PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

Effect of root resorption of primary teeth on the development of its permanent successors: An evaluation of panoramic radiographs in 7–8 year-old boys

To cite this article: D P Mulia et al 2018 J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 1073 032015

View the article online for updates and enhancements.

You may also like

- Nitrogen -addition accelerates phosphorus cycling and changes phosphorus use strategy in a subtropical Moso bamboo forest
- Quan Li, Jianhua Lv, Changhui Peng et al.
- Modulation of bone formation and resorption using a novel zoledronic acid loaded gelatin nanoparticles integrated porous titanium scaffold: an *in vitro* and *in vivo* study Xiao-jiang Yang, Fa-qi Wang, Chang-bo Lu et al.
- Research on the inhibition for aseptic loosening of artificial joints by Sr-doped calcium polyphosphate (SCPP) *in vivo* Xu Peng, Yanjiang Li, Can Cheng et al.





DISCOVER how sustainability intersects with electrochemistry & solid state science research



This content was downloaded from IP address 3.21.248.47 on 26/04/2024 at 05:12

Effect of root resorption of primary teeth on the development of its permanent successors: An evaluation of panoramic radiographs in 7-8 year-old boys

D P Mulia, I S Indiarti* and S B Budiarjo

Department of Pediatric Dentistry, Faculty of Dentistry, Universitas Indonesia, Jakarta 10430, Indonesia

*E-mail: ikekukuh@yahoo.com

Abstract. Root resorption in primary teeth can occur as a physiologic or pathologic phenomenon. Physiological root resorption occurs in healthy primary teeth or primary teeth with caries but no pulp involvement, whereas pathological root resorption occurs in primary teeth with pulpal caries. Knowledge about the effects of both physiological and pathological root resorptions in primary teeth on the development of its permanent successors is important for the development of an appropriate proper treatment plan. This study aimed to evaluate the effect of root resorption of primary teeth on the development of its permanent successors in boys aged 7-8 years. This descriptive cross-sectional study comprised 71 primary mandibular molars and premolars, which were screened using 32 sheets panoramic radiographs obtained from 7-8-year-old boys. Root resorption of primary teeth appeared to have no significant effects on the development of its permanent successors in the current study.

1. Introduction

Root resorption is a physiological process that occurs in primary teeth and is characterized by the activity of clastic cells resulting in loss of cementum and dentin in the root area [1]. This process is regulated by the dental follicle and stellate reticulum of permanent teeth, which affect the overlying healthy or caries-affected (but no pulp involvement) primary teeth [2]. Primary root resorption can also occur in the presence of pathological processes such as inflammation or necrosis in the pulp tissue [3]. Caries with pulp involvement is thought to be one of the causes of pathological primary root resorption [4]. Caries is included in the list of 10 primary diseases that affect children aged 5–9 years, with the prevalence of 21.6% [5-6]. It is more progressive in primary teeth because of the thin enamel and dentin structure, which enables the infection to spread quickly and affect the pulp tissue, leading to pathological root resorption [4,7].

Previous studies have shown that pathological primary root resorption is related to gender, age, and dental caries with pulp involvement. The prevalence of pathological primary root resorption based on gender was 16.2% and was higher in men than in women. The prevalence of pathological primary root resorption according to age was 19.4% in 3-7-year-old children and 13.7% in 8-12-year-old children. Caries with pulp involvement presented with the largest risk of pathological primary root resorption [4]. Moorrees technique, Fanning and Hunt technique, and measurements of the anatomical root length

Content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 licence. Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI. Published under licence by IOP Publishing Ltd 1

using a radiograph are some of the methods used to determine the rate of root resorption in primary teeth [8,9].

The growth of permanent teeth consists of several stages and is affected by various factors, such as race, genetics, hormonal condition, nutrition, and the presence of caries (with pulp involvement) [10]. Various techniques such as those proposed by Moorrees, Anderson, Schour and Massler, Nolla, Garn, and Demirjian can be used to determine the stage of growth of permanent teeth [11].

One of the diagnostic tools used in mixed dentition is the panoramic radiograph, which demonstrates the relationship between the teeth and the supporting tissues and bone [12,13]. It is easy to use, has minimum radiation, and can be used in patients with limited mouth opening. In the present study, we aimed to analyze the effect of primary root resorption on the development of its permanent successor. The Demirjian technique was used to assess the growth of permanent teeth, whereas root resorption rate was assessed using the split technique, which divided the anatomic root length into three portions, apical one-third, middle one-third, and cervical one-third [9].

2. Methods

This was a descriptive cross-sectional study performed using panoramic radiographs of 7–8-year-old boys from Teaching Dental Hospital, Faculty of Dentistry, Universitas Indonesia in 2010–2012. The study sample comprised primary mandibular first and second molars and the tooth germs of the first and second premolars; the purposive sampling technique was used.

Inclusion criterion for the patients was the presence of good quality panoramic radiographs of boys aged 7–8 years. The panoramic radiograph was reproduced using a digital camera and viewer. The apical ends of the primary mandibular first and second molars and the tooth germs of mandibular first and second premolars were marked with a red dot on the digital version of the panoramic radiograph using the Adobe Photoshop CS3 program. The degrees of root resorption in the primary mandibular first and second molars were determined by measuring the length of the roots closest to the cervical area. The Demirjian technique was used to measure the growth of the germs of the mandibular first and second premolars.

Data analysis was performed using SPSS Statistics 20.0. Chi-Square test was used to ascertain significant differences between independent and dependent variables in the ordinal scale. The confidence level was set at 95% and significance at $p \le 0.05$.

3. Results

The data distribution and frequency of panoramic radiographs based on age are presented in Table 1.

Age	Ν	%
7	17	53.13
8	15	46.87
Total	32	100

Table 1. Frequency distribution of panoramic radiograph data based on the age of the boys.

N, number of panoramic radiographs

Differences in pathological and physiological root resorption between the primary mandibular first and second molar are presented in Table 2.

No significant differences in pathological and physiological root resorption were observed between the primary mandibular first and second molars.

Table 3 illustrates the differences in the growth of the mandibular first and second premolars based on Demirjian's classification stages D and E.

IOP	Conf. Series:	Journal of Phy	sics: Conf. Serie	s 1073 (2018) 032015	doi:10.1088/17/42	2-6596/10/3/3/032015
-----	---------------	----------------	-------------------	---------------------	----------	-------------------	----------------------

Table 2. Different	nces in pathological	and physiological	root resorption b	between the primary
ma	andibular first and s	econd molars using	g the Chi-Square	test.

Mandibular primary molars	Root resorption			0/	D
	Physiological	Pathological		70	P
Dm1	17	17	34	47.88	
Dm2	20	17	37	52.12	0.732
Total	34	37	71	100	

Dm1, Mandibular deciduous first molar; Dm2, Mandibular deciduous second molar

 Table 3. Growth of mandibular first and second premolars based on Demirjian's classification stages D and E.

Premolar teeth	S	tage			
	D	Ε	Ν	%	Р
P1	14	20	34	47.88	
P2	29	8	37	52.12	0.013
Total	43	28	71	100	

P1, mandibular first premolar; P2, mandibular second premolar.

Significant differences in the growth of mandibular first and second premolars based on the classification stages were noted (p < 0.05). Therefore, the mandibular first and second premolars were differentiated in the subsequent calculations.

The effect of age on the growth of the mandibular first and second premolars during Demirjian's classification stages D and E are presented in Table 4.

Table 4. Effect of age on the g	growth of the mandibular first and second premolars during Demirjian's classification stages D and E.
Mandibular	Stage

Mandibular	A ma	Stage				л
Premolar	Age	D	Ε	Ν	%	Γ
P1	7 Years	10	11	21	61.76	0.27(
	8 Years	3	10	13	38.24	0.276
P2	7 Years	17	2	19	56.34	0.222
	8 Years	13	5	18	43.66	0.232

P1: First premolar; P2: Second premolar; p<0.05 (significant difference)

Chi-Square tests revealed no significant differences in the growth of the mandibular first and second premolars between classification stages D and E.

Association between the resorption levels of primary mandibular molars and the growth of the first and second premolars during Demirjian's classification stages D and E are presented in Table 5.

Chi-Square test revealed no significant associations between the resorption levels of the primary mandibular molars and the growth of the mandibular first and second premolars according to Demirjian's classification stages D and E. Thus, pathological and physiological resorption of the primary roots appeared to have no significant effect on the growth of mandibular first and second premolars during Demirjian's stages D and E.

Deet was another		P1				
Root resorption	D	Ε	Ν	%	P	
Cervical one-third	5	4	9	26.76		
Middle one-third	7	9	16	40.84	0.144	
Apical one-third	1	8	9	32.4		
		P2				
Cervical one-third	6	4	10	26.76		
Middle one-third	12	1	13	40.84	0.158	
Apical one-third	12	2	14	32.4		

Table 5. Association between resorption levels of the primary mandibular molars and the growth of the mandibular first and second premolar during Demirjian's classification stages D and E.

P<0.05 (significant difference)

Tables 6 illustrates the effects of pathological and physiological root resorption of primary mandibular molars, respectively, on the growth of the first and second premolars during Demirjian's classification stages D and E.

Table 6. The effect of pathological and physiological root resorption of primary mandibular molars on growth of the mandibular first and second premolars during Demirjian's classification stages D and E.

Root Resorption	Stage					
	D	Ε	Ν	%	Р	
Physiological	5	13	18	52.11	0.103	
Pathological	8	8	16	47.89	0.185	
		P2				
Physiological	15	4	19	52.11	1.00	
Pathological	15	3	18	47.89	1.00	

P<0.05 (significant difference)

No significant differences in the effects of pathological and physiological root resorption of the primary mandibular molars were observed on the growth of the mandibular first and second premolars during the stages D and E.

4. Discussion

The age group (7-8 years) was selected in the present study because this is the age when the teeth enter the late primary dentition stage, where the primary incisive teeth are exfoliated, and the permanent teeth start to erupt [14]. This is also the age group with the highest caries prevalence (21.6%) [2]. Owing to the differences in the speed of growth of teeth between boys and girls, we chose to include only boys in the current study [15].

Primary mandibular molars were used in this study because they are known to present with the highest incidence of caries when compared with any other primary tooth [16]. Primary mandibular molars were categorized into two groups; sound teeth and carious teeth without pulp involvement were grouped together, whereas carious teeth with pulp involvement formed a separate group. The reason of this was that both sound and carious teeth without pulp involvement demonstrate physiological root resorption, whereas carious teeth with pulp involvement present with pathological root resorption [4].

Furthermore, mandibular molars were used because of the clarity and ease with which the stages of growth and level of resorption can be interpreted on the panoramic radiograph.

Root resorption levels of primary mandibular molar teeth were measured according to the length of the anatomical root and divided into three portions, cervical one-third, middle one-third, and apical one-third; this is a common method used for interpreting radiographs [3,9,17].

The subjects with age of 7–8 years were brought into consideration in the selection of choosing the teeth in assessing the growth. Teeth that had completed the stages of growth were not selected; instead, those which were about to complete their growth after the age of 7–8 years (mandibular first and second premolars) were chosen in the present study. Mandibular first and second premolars are the successors of the primary mandibular first and second molars. The formation of the hard tissues of the mandibular first and second premolars begins at 1.5–2 years and 2–3 years of age, respectively; the teeth erupt at the age of 10–11.5 years, and root formation is completed by 12–13 years of age [3]. Thus, variations in the growth of mandibular premolars and its relationship with carious primary mandibular molars, with or without pulp involvement, are to be expected at the age of 7–8 years.

The growth of permanent teeth can be assessed by several classifications; however, in the present study, the growth phase of permanent teeth was assessed according to the classification proposed by Demirjian because it evaluates growth based on the maturation process and not just the absolute length of the permanent teeth. This classification consists of eight stages of growth (A to H) using panoramic radiographs. Maturity of teeth begins from the formation stage to calcification, until the closure of the root apices. This method can be used in children aged 2–20 years [18,19].

No significant differences in resorption were observed between the primary mandibular first and second molars, which demonstrated pathological and physiological resorption, respectively (Table 2). This is contrary to the results of previous studies, which reported that pathological resorption occurs faster than physiological resorption as a result of damage to bones around the roots [3,20]. On the next calculation, primary mandibular first and second molar was not differentiated. In Table 3, a significant difference in growth was noted between the mandibular first and second premolars during Demirjian's classification stages D and E, so that the next calculation will differentiate mandibular first and second premolar. The growth of mandibular first premolars is faster than that of the second premolars, which may account for the significant difference between the two teeth [21].

As shown in Tables 4 and 5, age appeared to have no effect on the growth of the mandibular first and second premolars during Demirjian's classification stage D and E, indicating the presence of various stages in the growth of the tooth germ of the mandibular premolars at the age of 7–8 years. The study by Liversidge et al. reported no significant differences in the growth of permanent teeth based on chronological age; nevertheless, several stages of growth of the tooth germ were observed at the same age [22].

A shown in Tables 6 and 7, differences in the resorption levels of the primary mandibular molars did not affect the growth of the mandibular first and second premolars during Demirjian's classification stages D and E. These results indicate that the growth of the permanent teeth was not significantly influenced by the resorption of the cervical one-third, middle one-third, and apical one-third of the roots of the primary molars. This finding is supported by another study, which stated that the rate of root resorption of the primary teeth affects the eruption rate, but not the growth of the permanent teeth [4]. Similarly, in another study, the difference between the root resorption level of primary teeth toward the root formation of permanent teeth, which turned not significantly different [20].

Pathological and physiological root resorption of the primary mandibular molars appeared to have no effect on the growth of the mandibular first and second premolars (Tables 8 and 9). Based on previous research, carious primary teeth with pulp involvement can affect the germs of permanent teeth causing defects in the enamel. Inflammation as a result of caries with pulp involvement in the primary teeth during the *cap* or *bell* stages of the permanent teeth can lead to serious changes in the coronal and radicular morphology of the teeth. Enamel hypoplasia can occur if the inflammation progresses to the stage of enamel apposition. However, if the inflammation from caries with pulp involvement in the primary teeth occurs during the calcification stage of the permanent teeth, changes in the microstructure of the enamel leading to changes in enamel opacity may occur, but the morphology of the tooth will not be altered. This happens when the crown of the permanent teeth has not been formed. Alternatively, no significant changes in the tooth germ occur if the crown of the permanent tooth is formed. Demirjian's classification stages D and E represent the stages where the crown of the permanent teeth is completely formed due to which the growth of the permanent teeth is not affected [23].

Eruption rate can also change due to caries with pulp involvement. Root resorption that occurs as a result of caries with pulp involvement causes damage to the bones, which quickens the rate of resorption [3,10]. Acceleration of eruption occurs if there is extensive alveolar bone damage due to chronic inflammation originating from the primary teeth. Delayed eruption can occur due to the formation of fibrosis tissue that forms a mechanical barrier against the eruption of permanent teeth. Eruption of permanent teeth occurs during Demirjian's classification stages F and G; therefore, no effect was noted during stages D and E [23].

5. Conclusion

The present study shows that the type or level of root resorption in primary teeth appears to have no effect on the growth of the permanent teeth.

6. References

- [1] Santos B O, de Aguiar 2011 Root resorption after dental traumas: classification and clinical, radiographic and histologic aspects. *RSBO* **8** 439–45
- [2] Harokopakis-Hajishengallis E 2007 Physiologic root resorption in primary teeth: molecular and histological events *J. Oral Sci.* **49** 1-12
- [3] Bolan M, de Carvalho Rocha MJ 2007 Histopathologic study of physiological and pathological resorption in human primary teeth. *The University of Santa Catarina Federa* **104** 680-85
- [4] Vieira-Andrade RG, Drumond CL, Alves LP, Marques LS, Ramos-Jorge ML 2012 Inflammatory root resorption in primary molars: prevalence and associated factors. Braz Oral Rez 26 335–40
- [5] Sufiati,Irna, Dewi, Tenny Setiani, Aripin, Dudi 2002 The prevalence of Caries and the index d e f on Murid-Murid Class I, II, III Elementary School which is located in the vicinity of the clinic students work the Faculty of Dentistry Unpad. *The Journal Sosiohumaniora* **4** 134-137
- [6] *Research Basic Health.* (Jakarta, Indonesia: Agency for Research and Development of Health Ministry of Health of the Republic of Indonesia) December 2008 p. 130
- [7] Sabel N 2012 Enamels of Primary Teeth--Morphological and Chemical aspects Swed. Dent. J. Suppl. 222 1-77
- [8] Moorrees C F A, Fanning E A and Hunt E E 1963 Formation and resorption of three deciduous teeth in children *Am. J. Phys. Anthropol.* **21** 205-13
- [9] The Qur'An, Major M., Nelson, Stanley J 2009 Wheeler's Dental Anatomy, Physiology and Occlusion. 9th ed. (Philadelphia: Saunders) pp. 42-44
- [10] Premkumar S 2011 Textbook of Craniofacial Growth. (New Delhi, India: Jaypee Brothes Medical Publishers) (p) Ltd. 119–22
- [11] Willems G 2001 A review of the most commonly used dental age estimation techniques J. *Forensic Odontostomatol.* **19** 9-17
- [12] McDonald R E, Avery David R and Dean Jeffrey A 2004 *Dentistry for the child and adolescent*. Addressing ed. (Missouri: Mosby) p.**52-**53 65
- [13] White S C and Pharoah M J 2004 Oral Radiology: Principles and Interpretations. 5th ed. (Missouri: Mosby) pp. 191-208
- [14] Alm A 2008 On dental caries and caries-related factors in children and teenagers Swedish Dent. J. 2008 195 7-63

IOP Conf. Series: Journal of Physics: Conf. Series **1073** (2018) 032015 doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1073/3/032015

- [15] Almonaitiene R, Balciuniene I and Tutkuviene J 2010 Factors influencing permanent teeth eruption. Part one--general factors *Stomatologija* **12** 67-72
- [16] Brodeur, J-M and Galarneau C G 2006 The high incidence of early childhood caries in Kindergarten-age children *J De l'Ordre des dentistes du Quebec* **3**
- [17] Harshanur I W 1991 The Anatomy of Teeth Yuwono L ed., (Jakarta, Indonesia: EGC) pp. 37-38
- [18] Harris EF, ed 2011 Dental Anthropology; vol 24. (Dental Anthropology Association) pp. 33-63
- [19] Demirjian A, Goldstein H and Tanner JM 1973 A new system of dental age assessment Hum. Biol. 45 211-217
- [20] Haralabakis N B, Yiagtzis S C and Toutountzakis N M 1994 Premature or Delayed Exfoliation of Deciduous Teeth and Root resorption and formation *Angle Orthod* **64** 151
- [21] Hussin AS, Mokhtar N, Naing L, Taylor JA 2007 The timing and sequence of emergence of permanent teeth in Malay schoolchildren in the city, Bharu Malaysia AOS 2 36
- [22] Liversidge HM, Chaillet N, Mörnstad H, Nyström M, Rowlings K, Taylor J and Willems G 2006 Timing of Demirjian's tooth formation stages *Ann. Hum. Biol.* **33** 454
- [23] Cordeiro MMR, Rocha MJ 2005 The effects of periradicular inflamation and infection on a primary tooth and permanent successor. J. Clin. Pediatr. Dent. 29 193-200