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Abstract

Sufficient progress towards redefining the International System of Units (SI) in terms of exact values of fundamental constants has been achieved. Exact values of the Planck constant $h$, elementary charge $e$, Boltzmann constant $k$, and Avogadro constant $N_A$ from the CODATA 2017 Special Adjustment of the Fundamental Constants are presented here. These values are recommended to the 26th General Conference on Weights and Measures to form the foundation of the revised SI.
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1. Introduction

The international system of units (SI) has been slowly evolving from an artifact based system to one based on values of fundamental constants and invariant properties of atoms. The quantitative limitations of the last remaining base unit of the SI defined by an artifact, the kilogram, have been known since at least the third verification of national kilogram prototypes (Quinn 1991, Girard 1994). As a consequence the possible role of the fundamental constants in replacing the kilogram has been discussed in earnest for nearly three decades. International consensus on the foundation of a new system of units based on exactly defined values of the Planck constant $h$, elementary charge $e$, Boltzmann constant $k$, and Avogadro constant $N_A$ was reached during the 24th meeting of the General Conference on Weights and Measures (CGPM 2011). Progress in the accuracy and consistency of the research results has enabled the 106th International Committee for Weights and Measures (CIPM) to recommend proceeding with the adoption of the revised SI (CIPM 2017).

The Committee on Data for Science and Technology (CODATA), through its Task Group on Fundamental Constants (TGFC), periodically provides the scientific and technological communities with a self-consistent set of internationally recommended values of the basic constants and conversion factors of physics and chemistry. Because of this role, the CGPM invited the CODATA TGFC to carry out a special least-squares adjustment (LSA) of the values of the fundamental physical constants to provide values for defining constants to form the foundation of the revised SI (CGPM 2011). The results of that adjustment are given here, namely, the numerical values of $h$, $e$, $k$, and $N_A$, each with a sufficient number of digits to maintain consistency between the present and revised SI as proposed by the Consultative Committee for Units (CCU) and agreed to by the CIPM (CIPM 2016). These numbers are recommended to the 26th CGPM to establish the revised SI when it convenes in November 2018.
2. The CODATA 2017 special adjustment

The input data for the CODATA 2017 Special Adjustment includes the input data used in the final CODATA 2014 regular adjustment on which the 2014 recommended values are based. Of these data, which are given in tables XV-XIX of Mohr et al. (2016a), the following were omitted: the four cyclotron frequency ratios, items B8, B9, B11, and B12 that have been superseded by the 2016 atomic mass evaluation (Huang et al. 2017, Wang et al. 2017), and all measurements of the Newtonian constant of gravitation G. Key data that were published or accepted for publication before the 1 July 2017 closing date of the CODATA 2017 Special Adjustment and have a significant impact on the determination of \( h, e, k, \) and \( N_A \) are listed in table 1. The full list of data considered for the CODATA 2017 Special Adjustment is given in tables 2–5 in Mohr et al. (2018).

![Table 1. Key data for the determination of \( h, e, k, \) and \( N_A \) in the CODATA 2017 Special Adjustment. See Mohr et al. (2017) for a complete list of input data.](image)

The CODATA 2017 Special Adjustment follows the same procedures as the previous periodic CODATA adjustments of the fundamental constants (Mohr and Taylor 2000, 2005, Mohr et al. 2008a, 2008b, Mohr et al. 2012a, 2012b, Mohr et al. 2016a, 2016b). Details of the Special Adjustment analysis are given in Mohr et al. (2018). In general, the measure the CODATA TGFC uses for consistency of an input datum is the normalized (or reduced) residual of that datum given by the LSA, that is, the difference between an input datum and its adjusted value divided by the input datum uncertainty. If a residual for an input datum is larger than two, the TGFC identifies the fundamental constant primarily influenced by that datum as well as other input data that influence the same constant. The uncertainties of this subset of input data are multiplied by a factor that is large enough that the relevant residuals are two or less. To achieve consistency, multiplicative expansion factors were applied to the uncertainties of two subsets of input data corresponding to two adjusted constants for the 2017 Special Adjustment.

The first subset consists of the eight input data for the Planck and Avogadro constants listed in table 1, relevant to the adjusted value of the Planck constant. The uncertainties of these input data are multiplied by a factor of 1.7. With this expansion of the uncertainties of the eight data, five have relative standard uncertainties \( u_i \) at or below \( 50 \times 10^{-9} \), with two at or below \( 20 \times 10^{-9} \), where the latter includes results from both the Kibble balance and the x-ray crystal density (XRCR) methods.

The second subset of expanded data consists of the input data that determine the relative atomic mass of the proton: the 2016 atomic mass evaluation value of \( ^1\text{H} \) and the cyclotron frequency ratio of hydrogenic carbon to the proton, items B2 and B12, respectively, of table 4 in Mohr et al. (2018). Coincidentally, an expansion factor of 1.7 was also appropriate.
in this case, although its application has no effect on the 2017 values of \( h \), \( e \), \( k \), and \( N_A \).

3. Results

Figure 1 shows values of \( h \) inferred from the key input data in table 1 and the final CODATA 2017 value in chronological order from top to bottom. The inner green band is ±20 parts in 10⁹ and the outer grey band is ±50 parts in 10⁹. KB: Kibble balance; XRCDD: x-ray-crystal-density.

Figure 2. Values of the Boltzmann constant \( k \) inferred from the key input data in table 1 and the CODATA 2017 value in chronological order from top to bottom. The inner green band is ±5 parts in 10⁷ and the outer grey band is ±15 parts in 10⁷. AGT: acoustic gas thermometry; DCGT: dielectric constant gas thermometry; JNT: Johnson noise thermometry.

Table 2. The CODATA 2017 adjusted values of \( h \), \( e \), \( k \), and \( N_A \).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Rel. stand. uncert</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( h )</td>
<td>( 6.626070150(69) \times 10^{-34} \text{ J s} )</td>
<td>( 1.0 \times 10^{-8} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( e )</td>
<td>( 1.602176634(83) \times 10^{-19} \text{ C} )</td>
<td>( 5.2 \times 10^{-9} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( k )</td>
<td>( 1.38064903(51) \times 10^{-23} \text{ J K}^{-1} )</td>
<td>( 3.7 \times 10^{-7} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( N_A )</td>
<td>( 6.022140758(62) \times 10^{23} \text{ mol}^{-1} )</td>
<td>( 1.0 \times 10^{-8} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. The CODATA 2017 values of \( h \), \( e \), \( k \), and \( N_A \) for the revision of the SI.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( h )</td>
<td>( 6.62607015 \times 10^{-34} \text{ J s} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( e )</td>
<td>( 1.602176634 \times 10^{-19} \text{ C} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( k )</td>
<td>( 1.380649 \times 10^{-23} \text{ J K}^{-1} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( N_A )</td>
<td>( 6.02214076 \times 10^{23} \text{ mol}^{-1} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Summary

Sufficient progress has been achieved towards meeting the recommendations for redefining the SI in terms of exact values of fundamental constants. The recommended exact numerical values of \( h \), \( e \), \( k \), and \( N_A \) to establish the revised SI based on fundamental constants are given. A detailed description of the unique 2017 CODATA special adjustment is given by Mohr et al (2017). The next regular CODATA periodic adjustment of the fundamental constants, CODATA 2018, will also be unique as it will be the first one based on the exact fundamental constants of the revised SI.
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