



LETTER • OPEN ACCESS

Two dipolar atoms in a harmonic trap

To cite this article: Rafał Ołdziejewski et al 2016 EPL 114 46003

View the article online for updates and enhancements.

You may also like

- Few-body physics with ultracold atomic and molecular systems in traps D Blume
- <u>Many-body effects and quantum</u> <u>fluctuations for discrete time crystals in</u> <u>Bose-Einstein condensates</u> Jia Wang, Peter Hannaford and Bryan J Dalton
- <u>Electric dipoles vs. magnetic dipoles For</u> two molecules in a harmonic trap Wojciech Górecki and Kazimierz Rzewski



EPL, **114** (2016) 46003 doi: 10.1209/0295-5075/114/46003

www.epljournal.org

Two dipolar atoms in a harmonic trap

RAFAŁ OŁDZIEJEWSKI, WOJCIECH GÓRECKI and KAZIMIERZ RZĄŻEWSKI

Center for Theoretical Physics, Polish Academy of Sciences - Al. Lotników 32/46, 02-668 Warsaw, Poland

received 1 March 2016; accepted in final form 21 May 2016 published online 23 June 2016

PACS 67.85.-d – Ultracold gases, trapped gases PACS 03.75.-b – Quantum mechanics, field theories, and special relativity: Matter waves

Abstract – Two identical dipolar atoms moving in a harmonic trap without an external magnetic field are investigated. Using the algebra of angular momentum we reduce the problem to a simple numerics. We show that the internal spin-spin interactions between the atoms couple to the orbital angular momentum causing an analogue of the Einstein-de Haas effect. We show a possibility of adiabatically pumping our system from the *s*-wave to the *d*-wave relative motion. The effective spin-orbit coupling occurs at anti-crossings of the energy levels.

open access Copyright © EPLA, 2016

Published by the EPLA under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 License (CC BY). Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published article's title, journal citation, and DOI.

Introduction. – We observe a remarkable progress in experiments with ultracold quantum gases. Many are performed with large number of atoms in a single trap. However, progress is also made at a level of a few atoms in a trap. These experiments are performed with cold atoms distributed between the wells of an optical lattice. This way, with a help of tunable parameters of interaction, using the Feshbach resonances [1,2], and the properties of the lattice itself, one can access in a controlled way vital models of condensed-matter physics (for a recent review see [3]). Many experiments in optical lattices are performed with the Mott insulator phase [4–6] where a well-defined, small number of atoms is confined in every well. Another set of a few atoms in a trap experiments is offered by the setting available in Selim Jochim's lab [7]. Detailed properties of such systems crucially depend on the properties of atom-atom interaction. This interaction is best tested if exactly two atoms are present. Early analytic predictions for contact interacting atoms [8] where positively verified in precise spectroscopic experiments [9]. New twist to the problem is introduced by the long-range dipole-dipole (DD) interactions [10,11]. Although negligible in the early days of quantum gases experiments, dipoledipole interactions are getting more and more relevant with the condensation of chromium [12,13], erbium [14-16]and recently dysprosium [17–20]. The dipolar interaction couples the spin degree of freedom with the orbital angular momentum. This leads to the well-known Einstein-de Haas effect [21]. To observe this effect with chromium

atoms, where DD interaction is just a perturbation, properly resonant magnetic field strength must be used [22]. Of course a direct coupling to the orbital angular momentum is possible for sufficiently strong DD interactions. For the large systems it has been noted using a conventional mean-field approach [23]. It is the purpose of this letter to present are exact analysis of the role of DD interactions for two atoms trapped in a spherically symmetric harmonic potential. Initially we introduce our theoretical model. The simplicity of the harmonic potential allows to separate the center-of-mass degree of freedom. The relative-motion Hamiltonian remains spherically symmetric. Utilizing this symmetry we may construct the energy eigenstates using the angular-momentum algebra. What remains is the set of coupled radial Schrödinger equations linking components of the wave function corresponding to orbital angular momenta differing by two units. We model the radial component of the interaction by the dipolar expression modified by the infinite repulsive sphere at short distances. Finally we present our results. We note the anti-crossings of the energy levels as a function of the dipolar coupling constant. This dependence may be tuned by the change of the trapping frequency. The most striking feature is the possibility of adiabatically pumping our system from the s-wave to the d-wave relative motion.

Theoretical model. – Let us consider two identical dipolar atoms (fermions or bosons) of a spin (a total angular momentum of an atom) $f_1 = f_2$ moving in an isotropic

harmonic trap. The Hamiltonian of such a system can be written as

$$H = -\frac{1}{2}\nabla_1^2 - \frac{1}{2}\nabla_2^2 + \frac{1}{2}r_1^2 + \frac{1}{2}r_2^2 + V(\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2), \quad (1)$$

where \mathbf{r}_1 and \mathbf{r}_2 are the position vectors of the two atoms. We are using harmonic-oscillator units, in which $\hbar\omega$ is a unit of energy and the characteristic size of the ground state of the trap $\sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{m\omega}}$ is a length unit. An interaction potential $V(\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2)$ is a sum of a short-range (SR) and a long-range magnetic dipole-dipole interaction potentials. We model SR potential as a spherically symmetric barrier described later in the next section (for different SR models see, *e.g.* [8,10,11,24–26]). The magnetic dipole-dipole interaction potential $V_{dd}(\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2)$ can be expressed in the following form:

$$V_{dd} = \frac{\mu_0 (\mu_B g_j)^2}{4\pi |\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2|^3} \Big[\mathbf{F}_1 \cdot \mathbf{F}_2 - 3 (\mathbf{F}_1 \cdot \mathbf{n}) (\mathbf{F}_2 \cdot \mathbf{n}) \Big], \quad (2)$$

where $\mathbf{n} = \frac{\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2}{|\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2|}$, μ_0 stands for the vacuum magnetic permeability, μ_B indicates the Bohr magneton, g_j is the Landé *g*-factor and \mathbf{F} is the total angular momentum of an atom (spin vector). Thus for the atomic spin quantum number *f* half integer we have fermions and for *f* integer we have bosons.

Foregoing Hamiltonian may be divided into two parts, a center-of-mass part and a relative part, *i.e.* $H = H_{CM} + H_{Rel}$ with

$$H_{CM} = -\frac{1}{2} \nabla_R + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{R}^2,$$

$$H_{rel} = -\frac{1}{2} \nabla_r + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{r}^2 + V_{VdW}(r) \qquad (3)$$

$$+ \frac{g_{dd}}{r^3} \left[\mathbf{F}_1 \cdot \mathbf{F}_2 - 3 \left(\mathbf{F}_1 \cdot \mathbf{n} \right) \left(\mathbf{F}_2 \cdot \mathbf{n} \right) \right]$$

which can be diagonalized separately. Here $\mathbf{R} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\mathbf{r}_1 + \mathbf{r}_2)$ is the center-of-mass coordinate and $\mathbf{r} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2)$ stands for the relative-motion coordinate¹. The strength of the dipole-dipole interaction is characterized by the $g_{dd} = \frac{\mu_0(\mu_B g_j)^2}{8\sqrt{2\pi}}$. The eigenvalues of the H_{CM} are simply that of the harmonic oscillator. In order to investigate the relative motion of the two atoms we observe that the total angular momentum is conserved:

$$[\mathbf{F} + \mathbf{L}, H_{rel}] \equiv [\mathbf{J}, H_{rel}] = 0, \qquad (4)$$

where **J** stands for the total angular momentum of the system which is a sum of the total spin operator $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{F}_1 + \mathbf{F}_2$ and the orbital-momentum operator of the relative motion of the atoms **L**. The spherical symmetry of the system means that it is convenient to solve the relative-motion problem in a total-angular-momentum basis. The

eigenfunction of the system in the chosen basis can be written as

$$\Psi_{n}^{jm_{j}}(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{l,f} a_{n}^{jm_{j}lf} \psi_{n}^{jm_{j}lf} (\mathbf{r})$$

$$= \sum_{l,f} a_{n}^{jm_{j}lf} \phi_{n}^{jlf}(r) |jm_{j}lf\rangle$$

$$= \sum_{l,f} a_{n}^{jm_{j}lf} \phi_{n}^{jlf}(r) \sum_{\substack{m_{l},m_{f} \\ m_{l}+m_{f}=m_{j}}} \times C_{lm_{l}fm_{f}}^{jm_{j}} |lfm_{l}m_{f}\rangle.$$
(5)

Here j denotes the total-angular-momentum quantum number and m_j the magnetic total-angular-momentum number, l and m_l stand for the orbital-momentum and the magnetic orbital-momentum quantum numbers, respectively. The total spin and its projection values are indicated by f and m_f and $C_{lm_lfm_f}^{jm_j}$ denotes Clebsch-Gordan coefficients [27]. Eigenfunctions are enumerated by the $n = 0, 1, \ldots$ number and $a_n^{jm_jlf}$ indicate constant coefficients.

Our goal is to derive the radial Shrödinger equations for ϕ_n^{jlf} with given j, l, f. We are now interested in the result of acting with the V_{dd} operator on the single state $\psi_n^{jm_jlf}(\mathbf{r})$. Using spin operators properties it can be shown that

$$V_{dd}\psi_n^{jm_jlf}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{g_{dd}}{r^3} \sum_{l',f'} \alpha_{ll'ff'} \psi_n^{jm_jl'f'}(\mathbf{r})$$
(6)

with the following selection rules:

$$l' = l + \Delta l, \qquad \Delta l = 0, \pm 2,$$

$$f' = f + \Delta f, \qquad \Delta f = 0, \pm 2.$$
 (7)

A value of the scalar coefficient $\alpha_{ll'ss'}$ is expressed by a product of Clebsh-Gordan coefficients determined by the angular-momentum algebra.

The preceding result might be understood by the fact that the dipole-dipole interaction operator is symmetric with respect to the exchange of the two particles. Thus it does not change a symmetry of the given $\psi_n^{jm_jlf}(\mathbf{r})$. Knowing (6) we are able to find the radial Schrödinger equation for the $\chi_n^{jlf}(r) \equiv r\phi_n^{jlf}(r)$ by the direct calculation

$$-\frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2}}{\mathrm{d}r^{2}}\chi_{n}^{jlf}(r) + \frac{1}{2}r^{2}\chi_{n}^{jlf}(r) + \frac{l(l+1)}{2r^{2}}\chi_{n}^{jlf}(r) + \frac{g_{dd}}{r^{3}}\sum_{l',f'}\alpha_{ll'ff'}\chi_{n}^{jl'f'}(r) = E_{n}^{j}\chi_{n}^{jlf}(r), \qquad (8)$$

where E_n^j is an eigenvalue and the short-range potential $V_{VdW}(r)$ is incorporated in the boundary conditions (see the next section). Here $\sqrt{\frac{\hbar^5}{m^3\omega}}$ is a unit of the g_{dd} in the harmonic-oscillator units.

As can be seen in (8) in order to find a $\chi_n^{jlf}(r)$ one has to solve a system of the radial Schrödinger equations for

¹Note the somewhat unusual factor of $\sqrt{2}$ introduced here for symmetry.



Fig. 1: (Color online) Energy E_n^0 vs. g_{dd} and expected value of orbital-angular-momentum operator $\langle L^2 \rangle$ for the n = 0, 1, 2 and atoms of spin $f_1 = f_2 = \frac{1}{2}, 1, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{21}{2}$. The black solid line represents the ground state, the red dashed dotted line and blue dashed line indicate first and second excited states, respectively. The insets magnify the anti-crossing area. Note the different horizontal scale for $f_1 = f_2 = \frac{21}{2}$. For the higher b values all presented effects appear for the higher g_{dd} values with no qualitative difference (see also fig. Ia in [33]).

a fixed total angular momentum number j. Note that the number of equations in the system is determined by the maximum value of the total spin: $f_{max} = f_1 + f_2$.

Results. – We are interested in solving the system of the radial Schrödinger equations introduced in the previous section, in particular for the total angular momentum j = 0. In order to accomplish this task we remind that the interaction potential $V(\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2)$ introduced earlier consists of the short-range potential also. We use a simple model of such a potential in the following form [26]:

$$V_{SR} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{for } r > b = 100 \, r_0, \\ \infty, & \text{for } r \le b = 100 \, r_0, \end{cases}$$
(9)



Fig. 2: (Color online) Composition of eigenstates for different eigenvalues E_n^0 for the spin- $\frac{3}{2}$ atoms. The blue dashed lines indicate radial functions $\phi_n^{000}(r)$ with the orbital quantum number l = 0 for the given value of g_{dd} vs. radial variable r and the red solid lines represent radial functions ϕ_n^{022} for the given g_{dd} with the orbital quantum number l = 2 vs. radial variable r. Square, circle and cross stay for sets of eigenstates with the same composition of $\phi_n^{011}(r)$ functions.

where r_0 is the Bohr radius. We motivate our choice by the fact that the scattering length a_0 for a scattering process of a single particle on an infinite spherically symmetric potential barrier is equal to the radius of barrier, *i.e.* $b = a_0$. A value of b is determined by the numerical calculations for the dysprosium atoms [28].

From the angular-momentum algebra we also deduced that for eigenstate with j = 0 the total spin number is equal to the orbital quantum number, *i.e.* l = f. Thus for such states the corresponding coefficient matrix $\alpha_{ll'ff'}$ reduces to the $\alpha_{ll'}$ matrix. We calculate them for the various atomic spin values, *i.e.* $f_1 = f_2 = \frac{1}{2}, 1, \frac{3}{2}$ and $\frac{21}{2}$.

Our results can be found in the supplementary material supplementary.pdf.

Knowledge of the $\alpha_{ll'ff'}$ coefficients allows us to solve numerically the system of the radial Schrödinger equations of the form presented in (8). We used the multiparameter shooting method. We set the b = 0.04in the harmonic-oscillator units which corresponds for the dysprosium-like atoms at the trap frequency $\omega \approx$ $2\pi 2.8$ kHz. For such a trap frequency the $g_{dd} = 0.0006$ in the harmonic-oscillator units. Our system admits two control parameters that may be changed by experimenters. Note that the g_{dd} in the harmonic-oscillator units depends on the trap frequency as $\sqrt{\omega}$, so it is tunable. One may also change the scattering length a_0 by the optical Feshbach resonances [29–32], so that the *b* value in the harmonicoscillator units may be kept constant while one changes the trap frequency.

In fig. 1 we present the eigenvalues E_n^0 with n = 0, 1, 2 as a function of g_{dd} for atoms with different spins. For atoms with the spin $f_1 = f_2 = 1, \frac{3}{2}$ and $\frac{21}{2}$ we consider only solutions for the even orbital-angular-momentum quantum number l. In the case of odd l results are qualitatively the same.

For spin $\frac{1}{2}$ atoms the energy values rise very slowly as g_{dd} rises. The radial part of $\psi_n^{0011}(\mathbf{r})$ is simply the $\phi_n^{011}(r)$, so the expected value of the orbital-angular-momentum operator $\langle L^2 \rangle$ is constant and equal $\langle L^2 \rangle = 2$ for all n.

For the higher spin values we observe more complex behaviour. First of all, the energy values for n = 0, 1 and 2 are highly dependent on the value of g_{dd} . For low values of g_{dd} eigenvalues vary slightly, then for higher values they decrease rapidly. We observe also the presence of anticrossings between consecutive lines $E_n^0(g_{dd})$ accompanied by changes of the $\langle L^2 \rangle$. This is due to changes in the structure of the radial part of eigenstates. From (8) we notice that the radial part of the eigenstate is a linear combination of $\phi_n^{0ll}(r)$ where in this case $l \in \{0, 2, \dots, 2 \cdot f_1\}$. As the g_{dd} rises the weight of each $\phi_n^{0ll}(r)$ function varies, *i.e.* the values of the a_n^{00ll} coefficient vary. For instance, we see that for low g_{dd} the ground state consists of almost only the s-state $(\phi_0^{000}(r))$, whereas as we increase the trap frequency, contributions of the functions with higher l grow. The ground state starts to "rotate". This feature resembles the Einstein-de Haas effect [21], although it is caused only by the internal spin-spin interactions between two atoms without any influence of external fields.

Moreover, as values of a_n^{00ll} for l > 0 grow and a_n^{0000} decreases also mutual orientation between the atoms starts to favour attractive regions of the DDI over repulsive regions, which can be observed in the analysis of the angular part of the eigenstates (see also fig. IIa in [33]). For the spin $f_1 = f_2 = \frac{1}{2}$ atoms, such a behaviour is impossible as all three angular parts of the eigenstates are multiplied by the same $a_n^{0000}\phi_n^{000}(r)$ expression which is almost being unchanged as g_{dd} rises. This fact explains qualitative difference between the dependence of the eigenvalues on g_{dd} for spin $\frac{1}{2}$ and higher spin values.

Figure 1 also illustrates that the bigger atomic spin is, the lower trap frequency is needed to observe above effects. In addition, the effect of changes in the expected value of orbital angular momentum is stronger for larger atomic spin values. It seems that at least it is possible to check our model experimentally using the system of the dysprosium atoms with the $\frac{21}{2}$ spin.

The nature of anti-crossings in fig. 1 can be explained by Landau-Zener theory [34,35] as depicted in fig. 2. As an example we used spin- $\frac{3}{2}$ atoms. A composition of the eigenstate corresponding to the eigenvalue $E_n(g_{dd})$ is not conserved along a given energy line, but it propagates along straight lines upward or downward. This type of effect was already observed by Kanjilal *et al.*, although for a simpler system consisting of two aligned dipoles [10].

Motivated by experiments under development [18,19,36] we based our calculations on dysprosium parameters. Our model of the dipole-dipole interactions between two atoms reveals a non-trivial dependence of two atoms in a harmonic-trap system on the trap frequency. We showed that increasing ω the system undergoes an analog of Einstein-de Hass effect. Such a behaviour is a result of spin-spin interaction and its coupling to the orbital angular momentum. We have also found the Landau-Zener anti-crossings in the energy levels of the system. Our results may be checked experimentally for the dysprosium atoms. Of course, proposed model is oversimplified in this case as dysprosium atoms are not exactly spherically symmetric [28].

* * *

The authors acknowledge fruitful conversations with MARIUSZ GAJDA. This work was supported by the (Polish) National Science Center Grant No. DEC-2012/04/A/ST2/00090.

REFERENCES

- KETTERLE W., INOUYE S., ANDREWS M. R., STENGER J., MIESNER H.-J. and STAMPER-KURN D. M., Nature, 392 (1998) 151.
- [2] COURTEILLE P., FREELAND R. S., HEINZEN D. J., VAN ABEELEN F. A. and VERHAAR B. J., *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 81 (1998) 69.
- [3] LEWENSTEIN M., SANPERA A. and AHUFINGER V., Ultracold Atoms in Optical Lattices: Simulating Quantum Many-body Systems (Oxford University Press, Oxford) 2012.
- [4] GREINER M., MANDEL O., ESSLINGER T., HÄNSCH T. W. and BLOCH I., *Nature*, **415** (2002) 39.
- [5] GREINER M., MANDEL O., HÄNSCH T. W. and BLOCH I., *Nature*, **419** (2002) 51.
- [6] BAKR W. S., PENG A., TAI M. E., MA R., SIMON J., GILLEN J. I., FOLLING S., POLLET L. and GREINER M., *Science*, **329** (2010) 547.
- [7] SERWANE F., ZURN G., LOMPE T., OTTENSTEIN T. B., WENZ A. N. and JOCHIM S., *Science*, **332** (2011) 336.

- [8] BUSCH T., ENGLERT B.-G., RZĄŻEWSKI K. and WILKENS M., Found. Phys., 28 (1998) 549.
- [9] KÖHL M., GÜNTER K., STÖFERLE T., MORITZ H. and ESSLINGER T., J. Phys. B: At., Mol. Opt. Phys., 39 (2006) S47.
- [10] KANJILAL K., BOHN J. L. and BLUME D., Phys. Rev. A, 75 (2007) 052705.
- [11] HANNA T. M., TIESINGA E., MITCHELL W. F. and JULIENNE P. S., Phys. Rev. A, 85 (2012) 022703.
- [12] GRIESMAIER A., WERNER J., HENSLER S., STUHLER J. and PFAU T., Phys. Rev. Lett., 94 (2005) 160401.
- [13] STUHLER J., GRIESMAIER A., KOCH T., FATTORI M., PFAU T., GIOVANAZZI S., PEDRI P. and SANTOS L., *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **95** (2005) 150406.
- [14] AIKAWA K., FRISCH A., MARK M., BAIER S., RIETZLER A., GRIMM R. and FERLAINO F., *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **108** (2012) 210401.
- [15] AIKAWA K., FRISCH A., MARK M., BAIER S., GRIMM R., BOHN J. L., JIN D. S., BRUUN G. M. and FERLAINO F., Phys. Rev. Lett., **113** (2014) 263201.
- [16] AIKAWA K., BAIER S., FRISCH A., MARK M., RAVENSBERGEN C. and FERLAINO F., Science, 345 (2014) 1484.
- [17] LU M., BURDICK N. Q. and LEV B. L., *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 108 (2012) 215301.
- [18] MAIER T., KADAU H., SCHMITT M., GRIESMAIER A. and PFAU T., Opt. Lett., **39** (2014) 3138.
- [19] TANG Y., BURDICK N. Q., BAUMANN K. and LEV B. L., New J. Phys., 17 (2015) 045006.
- [20] MAIER T., FERRIER-BARBUT I., KADAU H., SCHMITT M., WENZEL M., WINK C., PFAU T., JACHYMSKI K. and JULIENNE P. S., *Phys. Rev. A*, **92** (2015) 060702.
- [21] EINSTEIN A. and DE HAAS W. J., Verh. Dtsch. Phys. Ges., 17 (1915) 152.
- [22] GAWRYLUK K., BREWCZYK M., BONGS K. and GAJDA M., Phys. Rev. Lett., 99 (2007) 130401.

- [23] KAWAGUCHI Y., SAITO H. and UEDA M., Phys. Rev. Lett., 96 (2006) 080405.
- [24] BOLDA E. L., TIESINGA E. and JULIENNE P. S., Phys. Rev. A, 66 (2002) 013403.
- [25] BLUME D. and GREENE C. H., Phys. Rev. A, 65 (2002) 043613.
- [26] RONEN S., BORTOLOTTI D. C. E., BLUME D. and BOHN J. L., Phys. Rev. A, 74 (2006) 033611.
- [27] ABRAMOWITZ M. and STEGUN I. A., Handbook of Mathematical Functions, With Formulas, Graphs, and Mathematical Tables (Dover Publications, Incorporated) 1974.
- [28] PETROV A., TIESINGA E. and KOTOCHIGOVA S., Phys. Rev. Lett., 109 (2012) 103002.
- [29] FEDICHEV P. O., KAGAN Y., SHLYAPNIKOV G. V. and WALRAVEN J. T. M., Phys. Rev. Lett., 77 (1996) 2913.
- [30] FATEMI F. K., JONES K. M. and LETT P. D., Phys. Rev. Lett., 85 (2000) 4462.
- [31] THALHAMMER G., THEIS M., WINKLER K., GRIMM R. and DENSCHLAG J. H., *Phys. Rev. A*, **71** (2005) 033403.
- [32] BLATT S., NICHOLSON T. L., BLOOM B. J., WILLIAMS J. R., THOMSEN J. W., JULIENNE P. S. and YE J., *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **107** (2011) 073202.
- [33] OŁDZIEJEWSKI R., GÓRECKI W. and RZĄŻEWSKI K., Results dependence on the hardcore potential width b and the mutual orientation of the atoms in: Two dipolar atoms in a harmonic trap (2016), https://dx.doi.org/10.6084/ m9.figshare.3180661.v3.
- [34] LANDAU L., Phys. Z. Sowjetunion, 2 (1932) 46.
- [35] ZENER C., Proc. R. Soc. A, **137** (1932) 696.
- [36] KADAU H., SCHMITT M., WENZEL M., WINK C., MAIER T., FERRIER-BARBUT I. and PFAU T., arXiv:1508.05007v2 [cond-mat.quant-gas] (2015).