

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT • OPEN ACCESS

Determination of resistance at zero and infinite frequencies in bioimpedance spectroscopy for assessment of body composition in babies

To cite this article before publication: Natalia Pinheiro de Castro et al 2024 Physiol. Meas. in press https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6579/ad3dc0

Manuscript version: Accepted Manuscript

Accepted Manuscript is "the version of the article accepted for publication including all changes made as a result of the peer review process, and which may also include the addition to the article by IOP Publishing of a header, an article ID, a cover sheet and/or an 'Accepted Manuscript' watermark, but excluding any other editing, typesetting or other changes made by IOP Publishing and/or its licensors"

This Accepted Manuscript is © 2024 The Author(s). Published on behalf of Institute of Physics and Engineering in Medicine by IOP Publishing Ltd.

As the Version of Record of this article is going to be / has been published on a gold open access basis under a CC BY 4.0 licence, this Accepted Manuscript is available for reuse under a CC BY 4.0 licence immediately.

Everyone is permitted to use all or part of the original content in this article, provided that they adhere to all the terms of the licence <u>https://creativecommons.org/licences/by/4.0</u>

Although reasonable endeavours have been taken to obtain all necessary permissions from third parties to include their copyrighted content within this article, their full citation and copyright line may not be present in this Accepted Manuscript version. Before using any content from this article, please refer to the Version of Record on IOPscience once published for full citation and copyright details, as permissions may be required. All third party content is fully copyright protected and is not published on a gold open access basis under a CC BY licence, unless that is specifically stated in the figure caption in the Version of Record.

View the article online for updates and enhancements.

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
10
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
20
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
25
35
30
3/
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
<u>/</u> 7
+/ 10
4ð
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
50
22
00

Determination of resistance at zero and infinite frequencies in bioimpedance spectroscopy for assessment of body composition in babies

¹Natalia Pinheiro-Castro, BSc, PhD ¹Tamiris Ramos-Silva, ¹Patricia Helen de Carvalho Rondó MD, MPH, PhD, ²Leigh C. Ward, BSc (Hons), PhD, RNUTR, FNSA.

¹Nutrition Department, School of Public Health, University of São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil.

²School of Chemistry and Molecular Biosciences, The University of Queensland, St. Lucia, Brisbane, Australia.

Abbreviated title:

Resistance and BIS measurements

Key words: Resistance, Cole model, bioimpedance spectroscopy (BIS), impedance

Correspondence

Name	Leigh C Ward
Department	School of Chemistry and Molecular Biosciences
Institution	The University of Queensland
Country	Australia
Tel	+61 (0)437 331 167
Email	I.ward@uq.edu.au

Determination of resistance at zero and infinite frequencies in bioimpedance spectroscopy for assessment of body composition in babies

Abstract

Objective.

Bioimpedance spectroscopy (BIS) is a popular technique for the assessment of body composition in children and adults but has not found extensive use in babies and infants. This due primarily to technical difficulties of measurement in these groups. Although improvements in data modelling have, in part, mitigated this issue, the problem continues to yield unacceptably high rates of poor quality data. This study investigated an alternative data modelling procedure obviating issues associated with BIS measurements in babies and infants.

Approach

BIS data are conventionally analysed according to the Cole model describing the impedance response of body tissues to an applied AC current. This approach is susceptible to errors due to capacitive leakage errors of measurement at high frequency. The alternative is to model BIS data based on the resistance-frequency spectrum rather than the reactance-resistance Cole model thereby avoiding capacitive error impacts upon reactance measurements.

Main results

The resistance-frequency approach allowed analysis of 100% of data files obtained from BIS measurements in 72 babies compared to 87% successful analyses with the Cole model. Resistance-frequency modelling error (percentage standard error of the estimate) was half that of the Cole method. Estimated resistances at zero and infinite frequency were used to predict body composition. Resistance-based prediction of fat-free mass (FFM) exhibited a 30% improvement in the two-standard deviation limits of agreement with reference FFM measured by air displacement plethysmography when compared to Cole model-based predictions.

Significance

This study has demonstrated improvement in the analysis of BIS data based on the resistance frequency response rather than conventional Cole modelling. This approach is recommended for use where BIS data are compromised by high frequency capacitive leakage errors such as those obtained in babies and infants.

1. Introduction

Bioelectrical impedance analysis (BIA) is a commonly used method for the assessment of body composition (Sbrignadello *et al* 2022, Moonen and Van Zanten 2021, Branco *et al* 2023). Despite this popularity, BIA has not found wide use in paediatric settings (Zamberlan *et al* 2023, Orsso *et al* 2021, Lyons-Reid *et al* 2021, Lyons-reid *et al* 2020, Andrews *et al* 2019). In part this is due to the practical difficulties associated with performing BIA measurements in babies and infants, for example, avoiding movement artefacts during measurement, and lack of standardisation of measurement protocols (Orsso *et al* 2021, Brantlov *et al* 2017a, 2017b, Collins *et al* 2013, Lingwood 2013). In addition, impedance measurements in babies seem particularly prone to artefacts of measurement (Gridneva *et al* 2016), notably the so-called "hook effect" due to stray and parasitic capacitances in bioimpedance spectroscopy (BIS) (Buendía *et al* 2010, Scharfetter *et al* 1998, William *et al* 2022).

In contrast to single frequency BIA (SFBIA) that performs measurement of impedance (Z) at a single frequency of 50 kHz, BIS measures Z and its components, resistance (R) and reactance (Xc), over a range of frequencies, typically from 5 to 1000 kHz (Matthie 2008). Resistance and reactance data are applied to the Cole model for body fluid distribution in which the extrapolate resistance at zero frequency (R_0) is proportional to extracellular water volume (ECW) while resistance at infinite frequency (R_{∞}) is proportional to total body water (TBW) (Cornish *et al* 1993, Matthie 2008). In BIS, TBW and ECW are calculated from R_0 and R_{∞} along with anthropometric parameters (weight, height and body proportions), body density and tissue water resistivities using mixture theory (Ward et al 2015). Unfortunately, the hook effect particularly compromises impedance measurements at high frequencies (> ~500 kHz) markedly affecting accurate estimation of R_{∞} (Buendía *et al* 2010). Various methods have been tried to correct for the hook effect, the most common being time delay (Td) compensation (Scharfetter et al 1998, De Lorenzo et al 1997) although this provides for only partial improvement (Buendia et al 2010a,b). Since the hook effect mostly affects the phase and reactance of the complex impedance only slightly modifying the resistance-frequency spectrum, the possibility of estimating R_0 and R_{∞} from the resistance-frequency data exists (Ayllon *et al* 2009). This approach has been used successfully previously in other settings (Cornish and Ward 1998, Ward et al 2020).

The aim of the present study was to compare the conventional Cole model and the resistancefrequency model for estimating R_0 and R_∞ in BIS measurements in neonates. In addition, body composition predicted using mixture theory based on R_0 and R_∞ obtained by either method was compared with body composition measured by the reference method of air displacement plethysmography (ADP).

2. Experimental protocol and data analysis

2.1 Participants

Participants were 72 newborns randomly selected from the large prospective epidemiological "Araraquara Cohort Study" study (Dejani *et al* 2023). Babies were drawn from those enrolled in the study from the Municipal Maternity Hospital in Araraquara city, São Paulo, Brazil. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the University of São Paulo and written consent was obtained from parents before any data collection. Gestational age was obtained from medical records.

2.2 Anthropometric measurements

Heel to crown length and weight were obtained at time of BIS and ADP measurements at an average of 7.8 weeks post-birth. Length was measured using a Seca[®] 416 infantometer (Seca[®], Hamburg, Germany) to the nearest 0.1 cm. Weight was obtained using the Pea Pod[®] equipment (Cosmed[®], Concord, CA, USA) to the nearest 0.1 kg.

2.3 Bioimpedance spectroscopy

Whole body (wrist to ankle) impedance measurements were obtained using a Bodystat 5000 BIS spectrometer (Bodystat Ltd., Isle of Man). Electrodes were placed at the conventional sites used in adults and children: current drive electrodes at the base of the toes and the fingers and voltage sense electrodes along the midlines between the malleoli at the ankle and bony prominences at the wrist. Electrode sites were cleaned with alcohol wipes prior to attachment of Ag-AgCl gel electrodes (Bodystat 0515). Measurement was performed within a hospital setting with babies lying electrically isolated on a non-conductive mattress. Attention was paid to avoid as far as possible extraneous electrical noise and it was ensured that measurement leads were uncoiled to minimise potential for stray capacitance errors. In order to minimise movement artefacts, data recording was commenced only when the baby was visibly quiet, i.e., not moving or crying.

2.4 Air displacement plethysmography

Body composition according to a two-compartment model (fat-free mass (FFM) and fat mass (FM)) was measured by ADP using a Pea Pod[®] (Cosmed, Concord, CA, USA) according to manufacturer's instructions as reported previously (Dejani *et al* 2023).

2.5 Data analysis

The BIS device provides Z, R, Xc and phase angle (PhA) at each of 50 logarithmically-spaced frequencies in the range, 5 to 1000 kHz. R and Xc were extracted and fitted to the Cole model using software based on the fitting procedure described by Cornish *et al.* (Cornish *et al.* 1993) using Bioimp v4.12.0 (ImpediMed Ltd., Brisbane, Australia). Data were analysed using default settings in which data for frequencies up to 500 kHz (n=44 frequencies) were fitted to the Cole model, graphically represented as a semi-circular Xc-R plot, with application of Td compensation for high frequency deviation. Goodness of fit was assessed visually and by the percentage standard error of the estimate (%SEE). *R*₀ and *R*_∞ were provided by the software from extrapolation of the fitted Xc-R curve.

 R_0 and R_∞ were independently determined from fitting the R-frequency data. Resistance declines exponentially with frequency and the best-fitting curve was determined using non-linear regression using the curve-fitting module of Slidewrite (7.01, Advanced Graphics Software Inc., Rancho Santa Fe, USA) as described previously (Ward *et al* 2020). The best fitting equation was

$$R = a0 + a1 * \exp(\frac{-f}{a2}) \qquad \dots 1$$

where R = resistance (ohm), f = frequency (kHz) and $a_{0.2}$ are the fit coefficients. Goodness of fit was assessed by %SEE. Identical results were also obtained when curve fitting was undertaken using the Solver function of Excel or by non-linear curve fitting using FindCurves (<u>http://findcurves.com/</u> last accessed 5th December 2023). R_0 and R_∞ were calculated from equation 1 for zero and infinite frequency respectively. Intracellular resistance (R_i) was calculated from R_0 and R_∞ :

$$\frac{(R_0 * R_\infty)}{(R_0 - R_\infty)} \qquad \dots 2$$

Body composition was calculated from R_0 and R_∞ and calculated R_i values according to mixture theory using BatchBCA (v1.1.0 ImpediMed Ltd., Brisbane, Australia). Fat-free mass density was assumed to be 1.065 as used in ADP and body hydration fraction of 0.80 based on the data of Fomon *et al.* (Fomon *et al* 1982). Body proportion factor (kb) was calculated for each child based on height, weight and sex according to the method of Ward *et al.* (Ward *et al* 2022). Age-appropriate apparent resistivity values were drawn from a database maintained by author Ward and were similar to those used previously (Collins *et al* 2013, Tint *et al* 2016, Lingwood *et al* 2012): ECW resistivity (rhoe), 960 ohm.cm and 1159 ohm.cm female and male respectively; ICW resistivity (rhoi), 1790 ohm.cm and 2202 ohm.cm female and male respectively. These are apparent resistivity coefficients whose magnitude is dependent upon their method of derivation (Ward *et al* 2015). There are currently no resistivity coefficients that have been derived using resistance values (R_0 and R_∞) derived from resistance-frequency curve fitting; present values having been derived using Cole modelling only. Consequently, R_0 and R_∞ values for participants derived from resistance-frequency modelling were converted to their Cole model equivalents using regression equations of R_0 and R_∞ describing the relationship between the two model values (Supplementary data Fig 1A & B).

2.6 Statistical analysis

Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation (SD) and range. Significance of difference between groups are assessed by pair t test or analysis of variance with *post hoc* paired comparisons according to the method of Tukey-Kramer as appropriate. Method agreement was assessed by concordance correlation and limits of agreement (LOA) analysis. Statistical analyses were performed using Medcalc version 22.016 (Medcalc Software Ltd., Ostend, Belgium).

3. Results

3.1 Participant characteristics

Participant characteristics are presented in Table 1. Babies were all born at term with a mean gestational age of 39.0 ± 1.6 weeks. Mean body length at time of measurement (mean age 8.3 weeks) was 55.4 cm with a mean body weight of 4.8 kg. Reference body composition was 3.91 kg FFM and 0.88 kg FM.

3.2 Data modelling

Deviation of measured data from the Cole model was commonly observed for most files which were visually selected for correction by Td compensation (Figure 1A). Deviation of reactance from the theoretical semi-circular plot was observed to occur from frequencies as low as 30 kHz in some participant data. Only a minority (n=12, 16.6%) of data files fitted the expected Cole model semi-circle with minimal requirement for Td correction (Fig 1B). Overall, 87% of BIS files could be fitted to the graphical Cole model with a mean %SEE of 1.8% although the quality of fitting was wide with some files exhibiting greater than 30%SEE.

In contrast, 100% of data files were fitted by regression to the R-frequency plot (Table 1 and Fig 1C) with %SEE approximately half that observed for Cole model fitting with a maximum %SEE one-third (9.2%) of that seen for the Cole model.

3.3 Estimation of R_0 and R_{∞}

Estimated R_0 values values were significantly different between the two methods of calculation (Table 1) although the two sets of data were highly correlated ($R^2 = 0.94$, P < 0.0001, Supplementary data Fig 1A). R_{∞} values were less well correlated with greater scatter of data ($R^2 = 0.56$, P < 0.0001, Supplementary data Fig 1B) and again were significantly different (Table 1). Mean R_0 was 4.7% smaller when estimated from the resistance than for the Cole model whereas R_{∞} was 22% larger.

3.4 Prediction of body composition

The correlations between predicted FFM and reference FFM are presented in Fig 2A and Fig 2B for Cole model prediction and Resistance-based prediction respectively. Correlation (Pearson's r) was strongest for the resistance based approach (r = 0.80) compared to the Cole model-based prediction (r = 0.75); the respective concordance correlations were lower at 0.79 and 0.64 respectively indicating that the data did not lie on the line of identity. The respective limits of agreement analysis are presented in Figs 2C and 2D respectively. Both methods exhibited small overall bias -0.15 and -0.12 kg for Cole model prediction and resistance regression prediction respectively. Two SD limits of agreement were relatively large, particularly for the Cole model predictions ($\pm 32.6\%$), compared to resistance egression predictions (25.7%). Prediction of FM was the inverse of FFM since FM is calculated as BW-FFM (Supplementary data Fig 2).

4. Discussion

The use of BIS in newborns and infants has, to date, been problematic due primarily to difficulty in modelling impedance data to the conventional Cole model. The results of the present study have demonstrated that the alternative of fitting the resistance-frequency spectrum provides estimates R_0 and R_∞ with similar precision (as %SEE) to that seen for Cole modelling. Furthermore, these estimates of R_0 and R_∞ when applied to mixture theory to assess body composition provide predictions that are in closer agreement with reference measures than those obtained when using Cole model-derived R_0 and R_∞ .

The resistance-frequency modelling approach relies upon the assumption that unlike reactance, resistance is only slightly if at all by the hook effect. Evidence exists that support this assumption.

Theory suggests that the hook effect is due to capacitive leakage at high frequency (Buendía 2013, Scharfetter *et al* 1998) and empirical support has been provided by Buendia *et al*.(Buendia *et al* 2010a,b). Scharfetter *et al*. has suggested that the hook effect may in part be mitigated by limiting curve fitting to only frequencies up to 500 kHz (Scharfetter *et al* 1998). This is the default setting when Cole modelling but was not adopted for resistance-frequency modelling since, as noted above, resistance is largely immune to parasitic capacitance effects. Furthermore, this strategy would have decreased the number of data points available for data modelling, particularly those on the pseudo-plateau region extrapolated to infinite frequency. In addition, Buendia et al. have suggested that deviation may occur from as low as 40 kHz (Buendía *et al* 2010a,b); an observation confirmed here. Visual inspection of spectra for the present data set supports this view underscoring the relatively poor performance of conventional Td correction in such cases.

The impact of inadequate compensation for high frequency deviation on prediction of body composition was clear. In 13% of participants it was not possible to estimate body composition while in the remainder it was inaccurately predicted with wide limits of agreement when based upon conventional Cole modelling. In contrast, resistance-based modelling was able to provide body composition estimates for all participants with greater accuracy. Although not a primary aim of this study, it is of interest to assess predictive accuracy of this approach with empirically-derived prediction based methods in this age group. Limits of agreement vary from study to study ranging from ± 8 to 13% (Tint *et al* 2016, Lingwood *et al* 2012). In contrast, BIS methods generally perform less well with best performing LOA around $\pm 20\%$ (Ward *et al* 2022) comparable to the LOA of $\pm 25\%$ for resistance-based modelling found here. Notably, BIS may perform much worse with LOA up to $\pm 35\%$ observed in some studies. Accuracy of BIS improves as infants mature with LOA of $\pm 11\%$ being observed in older infants (3.5 y) (Lyons-Reid *et al* 2023).

A limitation of the present study was that mixture modelling prediction of body composition used resistivity coefficients determined from Cole modelling. This necessitated converting resistancederived R_{0} , and R_{∞} to their Cole equivalents. While the regression equations used to perform conversion had excellent predictive performance for R_0 predictive performance was only moderate for R_{∞} reflecting the scatter in data. Inevitably, this introduced inaccuracy in the predicted Coleequivalent values and will have contributed to the relatively wide LOA in prediction of body composition. Ideally, resistivity coefficients derived from resistance modelling are required.

5. Conclusion

In conclusion, this study has demonstrated that where BIS data are compromised by capacitive leakage, observable as the hook effect in Cole plots, modelling of the resistance-frequency spectrum provides satisfactory estimates of R_0 and R_{∞} . These may then be used to provide acceptable predictions of body composition comparable to those afforded by conventional BIS analysis.

Data availability statement

The clinical data cannot be made publicly available upon publication because they contain sensitive personal information. Data that support the findings of this study are available, however, upon reasonable request from the authors.

Author contributions

LCW: conceptualization, data curation, formal analysis, writing - original draft, and writing - review and editing. NC: data collection, curation and analysis, methodology, writing - original draft, and writing - review and editing. TR & PR funding acquisition, data collection, curation and analysis, methodology, writing - original draft, and writing - review and editing.

Competing interests & financial support

This research was supported, in part, by grants numbers 2015/03333-6 and 2019/07717-4 from Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo (FAPESP).

Conflicts of Interest

Author Ward provides consultancy services to ImpediMed Limited. ImpediMed Limited was not involved in the conception, design, execution, data analysis for this study or in the preparation of the manuscript. All other authors declare no individual conflict of interest or financial ties to disclose.

Ethics Committees approval

The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the School of Public Health, of the University of São Paulo, registry CAAE 59787216.2.0000.5421.

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge the willingness of parents for consenting to participate in this study.

6. References

- Andrews E T, Beattie R M and Johnson M J 2019 Measuring body composition in the preterm infant: Evidence base and practicalities. *Clin. Nutr.* **38** 2521–30 Online: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30737045
- Ayllon D, Seoane F and Gil-Pita R 2009 Cole equation and parameter estimation from electrical bioimpedance spectroscopy measurements - A comparative study 2009 Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society (IEEE) pp 3779–82 Online: http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/5334494/
- Branco M G, Mateus C, Capelas M L, Pimenta N, Santos T, Mäkitie A, Ganhão-Arranhado S, Trabulo C and Ravasco P 2023 Bioelectrical impedance analysis (BIA) for the assessment of body composition in oncology: a scoping review *Nutrients* **15** 4792 Online: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/38004186
- Brantlov S, Jødal L, Lange A, Rittig S and Ward L C 2017a Standardisation of bioelectrical impedance analysis for the estimation of body composition in healthy paediatric populations: a systematic review *J. Med. Eng. Technol.* 41 460–79 Online: https://doi.org/10.1080/03091902.2017.1333165
- Brantlov S, Ward L C, Jødal L, Rittig S and Lange A 2017b Critical factors and their impact on bioelectrical impedance analysis in children: a review *J. Med. Eng. Technol.* **41** 22–35 Online: https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/03091902.2016.1209590
- Buendía R 2013 Improvements in bioimpedance spectroscopy data analysis: artefact correction, Cole parameters, and body fluid estimation (KTH Royal Institute of Technology)
- Buendia R, Seoane F and Gil-Pita R 2010a A novel approach for removing the hook effect artefact from Electrical Bioimpedance spectroscopy measurements *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* **224** 012126 Online: https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1742-6596/224/1/012126
- Buendía R, Seoane F, Harris M, Caffarel J and Gil R 2010b Hook Effect correction & resistance-based Cole fitting prior Cole model-based analysis: Experimental validation *2010 Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology* (IEEE) pp 6563–6 Online: http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/5627109/
- Collins C T, Reid J, Makrides M, Lingwood B E, McPhee A J, Morris S A, Gibson R A and Ward L C 2013 Prediction of body water compartments in preterm infants by bioelectrical impedance spectroscopy *Eur. J. Clin. Nutr.* **67** S47–53 Online: http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ejcn.2012.164
- Cornish B H, Thomas B J and Ward L C 1993 Improved prediction of extracellular and total body water using impedance loci generated by multiple frequency bioelectrical impedance analysis *Phys. Med. Biol.* **38** 337–46 Online: http://stacks.iop.org/0031-9155/38/i=3/a=001
- Cornish B H and Ward L C 1998 Data analysis in multiple-frequency bioelectrical impedance analysis *Physiol. Meas.* **19** 275–83 Online: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9626691
- Dejani N N, Nicoletti C F, Argentato P P, Pereira L da S, Saraiva A C, de Assis L M, Nakandakare P Y, Batista L P R, Teles L de F da S, Leitão M P, Luzia L A, de Medeiros A I and Rondó P H 2023 Maternal plasma transforming growth factor-β1 (TGF-β1) and newborn size: the Araraquara Cohort Study J. Pediatr. (Rio. J). **99** 284–8 Online: https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S002175572200136X
- Fomon S J, Haschke F, Ziegler E E and Nelson S E 1982 Body composition of reference children from birth to age 10 years. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **35** 1169–75 Online: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7081099

2
3
Λ
-
5
6
7
0
0
9
10
11
12
12
13
14
15
16
10
17
18
19
20
20
21
22
23
24
24
25
26
27
20
20
29
30
31
22
32
33
34
35
22
36
37
38
30
10
40
41
42
4٦
44
44
45
46
47
10
40
49
50
51
57
52
53
54
55
55
50
57
58
50
~

60

Gridneva Z, Hepworth A R, Ward L C, Lai C T, Hartmann P E and Geddes D T 2016 Bioimpedance spectroscopy in the infant: effect of milk intake and extracellular fluid reservoirs on resistance measurements in term breastfed infants *Eur. J. Clin. Nutr.* **70** 843–51 Online: http://www.nature.com/articles/ejcn201626

- Lingwood B E 2013 Bioelectrical impedance analysis for assessment of fluid status and body composition in neonates--the good, the bad and the unknown. *Eur. J. Clin. Nutr.* **67 Suppl 1** S28-33 Online: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23299869
- Lingwood B E, Storm van Leeuwen A-M, Carberry A E, Fitzgerald E C, Callaway L K, Colditz P B and Ward L C 2012 Prediction of fat-free mass and percentage of body fat in neonates using bioelectrical impedance analysis and anthropometric measures: validation against the PeaPod *Br. J. Nutr.* **107** 1545–52 Online: https://www.cambridge.org/core/product/identifier/S0007114511004624/type/journal_article
- De Lorenzo A, Andreoli A, Matthie J and Withers P 1997 Predicting body cell mass with bioimpedance by using theoretical methods: a technological review *J. Appl. Physiol.* **82** 1542–58 Online: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9134904
- Lyons-Reid J, Ward L C, Derraik J G B, Thway-Tint M, Monnard C R, Ramos Nieves J M, Albert B B, Kenealy T, Godfrey K M, Chan S-Y and Cutfield W S 2023 Prediction of fat-free mass in young children using bioelectrical impedance spectroscopy *Eur. J. Clin. Nutr.* 1–9 Online: https://www.nature.com/articles/s41430-023-01317-4
- Lyons-reid J, Ward L C, Kenealy T and Cutfield W 2020 Bioelectrical impedance analysis an easy tool for quantifying Body composition in infancy? *Nutrients* **12** 920 Online: https://www.mdpi.com/2072-6643/12/4/920
- Lyons-Reid J, Derraik J G B, Ward L C, Tint M, Kenealy T, Cutfield W S, Lyons-reid J, Derraik J G B, Kenealy T, Ward L C and Cutfield W S 2021 Bioelectrical impedance analysis for assessment of body composition in infants and young children-A systematic literature review *Clin. Obes.* 2–4 Online: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/cob.12441
- Matthie J R 2008 Bioimpedance measurements of human body composition: Critical analysis and outlook *Expert Rev. Med. Devices* **5** 239–61 Online: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18331184
- Moonen H P F X and Van Zanten A R H 2021 Bioelectric impedance analysis for body composition measurement and other potential clinical applications in critical illness. *Curr. Opin. Crit. Care* **27** 344–53 Online: https://journals.lww.com/10.1097/MCC.0000000000840
- Orsso C E, Gonzalez M C, Maisch M J, Haqq A M and Prado C M 2021 Using bioelectrical impedance analysis in children and adolescents: Pressing issues *Eur. J. Clin. Nutr.* 1–7 Online: http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41430-021-01018-w
- Sbrignadello S, Göbl C and Tura A 2022 Bioelectrical impedance analysis for the assessment of body composition in sarcopenia and Type 2 diabetes *Nutrients* **14** 1864 Online: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/35565832
- Scharfetter H, Hartinger P, Hinghofer-Szalkay H and Hutten H 1998 A model of artefacts produced by stray capacitance during whole body or segmental bioimpedance spectroscopy. *Physiol. Meas.* 19 247–61 Online: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9626689
- Tint M-T, Ward L C, Soh S E, Aris I M, Chinnadurai A, Saw S M, Gluckman P D, Godfrey K M, Chong Y-S, Kramer M S, Yap F, Lingwood B and Lee Y S 2016 Estimation of fat-free mass in Asian neonates using bioelectrical impedance analysis *Br. J. Nutr.* **115** 1033–42 Online:

https://www.cambridge.org/core/product/identifier/S0007114515005486/type/journal_article

- Ward L C, Degnim A C, Dylke E S and Kilbreath S L 2020 Bioimpedance spectroscopy of the breast *Lymphat. Res. Biol.* **18** 448–54 Online: https://www.liebertpub.com/doi/10.1089/lrb.2019.0087
- Ward L C, Isenring E, Dyer J M, Kagawa M and Essex T 2015 Resistivity coefficients for body composition analysis using bioimpedance spectroscopy: effects of body dominance and mixture theory algorithm *Physiol. Meas.* **36** 1529–49 Online: http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0967-3334/36/7/1529
- Ward L C, Wells J C K, Lyons-Reid J and Tint M T 2022 Individualized body geometry correction factor (K B) for use when predicting body composition from bioimpedance spectroscopy *Physiol. Meas.* 43 035006 Online: http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1361-6579/ac5e83
- William D, Marcôndes C, Paterno A S, Bertemes-Filho P, Marcôndes D W C, Paterno A S and Bertemes-Filho P 2022 Parasitic effects on electrical bioimpedance systems : critical review Sensors 22 1–14 Online: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/35565832
- Zamberlan P, Mazzoni B P, Bonfim M A C, Vieira R R, Tumas R and Delgado A F 2023 Body composition in pediatric patients. *Nutr. Clin. Pract.* **38 Suppl 2** S84–102 Online: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/37721465

Table 1:

Participant characteristics

	Curve fitting method		
	Cole	Regression	P value
	model	model	
Number	72	<u>.</u>	
Sex ratio (M:F)	41:3	31	
Gestational age (weeks)	38.9 ±	: 1.6	
	(33.7 to	42.0)	7
Measurement age (weeks)	8.3 ±	4.8	
	(0.6 to	18.7)	7
Weight (kg)	4.79 ±	1.10	
	(2.42 to	7.34)	
Heel to crown length (cm)	55.4 ±	: 4.3	
	(46.0 to	63.0)	
Reference fat-free mass (kg)	3.91 ±	0.72	
	(2.12 to	5.53)	
Reference fat mass (kg)	0.88 ± 0.43 (0.07 to 1.98)		
Number of files analysed (n, %)	63 (87%)	72 (100%)	[‡] 0.001
<i>R</i> ₀ (ohm)	734 ± 77	701 ± 80	[†] 0 001
	(567 to 949)	(470 to 935)	0.001
R_{∞} (ohm)	433 ± 96	529 ± 86	[†] 0 001
	(257 to 699)	(316 to 751)	0.001
%SEE	1.79 ± 4.5	1.04 ± 1.16	nc
	(0.2 to 34.8)	(0.29 to 9.2)	115

Abbreviations: R_0 , resistance at zero frequency; R_{∞} , resistance at infinite frequency; %SEE, percentage standard error of the estimate. [†]Paired *t* test. [‡]*z* test for proportions

$\begin{array}{c} 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 \\ 6 & 7 \\ 8 & 9 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\$	
59 60	

Legend to figures

Figure 1	Example BIS data as Cole and resistance-frequency plots
Panel A	Example data showing high frequency deviation and Td correction
0	Measured data
\bigtriangleup	Td corrected data
	Line of best fit to Td corrected data
Panel B	Example data with minimal high frequency deviation requiring minor Td correction
0	Measured data
\bigtriangleup	Td corrected data
	Line of best fit to Td corrected data
Panel C	Resistance – frequency plot
•	Measured data
	Line of best fit to Td corrected data
	95% confidence limits
S	

Figure 2	Comparison of predicted fat-free mass with measured reference fat-free mass.
Panel A	Correlation of FFM predicted from Cole modelling and mixture theory with reference FFM measured by ADP.
Panel B	Correlation of FFM predicted from resistance-frequency modelling and mixture theory with reference FFM measured by ADP.
Legend key	
0	Female data
•	Male data
	95% confidence interval
	Line of identity
	Line of best fit
Panel C	Limits of agreement for FFM predicted from Cole modelling and mixture theory with reference FFM measured by ADP.
Panel D	Limits of agreement for FFM predicted from resistance-frequency modelling and mixture theory with reference FFM measured by ADP.
Legend key	
0	Female data
•	Male data
	1.96 SD limit of agreement
	Line of zero difference between methods
	Regression line for measured data
	Mean difference (bias)
\bigcirc	
7	



