The Hom–Yang–Baxter equation, Hom–Lie algebras, and quasi-triangular bialgebras

To cite this article: Donald Yau 2009 J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 42 165202

View the article online for updates and enhancements.

You may also like

- <u>A twisted generalization of linear Poisson</u> brackets of hydrodynamic type Dongping Hou and Chengming Bai
- <u>Ternary *q*-Virasoro–Witt Hom–Nambu–Lie</u> <u>algebras</u> F Ammar, A Makhlouf and S Silvestrov
- <u>Geometric estimates for doubly nonlinear</u> parabolic PDEs Elzon C Bezerra Júnior, João Vitor da

Silva and Gleydson C Ricarte

J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 42 (2009) 165202 (12pp)

doi:10.1088/1751-8113/42/16/165202

The Hom–Yang–Baxter equation, Hom–Lie algebras, and quasi-triangular bialgebras

Donald Yau

Department of Mathematics, The Ohio State University at Newark, 1179 University Drive, Newark, OH 43055, USA

E-mail: dyau@math.ohio-state.edu

Received 10 January 2009, in final form 19 February 2009 Published 24 March 2009 Online at stacks.iop.org/JPhysA/42/165202

Abstract

We study a twisted version of the Yang–Baxter equation, called the Hom–Yang– Baxter equation (HYBE), which is motivated by Hom–Lie algebras. Three classes of solutions of the HYBE are constructed, one from Hom–Lie algebras and the others from Drinfeld's (dual) quasi-triangular bialgebras. Each solution of the HYBE can be extended to operators that satisfy the braid relations. Assuming an invertibility condition, these operators give a representation of the braid group.

PACS numbers: 02.20.Uw, 02.10.Kn Mathematics Subject Classification: 16W30, 17A30, 17B37, 81R50

1. Introduction

The Yang–Baxter equation (YBE) originated in the work of McGuire, Yang [25], and Baxter [4, 5] in statistical mechanics. Let V be a vector space, and let $B: V \otimes V \rightarrow V \otimes V$ be a linear automorphism. Then B is said to be an *R*-matrix if it satisfies the YBE

$$(Id_V \otimes B) \circ (B \otimes Id_V) \circ (Id_V \otimes B) = (B \otimes Id_V) \circ (Id_V \otimes B) \circ (B \otimes Id_V).$$
(1.0.1)

The YBE has far-reaching mathematical significance. Indeed, it is closely related to Lie algebras, Drinfeld's (dual) quasi-triangular bialgebras, which include many examples of quantum groups, and the braid group, among other topics. It is known that every (co)module M over a (dual) quasi-triangular bialgebra H gives a solution of the YBE [6, 7, 12]. Also, every Lie algebra L gives a solution of the YBE [3]. Moreover, each solution of the YBE gives a representation of the braid group on n strands.

We will study a twisted version of the YBE, which is motivated by Hom–Lie algebras. A *Hom–Lie algebra L* has a bilinear skew-symmetric bracket $[-, -]: L \otimes L \rightarrow L$ and a linear

1751-8113/09/165202+12\$30.00 © 2009 IOP Publishing Ltd Printed in the UK

map $\alpha : L \to L$ such that $\alpha[x, y] = [\alpha(x), \alpha(y)]$ for $x, y \in L$ (multiplicativity) and that the following *Hom–Jacobi identity* holds

$$[[x, y], \alpha(z)] + [[z, x], \alpha(y)] + [[y, z], \alpha(x)]] = 0.$$
(1.0.2)

A Lie algebra is a Hom–Lie algebra with $\alpha = Id$. Hom–Lie algebras were introduced in [8] (without multiplicativity) to describe the structures on certain *q*-deformations of the Witt and the Virasoro algebras. Earlier precursors of Hom–Lie algebras can be found in [11, 14]. Other classes of Hom–Lie algebras were constructed in [17, 27]. We will describe some of these Hom–Lie algebras in section 3.

If one considers a Hom–Lie algebra as an α -twisted version of a Lie algebra, then there should be a corresponding twisted YBE. To state precisely what we mean by a twisted YBE, let M be a vector space with a given linear self-map $\alpha : M \to M$, and let $B : M \otimes M \to M \otimes M$ be a bilinear map (not necessarily an automorphism) such that $B \circ \alpha^{\otimes 2} = \alpha^{\otimes 2} \circ B$. We consider the following *Hom–Yang–Baxter equation* (HYBE) for (M, α) ,

$$(\alpha \otimes B) \circ (B \otimes \alpha) \circ (\alpha \otimes B) = (B \otimes \alpha) \circ (\alpha \otimes B) \circ (B \otimes \alpha). \tag{1.0.3}$$

Of course, an *R*-matrix is a solution of the HYBE in which $\alpha = Id$ and *B* is invertible. We will construct three classes of solutions of the HYBE, generalizing the solutions of the YBE from Lie algebras and (dual) quasi-triangular bialgebras.

Just as a Lie algebra gives a solution of the YBE (1.0.1), the following result, which will be proved in section 3, shows that a Hom–Lie algebra gives a solution of the HYBE. In what follows, **k** denotes the ground field.

Theorem 1.1. Let $(L, [-, -], \alpha)$ be a Hom–Lie algebra. Set $L' = \mathbf{k} \oplus L$ and $\alpha(a, x) = (a, \alpha(x))$ for $(a, x) \in L'$. Define a bilinear map $B_{\alpha} : L' \otimes L' \to L' \otimes L'$ by

$$B_{\alpha}((a, x) \otimes (b, y)) = (b, \alpha(y)) \otimes (a, \alpha(x)) + (1, 0) \otimes (0, [x, y]).$$
(1.1.1)

Then B_{α} is a solution of the HYBE (1.0.3) for (L', α) .

This theorem is a generalization of [3, proposition 4.2.2], which is precisely the case when $\alpha = Id$, i.e., when L is a Lie algebra.

Next we describe solutions of the HYBE from quasi-triangular bialgebras. A quasitriangular bialgebra [6, 7] consists of a bialgebra H and an invertible element $R \in H \otimes H$, called the *quasi-triangular structure*. The comultiplication Δ in H is almost cocommutative, whose non-cocommutativity is controlled by the element R. Moreover, R satisfies two further compatibility conditions with Δ . A cocommutative bialgebra is an example of a quasitriangular bialgebra in which $R = 1 \otimes 1$. However, most interesting examples of quasitriangular bialgebras are not cocommutative. The exact definition of a quasi-triangular bialgebra will be recalled in section 4.

Let (H, R) be a quasi-triangular bialgebra, and let M be an H-module. Define the bilinear map $B_R: M \otimes M \to M \otimes M$ by

$$B_R(u \otimes v) = \tau(R(u \otimes v)), \qquad (1.1.2)$$

where $\tau : M \otimes M \to M \otimes M$ is the twist isomorphism. Then it is well known that B_R is a solution of the YBE (1.0.1) [6, 7, 12]. This gives an efficient and systematic way to produce solutions of the YBE. Particular examples of solutions of the YBE arising this way include the Woronowicz operators on a Hopf algebra [24], as shown in [10].

The following generalization will be proved in section 4.

Theorem 1.2. Let (H, R) be a quasi-triangular bialgebra, M be an H-module, and $\alpha : M \to M$ be an H-module morphism. Then the map B_R (1.1.2) is a solution of the HYBE (1.0.3) for (M, α) .

Dual to a quasi-triangular bialgebra is the notion of a *dual quasi-triangular bialgebra* [9, 13, 15, 22]. It consists of a bialgebra H and a *dual quasi-triangular structure* $R \in \text{Hom}(H \otimes H, \mathbf{k})$. The exact definition of a dual quasi-triangular bialgebra will be recalled in section 5. Let (H, R) be a dual quasi-triangular bialgebra, and let M be an H-comodule via the map $\rho: M \to H \otimes M$. For an element $u \in M$, write $\rho(u) = \sum_{(u)} u_H \otimes u_M$. Define a bilinear map $B^R: M \otimes M \to M \otimes M$ by

$$B^{R}(u \otimes v) = \sum_{(u)(v)} R(v_{H} \otimes u_{H})v_{M} \otimes u_{M}.$$
(1.2.1)

Then it is well known that B^R is a solution of the YBE (1.0.1); see, e.g. [12, proposition VIII.5.2]. This gives another systematic way to produce solutions of the YBE. Conversely, by the FRT construction [21], every *R*-matrix for a finite dimensional vector space *M* has the form B^R for some dual quasi-triangular bialgebra *H* and some *H*-comodule structure on *M*. The following result, dual to theorem 1.2, will be proved in section 5.

Theorem 1.3. Let (H, R) be a dual quasi-triangular bialgebra, M be an H-comodule, and $\alpha : M \to M$ be an H-comodule morphism. Then the map B^R (1.2.1) is a solution of the HYBE (1.0.3) for (M, α) .

Solutions of the YBE (i.e., *R*-matrices) can be extended to operators that satisfy the braid relations, which can then be used to construct representations of the braid group. We extend this construction to solutions of the HYBE. Let $n \ge 3$ and \mathfrak{B}_n be the braid group on *n* strands [1, 2]. The braid group \mathfrak{B}_n has generators σ_i ($1 \le i \le n - 1$), which satisfy the determining *braid relations*

$$\sigma_i \sigma_j = \sigma_j \sigma_i$$
 if $|i - j| > 1$ and $\sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i = \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1}$. (1.3.1)

The following result, which will be proved in section 6, shows that each solution of the HYBE can be extended to operators that satisfy the braid relations. With an additional invertibility condition, these operators give a representation of the braid group \mathfrak{B}_n . It generalizes the usual braid group representations from *R*-matrices, as discussed, for example, in [12, X.6.2].

Theorem 1.4. Let B be a solution of the HYBE (1.0.3) for (M, α) and $n \ge 3$. Define the linear maps $B_i: M^{\otimes n} \to M^{\otimes n}$ $(1 \le i \le n-1)$ by

$$B_{i} = \begin{cases} B \otimes \alpha^{\otimes (n-2)} & \text{if } i = 1, \\ \alpha^{\otimes (i-1)} \otimes B \otimes \alpha^{\otimes (n-i-1)} & \text{if } 1 < i < n-1, \\ \alpha^{\otimes (n-2)} \otimes B & \text{if } i = n-1. \end{cases}$$
(1.4.1)

Then the maps B_i satisfy the braid relations (1.3.1). Moreover, if both α and B are invertible, then so are the B_i , and there is a unique group morphism $\rho_n^B : \mathfrak{B}_n \to \operatorname{Aut}(M^{\otimes n})$ with $\rho_n^B(\sigma_i) = B_i$.

Each Lie algebra gives an *R*-matrix (theorem 1.1 with $\alpha = Id$) *B* on $L' = \mathbf{k} \oplus L$. This in turn gives a corresponding representation of the braid group \mathfrak{B}_n on $L'^{\otimes n}$, as in theorem 1.4. Our α -twisted setting is more flexible and provides many more braid group representations on $L'^{\otimes n}$ for each Lie algebra *L*. For example, as we will discuss in example 3.2, each Lie algebra *L* gives rise to a family { $L_{\alpha} = (L, [-, -]_{\alpha}, \alpha)$ } of Hom–Lie algebras, one for each Lie algebra endomorphism α of *L*. Thus, starting with a Lie algebra *L* and using theorems 1.1 and 1.4 and corollary 3.3 on the sub-family { $L_{\alpha} : \alpha$ invertible} of Hom–Lie algebras, we obtain a family of representations of \mathfrak{B}_n on $L'^{\otimes n}$. As an illustration, in example 6.1, starting with the Lie algebra sl(2), we will construct an explicit infinite, 1-parameter family of representations of \mathfrak{B}_n on $sl(2)^{\otimes n} = (\mathbf{k} \oplus sl(2))^{\otimes n}$.

Likewise, in theorems 1.2 and 1.3 with fixed (H, R) and M, suppose we run $\alpha : M \to M$ through all the *H*-(co)module automorphisms of M. Then we obtain from theorem 1.4 a family, indexed by these α , of braid group representations on $M^{\otimes n}$ because B_R (1.1.2) and B^R (1.2.1) are always invertible.

This finishes the descriptions of our main results. The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we fix some notations and give a few basic examples of solutions of the HYBE. In section 3, we give some examples of Hom–Lie algebras and prove theorem 1.1. We also show that B_{α} (1.1.1) is invertible, provided that α is invertible (corollary 3.3). In sections 4 and 5, we recall the definitions of (dual) quasi-triangular bialgebras and prove theorems 1.2 and 1.3. In section 6, we prove theorem 1.4 and illustrate it with some Hom–Lie deformations of sl(2) (example 6.1).

2. The Hom-Yang-Baxter equation

Before we discuss some basic solutions of the HYBE, let us fix some notations.

2.1. Conventions and notations

Throughout the rest of this paper, \mathbf{k} denotes a field of characteristic 0. Vector spaces, tensor products, and linearity are all meant over \mathbf{k} , unless otherwise specified.

Given two vector spaces V and W, denote by $\tau = \tau_{VW} : V \otimes W \to W \otimes V$ the twist isomorphism, i.e., $\tau(v \otimes w) = w \otimes v$. Denote by Hom(V, W) the vector space of linear maps from V to W.

For a coalgebra *C* with comultiplication $\Delta: C \to C \otimes C$, we use Sweedler's notation $\Delta(x) = \sum_{(x)} x' \otimes x''$ [23]. Suppose, in addition, that *M* is a *C*-comodule with structure map $\rho: M \to C \otimes M$. For $u \in M$, we write $\rho(u) = \sum_{(u)} u_C \otimes u_M$.

2.2. Hom-modules

By a Hom-module, we mean a pair (V, α) in which V is a vector space and $\alpha : V \to V$ is a linear map. A morphism $(V, \alpha_V) \to (W, \alpha_W)$ of Hom-modules is a linear map $f : V \to W$ such that $\alpha_W \circ f = f \circ \alpha_V$. When there is no danger of confusion, we will denote a Hom-module (V, α) simply by V.

The tensor product of the Hom-modules (V, α_V) and (W, α_W) consists of the vector space $V \otimes W$ and the linear self-map $\alpha_V \otimes \alpha_W$.

2.3. The Hom-Yang-Baxter equation

Let (M, α) be a Hom-module, and let $B: M \otimes M \to M \otimes M$ be a morphism of Hom-modules, i.e., $B \circ \alpha^{\otimes 2} = \alpha^{\otimes 2} \circ B$. Then the Hom–Yang–Baxter equation (HYBE) for the Hom-module (M, α) is defined in (1.0.3).

In the rest of this section, we give a few basic examples of solutions of the HYBE.

Example 2.4. If $B: V \otimes V \to V \otimes V$ is an *R*-matrix (1.0.1), then *B* is also a solution of the HYBE for the Hom-module (V, Id_V) .

Example 2.5. Let (M, α) be a Hom-module. Define a map $\tau_{\alpha} : M \otimes M \to M \otimes M$ by

$$\tau_{\alpha}(u \otimes v) = \alpha(v) \otimes \alpha(u)$$

4

for $u, v \in M$. Then clearly τ_{α} is a morphism of Hom-modules. Moreover, τ_{α} is a solution of the HYBE for (M, α) . Indeed, with $B = \tau_{\alpha}$, both sides of (1.0.3), when applied to $u \otimes v \otimes w \in M^{\otimes 3}$, are equal to $\alpha^3(w) \otimes \alpha^3(v) \otimes \alpha^3(u)$.

Proposition 2.6. Let B be a solution of the HYBE for the Hom-module (M, α) .

(1) If $\lambda \in \mathbf{k}$, then λB is also a solution of the HYBE for (M, α) .

(2) If both α and B are invertible, then B^{-1} is a solution of the HYBE for (M, α^{-1}) .

Proof. The first assertion follows from $\lambda B \circ \alpha^{\otimes 2} = \lambda(B \circ \alpha^{\otimes 2}), \alpha^{\otimes 2} \circ \lambda B = \lambda(\alpha^{\otimes 2} \circ B), \lambda B \otimes \alpha = \lambda(B \otimes \alpha), \text{ and } \alpha \otimes \lambda B = \lambda(\alpha \otimes B).$

The second assertion follows from $B^{-1} \circ (\alpha^{-1})^{\otimes 2} = (\alpha^{\otimes 2} \circ B)^{-1}, (\alpha^{-1})^{\otimes 2} \circ B^{-1} = (B \circ \alpha^{\otimes 2})^{-1}, B^{-1} \otimes \alpha^{-1} = (B \otimes \alpha)^{-1}, \text{ and } \alpha^{-1} \otimes B^{-1} = (\alpha \otimes B)^{-1}.$

3. Solutions of the HYBE from Hom–Lie algebras

The purpose of this section is to prove theorem 1.1, which says that every Hom–Lie algebra gives a solution of the HYBE (1.0.3). We will also observe that, in the setting of theorem 1.1, if α is invertible, then so is B_{α} (corollary 3.3).

We already defined Hom–Lie algebras in the introduction. Before we prove theorem 1.1, let us first discuss two classes of examples.

Example 3.1 (Hom–Lie algebras from Hom-associative algebras). The fact that associative algebras give rise to Lie algebras via the commutator bracket has a Hom-algebra counterpart. By a Hom-associative algebra, we mean a triple (A, μ, α) , in which (A, α) is a Hom-module and $\mu : A \otimes A \rightarrow A$ is a bilinear map. This data is required to satisfy two conditions: *multiplicativity*, $\alpha(\mu(x, y)) = \mu(\alpha(x), \alpha(y))$, and *Hom-associativity*,

$$\mu(\alpha(x), \mu(y, z)) = \mu(\mu(x, y), \alpha(z)),$$

for $x, y, z \in A$. An associative algebra is an example of a Hom-associative algebra in which $\alpha = Id$. Hom-associative algebras (without multiplicativity) were introduced in [17], and they play the roles of associative algebras in the Hom-algebra setting. Indeed, given a Hom-associative algebra (A, μ, α) , we obtain a Hom–Lie algebra $(A, [-, -], \alpha)$ [17] in which

$$[x, y] = \mu(x, y) - \mu(y, x)$$

for $x, y \in A$. It is clear that this bracket is skew-symmetric and multiplicative. The Hom–Jacobi identity (1.0.2) can be proved by a direct computation using the Hom-associativity of μ .

Although it is not needed in this paper, we point out that, similar to the universal enveloping algebra of a Lie algebra, there is a universal enveloping Hom-associative algebra functor \overline{U} from Hom-Lie algebras to Hom-associative algebras [26, 28]. The ordinary enveloping algebra of a Lie algebra is a bialgebra. Similarly, one can define a *Hom-bialgebra* by dualizing and extending the definition of a Hom-associative algebra. It is shown in [28, section 3] that, for a Hom-Lie algebra L, its universal enveloping Hom-associative algebra $\overline{U}(L)$ has the structure of a Hom-bialgebra. Besides the sources cited above, other papers that discuss Hom-Lie algebras and related Hom-algebras include [8, 18, 19, 20, 27].

Example 3.2 (Hom–Lie algebras as deformations of Lie algebras). Another systematic way to obtain Hom–Lie algebras is by deforming Lie algebras along endomorphisms. Let (L, [-, -]) be a Lie algebra, and let $\alpha : L \to L$ be a Lie algebra endomorphism. Define a new bracket $[-, -]_{\alpha}$ on *L* by setting

$$[x, y]_{\alpha} = \alpha[x, y].$$

Then a direct calculation shows that $L_{\alpha} = (L, [-, -]_{\alpha}, \alpha)$ is a Hom–Lie algebra [27, theorem 3.3]. Using this construction, one can easily obtain many examples of Hom–Lie algebras. The reader is referred to [27, section 3] for examples of Hom–Lie deformations of sl(n), the Heisenberg algebra, Lie algebras associated with Lie groups, and the Witt algebra.

We point out that the procedure described in the previous paragraph can be applied to other types of algebras as well. Indeed, one can deform an associative algebra along an endomorphism and obtain a Hom-associative algebra [27, theorem 2.5]. Dualizing and extending this procedure [20, 28], one can obtain Hom-coalgebras and Hom-bialgebras by deforming coalgebras and bialgebras, respectively, along endomorphisms.

After the above discussion of examples of Hom–Lie algebras, we now proceed to prove theorem 1.1.

Proof of theorem 1.1. First, it is clear that $B_{\alpha} \circ \alpha^{\otimes 2} = \alpha^{\otimes 2} \circ B_{\alpha}$. To prove that B_{α} satisfies the HYBE (1.0.3), consider a typical generator $\gamma = (a, x) \otimes (b, y) \otimes (c, z)$ in $L^{\otimes 3}$. A direct computation gives

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha \otimes B_{\alpha}) \circ (B_{\alpha} \otimes \alpha) \circ (\alpha \otimes B_{\alpha})(\gamma) &= (c, \alpha^{3}(z)) \otimes (b, \alpha^{3}(y)) \otimes (a, \alpha^{3}(x)) \\ &+ (c, \alpha^{3}(z)) \otimes (1, 0) \otimes (0, [\alpha^{2}(x), \alpha^{2}(y)]) + (1, 0) \otimes (b, \alpha^{3}(y)) \\ &\otimes (0, \alpha[\alpha(x), \alpha(z)]) + (1, 0) \otimes (1, 0) \otimes (0, [[\alpha(x), \alpha(z)], \alpha^{2}(y)]) \\ &+ (1, 0) \otimes (0, \alpha^{2}[y, z]) \otimes (a, \alpha^{3}(x)) + (1, 0) \otimes (1, 0) \otimes (0, [\alpha^{2}(x), \alpha[y, z]]). \end{aligned}$$
(3.2.1)

Likewise, we have

$$(B_{\alpha} \otimes \alpha) \circ (\alpha \otimes B_{\alpha}) \circ (B_{\alpha} \otimes \alpha)(\gamma) = (c, \alpha^{3}(z)) \otimes (b, \alpha^{3}(y)) \otimes (a, \alpha^{3}(x)) + (1, 0) \otimes (0, [\alpha^{2}(y), \alpha^{2}(z)]) \otimes (a, \alpha^{3}(x)) + (1, 0) \otimes (b, \alpha^{3}(y)) \otimes (0, \alpha[\alpha(x), \alpha(z)]) + (c, \alpha^{3}(z)) \otimes (1, 0) \otimes (0, \alpha^{2}[x, y]) + (1, 0) \otimes (1, 0) \otimes (0, \alpha[[x, y], \alpha(z)]).$$
(3.2.2)

Using the multiplicativity of α , four terms in (3.2.1) (those not of the form $(1, 0) \otimes (1, 0) \otimes \cdots$) are equal to four corresponding terms in (3.2.2). Therefore, B_{α} satisfies the HYBE, provided

$$[[\alpha(x), \alpha(z)], \alpha^{2}(y)] + [\alpha^{2}(x), \alpha[y, z]] = \alpha[[x, y], \alpha(z)].$$
(3.2.3)

Using the multiplicativity of α and the skew symmetry of the bracket, the condition (3.2.3) can be rewritten as

$$0 = \alpha \left([[x, y], \alpha(z)] + [[z, x], \alpha(y)] + [[y, z], \alpha(x)] \right).$$
(3.2.4)

The condition (3.2.4) is true because of the Hom–Jacobi identity (1.0.2) in L.

Corollary 3.3. With the same hypotheses as in theorem 1.1, assume in addition that $\alpha : L \to L$ is invertible. Then B_{α} is also invertible, whose inverse is given by

$$B_{\alpha}^{-1}((a,x)\otimes(b,y)) = (b,\alpha^{-1}(y))\otimes(a,\alpha^{-1}(x)) + (0,\alpha^{-2}[x,y])\otimes(1,0).$$

Moreover, B_{α}^{-1} is a solution of the HYBE for (L', α^{-1}) , where $\alpha^{-1}(a, x) = (a, \alpha^{-1}(x))$.

Proof. A direct computation shows that the stated B_{α}^{-1} is indeed the two-sided inverse of B_{α} (1.1.1). The last assertion follows from the second part of proposition 2.6.

4. Solutions of the HYBE from quasi-triangular bialgebras

The purpose of this section is to prove theorem 1.2. Let us first recall some relevant definitions.

4.1. Quasi-triangular bialgebras

Let $H = (H, \mu, \eta, \Delta, \varepsilon)$ be a bialgebra, in which $\mu : H \otimes H \to H$ is the associative multiplication, $\eta : \mathbf{k} \to H$ is the unit, $\Delta : H \to H \otimes H$ is the coassociative comultiplication, and $\varepsilon : H \to \mathbf{k}$ is the counit. A quasi-triangular structure on H [6, 7, 12, 16] is an invertible element $R \in H \otimes H$ such that

$$(\tau \circ \Delta)(x) = R\Delta(x)R^{-1} \tag{4.1.1}$$

for $x \in H$,

$$(\Delta \otimes Id_H)(R) = R_{13}R_{23}, \quad \text{and} \quad (Id_H \otimes \Delta)(R) = R_{13}R_{12}. \quad (4.1.2)$$

Here, if $R = \sum_i s_i \otimes t_i$, then

$$R_{12} = \sum_{i} s_i \otimes t_i \otimes 1,$$
 $R_{13} = \sum_{i} s_i \otimes 1 \otimes t_i,$ and $R_{23} = \sum_{i} 1 \otimes s_i \otimes t_i.$

We call (H, R) a quasi-triangular bialgebra, which is also known as a braided bialgebra. The quasi-triangular structure R is also known as a universal R-matrix.

The reader is referred to [6, 7, 12, 16] for detailed discussions and examples of quasitriangular bialgebras, many of which are quantum groups. In a quasi-triangular bialgebra (H, R), the quasi-triangular structure R satisfies the Quantum Yang–Baxter equation (QYBE)

$$R_{12}R_{13}R_{23} = R_{23}R_{13}R_{12}. (4.1.3)$$

See, e.g. [12, theorem VIII.2.4] for the proof. Using the above notations, the QYBE (4.1.3) can be rewritten as

$$\sum_{i,j,k} s_k s_j \otimes t_k s_i \otimes t_j t_i = \sum_{i,j,k} s_j s_i \otimes s_k t_i \otimes t_k t_j.$$
(4.1.4)

Consider the permutation isomorphism $\sigma : H^{\otimes 3} \to H^{\otimes 3}$ defined as $\sigma(x \otimes y \otimes z) = z \otimes y \otimes x$. Applying σ to both sides of (4.1.4) yields

$$\sum_{i,j,k} t_j t_i \otimes t_k s_i \otimes s_k s_j = \sum_{i,j,k} t_k t_j \otimes s_k t_i \otimes s_j s_i.$$
(4.1.5)

We will make use of (4.1.5) below.

Proof of theorem 1.2. Let u, v and w be generic elements in M. Using the notations above, the map B_R (1.1.2) can be written as

$$B_R(u\otimes v)=\sum_i t_iv\otimes s_iu$$

where $R = \sum_{i} s_i \otimes t_i$. Since $\alpha : M \to M$ is *H*-linear, it is easy to see that $\alpha^{\otimes 2} \circ B_R = B_R \circ \alpha^{\otimes 2}$. To see that P_R satisfies the HXPE (1.0.2) we write $\alpha = u \otimes u \otimes u$. Using the *H* linearity.

To see that B_R satisfies the HYBE (1.0.3), we write $\gamma = u \otimes v \otimes w$. Using the *H*-linearity of α , a direct computation shows that

$$((\alpha \otimes B_R) \circ (B_R \otimes \alpha) \circ (\alpha \otimes B_R))(\gamma) = \sum_{i,j,k} t_j t_i \alpha(w) \otimes t_k s_i \alpha(v) \otimes s_k s_j \alpha(u)$$
(4.1.6)

and

$$((B_R \otimes \alpha) \circ (\alpha \otimes B_R) \circ (B_R \otimes \alpha))(\gamma) = \sum_{i,j,k} t_k t_j \alpha(w) \otimes s_k t_i \alpha(v) \otimes s_j s_i \alpha(u).$$
(4.1.7)

It follows from (4.1.5) that (4.1.6) and (4.1.7) are equal. Thus, B_R satisfies the HYBE.

7

5. Solutions of the HYBE from dual quasi-triangular bialgebras

The purpose of this section is to prove theorem 1.3. Let us first recall some relevant definitions.

5.1. Dual quasi-triangular bialgebras

Let $H = (H, \mu, \eta, \Delta, \varepsilon)$ be a bialgebra. A dual quasi-triangular structure on H [9, 12, 13, 15, 16, 22] is a bilinear form $R \in \text{Hom}(H \otimes H, \mathbf{k})$ such that the following three conditions are satisfied for $x, y, z \in H$:

(1) The bilinear form R is invertible under the convolution product. In other words, there exists a bilinear form $R^{-1} \in \text{Hom}(H \otimes H, \mathbf{k})$ such that

$$\sum_{(x)(y)} R(x' \otimes y') R^{-1}(x'' \otimes y'') = \varepsilon(x)\varepsilon(y) = \sum_{(x)(y)} R^{-1}(x' \otimes y') R(x'' \otimes y'').$$
(5.1.1)

(2) The multiplication μ is almost commutative in the sense that

$$\sum_{(x)(y)} y' x' R(x'' \otimes y'') = \sum_{(x)(y)} R(x' \otimes y') x'' y''.$$
(5.1.2)

(3) We have

$$R(xy \otimes z) = \sum_{(z)} R(x \otimes z') R(y \otimes z''), \qquad (5.1.3a)$$

$$R(x \otimes yz) = \sum_{(x)} R(x' \otimes z) R(x'' \otimes y).$$
(5.1.3b)

We call (H, R) a dual quasi-triangular bialgebra, which is also known as a cobraided bialgebra. The dual quasi-triangular structure R is also called a universal R-form.

Note that (5.1.1) is dual to the invertibility of the quasi-triangular structure. Likewise, (5.1.2) is dual to (4.1.1), and (5.1.3) is dual to (4.1.2). The reader is referred to the references above for more detailed discussions and examples of dual quasi-triangular bialgebras.

In the context of theorem 1.3, the coassociativity of the *H*-comodule structure map $\rho: M \to H \otimes M$ can be expressed as the equality

$$\sum_{(u)(u_M)} u_H \otimes (u_M)_H \otimes (u_M)_M = \sum_{(u)(u_H)} u'_H \otimes u''_H \otimes u_M$$
(5.1.4)

for $u \in M$. The notations were specified in section 2.1. Likewise, the *H*-linearity of the *H*-comodule morphism $\alpha : M \to M$ is equivalent to the equality

$$\sum_{(u)} u_H \otimes \alpha(u_M) = \sum_{(\alpha(u))} \alpha(u)_H \otimes \alpha(u)_M$$
(5.1.5)

for $u \in M$.

Proof of theorem 1.3. Let u, v, and w be generic elements in M. First, the following calculation shows that B^R (1.2.1) is a morphism of Hom-modules:

$$B^{R}(\alpha(u) \otimes \alpha(v)) = \sum_{(\alpha(u))(\alpha(v))} R(\alpha(v)_{H} \otimes \alpha(u)_{H})\alpha(v)_{M} \otimes \alpha(u)_{M}$$
$$= \sum_{(u)(v)} R(v_{H} \otimes u_{H})\alpha(v_{M}) \otimes \alpha(u_{M}) \quad \text{by (5.1.5)}$$
$$= \alpha^{\otimes 2}(B^{R}(u \otimes v)).$$

8

To see that B^R satisfies the HYBE (1.0.3) for (M, α) , we write $\gamma = u \otimes v \otimes w$. By lemmas 5.2 and 5.3 below, both $((B^R \otimes \alpha) \circ (\alpha \otimes B^R) \circ (B^R \otimes \alpha))(\gamma)$ and $((\alpha \otimes B^R) \circ (B^R \otimes \alpha) \circ (\alpha \otimes B^R))(\gamma)$ are equal to

$$\sum_{H, (\alpha(w))} R(v'_H \alpha(w)'_H \otimes \alpha(u)_H) R(\alpha(w)''_H \otimes v''_H) \cdot \alpha(w)_M \otimes \alpha(v_M) \otimes \alpha(u)_M.$$
(5.1.6)

 $(v)(v_H)(\alpha(w))$ $(\alpha(w)_H)(\alpha(u))$

Therefore, it suffices to prove the following two lemmas.

Lemma 5.2. With the notations above, $((B^R \otimes \alpha) \circ (\alpha \otimes B^R) \circ (B^R \otimes \alpha))(\gamma)$ is equal to (5.1.6).

Proof. A direct computation shows that $((B^R \otimes \alpha) \circ (\alpha \otimes B^R) \circ (B^R \otimes \alpha))(\gamma)$ is equal to

$$\sum_{\substack{(u)(v)(u_M)\\(\alpha(w))(\alpha(v_M))(\alpha(w)_M)\\\cdot(\alpha(w))(\alpha(w_M))(\alpha(w)_M)}} R(v_H \otimes u_H)R(\alpha(w)_H \otimes (u_M)_H)R((\alpha(w)_M)_H \otimes \alpha(v_M)_H)$$
(5.2.1)

$$(a(w)_M)_M \otimes a(v_M)_M \otimes a((u_M)_M).$$

Using (5.1.4) on both u and $\alpha(w)$, (5.2.1) becomes

$$\sum_{\substack{(u)(u_H)(v)(\alpha(v_M))\\(\alpha(w))(\alpha(w_H))}} R(v_H \otimes u'_H) R(\alpha(w)'_H \otimes u''_H) R(\alpha(w)''_H \otimes \alpha(v_M)_H)$$

 $\cdot \alpha(w)_M \otimes \alpha(v_M)_M \otimes \alpha(u_M).$ Next, using (5.1.3*a*) with $z = u_H$, (5.2.2) becomes

$$\sum_{\substack{(u)(v)(\alpha(v_M))\\(\alpha(w))(\alpha(w)_H)}} R(v_H \alpha(w)'_H \otimes u_H) R(\alpha(w)''_H \otimes \alpha(v_M)_H) \cdot \alpha(w)_M \otimes \alpha(v_M)_M \otimes \alpha(u_M).$$

Now using (5.1.5) on both u and v_M , (5.2.3) becomes

$$\sum_{\substack{(v)(v_M)(\alpha(u))\\(\alpha(w))(\alpha(w)_H)}} R(v_H \alpha(w)'_H \otimes \alpha(u)_H) R(\alpha(w)''_H \otimes (v_M)_H) \cdot \alpha(w)_M \otimes \alpha((v_M)_M) \otimes \alpha(u)_M.$$

Finally, using (5.1.4) on v, one observes that (5.2.4) is equal to (5.1.6).

Lemma 5.3. With the notations above, $((\alpha \otimes B^R) \circ (B^R \otimes \alpha) \circ (\alpha \otimes B^R))(\gamma)$ is equal to (5.1.6).

Proof. This is similar to the proof of lemma 5.2, so we will only give a sketch. A direct computation shows that $((\alpha \otimes B^R) \circ (B^R \otimes \alpha) \circ (\alpha \otimes B^R))(\gamma)$ is equal to

$$\sum_{\substack{(v)(w)(\alpha(u))(w_M)\\(\alpha(u)_M)(\alpha(v_M))}} R(w_H \otimes v_H) R((w_M)_H \otimes \alpha(u)_H) R(\alpha(v_M)_H \otimes (\alpha(u)_M)_H)$$

$$\cdot \alpha((w_M)_M) \otimes \alpha(v_M)_M \otimes (\alpha(u)_M)_M.$$
(5.3.1)

One shows that (5.3.1) is equal to (5.1.6) by performing the following steps:

(1) use
$$(5.1.4)$$
 on w ;

(2) use (5.1.3b) with $x = w_H$;

(3) use (5.1.5) on both w and v_M ;

(4) use (5.1.4) on v;

(5) use (5.1.2) with $x = v_H$ and $y = \alpha(u)_H$;

(6) use (5.1.3*b*) with
$$x = \alpha(w)_H$$
;

(7) use
$$(5.1.3a)$$
 with $z = \alpha(u)_H$.

9

 \Box

(5.2.2)

(5.2.3)

6. Braid group representations from solutions of the HYBE

Proof of theorem 1.4. It suffices to prove the first assertion about the braid relations (1.3.1) for the B_i . The second assertion about the existence and uniqueness of ρ_n^B follows from the invertibility of the B_i and the presentation of \mathfrak{B}_n in terms of the generators σ_i $(1 \le i \le n-1)$ and the braid relations.

Suppose, then, j - i > 1 for some *i* and *j* with $1 \le i, j \le n - 1$. Using the commutativity of *B* with $\alpha^{\otimes 2}$, we have

$$\begin{split} B_i \circ B_j &= (\alpha^2)^{\otimes (i-1)} \otimes (B \circ \alpha^{\otimes 2}) \otimes (\alpha^2)^{\otimes (j-i-2)} \otimes (\alpha^{\otimes 2} \circ B) \otimes (\alpha^2)^{\otimes (n-j-1)} \\ &= (\alpha^2)^{\otimes (i-1)} \otimes (\alpha^{\otimes 2} \circ B) \otimes (\alpha^2)^{\otimes (j-i-2)} \otimes (B \circ \alpha^{\otimes 2}) \otimes (\alpha^2)^{\otimes (n-j-1)} = B_j \circ B_i. \end{split}$$

Here $\alpha^2 = \alpha \circ \alpha$. By the symmetry of *i* and *j*, we conclude that $B_i \circ B_j = B_j \circ B_i$ if |i - j| > 1. This proves the first braid relation in (1.3.1) for the B_i .

For the other braid relation, we use the assumption that *B* satisfies the HYBE (1.0.3) and compute as follows:

$$B_{i} \circ B_{i+1} \circ B_{i} = (\alpha^{3})^{\otimes (i-1)} \otimes [(B \otimes \alpha) \circ (\alpha \otimes B) \circ (B \otimes \alpha)] \otimes (\alpha^{3})^{\otimes (n-i-2)}$$

= $(\alpha^{3})^{\otimes (i-1)} \otimes [(\alpha \otimes B) \circ (B \otimes \alpha) \circ (\alpha \otimes B)] \otimes (\alpha^{3})^{\otimes (n-i-2)}$
= $B_{i+1} \circ B_{i} \circ B_{i+1}.$

We have shown that the B_i satisfy the second braid relation in (1.3.1) as well.

Example 6.1 (Braid group representations from Hom–Lie sl(2)). In this example, we illustrate how to construct a parametric family of braid group representations from a Lie algebra, using theorems 1.1 and 1.4 and corollary 3.3.

Let sl(2) denote the Lie algebra of 2×2 matrices with trace 0. A standard linear basis of sl(2) consists of the matrices

$$h = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad e = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \text{and} \qquad f = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

which satisfy the relations

$$[h, e] = 2e,$$
 $[h, f] = -2f,$ and $[e, f] = h.$

Each nonzero scalar $\lambda \in \mathbf{k}$, the ground field, gives a Lie algebra morphism $\alpha_{\lambda} : sl(2) \rightarrow sl(2)$ defined by

$$\alpha_{\lambda}(h) = h,$$
 $\alpha_{\lambda}(e) = \lambda e,$ and $\alpha_{\lambda}(f) = \lambda^{-1} f$

on the basis elements. One can check directly that the new bracket $[-, -]_{\alpha_{\lambda}}$ on (the underlying vector space of) sl(2) defined by

$$[h, e]_{\alpha_{\lambda}} = 2\lambda e,$$
 $[h, f]_{\alpha_{\lambda}} = -2\lambda^{-1}f,$ and $[e, f]_{\alpha_{\lambda}} = h$

satisfies the Hom–Jacobi identity (1.0.2) and that α_{λ} is multiplicative with respect to $[-, -]_{\alpha_{\lambda}}$. Therefore, $sl(2)_{\lambda} = (sl(2), [-, -]_{\alpha_{\lambda}}, \alpha_{\lambda})$ is a Hom–Lie algebra, as defined in the introduction. This is also an instance of example 3.2.

Applying theorem 1.1 to the Hom–Lie algebra $sl(2)_{\lambda}$, we see that $B_{\alpha_{\lambda}}$ (1.1.1) is a solution of the HYBE for $(sl(2)' = \mathbf{k} \oplus sl(2), \alpha_{\lambda})$. Moreover, α_{λ} is invertible with inverse $\alpha_{\lambda}^{-1} = \alpha_{\lambda^{-1}}$. So corollary 3.3 tells us that $B_{\alpha_{\lambda}}$ is also invertible. Thus, the linear maps B_i $(1 \le i \le n-1)$ on $sl(2)'^{\otimes n}$ (1.4.1) defined by $B_{\alpha_{\lambda}}$ and α_{λ} are all invertible. It follows from theorem 1.4 that there is a unique braid group representation $\rho^{\lambda} = \rho_n^{B_{\alpha_{\lambda}}} : \mathfrak{B}_n \to \operatorname{Aut}(sl(2)'^{\otimes n})$ given by $\rho^{\lambda}(\sigma_i) = B_i$. Starting from the Lie algebra sl(2), we have constructed an infinite, 1-parameter family $\{\rho^{\lambda}\} = \{\rho^{\lambda} : \lambda \in \mathbf{k} \setminus \{0\}\}$ of representations of the braid group \mathfrak{B}_n on $sl(2)'^{\otimes n} = (\mathbf{k} \oplus sl(2))^{\otimes n}$. Moreover, suppose $\rho : \mathfrak{B}_n \to \operatorname{Aut}(sl(2)^{\otimes n})$ denotes the braid group representation associated with the *R*-matrix $B = B_{Id}$ (1.1.1) on $sl(2)' = \mathbf{k} \oplus sl(2)$. Taking $\lambda = 1$, we have $\alpha_1 = Id, B_{\alpha_1} = B_{Id} = B$ and $\rho^1 = \rho$. We can, therefore, think of $\{\rho^{\lambda}\}$ as a 1-parameter family of deformations of the usual braid group representation ρ on $sl(2)^{\otimes n}$.

The procedure above can be summarized as follows. Take a Lie algebra L, and deform it into some family of Hom–Lie algebras $\{L_{\alpha} = (L, [-, -]_{\alpha}, \alpha)\}$ along some family of Lie algebra automorphisms α (example 3.2). Apply theorem 1.1 to each Hom–Lie algebra L_{α} to obtain a solution B_{α} of the HYBE on $(L' = \mathbf{k} \oplus L, \alpha)$. Then apply corollary 3.3 and theorem 1.4 to these B_{α} to obtain a family of representations of the braid group \mathfrak{B}_n on $L'^{\otimes n} = (\mathbf{k} \oplus L)^{\otimes n}$.

This procedure can be applied to other Lie algebras to obtain parametric families of representations of the braid group. One can use, for example, the (1-parameter or multiparameter) Hom-Lie deformations of the Lie algebra sl(n), the Heisenberg algebra, the Witt algebra, and matrix Lie algebras in [27, section 3].

Acknowledgments

The author thanks the anonymous referees for their helpful comments and suggestions, and Jacques H H Perk for pointing out some helpful references.

References

- [1] Artin E 1925 Theorie der Zöpfe Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg 4 47-72
- [2] Artin E 1947 Theory of braids Ann. Math. (2) 48 101–26
- [3] Baez J C and Crans A S 2004 Higher-dimensional algebras VI: Lie 2-algebras Theory Appl. Cat. 12 492–528
- [4] Baxter R J 1972 Partition function fo the eight-vertex lattice model Ann. Phys. 70 193-228
- [5] Baxter R J 1982 Exactly Solved Models in Statistical Mechanics (London: Academic)
- [6] Drinfeld V G 1987 Quantum groups Proc. ICM (Berkeley, 1986) (Providence, RI: AMS) pp 798-820
- [7] Drinfeld V G 1989 On almost cocommutative Hopf algebras *Algebr. Anal.* 1:2 30–46
 Drinfeld V G 1990 On almost cocommutative Hopf algebras *Leningrad Math. J.* 1 321–42 (Engl. Transl.)
- [8] Hartwig J T, Larsson D and Silvestrov S D 2006 Deformations of Lie algebras using σ-derivations J. Algebra 295 314–61
- [9] Hayashi T 1992 Quantum groups and quantum determinants J. Algebra 152 146-65
- [10] Hennings M A 1993 On solutions to the braid equation identified by Woronowicz Lett. Math. Phys. 27 13–7
- [11] Hu N 1999 q-Witt algebras, q-Lie algebras, q-holomorph structure and representations Alg. Colloq. 6 51-70
- [12] Kassel C 1995 Quantum Groups (Grad. Texts in Math vol 155) (New York: Springer)
- [13] Larson R G and Towber J 1991 Two dual classes of bialgebras related to the concepts of 'quantum group' and 'quantum Lie algebra' Comm. Algebra 19 3295–345
- [14] Liu K 1992 Characterizations of quantum Witt algebra Lett. Math. Phy. 24 257-65
- [15] Majid S 1991 Representations, duals and quantum doubles of monoidal categories *Rend. Circ. Math. Palermo* 26 (Suppl.) 3061–73
- [16] Majid S 1995 Foundation of Quantum Group Theory (Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press)
- [17] Makhlouf A and Silvestrov S 2008 Hom-algebra structures J. Gen. Lie Theory Appl. 2 51-64
- [18] Makhlouf A and Silvestrov S 2007 Hom–Lie admissible Hom-coalgebras and Hom–Hopf algebras arXiv:0709.2413v2
 Also in: Silvestrov S et al (ed) 2008 Physics and Beyond (Gen. Lie Theory in Math.) (Berlin: Springer) chapter
 - 17 pp 189–206
- [19] Makhlouf A and Silvestrov S 2007 Notes on formal deformations of Hom-associative and Hom–Lie algebras (*Math. Sci.*) (Lund, Center for Math. Sci, Lund University) arXiv:0712.3130v1
- [20] Makhlouf A and Silvestrov S 2008 Hom-algebras and Hom-coalgebras (*Math. Sci.*) (Lund, Center for Math. Sci, Lund University) arXiv:0811.0400v2
- [21] Reshetikhin N Yu, Takhtadjian L A and Faddeev L D 1989 Quantization of Lie groups and Lie algebras Algebr. Anal. 1 178–206

Reshetikhin N Yu, Takhtadjian L A and Faddeev L D 1990 Quantization of Lie groups and Lie algebras Leningrad Math. J. 1 193–225 (Engl. Transl.)

- [22] Schauenburg P 1992 On coquasitriangular Hopf algebras and the quantum Yang-Baxter equation Algebra Berichte vol 67 ed R Fischer (München: Verlag)
- [23] Sweedler M 1969 Hopf Algebras (New York: Benjamin)
- [24] Woronowicz S L 1991 Solutions of the braid equation related to a Hopf algebra Lett. Math. Phys. 23 143-5
- [25] Yang C N 1967 Some exact results for the many-body problem in one dimension with replusive delta-function interaction *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 19 1312–5
- [26] Yau D 2008 Enveloping algebras of Hom-Lie algebras J. Gen. Lie Theory Appl. 2 95-108
- [27] Yau D 2007 Hom-algebras and homology arXiv:0712.3515v2
- [28] Yau D 2008 Hom-bialgebras and comodule algebras arXiv:0810.4866