

PAPER • OPEN ACCESS


Study of $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^0 e^+ \nu_e \gamma$ decay with OKA setup

To cite this article: A.Yu. Polyarush and (on behalf of OKA collaboration) 2017 *J. Phys.: Conf. Ser.* **934** 012027

View the [article online](#) for updates and enhancements.

You may also like

- [Searches for the light invisible hypothetical pseudoscalar in \$K^+ \rightarrow \pi^0 P\$ decay](#)
Alexander Sadovsky and OKA collaboration
- [Physics beyond colliders at CERN: beyond the Standard Model working group report](#)
J Beacham, C Burrage, D Curtin et al.
- [A facility to search for hidden particles at the CERN SPS: the SHiP physics case](#)
Sergey Alekhin, Wolfgang Altmannshofer, Takehiko Asaka et al.



ECS
The
Electrochemical
Society
Advancing solid state &
electrochemical science & technology

DISCOVER
how sustainability
intersects with
electrochemistry & solid
state science research

Study of $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^0 e^+ \nu_e \gamma$ decay with OKA setup.

A.Yu. Polyarush

(on behalf of OKA collaboration)

Institute for Nuclear Research of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia

E-mail: polyarush@inr.ac

Abstract. Results of study of the $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^0 e^+ \nu_e \gamma$ decay at OKA setup are presented. 13118 events of this decay have been observed. The branching ratio with cuts $E_\gamma^* > 10$ MeV, $0.6 < \cos\Theta_{e\gamma}^* < 0.9$ is calculated $R = \frac{Br(K^+ \rightarrow \pi^0 e^+ \nu_e \gamma)}{Br(K^+ \rightarrow \pi^0 e^+ \nu_e)} = (0.59 \pm 0.02(stat.) \pm 0.03(syst.)) \times 10^{-2}$. For the asymmetry A_ξ we get $A_\xi = -0.019 \pm 0.020(stat.) \pm 0.027(syst.)$

1. Introduction

The decay $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^0 e^+ \nu_e \gamma$ provides fertile testing ground for the Chiral Perturbation Theory (ChPT) [1, 2]. $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^0 e^+ \nu_e \gamma$ decay amplitudes are calculated at order ChPT $O(p^4)$ in [1], and branching ratios are evaluated in [3]. Recently, next-to-leading $O(p^6)$ corrections were calculated for the corresponding neutral kaon decay [4].

The matrix element for $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^0 e^+ \nu_e \gamma$ has general structure

$$T = \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} e V_{us} \varepsilon^\mu(q) \left\{ (V_{\mu\nu} - A_{\mu\nu}) \bar{u}(p_\nu) \gamma^\nu (1 - \gamma_5) v(p_l) + \frac{F_\nu}{2p_l q} \bar{u}(p_\nu) \gamma^\nu (1 - \gamma_5) (m_l - \hat{p}_l - \hat{q}) \gamma_\mu v(p_l) \right\} \equiv \epsilon^\mu A_\mu. \quad (1)$$

First term of the matrix element describes Bremsstrahlung of kaon and direct emission. The lepton Bremsstrahlung is presented by the second part of Eq.(1). This decay is especially interesting as it is sensitive to T -odd contributions. According to CPT theorem, observation of T violation is equivalent to observation of CP -violating effects. Important experimental observable used in CP violation searches is the T -odd correlation, for $K^- \rightarrow \pi^0 e^- \bar{\nu}_e \gamma$ decay it is defined as

$$\xi = \frac{1}{M_K^3} p_\gamma \cdot [p_\pi \times p_e]. \quad (2)$$

First suggestion to investigate T -odd triple-product correlations was done in [5]. To establish the presence of a nonzero triple-product correlations, one constructs a T -odd asymmetry of the form

$$A_\xi = \frac{N_+ - N_-}{N_+ + N_-}, \quad (3)$$

where N_+ and N_- are numbers of events with $\xi > 0$ and $\xi < 0$.



2. OKA setup

OKA collaboration operate at IHEP Protvino U-70 Proton Synchrotron of NRC "Kurchatov Institute"-IHEP, Protvino. OKA detector (see figure 1) is located in positive RF-separated beam with 12.5% of K-meson. The detailed description of the OKA detector is given in our previous publications [6, 7].




Figure 1. Layout of the OKA detector

3. $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^0 e^+ \nu \gamma$ events selection

A study of the $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^0 e^+ \nu \gamma$ decay is done with the data set accumulated in November 2012 run with a 17.7 GeV/c beam momentum. The Monte-Carlo simulation based on Geant3 package [8] includes a realistic description of the experimental setup. To select $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^0 e^+ \nu \gamma$ decay channel a set of requirements is applied:

- (1) One positive charged track detected in tracking system and 4 showers detected in electromagnetic calorimeters GAMS- 2000 and EGS.
- (2) One shower must be associated with charged track.
- (3) Charged track identified as positron. The electron identification is done using the ratio of the energy of the shower to the momentum of the associated charged track. The particles with $0.8 < E/p < 1.2$ are accepted as electrons.
- (4) Vertex situated within the decay volume.
- (5) The effective mass $M_{\gamma\gamma}$ for one $\gamma\gamma$ – pair is $0.12 < M_{\gamma\gamma} < 0.15$ GeV.

Absence of signals in veto system above noise threshold is required.

4. Background suppression

The main background decay channels for the decay $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^0 e^+ \nu \gamma$ are:

- (1) $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^0 e^+ \nu$ with extra photon. The main source of additional photons is an positron interactions in the substance of the detector.

- (2) $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^0\pi^0$ where one of the π^0 photons is not detected and π^+ decays to $e\nu$ or mistakenly identified as an positron.
- (3) $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^0$ with “fake photon” and π^+ decayed or mistakenly identified as positron. Fake photon clusters can come from the interactions π^+ in the material of the detector, external bremsstrahlung upstream of the magnet, accidentals. All these sources are included in our MC calculations.
- (4) $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^0\gamma$ when π^+ decays or is mistakenly identified as positron.
- (5) $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0e^+\nu$ when one γ is lost.

To suppress the background channels, we used a set of kinematic cuts:

Cut 1: $E_{miss} > 0.5$ GeV. Requirement on the missing energy in the decay reduces mainly background (4).

Cut 2: $|\Delta y| = |y_\gamma - y_{e^+}| > 4$ cm, where y_γ, y_{e^+} are the y-coordinates of the γ and e^+ intersection of the front plane of the calorimeter GAMS.

Cut 3: $|x, y| < 100$ cm, where x, y are the coordinates of the reconstructed neutrino intersection of the front plane of the calorimeter GAMS.

Cut 4: $M_K > 0.045$ GeV, where M_K - K meson mass restored from the kinematics of the event.

In order to suppress all the main backgrounds, we use a cut on the missing mass squared $M^2(\pi^0 e \gamma) = (P_K - P_{\pi^0} - P_e - P_\gamma)^2$. For the signal events this variable corresponds to the square of the neutrino mass and must be zero within measurement accuracy.

Cut 5 $-0.005 < M^2(\pi^0 e^+ \gamma) < 0.005$.

The dominant background to $K_{e3\gamma}$ arises from K_{e3} with extra photon. The background (1) is suppressed by cut 2 and requirement on the angle between electron and photon in the laboratory frame $\Theta_{e\gamma}$. The distribution of the K_{e3} -background events has very sharp peak at zero angle. This peak is significantly narrower than that for signal events. This happens, in particular, because the emission of the photons by the electron from K_{e3} decay occurs in the setup material downstream the decay vertex, but angle is still calculated as if emission comes from the vertex.

Cut 6 $0.004 < \Theta_{e\gamma} < 0.040$.

Right part of this cut is introduced for suppression of backgrounds (2,3,4,5). After applying all the cuts, 13118 events were selected, with a background of 1628 events. Background normalization was done by comparison numbers of events for K_{e3} decay in MC and real data samples. Event reduction statistics is summarized in table 1.

Table 1. Event reduction statistics for the real data, the background MC and signal MC.

Cut	Real data	$K^+ \rightarrow \pi^0 e^+ \nu$	$K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^0 \pi^0$	$K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^0$	Signal
$E_{miss} > 0.5 \text{ GeV}$	515612	395930	19869	7138	62670
$\Delta y > 4 \text{ cm}$	65093	10396	13975	1373	29190
$ x_\nu, y_\nu < 100 \text{ cm}$	44363	7385	8726	1027	21950
$M_K > 0.045 \text{ GeV}$	23446	3801	2901	333	17030
$ M^2(\pi^0 e^+ \gamma) < 0.005$	20739	3520	398	298	16120
$0.004 < \Theta_{e\gamma} < 0.040$	13118	1239	225	138	12040




Figure 2. Distribution over $\Theta_{e\gamma}$ - the angle between electron and photon in lab. system. Real data (points with errors), MC background (solid line histogram) and signal plus MC background (dashed line histogram).

5. Results

Distribution over $\Theta_{e\gamma}$ - the angle between electron and photon in laboratory system (see figure 2). Reasonable agreement of the data with MC is seen. When generating the signal MC, a generator based on $O(p^2)$ [9] is used.

To obtain the branching ratio of the $K_{\pi^0 e^+ \nu_e \gamma}$ relative to the K_{e3} (R), the background and efficiency corrected number of $K_{e3\gamma}$ events is compared to that of 2812875 K_{e3} events found with the similar selection criteria. Further, the branching ratio with cuts $E_\gamma^* > 10$ MeV, $0.6 < \cos\Theta_{e\gamma}^* < 0.9$, chosen for comparability with the previous experiments is calculated.

$$R = \frac{Br(K^+ \rightarrow \pi^0 e^+ \nu_e \gamma)}{Br(K^+ \rightarrow \pi^0 e^+ \nu_e)} = (0.59 \pm 0.02(stat.) \pm 0.03(syst.)) \times 10^{-2} \quad (4)$$

Systematic errors are estimated by variation of the cuts of table 1 and using two different ways of backgrounds normalization. A comparison with the results of previous experiments is shown in table 2. Statistics more than doubles compared to the previous measurement.

Table 2. $Br(K^+ \rightarrow \pi^0 e^+ \nu_e \gamma)/Br(K^+ \rightarrow \pi^0 e^+ \nu_e)$ for $E_\gamma^* > 10$ MeV, $0.6 < \cos\Theta_{e\gamma}^* < 0.9$ in comparison with previous data.

$R_{exp} \times 10^2$	N_{ev}	experiment
0.59 ± 0.02	3435	this experiment
0.48 ± 0.02	1423	ISTRA+ [10]
0.46 ± 0.08	82	XEBC [11]
0.56 ± 0.04	192	ISTRA [12]
0.76 ± 0.28	13	HLBC [13]

For the asymmetry A_ξ (for the same cuts as in table 2) we get

$$A_\xi = -0.019 \pm 0.020(stat.) \pm 0.027(syst.) \quad (5)$$

References

- [1] Bijnens J, Echer G and Gasser J 1993 *Nucl.Phys. B* **396** 81
- [2] Pitch A 1995 *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **58** 563
- [3] Maiani L, Pancheri G and Paver N 1988 *The Second DAFNE Physics Handbook* (Rome: SIS-Ufficio Pubblicazioni)
- [4] Gasser J *et al.* 2005 *Eur. Phys. J. C* **40** 205
- [5] Gevas J, Iliopolus J and Kaplan J 1966 *Phys. Lett.* **20** 432
- [6] Sadovsky A S *et al.* 2017 *arXiv:1709.01473*
- [7] Yushchenko O P *et al.* 2017 *arXiv:1708.09587*
- [8] Brun R *et al.* 1984 GEANT 3: user's guide *Preprint CERN-DD/EE/84-1*
- [9] Braguta V V, Likhoded A A and Chalov A E 2002 *Phys. Rev. D* **65** 054038
- [10] Ajinenko I V *et al.* 2007 *Yad. Fiz* **70** 2125
- [11] Barmin V V *et al.* 1991 *SJNP* **53** 606
- [12] Bolotov V N *et al.* 1986 *JETP Lett.* **42** 68
- [13] Romano F *et al.* 1971 *Phys Lett. B* **36** 525