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Abstract

Electrical transports in iron-pnictide \( \text{Ba}(\text{Fe}_{1-x}\text{Co}_x)_2\text{As}_2 \) (BFCA) single crystals are heavily debated in terms of the hidden Fermi-liquid (HFL) and holographic theories. Both HFL and holographic theories provide consistent physic pictures and propose a universal expression of resistivity to describe the crossover of transports from the non-Fermi-liquid (FL) to FL behavior in these so-called ‘strange metal’ systems. The deduced spin exchange energy \( J \) and model-dependent energy scale \( W \) in BFCA are almost the same, or are of the same order of several hundred Kelvin for over-doped BFCA, which is in agreement with the HFL theory. Moreover, a drawn line of \( W/3.5 \) for BFCA in the higher-doping region up to the right demonstrates the crossover from non-FL-like behavior to FL-like behavior at high doping, and shows a new phase diagram of BFCA. The electronic correlation strength in superconductors has been newly probed by the normal-state Hall angle, which found that, for the first time, correlation strength can be characterized by the ratios of \( T_c \) to the Fermi temperature \( T_F \), \( J/T_F \), and the transverse mass to longitudinal mass.

1. Introduction

The ‘strange-metal’ transports in high-temperature superconducting (HTS) cuprates, as well as in new iron-based superconductors, have been the subject of intense study. In particular, the amazing similarity between the quantum-mechanical phase diagrams of cuprates and iron-based superconductors reveals that both of their superconductivities are ascribed to the quantum critical fluctuations associated with a quantum critical point (QCP), even though HTS cuprates are doped Mott insulators, while iron-based superconductors are metallic systems [1, 2]. Within the quantum-mechanical phase transition, the singular QCP at absolute zero produces a wide region of unusual behavior at a finite temperature, which displays a striking deviation from the conventional Fermi-liquid (FL) behavior, as it has the so-called strange-metal transport properties [3]. Understanding this QCP is essential, as it corresponds to the occurrence of superconductivity in the vicinity of spin-density-wave (SDW) instability or antiferromagnetic fluctuation [1, 4]. Recently, a number of experiments on iron-based superconductors showed a phase transition involving the onset of a SDW order in the normal state above \( T_c \), which extrapolates to a \( T = 0 \) SDW QCP (see [5] and the references therein). For example, the SDW transition was observed in both the resistivity and susceptibility of \( \text{BaFe}_{2-\delta}\text{Co}_\delta\text{As}_2 \) single crystals in the underdoped region [6]. A more recent study on electronic specific heat in \( \text{BaFe}_{2-\delta}\text{Ni}_\delta\text{As}_2 \) indicates that the effect of spin fluctuation should not be ignored [7]. It even has been proposed that, SDW QCP is a central organizing principle of organic, iron-pnictide, heavy-fermion, and HTS cuprates [8–10]. Under QCP (i.e. optimum doping), the strongest magnetic spin fluctuation suppresses the SDW order, accompanies the appearance of the
highest $T_{c}$ and results in non–FL-like scattering associated with Fermi-surface reconstruction. Electrical resistivity measurements reveal a remarkably $T$-linear behavior for samples near the optimum doping, while a $T^2$-dependent feature can be observed in the higher-doping region [11].

In the phase diagram, a line is usually drawn up and to the right from the edge of the superconducting dome in the higher-doping region in order to separate the non–FL (NFL) ‘strange metal’ from a conventional Fermi liquid (FL) at high doping [12]. In addition to dc resistivity, measurements of optical conductivity show a $T$-linear scattering characteristic for samples near the optimum doping, which can be ascribed to a two-dimensional (2D) metal at the onset of the SDW order [13, 14]. Particularly, recent studies of infrared spectra, interplane resistivity, and transport coefficients on iron-based superconductors reveal a possible pseudogap in the phase diagrams, which are similar to those observed in HTS cuprates [15–17]. These phenomena remain a major open question in the physics of strongly correlated electrons.

Recently, the hidden FL (HFL) theory [18, 19] and holographic models [20] have been respectively developed to express the transport and spectroscopic properties of over-doped HTS cuprates for the entire normal state. Based on theoretical studies, it is argued that there is no clear transition line to a true FL for higher doping in the phase diagram. Self-consistency of HFL has been shown in the transport and spectroscopic properties of $T_{1}Ba_{2}CuO_{y}$ and $La_{2-x}Sr_{x}CuO_{4}$ systems [18, 19]; however, the availabilities of HFL and holography have never been examined in iron-based superconductors. Theoretical works have been further developed and cast into the framework of strongly correlated FLs or quantum critical systems [20, 21]. Recent optical studies on BaFe$_2$–Ni$_x$As$_2$ and Ba$_{0.6}$K$_{0.4}$Fe$_2$As$_2$ single crystals further show the interesting hidden–$T$-dependent properties of the two Drude models, and have proposed the hidden NFL behavior in the underdoped samples [2, 13]. In particular, the boundary from NFL $T$ dependence to FL $T^2$ dependence, as observed in resistivity measurements, is not clear for iron-based pnictides [22, 23]; whereas, the boundary can be obtained by the departure of resistivity from linearity for over-doped cuprates [24].

This article debates and discusses the resistivities and Hall angles of Ba(Fe$_{1-x}$Co$_{x}$)$_2$As$_2$ (BFCA) single crystals in terms of the existing theories. It is found herein that the deduced bandwidth of the spin excitation (the spin exchange energy $J$) from the Hall angle is in agreement with bandwidth $W$, as determined from resistivity by considering the HFL theory. An additional phase boundary line corresponding to the crossover from NFL-like transport to FL-like transport can be obtained in the new phase diagram of BFCA. Furthermore, the spin exchange energies for some conventional and unconventional superconductors, as derived from Hall measurements, are developed to explore their electronic correlation strength. The ratios of the spin exchange energy to Fermi temperature $T_F$, $J/T_F$, as well as the transverse mass to longitudinal mass, are presented for the first time in order to characterize the electronic correlation strength in superconductors.

2. Theoretical surveys

Previous theoretical attempts to explain the crossover from NFL to FL in the transport properties of HTS cuprates are based on the assumption that, transport lifetime, $\tau_{tr}$, must include two different scattering lifetimes, which independently influence the temperature dependence of longitudinal resistivity $\rho_{xx}$. In the HFL theory, resistivity is explained in terms of the bottleneck effect, where there are two different dissipative processes for accelerated electrons, umklapp scattering and quasiparticle decaying into one pseudoparticle. These two processes act in series to dissipate the momentum to the lattice [18]. However, in holographic models [20], the electrical transport is described by two contributions to conductivity, a charge-conjugation symmetric term and another from explicit charge density relaxed by some momentum dissipation. Although arising from completely different models, both theories provide a consistent picture, which consider the $T^2$-dependent relaxation rate and linear–$T$ decay process in pseudoparticle conductivity, in order to achieve a universal expression of resistivity:

$$\rho_{xx} = A \frac{T^2}{T + W} + \rho_0$$

where $A$ is a temperature-independent pre-factor, $W$ is a model-dependent energy scale, and $\rho_0$ is the residual resistivity. For $T \gg W$, one can see that $\rho_{xx} \approx AT + \rho_0$; while $\rho_{xx} \approx AT^2 + \rho_0$ with $A = A/W$ for $T \ll W$. In the HFL theory, pre-factor $A$ corresponds to $\frac{\hbar}{2\pi \tau_{tr}} \left( \frac{\tilde{\nu}}{\nu_{\tau}} \right)$, and $\Lambda$ is equal to $\frac{\hbar}{2\pi \tau_{tr}} \left( \frac{\tilde{\nu}}{\nu_{\tau}} \right)$, where $\nu_{\tau}$ is the maximum Fermi velocity, $E_{F}$ is the Fermi energy in unit of temperature, and $W$ corresponds to the bandwidth of the spin excitations for 2D resistivity [18]. Let us now look at the $W$ value in detail from the new light of the holographic theory, where $W$ can be represented by $W = A/\Lambda$. Ito et al. [25] considered that $\rho_{xx} = (4\pi/\nu_{\tau}^2) \tau^{-1}$ with scattering rate $\hbar \nu^{-1} = \lambda T$; the Drude spectral weight is $\omega^2_{pD} \approx n_{\text{eff}}/m^* (n_{\text{eff}}$ and $m^*$, which are effective carrier density and effective mass, respectively), and $\lambda (\approx 0.3)$ is the coupling strength between the charge carriers and spin excitations in the HTS cuprates. Considering $m^* = (\hbar^2/E_{j}) n_{\text{eff}}$ for a 2D system, the pre-factor $A$ in $T$-linear resistivity can be estimated to be $\frac{\hbar}{2\pi \tilde{\nu}} \Lambda$, which is similar to that presented in the HFL theory. On the
other hand, the electron–electron scattering processes are given by $h/\tau_{ee} = A^* T^2 / E_F$, where $A^*$ is a dimensionless constant with $A^* \approx 4$ for BFCAs [1], resulting in electron–electron scattering resistivity $\rho_{ee}$, where $\rho_{ee} = e^2 / (1/\tau_{ee} h^2) = h^2 (1/\tau_{ee})^2 \pi A^* T^2$, and $\Lambda = h^2 / (1/\tau_{ee})^2 \pi A^*$. Thus we have $\Lambda = A^*/(4\pi A^*) E_F \lambda$, indicating that $W$ is related to the Fermi energy and coupling strength when the holographic theory is considered. Although model-dependent energy scale $W$ represents different meanings in the physics of the HFL and holography theories, in both theories it characterizes the crossover from NFL to FL, and can reflect the electrical coupling strength in the normal–state transports of superconducting systems, as seen later in the discussions of temperature–dependent resistivity and Hall angle.

Anderson and Casey [18] fitted equation (1) to the resistivity data of La$_2$-Sr$_x$CuO$_{4-x}$, with $x$ ranging from underdoping of $x = 0.15$ to overdoping of $x = 0.33$; and obtained the doping-dependent value of $W$ which is in the order of a few hundred kelvins and in agreement with those determined from Hall and angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy analysis. A similar result of overdoped HTS Tl$_2$Ba$_2$CuO$_{6+\delta}$ obtained by Casey and Anderson [19].

Theoretical attempts on explaining the anomalous transport properties of HTS cuprates, where resistivity and the Hall effect have different temperature dependencies, are based on the assumption that there exists two transport relaxation times, independently in longitudinal and transverse mass, to longitudinal mass, $1/\tau_{tr}$, where $\tau_{tr}$ is the longitudinal transport mass, we can now derive a correlation between parameter $\alpha$ and spin exchange energy as:

$$\cot \theta_{Hi} = 1/(\omega_c \tau_{Hi}) = \alpha T^2 + C, \quad (3)$$

where $\omega_c = eB/m_\text{tr}$, $m_\text{tr}$ is the effective transverse mass, and $C$ is the impurity contribution. By combining equations (2) and (3), we can see that $\alpha$ corresponds to $1/(\theta_{Hi} \omega_c) \propto B^{-1}$ and $C = 1/(\tau_{HFL} \omega_c)$, respectively. From equations (2) and $1/\tau_{HFL} = 1/\tau_{Hi}$ as suggested by the HFL theory, we should have $W \approx J$ if the impurity contribution can be neglected. Following Anderson’s theory, we write $\theta_{Hi} = \omega_c \tau_{Hi} = (B/2\pi n_\text{x}/2\pi \tau_{Hi} x \hbar k_F$, as described by Chien et al [27], where $\Phi_0 = \hbar / 2e$ is the flux quantum, $n = k_F / 2\pi$ is the planar carrier density, and $k_F$ is the Fermi wave vector, and $n_\text{x} = J / e \hbar k_F$. Using equation (3), we now derive a correlation between parameter $\alpha$ and spin exchange energy as:

$$J = (2n_\text{tr} \Phi_0 / \alpha B)^{1/2}, \quad (4)$$

and we have the effective transverse mass, which can be expressed by $m_\text{tr} = \hbar k_F / v_F = (\hbar k_F)^2 / J$. By considering Fermi temperature $T_F = (\hbar k_F)^2 / 2m_\text{tr}$, where $m_\text{tr}$ is the longitudinal transport mass, we find that the ratio of transverse mass to longitudinal mass, $\beta$, can be expressed by:

$$\beta \equiv m_\text{tr} / m_\text{tr} = 2T_F / J. \quad (5)$$

Equation (5) implies that the transverse mass should be much larger than the longitudinal mass, since $T_F \gg J$ [26, 27]. Apparently, the normal–state Hall measurement provides further insight into the strange–metal transports in superconductors.

In the holographic theory, it is argued that there is only a single contribution from the momentum dissipation to the Hall angle with $\theta_{Hi} = (B/Q) \sigma_{\text{diss}}$, where $Q$ is the charge density and $\sigma_{\text{diss}}$ is the $T^{-2}$–dependent dissipation conductivity. Considering that $\sigma_{\text{diss}}^{-1} \sim \rho_{ee} = \Lambda T^2$ with $\Lambda = h^2 (1/\tau_{ee})^2 \pi A^*$, as previously presented, we obtain $\alpha = QA/B = \frac{h}{B^2 c^2} \left( \frac{1}{\tau_{ee}} \right)^2 \pi A^*$, according to equation (3). Taking $Q = ne$ into account, we can thus denote the measurable parameter $\alpha$ within the holographic theory as:

$$\alpha_{\text{holographic}} = \frac{h}{B c^2} \left( \frac{1}{\tau_{ee}} \right)^2 \pi A^*. \quad (6)$$

Let us now return to inspect the meaning of parameter $\alpha$ in the HFL theory. According to equation (4), as derived within the framework of the HFL theory, the parameter $\alpha$ can be rewritten as
Comparing the results shown in equations (6) and (7), one can see that both derived $\alpha_{\text{hypo}}$ and $\alpha_{\text{HFL}}$ exhibit similar formulas, and thus should have the same order of magnitude, since $E_F$ and $J$ are the same order of magnitude for strongly correlated systems (see later in the discussion). It is noted that $E_F$ is equal to $T_F$ when $E_F$ is denoted as a temperature unit, and thus, $E_F > J$, as previously mentioned. Both the HFL theory and holographic model propose similar physics, which lie at the origins of the two-lifetime behavior in these so-called ‘strange metal’ systems. More recently, it has been demonstrated that the separation of transport lifetimes seems to be pervasive in 2D electron liquids [28]. As described in some review articles [10, 29], there are other theoretical approaches that have been proposed for the anomalous transport phenomena in HTS cuprates. However, only the mentioned theoretical schemes propose the universal expression of resistivity to describe the crossover of transports from NFL to FL behavior.

To sum up, by measuring longitudinal resistivity $\rho_{xx}(T)$ and Hall angle $\theta_H(T)$, the obtained energy scales $W$ and $J$ are proposed to describe the crossover of transport from NFL-like behavior to FL-like behavior, as well as the electronic correlation strength for these strange-metal systems [18–20]. Although the mechanisms of resistivity are different, both the HFL and holographic theories provide consistent pictures that there exist two transport relaxation times, which independently influence the Hall effect and resistivity in these systems. Based on the schemes within the framework of the HFL and holographic theories, longitudinal resistivity $\rho_{xx}(T)$ and Hall angle $\theta_H(T)$ for BFCA single crystals, as well as some conventional and unconventional superconductors, are examined, as follows.

3. Experiment

Previous works have described the preparations and transport measurements of investigated samples of BFCA single crystals, single-crystal NaFe$_{1-x}$Co$_x$As (NFCA) with $x = 0.022$, and the charge-density-wave (CDW) related superconductors of Ca$_3$Ir$_2$Sn$_3$ (CaIrSn) and Sr$_2$Rh$_2$Sn$_3$ (SrRhSn) crystals [30–32]. HTS $c$-axis oriented YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_y$ (YBCO) and NdBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{1-y}$ (NBCO) thin films are grown by radio frequency sputtering onto SrTiO$_3$ (001) substrates, as described in literature [33]. FeSe$_{0.5}$Te$_{0.5}$ (FeSeTe) single crystals have been grown from self-flux in a quartz crucible by referring to the conditions proposed by Sales et al [34], exhibiting good crystallization with the $c$-axis orientation perpendicular to the plane of the crystal slabs. In addition, a piece of Nb metal with purity of 99.9%, which is regarded as a conventional superconductor, has been studied for comparison. Within the transport measurements, a Hall-measurement geometry with five leads is constructed to allow simultaneous measurements of both longitudinal ($\rho_{xx}$) and transverse (Hall) resistivities ($\rho_{xy}$) using standard dc techniques.

4. Results and discussion

Figure 1(a) shows the temperature dependence of resistivity for BFCA single crystals with doping levels of $x = 0, 0.05, 0.08, 0.10$, and 0.20. The inset of figure 1(a) shows the low-temperature resistivity for the corresponding samples. As shown, the values of resistivity, transition temperatures, and temperature-dependent behaviors are similar to those reported in [35]. In addition, the undoped parent sample shows a very sharp drop in resistivity at the antiferromagnetic transition temperature of 135 K, which accompanies an additional knee-like transition at 25 K, as seen in the inset of figure 1(a). The additional transition at low temperature is similar to that observed by Rotundu et al [36], and seems to be dependent on the annealing periods, which is a phenomenon that has never been examined, and thus, has room for further investigation.

Figure 1(b) shows that the resistivities of the over-doped BFCA with $x = 0.2$ follow the form of $\rho_{xx} = \rho_0 + \Lambda T^\alpha$ with fields of 0 and 6 T at whole temperatures. Here, the applied field is parallel to the current $I$ in order to eliminate the Lorentz contribution from resistivities. The inset of figure 1(b) shows the field dependencies of the $\Lambda$ value and residual resistivity $\rho_0$. As seen, both $\Lambda$ and $\rho_0$ are almost field-independent, and the resistivities in fields reveal tiny magnetoresistance. Indeed, the $T^\alpha$ dependence of $\rho_{xx}$ and the weak field independence of $\Lambda$ demonstrate FL-like characteristics in the high-doping BFCA.

Using equation (1), this study attempts to analyze the normal-state BFCA resistivity, as shown in figure 1(a). Equation (1) is fitted to data through the least squares regression method in order to precisely determine parameters $A, W$, and $\rho_0$. In addition, figure 1(a) shows the fitting results (solid lines) for BFCA with different doping levels. Figures 2(a) and (b) show the values of the parameters in equation (1), as obtained from the fit as a function of Co doping $x$. As shown in figure 2(a), the $x$ dependency of energy scale (in temperature unit) $W$ reveals a rapid increase in the over-doping region, which is similar to that observed on La$_{2-x}$Sr$_x$CuO$_4$ [18]. The values of $W$ for BFCA, which are in the range of 87–1493 K, are approximately the same order of magnitude as those for La$_{2-x}$Sr$_x$CuO$_4$ and other HTS cuprates [19]. Figure 2(b) illustrates the $x$ dependences of parameters $A$
and $\rho_0$. In substance, the values of parameters $A$ and $\rho_0$ decrease with an increase in $x$, which is also a behavior similar to that obtained for $\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{CuO}_4$. Closer examination of equation (1) shows that, the rapid increase in $W$ for the higher-doping BFCA indeed agrees with the $T^2$-like resistivity commonly observed both in HTS cuprates and iron-based superconductors, as previously mentioned.

Figure 1. (a) Temperature dependence of resistivity for BFCA single crystals with doping levels of $x = 0, 0.05, 0.08, 0.10$, and $0.20$. The solid lines represent the results deduced from the fit of equation (1). (b) Resistivities of the over-doped BFCA with $x = 0.2$ follow the form $\rho_{xx} = \rho_0 + \Lambda T^2$ with fields of 0 and 6 T parallel to the current $I$ (the solid line). The inset shows the field dependences of the $\Lambda$ value and the residual resistivity $\rho_0$.

Figure 2. Values of parameters (a) $W$ and (b) $A$ and $\rho_0$ obtained from the fit of equation (1) as a function of Co doping. In addition, (a) shows the $x$-dependent spin exchange energy $J$ estimated with equation (4).
the same as the deviation of be seen, the data also fall in a straight line in the normal-state temperature region, and can be described by the HFL scenario. This result indicates that the transports in over-doped BFCA and in their parent compound value rises from the doped BFCA with according to whether can be applied indeed proportional to carrier density for HTS cuprates values of for BFCA decrease with an increase in α, where the planar carrier density is calculated by equation \( \rho_{xx} \propto n_{3D} \). Furthermore, with equation (4), we can estimate the values of \( J \) for BFCA samples, as shown in figure 2(a), where the planar carrier density is calculated by \( n = (3\pi^{2} n_{3D})^{2/3}/2\pi \) and the volume carrier density \( n_{3D} \) is obtained from the Hall measurement. From figure 2(a), we note that, the error bar of the \( J \) value rises from the \( n_{3D} \) values taken at different temperature regions. It is found that the values of \( J \) are almost the same as the \( W \) values for BFCA with \( x = 0 \) and 0.20; and while the \( J \) values are larger than those of \( W \), they are of the same order of several hundred Kelvin for BFCA with \( x = 0.10 \), which is in agreement with the HFL theory. This result indicates that the transports in over-doped BFCA and in their parent compound \((x = 0)\) can be described by the HFL scenario.

Next, this study attempts to extend this observation into a new phase diagram for BFCA. According to the HFL or holographic resistivity in equation (1), one can see that the resistivity behaves as \( \rho_{xx} \propto T \) or \( \rho_{xx} \propto T^{3} \), and according to whether \( T > W \) or \( T < W \). First consider the attempt to draw a line up and to the right from QCP (i.e. the optimum-doped point) and the result of \( W \approx 3.5T_{c}(W \approx 87.4 \text{ K}, \text{ and } T_{c} \approx 25 \text{ K}) \) for the optimum-doped BFCA with \( x = 0.08 \), which should behave like a NFL character at temperatures above \( T_{c} \). We thus draw a line of \( W/3.5 \) for BFCA in the higher-doping region up and to the right from QCP in order to show the crossover from NFL-like behavior to FL-like behavior at high doping, as seen in figure 4. In addition, surprisingly, the \( W/3.5 \) line almost merges into the boundary line of the antiferromagnetic (AFM) transition for the under-doped

---

**Figure 3.** (a) Plots of \(-\cot\theta_{H1}\) versus \(T^{2}\) for BFCA with \( x = 0.20 \) in various applied fields up to 6 T. The solid lines denote the results of the fit to equation (3). (b) Plots of \(-\cot\theta_{H1}\) versus \(T^{2}\) for BFCA with different doping levels measured in the field of 6 T. The solid lines represent the fit of equation (3) in the normal-state temperature region. The inset of (a) shows the parameter \( \alpha \) against \( H^{-1} \). The dashed line shows that \( \alpha \propto H^{-1} \) at fields larger than 2 T. The inset of (b) shows the \( x \) dependence of parameter \( \alpha \) obtained in the field of 6 T.
BFCA. Figure 4 also illustrates the phase-transition diagram extracted from previous reports [23, 35] for comparison, and reveals a renewed phase diagram for BFCA.

Yoshizawa et al [37] recently investigated the elastic properties of BFCA single crystals with different Co concentrations, in which elastic constant $C_{66}$ shows large elastic softening associated with the structural phase transition. They obtained characteristic temperature $T^*$ with the deviation of the inverse of $C_{66}$ from the $T$-linear behavior, and inferred that $T^*$ possibly corresponds to the crossover from the NFL region to the FL region. Figure 4 also illustrates the duplicated $T^*$ values for comparison, and shows an approximate coincidence between the $W/3.5$ boundary line and $T^*$ values. In addition, the derived values of bandwidth $W$, by Yoshizawa et al present the same order of several hundred Kelvin for higher-doped BFCA as those obtained herein with the HFL and holographic transport theories. Furthermore, the factor of 3.5 indicates that the crossover temperature corresponds to a fractional value of bandwidth.

Further discussion of the exchange energy $J$ in superconductors via equations (4) and (5) suggests that the exchange energy can seemingly manipulate the transport behaviors in superconductors. An interesting issue is to examine the spin exchange energy in different kinds of superconductors in order to debate their electronic correlation. Figure 5(a) illustrates the basic characteristics of resistive transition for some high-quality superconductors, including the optimum-doped BFCA, NFCA, and FeSeTe crystals, fully oxygenized YBCO and NBCO films, and the CDW-related superconducting CaIrSn and SrRhSn crystals, as described in the Experiment section, and a conventional superconductor of Nb metal. As seen, the measured superconducting transition temperatures are almost the same as those previously reported.

Figure 5(b) plots $|\cot\theta_H|$ versus $T^2$ for the corresponding samples measured in the field of 6 T. As can be seen, the data also fall in a straight line in the normal-state temperature region, and can be fitted to equation (3). As mentioned above, the exchange energy offers the key to understanding the electric correlation in superconductivity. Following the analysis previously conducted in BFCA, we can derive the exchange energy according to the data in figure 5(b) by using equation (4). The inset of figure 5(b) shows $J$ versus $T_c$ for the corresponding samples in figure 5(b); however, there is no clear relation between $J$ and $T_c$. Recently, it has been pointed out that, the ratio of $T_c$ to Fermi temperature $T_F$ characterizes the correlation strength in superconductors [38]. In unconventional superconductors, such as iron-based superconducting FeTe$_{0.6}$Se$_{0.4}$, HTS YBCO, and heavy fermion superconductors, this ratio is about 0.1; however, it is only $\sim$0.02 in conventional BCS superconductors [38]. Being analogous to the analysis of $T_c/T_F$, we are motivated to examine the ratio of $J/T_F$ in different kinds of superconductors.

Figure 6(a) shows $T_c$ as a function of Fermi temperature $T_F$ for the superconductors studied herein. The Fermi temperature $T_F$ can be extracted from [39]:

$$S/T = \pm \frac{x^2 k_B}{2 e T_F} \frac{1}{T}$$

or

$$\gamma = \frac{x^2 k_B}{2 e} \frac{nu}{T_F},$$
Figure 5. (a) Basic characteristics of resistive transition for some high-quality superconductors as mentioned in the text. (b) Plots of $|\cot \theta_H|$ versus $T^2$ for the corresponding samples measured in the field of 6 T. The solid lines represent the fit of equation (3) in the normal-state temperature region. The inset of (b) shows $J$ versus $T_c$ for the corresponding samples.

Figure 6. (a) $T_c$ and (b) $J$ versus Fermi temperature $T_F$ for the superconductors studied. (c) $\beta$, as expressed in equation (5), as a function of $T_c$ for the superconductors studied. The dashed lines represent the parameter ratios for strongly correlated and weakly correlated superconductors as indicated.
where $S$ is the Seebeck coefficient, $\gamma$ is a $T$-linear electronic specific heat coefficient, $k_B$ is Boltzmann’s constant, and $e$ is the electron charge. This study adopts the results of thermal transport for NBCO [40, 41], BFCA [42, 43], NFCA [44], SrRhSn [45], and Nb [46] to make the estimations of $T_F$, while the $T_F$ values of YBCO, FeSeTe, and CalIrSn are duplicated from articles in literature [38, 47, 48].

However, as shown in figure 6(a), the error bars of $T_F$ arise from the various $n_{3D}$ values, as taken at normal-state temperatures, and some divergences in the values of $S$ and $\gamma$ reported. The data for HgBa$_2$CaCu$_2$O$_{8+\delta}$ (HBCCO) and La$_{1.52}$Sr$_{0.15}$CuO$_4$ (LSCO) are adopted from [48] for comparison. Figure 6(a) shows the dash lines of $T_c/T_F =$ 0.047 and 0.001 77 for two groups of superconductors, respectively. The data of the first group of superconductors, including the strongly correlated HTS YBCO and NBCO, and iron-based superconducting BFCA, NFCA, and FeSeTe, follow the line of $T_c/T_F =$ 0.047, while the data of the second group of superconductors, including weakly correlated CalIrSn, SrRhSn, and Nb, are distributed over the region near the line of $T_c/T_F =$ 0.001 77. This result is in accordance with previously reported results [38, 47, 48].

Inspired by the plot in figure 6(a), in figure 6(b) we demonstrate a plot to point out an intimate link between $J$ and $T_F$ in a superconducting system. An interesting result is that the data of the strongly correlated superconductors (first group) follow the line of $J/T_F = 0.30$, while the data of the weakly correlated superconductors (second group) follow the line of $J/T_F = 0.016$. This finding indicates that the ratio of $J$ to the Fermi temperature $T_F$ can also characterize the correlation strength in superconductors. It is inferred that, for a strongly correlated superconductor, this ratio is much larger than that for a conventional BCS superconductor due to their smaller $T_F$ values.

This study further examines the ratio of transverse mass to longitudinal mass, $\beta$, as expressed in equation (5). Figure 6(c) illustrates $\beta$ as a function of $T_F$ for the superconductors studied herein, which shows that the strongly correlated superconductors reveal smaller $\beta$ values, while larger $\beta$ values are obtained for the weakly correlated superconductors. The $\beta$ values for the strongly correlated superconductors approximately follow the line of $\beta/T_c = 0.09$, while the data of the weakly correlated superconductors follow the line of $\beta/T_c = 22.5$, indicating that the ratio of $\beta$ to $T_c$ also correlates closely with the electronic correlation strength in superconductors. This result implies that there are different effects of electronic correlation on the ratio of transverse mass to longitudinal mass between strongly and weakly correlated superconductors. Generally speaking, it can be understood that, even though $T_c$ is enhanced, such as in the strongly correlated superconductors, the longitudinal effective mass increases faster than the transverse effective mass, leading to a smaller $\beta$ value due to the relatively small value of $T_F$. We can see that the correlation strength in superconductors can be experimentally revealed by the normal-state Hall angle, thus, more theoretical or experimental studies on the effects of electronic correlation in superconductors are necessary.

Having observed that correlation strength can be characterized by these derived parameters, one may further proceed to the debate between the HFL theory and holographic theory on the basis of the experimental data. According to the derivations in the previous section, it is worthy to notice that the value of Fermi energy $E_F$, which is a key parameter related to the electronic state, can be respectively derived from the experimental data of pre-factor $A$ (corresponds to the coefficient in $T$-linear resistivity as $T \gg W$) and parameter $\alpha$, as based on the HFL and holographic theories. The obtained $E_F$ from pre-factor $A$, as based on the HFL and holographic theories, are denoted by $E_{F,HFL}$ and $E_{F,holo}$, respectively, and can be expressed by $E_{F,HFL} = \frac{\hbar}{e^2A} \frac{v_F}{\tau}$ and $E_{F,holo} = \frac{\hbar}{e^2} 4\pi^2\lambda$. Moreover, the value of $E_F$ can also be obtained from parameter $\alpha$, as based on the holographic theory seen in equation (6), which is denoted by $E_{F,holo,\alpha}$, to have $E_{F,holo,\alpha} = \left(\frac{\hbar}{e^2} 4\pi^2\lambda\right)^{1/2}$.

Table 1 illustrates the obtained parameters of $T_F$, $\alpha$, $E_{F,HFL}$, $E_{F,holo}$, $E_{F,holo,\alpha}$, $T_F$, and $J$ for the BFCA samples. In addition, the parameters of HTS YBCO and NBCO films are shown for discussion. Here, the parameters of $T_F$, $\alpha$, $T_c$, and $J$ are obtained from the experimental results or calculations, as previously mentioned, where the $A$ values for YBCO and NBCO films are obtained by their well linear fit to their $\rho(T)$ data from 120–300 K. Again notice that the large error bars of $T_F$ arise from the various $n_{3D}$ values, as taken at normal-state temperatures, and some divergences in the values of $S$ and $\gamma$ reported. In addition, we replace the $A$ values of bulk resistivity with the $A/t$ values for calculation of the 2D sheet resistance, as described by theories, where $t$ is taken as the c-axis length of the unit cell with $t \approx 1.3$ and 1.2 nm for BFCA and HTS cups, respectively. Regarding calculation of the values of $E_{F,HFL}$, $E_{F,holo}$, and $E_{F,holo,\alpha}$, the information of $(v_F/\tau)$, $\lambda$, and $A^*$ for BFCA and HTS cups should be clarified. Considering the small anisotropic transport properties of BFCA and HTS cups in the crystal $ab$ plane, we take $(v_F/\tau) \approx 1$, as shown in [18]. The values of $\lambda$ for BFCA and HTS cups are taken as 0.12 and 0.3, respectively, by referring to the results in [49, 25]. The $A^*$ value of $-4$ for BFCA is estimated by Rullier-Albenque et al[1], while the $A^*$ value for the HTS cups has not been reported yet. As previously mentioned, $A^*$ describes the electron–electron scattering processes given by $1/\tau_{ee} = A^* T^2/\epsilon_B$, thus, $A^*$ can be estimated from the $A$ coefficient of $T^2$-dependent resistivity, that $\rho = m_n/e^2\tau_{ee} = (m_n/e^2) A^* T^2/\epsilon_B = \lambda T^2$. It has been reported that the $A$ value of YBCO is $\sim 1.5 \times 10^{-8}$ $\Omega$ cm $K^{-2}$ and the Hall coefficient is $R_H = 1/e \approx 5 \times 10^{-4}$ $cm^3$ $A^{-1}$ $K^{-1}$ [50].
By considering $E_F = 2100$ K [38] and $m_t \approx 12m_e$ [51], we obtain an $A^*$ value of ~7.1 for YBCO, and then, proceed to calculate the $E_{F,\text{holo}}$ values of YBCO and NBCO.

As seen in table 1, the obtained $E_{F,\text{HFL}}$ values for BFCA, which are in the range of 495–1027 K, are near the $T_F$ values of 682 ± 305–1009 ± 197 K, which are derived according to the reported electronic specific heat coefficients [43]. However, the obtained $E_{F,\text{HFL}}$ values for YBCO and NBCO are much smaller than those of $T_F$, implying that the assumption of ($v_F^2/v_e^2 \approx 1$ may need to be corrected when applying the HFL theory to HTS cuprates. On the other hand, all $E_{F,\text{holo}}$ values for BFCA, YBCO, and NBCO are almost several-time magnitude larger than those of $T_F$, while $E_{F,\text{holo}}$ shows a more consistent result, as compared with the values of $T_F$. These deviations may arise from the uncertain parameters of $A$ and $A^*$ for iron-based superconductors and HTS cuprates, which require further confirmation through experimentation. If any doubt remains about these derived $E_F$ values, it is clear that both the HFL and holographic theories hold truths regarding the temperature-dependent resistivity and Hall angle in these strange-metal superconductors, and some uncertain parameters still require further calibration for theoretical application.

### 5. Conclusions

By considering HFL and holographic theories, this research examined spin exchange energy $J$ and model-dependent energy scale $W$ in BFCA single crystals, as deduced from the Hall angles and resistivities, respectively. In theoretical surveys, both HFL and holographic theories give similar physics, meaning that there exist two transport relaxation times, which independently influence the Hall effect and resistivity in the so-called ‘strange metal’ systems. One can see that the values of $J$ are almost the same as the $W$ values, or are of the same order of several hundred Kelvin for the over-doped BFCA, which is in agreement with the HFL theory. Moreover, a drawn line of $W/3.5$ for BFCA in the higher-doping region up to the right from QCP shows the crossover from NFL-like behavior to FL-like behavior at high doping, leading to the obtainment of a new phase diagram for BFCA. Furthermore, this study has newly derived spin exchange energies and Fermi temperatures for some conventional and unconventional superconductors from Hall measurements in order to explore their electronic correlation strength. Findings show that the data of $T_c/T_F$ and $J/T_F$ for strongly correlated superconductors follow higher-ratio lines, as compared with those for weakly correlated superconductors. By contrast, the ratios of transverse mass to longitudinal mass for strongly correlated superconductors reveal smaller values. The ratios of $T_c/T_F$, $J/T_F$, and $\beta/T_c$ are presented, for the first time, as characterizing the correlation strength in superconductors. In addition, both the HFL and holographic theories can describe the temperature-dependent resistivity and Hall angle in these unconventional superconductors, which have some uncertain parameters that require further experimental confirmation.
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