### PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

# Entrainment matrix for BSk energy-density functionals

To cite this article: E M Kantor and M E Gusakov 2020 J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 1697 012005

View the article online for updates and enhancements.

## You may also like

- <u>HYDRODYNAMIC SIMULATIONS OF H</u> ENTRAINMENT AT THE TOP OF He-SHELL FLASH CONVECTION Paul R. Woodward, Falk Herwig and Pei-Hung Lin
- <u>Ratio Between Sensitive Strength to Light</u> <u>Information and Coupling Strength Affects</u> <u>Entrainment Range of Suprachiasmatic</u> <u>Nucleus</u> Chang-Gui Gu, , Hui-Jie Yang et al.
- Experimental and numerical study on unsteady entrainment behaviour of ventilated air mass in underwater vehicles Zhaoyu Qu, Nana Yang, Xiongliang Yao et al.





DISCOVER how sustainability intersects with electrochemistry & solid state science research



This content was downloaded from IP address 18.119.111.9 on 07/05/2024 at 16:58

Journal of Physics: Conference Series

# Entrainment matrix for BSk energy-density functionals

E M Kantor<sup>1</sup> and M E Gusakov<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Ioffe Institute, 26 Politekhnicheskaya Street, St. Petersburg 194021, Russia

1697 (2020) 012005

E-mail: kantor.elena.m@gmail.com

Abstract. The entrainment matrix is an important parameter of superfluid hydrodynamics and is extensively used to model, e.g., neutron-star oscillations and glitches. Here we present a detailed step-by-step algorithm to calculate this matrix for a series of modern BSk energy-density functionals. Using it, both the entrainment matrix and equation of state can be determined self-consistently, within the same microscopic approach.

#### 1. Introduction

After its birth, neutron star (NS) rapidly cools down. At stellar temperatures  $T \leq 10^8 - 10^{10}$  K baryons in NS interiors undergo transition to superfluid/superconducting state; after that NS should be described as a superfluid mixture. To model dynamics of such mixture one needs to calculate the so-called entrainment matrix  $Y_{ik}$  (indices i, k run over superfluid baryon species) [1, 2, 3, 4, 5], which is a generalization of the superfluid density concept (e.g., [6]) to relativistic mixtures. Since the number of baryons in the condensate depends on temperature, the entrainment-matrix is also temperature-dependent.

Here we present a step-by-step algorithm to calculate the elements of the matrix  $Y_{ik}$  for the NS core composed of neutrons, protons, electrons, and muons, assuming one of the modern BSk energy-density functionals [7, 8]. For illustration, we then apply this algorithm and calculate  $Y_{ik}$  for BSk24 energy-density functional.

#### 2. Description of the algorithm

To calculate the relativistic entrainment matrix  $Y_{ik}$  (*i*, *k* run over superfluid neutrons, *n*, and superconducting protons, p), we propose to use general formulas derived in [3, 4, 5]. Namely,  $Y_{ik}$  is given by the following equation

$$Y_{ik} = n_i \gamma_{ik} \left( 1 - \Phi_i \right). \tag{1}$$

Here  $n_i$  is the number density of particle species i;  $\Phi_i$  is the temperature-dependent function to be specified below; and the elements of the matrix  $\gamma_{ik}$  equal

$$\gamma_{ii} = \frac{(n_i + G_{ii} \, m_i^*) \, (n_k + G_{kk} \, m_k^* \, \Phi_k) - G_{ik}^2 \, m_i^* \, m_k^* \, \Phi_k}{m_i^* c^2 \, S}, \tag{2}$$

$$\gamma_{ik} = \frac{G_{ik} n_k \left(1 - \Phi_k\right)}{c^2 S},\tag{3}$$

Content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 licence. Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI. Published under licence by IOP Publishing Ltd 1

International Conference PhysicA.SPb/2020

Journal of Physics: Conference Series

IOP Publishing

where

$$S = (n_i + G_{ii} m_i^* \Phi_i) (n_k + G_{kk} m_k^* \Phi_k) - G_{ik}^2 m_i^* m_k^* \Phi_i \Phi_k.$$
(4)

In Eqs. (2)–(4) indices i and k refer to different particle species,  $i \neq k$ ;  $m_i^*$  is the effective mass of particle species i, defined by

1697 (2020) 012005

$$\frac{\mu_i}{m_i^* c^2} = 1 - \sum_k \frac{\mu_k G_{ik}}{n_i c^2},\tag{5}$$

where  $\mu_i$  is the chemical potential of particle species *i* and *c* is the speed of light. Further,

$$G_{ik} \equiv \frac{1}{9\pi^4 \hbar^6} p_{Fi}^2 p_{Fk}^2 f_1^{ik},$$
(6)

where  $p_{\text{F}i} = (3\pi^2\hbar^3n_i)^{1/3}$  is the Fermi momentum for particle species  $i, \hbar$  is the Planck constant,  $f_1^{ik}$  are the Landau parameters. The latter can be calculated as it is described in [9], see their formulas (33)–(35):

$$f_1^{nn} = 2(C_0^j + C_1^j) \frac{p_{\rm Fn}^2}{\hbar^2},\tag{7}$$

$$f_1^{pp} = 2(C_0^j + C_1^j) \frac{p_{\rm Fp}^2}{\hbar^2},\tag{8}$$

$$f_1^{np} = 2(C_0^j - C_1^j) \frac{p_{\text{Fn}} p_{\text{Fp}}}{\hbar^2},\tag{9}$$

In [9] the parameters  $C_0^j$  and  $C_1^j$  are presented for SLy4 energy-density functional (see the formulas A1, A4, and A5 in that reference). For a set of BSk energy-density functionals the parameters  $C_0^j$  and  $C_1^j$  depend on the baryon number density  $n_b$ , and equal (see equations A30e, A30f of [10], and equation A1 of [9])

$$C_0^j = -\frac{3}{16}t_1 - \frac{1}{4}t_2\left(\frac{5}{4} + x_2\right) - \frac{3}{16}t_4n_b^\beta - \frac{1}{4}t_5\left(\frac{5}{4} + x_5\right)n_b^\gamma,\tag{10}$$

$$C_1^j = \frac{1}{8}t_1\left(\frac{1}{2} + x_1\right) - \frac{1}{8}t_2\left(\frac{1}{2} + x_2\right) + \frac{1}{8}t_4\left(\frac{1}{2} + x_4\right)n_b^\beta - \frac{1}{8}t_5\left(\frac{1}{2} + x_5\right)n_b^\gamma,\tag{11}$$

where the constants  $t_1, t_2, t_4, t_5, x_1, x_2, x_4, x_5, \beta, \gamma$  are presented in Table II of [7] for BSk21, BSk22, BSk23, BSk24, BSk25, BSk26.

The thermodynamic functions  $n_i$  and  $\mu_i$ , entering the above equations, can be determined directly for a particular energy-density functional. However, it can be easier to calculate them using the fits representing these quantities as analytic functions of  $n_b$  (in Ref. [8] the corresponding fits are presented for BSk22, BSk24, BSk25, BSk26, see sections C3.2 and C4.2 of that reference; [11] gives the fits for BSk19, BSk20, BSk21, but for  $n_i$  only, see section 4.1 of that reference).

The temperature-dependent function  $\Phi_i$  was calculated and fitted (under assumption that the superfluid energy gap does not depend on the particle momentum) in [12] (see also [13]). The corresponding fit reads

$$\Phi_i = \left[0.9443 + \sqrt{0.0557^2 + (0.1886 \, v_i)^2}\right]^{1/2} \exp\left(1.753 - \sqrt{1.753^2 + v_i^2}\right),\tag{12}$$

where  $v_i$  is the superfluid temperature-dependent energy gap (in the case of triplet-state pairing it is the effective energy gap) for particle species *i*, normalized to temperature, *T*. For the singlet-state pairing, relevant to protons, it can be fitted as [14, 13]

$$v_i = \sqrt{1 - \tau_i} \left( 0.7893 + \frac{1.188}{\tau_i} \right).$$
 (13)

Journal of Physics: Conference Series

1697 (2020) 012005



Figure 1. Entrainment matrix elements  $Y_{ik}$  for the BSk24 functional versus density  $\rho$  in the zerotemperature limit,  $T \ll T_{cn}, T_{cp}$  (left panel) and versus temperature (right panel). Vertical dots in the left panel correspond to the central density of an NS with  $M = 1.8M_{\odot}$ . The right panel is plotted for  $\log \rho = 14.7 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ , and for neutron and proton critical temperatures  $T_{cn} = 6 \times 10^8 \text{ K}$  and  $T_{cp} = 5 \times 10^9 \text{ K}$ , respectively (shown by vertical dots).

In turn, for neutrons in the core, which are believed to be paired in the triplet state, the corresponding fit takes the form [15, 13]

$$v_i = \sqrt{1 - \tau_i} \left( 1.456 - \frac{0.157}{\sqrt{\tau_i}} + \frac{1.764}{\tau_i} \right), \tag{14}$$

where  $\tau_i = T/T_{ci}$  and  $T_{ci}$  is the critical temperature for particle species i = n, p.

To determine  $Y_{ik}$  one also needs to specify the critical temperatures for neutrons and protons. While BSk energy-density functionals allow to calculate various thermodynamic quantities, they do not provide the values of  $T_{cn}$  and  $T_{cp}$ . Thus,  $T_{cn}$  and  $T_{cp}$  should be treated as external input parameters. (Note that there are many microscopic superfluidity models on the market, which predict very different baryon critical temperatures in the NS cores.) Once  $T_{ci}$  are specified,  $Y_{ik}$ can be easily calculated using the above formulas.

Fig. 1 illustrates the behavior of the entrainment matrix elements showing them as functions of density  $\rho$  (left panel) and temperature (right panel) for BSk24 energy-density functional. The left panel is plotted in the limit of vanishing temperature,  $T \ll T_{cn}, T_{cp}$ . Density in the left panel ranges from the core-crust interface to the central density for the limiting configuration of an NS with the maximum mass. For the reader's convenience, by vertical dots we show also the central density for an NS with the mass  $M = 1.8M_{\odot}$  ( $M_{\odot}$  is the solar mass). The right panel is plotted for  $\log \rho = 14.7 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ . Vertical dots correspond to the critical temperatures for (from left to right) neutrons and protons.

To summarize, in this note we have presented a step-by-step algorithm to calculate the entrainment matrix  $Y_{ik}$  for a set of BSk energy-density functionals. Using it, both  $Y_{ik}$  and equation of state can be determined self-consistently, within the same microscopic approach, which is important for realistic modeling of dynamical processes in superfluid NSs.

International Conference PhysicA.SPb/2020

Journal of Physics: Conference Series

#### 1697 (2020) 012005

IOP Publishing

doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1697/1/012005

#### References

- [1] Andreev A F and Bashkin E P 1976 Soviet Journal of Experimental and Theoretical Physics 42 164
- [2] Gusakov M E and Andersson N 2006 Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 372 1776
- [3] Gusakov M E, Kantor E M and Haensel P 2009 PRC 79 055806
- [4] Gusakov M E, Kantor E M and Haensel P 2009 PRC 80 015803
- [5] Gusakov M E, Haensel P and Kantor E M 2014 MNRAS 439 318-333
- [6] Khalatnikov I M 1989 An Introduction to the Theory of Superfluidity (New York: Addison-Wesley)
- [7] Goriely S, Chamel N and Pearson J M 2013 PRC 88 024308
- [8] Pearson J M, Chamel N, Potekhin A Y, Fantina A F, Ducoin C, Dutta A K and Goriely S 2018 MNRAS 481 2994–3026
- [9] Chamel N and Haensel P 2006 *PRC* **73** 045802
- [10] Chamel N, Goriely S and Pearson J M 2009 PRC 80 065804
- [11] Potekhin A Y, Fantina A F, Chamel N, Pearson J M and Goriely S 2013 A&A 560 A48
- [12] Gnedin O Y and Yakovlev D G 1995 Nuclear Physics A 582 697–716
- [13] Gusakov M E and Haensel P 2005 Nuclear Physics A **761** 333–348
- [14] Levenfish K P and Yakovlev D G 1994 Astronomy Reports 38 247–251
- [15] Yakovlev D G and Levenfish K P 1995  $A \mathscr{C} A$  297 717