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Abstract

Alkali-doped fullerides ($AC_{36}$ with $A$ = K, Rb, Cs) show a surprising phase diagram, in which a high transition-temperature ($T_c$) s-wave superconducting state emerges next to a Mott insulating phase as a function of the lattice spacing. This is in contrast with the common belief that Mott physics and phonon-driven s-wave superconductivity are incompatible, raising a fundamental question on the mechanism of the high-$T_c$ superconductivity. This article reviews recent ab initio calculations, which have succeeded in reproducing comprehensively the experimental phase diagram with high accuracy and elucidated an unusual cooperation between the electron–phonon coupling and the electron–electron interactions leading to Mott localization to realize an unconventional s-wave superconductivity in the alkali-doped fullerides. A driving force behind the exotic physics is unusual intramolecular interactions, characterized by the coexistence of a strongly repulsive Coulomb interaction and a small effectively negative exchange interaction. This is realized by a subtle energy balance between the coupling with the Jahn–Teller phonons and Hund’s coupling within the $C_{60}$ molecule. The unusual form of the interaction leads to a formation of pairs of up- and down-spin electrons on the molecules, which enables the s-wave pairing. The emergent superconductivity crucially relies on the presence of the Jahn–Teller phonons, but surprisingly benefits from the strong correlations because the correlations suppress the kinetic energy of the electrons and help the formation of the electron pairs, in agreement with previous model calculations. This confirms that the alkali-doped fullerides are a new type of unconventional superconductors, where the unusual synergy between the phonons and Coulomb interactions drives the high-$T_c$ superconductivity.
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1. Introduction

The alkali-doped fullerides with the composition of $A_1C_{60}$ ($A = K, \text{Rb}, \text{Cs}$) show the highest transition temperature ($\sim 40$ K) among the molecular superconductors [1–11]. The superconductivity was first discovered in $K_2C_{60}$ by Hebard et al. in 1991 [1]. Since then, much effort has been exerted to understand the mechanism of the fascinating superconductivity [12–18]. Among various works, in this review, we mainly focus on the recent theoretical understanding on the $C_{60}$ superconductors [19–21].

1.1. Crystal structure

Figure 1 shows the crystal structure of the $A_1C_{60}$ systems. $K_2C_{60}$ and $\text{Rb}_2C_{60}$ have the face-centered-cubic (fcc) structure [22–24]. $\text{Cs}_2C_{60}$ can be synthesized into either an fcc or an A15 structure [7, 9, 10]. In the fcc structure, the buckyballs are located at the fcc positions and the alkali atoms are intercalated in between the $C_{60}$ molecules. In the A15 structure, the $C_{60}$ molecules are located on the body-centered-cubic (bcc) lattice, while a unit cell contains two $C_{60}$ molecules with different orientations. In both structures, the intercalated alkali atoms donate electrons to the fullerene bands turning the semiconducting undoped $C_{60}$ solid into a metal [25].

Figure 1. Crystal structures of (a) fcc $A_1C_{60}$ ($A = K, \text{Rb}, \text{Cs}$) and (b) A15 $\text{Cs}_2C_{60}$ drawn by VESTA [39]. The orientational disorder of the $C_{60}$ molecules (merohedral disorder) [22, 23, 40], which exists in fcc $A_1C_{60}$, is neglected in (a).

1.2. Phase diagram

Figure 2 shows the most refined experimental phase diagrams for the (a) fcc and (b) A15 structures [7–10, 26]. In both cases, the horizontal axis is labelled by the volume $V_{C_{60}}^{-3}$ occupied per $C_{60}^{-1}$ anion in the actual solid structure. In the fcc case, $V_{C_{60}}^{-3}$ is controlled by physical and/or chemical pressure, where the latter is induced by changing the alkali species, with larger ions leading to larger lattice spacing between molecules. The phase diagram for the A15 structure was obtained by applying the physical pressure to $\text{Cs}_2C_{60}$ solid [8].

Both phase diagrams show a superconducting phase with a high critical temperature, whose maximum reaches $\sim 35$ K and $\sim 38$ K for the fcc and A15 systems, respectively. Interestingly, the fcc and A15 phase diagrams share a similar shape of the $T_c$ dome as a function of $V_{C_{60}}^{-3}$ despite the lack of magnetic ordering in the Mott state for the frustrated fcc lattice. The symmetry of the superconducting order parameter was found to be of $s$-wave by various different experimental techniques [27–37] as in the whole family of alkali-doped fullerides. Also the suppression of the spin fluctuation in the superconducting state suggests a singlet pairing [38].

What makes the system more remarkable is the existence of the Mott insulating phase next to the $s$-wave superconducting phase [7–10]. This adjacency of the superconductivity and the Mott insulator is reminiscent of the cuprates [41], where the symmetry of the order parameter is parameterized to be $d$-wave [42, 43]. In fullerides, this proximity is even more surprising because the $s$-wave superconductivity is believed to be fragile to the strong correlations, as opposed to the $d$-wave symmetry.

Figure 2. Experimental phase diagram for (a) fcc $A_1C_{60}$ ($A = K, \text{Rb}, \text{Cs}$) and (b) A15 $\text{Cs}_2C_{60}$. The data points are taken from [11] and [8] for (a) and (b), respectively. SC and AFI denote the superconducting phase and the anti-ferromagnetic insulator, respectively. $T_c$ (open diamonds) and $T_N$ (open triangles) are the superconducting transition temperature and the Neél temperature, respectively. The open circles indicate the crossover between the metal and the insulator. In the panel (a), the data points for $K_2C_{60}$, $\text{Rb}_2C_{60}$, $\text{Cs}_2C_{60}$, and the fullerides with mixed alkali composition such as $\text{Rb}_2\text{Cs}_2C_{60}$ are included. In the phase diagram of fcc $A_1C_{60}$ (panel(a)), while not shown explicitly, there is a region close to the Mott insulating phase, which is dubbed ‘Jahn–Teller metal’ in [11] (see the main text for detail). The volume per $C_{60}^{-3}$ anion is given by $V_{C_{60}}^{-3} = a^3/4$ for the fcc systems and $V_{C_{60}}^{-3} = a^3/2$ for the A15 systems, where $a$ is the lattice constant.
In the Mott phase, at low temperature, the antiferromagnetism has been observed in both fcc and A15 systems [8, 10, 26]. However, the Néel temperatures $T_N$ are very different between the two systems. In the A15 systems, which are less frustrated than the fcc systems, the transition into the antiferromagnetic long range order with the wave vector $q = (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ occurs at around 46 K [44]. In the fcc case, the magnetic instability is drastically suppressed to $T_N \sim 2$ K. Even below $T_N$, the magnetism is not purely long-range ordered: a specific heat measurement suggests the coexistence of the glass-like disordered magnetism and the antiferromagnetic order below $T_N$ [45]. The suppression of magnetism and the complicated magnetic structure in the fcc systems are ascribed to the geometrical frustration and to disorder in the superexchange interactions driving the coupling between localized spins [45].

1.3. Evidences of phonons

In 1990’s (well before the discovery of the Mott phase and magnetism in Cs$_x$C$_{60}$ in 2008), the pairing mechanism had been often discussed based on the conventional phonon mechanism [14, 46–49]. Indeed, within the Migdal-Eliashberg theory [50], the theoretically and experimentally estimated electron–phonon coupling constant $\lambda \approx 0.5–1$ [46–49, 51–67] and the high phonon frequency $\sim 0.1$ eV [46–49, 51–59, 61–63, 66–72] led to the prediction of a transition temperature comparable to the experimental value under the assumption that the Coulomb repulsion is reasonably weak. The phonon mechanism seemed consistent with the experimental observation of the Hebel–Slichter peak [31, 32] and the isotope effect with the exponent $\sim 0.2–0.3$ [73, 74], too. The positive correlation between $T_c$ and the lattice constant [5, 78–81] also supported this scenario: when the lattice is expanded, the bandwidth decreases and hence the density of states (DOS) at the Fermi level increases. According to the Bardeen–Cooper–Schrieffer (BCS) theory, it leads to the increase of $T_c$ [4, 5].

1.4. Importance of electron correlations

On the other hand, the importance of the electron correlations has been argued since the early stage of the study. Auger spectroscopy measurements for the undoped C$_{60}$ solid lead to an estimate of $\sim 1.6$ eV for the effective intramolecular Coulomb interaction [82], which is bigger than the typical bandwidth of the low-energy bands $\sim 0.5$ eV. Furthermore, it has been argued that the retardation effect might be inefficient [17, 83–85]; because the electronic bands of the fullerides are distributed sparsely in energy, the density of states does not spread continuously any more [86–88] (section 3). Based on this fact, the typical electronic energy scale has been argued to be given by the bandwidth of the low-energy $t_{1u}$ bands ($\sim 0.5$ eV), which are energetically isolated from the other bands. This leads to a large Coulomb pseudopotential, which challenges the conventional pairing mechanism [85]. There are also suggestions of purely electronic mechanism based on the resonating valence bond scenario [89–91]. Several works have taken into account both the electron correlations and the electron–phonon interactions to explain the superconductivity [18, 92–96].

The discovery of the Mott insulating phase in Cs$_x$C$_{60}$ strikingly confirmed the strength and the relevance of the electron–electron correlations [7–10, 26]. Through the metal-insulator transition, there is no structural transition [7, 8, 10]. Therefore, the alkali-doped fullerenes provide a unique playground to study the $s$-wave superconductivity under the strong correlation. This discovery has triggered both the experimental and theoretical studies [11, 19–21, 26, 88, 97–112]. As a result, various unusual properties in both metallic and insulating phases have been revealed. In the Mott phase, the size of the local spin per C$_{60}$ molecule was found to be $S = 1/2$ (low-spin state) [8, 10], not $S = 3/2$ (high-spin state) expected from Hund’s rule. The analysis of the infrared (IR) spectrum revealed the presence of the dynamical Jahn–Teller distortion of the C$_{60}$ molecules [97, 98, 112]. The nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR), which probes a slower dynamics than the IR spectroscopy, observed a gradual freezing of the Jahn–Teller dynamics as the temperature decreases [99].

The metallic and superconducting states also show interesting behaviors near the metal-insulator transition. In the superconducting state, NMR measurements observed a deviation of the ratio between the gap($\Delta$) and $T_c$ ($2\Delta/k_b T_c$) from the BCS value of 3.53 to a larger value, while $2\Delta/k_b T_c \sim 3.53$ holds in the region of small $V_{C_60}$ [101, 113]. The spin susceptibility in the normal phase also shows a larger value than that expected from the smooth extrapolation from the values in the small $V_{C_60}$ region [26]. Moreover, an anomalous metallic region has been identified close to the metal-insulator boundary, which has been dubbed ‘Jahn–Teller metal’. In this state, the IR spectrum is similar to that of the Mott insulating phase [11]. This result can be interpreted in terms of a slowing down of the dynamical distortion of the C$_{60}$ molecules when $V_{C_60}$ increases. When the Mott localization is approached, the distortion timescale becomes eventually so long that the IR experiment probes the system in a distorted state on its characteristic timescale.

1.5. Aim and outline of this article

The clear fingerprints of the electron–phonon coupling in the superconducting state and the very existence of the Mott insulating state suggest the importance of considering both electron correlations and phonons for the understanding of the surprising phase diagram. In particular, it is a great challenge to understand why the $s$-wave superconductivity is robust against (or even benefits from) the strong correlations.

Another challenge is an ab initio calculation of $T_c$ of strongly-correlated unconventional superconductivity. A non-empirical calculation of $T_c$ is necessary for predicting/designing new high-temperature superconductors. Even for the conventional superconductors, the $T_c$ calculation usually relies on...
on empirical parameters such as the Coulomb pseudopotential [114]. The recent development of the density-functional theory for superconductors (SCDFT) has enabled a $T_c$ calculation without empirical parameters [115–118]. By assuming the phonon-mechanism, the SCDFT has succeeded in reproducing $T_c$ of conventional superconductors in the accuracy of several tens percent. However, $T_c$ of C$_{60}$ superconductors is largely underestimated by the phonon-mechanism-based SCDFT [59]. This is another indirect indication that the alkali-doped fullerides are unconventional superconductors. While there have been several attempts to generalize the SCDFT to include e.g. the plasmon [119–121] and the spin-fluctuation [122, 123] as a pairing glue, there exists no established method to calculate $T_c$ of unconventional superconductors in which strong-correlation effects are important.

In this review, among the various studies on the C$_{60}$ superconductors, we mainly focus on the most recent ab initio studies [19–21], which aimed at (i) the unified description of the phase diagram including the $s$-wave superconductivity and the Mott phase and (ii) the nonempirical calculation of $T_c$. The nonempirical calculations have elucidated that, in the fullerides, the phonon-mediated negative exchange interaction surpasses the positive Hund’s coupling and thereby realizes an inverted Hund’s rule, as predicted by Capone et al. [18, 93–95]. On the other hand, the intramolecular Hubbard-type interaction is strongly repulsive because the strong local Coulomb interaction far exceeds the attraction mediated by phonons. The value of this repulsion is larger than that of the $t_{1u}$ bandwidth, which brings about the Mott physics in the system.

By analyzing a realistic low-energy Hamiltonian with the above-mentioned unusual interactions, the theoretical phase diagram was derived without empirical parameters. Remarkably, it shows a good agreement with the experimental phase diagram at a quantitative level. In particular, the calculated $T_c$’s agree with the experimental data within a difference of 10 K. It indicates that the scheme employed in [19–21] properly captures the essence of the fulleride superconductivity. Based on the success of our approach, we argue that the unusual intramolecular interaction is the key to explain the phase diagram in a unified manner: it allows electrons to form a pair on the molecules in contrast to the naive expectation that the electron correlations drastically suppress the pair formation [96]. Surprisingly, the strong correlations are found to even help the formation of the pair. We show that this leads to a surprising cooperation between the phonons and Coulomb interactions to realize an exotic high-$T_c$ pairing next to the Mott phase.

The outline of this review is as follows. Throughout the review, we mainly focus on the fcc systems. In section 2, we describe the methods, which were employed in [19–21]. The methods construct, from first principles, a realistic three-band Hamiltonian with including the phonon degrees of freedom from only the information of the crystal structure. We solve it accurately by means of a many-body method. Through the derivation of the realistic Hamiltonian, we discuss the electronic structure of the fullerides (section 3) and the detail of the above-mentioned unusual intramolecular interaction (section 4). The analysis of the derived model follows in section 5, 6 and 7. First, we show the theoretical phase diagram in section 5. Then, we discuss the properties of the metal-insulator transition in section 6 and finally the superconducting mechanism in section 7. We give a summary of the review and future perspectives in section 8.

2. Methods: DFT+DMFT with including phonon degrees of freedom

In the case of the alkali-doped fullerides, an accurate description of the intramolecular correlations induced by the Coulomb interactions and the intramolecular vibrations is important for clarifying the underlying physics. The main playground of the intramolecular correlations and the intriguing low-energy phenomena is the partially-filled bands near the Fermi level. In the fullerides, the LUMO (lowest unoccupied molecular orbital) bands, the $t_{1u}$ bands, are partially filled (see section 3) and they are energetically isolated from the other bands [86–88]. Therefore, the point is how accurately we describe the intramolecular dynamics involving the $t_{1u}$ electrons and the phonons.

For this purpose, one of the most appropriate schemes would be a combination of the density-functional theory (DFT) and the dynamical mean-field theory (DMFT), so called DFT+DMFT [124]. The DMFT can accurately take into account the local dynamical correlation effect induced by the phonons as well as the Coulomb interaction, while it neglects the spatial correlation effect [124–126]. It becomes a better approximation as the spatial dimension increases. In fcc A$_n$C$_{60}$ having a frustrated lattice with the coordination number of 12, the DMFT is expected to give reliable results.

In order to make a quantitative argument, we need a realistic low-energy Hamiltonian for the fullerides to be used in the DMFT calculation. This can be done by the ab initio downfolding scheme [20, 124, 127]: it starts from the DFT band structure and constructs an effective Hamiltonian consisting of the low-energy electrons and the phonons, with including the renormalization effect of the high-energy electrons. By solving thus-constructed low-energy Hamiltonian with the DMFT, the strong-correlation effect within the partially-filled low-energy bands such as the Mott physics, which cannot be captured by the conventional DFT, is properly taken into account in an ab initio way [124, 127]. Here, we use the word ‘ab initio’ for calculations without employing empirical parameters.

In fact, in [19–21], we further extended the above DFT+DMFT scheme to perform ab initio studies on the fullerides. The outline of this generalized scheme, which explicitly considers the phonon degrees of freedom, is as follows.

(i) **Band structure calculation** (section 3): perform the DFT calculation for the alkali-doped fullerides and obtain the band structure in a global energy scale.

(ii) **Ab initio downfolding** (sections 3 and 4): construct a realistic Hamiltonian for the fullerides. The Hamiltonian is defined for the low-energy $t_{1u}$ electrons and the phonons, and is comprised of the electron hopping $\hat{H}_{el}$, Coulomb interaction $\hat{H}_{el-el}$, electron–phonon coupling $\hat{H}_{el-ph}$, and phonon one-body $\hat{H}_{ph}$ terms:
3. Electronic structure of the fullerides

Here, we discuss the electronic structure of the fcc \(A_3C_{60}\) systems. Since \(A_3C_{60}\) is a molecular solid, the molecular limit is a good starting point for understanding its electronic structure [86, 134] and we expect the bands to arise from the overlap of molecular orbitals. In figure 3(a), we show a schematic picture of the molecular-orbital levels. Because of the high symmetry of the \(C_{60}\) molecule, molecular orbitals often have degeneracy. For example, the HOMO orbitals are fivefold degenerate, and the LUMO and LUMO+1 orbitals are three-fold degenerate. According to their symmetries, these orbitals are called \(h_{\sigma}, t_{1u}, \) and \(t_{1g}\) orbitals, respectively.

Red curves in figure 3(b) show the calculated band structure for fcc \(CsC_{60}\) with \(V_{el} = 762 \text{ Å}^3\), where we have neglected the disorder in the orientations of the \(C_{60}\) molecules (throughout the paper, we neglect any disorder\(^8\)). In solids, the molecular-orbital levels acquire a finite but narrow bandwidth due to the small hoppings between the molecular orbitals [134]. Because of the narrow bandwidth, each set of bands originating from degenerate molecular orbitals is usually separated from the other molecular bands in energy. The intercalated alkali atoms donate electrons into the molecules, hence the LUMO \(t_{1u}\) orbitals become half-filled (3 electrons in 3 orbitals). The doping has little effect on the dispersion of the LUMO bands (i.e. nearly rigid band shift). The \(t_{1u}\) bandwidth can be controlled by applying either chemical or physical pressures and hence changing the lattice constant. Figure 4 shows the DFT density of states of states for the \(t_{1u}\) bands in five different fcc \(A_3C_{60}\) systems. Adapted with permission from Nomura et al [21]. Copyright 2012 by American Physical Society.

\[ \hat{H} = \hat{H}_{el} + \hat{H}_{el-el} + \hat{H}_{el-\text{ph}} + \hat{H}_{\text{ph}}. \]  

(1)

All the parameters in the Hamiltonian are derived by the \textit{ab initio} downfolding scheme.

(iii) Analysis of the Hamiltonian (sections 5, 6 and 7): solve the realistic low-energy Hamiltonian in equation (1) by the extended DMFT (E-DMFT) [128–133] to reveal the exotic physics beneath the phase diagram. The E-DMFT takes into account the dynamical screening effect of the non-local (intermolecular in the case of the fullerides) Coulomb interactions, on top of the correlations incorporated by the DMFT\(^7\).

With this three-step scheme, the purely theoretical phase diagrams for the fcc system was obtained by using only the information of the crystal structure [19]. We found that the theoretical phase diagram agrees well with the experimental phase diagram in figure 2(a) even quantitatively (section 5). The quantitative agreement allows us to make a conclusive remark on the mechanism of the high-\(T_c\) s-wave superconductivity.

In the following, we clarify the basic electronic and phonon properties of the fullerides by looking at the realistic parameters in the low-energy Hamiltonian in sections 3 and 4 (the above-described steps (i) and (ii)). Then, we move on to the analysis of the realistic Hamiltonian by the E-DMFT in sections 5, 6 and 7 (the step (iii)).

\(^7\)We note that the self-energy is still momentum independent within the E-DMFT.

\(^8\)There are several works which study the effect of the merohedral disorder [22, 23, 40] on the electronic structure [135–137].
for the electron characterized by the composite index $i$ and the spin $\sigma$. Since the three orbitals are degenerate, the double counting correction needed in the DFT+DMFT scheme becomes a constant shift for all the orbitals, which can be absorbed in the chemical potential. The blue-dotted curves in figure 3(b) are the dispersion of the $t_{1u}$ bands calculated from $\hat{H}_{el}$, which reproduces the DFT dispersion. It means that the one-body part $\hat{H}_{el}$ well describes the realistic hopping structure in the fullerides.

4. Unusual intramolecular interactions

Next, we turn to the effective interaction between the electrons, which is to be used in the E-DMFT calculation. This is a very important quantity because the intramolecular interaction dominates the local dynamics of the electrons. The effective interaction is given by the sum of the repulsive Coulomb and attractive phonon-mediated interactions (see figure 6 for a schematic picture). The former and the latter are calculated by the constrained random phase approximation (cRPA) [141], and the constrained density-functional perturbation theory (cDFPT) [20, 142], respectively. Here, it will be worth emphasizing that the fullerides are multi-orbital systems, which accommodate various types of interactions including density-density type interactions such as the Hubbard $U$, and the non-density type interactions such as the pair-hopping and spin-flip interactions (figure 7).

In the following, we will show that the fullerides are strongly correlated materials because the intramolecular Hubbard interaction ($\sim$1 eV) is larger than the low-energy $t_{1u}$ bandwidth ($\sim$0.5 eV) [21]. On the other hand, Hund’s coupling is found to be very small ($\sim$34 meV) [21]. This small positive exchange interaction is overcome by a negative contribution from the coupling with the Jahn–Teller phonons, which leads to an effectively negative total exchange interaction [19, 20], as anticipated on the basis of more phenomenological estimates [18, 94]. In this section, we start from the Coulomb contribution to the effective interaction in section 4.1. Then, in section 4.2, we discuss the phonon contribution. Finally, we investigate the total effective interaction in section 4.3.

4.1. Coulomb interactions

4.1.1. Formulation. Using the Wannier basis, we define the Coulomb interaction part

$$\hat{H}_{el} = \sum_{l,m} V_{lm} \hat{c}^\dagger_{l \sigma} \hat{c}_{m \sigma}$$

in the low-energy Hamiltonian in equation (1). The Coulomb interaction parameters $V_{lm}$ are calculated by the cRPA [141]. The cRPA provides partially screened Coulomb interactions which are screened only by the polarization processes involving the high-energy bands (the bands other than the $t_{1u}$ bands). The partially screened interactions can be considered as effective Coulomb interactions within the $t_{1u}$ manifold [141]. Because the screening processes within the low-energy subspace are considered by the E-DMFT, they are excluded in the cRPA calculation to avoid the double counting of them.

4.1.2. Results. Table 1 lists the cRPA interaction parameters. The intramolecular Hubbard $U$ is about 1 eV, which is larger than the $t_{1u}$ bandwidth $W$. Since the ratio $U/W$ exceeds 1, the alkali-doped fullerides can be regarded as strongly-correlated materials. The previous estimates of $U$ in the literature give $U \sim$ 1–1.5 eV [82, 143–145]. Compared to them, the cRPA values are slightly small. Despite that the size of the maximally
Table 1. The cRPA interaction parameters taken from [21].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>$U$ (eV)</th>
<th>$U'$ (eV)</th>
<th>$J$ (meV)</th>
<th>$V$ (eV)</th>
<th>$W$ (eV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K$<em>2$C$</em>{60}$</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>0.24–0.25</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rb$<em>2$C$</em>{60}$</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>0.26–0.27</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cs$<em>2$C$</em>{60}$</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0.27–0.28</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cs$<em>2$C$</em>{70}$</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0.28–0.29</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cs$<em>2$C$</em>{80}$</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The values in the parentheses after the material denote $V_{cci}$ in A$^3$. $U$, $U'$, and $J$ are intramolecular interactions (see figure 7 for their definition), for which the relation $U' \sim U - 2J$ holds well. There is no orbital dependence in $U$, $U'$, and $J$. $V$ is the nearest neighbor intermolecular interaction. For comparison, the DFT bandwidth $W$ of the $t_{uu}$ band is also listed.

localized Wannier orbitals depends on materials only weakly [21], the material dependence in the Hubbard $U$ is nonnegligible. This is because the screening strength by the high-energy bands depends on materials [21]. While $U$ is larger than $W$, Hund’s coupling $J$ is small $\sim 34$ meV. This is explicable by the fact that the Wannier orbitals spread over the molecules, which makes the exchange Coulomb matrix element small.

The cRPA Coulomb interactions have a long-range tail proportional to $1/r$ with respect to the distance $r$ between the centers of the Wannier orbitals [21], whose nearest-neighbor part $V$ is $\sim 0.25–0.3$ eV. The offsite Coulomb interactions effectively reduce the size of the onsite Coulomb interaction [146]. This effect is taken into account by the E-DMFT. While the offsite Coulomb interactions quantitatively shift the phase boundaries between e.g. the metal and the insulator, they do not play an essential role in driving the superconductivity. We find that it is the form of onsite (intramolecular) interactions that is important. In appendix, we discuss this point in more detail.

4.2. Phonon-mediated interactions

4.2.1. Formulation. The electron–phonon coupling $\hat{H}_{el-ph}$ and phonon one-body $\hat{H}_{ph}$ terms in the low-energy Hamiltonian in equation (1) are written as

$$\hat{H}_{el-ph} = \sum_{i,j,\sigma,\nu} g_{ij}^{\nu} (\hat{c}_{ij,\sigma}^{\nu} \hat{c}_{ij,\sigma}^{\nu} - \langle \hat{c}_{ij,\sigma}^{\nu} \hat{c}_{ij,\sigma}^{\nu} \rangle) \hat{\delta}_{\nu},$$

(4)

with the displacement operator $\hat{\delta}_{\nu} = \hat{b}_{\nu}^\dagger + \hat{b}_{\nu}$, and

$$\hat{H}_{ph} = \sum_{\nu} \omega_{\nu} \hat{b}_{\nu} \hat{b}_{\nu},$$

(5)

respectively. Here, $\hat{b}_{\nu}^\dagger (\hat{b}_{\nu})$ is a creation (annihilation) operator of the phonon and $\nu$ is a composite index for the momentum and the branch. In solids, the electron–phonon coupling $g_{ij}^{\nu}$ and the phonon frequency $\omega_{\nu}$ are subject to renormalization by the electrons: the electron–phonon coupling is screened by the electronic polarization; the phonons are dressed by electrons, which results in phonon softening. As in the case of the Coulomb interaction parameters in equation (3), in order to avoid the double counting of the renormalization, $g_{ij}^{\nu}$ and $\omega_{\nu}$ in the effective low-energy Hamiltonian should not include the renormalization effect originating from the low-energy electrons [20, 142, 147]. The recently developed cDFPT [20, 142] calculates such partially-renormalized phonon quantities by taking into account only the renormalization processes involving the high-energy electrons. It is an extension of the DFPT [148–151], which is a well-established ab initio scheme to calculate the phonon properties in solids. The expectation value $\langle \hat{c}_{\alpha,\nu}^{\dagger} \hat{c}_{\beta,\nu} \rangle$ in equation (4) is calculated at the DFT level, where the subtraction of $\langle \hat{c}_{\alpha,\nu}^{\dagger} \hat{c}_{\beta,\nu} \rangle$ corresponds to the double-counting correction with respect to the equilibrium positions of the ions [20].

In the action corresponding to the low-energy Hamiltonian in equation (1), the phonon fields are at most quadratic. Therefore, we can analytically integrate out the phonon degrees of freedom without introducing any approximation, which leads to an effective action involving only the electronic degrees of freedom. In this effective action, the effective interaction between the electrons is given by the sum of the Coulomb interactions and the retarded phonon-mediated interactions (figure 6). This retarded phonon-mediated interactions $V_{ij,kl}^{ph}(i\Omega_n)$ at the Matsubara frequencies ($\Omega_n = 2\pi n T$ with the temperature $T$) are given by [20, 142]

$$V_{ij,kl}^{ph}(i\Omega_n) = -\sum_{\nu} 2 g_{ij,kl}^{\nu} \delta_{\nu,\Omega_n} e^{i\Omega_n \omega_{\nu}}.$$  

(6)

An important point here is that the phonon-mediated interaction is given by the sum over the phonon modes. We do not assume any particular type of the vibration modes a priori to study the superconductivity. We call the onsite (intramolecular) part of these interactions $U_{ph,onsite} = V_{alpha,\alpha \beta}^{ph,onsite}$, $V_{alpha,\alpha \beta}^{ph,onsite}$, and $J_{ph} = V_{alpha,\alpha \beta}^{ph,onsite}$, respectively, with the orbital indices $\alpha$ and $\beta$.

4.2.2. Electron-phonon coupling. Among 189 ($= 63 \times 3$) phonon branches, it has been argued that the intramolecular lattice vibrations mainly contribute to the total electron–phonon coupling [14, 46, 47]. The couplings between the $t_{1u}$ electrons and the other phonon modes such as the intermolecular, alkali-ion, and libration modes have been argued to be small compared to the intramolecular contribution [14, 53, 152–156]. In the molecular limit, by using the group theory, it can be shown that the intramolecular vibrations which couple to $t_{1u}$ electrons are limited to the $A_g$ and $H_g$ modes (see e.g. [157] for a more detailed discussion). The $H_g$ vibrations are the Jahn–Teller modes, which induce a split of the $t_{1u}$ energy levels, while they do not change the center of the levels [46, 158, 159]. On the other hand, the $A_g$ modes are not of the Jahn–Teller type. They couple to the total $t_{1u}$ occupations on the molecule, i.e. they shift the energy levels of each $t_{1u}$ orbital equally. While the Jahn–Teller modes, which have off-diagonal coupling with respect to the orbital, contribute to $J_{ph}$, the non-Jahn–Teller modes, such as the $A_g$ modes, do not. We can show that, in the molecular limit, the $H_g$ modes give the intramolecular electron–electron interaction with the
4.2.3. Phonon frequencies. Here, we look at the partially-dressed phonon frequencies needed to calculate the phonon-mediated interaction in equation (6). Figure 8 shows the partially-dressed phonon frequencies (red curves) calculated by the cDFPT for the frequency range from 1100 to 1400 cm$^{-1}$. In the cDFPT calculation, the renormalization of the phonon frequencies coming from the $t_{1u}$ electrons is excluded. These partially-dressed frequencies are defined in the low-energy Hamiltonian. Thus, they cannot be directly compared to the experimental data. To compare with experiments, we need to solve the low-energy Hamiltonian to obtain fully-dressed phonon frequencies needed to calculate the phonon-electron interactions.

In figure 8, for comparison, we also show the fully-dressed phonon frequencies (blue-dotted curves) calculated by the conventional DFT, where the renormalization effect of the $t_{1u}$ electrons is further incorporated within the DFT framework. The dispersion of both the partially and fully dressed frequencies is tiny, which reflects the intramolecular nature of the modes (almost perfectly described as the Einstein phonons). We see that the red curves agree with the blue-dotted curves for the majority of the bands, and that the main difference is in the bands around the frequency of 0.14 and 0.16 eV. These two correspond to the two $H_g$ modes out of the eight $H_g$ modes. Because of the cubic symmetry, the frequencies of the $H_g$ modes, which are fivefold degenerate in the molecular limit, are split into threefold- and twofold-degenerate frequencies. The $A_g$ modes are located at the energies beyond the range of figure 8. Experimentally, the two $A_g$ modes are observed at 496 and 1470 cm$^{-1}$ for undoped CsC$_{60}$ [68].

The difference between the partially- and fully-dressed phonon frequencies in figure 8 originates from the renormalization effect of the $t_{1u}$ electrons. Therefore, the frequencies of the $H_g$ modes, which couple to the $t_{1u}$ electrons, are further renormalized from the partially-dressed frequencies in the red curves, while the other modes are not.$^9$

Because the C-C bonds are rather stiff and the mass of C atom is light, the maximum frequency of the intramolecular phonons can be rather large, reaching about 0.2 eV, which is comparable to the $t_{1u}$ bandwidth $\sim 0.5$ eV. It indicates that we cannot ignore the vertex corrections, i.e. the Migdal theorem [160] does not hold any more. The low-energy vertex corrections within a molecule can be captured by the E-DMFT, while the nonlocal vertex corrections are not.

4.2.4. Results. Table 2 shows the phonon-mediated interactions at zero frequency (Ω = 0) calculated with the partially-renormalized phonon frequencies and electron–phonon interactions [19, 20]. The frequency dependence of these interactions is discussed in section 4.3. The value of the intraorbital interaction $U_{ph}(0)$ lies between $-0.15$ eV and $-0.1$ eV, which are small compared to the Hubbard repulsion $U$. On the other hand, an unusual situation is realized in the exchange channel: the phonon-mediated exchange interaction $I_{ph}(0)$ is about $-51$ meV, and its absolute value is larger than the value of Hund’s coupling $J \sim 34$ meV. As discussed in section 4.2.2, only the Jahn–Teller phonons contribute to $I_{ph}(0)$. Thus, this means that the Jahn–Teller phonons surpass the Coulomb exchange interactions and realize an effectively negative exchange interaction. However, the size of this negative exchange interaction ($\sim -17$ meV) is small.

Figure 9 summarizes the $V_{C_{60}}$ dependence of the Coulomb interactions and the phonon-mediated interactions. As $V_{C_{60}}$ increases, the Hubbard $U$ increases, while the DFT $t_{1u}$ bandwidth $W$ decreases. Thus, the change in $U/W$ is steeper than that expected from the change in the bandwidth. Hund’s coupling $J$ and the phonon-mediated exchange interaction $I_{ph}(0)$ are almost constant throughout the $V_{C_{60}}$ range. In figure 9, only the phonon attraction $U_{ph}(0)$ has nonmonotonic $V_{C_{60}}$ dependence. This can be ascribed to the contribution from the alkali-ion vibrations [20]. We find that the contribution from the intramolecular modes is nearly $V_{C_{60}}$-independent.

$^9$In figure 8, the difference between the partially and fully-dressed phonon frequencies is small. This is because the electron–phonon coupling constant $\lambda$ between the $t_{1u}$ electrons and each individual mode is small [20], while the sum of the contribution from all the modes becomes substantial.
4.3. Effective interaction between electrons: repulsive Hubbard and negative exchange interactions

Figure 10 shows the real-frequency dependence of the effective interactions between the $t_{1u}$ electrons, which are given by the sum of the Coulomb and the retarded phonon-mediated interactions. In the frequency region below 0.2 eV, the attraction from the phonons work since the frequencies of the intramolecular phonons exist up to $\sim$0.2 eV. Several peak-like structures reflect that several phonon modes with different frequencies contribute to the effective interactions. By the phonon contribution, the effective exchange interaction $J_{\text{eff}}(\omega)$ becomes negative. On the other hand, the density-type interactions $U_{\text{eff}}(\omega)$ and $U_{\text{eff}}'(\omega)$ are strongly repulsive. However, in the region where $J_{\text{eff}}(\omega)$ becomes negative, $U_{\text{eff}}'(\omega)$ becomes slightly larger than $U_{\text{eff}}(\omega)$ because of the relation $U_{\text{eff}}'(\omega) \sim U_{\text{eff}}(\omega) - 2J_{\text{eff}}(\omega)$. In the following sections, we discuss the surprising consequence of this unusual relationship between the interactions $U_{\text{eff}}' > U_{\text{eff}}$ and $J_{\text{eff}} < 0$.

5. Theoretical calculation of phase diagram and $T_c$ from first principles

5.1. Theoretical phase diagram

By solving the Hamiltonian in equation (1) by the E-DMFT, we derive the theoretical phase diagram [19], which is shown in figure 11. Here we study superconductivity by directly allowing the symmetry breaking in the E-DMFT calculation. Within the single-site E-DMFT calculation for the $A_3C_{60}$ lattice (non-bipartite lattice), we also allow for ferro-orbital/magnetic order, while we do not consider a possibility of the antiferromagnetic order, which, in the actual $A_3C_{60}$ lattice, is observed.
only at very low temperature, significantly smaller than the lowest temperature (10 K) we used in our E-DMFT.

As a result, we obtain three different phases: the paramagnetic metal, the paramagnetic Mott insulator, and the s-wave superconducting phase. The s-wave superconductivity is characterized by a nonzero superconducting order parameter $\Delta = \sum_\alpha \langle c_{\alpha s} c_{\alpha u} \rangle$, which describes intraorbital Cooper pairs for the $t_{1u}$ electrons. Here, $\alpha$ and $s$ are the orbital and site (= molecule) indices, respectively. We omitted a site (= molecule) index in $\Delta$, because $\Delta$ does not depend on a site (the solution is homogenous in space). In the Mott insulating phase, the self-energy diverges and hence the Mott gap opens in the spectral function (the blue-dotted curve in figure 12). Throughout the phase diagram above 10 K, a solution with a ferro-orbital order is not stabilized. In the region between the blue curve and the black dotted curve in figure 11, both metallic and insulating solutions can be stabilized depending on the initial conditions of the E-DMFT calculations. This suggests that a first-order transition, where the global minimum of the free energy changes from the metallic to insulating solution, should take place between these two curves. According to general entropic arguments, we expect the transition to be close to the blue curve [164].

### 5.2. Comparison between theory and experiment

Comparing the theoretical phase diagram in figure 11 with the experimental one in figure 2(a), we find a good agreement between them. The theoretical phase diagram reproduces the s-wave superconductivity next to the Mott phase. Theoretically calculated $T_c$'s with the maximum of $\sim 28$ K agree with the experimental $T_c$'s within 10 K difference. This agreement is remarkable since our $T_c$ calculation does not rely on any empirical parameters: it starts from the DFT calculation using only the information of the crystal structure and all the parameters used in the E-DMFT analysis are calculated from first principles.

Furthermore, the slope between the paramagnetic metal and insulator is consistent between the theory and experiment. As temperature increases, the insulating region expands, which indicates that the insulator has a larger entropy than the metal. The position of the metal-insulator boundary is also consistent. It is known that the DMFT often overestimates the stability of the metallic phase [165, 166] because it neglects non-local correlation effects and it becomes exact only in the limit of large coordination number. However, in the present case, the large coordination number (12) of the fcc lattice makes the DMFT reliable [167]. Furthermore, the $V_{\text{cs}}$ dependence of the $U/W$ ratio is rather steep so that even if the critical $U/W$ for the metal-insulator transition changes, it does not lead to a drastic change in the critical $V_{\text{cs}}$. We think that the above two factors lie behind the nice quantitative agreement between the theory and experiment as far as the metal-insulator boundary is concerned.

While we see a good agreement, there are minor discrepancies between the theory and experiment. For example, the metal-insulator boundary looks like a crossover in the experiment, while the theory shows a clear first order transition. While the reason of the discrepancy is not yet clear, one of the possible reasons is the disorder in the orientations of the buckyballs [22, 23, 40], which is neglected in the calculation. Another discrepancy can be seen in the shape of the $T_c$ curves. In the experiment, the $T_c$ curve shows a dome-like shape, while the theoretical $T_c$ curve increases toward the Mott transition. We come back to this point in section 7.

### 6. Property of metal-insulator transition

To gain insight into the superconducting mechanism, we first look at the property of the metal-insulator transition at 40 K.

#### 6.1. Single-particle spectral function

Figure 12 shows the spectral functions of the $t_{1u}$ bands for three different $A_3C_{60}$ systems, derived by the analytic continuation based on the maximum entropy method [168, 169]. The DFT density of states for $K_aC_{60}$ with $V_{\text{cs}} = 722 \, \text{Å}^3$ is also shown for comparison. In the metallic phase (red and green curves), the $V_{\text{cs}}$ increases, i.e. as the correlation strength increases, the width of the quasiparticle part becomes narrower and the incoherent peaks become more prominent. We note that the position of the incoherent part and the renormalization factor of the quasiparticle band for $K_aC_{60}$ are consistent with the ARPES (angle-resolved photo-emission spectroscopy) measurements for the $K_aC_{60}$ monolayer [170].

#### 6.2. Unusual behaviors across the Mott transition

Figure 13(a) shows the dependence of several observables on $V_{\text{cs}}$ calculated at 40 K [19]. Here, we focus on the metallic solution in the coexistence region (the region delimited by the blue and black-dotted curves in figure 11). The blue
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\[ \text{Figure 12. Density of states for three different fcc A}_3\text{C}_{60} \text{ systems, obtained by the E-DMFT calculations at 40 K and the subsequent analytic continuation. For comparison, the DFT density of states for K}_3\text{C}_{60} \text{ with V}_{\text{cs}} = 722 \, \text{Å}^3 \text{ is shown as the shaded area. Adapted from Nomura et al} [19].} \]
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on each molecule increases toward the Mott transition. In the insulating phase, the value of $S$ becomes $\sim 0.5$, i.e. the low-spin state with $S = 1/2$ is realized. This is because an effectively negative exchange interaction is realized (section 4.3), which favors the low-spin state rather than the high-spin state.

Another interesting observation is that the double occupancy $D = \langle n_{\alpha} n_{\alpha} \rangle$ on each molecule increases toward the Mott transition. This is in contrast with the intuition and the behavior of the single-band Hubbard model and of multiorbital models with the standard exchange interaction, where $D$ is suppressed by the repulsive Hubbard $U$. While the fullerides also have a strongly repulsive Hubbard $U$, the interorbital repulsion $U'$ is effectively larger than the Hubbard $U$ (section 4.3). Therefore the electrons (3 electrons per molecule on average) prefer to sit in the same orbital despite they pay an energy cost of $U$ [96], which is clearly seen in the inequality $\langle n_{\alpha} n_{\alpha} \rangle > \langle n_{\alpha} n_{\beta} \rangle$ in figure 13(a).

We can gain more insight by looking at the histogram of the weight of the intramolecular electronic configurations (figure 13(b)). The histogram shows which intramolecular configurations are 0.1875, 0.125, and 0.6875, respectively. In the presence of correlation effects, the (2 1 0) configurations acquire the largest weight. This is again because of the unusual molecular interactions with $U_{\text{eff}} > U_{\text{eff}}$ and $J_{\text{eff}} < 0$, which prefer the (2 1 0)-type configurations to the other configurations. The increase of the weight of the (2 1 0) configurations explains the increase of $D$ and the decrease of $S$ with the increase of $V_{C_{60}}$

In the metallic phase, however, there exist charge fluctuations because the non-half-filled ($N = 3$) configurations have a nonnegligible weight. The non-half-filled ($N = 3$) configurations gradually lose their weight toward the Mott transition. In the Mott insulating phase, we see that $N = 3$ weight becomes tiny. It indicates that the charge degrees of freedom are frozen and the filling on each molecule is nearly fixed at half filling, which is nothing but the Mott physics induced by the strongly repulsive $U_{\text{eff}}$ [18, 94].

However, the orbital and spin degrees of freedom are active even when the charge degrees of freedom are frozen and the balance of the interaction favors low-spin configurations [94]. As we discussed in section 5.1, the Mott insulating phase has no ferro-orbital/spin order. Thus, all the (2 1 0) configurations, which are dominant in the Mott phase, are degenerate, offering a room for the orbital and spin fluctuations [18, 94]. The absence of the orbital order is consistent with the experimentally observed dynamical Jahn–Teller effect [97, 98]: each (2 1 0) configuration can be regarded as a snapshot state.

![Figure 13](image-url)

**Figure 13.** (a) $V_{C_{60}}$ dependence of the size of spin $S$ per molecule, the double occupancy $D = \langle n_{\alpha} n_{\alpha} \rangle$ on each molecule, and the intramolecular interorbital different-spin correlation function $\langle n_{\alpha} n_{\beta} \rangle$. $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are the orbital indices and we omitted the site (= molecule) index for simplicity. (b) $V_{C_{60}}$ dependence of weights of intramolecular electronic configurations. We also show schematic pictures for the (2 1 0) and (1 1 1) configurations. Each (2 1 0) configuration, for example a configuration with $\{n_1, n_2, n_3\} = \{2, 1, 0\}$, represents a snapshot of the intramolecular dynamics under the dynamical Jahn–Teller effect. When we consider a long-time average, the orbital degeneracy is maintained because all the (2 1 0) configurations $\{n_1, n_2, n_3\} = \{2, 1, 0\}, \{0, 2, 1\}, \{1, 0, 2\}, \{2, 0, 1\}, \{1, 2, 0\}, \{0, 1, 2\}.$ Each (2 1 0) configuration, for example a configuration with $\{n_1, n_2, n_3\} = \{2, 1, 0\}$, represents a snapshot of the intramolecular dynamics under the dynamical Jahn–Teller effect. When we consider a long-time average, the orbital degeneracy is maintained because all the (2 1 0) configurations $\{n_1, n_2, n_3\} = \{2, 1, 0\}, \{0, 2, 1\}, \{1, 0, 2\}, \{2, 0, 1\}, \{1, 2, 0\}, \{0, 1, 2\}.$
where the orbital degeneracy is dynamically lifted, however, if we take a long-time average, the orbital degeneracy is recovered. We note that the orbital degeneracy plays a role of increasing the critical $U$ for the Mott transition [173, 174], with stabilizing the metallic/superconducting state against the Mott phase.

7. Superconducting mechanism

Finally, we discuss the superconducting mechanism [19]. In order to identify how the different interaction terms give rise to the superconducting state, we check the stability of our superconducting solutions derived from the low-energy Hamiltonian in equation (1) against the change in the parameters of the Hamiltonian. The results are reported in table 3. We see that the superconducting solution becomes unstable when the pair-hopping interaction becomes zero or $U_{\text{eff}}$ becomes larger than $U_{\text{eff}}$, while the spin-flip term can be set to zero without destroying superconductivity. This suggests that the pair-hopping interaction and the relation $U_{\text{eff}} > U_{\text{eff}}$ are essential to the superconductivity.

As discussed in section 6.2, $U_{\text{eff}} > U_{\text{eff}}$ and associated $J_{\text{eff}} < 0$ favor the (2 1 0) low-spin configurations. Accordingly, the pairs of the up- and down-spin electrons with the same orbital character reside on the same molecules, which enables the system to form an $s$-wave order parameter with a local pairing amplitude even in the presence of the strong local Coulomb repulsion.

A crucial point is that the formation of the electron pairs is not simply compatible with the strong local repulsion, but it is actually assisted and favored by the strong correlations [94] in the present multiorbital system. Since the difference between $U_{\text{eff}}$ and $U_{\text{eff}}$, or in other words, the size of negative $J_{\text{eff}}$ ($\sim -17$ meV) is small compared to the typical kinetic energy scale $\sim 0.5$ eV, in the weakly correlated regime, the effect of $J_{\text{eff}}$ is small [18]. However, the strongly repulsive $U_{\text{eff}}$ suppresses the kinetic energy of the electrons, driving the system into a regime where the negative $J_{\text{eff}}$ is effectively stronger. Therefore when the repulsion is increased, the negative $J_{\text{eff}}$ is more effective in forming local pairs, which can lead to an enhancement of superconductivity. This is made possible by the fact that the pairing acts in the spin-orbital channel, which remains active even in the presence of the strong correlation [94].

The pair-hopping interaction, whose amplitude is again given by $J_{\text{eff}}$, is also important, as discussed in several previous works [18, 51, 94, 95, 175, 176]. The generated intraorbital pairs can tunnel into another orbital through the pair-hopping process, which also enhances the superconductivity (the Suhl-Kondo mechanism [177, 178]). In principle, the enhancement of the superconductivity by the Suhl-Kondo mechanism occurs irrespective of the sign of the pair-hopping interactions. However, in the present three-orbital system, the negative pair-hopping enhances the superconductivity more efficiently than the positive one. This is because the former prefers the gap function with the same amplitude and sign for every orbital, while the latter favors the sign change in the gap function, generating a frustration.

With the above considerations, we conclude that the crucial factors for the $s$-wave superconductivity in the alkali-doped fullerides are [19]

- the formation of the intraorbital electron pairs on molecules induced by the unusual intramolecular interactions with $U_{\text{eff}} > U_{\text{eff}}$, which becomes more efficient under the strong correlation

and

- the interorbital tunneling of the electron pairs through the pair-hopping interaction.

Since the unusual relations $J_{\text{eff}} < 0$ and $U_{\text{eff}} > U_{\text{eff}}$ are a consequence of the coupling of the electrons with the Jahn–Teller phonons, we confirm that the phonons are necessary to drive the $s$-wave superconductivity in these materials. However, thanks to the unusual form of the multi-orbital interactions, the strong correlations surprisingly cooperate with the phonons by assisting and favoring the formation of the intraorbital electron pairs. This fact marks a sharp contrast with the conventional phonon mechanism, where the phonons and the Coulomb interactions compete with each other, especially when both interactions are local and for half-filled bands [179].

The picture emerging from our fully ab-initio theory is consistent with the previous model studies on the negative-$J$ multiorbital Hubbard model [18, 93–95], where $J$ in this model can be considered as $J_{\text{eff}}$ in the ab initio low-energy Hamiltonian. In these studies it was argued that when the size of the negative $J$ is small, a strongly-correlated superconductivity emerges in the vicinity of the Mott transition: it benefits from the strong correlation and is distinct from the BCS-type superconductivity. Close to the Mott transition, the charge fluctuations are suppressed by the strong Hubbard interaction and the quasiparticle bandwidth is renormalized as $ZW$ with $Z \ll 1$ being the quasiparticle weight. Accordingly, the quasiparticles effectively feel renormalized Hubbard interaction $ZU$. On the other hand, $J$ works on the spin and orbital degrees of freedom, which are still active even when the charge degrees of freedom are frozen (section 6.2). Since $J$ does not directly see a freezing of the charge degrees of freedom, $J$ is not renormalized by the correlation. As a result, the heavy quasiparticles feel the renormalized repulsion $ZU$ and the unrenormalized attraction $J$. This is why the strong
correlation helps the \( s \)-wave superconductivity: it strongly reduces the residual repulsive interaction between the heavy quasiparticles, but it does not affect the small bare attractive interaction arising from electron–phonon coupling. Therefore sufficiently close to the Mott transition, the overall effective interaction turns into an attraction.

Finally, we discuss the origin of the dome shaped \( T_c \) in the experimental phase diagram, which is not seen in our theoretical result: the calculation gives an increase of the critical temperature as the transition to the Mott insulator is approached. As shown in the study on the negative-\( J \) multi-orbital Hubbard model \([18, 93–95]\), the superconductivity is eventually suppressed toward the Mott transition when \( Z \) becomes tiny. However, in the calculation for the realistic Hamiltonian at finite temperatures, the transition to the Mott insulating state is of first order and the \( T_c \) curve is cut before we see a downturn of it. Indeed at \( T = 10 \) K, we observe a dome shape in the superconducting order parameter \( \Delta \) if we follow the superconducting solution \( (\Delta = 0.028, 0.031, 0.033, \text{and } 0.029 \text{ at } 10 \text{ K for } V_{\text{C}_{60}^\text{m}} = 762.2, 767.7, 773.3, \text{and } 778.9 \text{ Å}^3, \text{respectively}) \). It indicates that the \( T_c \) dome is hidden by the insulating phase in the current calculation. Other factors, which are not considered in the calculation such as the effect of the merohedral disorder \([22, 23, 40]\) and the non-local fluctuations, might also play a role in the shape of the experimental \( T_c \) curve, which remain to be investigated.

8. Conclusion and future perspective

8.1. Summary of the review

We have reviewed the properties of alkali-doped fulleride superconductivity, starting from the basic electronic structure of the fcc \( \text{A}_{5}\text{C}_{60} \) systems. The band structure of \( \text{A}_{5}\text{C}_{60} \) fullerenes is well described by a picture in terms of molecular orbital levels connected by relatively small hoppings between them. The half-filled low-energy \( t_{1u} \) bands are the main playground for the superconductivity.

We have elucidated that the effective intramolecular interactions between the \( t_{1u} \) electrons, which arise from the combination of the Coulomb repulsion and electron–phonon interactions, have an unusual structure characterized by a strongly repulsive Hubbard repulsion and a weakly negative exchange interaction, which leads to an inverted Hund’s coupling. This unusual situation occurs since the fullerenes are the degenerate multi-orbital systems having tiny Hund’s coupling and strong coupling to Jahn–Teller modes.

The realistic Hamiltonian with this form of the intramolecular interaction comprehensively reproduces the experimental phase diagram including the adjacency of the Mott insulator and the \( s \)-wave superconductivity. Remarkably, the agreement is not only qualitative but also quantitative; the theoretically calculated \( T_c \) using only the information of the crystal structure, agrees with the experimental \( T_c \) within a difference of 10 K. The derived Mott insulating phase is characterized by a low-spin \( (S = \frac{1}{2}) \) state with orbital degeneracy, completely consistent with the experimental observations.

The analysis on the superconducting mechanism reveals that the high-\( T_c \) \( s \)-wave superconductivity is driven by an unusual cooperation between the strong correlations and the Jahn–Teller phonons. This confirms that the mechanism of the fulleride superconductivity is indeed unconventional and requires strong correlation effects, despite the crucial role of the electron–phonon interaction and the \( s \)-wave symmetry of the order parameter.

8.2. Future perspective

8.2.1. Study on \( \text{A}_{15}\text{C}_{60} \). In this review, we have not discussed the \( \text{A}_{15} \) systems and have focused on the fcc systems. Through the calculations for the fcc systems, we have found that the dynamics of the electrons within the molecule with unusual form of the interactions is the most important factor to drive the \( s \)-wave superconductivity. The building block of the \( \text{A}_{15} \) systems is the same \( \text{C}_{60} \) molecules as that in the fcc systems. Then, as far as an effectively negative exchange interaction is realized on each molecule, the intraorbital pair-formation and the Suhl-Kondo mechanism, which are related to intramolecular dynamics, will work irrespective of the lattice structure. This explains naturally why the superconducting properties are similar between the \( \text{A}_{15} \) and fcc systems in experiment. On the other hand, the antiferromagnetism will be strongly affected by the lattice structure: for example, the degree of frustration and the structure of the super-exchange interactions are crucial for the magnetism. It will be interesting to apply the present scheme also to the \( \text{A}_{15} \) systems and see whether it also comprehensively explains their experimental phase diagram.

8.2.2. Light-induced superconducting-like state in \( \text{K}_{3}\text{C}_{60} \). Recently, superconducting-like signatures have been reported in the optical spectra of \( \text{K}_{3}\text{C}_{60} \) at temperatures higher than 100 K (much higher than the equilibrium critical temperature \( T_c = 19 \) K) by means of impulsive excitation \([180]\) designed to coherently excite the molecular vibration of the fullerene molecules. This inherently nonequilibrium phenomenon has not yet been understood and is surely a fascinating challenge for theory. We believe that the present understanding of the equilibrium superconductivity provides a firm basis for studying the nonequilibrium superconducting-like state.
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Appendix. Effect of intermolecular Coulomb interactions

The E-DMFT takes into account the effect of the intermolecular Coulomb interactions as a dynamical reduction of the intramolecular interactions. We find that this dynamical screening is not an essential ingredient in the superconductivity, while it quantitatively improves the location of the Mott transition in figure 11 [19]. Since the orbital dependence of the intermolecular interactions is negligible, the resulting dynamical screening on the intramolecular interactions has no orbital dependence. That is, it equally reduces the intraorbital interaction $U_{\text{eff}}$ and the interorbital interaction $U_{\text{eff}}$ and does not affect the exchange channel $J_{\text{eff}}$. Since the negative $J_{\text{eff}}$ is a key for the unconventional physics in the fullerenes, even without the dynamical screening effect from the intramolecular interactions, we obtain a phase diagram qualitatively similar to figure 11. However, since the intermolecular interactions reduce the value of $U_{\text{eff}}$ and $U_{\text{eff}}$, they stabilize a metallic solution and shift the metal-insulator phase boundary toward a larger $V_{\text{CDW}}$, making the agreement between theory and experiment better.
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