} |q_{x,i}| \omega_{i} f_{i}^{0} (f_{i}^{0} + 1)}{\sum_{i} q_{x,i}^{2} f_{i}^{0} (f_{i}^{0} + 1)}.$$
(1.31)

Equation (1.30) shows that the temperature difference of two reservoirs drives the phonon flow with the displacement of $v_{x,i}^*$ which may vary depending on phonon modes while the drift velocity u'_x is the same for all phonon modes. If $v_{x,i}^*$ is a constant for all phonon modes (e.g. one-dimensional Debye phonon dispersion), $v_{x,i}^*$ is the same as u'_x by equation (1.31) and the entropy generation would be zero. However, if $v_{x,i}^*$ isgnificantly varies with phonon states, the entropy generation is expected to be large. For the three-dimensional Debye model, $v_{x,i}^*$ varies with the direction of phonon wavevector and thus causes small thermal resistance, as can be seen in figure 1.11(a). The ratio q_H^*/q_B^* in this case does not change with temperature as the variance of $v_{x,i}^*$ is associated with the direction of phonon wavevector only. For graphitic materials, however, the variance of $v_{x,i}^*$ is significant compared to the Debye model as a result of non-linear dispersion with many branches, resulting in the large thermal resistance due to the transition between non-collective and collective phonon flows is determined by the shape of phonon dispersion.

1.5 Unsteady phonon hydrodynamics (second sound)

The fundamental difference between N- and U-scattering in terms of momentum conservation leads to a different response upon temporal perturbation to a phonon system. One simple form of the perturbation is a heat pulse being applied to one end of a sample, as shown in figure 1.12. The heat pulse causes the increased local phonon density and the response of the phonon system is largely different depending on the transport regime. For the diffusive regime, the energy balance equation with Fourier's law indicates that the peak position of the heat pulse cannot move forward and remains at its original location. Then, the thermal energy of the heat pulse diffuses into the sample and finally the sample reaches an equilibrium with a slightly elevated temperature for the entire region. For the ballistic regime, the heat pulse can propagate through the sample as there is no phonon scattering. However, the shape of the heat pulse can spread out in space unless all phonon modes have the same group velocity along the heat-pulse propagation direction. This is expected to be particularly significant in graphitic materials where flexural phonon modes with a quadratic dispersion are important for thermal energy transport. For the hydrodynamic regime, the heat pulse leads to the local fluctuation of the temperature field which can propagate as a wave through the sample. An analogous



Figure 1.12. Propagation of a heat pulse in the diffusive and hydrodynamic regimes. Reproduced with permission from [3]. Copyright 2015 Macmillan Publishers Ltd.

phenomenon in the fluid system is the propagation of a pressure pulse in space, which is an acoustic sound. From the similarity of the two phenomena, the temperature pulse propagation in the form of a wave in the hydrodynamic regime is called second sound.

The second sound was first studied with superfluid He II in which the phonon is an elemental excitation of the system. The speed of second sound in liquid He was predicted by Landau using the two-fluid theory of rotons and phonons [58] and later confirmed by an experiment [59]. The predicted temperature wave was named second sound by Landau in order to distinguish it from the first sound, which is ordinary acoustic sound (i.e. pressure wave propagation). Later, it was shown that the same speed of second sound can be directly derived by using the phonon gas model without rotons [60, 61], which motivated the study of second sound in crystalline solids.

The second sound in solids can be observed with two different methods: a heatpulse experiment [12–15, 62] and a light scattering method [10, 63–68]. In the heat pulse experiment, a heat pulse was applied to one end of a few millimeter long samples and the temperature to the opposite end was recorded as a function of time. At sufficiently low temperature such that internal phonon-phonon scattering is negligibly weak, two peaks of temperature pulse were observed, each of which represents the ballistic transport of transverse and longitudinal phonons. No significant dispersion of the temperature peak was observed because three-dimensional bulk materials where long wavelength phonons have a linear dispersion relation were used. With slightly increased temperature (around 15 K for NaF [14]), another peak in addition to those two peaks was observed. The delay time of the new peak agrees well with the predicted speed of second sound and the third peak was considered second sound. As temperature is further increased, the third peak disappears, indicating that U-scattering becomes significant. The light scattering method measures the inelastic light scattering by a local change of dielectric constants due to the second sound wave. A challenge lies in very weak coupling between light and thermal fluctuation at low temperatures. To solve this problem, a relatively strong thermal fluctuation field was induced by an optical grating method and the second sound in NaF was successfully measured [66]. The measured speed of second sound agrees well with that from the previous heat pulse experiments. Later, the light scattering measurements were carried out without inducing a thermal fluctuation field for SrTiO₃. SrTiO₃ has soft transverse optical phonons with small wavevector that are strongly anharmonic and thus cause strong N-scattering [67, 68]. The measured spectrum at around 30 K exhibits a doublet with a frequency shift $(\sim 20 \text{ GHz})$ that is comparable to the expected frequency of second sound in this temperature range.

As the conditions for the clear observation of second sound are narrow in the variable space, second sound measurements critically require *a priori* knowledge of the wavelength and frequency of the second sound as well as the speed of the second sound. The wavelength and frequency of second sound are determined by the mean free path and scattering rate of N- and U-scattering processes. If the pulse duration is much longer than the rate of U-scattering, the pulse can be destroyed by the

U-scattering and thus cannot propagate as a second sound. If the pulse duration is much shorter than the rate of N-scattering, phonons will travel with their own group velocity and do not have a chance to establish collective motion because of the lack of N-scattering. In this case, the pulse also cannot maintain its original shape and the thermal energy smears out.

The speed, frequency and wavelength of second sound were theoretically studied by calculating the dispersion relation of second sound. The speed of second sound was derived for the simplest case where Debye phonon dispersion is assumed and there is no U-scattering, giving the well-known relation for the speed of second sound, $v_{II} = v_g/\sqrt{3}$, where v_{II} is the speed of second sound [60, 61]. The speed of second sound was also derived for more realistic phonon dispersion consisting of one longitudinal and two degenerate transverse acoustic branches, all having Debye-type dispersion [4]. Later, theoretical studies considered the possible mechanisms for the attenuation of second sound and predicted the possible second sound frequency ranges [5–7]. In the literature, two different types of second sound called drifting and driftless second sounds were discussed [22, 69]. The driftless second sound differs from the second sound we discuss and does not require strong N-scattering; it occurs when all eigenstates of scattering operator have a similar relaxation time such that collective-looking thermal transport can occur. To our best knowledge, there was no experimental observation of the driftless second sound.

The dispersion relation of second sound can be derived from the momentum and energy balance equations in equations (1.13) and (1.14). If U-scattering is considered, the momentum destruction by U-scattering needs to be added to the right-hand side of equation (1.14). An example dispersion relation of second sound in (20,20)SWCNT is shown in figure 1.13(a). The real and imaginary frequencies represent the propagation and attenuation of a pulse, respectively. The imaginary frequency in the limit of a small wavevector (i.e. long wavelength) is mostly determined by the rate of U-scattering. As the wavevector is increased (i.e. wavelength becomes shorter), the viscous damping effect by N-scattering becomes strong, causing the significant attenuation of second sound. Figure 1.13(b) shows the required length of a sample.



Figure 1.13. The propagation and attenuation of second sound in (20,20) SWCNT. (a) The dispersion relation of second sound showing propagation (real) and attenuation (imaginary) of second sound. (b) The comparison between relaxation length and wavelength of second sound. Reproduced with permission from [18]. Copyright 2017 the American Physical Society.

For the second sound to propagate, the sample length should be larger than the wavelength of the second sound but smaller than its relaxation length defined as $v_{II}Im(\Omega)$, where v_{II} and $Im(\Omega)$ are the speed of the second sound and the imaginary part of the second sound frequency, respectively.

1.6 Summary and future perspectives

In this chapter, we briefly reviewed past and recent studies on hydrodynamic phonon transport. We first discussed the displaced Bose–Einstein distribution representing the collective motion of phonon particles as an equilibrium state under N-scattering. Then, we introduced several approaches to solve the Peierls-Boltzmann transport equation for the case where N-scattering is significant. Based on the solution of the Peierls-Boltzmann transport equation, we then showed how N-scattering affects thermal phonon transport in both the steady-state and transient cases. For the steady-state cases, we discuss three scenarios: when N-scattering is combined with (i) U-scattering, (ii) diffuse boundary scattering and (iii) thermal reservoirs that emit phonons following non-displaced Bose-Einstein distribution functions. In all cases, N-scattering affects thermal transport indirectly. For the first case where N-scattering is combined with U-scattering, it transfers energy from small wavevector states where U-scattering is relatively weak to large wavevector states where U-scattering is strong, thereby contributing to thermal resistance. For the second case, the N-scattering impedes the momentum transfer to the boundaries which act as a momentum sink by diffuse boundary scattering. We discussed that stronger N-scattering leads to a lesser viscous damping effect and larger thermal conductivity. For the last case, the N-scattering itself causes thermal resistance when the distribution function is not homogeneous in space due to thermal reservoirs emitting phonons with a non-displaced distribution. The thermal resistance occurs while those non-collective phonon flows become collective through N-scattering processes. The thermal resistance by the transition between collective and non-collective phonon flows depends on the shape of phonon dispersion; while the thermal resistance due to this effect is small for Debye phonon dispersion, it can be significant in graphitic materials because of their highly nonlinear phonon dispersion with many branches. The second sound was discussed as a representative phenomenon of phonon hydrodynamics in the transient case. The N-scattering causes the damping of second sound even without U-scattering. If the fluctuation of the temperature field is fast in the time and space domains, for example, the frequency and wavelength of second sound are shorter than the rate and mean free path of N-scattering, respectively, the fluctuation can be largely damped. Thus, the N-scattering imposes the limit of frequency and wavelength of second sound for its propagation.

Although the recently developed *ab initio* framework for phonon transport has been proved for its high accuracy and predictive power [41], the significant hydrodynamic phonon transport in graphitic materials needs to be experimentally confirmed. The significant contributions from the flexural phonon modes to thermal transport were experimentally shown in [70], but its strong N-scattering due to extremely large anharmonicity for small wavevector states has not been verified. The explicit observation of hydrodynamic phonon transport has several challenges. First, the measurements need to be done in a much smaller length and time scale compared to the previous studies performed several decades ago. The characteristic length and time scale of hydrodynamic phonon transport scales with the mean free paths and rate of internal phonon scattering. As those previous studies measured the hydrodynamic phonon transport at extremely low temperatures below 15 K, the internal phonon scattering was weak; therefore, the Poiseuille flow was measured with a several millimeter sized sample [11] and the second sound propagation was measured with a time scale of microseconds [14]. However, as the hydrodynamic phonon transport in graphitic materials is expected to occur at a much higher temperature, the internal phonon scattering is accordingly strong. Thus, the experiments need to be performed with sub-millimeter sized samples and nanosecond temporal resolution. Recent advancements on the microscale platform for the measurement of thermal conductivity [71, 72] as well as the ultrafast spectroscopy technique [73-75] are perhaps well suited for the measurement of hydrodynamic phonon transport in graphitic materials. Second, we would need a large sample with minimal defects. The *ab initio* simulation shows that the sample size should be at least 10 μ m for measuring phonon Poiseuille flow and second sound at 100 K [50], but typical graphitic material samples with this sample size contain many defects. Interestingly, the observation of second sound was reported very recently using a highly oriented pyrolytic graphite (HOPG) sample [76]. This study used the transient grating method to generate the standing wave of second sound and could measure the fluctuation of temperature which is associated with the second sound. As the second sound is in standing-wave form in this study, it does not need to propagate throughout the entire sample and could be measured with less significant damping. The observation of phonon Poiseuille flow is expected to be more challenging compared to the second sound case. The theoretical prediction of phonon Poiseuille flow assumed infinitely long samples for the condition of fully developed phonon flow [50]. If a sample has a finite length, there would be the so-called entrance effect which is due to the transition from spatially uniform phonon flow to parabolic phonon flow near the entrance. This would require a sample with the length being much larger than the width. In the previous study, the phonon Poiseuille flow was predicted with the width of 10 μ m, thus the length should be much longer than this value.

The recent prediction of significant hydrodynamic phonon transport indicates that the hydrodynamic regime is practically important for high thermal conductivity materials where N-scattering is often strong and cannot be ignored. Although the clear observation of hydrodynamic phonon transport is expected at sub-room temperatures, the hydrodynamic phonon transport is still important for understanding the thermal transport. As shown in figure 1.3, the mean free path of N-scattering and U-scattering has a large gap in the length ranges from sub-micrometer to micrometer for 300 K. If the sample size lies in this gap which is common in the practical applications of high thermal conductivity materials for thermal management, the diffusive–ballistic phonon transport may not correctly

describe the thermal transport phenomena; it ignores the thermal resistance due to the momentum transfer and the formation of collective phonon flow by N-scattering. Therefore, the hydrodynamic regime needs to be considered another limit of thermal transport in addition to the ballistic and diffusive limits which were extensively studied in the past [77, 78]. The detailed mechanisms of how N-scattering contributes to thermal resistance when combined with other scattering processes has not been rigorously discussed in the past. We think this is partly because of the lack of available numerical tools; it has been very challenging to solve the Peierls–Boltzmann transport equation in both real and reciprocal spaces with minimal assumptions. With the recently developed *ab initio* frameworks for solving the Peierls–Boltzmann transport equation in both real and reciprocal spaces [48, 50], it is now possible to quantitatively study the influence of N-scattering on the overall thermal transport process when it is combined with other scattering processes. This would complete the understanding of phonon transport in high thermal conductivity materials and lead to the better design of thermal devices using those high thermal conductivity materials.

Acknowledgments

We acknowledge support from the National Science Foundation (Award Nos 1705756 and 1709307).

References

- [1] Nernst W 1917 Die Theoretischen Grundlagen des Neuen Wärmesatzes (Halle: Knapp)
- [2] Peierls R 1929 Zur kinetischen Theorie der Wärmeleitung in Kristallen Ann. Phys. 395 1055–101
- [3] Lee S, Broido D, Esfarjani K and Chen G 2015 Hydrodynamic phonon transport in suspended graphene *Nat. Commun.* 6 6290
- [4] Sussmann J A and Thellung A 1963 Thermal conductivity of perfect dielectric crystals in the absence of Umklapp processes *Proc. Phys. Soc.* 81 1122
- [5] Prohofsky E W and Krumhansl J A 1964 Second-sound propagation in dielectric solids *Phys. Rev.* 133 A1403–10
- [6] Guyer R A and Krumhansl J A 1964 Dispersion relation for second sound in solids *Phys. Rev.* 133 A1411–7
- [7] Guyer R A and Krumhansl J A 1966 Thermal conductivity, second sound, and phonon hydrodynamic phenomena in nonmetallic crystals *Phys. Rev.* 148 778–88
- [8] Guyer R A and Krumhansl J A 1966 Solution of the linearized phonon Boltzmann equation *Phys. Rev.* 148 766–78
- [9] Gurzhi R 1964 Thermal conductivity of dielectrics and ferrodielectrics at low temperatures J. Exp. Theor. Phys. 46 719–24
- [10] Griffin A 1965 On the detection of second sound in crystals by light scattering *Phys. Lett.* 17 208–10
- [11] Mezhov-Deglin L 1965 Measurement of the thermal conductivity of crystalline He⁴ J. Exp. Theor. Phys. 49 66–79
- [12] Ackerman C C and Overton W C 1969 Second sound in solid helium-3 Phys. Rev. Lett. 22 764–6
- [13] McNelly T F et al 1970 Heat pulses in NaF: onset of second sound Phys. Rev. Lett. 24 100-2

- [14] Jackson H E, Walker C T and McNelly T F 1970 Second sound in NaF Phys. Rev. Lett. 25 26–8
- [15] Narayanamurti V and Dynes R C 1972 Observation of second sound in bismuth Phys. Rev. Lett. 28 1461–65
- [16] Ashcroft N and Mermin N 1976 Solid State Physics (Philadelphia, PA: Saunders)
- [17] Jackson H E and Walker C T 1971 Thermal conductivity, second sound, and phononphonon interactions in NaF Phys. Rev. B 3 1428–39
- [18] Lee S and Lindsay L 2017 Hydrodynamic phonon drift and second sound in a (20,20) singlewall carbon nanotube *Phys. Rev.* B 95 184304
- [19] Cepellotti A, Fugallo G, Paulatto L, Lazzeri M, Mauri F and Marzari N 2015 Phonon hydrodynamics in two-dimensional materials *Nat. Commun.* 6 6400
- [20] Ding Z, Zhou J, Song B, Chiloyan V, Li M, Liu T-H and Chen G 2018 Phonon hydrodynamic heat conduction and Knudsen minimum in graphite *Nano Lett.* 18 638–49
- [21] Schelling P K and Keblinski P 2003 Thermal expansion of carbon structures *Phys. Rev.* B 68 035425
- [22] Beck H, Meier P F and Thellung A 1974 Phonon hydrodynamics in solids *Phys. Status Solidi* a 24 11–63
- [23] Gurevich V L v 1986 Transport in Phonon Systems Modern Problems in Condensed Matter Sciences (Amsterdam: Elsevier)
- [24] Joseph D D and Preziosi L 1989 Heat waves Rev. Mod. Phys. 61 41-73
- [25] Alvarez F X, Jou D and Sellitto A 2009 Phonon hydrodynamics and phonon-boundary scattering in nanosystems J. Appl. Phys. 105 014317
- [26] Ziabari A et al 2018 Full-field thermal imaging of quasiballistic crosstalk reduction in nanoscale devices Nat. Commun. 9 255
- [27] Torres P et al 2018 Emergence of hydrodynamic heat transport in semiconductors at the nanoscale Phys. Rev. Mater. 2 076001
- [28] Guo Y and Wang M 2018 Phonon hydrodynamics for nanoscale heat transport at ordinary temperatures *Phys. Rev.* B 97 035421
- [29] Dong Y, Cao B-Y and Guo Z-Y 2011 Generalized heat conduction laws based on thermomass theory and phonon hydrodynamics J. Appl. Phys. 110 063504
- [30] Cimmelli V A, Sellitto A and Jou D 2010 Nonlinear evolution and stability of the heat flow in nanosystems: beyond linear phonon hydrodynamics *Phys. Rev.* B 82 184302
- [31] Sellitto A, Alvarez F X and Jou D 2010 Temperature dependence of boundary conditions in phonon hydrodynamics of smooth and rough nanowires J. Appl. Phys. 107 114312
- [32] Hardy R J and Albers D L 1974 Hydrodynamic approximation to the phonon Boltzmann equation *Phys. Rev.* B 10 3546–51
- [33] Guo Y and Wang M 2015 Phonon hydrodynamics and its applications in nanoscale heat transport *Phys. Rep.* 595 1–44
- [34] Ziman J M 1960 Electrons and Phonons: The Theory of Transport Phenomena in Solids (Oxford: Oxford University Press)
- [35] Ding Z, Zhou J, Song B, Li M, Liu T-H and Chen G 2018 Umklapp scattering is not necessarily resistive *Phys. Rev.* B 98 180302
- [36] Broido D A, Malorny M, Birner G, Mingo N and Stewart D A 2007 Intrinsic lattice thermal conductivity of semiconductors from first principles *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 91 231922
- [37] Esfarjani K, Chen G and Stokes H T 2011 Heat transport in silicon from first-principles calculations *Phys. Rev.* B 84 085204

- [38] Omini M and Sparavigna A 1996 Beyond the isotropic-model approximation in the theory of thermal conductivity *Phys. Rev.* B 53 9064–73
- [39] Omini M and Sparavigna A 1997 Heat transport in dielectric solids with diamond structure Nuovo Cimento D 19 1537
- [40] Fugallo G, Lazzeri M, Paulatto L and Mauri F 2013 Ab initio variational approach for evaluating lattice thermal conductivity Phys. Rev. B 88 045430
- [41] Lindsay L, Hua C, Ruan X L and Lee S 2018 Survey of *ab initio* phonon thermal transport *Mater. Today Phys.* 7 106–20
- [42] Callaway J 1959 Model for lattice thermal conductivity at low temperatures *Phys. Rev.* 113 1046
- [43] Krumhansl J A 1965 Thermal conductivity of insulating crystals in the presence of normal processes Proc. Phys. Soc. 85 921
- [44] Vincenti W G and Kruger C H 1965 Introduction to Physical Gas Dynamics (New York: Wiley)
- [45] Allen P B 2013 Improved Callaway model for lattice thermal conductivity Phys. Rev. B 88 144302
- [46] Ma J, Li W and Luo X 2014 Examining the Callaway model for lattice thermal conductivity Phys. Rev. B 90 035203
- [47] Li X and Lee S 2019 Crossover of ballistic, hydrodynamic, and diffusive phonon transport in suspended graphene *Phys. Rev.* B 99 085202
- [48] Cepellotti A and Marzari N 2016 Thermal transport in crystals as a kinetic theory of relaxons Phys. Rev. 6 041013
- [49] Landon C D and Hadjiconstantinou N G 2014 Deviational simulation of phonon transport in graphene ribbons with *ab initio* scattering J. Appl. Phys. 116 163502
- [50] Li X and Lee S 2018 Role of hydrodynamic viscosity on phonon transport in suspended graphene Phys. Rev. B 97 094309
- [51] Majumdar A 1993 Microscale heat conduction in dielectric thin films J. Heat Transfer 115 7–16
- [52] Péraud J-P M and Hadjiconstantinou N G 2011 Efficient simulation of multidimensional phonon transport using energy-based variance-reduced Monte Carlo formulations *Phys. Rev.* B 84 205331
- [53] Hao Q, Chen G and Jeng M-S 2009 Frequency-dependent Monte Carlo simulations of phonon transport in two-dimensional porous silicon with aligned pores J. Appl. Phys. 106 114321
- [54] Lindsay L, Broido D and Mingo N 2009 Lattice thermal conductivity of single-walled carbon nanotubes: beyond the relaxation time approximation and phonon-phonon scattering selection rules *Phys. Rev.* B 80 125407
- [55] Guo Y and Wang M 2017 Heat transport in two-dimensional materials by directly solving the phonon Boltzmann equation under Callaway's dual relaxation model *Phys. Rev. B* 96 134312
- [56] Martelli V, Jiménez J L, Continentino M, Baggio-Saitovitch E and Behnia K 2018 Thermal transport and phonon hydrodynamics in strontium titanate *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120** 125901
- [57] Lee S, Li X and Guo R 2019 Thermal resistance by transition between collective and noncollective phonon flows in graphitic materials *Nanoscale Microscale Thermophys. Eng.* 2019 1–12
- [58] Landau L 1941 Theory of the superfluidity of helium II Phys. Rev. 60 356-8

- [59] Peshkov V 1946 Determination of the velocity of propagation of the second sound in helium II J. Phys. USSR 10 389–96
- [60] Ward J and Wilks J 1951 The velocity of second sound in liquid helium near the absolute zero *Philos. Mag.* **42** 314–6
- [61] Ward J C and Wilks J III 1952 Second sound and the thermo-mechanical effect at very low temperatures *Philos. Mag.* 43 48–50
- [62] Rogers S J 1971 Transport of heat and approach to second sound in some isotopically pure alkali-halide crystals *Phys. Rev. B* 3 1440–57
- [63] Guyer R A 1965 Light scattering detection of thermal waves Phys. Lett. 19 261
- [64] Griffin A 1968 Brillouin light scattering from crystals in the hydrodynamic region *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 40 167–205
- [65] Wehner R K and Klein R 1972 Scattering of light by entropy fluctuations in dielectric crystals *Physica* 62 161–97
- [66] Pohl D W and Irniger V 1976 Observation of second sound in NaF by means of light scattering *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 36 480–3
- [67] Hehlen B, Pérou A-L, Courtens E and Vacher R 1995 Observation of a doublet in the quasielastic central peak of quantum-paraelectric SrTiO₃ *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **75** 2416–9
- [68] Koreeda A, Takano R and Saikan S 2007 Second sound in SrTiO₃ Phys. Rev. Lett. 99 265502
- [69] Hardy R J 1970 Phonon Boltzmann equation and second sound in solids *Phys. Rev.* B 2 1193–207
- [70] Seol J H et al 2010 Two-dimensional phonon transport in supported graphene Science 328 213–6
- [71] Shi L *et al* 2003 Measuring thermal and thermoelectric properties of one-dimensional nanostructures using a microfabricated device J. Heat Transfer 125 881–8
- [72] Ou E, Li X, Lee S, Watanabe K and Taniguchi T 2019 Four-probe measurement of thermal transport in suspended few-layer graphene with polymer residue J. Heat Transfer 141 061601–5
- [73] Cahill D G 2004 Analysis of heat flow in layered structures for time-domain thermoreflectance Rev. Sci. Instrum. 75 025901
- [74] Johnson J A *et al* 2013 Direct measurement of room-temperature nondiffusive thermal transport over micron distances in a silicon membrane *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110** 131–4
- [75] Salcedo J R, Siegman A E, Dlott D D and Fayer M D 1978 Dynamics of energy transport in molecular crystals: the picosecond transient-grating method *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 41 131–4
- [76] Huberman S et al 2019 Observation of second sound in graphite at temperatures above 100 K Science 364 375–9
- [77] Cahill D G et al 2014 Nanoscale thermal transport. II. 2003–2012 Appl. Phys. Rev. 1 011305
- [78] Cahill D G et al 2003 Nanoscale thermal transport J. Appl. Phys. 93 793-818