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#### Abstract

We analyze intergalactic Hi and Ovi absorbers with $v<5000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ in Hubble Space Telescope and Far Ultraviolet Spectroscopic Explorer spectra of 76 active galactic nuclei. The baryons traced by Hi/O vi absorption are clearly associated with the extended surroundings of galaxies; for impact parameters $<400 \mathrm{kpc}$ they are $2-4$ times more numerous as those inside the galaxies. This large reservoir of matter likely plays a major role in galaxy evolution. We tabulate the fraction of absorbers having a galaxy of a given luminosity within a given impact parameter $(\rho)$ and velocity difference $(\Delta v)$, as well as the fraction of galaxies with an absorber closer than a given $\rho$ and $\Delta v$. We identify possible "void absorbers" ( $\rho>3 \mathrm{Mpc}$ to the nearest $L_{*}$ galaxy), although at $v<2500 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ all absorbers are within 1.5 Mpc of an $L>0.1 L_{*}$ galaxy. The absorber properties depend on $\rho$, but the relations are not simple correlations. For four absorbers with $\rho=50-350 \mathrm{kpc}$ from an edge-on galaxy with known orientation of its rotation, we find no clear relation between absorber velocities and the rotation curve of the underlying galaxy. For $\rho<350 \mathrm{kpc}$, the covering factor of $\mathrm{Ly} \alpha(\mathrm{O} \mathrm{vI})$ around $L>0.1 L_{*}$ galaxies is $100 \%(70 \%)$ for field galaxies and $65 \%(10 \%)$ for group galaxies; $50 \%$ of galaxy groups have associated Ly $\alpha$. All O vi absorbers occur within 550 kpc of an $L>0.25 L_{*}$ galaxy. The properties of three of 14 O vi absorbers are consistent with photoionization, for five the evidence points to collisional ionization; the others are ambiguous. The fraction of broad Ly $\alpha$ lines increases from $z=3$ to $z=0$ and with decreasing impact parameter, consistent with the idea that gas inside $\sim 500 \mathrm{kpc}$ from galaxies is heating up, although alternative explanations cannot be clearly excluded.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Intergalactic gas has been detected in optical spectra of QSOs since the 1970s. At ultraviolet wavelengths, the lowredshift Hi Lyman $\alpha$ forest of absorption lines was initially detected at moderate and low resolution with the Goddard High Resolution Spectrograph (GHRS) and the Faint Object Spectrograph (FOS) aboard the Hubble Space Telescope (HST) (Morris et al. 1991; Bahcall et al. 1991). Data from the HST QSO Absorption Line Key Project (Jannuzi et al. 1998, and references therein) allowed a comparison between the properties of the high- and low-redshift absorption lines and revealed evidence for the evolution of the gas (Weymann et al. 1998). The realization that the low column density portion of the lowredshift $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}$ absorption lines is tracing very highly photoionized gas in the intergalactic medium (IGM) (containing $\sim 30 \%$ of the baryons at low $z$ ) followed from more extensive observational studies (Penton et al. 2004), combined with theoretical insights about the very large corrections required to convert measures of the observed Hi column densities into total ( $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}+\mathrm{H}_{\text {II }}$ ) column densities (Schaye 2001). More recent work has revealed that the low $z$ Ly $\alpha$ forest includes both narrow and broad absorption lines and that many of the broad lines are probably tracing gas $\sim 3-10$ times hotter than expected for gas in photoionization equilibrium (Richter et al. 2004; Lehner et al. 2007). The detection of the relatively high line density of intergalactic O vi absorption at low redshift (Tripp et al. 2000) provided additional information about the highly ionized state of some of the low-redshift IGM. The most recent studies (Tripp et al. 2008; Danforth \& Shull 2008; Thom \& Chen 2008) revealed that the $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{VI}}$ is tracing a complex mixture of highly photoionized and warmhot collisionally ionized gas. The combination of results from the narrow $\mathrm{Ly} \alpha$, broad $\mathrm{Ly} \alpha$, and O vi absorption line studies
suggests that $\sim 40 \%-50 \%$ of the baryons at low $z$ probably resides in photoionized gas and the cooler part of the warm-hot IGM predicted by cosmological hydrodynamical simulations (Cen \& Ostriker 1999; Davé et al. 2001). This implies that the baryonic content of the detected low-redshift IGM is $\sim 6$ times larger than the baryonic content of galaxies, which is estimated to be $\sim 8 \%$ (Fukugita \& Peebles 2004). Four percent of the baryons are in the hot plasmas found in galaxy clusters while the hydrodynamical simulations suggest most of the remaining $\sim 50 \%$ of the baryons may reside in the hotter portions of the warm-hot IGM with $T>3 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~K}$. The hotter gas has been detected through Ne viII absorption (Savage et al. 2005a; Narayanan et al. 2008). However, the reported X-ray detections of O viI absorption in the warm-hot intergalactic medium (WHIM) at $z>0$ toward Mrk 421 (Nicastro et al. 2005) are not supported by the independent studies of the X-ray spectrum of this object (Kaastra et al. 2006; Rasmussen et al. 2007).

At low redshift it is possible to study the relation between the intergalactic gas and galaxies. In high column density $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}$ systems, the very strong $\mathrm{Mg}_{\text {II }} \lambda \lambda 2796.352$, 2803.531 absorption lines are observable from the ground at redshifts above about $z=$ 0.25 , and with HST at lower redshifts. They have been associated with galaxies at impact parameters $<100 \mathrm{kpc}$ (Bergeron \& Boissé 1991; Steidel 1995). Steidel (1995) inferred that all $L>0.05 L_{*}$ galaxies are surrounded by spherical halos with sizes on the order of 100 kpc , having $100 \%$ covering fraction in $\mathrm{Mg}_{\text {II }}$, but later work suggested that the $\mathrm{Mg}_{\text {II }}$ halos are patchy, with covering fraction about $50 \%$ (Churchill et al. 2007; Kacprzak et al. 2008).

The first studies of the relation between galaxies and Ly $\alpha$ absorbers were done near the 3C 273.0 sightline (Morris et al. 1993). This study suggested that Ly $\alpha$ clouds did not strongly associate with galaxies. Later, Lanzetta et al. (1995); Tripp
et al. (1998); Impey et al. (1999); Chen et al. (2001); Bowen et al. (2002); Penton et al. (2002); Côté et al. (2005); Aracil et al. (2006), and Prochaska et al. (2006) studied this question. These authors typically started with observations of 5-15 UVbright active galactic nuclei (AGNs), identified the absorbers, and then complemented this with a survey of the galaxies near the sightline, where "near" typically means within $1^{\circ}$, or even within $10^{\prime}$. In a few cases, the study started with a search for sightlines to bright AGNs passing within about 200 kpc of a nearby galaxy (Bowen et al. 1996, 2002; Côté et al. 2005). We discuss the parameters of these studies in Section 4.3, and compare the detailed results to ours in many of the subsections of Sections 3, 4 and 5. Generally, these authors concluded that Ly $\alpha$ absorbers at low impact parameters ( $<350 \mathrm{kpc}$ or so) originate in galaxy halos, even though there are many Ly $\alpha$ absorbers far from galaxies, in the general IGM. Penton et al. (2002) in particular argued that about 20\% of the Ly $\alpha$ absorbers are "void absorbers," which they defined as absorbers occurring more than 3 Mpc from the nearest $L_{*}$ galaxy.
For the low-redshift intergalactic O vi absorption, a number of papers associated particular O vi absorbers with galaxies (Danforth \& Shull 2008; Tripp et al. 2008). Comparing the locations of $\mathrm{O}_{\text {vi absorbers with galaxy catalogs, Stocke et al. }}^{\text {al }}$ (2006) found that most O vi absorbers originate relatively close to galaxies. Oppenheimer \& Davé (2008) used theory to conclude that O vi absorbers consist of photoionized gas within 300 kpc from $L>0.1 L_{*}$ galaxies. On the other hand, Ganguly et al. (2008) used modeling to predict that collisionally ionized O vi should occur relatively far from galaxies. Thus, the general relation between O vi absorbers and galaxies requires additional observational and theoretical work.

Theoretically (e.g., Oort 1970; Sommer-Larsen 2006; Fukugita \& Peebles 2006), galaxies are predicted to be surrounded by hot $\left(10^{5}-10^{6} \mathrm{~K}\right)$ coronae with sizes on the order of several hundred kpc. These coronae may contain as much or more matter as is present inside the galaxies. Some of the hot gas may condense and rain down onto the galaxies, becoming visible as neutral high-velocity clouds (HVCs). UV absorptionline studies show strong evidence for the presence of $3 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~K}$ gas around the Milky Way (Sembach et al. 2003). The most likely origin of this phase of the gas is in interfaces between cool ( $10^{3}-10^{4} \mathrm{~K}$ ) condensations that are embedded in $10^{6} \mathrm{~K}$ gas at distances of a few to 100 kpc (Fox et al. 2005). Direct evidence for $10^{6} \mathrm{~K}$ coronal gas is ambiguous, however. Pedersen et al. (2006) claimed to have found the associated X-ray emission for the case of NGC 5746, but Yao et al. (2008) compared Galactic O vii and Ne IX X-ray absorption in several different sightlines and concluded that the hot gas is confined to the Galactic thick disk only.
In this paper, we analyze the relation between galaxies and absorbers by concentrating on the nearest examples, i.e., galaxies and absorbers with recession velocities above 400 and below $5000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ (with the exception of three O vi lines at $v<400 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ that are included in the tables and figures, though not in statistical calculations). At these velocities the galaxy sample is basically complete down to $0.5 L_{*}$. We also analyze a subsample, using only absorbers with $v<2500 \mathrm{~km}$ $\mathrm{s}^{-1}$, where the galaxy sample is complete down to $0.1 L_{*}$. We look at Ly $\alpha$ (using 52 sightlines observed with $H S T$ ), and at Ly $\beta$ and O vi (using 63 sightlines observed with the FUSE). Our galaxy sample combines the "Third Reference Catalogue of Galaxies" (de Vaucouleurs et al. 1991) with all galaxies within $5^{\circ}$ of each AGN sightline listed in the NASA Extragalactic

Database (NED; http://nedwww.caltech.ipac.edu). We also use the group catalogs of Geller \& Huchra $(1982,1983)$ and Garcia (1993) to classify galaxies as either field or group galaxies.

By concentrating on the very nearest galaxies and absorbers we can address questions such as (1) what fraction of absorbers has a galaxy above a certain luminosity near them? (2) What fraction of galaxies has associated $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}$ and/or O vi absorption, as a function of impact parameter? (3) Do group and field galaxies have the same or a different relation with the absorbers? (4) Is there a relation between impact parameter and the parameters of the absorbers (equivalent width, linewidth, difference in velocity with associated galaxy)? (5) Are the properties of the lowest redshift O vi absorbers similar to those at higher redshift, and if so, what does this imply for the association of O vI with galaxies?
We describe our data and measurement methods in Section 2. In Sections 3, 4, and 5, we present our analyses. In the first of these (Section 3), we study just the absorbers, without reference to the galaxies near them. In Section 4, we discuss the statistics of the galaxies that can be found near the absorbers, as well as individual galaxy-absorber associations. In Section 5, we look at the galaxies first and then determine the properties and statistics of the absorbers found near them. In Sections 6 and 7, we discuss and summarize the results.

## 2. OBSERVATIONS

### 2.1. Absorption-Line Data Origin

The background targets were selected in the following manner. First, we retrieved the data for the 421 extragalactic targets that were observed by the Far-Ultraviolet Spectroscopic Explorer (FUSE) (excluding stars in the SMC, LMC, M31, and M33). In this sample, 106 targets have a signal-to-noise ratio $(\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N})$ per resolution element $>8$ near $1031 \AA$ A. The 53 of these that have recession velocity $>7500 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}(z>0.025)$ were selected for the study in this paper. Second, we searched the Hubble Space Telescope (HST) archive for targets observed with the Goddard High Resolution Spectrograph (GHRS) or the Space Telescope Imaging Spectrograph (STIS) with spectrograph settings that produce data with velocity resolution better than 30 $\mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$. There are 24 targets with good STIS-E140M data (S/N $>5$ per $6.5 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ resolution element). For 20 of these there is also good FUSE data, while for four targets the FUSE data only has $S / N \sim 4$ near $1031 \AA$. There are 29 sightlines with good (S/N > 5) STIS-G140M or GHRS-G140M data, with a velocity resolution of 30 or $20 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, respectively. For 15 of these FUSE data with $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N} \sim 8$ also exist, while for seven targets the FUSE data have low S/N. 11 targets were only observed using STIS-G140M. Four final targets were added to the sample, even though they only have FUSE spectra with $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}<5$, However, there are known galaxies with low impact parameter ( $<150 \mathrm{kpc}$ ) and clear detections of Ly $\beta$ and/or O vi absorption. These targets combine to make a sample size of 76 .

We note that two targets are included that have redshifts below $7500 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. ESO 438-G09 has $v=7200 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, while $v$ (ESO 185-IG13) is $5600 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. The first of these is the only AGN observed with STIS-G140M that has $v<7500 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, and there are absorbers at 1426 and $2215 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. So, rather than excluding it based on its velocity, we decided to keep this target for some of the tables. However, for the statistical analyses the low-S/N ESO 185-IG13 data were automatically excluded, as we apply strict selection criteria. ESO 185-IG13 is one of the targets with a low-S/N FUSE spectrum, but there is an absorber


Figure 1. Plot showing the velocity ranges where intergalactic $\operatorname{Ly} \alpha, \operatorname{Ly} \beta, \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{vI}} \lambda 1031.926$, and $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{vI}} \lambda 1037.617$ absorption may be obscured, for three different levels of interstellar $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ absorption: none (top panel), medium ( $J=0$ and $J=1$ lines only, middle panel), and strong (bottom panel). The square boxes enclose an $80 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ velocity range around metal lines (with ion name given), and $40 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ around $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ lines (with $J$-value given). Boxes with dotted borders are given for the geocoronal O I* lines, which are absent in orbital-night-only data.
at $2635 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ with low impact parameter ( 62 kpc ). Since Tripp et al. (2008) concluded that all absorbers with velocity differing by more than $2500 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ from the redshift of the AGN are likely to be intergalactic, it is justified to include ESO 185-IG13 and ESO 438-G09 in our sample.

The processing of the FUSE data was described in detail by Wakker et al. (2003) and Wakker (2006), and therefore only a summary is given here. First, the spectra were calibrated using version 2.1 or version 2.4 of the $F U S E$ calibration pipeline. To correct for residual wavelength shifts, the central velocities of the Milky Way interstellar lines were determined for each detector segment ( $\mathrm{LiF} 1 \mathrm{~A} / 1 \mathrm{~B} / 2 \mathrm{~A} / 2 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{SiC} 2 \mathrm{~A} / 2 \mathrm{~B}$ ) of each individual observation. The FUSE segments were then aligned with the LSR interstellar velocities implied by the STIS-E140M, or if no E140M data were available, with the LSR velocity of the strongest component in the $21 \mathrm{~cm} \mathrm{H}_{\text {I }}$ spectrum. For targets with a STIS-E140M spectrum, the interstellar reference velocity was determined by fitting all Milky Way lines in that spectrum; the STIS wavelength calibration is accurate to about $1 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ (Tripp et al. 2001, 2005). For sightlines with $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}>10$ near $1031 \AA$, the resulting shifts were given by Wakker (2006). Using these shifts, LiF 1 A and LiF 2 B data are added together to produce the final spectrum for each target. Although the data were aligned using an LSR velocity scale, we shifted the spectrum to the heliocentric velocity scale to measure the intergalactic absorption lines, as that is the convention for extragalactic studies.

For HST data, the calibrated fits files in the MAST archive were retrieved. This is the only step needed, except for observations with the STIS-G140M grating and central wavelength $1222 \AA$, where a 1-pixel shift seems necessary. That conclusion is based on 14 sightlines with good data and relatively simple ISM absorption lines. For these sightlines the $\mathrm{S}_{\text {II }} \lambda 1250.584$, 1253.811 lines can be fitted both in a G140M spectrum centered on $1222 \AA$ and in one centered on $1272 \AA$, and sometimes also in an E140M spectrum. To align the lines in the $1222 \AA$ centered
spectrum with those in the other spectra, an average redward shift of $12 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ is needed, which corresponds to 1 pixel.

Finally, for observations with the STIS-E140M echelle, the MAST fits files give the data for each of the 42 orders separately. These orders were combined into a single spectrum by interpolating the photon counts and errors onto a common grid, adding the photon counts (weighted by the rms at each pixel), and converting back to a flux.

Using the final combined datasets, we measured the target flux and the $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ of each spectrum near 977, 1031, and $1238 \AA$. Table 1 presents the observation IDs, exposure times, fluxes, and $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ for all the targets in the final sample. Table 2 gives the FUSE segment shifts for datasets that were not included in Wakker (2006).

### 2.2. Absorption-Line Identification

For each background target, we began by identifying all intrinsic and intergalactic hydrogen and metal lines, looking for redshifted Lyman series lines and high-ionization lines such
 S vi, as well as low-ionization lines, if appropriate. We also identified all high- and low-ionization Milky Way and HVC ionic and molecular absorption lines. For each background target, we modeled all $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ absorption lines, using the method presented by Wakker (2006). A further complication is the contamination by the geocoronal $\mathrm{OI}^{*}$ emission lines near $\mathrm{Ly} \beta$, $\mathrm{Ly} \gamma, \mathrm{O}$ vi $\lambda 1031.926$, O vi $\lambda 1037.617$, and C iif $\lambda 977.020$. The geocoronal lines are absent in FUSE data taken during the orbital night, but for many sightlines the orbital-night-only data have much lower $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$.

Figure 1 shows the velocities of the interstellar lines relative to $\mathrm{Ly} \alpha, \mathrm{Ly} \beta$, and the two O vi lines. The three panels are for three different levels of contamination by Galactic molecular

Table 1
Target Exposure List

| Object (1) | Lon. <br> (o) <br> (2) | Lat. <br> (o) <br> (3) | $z$ (4) | Type (5) | Data Sets ${ }^{1}$ (6) | $\begin{gathered} T_{\text {exp }}^{2} \\ \text { (ks) } \\ (7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Flux }^{3} \\ \text { (f.u.) } \\ \text { (8) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline \mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}^{4} \\ 1031 \\ (9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}^{4} \\ 977 \\ (10) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline \mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}^{4} \\ 1238 \\ (11) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1H0419-577 | 266.99 | -42.00 | 0.1040 | Sey1 | D8080801, F0260101, F0260102 | 36.8 | 2.8 | 7 | 2 | $\ldots$ |
| 1H0707-495 | 260.17 | -17.67 | 0.0411 | Sey1 | B1050101, B1050102, B1050103, E1190101 | 114.4 | 1.8 | 16 | 6 | ... |
| 1H0717+714 | 143.98 | 28.02 | 0.5000 | BLLac | Z9071301, F0260302 | 70.0 | 3.2 | 15 | 6 | $\ldots$ |
| 3C232 | 194.17 | 52.32 | 0.5305 | QSO | O67002(Ga) | 11.2 | 0.5 | 2 | 0 | 12 |
| 3C249.1 | 130.39 | 38.55 | 0.3115 | QSO | $\begin{gathered} \text { P1071601, P1071602, P1071603, S6010901 } \\ \text { D1170101, D1170102, D1170103, U1027501 } \\ \text { U1027502 } \end{gathered}$ | 246.5 | 1.1 | 15 | 3 | 8 |
|  |  |  |  |  | O6E124-30(E) | 68.8 | 1.1 | 15 | 3 | 8 |
| 3C263 | 134.16 | 49.74 | 0.6460 | QSO | E8480701, D8081701, G0440201, G0440202 G0440203, F0050101, F0050103, F0050104 | 220.1 | 1.2 | 14 | 3 | $\ldots$ |
| 3C273.0 | 289.95 | 64.36 | 0.1583 | QSO | $\begin{aligned} & \text { F0050105 } \\ & \text { P1013501 } \end{aligned}$ | 43.2 | 26.9 | 31 | 19 | 27 |
|  |  |  |  |  | O5D301(E) | 18.7 | 26.9 | 31 | 19 | 27 |
| 3C351.0 | 90.08 | 36.38 | 0.3719 | QSO | O57901-04(E) | 77.0 | 0.0 | 0 | -0 | 8 |
| ESO141-G55 | 338.18 | -26.71 | 0.0360 | Sey1 | I9040104 | 40.6 | 5.2 | 16 | 8 | 20 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Z3E702 | 15.6 | 5.2 | 16 | 8 | 20 |
| ESO185-IG13 | 343.64 | -29.37 | 0.0187 | $\mathrm{H}_{\text {II }}$ | Z9091401, G0200201, G0200203, G0200204 | 19.9 | 1.5 | 5 | 2 | ... |
| ESO438-G09 | 277.55 | 29.36 | 0.0240 | Sey1.5 | O5EW06(Ga) | 11.3 | 0.3 | $\ldots$ |  | 11 |
| Fairall 9 | 295.07 | -57.83 | 0.0470 | Sey1 | P1010601 | 33.9 | 1.5 | 6 | 3 | 19 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Z3E704 | 14.4 | 1.5 | 6 | 3 | 19 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Z26O02 | 8.1 | 1.5 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Z3E704 | 6.9 | 1.5 |  |  |  |
| H1821+643 | 94.00 | 27.42 | 0.2844 | QSO | P1016402, P1016405, C0950201, C0950202 | 276.5 | 3.0 | 29 | 8 | 13 |
|  |  |  |  |  | O5E703-04(E) | 50.9 | 3.0 | 29 | 8 | 13 |
| HE0226-4110 | 253.94 | -65.77 | 0.4950 | QSO | P2071301, P1019101, P1019102, P1019103 P1019104, D0270101, D0270102, D0270103 | 206.9 | 2.7 | 25 | 11 | 9 |
|  |  |  |  |  | O6E107-11(E) | 43.8 | 2.7 | 25 | 11 | 9 |
| HE0340-2703 | 222.68 | -52.12 | 0.2830 | QSO | O8EI03(Ga) | 4.9 | 0.6 | ... | ... | 10 |
| HE1029-1401 | 259.33 | 36.52 | 0.0860 | QSO | O4EC05(Ga) | 4.1 | 5.1 |  |  | 28 |
|  |  |  |  |  | O4EC05(Gb) | 3.4 | 5.1 |  |  |  |
| HE1143-1810 | 281.85 | 41.71 | 0.0329 | Sey1 | P1071901 | 7.2 | 6.1 | 8 | 4 |  |
| HE1228+0131 | 291.26 | 63.66 | 0.1170 | QSO | P1019001 | 4.0 | 4.9 | 5 | 2 | 5 |
|  |  |  |  |  | O56A01-02(E) | 27.2 | 4.9 | 5 | 2 | 5 |
| HS0624+6907 | 145.71 | 23.35 | 0.3700 | QSO | P1071001, P1071002, S6011201, S6011202 | 113.5 | 1.0 | 11 | 3 | 7 |
|  |  |  |  |  | O6E112-16(E) | 62.0 | 1.0 | 11 | 3 | 7 |
| HS1543+5921 | 92.40 | 46.36 | 0.8070 | QSO | O8MR01(Ga) | 25.3 | 0.8 | 2 | 0 | 10 |
|  |  |  |  |  | O8MR02-04(Gb) | 28.8 | 0.8 |  |  |  |
| IRAS09149-6206 | 280.61 | $-9.20$ | 0.0573 | Sey 1 | A0020503, S701 1002, S7011003, U1072201 U1072202, U1072203 | 100.0 | 1.7 | 13 | 2 | $\ldots$ |
| IRAS F22456-5125 | 338.51 | -56.63 | 0.1000 | Sey 1 | Z9073901, Z9073902, E8481401 | 41.5 | 1.9 | 9 | 3 | $\ldots$ |
| MCG+10-16-111 | 144.21 | 55.08 | 0.0271 | Sey1 | O5EW02(Ga) | 19.5 | 1.5 | ... | $\ldots$ | 23 |
| MRC2251-178 | 46.20 | -61.33 | 0.0661 | QSO | P1111010 | 51.4 | 2.1 | 12 | 3 | 30 |
|  |  |  |  |  | O4EC03(Ga) | 5.9 | 2.1 | 12 | 3 | 30 |
|  |  |  |  |  | O4EC03(Gb) | 4.6 | 2.1 |  |  |  |
| Mrk 9 | 158.36 | 28.75 | 0.0399 | Sey 1.5 | P1071101, P1071102, P1071103, S6011601 | 52.4 | 2.3 | 13 | 2 | $\ldots$ |
| Mrk 106 | 161.14 | 42.88 | 0.1235 | Sey1 | C1490501 | 121.9 | 1.6 | 12 | 3 | $\ldots$ |
| Mrk 110 | 165.01 | 44.36 | 0.0353 | Sey1 | O4N352(Ga) | 2.2 | 0.3 | 1 | 1 | 11 |
| Mrk 205 | 125.45 | 41.67 | 0.0708 | Sey 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q1060203, S6010801, D0540101, D0540102 } \\ & \text { D0540103, U1031102 } \end{aligned}$ | 223.8 | 1.2 | 17 | 6 | 8 |
|  |  |  |  |  | O62Q03-05(E) | 62.1 | 1.2 | 17 | 6 | 8 |
| Mrk 279 | 115.04 | 46.86 | 0.0305 | Sey1.5 | P1080303, P1080304, D1540101 | 181.8 | 10.2 | 45 | 21 | 32 |
|  |  |  |  |  | O6JM01(E) | 13.2 | 10.2 | 45 | 21 | 32 |
|  |  |  |  |  | O8K101-05(E) | 41.4 | 10.2 |  |  |  |
| Mrk 290 | 91.49 | 47.95 | 0.0296 | Sey 1 | P1072901, D0760101, D0760102, E0840101 | 90.6 | 3.2 | 19 | 6 | 12 |
|  |  |  |  |  | E0840102 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Z3KH01 | 7.1 | 3.2 | 19 | 6 | 12 |
| Mrk 335 | 108.76 | -41.42 | 0.0258 | Sey 1.2 | P1010203, P1010204 | 83.7 | 7.1 | 27 | 11 | 11 |
|  |  |  |  |  | O8N504-05(E) | 15.2 | 7.1 | 27 | 11 | 11 |
| Mrk 421 | 179.83 | 65.03 | 0.0300 | BLLac | P1012901, Z0100101, Z0100102, Z0100103 | 83.7 | 9.6 | 30 | 14 | 23 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Z2IA01 | 15.7 | 9.6 | 30 | 14 | 23 |
| Mrk 477 | 93.04 | 56.82 | 0.0378 | Gal | D1180101 | 146.3 | 1.0 | 10 | 2 | $\ldots$ |
| Mrk 478 | 59.24 | 65.03 | 0.0791 | Sey1 | P1110909 | 14.0 | 3.5 | 8 | 5 | 37 |
|  |  |  |  |  | O4EC14(Ga) | 7.9 | 3.5 | 8 | 5 | 37 |
|  |  |  |  |  | O4EC14(Gb) | 6.3 | 3.5 |  |  |  |

Table 1
(Continued)

| Object | Lon. <br> (○) | Lat. <br> (o) | $z$ | Type | Data Sets ${ }^{1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \hline T_{\mathrm{exp}}^{2} \\ & (\mathrm{ks}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Flux }^{3} \\ \text { (f.u.) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}^{4} \\ & 1031 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline \mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}^{4} \\ 977 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \hline \mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}^{4} \\ & 1238 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) |
| Mrk 501 | 63.60 | 38.86 | 0.0337 | BLLac | P1073301, C0810101 | 29.8 | 3.1 | 10 | 3 | 11 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Z1A652 | 31.3 | 3.1 | 10 | 3 | 11 |
| Mrk 509 | 35.97 | -29.86 | 0.0344 | Sey1.2 | X0170101, X0170102, P1080601 | 114.3 | 6.7 | 30 | 7 | 14 |
| Mrk 586 | 157.60 | -54.93 | 0.1553 | Sey1 | D0550101, D0550102 | 63.6 | 2.1 | 12 | 4 |  |
| Mrk 734 | 244.75 | 63.94 | 0.0502 | Sey 1 | P1071702 | 4.9 | 4.0 | 6 | 3 | $\ldots$ |
| Mrk 771 | 269.44 | 81.74 | 0.0630 | Sey1 | P1072301 | 6.3 | 2.2 | 5 | 2 | 25 |
|  |  |  |  |  | O4N305(Ga) | 2.0 | 2.2 | 5 | 2 | 25 |
|  |  |  |  |  | O4EC07(Ga) | 5.8 | 2.2 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | O4EC07(Gb) | 5.2 | 2.2 |  |  |  |
| Mrk 817 | 100.30 | 53.48 | 0.0315 | Sey 1.5 | P1080403, P1080404 | 161.5 | 9.6 | 44 | 20 | 43 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Z3E701 | 26.8 | 9.6 | 44 | 20 | 43 |
| Mrk 876 | 98.27 | 40.38 | 0.1290 | Sey 1 | P1073101, D0280203 | 127.4 | 6.3 | 34 | 11 | 11 |
|  |  |  |  |  | O8NN01-02(E) | 29.2 | 6.3 | 34 | 11 | 11 |
| Mrk 926 | 64.09 | -58.76 | 0.0473 | Sey1.5 | O4EC12(Ga) | 3.9 | 0.7 | 3 | 1 | 9 |
|  |  |  |  |  | O4EC12(Gb) | 3.8 | 0.7 |  |  |  |
| Mrk 1095 | 201.69 | -21.13 | 0.0323 | Sey1 | P1011201, P1011202, P1011203 | 55.8 | 2.0 | 11 | 4 | 18 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Z3E706 | 14.9 | 2.0 | 11 | 4 | 18 |
| Mrk 1383 | 349.22 | 55.12 | 0.0865 | Sey1 | P1014801, P2670101 | 63.3 | 6.6 | 24 | 12 | 9 |
|  |  |  |  |  | O8PG01-02(E) | 19.2 | 6.6 | 24 | 12 | 9 |
| Mrk 1513 | 63.67 | -29.07 | 0.0630 | Sey 1 | P1018301, P1018302, P1018303, P1018304 | 58.6 | 3.9 | 16 | 6 | 20 |
|  |  |  |  |  | O4EC10(Ga) | 7.3 | 3.9 | 16 | 6 | 20 |
|  |  |  |  |  | O4EC10(Gb) | 6.2 | 3.9 |  |  |  |
| MS0700.7+6338 | 152.47 | 25.63 | 0.1530 | Sey 1 | $\begin{gathered} \text { P2072701, S6011501, D0550501, U1021403 } \\ \text { U1021404 } \end{gathered}$ | 181.9 | 1.6 | 16 | 4 | $\ldots$ |
| NGC985 | 180.84 | -59.49 | 0.0431 | Sey 1 | P1010903 | 50.6 | 3.4 | 11 | 5 | 29 |
|  |  |  |  |  | O4EC11(Ga) | 3.7 | 3.4 | 11 | 5 | 29 |
|  |  |  |  |  | O4EC11(Gb) | 3.8 | 3.4 |  |  |  |
| PG0804+761 | 138.28 | 31.03 | 0.1020 | QSO | P1011901, P1011903, S6011001, S6011002 | 151.5 | 7.0 | 31 | 14 | 35 |
|  |  |  |  |  | O4N301(Ga) | 2.4 | 7.0 | 31 | 14 | 35 |
|  |  |  |  |  | O4EC06(Ga) | 4.9 | 7.0 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | O4EC06(Gb) | 4.2 | 7.0 |  |  |  |
| PG0838+770 | 136.66 | 32.68 | 0.1310 | Sey 1 | G0200104, G0200105, G0200106, G0200107 | 100.5 | 0.7 | 6 | 2 |  |
| PG0844+349 | 188.56 | 37.97 | 0.0640 | Sey1 | P1012002, D0280301, D0280302, D0280303 | 81.2 | 3.7 | 20 | 7 | $\ldots$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | D0280304 |  |  |  |  |  |
| PG0953+414 | 179.79 | 51.71 | 0.2341 | QSO | P1012201, P1012202 | 74.4 | 5.2 | 24 | 10 | 10 |
|  |  |  |  |  | O63G01-04(E) | 26.9 | 5.2 | 24 | 10 | 10 |
| PG1001+291 | 200.08 | 53.21 | 0.3297 | QSO | P2073101 | 11.3 | 2.0 | 5 | 2 | 8 |
|  |  |  |  |  | O6E117-23(E) | 48.4 | 2.0 | 5 | 2 | 8 |
| PG1011-040 | 246.50 | 40.75 | 0.0580 | Sey 1 | B0790101 | 85.3 | 2.6 | 17 | 7 | $\ldots$ |
| PG1049-005 | 252.28 | 49.88 | $0.3599$ | QSO | O4N303(Ga) | 1.5 | 1.0 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 7 |
| PG1116+215 | 223.36 | 68.21 | 0.1765 | QSO | P1013101, P1013102, P1013103, P1013104 | 76.7 | 5.7 | 25 | 12 | 12 |
|  |  |  |  |  | P1013105 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | O5E701-02(E) | 26.5 | 5.7 | 25 | 12 | 12 |
| PG1149-110 | 280.47 | 48.89 | 0.0490 | Sey | O5EW05(Ga) | 8.3 | 0.1 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 5 |
| PG1211+143 | 267.55 | 74.32 | 0.0804 | Sey1 | P1072001 | 52.2 | 5.2 | 17 | 10 | 18 |
|  |  |  |  |  | O61Y01-08(E) | 42.5 | 5.2 | 17 | 10 | 18 |
| PG1216+069 | 281.07 | 68.14 | 0.3313 | QSO | P1072101 | 12.4 | 1.4 | 5 | 2 | 7 |
|  |  |  |  |  | O6E131-39(E) | 69.8 | 1.4 | 5 | 2 | 7 |
| PG1259+593 | 120.56 | 58.05 | 0.4778 | QSO | P1080101, P1080102, P1080103, P1080104 | 553.8 | 1.8 | 37 | 14 | 8 |
|  |  |  |  |  | P1080105, P1080106, P1080107, P1080108 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | P1080109, U1031801 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | O63G05-11(E) | 95.8 | 1.8 | 37 | 14 | 8 |
| PG1302-102 | 308.59 | 52.16 | 0.2784 | QSO | P1080201, P1080202, P1080203 | 145.9 | 1.6 | 16 | 5 | 4 |
|  |  |  |  |  | O5BU61-02(E) | 22.1 | 1.6 | 16 | 5 | 4 |
| PG1341+258 | 28.71 | 78.15 | 0.0870 | QSO | O5EW01(Ga) | 8.1 | 0.9 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 16 |
| PG1351+640 | 111.89 | 52.02 | 0.0882 | Sey1 | P1072501, S6010701 | 118.4 | 1.6 | 16 | 4 | 13 |
|  |  |  |  |  | O4EC54(Ga) | 8.5 | 1.6 | 16 | 4 | 13 |
|  |  |  |  |  | O4EC54(Gb) | 6.3 | 1.6 |  |  |  |
| PG1444+407 | 69.90 | 62.72 | 0.2673 | QSO | P1072701 | 10.0 | 1.8 | 4 | 3 | 9 |
|  |  |  |  |  | O6E101-06(E) | 48.6 | 1.8 | 4 | 3 | 9 |
| PG1553+113 | 21.91 | 43.96 | 0.3600 | BLLac | E5260501, E5260502, E5260503 | 47.1 | 2.7 | 12 | 4 | ... |
| PG1626+554 | 84.51 | 42.19 | 0.1330 | Sey1 | C0370101 | 90.9 | 1.5 | 14 | 0 | $\ldots$ |
| PHL1811 | 47.47 | -44.81 | 0.1920 | QSO | P2071101, P1081001, P1081002, P1081003 | 75.7 | 4.9 | 17 | 0 | 11 |
|  |  |  |  |  | O8D901-04(E) | 33.9 | 4.9 | 17 | 0 | 11 |

Table 1
(Continued)

| Object (1) | Lon. <br> (○) <br> (2) | Lat. <br> (o) <br> (3) | $z$ (4) | Type (5) | Data Sets ${ }^{1}$ (6) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline T_{\mathrm{exp}}^{2} \\ \text { (ks) } \\ (7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Flux }^{3} \\ \text { (f.u.) } \\ (8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline \mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}^{4} \\ 1031 \\ (9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline \mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}^{4} \\ 977 \\ (10) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline \mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}^{4} \\ 1238 \\ (11) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PKS0405-12 | 204.93 | -41.76 | 0.5726 | QSO | B0870101, D1030101, D1030102 | 140.3 | 2.2 | 19 | 7 | 8 |
|  |  |  |  |  | O55S01-02(E) | 27.2 | 2.2 | 19 | 7 | 8 |
| PKS0558-504 | 257.96 | -28.57 | 0.1370 | QSO | P1011504, C1490601 | 93.0 | 3.3 | 19 | 9 | $\ldots$ |
| PKS2005-489 | 350.37 | -32.60 | 0.0710 | BLLac | P1073801, C1490301, C1490302 | 48.7 | 5.0 | 18 | 8 | 57 |
|  |  |  |  |  | O4EC09(Ga) | 6.1 | 5.0 | 18 | 8 | 57 |
|  |  |  |  |  | O4EC09(Gb) | 5.4 | 5.0 |  |  |  |
| PKS2155-304 | 17.73 | -52.25 | 0.1160 | BLLac | P1080701, P1080705, P1080703 | 121.5 | 12.8 | 31 | 12 | 12 |
|  |  |  |  |  | O5BY01-02(E) | 28.5 | 12.8 | 31 | 12 | 12 |
| RX J0048.3+3941 | 122.28 | -23.18 | 0.1340 | QSO | D1310101, D1310102, D1310103, D1310104 | 191.3 | 1.4 | 14 | 4 | ... |
|  |  |  |  |  | D1310105, D1310106, D1310107 |  |  |  |  |  |
| RX J0100.4-5113 | 299.48 | $-65.84$ | 0.0620 | Sey 1 | D8060301, E8970201 | 23.0 | 3.1 | 10 | 3 | 14 |
|  |  |  |  |  | O8P802(Ga) | 2.3 | 3.1 | 10 | 3 | 14 |
|  |  |  |  |  | O8P802(Gb) | 1.1 | 3.1 |  |  |  |
| RX J1830.3+7312 | 104.04 | 27.40 | 0.1230 | Sey 1 | G0200302 | 25.4 | 3.5 | 6 | 0 | 25 |
|  |  |  |  |  | O5EW09(Ga) | 5.8 | 3.5 | 6 | 0 | 25 |
| Ton S180 | 139.00 | -85.07 | 0.0620 | Sey1.2 | P1010502, D0280101 | 26.9 | 6.3 | 13 | 6 | 32 |
| Ton S210 | 224.97 | -83.16 | 0.1160 | QSO | P1070301, P1070302 | 52.7 | 6.2 | 20 | 8 | 4 |
|  |  |  |  |  | O6L001-02(E) | 17.3 | 6.2 | 20 | 8 | 4 |
| VIIZw118 | 151.36 | 25.99 | 0.0797 | Sey 1 | P1011604, P1011605, P1011606, S6011301 | 129.8 | 2.0 | 18 | 6 | 19 |
|  |  |  |  |  | O4EC13(Ga) | 9.5 | 2.0 | 18 | 6 | 19 |

Notes. 1: this column identifies the FUSE and HST datasets; FUSE datasets consist of an eight-character code giving the observing program, object id, and exposure number; HST datasets list a four-character program id, followed by a two-digit object id and a three-digit observation id, which we omit; for HST STIS observations an extra identifier between parentheses shows whether the data were taken using the G140M grating centered at $1222 \AA(\mathrm{Ga})$ or $1272 \AA(\mathrm{~Gb})$ or with the E 140 M echelle (E); datasets starting with "Z" were obtained with the GHRS. 2: exposure time in kiloseconds, given separately for each FUSE exposure (which corresponds to several orbits); only a single value is given for each multiorbit $H S T$ exposure. 3: flux in units of $10^{-14} \mathrm{erg} \mathrm{cm}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \AA^{-1}$; for FUSE datasets this is the flux at $1031 \AA$, while for targets with only HST datasets it is the flux at $1238 \AA .4$ : signal-to-noise ratio per resolution element at 1031, 977 and $1238 \AA$; for FUSE data the resolution element is $20 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, while for HST data it depends on the instrument and grating: $20 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ for GHRS spectra, $30 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ for STIS grating (G140M) exposures, and $6.5 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ for STIS echelle (E140M) data.
hydrogen $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ : no $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ with good night-only data, median contamination with just $J=0$ and $1 \mathrm{H}_{2}$ lines, and strong $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ (lines up to $J=4$ are seen). A box that is $80 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ wide is drawn around each ISM line. This is a typical absorption width, though it will be different in detail for each sightline.

If there are no $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ lines, both O vi lines are visible for velocities of about $500-1200 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and above $2300 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ (except for a few regions contaminated by interstellar Ari $\lambda 1048.220$ and $\mathrm{Fe}_{\text {II }} \lambda 1063.176$ ). More typical, however, is the situation in the middle panel, where some $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ is present, but useful orbital-night-only data do exist. In that case, Ly $\beta$ can be seen over most of the velocity range between 500 and $7000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, except between about 3000 and $3700 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, where $\mathrm{C}_{\text {II }} \lambda 1036.337$, $\mathrm{O}_{\text {vi }} \lambda 1037.617$, and $\mathrm{O}_{\text {I }} \lambda 1039.230$ interfere. The most-easily visible O vi line alternates between the 1031 and the 1037 line, with O vi $\lambda 1031.926$ mostly uncontaminated in the velocity ranges $500-1200 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and $3000-7000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, while the O vi $\lambda 1037.617$ line is clear from $1300-3000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and above $4000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. The bottom panel represents the worst case. It is clear that in this case intergalactic lines will only be visible if they occur at just the right velocity.

With the intrinsic, interstellar, and geocoronal contamination in mind, we checked whether any of the identified intergalactic absorbers occur near the systemic velocity of each galaxy with impact parameter $\rho<1 \mathrm{Mpc}$. If we did not find intergalactic absorption, we measured equivalent width upper limits and noted these. If we did find intergalactic absorption having a small velocity difference with a galaxy, we measured the line(s) and decided whether or not to associate the galaxy with the absorber. These associations are discussed in more detail in

Section 4.3. Between the lines from interstellar ions, interstellar $\mathrm{H}_{2}$, intrinsic lines, and intergalactic absorption-line systems there are only a few marginally significant features that have remained unidentified in the FUSE data. They do not match known Ly $\alpha$ lines, nor can they be Ly $\beta$ as no corresponding Ly $\alpha$ is seen.

### 2.3. Absorption-Line Measurements

For each background target, we determined a continuum for each absorption line that we looked at by fitting a low-order (up to 4th) polynomial through line-free regions, using the method described by Sembach \& Savage (1992). For most sightlines the velocity range of the fit is about $5000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, but for complex spectra the continuum fit is made in several pieces. In the case of $\mathrm{Ly} \alpha$, we fitted the continuum through the parts of the spectrum outside the damping wings of the Galactic Ly $\alpha$ line, and then we modeled the ISM Ly $\alpha$ Voigt profile. The detailed results of this effort will be reported in a future paper (J. Brown et al., in preparation).
To measure the absorption lines, we integrated over the velocity range where absorption is apparent, using the fitted continua as a reference. We also determined an error estimate, which consists of two parts, a statistical and a systematic error, listed separately in Columns 11-14 of Table 3. The determination of these errors was described in detail by Wakker et al. (2003). The statistical error combines the random noise in the data with the uncertainty associated with the placement of the continuum. The systematic error combines the uncertainty associated with the choice for the minimum and maximum velocities of the absorption (i.e., the change in measured equivalent width when chang-

Table 2
FUSE Velocity Shifts for Exposures not in Wakker (2006)

| Object | Data Set | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Cal} \\ \mathrm{FUSE} \end{gathered}$ | $T_{\text {exp }}$ | Shifts |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | (ks) | LiF1A | LiF1B | LiF2A | LiF2B | SiC2A | SiC2B |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) |
| 1H 0419-577 | D8080801 | 2.4 | 4.7 | -22 | -22 | -22 | -22 | -48 | -48 |
|  | F0260101 | 2.4 | 16.9 |  |  | 16 | 27 | 0 |  |
|  | F0260102 | 2.4 | 15.2 |  |  | 16 | 27 | 0 |  |
| 1H 0707-495 | E1190101 | 2.4 | 48.2 | -19 | -19 | -19 | -19 | -13 | -13 |
| 3C 249.1 | U1027501 | 2.4 | 13.2 | 14 | 14 |  | 58 | 0 |  |
|  | U1027502 | 2.4 | 16.9 | 14 | 14 |  | 58 | 0 |  |
| 3C 263 | E8480701 | 2.4 | 7.1 | 9 | 9 | 42 | 42 | 42 | 42 |
|  | D8081701 | 2.4 | 3.3 | 9 | 9 | 42 | 42 | 42 | 42 |
|  | G0440201 | 2.4 | 14.7 | 9 | 9 | 42 | 42 | 42 | 42 |
|  | G0440202 | 2.4 | 14.7 | 9 | 9 | 42 | 42 | 42 | 42 |
|  | G0440203 | 2.4 | 20.1 | 9 | 9 | 42 | 42 | 42 | 42 |
|  | F0050101 | 2.4 | 79.2 | 25 | 25 | 54 | 54 | 42 | 42 |
|  | F0050103 | 2.4 | 17.3 |  |  | 54 | 54 | 42 | 42 |
|  | F0050104 | 2.4 | 40.1 |  |  | 54 | 54 | 42 | 42 |
|  | F0050105 | 2.4 | 20.7 |  |  | 54 | 54 | 42 | 42 |
| ESO 185-IG13 | Z9091401 | 2.4 | 12.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | G0200201 | 3.2 | 2.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
|  | G0200203 | 3.2 | 2.6 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
|  | G0200204 | 3.2 | 2.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| HE 1143-1810 | P1071901 | 2.4 | 7.3 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | -2 | -2 |
| HE 1228+0131 | P1019001 | 2.4 | 4.0 | 17 | 17 | 6 | 6 | 10 | 10 |
| IRAS 09149-6206 | A0020503 | 2.4 | 13.1 | -6 | -6 | -19 | -6 |  |  |
|  | S7011002 | 2.4 | 13.6 | -6 | -6 | -12 |  |  |  |
|  | S7011003 | 2.4 | 14.1 | -12 | -12 | -12 |  |  |  |
|  | U1072202 | 2.4 | 39.1 | 7 | 7 | 35 | 43 |  |  |
|  | U1072203 | 2.4 | 16.2 | -12 | -12 | 35 | 43 | -5 | -5 |
| IRAS F22456-5125 | Z9073901 | 2.4 | 5.7 | -11 | -11 | -11 | -11 | 20 | 20 |
|  | Z9073902 | 2.4 | 31.6 | -11 | -11 | -11 | -11 | 10 | 10 |
|  | E8481401 | 2.4 | 4.2 | -1 | 10 | 10 | -1 | 10 | 10 |
| Mrk 205 | U1031102 | 3.2 | 15.9 | 7 | 7 | 58 | 58 |  |  |
| Mrk 478 | P1110909 | 2.1 | 14.0 | 34 | 34 | 34 | 34 | 34 | 34 |
| Mrk 734 | P1071702 | 2.4 | 4.9 | -4 | 04 | -9 | -4 | -31 | -31 |
| MS 0700.7+6338 | U1021403 | 2.4 | 23.1 | 17 | 17 | 42 | 42 | 0 | 0 |
|  | U1021404 | 2.4 | 54.3 | 17 | 17 | 42 | 42 | 0 | 0 |
| PG 0838+770 | G0200101 | 2.4 | 5.9 | 29 | 29 | 67 | 67 | 29 | 29 |
|  | G0200104 | 2.4 | 31.9 | 29 | 29 | 67 | 67 | 29 | 29 |
|  | G0200105 | 2.4 | 28.8 | 29 | 29 | 67 | 67 | 29 | 29 |
|  | G0200106 | 2.4 | 20.7 | 29 | 29 | 67 | 67 | 29 | 29 |
|  | G0200107 | 2.4 | 19.0 | 29 | 29 | 67 | 67 | 29 | 29 |
| PG 1001+291 | P2073101 | 2.4 | 11.3 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 |
| PG 1444+407 | P1072701 | 2.4 | 10.0 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 |
| RX J0048.3+3941 | D1310101 | 2.4 | 37.6 | 27 | 27 | 31 | 31 | 46 | 46 |
|  | D1310102 | 2.4 | 32.9 | 27 | 27 | 31 | 31 | 46 | 46 |
|  | D1310103 | 2.4 | 6.6 | 27 | 25 | 31 | 31 | 46 | 46 |
|  | D1310104 | 2.4 | 39.3 | 25 | 25 | 13 | 13 | 34 | 34 |
|  | D1310105 | 2.4 | 24.4 | 25 | 25 | 13 | 13 | 34 | 34 |
|  | D1310106 | 2.4 | 26.6 | 25 | 25 | 13 | 13 | 34 | 34 |
|  | D1310107 | 2.4 | 23.9 | 25 | 25 | 13 | 13 | 34 | 34 |
| RX J0100.4-5113 | D8060301 | 2.4 | 5.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | E8970201 | 2.4 | 17.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| RX J1830.3+7312 | G0200302 | 2.4 | 25.4 |  |  | 30 | 30 |  |  |

ing the velocity range by $\pm 5 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ) with the fixed-pattern noise ( $6 \mathrm{~m} \AA$ for $F U S E$ data, $1.2 \mathrm{~m} \AA$ for STIS-G140M, and $0.3 \mathrm{~m} \AA$ for STIS-E140M observations). In addition to measuring the equivalent width by straight integration, the central velocity and linewidth of the absorption line are estimated by fitting a Gaussian to the observed absorption profile.
We also note nondetections associated with galaxies (see the next subsection). For these we determined a $3 \sigma$ upper limit on the equivalent width as three times the quadrature
sum of the statistical and fixed-pattern noise error obtained when integrating over $60 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ around the systemic velocity of the galaxy. The $60 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ figure corresponds to three resolution elements for FUSE spectra: two for STIS-G140M data and nine for STIS-E140M. It is based on the median linewidth of detected features, as discussed in Section 3.2. Previous authors used different widths for this estimate. Tripp et al. (2008) did integrate over the width of a typical detected line, but used 15 STIS-E140M pixels, corresponding to about

Table 3
$\mathrm{Hi}_{\mathrm{I}}$ and O vi Results ${ }^{1}$

| Target | Galaxy | Group | $\begin{gathered} v_{\mathrm{gal}} \\ \left(\mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} D \\ (\mathrm{Mpc}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} D_{\mathrm{gal}} \\ (\mathrm{kpc}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \rho \\ (\mathrm{kpc}) \end{gathered}$ | Q |  | Ovi | Ly $\alpha$ <br> (mA) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Ly} \beta \\ & (\mathrm{~mA}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{O} \text { vi-1031 } \\ (\mathrm{mA}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{O} \text { vi-1037 } \\ (\mathrm{mA}) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) |  |
| HS1543+5921 | SBS1543+593 ${ }^{3}$ | GH158 | 2889 | 42.7 | 7.8 | 0.3 | ! | + |  | >5000@2829 |  |  |  |
| Mrk205 | NGC4319 ${ }^{3}$ | GH107 | 1357 | 27.7 | 23.8 | 6 | ! | + | - | $801 \pm 13 \pm 4 @ 1289$ | $477 \pm 14 \pm 8$ @ 1283 | \{ $\mathrm{CII}^{\text {] }}$ | $<38$ |
| PG0838+770 | UGC4527 |  | 721 | 11.8 | 4.7 | 10 | ! | + | - |  | $330 \pm 53 \pm 13 @ 716$ | <54 | \{OI\} |
| 3 C 232 | NGC3067 ${ }^{3}$ | GH50 | 1476 | 23.9 | 17.0 | 14 | ! | + |  | >5000@1434 |  |  |  |
| MCG+10-16-111 | NGC3613 | LGG232 | 2054 | 31.2 | 35.3 | 41 | 2 | + |  | $301 \pm 11 \pm 7 @ 2136$ |  |  |  |
| MRK205 | NGC4291 | LGG284 | 1757 | 27.7 | 15.3 | 51 | ! | - | - | $<29$ | \{ O vi \} | <22 | \{OI\} |
| ESO185-IG13 | IC4889 |  | 2526 | 34.5 | 28.9 | 62 | ! | + | + |  | $641 \pm 52 \pm 14 @ 2635$ | $335 \pm 68 \pm 15 @ 2627$ | $\{\mathrm{Ly} \beta\}$ |
| Mrk110 | MCG+09-16-10 |  | 457 | 9,1 | 1.7 | 66 | ! | - |  | <54 |  |  |  |
| Mrk335 | [vCS96]000254.9 ${ }^{3,4}$ |  | 1950 | 24.3 | 0.7 | 78 | ? | + | - | $229 \pm 12 \pm 3 @ 1954$ | $31 \pm 3 \pm 9 @ 1966$ | \{ $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ \} | $<11$ |
| PG1259+593 | UGC8146 ${ }^{3}$ |  | 669 | 12.5 | 12.6 | 80 | ! | + | + | $231 \pm 9 \pm 3 @ 678$ | $<15$ | $25 \pm 5 \pm 2 @ 627$ | $13 \pm 3 \pm 2$ @ 622 |
| PG1001+291 | UGC5427 |  | 495 | 10.5 | 3.6 | 84 | ! | + | (-) | $308 \pm 7 \pm 12 @ 487$ | <87 | <75 | \{OI\} |
| PG1216+069 | SDSS J121903.72 ${ }^{4}$ |  | 3833 | 59.0 | 5.1 | 103 | + | + | (-) | $148 \pm 13 \pm 17 @ 3774$ | \{ $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ \} | $<74$ | <85 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | + | + | (-) | $163 \pm 12 \pm 16 @ 3808$ | $<78$ | <79 | <83 |
| PG1302-102 | NGC4939 |  | 3111 | 15.4 | 24.6 | 104 | ! | (-) | (+) | $<72$ | \{ CII \} | $19 \pm 6 \pm 8 @ 3109$ | $<23$ |
| PG1216+069 |  | LGG292 | [938] ${ }^{2}$ | 17.7 |  | >104 | g | + | (-) | $209 \pm 27 \pm 5$ @ 1106 | <90 | <83 | \{Oı* ${ }^{*}$ |
| PG1211+143 |  | LGG285 | [2266] ${ }^{2}$ | 36.7 |  | >121 | g | + | - | $104 \pm 8 \pm 2 @ 2110$ | $50 \pm 9 \pm 8 @ 2088$ | \{OI\} | <19 |
| ESO185-IG13 | IC4888 |  | 2490 | 34.0 | 10.6 | 123 | ! | (-) | (-) |  | <83 | <103 | $\{\mathrm{Ly} \beta$ \} |
| Ton S180 | NGC247 ${ }^{3}$ | LGG4 | 159 | 2.5 | 15.7 | 125 | ! | - | + | $\{\mathrm{Ly} \alpha$ \} | $<37$ | $51 \pm 10 \pm 8 @ 260$ | $34 \pm 13 \pm 8 @ 285$ |
| Mrk477 | SDSS J144303.81 ${ }^{4}$ |  | 838 | 14.8 | 1.5 | 138 | ! | - | - |  | $<37$ | <36 | \{OI* ${ }^{*}$ |
| Mrk771 | UGC7697 ${ }^{3}$ |  | 2536 | 40.5 | 24.6 | 139 | ! | + | (-) | $246 \pm 13 \pm 3 @ 2557$ | <95 | <94 | $<89$ |
| 1H0419-577 | NGC1574 ${ }^{3}$ | LGG112 | 925 | 13.6 | 13.4 | 140 | ? | + | (-) |  | $463 \pm 25 \pm 15 @ 1112$ | <54 | \{OI* ${ }^{*}$ |
| PG1149-110 | NGC3942 |  | 3696 | 56.7 | 23.3 | 141 | ! | + |  | $381 \pm 54 \pm 3 @ 3728$ |  |  |  |
| Mrk110 | NGC2841 ${ }^{3}$ | GH44 | 638 | 5.9 | 13.9 | 144 | > | (-) |  | $<68$ |  |  |  |
| MCG+10-16-111 |  | LGG234 | 1692 | 27.1 |  | >145 | g | + |  | $494 \pm 13 \pm 4 @ 1654$ |  |  |  |
| HE0340-2703 | ESO482-G32 |  | 1765 | 22.3 | 9.8 | 152 | x |  |  | $\{\mathrm{Ly} \alpha$ \} |  |  |  |
| PG0804+761 | UGC4238 ${ }^{3}$ |  | 1544 | 23.4 | 16.3 | 155 | ! | + | - | $114 \pm 14 \pm 2$ @ 1537 | $\left\{\mathrm{H}_{2}\right\}$ | \{ $\mathrm{CII}^{*}$ \} | $<30$ |
| HE0340-2703 | NGC1412 |  | 1790 | 22.7 | 12.3 | 167 | 1 | + |  | $374 \pm 30 \pm 6 @ 1785$ |  |  |  |
| Mrk335 |  | PegSpur | 713-1108 | $\sim 12.5$ | 3-18 | > 170 | g | - | - | <43 | $<14$ | $<15$ | $<13$ |
| 3C351.0 | Mrk892 | LGG179A | 3617 | 53.2 | 10.2 | 170 | 2 | + |  | $164 \pm 10 \pm 6 @ 3598$ | \{Lylim\} | \{Lylim\} | \{Lylim\} |
| ESO438-G09 |  | LGG230 | [1425] ${ }^{2}$ | 23.4 |  | >173 | g | + |  | $579 \pm 44 \pm 15 @ 1426$ |  |  |  |
| NGC985 |  | LGG71 | 1406 | 16.5 |  | $>175$ | g | - | - | $<21$ | $<31$ | $<30$ | $<31$ |
| PKS2005-489 |  | LGG430 | [2955] ${ }^{2}$ | 40.3 |  | >181 | ! | - | - | $<10$ | $<20$ | $<30$ | $<20$ |
| Mrk771 | KUG1229+207 |  | 1921 | 31.7 | 6.5 | 184 | ! | + | (-) | $243 \pm 13 \pm 5 @ 1891$ | \{ O | $<102$ | <86 |
| RX J1830.3+7312 | NGC6654 | LGG420A | 1821 | 23.8 | 18.2 | 186 | ! | + |  | $68 \pm 10 \pm 3 @ 1968$ | <66 | $\{\mathrm{Ly} \theta$ \} | $\left\{\mathrm{H}_{2}\right.$ \} |
| MCG+10-16-111 | NGC3625 | LGG232 | 1966 | 31.2 | 18.1 | 190 | 2 | + |  | $174 \pm 11 \pm 9 @ 2022$ |  |  |  |
| 3C273.0 |  | LGG292 | [938] ${ }^{2}$ | 17.7 |  | >191 | g | + | + | $394 \pm 7 \pm 1$ @ 1010 | $120 \pm 4 \pm 10 @ 1013$ | $21 \pm 3 \pm 7 @ 1008$ | $\left\{\mathrm{H}_{2}\right\}$ |
| PG1001+291 | UGCA201 |  | 1363 | 22.9 | 3.3 | 193 | 1 | - | (-) | <36 | $<75$ | \{ $\mathrm{CII}_{\text {I }}$ | $<63$ |
| 3C232 | Mrk412 |  | 4479 | 67.3 | 11.7 | 196 | ! | + |  | $153 \pm 30 \pm 4 @ 4526$ |  |  |  |
| 1H0717+714 | UGC3804 | LGG141 | 2887 | 44.1 | 22.8 | 199 | ! | + | + |  | 41 $\pm 7 \pm 8$ @ 2888 | $66 \pm 15 \pm 9 @ 2914$ | $<23$ |
| Mrk817 | SDSS J143903.89 ${ }^{4}$ |  | 2134 | 33.1 | 4.1 | 202 | 1 | + | - | $131 \pm 8 \pm 3 @ 2085$ | $25 \pm 3 \pm 7$ @ 2081 | \{OI\} | <9 |
| Mrk876 | NGC6140 ${ }^{3}$ |  | 910 | 14.8 | 27.1 | 206 | + | + | + | $476 \pm 14 \pm 3 @ 936$ | $79 \pm 6 \pm 33 @ 933$ | $17 \pm 4 \pm 8 @ 945$ | $<16$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | + | + | - | $39 \pm 7 \pm 3 @ 1109$ | $<13$ | \{ $\mathrm{CII}_{\text {I }}$ ] | <16 |
| MCG+10-16-111 | MCG+10-16-118 |  | 5357 | 79.5 | 16.6 | 208 | ! | + |  | $172 \pm 8 \pm 3 @ 5363$ |  |  |  |
| PG1211+143 |  | LGG289 | [182] ${ }^{2}$ | 22.6 |  | >215 | g | - | - | $<16$ | $<20$ | \{ $\mathrm{CII}^{\text {] }}$ | $<21$ |
| Mrk110 | UGC5076 |  | 571 | 10.8 | 3.1 | 226 | 1 | - |  | $<70$ |  |  |  |
| PG0844+349 | [KK98]069 |  | 463 | 9.2 | 6.4 | 228 | ! | - |  |  | $<31$ | $\{\mathrm{Ly} \beta$ \} | \{OI\} |
| Mrk734 |  | GH78 | 921 | 10.0 |  | >230 | g | + | (-) |  | $301 \pm 35 \pm 11 @ 478$ | $<77$ | \{Si IIT |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | g | + | (-) |  | $149 \pm 31 \pm 11 @ 757$ | $<76$ | $\left\{\mathrm{H}_{2}\right\}$ |
| HE0340-2703 | NGC1398 | LGG97 | 1407 | 14.5 | 29.8 | 244 | 1 | + |  | $292 \pm 30 \pm 6 @ 1361$ |  |  |  |
| PG0844+349 | NGC2683 ${ }^{3}$ |  | 410 | 8.5 | 23.0 | 250 | ! | + | + |  | $25 \pm 8 \pm 2$ @ 351 | $37 \pm 5 \pm 2 @ 365$ | $27 \pm 5 \pm 7 @ 365$ |
| PG1259+593 | SDSS J125926.78 ${ }^{4}$ |  | 2867 | 43.9 | 6.5 | 255 | ! | - | - | \{Ly $\delta$ \} | <14 | <14 | $<13$ |
| RX J1830.3+7312 | MCG+12-17-27 | LGG420A | 1404 | 20.9 | 5.2 | 262 | ! | - | (-) | <14 | $<69$ | \{C $\mathrm{I}^{*}$ \} | $<56$ |
| PG1216+069 |  | LGG289 | [1282] ${ }^{2}$ | 22.6 |  | >264 | g | + | (-) | $119 \pm 19 \pm 6 @ 1443$ | <90 | $<83$ | $<80$ |
| PG1216+069 |  | LGG281 | [2473] ${ }^{2}$ | 39.6 |  | >264 | g | - | (-) | $<37$ | $<87$ | <104 | $<89$ |
| Mrk 106 | UGC4879 | GH44 | 600 | 5.9 | 2.8 | 266 | > |  | (-) |  | \{OI* ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | $\{\mathrm{Ly} \beta$ \} | $<52$ |
| PG1351+640 | UGC8894 |  | 1771 | 27.9 | 12.9 | 274 | ! | + | - | $143 \pm 18 \pm 3 @ 1771$ | \{ O vi \} | $\left\{\mathrm{H}_{2}\right\}$ | $<32$ |
| Mrk477 | UGC9452 |  | 2173 | 33.9 | 17.1 | 278 | ! | - | - |  | <39 | \{OI\} | $<42$ |
| 3C351.0 | 2MASX J170712.70 ${ }^{4}$ | LGG179A | 3099 | 45.8 | 7.9 | 280 | ! | - |  | <26 | \{Lylim \} | \{Lylim\} | \{Lylim |
| Mrk876 | UGC10294 |  | 3516 | 52.1 | 27.6 | 282 | ! | + | + | $267 \pm 12 \pm 3 @ 3481$ | \{ $\mathrm{Olvi}^{\text {\% }}$ | $18 \pm 4 \pm 7$ @ 3508 | $\left\{\mathrm{H}_{2}\right\}$ |
| PG1216+069 |  | LGG278 | [2078] ${ }^{2}$ | 33.9 |  | >283 | g | + | (-) | $2400 \pm 62 \pm 350 @ 1895$ | $1001 \pm 73 \pm 12 @ 1880$ | $<84$ | $<89$ |
| Mrk1383 |  | LGG386 | [1701] ${ }^{2}$ | 27.9 |  | >294 | g | - |  | <31 | \{ $\mathrm{OvI}^{\text {¢ }}$ \} | \{ OVI \} | \{flaw\} |
| PG0953+414 | NGC3104 ${ }^{3}$ |  | 612 | 11.9 | 11.5 | 296 | ! | $+$ | + | $70 \pm 9 \pm 3 @ 621$ | $<23$ | $39 \pm 8 \pm 7 @ 637$ | $<22$ |
| RX J1830.3+7312 | UGC11331 | LGG420A | 1554 | 23.8 | 10.5 | 296 | x |  | (-) | $\{\mathrm{Ly} \alpha$ \} | \{Lyк \} | \{ O vi \} | $<56$ |
| PG1001+291 | UGC5340 |  | 503 | 10.5 | 8.3 | 296 | > | (-) | (-) | $\{\mathrm{Ly} \alpha$ \} | <87 | $<71$ | \{OI\} |
| PG1351+640 | UGCA375 |  | 1763 | 27.7 | 7.3 | 299 | ? | + | - | $122 \pm 15 \pm 3 @ 1447$ | \{Ly $\delta$ \} | $\left\{\mathrm{H}_{2}\right\}$ | <33 |
| 3C351.0 | NGC6306 | LGG179A | 3012 | 49.1 | 14.3 | 305 | ! | - |  | $<26$ | \{Lylim\} | \{Lylim\} | \{Lylim\} |
| PKS2155-304 | ESO466-G32 |  | 5153 | 70.2 | 28.6 | 306 | + | + | - | $140 \pm 6 \pm 3 @ 5101$ | $43 \pm 4 \pm 8 @ 5099$ | $<10$ | \{Fe II\} |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | + | + | - | $104 \pm 7 \pm 2 @ 4990$ | $17 \pm 2 \pm 7 @ 4989$ | $<10$ | $<11$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | + | + | - | $61 \pm 6 \pm 3 @ 5164$ | $26 \pm 3 \pm 8 @ 5157$ | $<10$ | $<11$ |
| Mrk478 | Mrk475 |  | 583 | 11.7 | 3.8 | 306 | ? | - | - | $<14$ | $<57$ | $<45$ | $<60$ |
| Mrk290 | NGC5963 ${ }^{3}$ | LGG396 | 656 | 12.7 | 12.3 | 307 | ! | (+) | - |  | $23 \pm 10 \pm 8 @ 720$ | $<21$ | $<21$ |

Table 3
（Continued）

| Target | Galaxy | Group | $\begin{gathered} \hline v_{\text {gal }} \\ \left(\mathrm{km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)( \\ (4) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} D \quad D_{\mathrm{gal}} \\ (\mathrm{Mpc})(\mathrm{kpc}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \rho \\ (\mathrm{kpc}) \end{gathered}$ | $\text { Q } \mathrm{HIOVI}_{\mathrm{I}}$ | Ly $\alpha$ <br> （mA） | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Ly} \beta \\ (\mathrm{~m} \AA) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{O} \mathrm{vi}-1031 \\ (\mathrm{~m} \AA) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{O} \mathrm{VI}-1037 \\ (\mathrm{~m} \AA) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| （1） | （2） | （3） |  | （5）（6） | （7） | （8）（9）（10） | （11） | （12） | （13） |  |
| Mrk817 | UGC9391 | GH144 | 1921 | 30.114 .5 | 308 | $1+-$ | $29 \pm 7 \pm 2 @ 1922$ | ＜9 | $<19$ | ＜9 |
| RX J1830．3＋7312 | NGC6654A | LGG420A | 1558 | 23.818 .2 | 308 | ！＋（－） | 81 $\pm 6 \pm 3$＠ 1549 | \｛Lyк\} | \｛ O vi \} | ＜56 |
| 3C351．0 | NGC6307 | LGG179A | 3185 | 49.118 .8 | 311 | ！－ | ＜26 | \｛Lylim\} | \｛Lylim\} | \｛Lylim\} |
| Mrk290 | 2MASX J153514．22 ${ }^{4}$ | GH158 | 3092 | $46.5 \quad 8.0$ | 311 | x |  | \｛ CII \} | \｛ $\mathrm{OVIV}_{\text {vi }}$ | \｛ O vi \} |
| 3C273．0 |  | LGG287 | ［1655］${ }^{2}$ | 27.9 | ＞311 | $\mathrm{g}+$－ | $380 \pm 5 \pm 1$＠ 1580 | $236 \pm 2 \pm 8$＠ 1587 | $\left\{\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{vI}}\right.$ \} | ＜10 |
| 3C351．0 | NGC6292 | LGG179A | 3411 | 49.122 .1 | 314 | $2+$ | $117 \pm 13 \pm 5 @ 3465$ | \｛Lylim\} | \｛Lylim\} | \｛Lylim\} |
| 1H0419－577 |  | LGG114 | ［1481］${ }^{2}$ | 19.1 | $>317$ | $g(-)(-)$ |  | ＜58 | $\left\{\mathrm{CII}^{*}\right\}$ | ＜60 |
| Mrk335 | ESDO F538－02 |  | 2175 | 27.57 .2 | 320 | ！ | ＜39 | $<13$ | \｛ $\mathrm{OI}_{\text {I }}$ | $<11$ |
| MRC2251－178 | ESO603－G25 |  | 9086 | 125.938 .3 | 322 | ！＋－ | $54 \pm 8 \pm 2 @ 9032$ | ＜29 | \｛Fe II $\}$ | ＜31 |
| MRC2251－178 | ESO603－G27 |  | 3267 | 42.715 .6 | 322 | $2+-$ | $364 \pm 11 \pm 5 @ 3212$ | $91 \pm 9 \pm 18 @ 3203$ | ＜27 | ＜31 |
| HE0340－2703 | 6dF J0342278 ${ }^{4}$ |  | 1738 | $21.9 \quad 3.2$ | 325 | X | $\{\mathrm{Ly} \alpha$ \} |  |  |  |
| 3C351．0 | SDSS J170327．95 ${ }^{4}$ | LGG179A | 3313 | 48.977 | 327 | ！ | ＜32 | \｛Lylim | \｛Lylim | \｛Lylim $\}$ |
| PG0953＋414 | KUG0956＋420 |  | 1682 | 27.24 .9 | 332 | ！－ | ＜26 | \｛ $\mathrm{O}_{\text {VII }}$ \} | \｛ $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{VII}}$ \} | $\left\{\mathrm{H}_{2}\right\}$ |
| PG1001＋291 | UGC5464 |  | 1011 | 17.97 | 337 | $1+(-)$ | $267 \pm 14 \pm 5 @ 1069$ | ＜77 | $<71$ | ＜66 |
| RX J1830．3＋7312 | CGCG340－51 | LGG420A | 1469 | 21.94 .3 | 337 | ！－ | ＜14 | $<65$ | $\{\mathrm{CII*}$ \} | \｛unid\} |
| PG1626＋554 | NGC6182 |  | 5138 | 75.438 .1 | 338 | ！－－ |  | ＜31 | ＜32 | $<29$ |
| HE1228＋0131 |  | LGG287 | ［1655］${ }^{2}$ | 27.9 | ＞338 | $\mathrm{g}+$ | $623 \pm 22 \pm 4 @ 1700$ | $\{\mathrm{O} \mathrm{vI}$ \} | $\{\mathrm{O} \mathrm{vI}$ \} | \｛flaw\} |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{g}+(-) 1$ | $156 \pm 16 \pm 10 @ 1482$ | 58土26 | $\left\{\mathrm{CII}^{*}\right\}$ | ＜77 |
| HE1228＋0131 | UGC7625 |  | 2234 | 36.19 .6 | 339 | ！＋（－） | $338 \pm 21 \pm 6 @ 2306$ | $172 \pm 31 \pm 17 @ 2292$ | $<74$ | $<71$ |
| MS0700．7＋6338 |  | LGG140 | ［4404］${ }^{2}$ | 64.2 | ＞ 356 | $\mathrm{g}+$ |  | $209 \pm 16 \pm 29 @ 4322$ | $<25$ | ＜24 |
| 3C232 | UGC5272 |  | 520 | 10.76 .5 | 359 | x | $\{\operatorname{Ly} \alpha\}$ |  |  |  |
| Mrk205 | UGC7226 |  | 2267 | 34.413 .8 | 362 | 1 | ＜31 | $\left\{\mathrm{H}_{2}\right\}$ | $<23$ | $<20$ |
| MCG＋10－16－111 | CGCG291－61 |  | 3188 | 48.514 .4 | 367 | $!+$ | $102 \pm 12 \pm 3 @ 3113$ |  |  |  |
| HE1143－1810 | ESO571－G18 |  | 1391 | 23.47 .5 | 368 | ！－ |  | ＜47 | \｛ CII \} | ＜41 |
| RX J1830．3＋7312 | NGC6690 ${ }^{3}$ |  | 488 | 7.888 | 370 | $\mathrm{x} \quad(-)$ | $\{\operatorname{Ly} \alpha\}$ | \｛O ${ }^{*}$ \} | $<67$ | $<55$ |
| PG0844＋349 | UGC4621 |  | 2306 | 35.510 .3 | 372 | ＋＋ |  | $64 \pm 5 \pm 3 @ 2260$ | ＜17 | ＜18 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | ＋＋ |  | $29 \pm 6 \pm 3 @ 2326$ | \｛ CIII \} | $<18$ |
| Ton S210 | NGC253 ${ }^{3}$ | LGG4 | 251 | 2.620 .7 | 374 | ！－＋ | $\{\mathrm{Ly} \alpha\}$ | ＜20 | $25 \pm 8 \pm 7 @ 288$ | $\left\{\mathrm{H}_{2}\right\}$ |
| IRAS09149－6206 | IRAS09168－6141 |  | 3184 | 46.0 | 374 | ！ |  | \｛CII\} | $<31$ | \｛ArI\} |
| IRAS09149－6206 | ESO091－G07 | LGG488 | 2266 | 37.220 .1 | 377 | ！ |  | \｛C III\} | \｛OI\} | ＜31 |
| PG0838＋770 |  | LGG165 | 1370 | 21.0 | ＞380 | $\mathrm{g}(-)(-)$ |  | $<55$ | $\left\{\mathrm{H}_{2}\right\}$ | $<51$ |
| HE1228＋0131 | NGC4517 ${ }^{3}$ | LGG292 | 1121 | 17.753 .8 | 383 | $>(-)(-)$ | $<64$ | $<80$ | $<74$ | $<75$ |
| Mrk734 | 2MASX J112139．77 ${ }^{4}$ |  | 5944 | 89.066 | 388 | $>(-)(-)$ |  | $<77$ | $<79$ | $<87$ |
| PG0953＋414 | Mrk1427 |  | 2129 | $33.5 \begin{array}{ll}3.9\end{array}$ | 390 | ！－ | $\{\mathrm{Ly} \beta\}$ | $<17$ | \｛OI\} | $<17$ |
| PG0844＋349 | SDSS J084619．14 ${ }^{4}$ |  | 2368 | $36.3 \quad 3.8$ | 391 | ！－ |  | $<18$ | \｛C III） | $<18$ |
| PG1302－102 | MCG－2－34－6 |  | 1213 | 21.218 .2 | 391 | $2+-$ | $84 \pm 24 \pm 4 @ 1045$ | $<25$ | ＜22 | $\left\{\mathrm{H}_{2}\right\}$ |
| PG1049－005 | UGC5922 |  | 1846 | 30.29 .2 | 391 | $>$（ - ） | ＜75 |  |  |  |
| Mrk335 | NGC7817 ${ }^{3}$ |  | 2309 | 29.430 .4 | 395 | ！＋－ | $114 \pm 17 \pm 2 @ 2286$ | $<13$ | $<13$ | $<11$ |
| 1H0419－577 | NGC1533 |  | 790 | 9.27 | 396 | $>(-)(-)$ |  | ＜140 | $\{\mathrm{Ly} \epsilon$ \} | $<57$ |
| Mrk478 | UGC9540 |  | 802 | 14.84 .3 | 400 | ！ | $<16$ | ＜60 | ＜46 | $<53$ |
| 3C351．0 | NGC6310 | LGG179A | 3386 | 49.128 .5 | 402 | ！－ | $<30$ | \｛Lylim $\}$ | \｛Lylim\} | \｛Lylim\} |
| PG1626＋554 | NGC6143 |  | 1595 | $24.8 \quad 6.9$ | 403 | $!-$ |  | $\left\{\mathrm{H}_{2}\right\}$ | \｛ $\mathrm{OVII}^{\text {\％}}$ | ＜32 |
| ESO141－G55 | ESO141－G51 |  | 3497 | 48.614 .2 | 410 | ！ | ＜46 | \｛ $\mathrm{OVI}^{\text {¢ }}$ \} | ＜22 | $\left\{\mathrm{H}_{2}\right\}$ |
| MRC2251－178 | ESO603－IG23 |  | 3282 | 42.912 .5 | 412 | $2+-$ | $65 \pm 8 \pm 6 @ 3046$ | \｛CII\} | $<28$ | \｛ArI\} |
| Mrk1513 | UGC11782 |  | 1111 | $13.0 \quad 8.4$ | 412 | ！ | ＜15 | ＜25 | ＜24 | ＜32 |
| Mrk817 | SDSS J143146．90 ${ }^{4}$ |  | 1496 | 24.14 .8 | 413 | ！ |  | ＜8 | $\left\{\mathrm{CII}^{*}\right\}$ | $<10$ |
| PG1011－040 | IC600 |  | 1309 | 22.215 .1 | 417 | ！ |  | ＜24 | $\{\mathrm{CII}$ \} | ＜24 |
| Mrk477 | NGC5751 |  | 3242 | 49.121 .6 | 419 | ！－－ |  | ＜41 | \｛flaw\} | ＜46 |
| PG0844＋349 | KUG0847＋350 |  | 2354 | 36.24 .0 | 421 | ！－ |  | ＜18 | \｛C III） | ＜18 |
| Mrk9 | UGC3943 | LGG143 | 3527 | 50.224 .8 | 422 | － |  | \｛ $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{VI}}$ \} | ＜34 | $\left\{\mathrm{H}_{2}\right\}$ |
| MRC2251－178 | ESO603－G31 |  | 2271 | 28.59 .1 | 422 | ！＋（＋） | $133 \pm 12 \pm 3 @ 2265$ | $\{\mathrm{Ly} \gamma\}$ | \｛O ${ }^{*}$ \} | $40 \pm 12 \pm 9$＠ 2283 |
| Mrk290 | NGC5987 | GH158 | 3010 | 42.751 .7 | 424 | ！＋ |  | \｛СiI\} 4 | 49土8土7＠3073 | $20 \pm 8 \pm 7 @ 3073$ |
| HE1029－1401 | 6dF J1033307 ${ }^{4}$ |  | 2475 | 38.710 .1 | 427 | ！＋ | $168 \pm 9 \pm 3 @ 2457$ |  |  |  |
| 3C273．0 | 2MASX J122815．85 ${ }^{4}$ | LGG281 | 2286 | 36.95 | 429 | $2+-$ | $31 \pm 7 \pm 1 @ 2274$ | ＜9 | $<10$ | $<10$ |
| Ton S180 | NGC45 | Scl | 468 | 2.56 .3 | 437 | ！－ | ＜13 | ＜41 | ＜28 | \｛OI\} |
| HE1143－1810 | ［KKS2000］25 |  | 1227 | 23.478 | 437 | ！ |  | ＜52 | \｛ CII \} | $<45$ |
| PG0804＋761 | UGC4527 |  | 721 | 11.84 | 438 | ！－－ | $<10$ | \｛O I＊${ }^{*}$ | ＜11 | ＜11 |
| PG1049－005 | IC653 | LGG205 | 5538 | 83.545 .2 | 438 | $>(-)$ | ＜94 |  |  |  |
| Mrk817 | SBS1430＋596 | GH144 | 1855 | 29.16 .6 | 441 | $1-$ | $<17$ | \｛ $\mathrm{OVII}^{\text {V }}$ | $<19$ | $<9$ |
| PG1149－110 | MCG－2－30－33 |  | 1273 | $22.0 \quad 5.1$ | 442 | ！$(-)$ | ＜109 |  |  |  |
| Mrk290 | SDSS J153802．76 ${ }^{4}$ |  | 3525 | 52.78 | 444 | ！－－ |  | $<22$ | ＜19 | $\left\{\mathrm{H}_{2}\right\}$ |
| PG1444＋407 | UGC9497 |  | 633 | 12.24 .0 | 445 | $!-(-)$ | $<35$ |  | $<89$ |  |

Table 3
(Continued)

| Target | Galaxy | Group | $\begin{gathered} v_{\mathrm{gal}} \\ \left(\mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} D \\ (\mathrm{Mpc}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} D_{\text {gal }} \\ (\mathrm{kpc}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \rho \\ (\mathrm{kpc}) \end{gathered}$ | Q |  | O vi | $\begin{gathered} \operatorname{Ly} \alpha \\ (\mathrm{m} \AA) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Ly} \beta \\ (\mathrm{~mA}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{O} \text { vi-1031 } \\ (\mathrm{mA}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{OVI}-1037 \\ (\mathrm{~mA}) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |  | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) |  |
| PG0953+414 | KUG0952+418 |  | 4695 | 70.2 | 9.2 | 449 | + | $+$ | - | $55 \pm 10 \pm 1 @ 4670$ | <22 | \{Ar I\} | $<17$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | + | + | - | $160 \pm 12 \pm 1 @ 4807$ | $<21$ | $<18$ | $<18$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | + | + |  | $120 \pm 10 \pm 1 @ 4961$ | $<17$ | $<17$ | $<17$ |
| Mrk 106 | NGC2841 ${ }^{3}$ | GH44 | 638 | 5.9 | 13.9 | 453 | > |  | (-) |  | \{OI* ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | $\{\mathrm{Ly} \beta$ \} | $<51$ |
| 3C249.1 | UGC6154 |  | 5156 | 75.5 | 21.5 | 457 | ! | - |  | <29 | <27 | $\left\{\mathrm{H}_{2}\right\}$ | \{ $\mathrm{Fe}_{\mathrm{II}}$ \} |
| MCG+10-16-111 | NGC3556 ${ }^{3}$ | GH94 | 695 | 10.2 | 25.8 | 462 | ! | + |  | $173 \pm 14 \pm 4 @ 942$ |  |  |  |
| PG0838+770 | UGC4623 |  | 2885 | 42.7 | 44.1 | 467 | > |  | (-) |  | $<57$ | $<52$ | $<52$ |
| PG1302-102 | NGC4920 | LGG307 | 1336 | 22.9 | 6.8 | 473 | 2 | + | - | $284 \pm 30 \pm 2$ @ 1316 | $221 \pm 8 \pm 7 @ 1312$ | $\{\mathrm{CII}\}$ | <31 |
| PG1049-005 | CGCG10-41 |  | 1810 | 29.7 | 4.5 | 475 | > | (-) |  | <78 |  |  |  |
| Mrk771 | NGC4826 |  | 408 | 4.6 | 13.3 | 479 | ! |  | (-) | $<11$ | $<116$ | $<105$ | \{OI\} |
| 1H0707-495 | ESO207-G22 |  | 1029 | 14.4 | 5.0 | 482 | ! | + | - |  | $26 \pm 7 \pm 8 @ 1302$ | \{ CII \} | <34 |
| IRAS09149-6206 | NGC2842 | LGG488 | 2857 | 37.2 | 16.7 | 485 | ! |  | (-) |  | <33 | <61 | $\left\{\mathrm{H}_{2}\right.$ \} |
| Mrk876 | UGC10369 |  | 998 | 16.0 | 5.9 | 493 | ! |  | - | $\{\mathrm{Ly} \alpha\}$ | $\{\mathrm{Ly} \beta$ \} | $<12$ | $\left\{\mathrm{H}_{2}\right\}$ |
| Mrk734 | NGC3692 |  | 1726 | 10.0 | 9.2 | 497 | $>$ |  |  |  | $<75$ | $<71$ | <69 |
| RX J0048.3+3941 | UGC578 |  | 1471 | 18.4 | 5.9 | 499 | ! |  | - |  | \{ Olv , | $\{\mathrm{CII*}$ \} | <23 |
| Mrk734 | SDSS J111938.66 ${ }^{4}$ |  | 3054 | 47.7 | 5.3 | 500 | > |  | (-) |  | $\{\mathrm{CII}\}$ | <72 | \{ArI\} |
| Mrk290 | CGCG297-17 | GH158 | 3282 | 49.2 | 8.7 | 501 | ! |  | - |  | $\{\mathrm{CII}$ * | \{flaw\} | $<18$ |
| Mrk771 | NGC4561 | LGG289 | 1403 | 22.6 | 9.9 | 502 | 1 | + | (-) | $321 \pm 13 \pm 2 @ 1184$ | <109 | $<95$ | <89 |
| 3C249.1 | UGC5854 |  | 1808 | 27.6 | 7.8 | 505 | 1 | - | - | <29 | \{ O vi \} | \{ $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ \} | <26 |
| PG1216+069 |  | LGG288 | 505 | 11.5 |  | $>506$ | > |  | (-) | \{Ly $\alpha$ \} | \{O ${ }^{*}$ \} | <86 | \{OI* ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ |
| Mrk817 | UGC9477 |  | 2325 | 35.8 | 7.7 | 508 | $!$ | - | - | <15 | $<9$ | <20 | \{flaw\} |
| Mrk110 | NGC2681 | GH44 | 692 | 5.9 | 6.2 | 513 | > | (-) |  | $<71$ |  |  |  |
| Mrk501 | CGCG225-6 |  | 4648 | 68.3 | 10.7 | 517 | 1 | (-) | - | $<53$ | $<72$ | <37 | <37 |
| Mrk501 | NGC6257 |  | 4692 | 68.9 | 18.4 | 521 | 1 | + | - | $150 \pm 26 \pm 4 @ 4593$ | $<71$ | <36 | <39 |
| PG1149-110 | NGC3892 | LGG248 | 1697 | 28.3 | 24.3 | 529 | 1 | + |  | $437 \pm 69 \pm 73$ @ 1665 |  |  |  |
| ESO438-G09 | NGC3621 |  | 727 | 6.6 | 23.8 | 530 | > | - |  | <56 |  |  |  |
| 3C351.0 | UGC10770 |  | 1108 | 17.3 | 5.9 | 531 | $!$ | - |  | <30 | \{Lylim \} | \{Lylim \} | \{Lylim\} |
| Mrk421 | NGC3432 ${ }^{3}$ |  | 616 | 10.8 | 21.3 | 538 | ! | - | - |  | <15 | $<11$ | <11 |
| 3C249.1 | UGC5841 |  | 1766 | 27.0 | 12.2 | 538 | $!$ | - | - | <29 | \{ Olv V $\}$ | $\left\{\mathrm{H}_{2}\right\}$ | <26 |
| Mrk290 | SDSS J153733.02 ${ }^{4}$ | GH158 | 2932 | 44.2 | 9.6 | 540 | ! |  | - |  | $\left\{\mathrm{CII}^{\text {] }}\right.$ | $<20$ | $<18$ |
| PG1149-110 | MCG-2-30-39 |  | 1483 | 25.0 | 13.6 | 541 | $!$ | (-) |  | $<126$ |  |  |  |
| HE0226-4110 | NGC986A |  | 1406 | 16.7 | 8.6 | 542 | 1 | - | - | <31 | $<21$ | \{ $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{II}}$ - HVC \} | $<18$ |
| 3C249.1 | NGC3329 ${ }^{5}$ |  | 1812 | 27.7 | 14.3 | 543 | 1 | + | - | $40 \pm 9 \pm 3 @ 1861$ | \{ Olv , | $\left\{\mathrm{H}_{2}\right\}$ | $<27$ |
| PG1116+215 | UGC6258 |  | 1454 | 24.8 | 14.4 | 543 | ! | + |  | $91 \pm 10 \pm 2 @ 1479$ | <14 | $\left\{\mathrm{CHI}^{*}\right\}$ | $\{\mathrm{Ly} \%$ \} |
| HE0340-2703 | ESO482-G46 |  | 1525 | 19.0 | 18.7 | 543 | $>$ | (-) |  | <69 |  |  |  |
| Mrk817 | NGC5667 | GH144 | 2001 | 30.8 | 15.2 | 549 | 1 | - | - | $<16$ | <9 | \{OI\} | $<9$ |
| PKS0558-504 | ESO205-G34 |  | 1026 | 13.8 | 5.2 | 552 | ! | - | - |  | <21 | <20 | $\left\{\mathrm{H}_{2}\right.$ \} |
| Mrk478 | UGC9519 | GH147 | 1692 | 23.1 | 5.3 | 554 | 1 | - | - | $<17$ | \{ $\mathrm{OVIV}^{\text {V }}$ | <41 | $<57$ |
| Mrk817 | NGC5678 ${ }^{3}$ | GH144 | 1922 | 30.8 | 29.7 | 556 | 1 |  | - | $\{\mathrm{Ly} \alpha$ \} | $<9$ | <19 | <9 |
| Ton S180 | 2MASX J005700.66 ${ }^{4}$ |  | 2657 | 33.8 | 4.9 | 560 | 1 | + | - | $38 \pm 10 \pm 1 @ 2792$ | $<27$ | $<26$ | $<28$ |
| HE0226-4110 | NGC954 ${ }^{3}$ | LGG62 | 5353 | 69.9 | 33.0 | 562 | $!$ | + | + | $60 \pm 7 \pm 2 @ 5235$ | \{flaw \} | $41 \pm 6 \pm 4 @ 5240$ | \{Oiv $\}$ |
| Mrk290 | SBS1533+574 | GH158 | 3310 | 49.6 | 7.1 | 563 | ! |  | - |  | $\{\mathrm{CII}$ * $\}$ | \{flaw\} | $<17$ |
| Mrk1095 | UGC3303 |  | 522 | 6.4 | 6.8 | 571 | ! |  | - |  | \{OI* ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | <31 | \{OI\} |
| Mrk876 | UGC10194 |  | 870 | 14.4 | 6.9 | 573 | ! | - | - | $\{\mathrm{Ly} \alpha$ \} | $<13$ | $<12$ | $<16$ |
| ESO438-G09 | 2MASX J111343.40 ${ }^{4}$ |  | 2100 | 33.1 | 4.8 | 577 | ! | + |  | $265 \pm 30 \pm 13 @ 2215$ |  |  |  |
| Mrk106 | NGC2681 |  | 692 | 5.9 | 6.2 | 581 | ! |  | - |  | \{O ${ }^{*}$ \} | <32 | <56 |
| PG1259+593 | UGC8046 |  | 2572 | 39.7 | 11.8 | 584 | 1 | - | - | <25 | <14 | \{OI* ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | <14 |
| IRAS09149-6206 | RKK1037 | LGG488 | 2242 | 32.5 |  | 585 | ! |  | (-) |  | \{ CIII \} | \{OI\} | <31 |
| 3C351.0 | SDSS J170349.45 ${ }^{4}$ |  | 5183 | 75.6 | 6.6 | 594 | 1 | + |  | $182 \pm 10 \pm 4 @ 5175$ | \{Lylim\} | \{Lylim\} | \{Lylim\} |
| PG1259+593 | UGC8040 |  | 2522 | 39.0 | 16.8 | 595 | 1 | + | - | $291 \pm 11 \pm 1 @ 2275$ | $67 \pm 6 \pm 2 @ 2269$ | \{Ovı@643\} | $<13$ |
| HE1143-1810 | NGC3887 |  | 1209 | 20.9 | 20.2 | 596 | ! | - | - |  | $<51$ | <47 | $<46$ |
| PG1216+069 | NGC4296 |  | 4227 | 64.6 | 25.4 | 596 | ! |  | (-) | <36 | $<82$ | $<83$ | <84 |
| PKS2005-489 | ESO233-G37 |  | 4950 | 68.8 | 26.4 | 599 | 2 | + | - | $307 \pm 5 \pm 6 @ 4973$ | $46 \pm 7 \pm 7 @ 4959$ | $<20$ | $<20$ |
| RX J0048.3+3941 | UGC655 |  | 829 | 9.4 | 6.9 | 602 | ! |  | - |  | <36 | <25 | $\left\{\mathrm{H}_{2}\right.$ \} |
| Mrk509 | NGC6985A |  | 5777 | 80.0 | 30.7 | 607 | $!$ | - | - | $\{\mathrm{NV}$ \} | $<12$ | $<12$ | $<11$ |
| PG1216+069 |  | LGG287 | $[1655]^{2}$ | 27.9 |  | >607 |  | (-) |  | $\{\mathrm{Ly} \alpha$ \} | <89 | \{ O vi \} | \{flaw\} |
| IRAS F22456-5125 | ESO238-G05 |  | 706 | 7.0 | 5.9 | 616 | ! | (-) | - |  | $<63$ | $<45$ | <56 |
| MCG+10-16-111 |  | LGG244 | [1230] ${ }^{2}$ | 20.5 |  | $>616$ | ! | - |  | <30 |  |  |  |
| Mrk734 | IC2763 |  | 1574 | 26.6 | 10.4 | 617 |  |  |  |  | $<73$ | \{ $\mathrm{OVIV}_{\text {VI }}$ | <94 |
| VIIZw118 | UGC3648 | LGG140 | 4530 | 65.9 | 25.9 | 620 | 1 |  |  | $64 \pm 16 \pm 2 @ 4613$ | <37 | <19 | $<19$ |
| MCG+10-16-111 | UGC6249 | GH94 | 1058 | 17.9 | 8.1 | 620 |  |  |  | <28 |  |  |  |

Table 3
(Continued)

| Target | Galaxy | Group | $\begin{gathered} v_{\text {gal }} \\ \left(\mathrm{km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} D \\ (\mathrm{Mpc}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} D_{\mathrm{gal}} \\ (\mathrm{kpc}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \rho \\ (\mathrm{kpc}) \end{gathered}$ | Q | Hi | O vi | Ly $\alpha$ <br> (mA) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Ly} \beta \\ (\mathrm{~m} \AA) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{O} \mathrm{vI}-1031 \\ (\mathrm{~m} \AA) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{O} \text { vi-1037 } \\ (\mathrm{mA}) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) |  |
| 3C351.0 | Ark514 | LGG179A | 3736 | 55.0 | 10.1 | 624 | ! | - |  | <29 | \{Lylim\} | \{Lylim\} | \{Lylim\} |
| PKS0558-504 | NGC2104 ${ }^{3}$ |  | 1181 | 15.7 | 9.1 | 626 | ! | - | - |  | <21 | \{ $\mathrm{CIII}^{\text {] }}$ | <25 |
| 3C263 | NGC3682 |  | 1543 | 24.5 | 11.8 | 626 | ! | - | - |  | <31 | \{ $\mathrm{CII}^{*}$ \} | <31 |
| PG1351+640 | UGCA374 | GH122 | 2133 | 33.1 | 8.6 | 631 | ! | - | (-) | <35 | <24 | \{OI\} | $<52$ |
| 3C351.0 | SDSS J170112.68 ${ }^{4}$ | LGG179A | 3788 | 55.7 | 6.8 | 632 | ! | - |  | <29 | \{Lylim\} | \{Lylim\} | \{Lylim\} |
| PKS2155-304 | ESO466-G29 | LGG450 | 2772 | 36.2 | 15.8 | 633 | ! | - | - | <20 | $<9$ | $<11$ | $<10$ |
| 3C263 | UGC6448 | GH94 | 991 | 16.8 | 5.1 | 634 | ! | - | - |  | <32 | <29 | <55 |
| PG1444+407 | UGC9502 |  | 5672 | 84.3 | 27.9 | 637 | ! | + | (-) | $103 \pm 11 \pm 4 @ 5638$ | <80 | <86 | $<85$ |
| RX J1830.3+7312 | NGC6643 | LGG420A | 1489 | 23.8 | 26.4 | 638 | ! | - |  | <14 | <62 | $\left\{\mathrm{H}_{2}\right.$ \} | \{unid\} |
| PG1149-110 | LCRS B115151.0 ${ }^{4}$ |  | 3009 | 46.8 | 20.4 | 640 | ! | (-) |  | <118 |  |  |  |
| 3C249.1 | UGC5814 |  | 1881 | 28.6 | 13.3 | 641 | ! |  | - | $\{\mathrm{Ly} \alpha$ \} | \{ $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{VI}}$ \} | $\left\{\mathrm{H}_{2}\right\}$ | $<27$ |
| PG1149-110 | LCSB S1630P |  | 1971 | 32.0 | 11.2 | 642 | ! | (-) |  | <125 |  |  |  |
| PG1216+069 | NGC4246 |  | 3725 | 57.5 | 40.1 | 644 | ! |  | (-) | $\{\mathrm{Ly} \alpha$ \} | $\left\{\mathrm{H}_{2}\right\}$ | $<74$ | $<85$ |
| Mrk478 | NGC5727 ${ }^{3}$ |  | 1491 | 24.7 | 16.1 | 645 | 1 | + | - | $254 \pm 14 \pm 2$ @ 1573 | $<44$ | $<42$ | $<47$ |
| PKS2155-304 | 2dF GRSS407Z162 | LGG450 | 2832 | 36.2 | 6.5 | 648 | ! | - | - | <20 | $<9$ | $<11$ | $<10$ |
| PG1302-102 | MCG-2-33-95 |  | 2753 | 43.2 | 17.4 | 657 | ! | - | - | $<77$ | <23 | <30 | <23 |
| PG1302-102 | MCG-2-33-75 |  | 1247 | 21.7 | 6.8 | 658 | ! | - | - | $<78$ | <24 | $\{\mathrm{CII}$ \} | $<30$ |
| 3C232 | UGC5340 |  | 503 | 10.5 | 8.3 | 660 | X |  |  | $\{\mathrm{Ly} \alpha$ \} |  |  |  |
| ESO141-G55 | IC4843 |  | 3975 | 55.5 | 24.2 | 662 | ! | - | - | <38 | \{ $\mathrm{O}_{\text {I }}$ \} | $<22$ | $\left\{\mathrm{H}_{2}\right\}$ |
| Mrk279 | UGC8737 |  | 1873 | 29.1 | 19.0 | 664 | ! | - | - | $<15$ | \{ $\mathrm{Olvi}_{\text {V }}$, | $<9$ | $<9$ |
| ESO185-IG13 | 2MASX J194221.91 ${ }^{4}$ |  | 2998 | 41.3 | 8.4 | 672 | > |  | (-) |  | \{ $\mathrm{CII}^{\text {] }}$ | $<84$ | $\{\mathrm{Ly} \beta$ \} |
| Mrk335 | UGC44 |  | 5936 | 81.2 | 21.5 | 675 | ! | - | - | $<24$ | $<12$ | $<10$ | <9 |
| 1H0717+714 | UGC3909 ${ }^{3}$ |  | 945 | 14.8 | 11.3 | 677 | ! |  | - |  | $\left\{\mathrm{H}_{2}\right\}$ | <23 | $<41$ |
| PG1011-040 | LCRS B101019.9 ${ }^{4}$ |  | 3395 | 51.9 | 9.8 | 680 | ! |  | - |  | $\left\{\mathrm{H}_{2}\right.$ \} | <22 | \{ $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ \} |
| PG1216+069 | IC3136 |  | 5594 | 84.2 | 28.8 | 684 | ! | - | (-) | <32 | $<72$ | <92 | <93 |
| PG1049-005 | UGC6011 | LGG205 | 5547 | 83.5 | 33.5 | 685 | > | (-) |  | <93 |  |  |  |
| PG1001+291 | UGC5478 |  | 1378 | 23.1 | 11.2 | 686 | ! | - | (-) | <35 | $<74$ | $\left\{\mathrm{H}_{2}\right.$ \} | $<65$ |
| PG1216+069 | NGC4257 |  | 2756 | 43.6 | 16.0 | 689 | 1 | - | (-) | <36 | $<87$ | <98 | <86 |
| PG1049-005 | UGC5943 |  | 4544 | 68.7 | 22.4 | 689 | > | (-) |  | $<87$ |  |  |  |
| Mrk876 | NGC6135 |  | 3644 | 53.9 | 14.1 | 690 | ! | - | - | <30 | \{ $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ \} | \{ $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ \} | $<14$ |
| PG1259+593 | NGC4814 ${ }^{3}$ |  | 2513 | 38.9 | 35.0 | 694 | 1 | - | - | <24 | $<14$ | <14 | <14 |
| Mrk335 | UGC12893 |  | 1108 | 12.1 | 6.0 | 697 | ? | + | - | $57 \pm 9 \pm 3 @ 1308$ | <14 | $\left\{\mathrm{H}_{2}\right\}$ | <12 |
| Mrk501 | UGC10625 |  | 2048 | 31.1 | 11.7 | 700 | ! | - | - | <21 | <36 | \{ $\mathrm{O}_{\text {I }}$ \} | <36 |
| 1H0419-577 |  | LGG119 | [1266] ${ }^{2}$ | 16.4 |  | $>700$ | g | (-) |  |  | $<53$ | \{CII\} | \{Oi* ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ |
| Ton S210 | NGC45 | Scl | 468 | 2.5 | 6.3 | 702 | 1 | - | - | $\{\mathrm{Ly} \alpha$ \} | <42 | <21 | \{ $\mathrm{OI}_{\text {I }}$, |
| 3C351.0 | UGC10745 | LGG179A | 3059 | 45.2 | 13.1 | 710 | + | - |  | <26 | \{Lylim\} | \{Lylim\} | \{Lylim\} |
| PKS2155-304 | MCG-5-52-1 | LGG450 | 2540 | 36.2 | 6.2 | 718 | ! | - | - | <21 | $<9$ | $<11$ | $<10$ |
| PKS2155-304 | ESO466-G43 | LGG450 | 2608 | 33.9 | 14.8 | 719 | ! | - | - | <20 | $<9$ | $<11$ | $<10$ |
| Mrk290 | NGC5981 |  | 1764 | 27.5 | 22.5 | 725 | ! |  | - |  | \{ $\mathrm{OVIV}^{\text {l }}$ | $\left\{\mathrm{H}_{2}\right.$ \} | <20 |
| HS0624+6907 | UGC3580 ${ }^{3}$ |  | 1201 | 18.2 | 18.0 | 729 | ! | - | (-) | <29 | <40 | \{ CII \} | $<66$ |
| PG1001+291 | UGC5272 |  | 520 | 10.7 | 6.5 | 731 | > | (-) | (-) | $\{\mathrm{Ly} \alpha$ \} | <87 | $<70$ | \{OI\} |
| Mrk290 | NGC5907 ${ }^{3}$ | LGG396 | 667 | 13.4 | 49.1 | 734 | 1 |  | - |  | $\left\{\mathrm{H}_{2}\right\}$ | $<22$ | <22 |
| PKS0558-504 | NGC2101 |  | 1192 | 15.8 | 9.0 | 734 | ! | - | - |  | $<21$ | \{CII\} | <25 |
| Fairal19 | NGC484 | LGG19 | 5200 | 69.0 | 39.1 | 735 | ! | - | (-) | <19 | $\left\{\mathrm{H}_{2}\right\}$ | $<77$ | <75 |
| VIIZw118 | UGC3642 ${ }^{3}$ | LGG140 | 4498 | 64.2 | 28.2 | 738 | 1 | - | - | <26 | $\left\{\mathrm{H}_{2}\right\}$ | $<19$ | $\left\{\mathrm{H}_{2}\right\}$ |
| IRAS09149-6206 | ESO091-G02 |  | 3045 | 43.9 |  | 740 | ! |  | - |  | \{ CII \} | <32 | \{ Ar I\} |
| IRAS09149-6206 | IRAS09106-6258 | LGG488 | 2997 | 43.2 |  | 741 | ! |  | - |  | \{CII\} | <32 | <33 |
| PKS2155-304 | 2dF GRSS408Z175 | LGG450 | 2679 | 36.2 | 6.7 | 741 | ! | - | - | <20 | $<9$ | $<11$ | $<10$ |
| PG1216+069 | NGC4247 |  | 3838 | 59.1 | 18.8 | 742 | 2 | (-) | (-) | $\{\mathrm{Ly} \alpha$ \} | $<78$ | $<79$ | <83 |
| Mrk477 | NGC5687 |  | 2119 | 33.1 | 23.1 | 743 | ! | - | - |  | <38 | \{ $\mathrm{OI}_{1}$ \} | $<41$ |
| Mrk477 | KUG1437+524 |  | 2181 | 34.1 | 9.6 | 743 | ! | - | - |  | <39 | \{OI\} | <42 |
| 3C263 | UGC6390 | GH94 | 1008 | 17.0 | 10.3 | 747 | ! | - | - |  | <31 | <29 | $<54$ |
| Mrk478 | UGC9562 | GH147 | 1253 | 23.1 | 6.4 | 748 | 1 | - | (-) | $<17$ | <45 | \{ CII \} | <58 |
| PG1011-040 | MCG-1-26-12 |  | 662 | 12.7 | 10.4 | 750 | ! |  | - |  | $\left\{\mathrm{H}_{2}\right\}$ | <23 | <25 |
| Mrk110 | UGC4913 |  | 2371 | 36.4 | 13.7 | 751 | $>$ | - |  | $<68$ |  |  |  |
| VIIZw118 | UGC3748 |  | 2479 | 36.6 | 12.2 | 753 | ! | + | - | $333 \pm 16 \pm 2 @ 2438$ | $70 \pm 8 \pm 7 @ 2444$ | $\left\{\mathrm{H}_{2}\right\}$ | $<21$ |
| PG0953+414 | NGC3184 |  | 593 | 23.4 | 23.4 | 758 | ! | - | - | $\{\mathrm{Ly} \alpha$ \} | $<22$ | \{ O vi \} | $<22$ |
| HE1228+0131 | MCG0-32-15 |  | 6948 | 103.5 | 26.2 | 756 | ! | - | (-) | <45 | $<75$ | $<75$ | $<72$ |
| Mrk477 | SBS1436+529B |  | 3389 | 51.3 | 10.0 | 761 | X |  |  |  | $\{\mathrm{OVI}$ \} | \{flaw\} | \{ $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ \} |
| ESO141-G55 | IC4824 |  | 953 | 12.4 | 9.7 | 769 | ! |  | - |  | $\left\{\mathrm{H}_{2}\right\}$ | $\left\{\mathrm{H}_{2}\right\}$ | <28 |
| 3C273.0 | UGC7625 |  | 2234 | 36.1 | 9.6 | 771 | 2 | + | - | $20 \pm 5 \pm 1 @ 2160$ | <9 | \{OI\} | $<10$ |
| Mrk106 | CGCG265-14 |  | 3334 | 50.1 | 13.1 | 772 | X |  |  |  | \{ O vi \} | \{flaw\} | $\left\{\mathrm{H}_{2}\right\}$ |

Table 3
(Continued)

| Target (1) | Galaxy (2) | Group (3) | $\begin{gathered} v_{\text {gal }} \\ \left(\mathrm{km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ <br> (4) | D (Mpc) (5) | $D_{\text {gal }}$ <br> (kpc) <br> (6) | $\begin{gathered} \rho \\ (\mathrm{kpc}) \end{gathered}$ <br> (7) | Q (8) | Hi (9) | $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{VI}}$ (10) | Ly $\alpha$ <br> (mA) <br> (11) | Ly $\beta$ <br> (mA) <br> (12) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{O} \text { vi-1031 } \\ (\mathrm{m} \AA) \\ (13) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{OVI}-1037 \\ (\mathrm{~mA}) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ton S180 | ESO541-G05 |  | 1958 | 23.8 | 8.3 | 774 | 1 | + | - | $54 \pm 10 \pm 2$ @ 1939 | $<27$ | \{ O vi \} | $<27$ |
| Ton S180 | ESO474-G45 |  | 1863 | 18.6 | 7.2 | 777 | 1 | - | - | <22 | \{ $\mathrm{OVIV}^{\text {V }}$ | <28 | <29 |
| PG1302-102 | MCG-2-33-97 |  | 2705 | 42.5 | 14.9 | 782 | ! | - | - | <79 | <23 | <29 | <23 |
| PKS2005-489 | 2MASX J200943.13 ${ }^{4}$ |  | 5116 | 71.2 | 16.6 | 787 | 2 | + |  | $330 \pm 3 \pm 6 @ 5071$ | $170 \pm 9 \pm 8 @ 5079$ | $\left\{\mathrm{H}_{2}\right.$ \} | \{Fe II\} |
| PHL1811 | SDSS J215446.45 ${ }^{4}$ |  | 5498 | 75.1 | 12.0 | 787 | ! | + | - | $35 \pm 3 \pm 2 @ 5402$ | $\{\mathrm{Ly} \gamma\}$ | $\left\{\mathrm{H}_{2}\right\}$ | <20 |
| ESO141-G55 | ESO141-G42 |  | 935 | 12.1 | 10.6 | 790 | ! |  | - |  | $\left\{\mathrm{H}_{2}\right\}$ | $\left\{\mathrm{H}_{2}\right.$ \} | <28 |
| Mrk876 | UGC10376 |  | 3246 | 48.1 | 19.3 | 799 | $!$ | - |  | $<31$ | \{C II $\}$ | $\left\{\mathrm{CII}^{*}\right\}$ | $\left\{\mathrm{H}_{2}\right\}$ |
| Fairall9 | ESO113-G35 | LGG19 | 5063 | 69.0 | 19.6 | 799 | $!$ | - | (-) | $<19$ | $<67$ | <75 | \{Fe II $\}$ |
| Fairall9 | ESO115-G21 |  | 513 | 4.8 | 6.8 | 799 | $!$ |  | (-) |  |  | $<57$ | \{O ${ }^{*}$ \} |
| PG0838+770 | UGC4238 ${ }^{3}$ |  | 1544 | 23.4 | 16.3 | 803 | $!$ | (-) | (-) |  | $<54$ | $<48$ | <49 |
| Mrk501 | NGC6239 ${ }^{3}$ |  | 922 | 15.1 | 11.3 | 807 | ! | - | - |  | <36 | <38 | $<74$ |
| IRAS09149-6206 | ESO126-G10 |  | 2152 | 31.3 | 15.8 | 808 | $!$ | - | (-) |  | \{ CIII \} | \{OI\} | <32 |
| RX J0048.3+3941 | CGCG535-25 |  | 4001 | 54.6 | 9.5 | 810 | ! |  | - |  | \{OI\} | <24 | $\left\{\mathrm{H}_{2}\right.$ \} |
| HE1143-1810 | ESO572-G06 | LGG263 | 1737 | 28.4 | 9.9 | 811 | $!$ | - | - |  | <46 | \{ O VI \} | $<42$ |
| NGC985 | SDSS J023305.84 ${ }^{4}$ |  | 5680 | 77.5 | 5.9 | 811 | $!$ | - | - | \{ N v \} | <29 | $\left\{\mathrm{H}_{2}\right\}$ | <28 |
| PG1149-110 | PGC37027 |  | 2379 | 37.9 | 14.5 | 812 | $!$ |  |  | $<156$ |  |  |  |
| PG0844+349 | NGC2649 |  | 4244 | 63.1 | 29.1 | 814 | $!$ |  | - |  | \{ $\mathrm{CIIII}^{\text {] }}$ | $<18$ | $<18$ |
| PG1444+407 | SDSS J145001.59 ${ }^{4}$ |  | 4814 | 72.0 | 8.0 | 817 | $!$ | - | (-) | $<32$ |  | $<84$ | $<88$ |
| PG1302-102 | NGC4933 |  | 2965 | 46.2 | 34.9 | 820 | $!$ | - | - | <77 | <24 | <30 | <24 |
| PG1341+258 | CGCG132-10 |  | 3188 | 49.5 | 6.9 | 825 | $!$ | - |  | <36 |  |  |  |
| PG0844+349 | UGC4660 |  | 2203 | 34.1 | 11.6 | 826 | $!$ |  | - |  | \{ $\mathrm{O}_{\text {vI }}$ \} | $<16$ | $<18$ |
| PKS2155-304 | ESO466-G36 | LGG450 | 2380 | 33.8 | 12.7 | 829 | $!$ | - | - | <24 | $<9$ | $<11$ | $<10$ |
| PG0804+761 | UGC3909 ${ }^{4,5}$ |  | 945 | 14.8 | 11.3 | 829 | ? | + | - | $159 \pm 7 \pm 2 @ 1144$ | $52 \pm 10 \pm 2 @ 1119$ | $\{\mathrm{CII}\}$ | <31 |
| PG1302-102 | MCG-1-33-60 | LGG307 | 1487 | 22.4 | 20.1 | 830 | ! | - | - | $<79$ | <24 | $\left\{\mathrm{H}_{2}\right\}$ | <24 |
| Mrk110 | UGC4906 |  | 2322 | 35.6 | 20.7 | 834 | > | (-) |  | $<67$ |  |  |  |
| ESO438-G09 | ESO438-G15 |  | 3353 | 50.9 | 29.6 | 838 | > | (-) |  | <69 |  |  |  |
| PG0804+761 | UGC4466 | LGG165 | 1416 | 21.0 | 8.4 | 839 | $!$ | - | - | <16 | <13 | $\left\{\mathrm{H}_{2}\right\}$ | $<11$ |
| 3C351.0 | SDSS J171138.94 ${ }^{4}$ | LGG179A | 3855 | 56.6 | 6.3 | 839 | ! | - |  | <29 | \{Lylim\} | \{Lylim\} | \{Lylim\} |
| PG1216+069 | IC773 |  | 5477 | 82.5 | 26.9 | 841 | $!$ | - | (-) | <32 | <80 | <83 | <92 |
| PKS0558-504 | ESO205-G07 |  | 2000 | 27.4 | 7.0 | 841 | ! | - | - |  | <21 | $\left\{\mathrm{H}_{2}\right.$ \} | <20 |
| VIIZw118 | UGC3660 | LGG140 | 4262 | 64.2 | 31.0 | 845 | 1 | - | - | <26 | $\left\{\mathrm{H}_{2}\right.$ \} | $<20$ | $<19$ |
| 3C249.1 | UGC5701 |  | 1621 | 24.9 | 9.5 | 845 | ! | - | - | <28 | $\left\{\mathrm{H}_{2}\right.$ \} | \{ $\mathrm{OVIV}^{\text {¢ }}$ | $<31$ |
| MRC2251-178 | MCG-3-58-13 |  | 3271 | 42.8 | 10.0 | 846 | $!$ |  | - | $\{\mathrm{Ly} \alpha$ \} | $\{\mathrm{CII}$ * $\}$ | <35 | <32 |
| Mrk290 | NGC5879 ${ }^{3}$ | LGG396 | 772 | 13.4 | 16.2 | 848 | $!$ | - | - |  | <35 | $<21$ | $\left\{\mathrm{H}_{2}\right\}$ |
| HE1143-1810 | ESO571-G16 |  | 3637 | 55.6 | 26.8 | 851 | $!$ |  | - |  | $\left\{\mathrm{H}_{2}\right\}$ | $<43$ | <37 |
| PG1011-040 | 2MASX J101213.26 ${ }^{4}$ |  | 5619 | 83.7 | 20.2 | 852 | ! | - | - |  | <24 | <22 | <24 |
| MRC2251-178 | NGC45 | Scl | 468 | 2.5 | 6.3 | 852 | X |  |  | $\{\mathrm{Ly} \alpha\}$ | \{O ${ }^{*}$ \} | $\{\mathrm{Ly} \gamma\}$ | \{OI\} |
| Mrk501 | NGC6255 ${ }^{3}$ |  | 918 | 15.0 | 15.8 | 854 | ! | - | - |  | <36 | <38 | <75 |
| 3C249.1 | CGCG351-57 ${ }^{5}$ |  | 6755 | 98.3 | 14.3 | 858 | ! | + | + | $245 \pm 15 \pm 3 @ 6672$ | $34 \pm 12 \pm 3 @ 6653$ | $<27$ | $\left\{\mathrm{H}_{2}\right.$ \} |
| H1821+643 | NGC6690 ${ }^{3}$ |  | 488 | 7.8 | 8.7 | 858 | ! |  | - | $\{\mathrm{Ly} \alpha$ \} | \{OI* ${ }^{*}$ | $<15$ | \{ $\mathrm{O}_{1}$ \} |
| Mrk279 | NGC5832 ${ }^{3}$ |  | 453 | 8.4 | 9.1 | 861 | ! | - | - | <11 | $<19$ | $<10$ | \{OI\} |
| PG1302-102 | MCG-2-33-85 | LGG307 | 1582 | 22.4 | 11.6 | 863 | $!$ |  | - | $\{\mathrm{Ly} \beta\}$ | $\left\{\mathrm{H}_{2}\right\}$ | \{ $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{VI}}$ \} | <26 |
| IRAS09149-6206 | ESO091-G12 | LGG488 | 2866 | 37.2 | 10.8 | 863 | $!$ | - | (-) |  | <33 | $<58$ | <30 |
| IRAS09149-6206 | ESO091-G03 | LGG488 | 1906 | 37.2 | 23.6 | 865 | $!$ |  | (-) |  | \{ $\mathrm{CIIIT}^{\text {] }}$ | $\left\{\mathrm{H}_{2}\right\}$ | <35 |
| ESO141-G55 | IC4826 |  | 1925 | 26.3 | 10.5 | 865 | ! | (-) | - | <83 | $\left\{\mathrm{H}_{2}\right\}$ | $\left\{\mathrm{H}_{2}\right\}$ | <23 |
| HE1228+0131 | NGC4385 | LGG283 | 2140 | 34.2 | 21.8 | 866 | ! | (-) | (-) | $<58$ | $<69$ | \{OI\} | $<64$ |
| ESO141-G55 | IC4819 |  | 1841 | 25.1 | 21.1 | 868 | ! | (-) | - | <87 | \{ $\mathrm{OVIV}^{\text {¢ }}$ | $\left\{\mathrm{H}_{2}\right\}$ | <22 |
| Ton S210 | NGC613 ${ }^{3}$ |  | 1475 | 17.1 | 27.4 | 872 | ! | - | - | <64 | <21 | \{ $\mathrm{CII}^{*}$ \} | $<19$ |
| PG0804+761 | UGC4202 |  | 2296 | 34.2 | 12.0 | 875 | $!$ | + | - | $28 \pm 7 \pm 2$ @ 2282 | $\{\mathrm{Ly} \epsilon$ \} | <31 | <12 |
| Ton S180 | NGC24 | LGG4 | 554 | 4.5 | 7.5 | 876 | $!$ | - | - | <16 | <39 | <28 | $<26$ |
| Mrk9 | UGC3897 | LGG143 | 3529 | 51.8 | 19.4 | 877 | $!$ |  | - |  | \{ $\mathrm{OVIV}^{\text {¢ }}$ | <34 | $\left\{\mathrm{H}_{2}\right.$ \} |
| ESO141-G55 | ESO141-G46 | LGG427 | 4079 | 57.0 | 23.2 | 878 | $!$ | - | - | $<37$ | $<24$ | <22 | <22 |
| Mrk106 | UGC4984 |  | 3386 | 50.8 | 15.5 | 881 | x |  |  |  | \{ O vi \} | \{flaw\} | $\left\{\mathrm{H}_{2}\right\}$ |
| PG1302-102 | UGCA307 |  | 824 | 15.6 | 9.1 | 882 | $!$ | - | - | $<67$ | <33 | $<23$ | <24 |
| HE1143-1810 | ESO572-G09 | LGG263 | 1737 | 27.3 | 12.9 | 884 | $!$ | - | - |  | <46 | \{ O vi \} | <42 |
| 1H0717+714 | UGC3921 |  | 2475 | 36.6 | 11.7 | 888 | $!$ | - | - |  | <24 | $\left\{\mathrm{H}_{2}\right\}$ | $<23$ |
| ESO141-G55 | ESO142-IG08 |  | 4292 | 59.9 | 7.0 | 889 | $!$ | - | - | <35 | $\left\{\mathrm{H}_{2}\right\}$ | <23 | <22 |
| PG1116+215 | NGC3501 |  | 1134 | 10.0 | 11.3 | 889 | $!$ | - | - | $<25$ | <14 | <14 | <14 |
| PG1116+215 | UGC6324 |  | 1083 | 19.6 | 9.9 | 894 | $!$ | - | - | $<25$ | <14 | $<15$ | $<15$ |
| Mrk9 | UGC4121 ${ }^{3}$ |  | 1092 | 17.3 | 11.8 | 895 | $!$ | - | - |  | <33 | <32 | $<70$ |
| Mrk501 | NGC6207 |  | 850 | 14.2 | 12.2 | 895 | $!$ | - | - |  | <39 | <36 | <75 |
| PG1444+407 | SDSS J145045.59,5 |  | 2582 | 40.1 | 4.2 | 897 | $!$ | + | (-) | $95 \pm 14 \pm 4 @ 2630$ | $<85$ | <145 | $<80$ |
| PG1011-040 | NGC3115 |  | 658 | 12.6 | 26.6 | 900 | ! |  | - |  | $\left\{\mathrm{H}_{2}\right\}$ | <23 | <25 |

Table 3
(Continued)

| Target | Galaxy | Group | $\begin{gathered} v_{\text {gal }} \\ \left(\mathrm{km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} D \\ (\mathrm{Mpc}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} D_{\mathrm{gal}} \\ (\mathrm{kpc}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \rho \\ (\mathrm{kpc}) \end{gathered}$ | Q | Hi | O vi | Ly $\alpha$ <br> (mA) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Ly} \beta \\ (\mathrm{~mA}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{O} \mathrm{vi}-1031 \\ (\mathrm{~mA}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{OVI}-1037 \\ (\mathrm{~mA}) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) |  |
| PG1302-102 | UGCA312 | LGG307 | 1307 | 22.5 | 8.6 | 900 | ! |  | - | $\{\mathrm{Ly} \alpha$ \} | $\{\mathrm{Ly} \beta$ \} | \{CII\} | <24 |
| 1H0717+714 | UGC3580 ${ }^{3}$ |  | 1201 | 18.2 | 18.0 | 900 | ! | - |  |  | $<25$ | \{ CII \} | \{OI* ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ |
| PG1302-102 | NGC4802 | LGG307 | 1013 | 18.3 | 12.8 | 901 | ! | - | - | $\{\mathrm{Ly} \alpha$ \} | <24 | <23 | $\left\{\mathrm{H}_{2}\right\}$ |
| RX J0100.4-5113 | ESO151-G19 |  | 1386 | 16.6 | 6.5 | 902 | ! | - | - | $<62$ | <45 | $<27$ | <38 |
| 1H0717+714 | IC2184 |  | 3605 | 52.8 | 12.8 | 903 | ! |  | - |  | $\left\{\mathrm{H}_{2}\right\}$ | <23 | $\left\{\mathrm{H}_{2}\right\}$ |
| Mrk9 | UGC3885 | LGG143 | 3809 | 51.0 | 15.3 | 904 | ! |  | - |  | $\left\{\mathrm{H}_{2}\right\}$ | <33 | $\left\{\mathrm{H}_{2}\right\}$ |
| PG0804+761 | NGC2591 | LGG165 | 1323 | 21.0 | 18.5 | 907 | ! | - | - | $<16$ | $<13$ | \{CII\} | $<11$ |
| Mrk290 | NGC5866B | LGG396 | 844 | 13.4 | 10.5 | 907 | ! | - | - |  | <37 | $<22$ | $<22$ |
| PG1149-110 | Mrk1309 |  | 1715 | 28.4 | 13.2 | 909 | X |  |  | $\{\mathrm{Ly} \alpha$ \} |  |  |  |
| HE0340-2703 | 2MASX J034134.24 ${ }^{4}$ |  | 4125 | 56.0 | 10.9 | 913 | X |  |  | $\{\mathrm{Ly} \alpha$ \} |  |  |  |
| 1H0707-495 | ESO207-G09 |  | 1159 | 16.1 | 8.0 | 914 | ! | - | - |  | $<27$ | \{ CII \} | <36 |
| Mrk335 | NGC7798 ${ }^{3}$ |  | 2404 | 30.8 | 12.4 | 915 | ! | - | - | <39 | $<13$ | <12 | <11 |
| PG1553+113 | UGC10014 |  | 1121 | 18.9 | 7.1 | 916 | ! | - | - |  | <35 | $<32$ | $<30$ |
| PG1341+258 | NGC4826 |  | 408 | 4.6 | 13.3 | 924 | x |  |  | $\{\mathrm{Ly} \alpha\}$ |  |  |  |
| 1H0717+714 | UGC3940 |  | 2462 | 36.6 | 14.7 | 927 | ! | - | - |  | $<23$ | \{ $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ \} | $<23$ |
| PG1302-102 | DDO163 | LGG314 | 1123 | 20.0 | 11.0 | 930 | ! | - | - | <85 | $<25$ | <23 | <32 |
| ESO438-G09 | ESO438-G14 |  | 2130 | 33.4 | 7.8 | 932 | ! | (-) |  | <100 |  |  |  |
| HS0624+6907 | UGC3403 |  | 1264 | 18.9 | 12.6 | 932 | ! | - | (-) | <28 | <39 | \{ CII \} | $<70$ |
| Mrk279 | FGC1680 |  | 3865 | 57.5 | 14.1 | 937 | ! | - | - | $<11$ | \{OI\} | <9 | $<8$ |
| ESO438-G09 | 2MASX J110443.60 ${ }^{4}$ |  | 2391 | 37.1 | 8.6 | 939 | ! | (-) |  | <103 |  |  |  |
| MS0700.7+6338 | UGC3685 |  | 1797 | 26.9 | 25.9 | 941 | ! |  | - |  | \{ $\mathrm{OVIV}^{\text {V }}$ | $\left\{\mathrm{H}_{2}\right\}$ | <24 |
| Ton S210 | ESO413-G02 |  | 5588 | 75.8 | 17.9 | 942 | ! | - | - | $<57$ | <18 | <19 | $<19$ |
| HE0340-2703 | ESO419-G03 |  | 4109 | 55.8 | 26.9 | 942 | 1 | + |  | $167 \pm 26 \pm 5 @ 4100$ |  |  |  |
| PG1049-005 | NGC3365 |  | 986 | 17.9 | 23.2 | 943 | > | (-) |  | <62 |  |  |  |
| 3C263 | NGC3945 ${ }^{3}$ | GH94 | 1220 | 10.2 | 15.6 | 950 | ! | - | - |  | <32 | $\{\mathrm{CII}\}$ | <28 |
| 3C263 | UGC6534 | GH94 | 1273 | 20.9 | 15.2 | 955 | ! | - | - |  | $<30$ | \{CII\} | <29 |
| HE0226-4110 | NGC986 ${ }^{3}$ |  | 2005 | 25.4 | 28.6 | 955 | ! | - | - | <32 | \{ $\mathrm{OVII}^{\text {\% }}$ | <28 | <18 |
| MCG+10-16-111 | UGC6335 |  | 2927 | 44.7 | 20.6 | 957 | ! | - |  | <24 |  |  |  |
| 3C263 | UGC7490 |  | 467 | 9.0 | 8.7 | 961 | ! |  | - |  |  | $<29$ | \{OI\} |
| PG1049-005 | NGC3521 ${ }^{3}$ |  | 805 | 15.4 | 49.2 | 962 | ! | - |  | <44 |  |  |  |
| PG0844+349 | UGC4704 |  | 596 | 11.1 | 13.2 | 967 | ! | - | - |  | <30 | $<19$ | $<18$ |
| Mrk586 | NGC851 |  | 3111 | 40.8 | 11.9 | 967 | ! |  | - |  | \{CII\} | <30 | <31 |
| HE0226-4110 | ESO298-G15 ${ }^{3}$ |  | 1415 | 16.7 | 9.3 | 969 | ! | - | - | <31 | <20 | \{ CII \} | <18 |
| HE0340-2703 | NGC1406 |  | 1075 | 12.5 | 13.8 | 972 | > | (-) |  | <69 |  |  |  |
| HE0340-2703 | NGC1425 |  | 1510 | 18.7 | 31.6 | 974 | > | (-) |  | $<68$ |  |  |  |
| HE1143-1810 | ESO572-G07 | LGG263 | 1466 | 24.5 | 9.3 | 976 | ! | - | - |  | $<47$ | \{ $\mathrm{CII}^{*}$ \} | <43 |
| PKS2155-304 | NGC7163 | LGG450 | 2875 | 33.8 | 19.2 | 979 | ! | - | - | <20 | $<9$ | $<11$ | $<10$ |
| HE0226-4110 | ESO299-IG01 |  | 5366 | 73.3 | 10.7 | 980 | ! | - | - | $<25$ | <18 | $<27$ | $<20$ |
| RX J1830.3+7312 | UGC11193 |  | 1513 | 22.6 | 8.9 | 985 | ! | - |  | <14 | $\{\mathrm{Ly} \kappa$ \} | $\left\{\mathrm{H}_{2}\right\}$ | \{unid\} |
| ESO185-IG13 | ESO185-G03 |  | 3031 | 41.8 | 14.6 | 985 | > |  | (-) |  | $\{\mathrm{CII}\}$ | <89 | $\{\mathrm{Ly} \beta$ \} |
| PG1302-102 | UGCA308 | LGG307 | 1322 | 22.8 | 9.4 | 986 | ! |  | - | $\{\mathrm{Ly} \alpha$ \} | $\{\mathrm{Ly} \beta\}$ | \{C II $\}$ | $<24$ |
| PG1302-102 | NGC4818 ${ }^{3}$ | LGG307 | 1065 | 19.2 | 24.0 | 987 | ! | - | - | $\{\mathrm{Ly} \alpha$ \} | <26 | <23 | <31 |
| PG1302-102 | NGC4948A | LGG314 | 1553 | 23.7 | 10.5 | 991 | ! | - | - | <82 | <23 | <22 | $<23$ |
| NGC985 | DDO23 | LGG59 | 2110 | 25.8 | 15.3 | 993 | ? | (+) | - | $69 \pm 20 \pm 2$ @ 1924 | <31 | <29 | $<30$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ? | (+) | - | $42 \pm 13 \pm 2$ @ 2183 | <31 | \{OI\} | <29 |
| PG1302-102 | NGC5068 ${ }^{3}$ |  | 672 | 5.2 | 10.9 | 995 | ! | (-) | - | $<70$ | $\left\{\mathrm{H}_{2}\right.$ \} | <24 | \{Ly $\delta$ \} |
| Mrk1095 | UGC3258 |  | 2821 | 38.9 | 8.6 | 999 | ! | - | - | <33 | <31 | <39 | <28 |
| RX J1830.3+7312 | UGC11334 |  | 4582 | 66.3 | 37.8 | 1022 | i | + | (-) | $45 \pm 6 \pm 2 @ 4770$ | \{Lylim\} | $<57$ | <53 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | i | + | (-) | $47 \pm 9 \pm 2 @ 4260$ | $\left\{\mathrm{H}_{2}\right\}$ | \{S VI\} | $<63$ |
| Mrk106 | UGC4800 |  | 2433 | 37.1 | 17.5 | 1030 | i | + | - |  | $77 \pm 12 \pm 10 @ 2407$ | <29 | <34 |
| HE1029-1401 | MCG-2-27-9 |  | 4529 | 68.0 | 37.7 | 1035 | i | + |  | $56 \pm 10 \pm 3 @ 4567$ |  |  |  |
| Mrk421 | HS1059+3934 |  | 3274 | 50.4 | 3.8 | 1041 | 1 | + | - | $84 \pm 9 \pm 2 @ 3007$ | $\{\mathrm{CII}\}$ | $<10$ | \{ArI\} |
| HE1029-1401 | MCG-2-27-1 |  | 2028 | 32.2 | 13.9 | 1065 | 1 | + |  | $90 \pm 10 \pm 3 @ 2004$ |  |  |  |
| Mrk110 | UGC5354 |  | 1171 | 13.8 | 8.6 | 1171 | 1 | + |  | $115 \pm 27 \pm 4 @ 1297$ |  |  |  |
| RX J0100.4-5113 | ESO195-G17 ${ }^{5}$ |  | 4708 | 63.9 | 18.6 | 1189 |  | + | (-) | $83 \pm 27 \pm 4 @ 4874$ | $<55$ | <39 | <42 |
| Mrk586 | NGC864 |  | 1560 | 18.7 | 25.4 | 1239 | i | + | - |  | $49 \pm 11 \pm 9 @ 1464$ | $\{\mathrm{CII*}$ \} | <31 |
| PG1001+291 | UGC5461 |  | 4799 | 72.0 | 20.5 | 1249 |  | + | (-) | $242 \pm 11 \pm 5 @ 4602$ | $<62$ | $<72$ | $<77$ |
| Mrk1095 | UGC3262 |  | 4285 | 59.8 | 17.4 | 1306 | i | + | - | $44 \pm 10 \pm 4 @ 4048$ | <35 | $<28$ | $\left\{\mathrm{H}_{2}\right\}$ |
| RX J1830.3+7312 | UGC11295 |  | 2370 | 35.1 | 15.7 | 1307 | i | + | (=) | $56 \pm 6 \pm 2 @ 2383$ | $<64$ | $\left\{\mathrm{H}_{2}\right\}$ | $<53$ |
| VIIZw118 | UGC3685 ${ }^{5}$ |  | 1797 | 26.9 | 25.9 | 1414 | 1 | + | - | $38 \pm 9 \pm 2 @ 1697$ | \{ O vi \} | $\{\mathrm{OVI}$ \} | $\left\{\mathrm{H}_{2}\right\}$ |
| PKS0405-12 | 2MASX J040607.61 ${ }^{4}$ |  | 3436 | 46.5 | 5.7 | 1489 | I | + | - | $51 \pm 9 \pm 5 @ 3574$ | $<19$ | $<18$ | $\left\{\mathrm{H}_{2}\right\}$ |
| Ton S180 | ESO474-G25 |  | 2850 | 36.5 | 11.1 | 1509 | i | + | - | $35 \pm 9 \pm 2$ @ 3001 | $\{\mathrm{CII}\}$ | $<27$ | <28 |

Table 3
(Continued)

| Target | Galaxy | Group | $\begin{gathered} v_{\text {gal }} \\ \left(\mathrm{km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} D \\ (\mathrm{Mpc}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} D_{\mathrm{gal}} \\ (\mathrm{kpc}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \rho \\ (\mathrm{kpc}) \end{gathered}$ | Q | Hi | O vi | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Ly} \alpha \\ & (\mathrm{~mA}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Ly} \beta \\ (\mathrm{~m} \AA) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{O} \mathrm{VI}-1031 \\ (\mathrm{~m} \AA) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{O} \text { vi-1037 } \\ (\mathrm{m} \AA) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) |  |
| Ton S180 | 2MASX J010208.03 ${ }^{4}$ |  | 5611 | 76.0 | 16.6 | 1547 | i | + | - | $255 \pm 10 \pm 2 @ 5519$ | $37 \pm 9 \pm 7 @ 5516$ | $<28$ | $<27$ |
| Mrk290 | NGC5971 |  | 4306 | 63.9 | 29.5 | 1586 | i | + | - | $34 \pm 15 \pm 3 @ 4640$ | <33 | $<18$ | $<17$ |
| PG1116+215 | NGC3649 |  | 4979 | 75.2 | 26.9 | 1742 | i | + |  | 111 | $41 \pm 4 \pm 3 @ 4879$ | \{Lyl\} | $\{\mathrm{Ly} \eta$ \} |
| PG1259+593 | MCG+10-19-23 |  | 4522 | 67.6 | 13.8 | 1869 | i | + | - | $64 \pm 7 \pm 4 @ 4501$ | $\left\{\mathrm{H}_{2}\right\}$ | <15 | <16 |
| PG1211+143 | CGCG69-1295 |  | 4987 | 75.5 | 10.0 | 1919 | i | + | - | $165 \pm 7 \pm 4 @ 4932$ | $31 \pm 10 \pm 7 @ 4940$ | $<19$ | <18 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | i | + |  | $231 \pm 7 \pm 4 @ 5015$ | $57 \pm 14 \pm 8$ @ 5027 | $\left\{\mathrm{H}_{2}\right.$ \} | \{Fe II $\}$ |
| Mrk110 | UGC4984 |  | 3386 | 50.8 | 15.5 | 1975 | i | + |  | $484 \pm 22 \pm 4 @ 3579$ |  |  |  |
| H1821+643 | NGC6636 |  | 4290 | 61.3 | 39.0 | 2434 | i | + | - | $38 \pm 5 \pm 2 @ 4084$ | $<20$ | $<15$ | $<15$ |
| MRC2251-178 | NGC7381 |  | 4152 | 60.5 | 11.9 | 2470 | i | + | - | $34 \pm 7 \pm 2 @ 4371$ | <49 | $<30$ | <31 |
| H1821+643 | NGC6667 |  | 2582 | 37.8 | 25.7 | 2475 | 1 | + | - | $17 \pm 5 \pm 1$ @ 2836 | <14 | <20 | $<15$ |
| H1821+643 | FGC2210 |  | 5065 | 73.2 | 17.0 | 2832 | i | + | - | $22 \pm 5 \pm 1$ @ 5253 | \{flaw\} | $\left\{\mathrm{H}_{2}\right\}$ | $<16$ |
| MCG+10-16-111 | NGC3809 ${ }^{5}$ | LGG251 | 3443 | 49.0 | 14.6 | 2910 | i | + |  | $31 \pm 10 \pm 3 @ 3541$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | i | + |  | $50 \pm 9 \pm 2 @ 3792$ |  |  |  |
| MCG+10-16-111 | SDSSJ104924 ${ }^{5}$ |  | 4162 | 62.3 | 8.0 | 4351 | i | + |  | $52 \pm 11 \pm 2 @ 4043$ |  |  |  |
| PG0804+761 | UGC3889 ${ }^{5}$ |  | 5249 | 76.2 | 26.1 | 4541 | i | + | - | $338 \pm 8 \pm 2 @ 5549$ | $72 \pm 5 \pm 3 @ 5530$ | \{ $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ \} | $<13$ |
| Mrk509 | MCG-1-54-3 ${ }^{5}$ |  | 2231 | 29.1 | 17.3 | 4834 | 1 | + | - | $224 \pm 16 \pm 1 @ 2545$ | $41 \pm 5 \pm 7 @ 2544$ | <25 | $<13$ |
| PKS0405-12 | - |  |  |  |  | $>5000$ | i | + |  | $35 \pm 10 \pm 2 @ 5035$ | \{flaw\} | $<19$ | \{CII\} |
| Mrk817 | - |  |  |  |  | $>5000$ | i | + | - | $25 \pm 5 \pm 2 @ 4670$ | <22 | <11 | $<9$ |

Notes. 1: description of columns. Column 1: name of the extragalactic target, using the conventions of Wakker et al. (2003). Column 2: name of the galaxy near the sightline. With few exceptions, these names are the preferred names in the RC3 (first NGC, then UGC, ESO or other), or, if not present in the RC3, the preferred name in NED. Column 3: group number, if a galaxy belongs to a GH or LGG galaxy group. Column 4: the galaxy's heliocentric radial velocity. Column 5: derived distance (see the text for details). Column 6: galaxy diameter, calculated from the angular diameter given in the RC3 or NED and the galaxy's distance (see Section 2.2). Column 7: impact parameter between background UV target and foreground galaxy, calculated from the latter's distance and the angular separation between the galaxy's center and the background target. Column 8: reliability of associating the detected absorption lines with the listed galaxy. "!" for unambiguous detections or nondetections; " + " when there is more than one absorber associated with one galaxy; " 2 " if there are two absorbers associated with two galaxies, but it is possible that the choice could be reversed; " 1 " when a choice was made between two galaxies for assigning the absorber, giving a nondetection to one of them; " g " for absorbers associated with a group, rather than a particular galaxy; "?" for the ambiguous associations; " $>$ " for nondetections with upper limit worse than $50 \mathrm{~m} \AA$; " x " if none of the lines is measurable; " i " indicates absorbers for which no associated galaxy with $\rho<1 \mathrm{Mpc}$ can be found, though if there is a galaxy with $\rho<5 \mathrm{Mpc}$, that galaxy is listed (see Section 4.3 for more details). Columns 9 and 10 : " + " implies that the detected H i or O vi line can clearly be associated with the listed galaxy; " $(+$ )" is given for uncertain detections. A "-" implies a nondetection with $3 \sigma$ equivalent width limit better than $50 \mathrm{~m} \AA$, while " $(-)$ " is for nondetections with worse equivalent width limits. Columns $11-14$ : measurement results for $\operatorname{Ly} \alpha \operatorname{Ly} \beta$, O vi $\lambda 1031.926$, and O vi $\lambda 1037.617$. Four numbers for detections: "equivalent width $\pm$ statistical error $\pm$ systematic error @ fitted velocity." $3 \sigma$ upper limit for nondetections, calculated as 3 times the quadrature sum of the statistical and fixed-pattern error. Entries that are an ion's name in curly brackets indicate cases where blending with absorption due to the listed ion makes it impossible to measure the intergalactic line associated with the listed galaxy; "flaw" indicates that the FUSE detector flaw near $1043 \AA$ interferes with the detection or nondetection. 2: for these sightlines there are several galaxies in a group with similar impact parameter and velocity, so no unambiguous target-galaxy association can be made, and just the group is listed, with the velocity in Column 4 the average velocity of the group galaxies. 3: we found orientations for these galaxies (used in Section 5.4) from the following papers: Blackman (1981; NGC 613), Bosma (1981; NGC 2841), Bosma et al. (1988; NGC 5963), Bowen et al. (2002; NGC 4319), Broeils \& van Woerden (1994; NGC 2683, NGC 5879, NGC 6690), Carignan \& Puche (1990; NGC 247), Carilli \& van Gorkom (1992; NGC 3067), Casertano \& van Gorkom (1991; NGC 3521), Chengalur \& Kanekar (2002; SBS 1543+593), Côté et al. (2005; UGC 4238, NGC 6140), Fridman et al. (2005; NGC 4814), García-Ruiz et al. (2002; NGC 3432, UGC 3909), Garrido et al. (2005; NGC 3104, NGC 5832), Gopal-Krishna \& Irwin (2000; NGC 3556), Jarvis et al. (1988; NGC 1574), Kobulnicky \& Gebhardt (2000; NGC 4818), Krum \& Salpeter (1979; NGC 4517), Márquez et al. (2002; NGC 5678, NGC 6239, UGC 3580), Mathewson et al. (1992; NGC 954, NGC 2104, ESO 298-G15), Noordermeer et al. (2005; UGC 3642), Oosterloo \& Shostak (1993; NGC 7798), Pisano et al. (2004; NGC 986, NGC 5727), Puche et al. (1991; NGC 253), Putman et al. (2006; UGC 7697). Rhee \& van Albada (1996; NGC 6255, NGC 7817, UGC 8146), Sancisi (1976; NGC 5907), Stil \& Israel (2002; NGC 3945, UGC 4121), van Gorkom et al. (1996; [vCS96]000254.9+195654.3), and Whiteoak \& Gardner (1977; NGC 5068). All but one ([vCS96]000254.9+195654.3) of these are $L>0.1 L_{*}$ galaxies, and all but eight are spirals. 4: full names of these galaxies are [vCS96] 000254.9+195654.3; SDSS J023305.84-081908.8; SDSS J084619.14+351858.2; SDSS J111938.66+112643.3; SDSS J125926.78+591735.0; SDSS J143146.90+580030.9; SDSS J143903.89+584717.6; SDSS J144303.81+535457.5; SDSS J145001.59+402142.4; SDSS J145045.59+413742.5; SDSS J153733.02+583447.7; SDSS J153802.76+573018.3; SDSS J170112.68+601500.0; SDSS J170327.95+610631.5; SDSS J170349.45+601806.1; SDSS J171138.94+604341.8; SDSS J215446.45-084616.9; 2MASX J010208.03-2245597; 2MASX J005700.66-232044.2; 2MASX J034134.24-274918.7; 2MASX J040607.61-102327.2; 2MASX J101213.26-040226.2; 2MASX J110443.60-290633.2; 2MASX J111605.92+583003.6; 2MASX J112139.77+112924.2; 2MASX J111343.40-274328.8; 2MASX J122815.85+024202.5; 2MASX J153514.22+573052.9; 2MASX J170712.70+605514.4; 2MASX J194221.91-550627.5; 2MASX J200943.13-481105.2; 6dF J0342278-260243; 6dF J1033307-144736; LCRS B101019.9 - 032413; LCRS B115151.0-113904. 5: the listed galaxies are the nearest galaxy with known redshift and $\Delta v<400 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. However, there are other galaxies in the RC3 with unknown redshift that would have significantly lower impact parameters if their velocity were similar to that of the absorber.
(This table is also available in a machine-readable form in the online journal.)
$55 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. On the other hand, Penton et al. (2000a, 2000b, $2002,2004)$ and Danforth \& Shull $(2005,2008)$ determined $4 \sigma$ detection limits by integrating over a single resolution element, i.e., $20 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ for $F U S E, 40 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ for STIS-G140M, and $6.5 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ for STIS-E140M data. This leads to equivalent width limits that are a factor $\sqrt{60 / 20} * 3 / 4 \sim 1.3$ smaller for FUSE spectra, and a factor 2.3 smaller for STIS-E140M data.

Since the narrowest absorption lines have a full width at halfmaximum (FWHM) of about $25 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, integrating over a single resolution element yields detection limits that are generally optimistic, and most appropriate only for the small fraction of narrow lines. Thom \& Chen (2008) used yet another method: they derived $3 \sigma$ limits assuming lines have $b=10 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ (FWHM $25 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ), which gives values a factor $\sqrt{60 / 25}=$


Figure 2. The $\operatorname{Ly} \alpha, \operatorname{Ly} \beta$, and $\mathrm{O}_{\text {vi spectra }}$ for all of the detected intergalactic absorption lines. At the top of each panel, we give the AGN's name, and within parentheses the galaxy with which the absorption is associated, as well as the impact parameter of that galaxy. The flux scale has units of $10^{-14} \mathrm{erg} \mathrm{cm}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \AA^{-1}$. If orbital-night-only data were used, the line identification includes "(night)." A velocity range of $800 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ is shown, centered at the velocity of the absorber. Data are represented by the histogram, while the fitted continuum (including the $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ model) is shown by the solid line. The dashed vertical line denotes the velocity of the intergalactic absorber. The solid vertical lines give the velocities of galaxies with impact parameter $<1 \mathrm{Mpc}$, with the thick line identifying the velocity of the galaxy associated with the absorber. At the bottom of each panel interstellar Milky Way absorption lines are identified, with single numbers given for the $J$-level of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ lines. An "Earth" symbol is given at places where geocoronal Ly $\beta$ or $\mathrm{OI}^{*}$ emission is present. The word "flaw" near $1043.2 \AA$ indicates a flaw in the $F U S E$ detector, which makes any feature near this wavelength suspect. Intergalactic absorption lines are identified with the label raised relative to that identifying the Milky Way absorption. For each intergalactic detection a " + " is added. A " - " shows a nondetection. A " + " or " - " in parentheses shows uncertain detections and upper limits worse than $50 \mathrm{mÅ}$. Finally, an "x" means that we cannot measure the line, most often because of blending with Galactic absorption or geocoronal emission or sometimes because there is no data.
1.5 lower than ours. When comparing results between these different papers, we have to correct for the differences in these definitions.

Figure 2 presents the $\mathrm{Ly} \alpha, \mathrm{Ly} \beta$, $\mathrm{O}_{\text {vi }} \lambda 1031.926$, and O vi $\lambda 1037.617$ spectra for all detected intergalactic systems. For each of these, we list the galaxy that we associate with the detection. We discuss each $\mathrm{Ly} \alpha, \mathrm{Ly} \beta$, and O vi absorber, and the galaxy association separately for each sightline in the Appendix. Figure 2 shows a total of 133 intergalactic absorptionline systems, including 115 with $\mathrm{Ly} \alpha, 40$ with $\mathrm{Ly} \beta, 13$ with $\mathrm{O}_{\text {vi }} \lambda 1031.926$, and 5 with $\mathrm{O}_{\text {vi }} \lambda 1037.617$. Hi (i.e., Ly $\alpha$ or $\operatorname{Ly} \beta$ is seen in 129 systems), O vi (i.e., either line) in 14 systems. For two systems (at $3073 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ toward Mrk 290 and at $260 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ toward Ton S180) we only list the two O vi lines, while we identify two lines as uncorroborated O vi $\lambda 1031.926-$ at $3109 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ toward PG 1302-102 and at $288 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ toward Ton S210.

### 2.4. Galaxy Data Origin

For each target, we searched for nearby galaxies with low impact parameter $(\rho)$, as described below. We started with
the "Third Reference Catalogue of Galaxies" (de Vaucouleurs et al. 1991). This catalog gives names and positions for 23,011 galaxies, velocities for 16,689 galaxies, and angular diameters for 21,605 galaxies. A distance estimate was made for each of these galaxies, using the following method.

Distances for Local Group galaxies were taken from Mateo (1998). For about 100 galaxies individually determined distances were taken from Sandage \& Tammann (1975) and Freedman et al. (2001), though the distances of Sandage \& Tammann (1975) were corrected to correspond to a Hubble constant of $71 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \mathrm{Mpc}^{-1}$, rather than the value of $50 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \mathrm{Mpc}^{-1}$ used in the original paper. Next, the group catalogs of Geller \& Huchra $(1982,1983)$ and Garcia (1993) were used. In these papers, galaxy groups were defined using automated algorithms. In the remainder of this paper, these groups will be identified by their GH (Geller \& Huchra 1983) or LGG (Garcia 1993) number (LGG stands for "Lyon Galaxy Groups"). These papers listed the galaxies that are considered members of each of the 176 GH or 486 LGG groups. The GH catalog only includes galaxies at declinations $>-3^{\circ}$, while the LGG catalog covers the whole sky. There is overlap between the two catalogs, so that many GH groups have an LGG counterpart.
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However, rarely is the exact same set of galaxies used to define a group, mostly because the LGG catalog is newer, so Garcia used more galaxies with known radial velocities, but also because he used slightly stricter criteria. In crowded areas, GH groups are often split into two or even more groups in the LGG list. We add two new groups to those listed in these two papers (see notes to 3C 351.0 and RX J1830.3+7312), as there are clear concentrations of galaxies, but no GH or LGG group, probably because not all radial velocities were measured before 1993.

For each galaxy listed as an LGG or GH group member, the galaxy's heliocentric velocity was found from the RC3. An average velocity was then determined for each group using the velocities of its members. This was converted into a distance estimate after correcting for a Virgocentric flow (following Geller \& Huchra 1982), which corresponds to a velocity of $300 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ in the direction R.A. $=186.7833$, decl. $=12.9333$. All galaxies in a group were then assigned the distance corresponding to this corrected average group velocity, using a Hubble constant of $71 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \mathrm{Mpc}^{-1}$. This procedure yields a distance for $\sim 4800$ RC3 galaxies. In the remainder of the paper, we usually do not explicitly add the Hubble constant scale to each distance, but we use $H_{o}=71 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ throughout.

The remainder of the galaxies in the RC3 are not considered a member of a GH or LGG group, so each galaxy's individual velocity was corrected for a Virgocentric flow to obtain a distance estimate. For the $\sim 5000$ RC3 galaxies without listed velocity measurement, a velocity of 2000 (usually) or 4000 km $\mathrm{s}^{-1}$ (for UGC galaxies) was assumed to continue the calculation (but see below). Finally, a distance of 2.8 Mpc was assigned to any galaxy for which the calculation gave distance smaller than 2.8 Mpc. None of these very nearby galaxies are included in the final sample of galaxies with small impact parameter.

As we were completing the study described in this paper, Tully et al. (2008) published a paper giving the most recent distance estimates for 1791 galaxies, derived from a variety of methods. We compared these distances to our earlier estimates and found that Tully et al. (2008) give values for 39 of the galaxies in our final sample. For many of the galaxies several different methods were used, and the different estimates usually agree (but not always). For 26 of the 39 overlapping galaxies, our estimate is within $30 \%$ of the Tully et al. (2008) estimate. For the remaining 13 our value and the value given by Tully et al. (2008) differ by $>30 \%$. In five cases, the differences can sometimes be attributed to the fact that the galaxy was assigned to a GH group when it apparently should not have been (NGC 1398, NGC 2841, NGC 3067, NGC 3692, and NGC 5963). In the other eight cases (NGC 1533, NGC 2671, NGC 4802, NGC 4939, NGC 5727, NGC 5981, UGC 10014, and IC 2763) the Tully et al. (2008) value just differs from the value suggested by $v_{\mathrm{gal}} / D_{\text {gal }}$.

The RC3 galaxy sample is more or less complete down to a $B$ magnitude of 15.5 , and angular diameter larger than about 1 arcmin. To complement this catalog with fainter, smaller, lower surface brightness, and more recently discovered galaxies, we searched NED (http://nedwww.caltech.ipac.edu) for all galaxies with $v<7000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ lying within 5 deg (the maximum distance allowed by NED) of each target. This yields $\sim 6000$ galaxies with impact parameter $<3 \mathrm{Mpc}$, including about 250 NGC/UGC and 100 IC galaxies that were not listed in the RC3, as well as $\sim 1600$ low-redshift galaxies discovered by the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS), ~800 from the Two Micron All Sky Survey (2MASS), and between 100 and 300
each from the Two-Degree Field (2dF) survey, the Kiso Ultraviolet Galaxy (KUG) survey, and the ESO, CGCG, MCG and VCC catalogs.

We estimated a distance for each of the additional galaxies found in NED using the same procedure described above for RC3 galaxies. Since an angular distance of 5 deg corresponds to a linear distance $<1 \mathrm{Mpc}$ for galaxies with distance $>11.5 \mathrm{Mpc}$, or velocity $>800 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, it is unlikely that any target-galaxy pairs with impact parameter $<1 \mathrm{Mpc}$ have been missed. Of course, galaxies for which NED does not include a velocity are still not included in this sample.

Next, we calculated the angular distance between the extragalactic UV source and each RC3 and NED galaxy, and converted this into an impact parameter by multiplying with the galaxy's distance. We kept all galaxies with impact parameter $<1 \mathrm{Mpc}$ and systemic velocity between 400 and $6000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. However, for some of the statistical work described below, we used a maximum velocity of $5000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ (or even $2500 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ in some cases), as the galaxy sample becomes too incomplete at higher velocities. This velocity corresponds to a distance of $5000 /\left(H_{o}=71\right)=70.4 \mathrm{Mpc}$, or a distance modulus of 34.2 . Since $M_{B}=-19.57$ for an $L_{*}$ galaxy (Marzke et al. 1994), an $L_{*}$ galaxy with $v=5000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ has $m_{B}=14.7$. This means that for this velocity limit the RC 3 should be more or less complete for galaxies brighter than $0.5 L_{*}$. For velocities $<3700 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, the sample is complete above $L>0.25 L_{*}$, and for velocities $<2500 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ above $L>0.1 L_{*}$.

For several of the analyses we do in Sections 4 and 5 we would like to apply a galaxy luminosity selection criterion. However, the RC3 includes a magnitude for only $17 \%$ of the listed galaxies, while the angular size at 25 th magnitude surface brightness is given for $94 \%$. The angular diameters are converted to linear diameter using the galaxy's distance. We correlated linear diameter $\left(D_{\mathrm{gal}}\right)$ and absolute magnitude when both are known and we use a galaxy's diameter as a proxy for brightness in the remainder of the paper. This correlation is shown in Figure 3, using the galaxies in the RC3 with both diameter and absolute magnitude given. The correlation yields a diameter $D_{\text {gal }}=$ 20.4 kpc for an $L_{*}$ galaxy, $D_{\text {gal }}=14.6 \mathrm{kpc}$ for $0.5 L_{*}, D_{\text {gal }}$ $=11.2 \mathrm{kpc}$ for $0.25 L_{*}$, and $D_{\text {gal }}=7.5 \mathrm{kpc}$ for a $0.1 L_{*}$ galaxy. Using this conversion, we find 1366 galaxies in the RC3 with $D_{\text {gal }}>7.5 \mathrm{kpc}$ (equivalent to $L>0.1 L_{*}$ ), and $23 \mathrm{ad}-$ ditional ones from NED. The RC3 has 1033 galaxies with $D_{\text {gal }}>$ $14.6 \mathrm{kpc}\left(L>0.5 L_{*}\right)$, and we add 13 from the NED sample. For $D_{\text {gal }}>20.4\left(L>L_{*}\right)$, the RC3 includes 588 galaxies, NED adding four more.

For a given diameter limit, $80 \%-90 \%$ of the galaxies fit the corresponding luminosity criterion. Using the diameter criterion will include about $5 \%$ of galaxies that are fainter than the corresponding luminosity, by up to about 1 mag . On the other hand, about $10 \%$ of the galaxies that are brighter than a given limit are excluded by the diameter criterion. This is a small price to pay for the ability to classify $>95 \%$ of the galaxies instead of $<20 \%$.

We could instead have tried to extract magnitudes from NED for individual galaxies, but the magnitudes that NED gives are on many different systems, including, but not limited to, $B_{T}$ as defined for the RC3, $U B V$, SDSS ugriz, and photographic. Trying to regularize these would give as much uncertainty as using diameters as a proxy. The only proper way to determine consistent galaxy luminosities would be to measure all galaxies on the same system, such as that used for a portion of the RC3.


Figure 3. Correlation between galaxy luminosity and galaxy diameter, for galaxies in the RC3 for which both are given (excluding irregulars). The horizontal lines correspond to galaxies with luminosity $L_{*}, 0.5 L_{*}, 0.25 L_{*}$, and $0.1 L_{*}$. The vertical lines correspond to the corresponding diameters that we use throughout the paper: $20.4,14.6,11.2$, and 7.5 kpc . The diagonal line is a least-squares fit (correlation coefficient 0.8 ) for $M<-16$ and $D_{\text {gal }}>7.5$ kpc , and has the functional form $M=-5.78 \log R-12$, corresponding to $\log L=2.31 \log R-3.03$. Selection criteria: all galaxies in the RC3 with both magnitude and diameter given.

As mentioned earlier, we assumed a value of 2000 or $4000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ for galaxies for which the RC3 does not provide a velocity. In a few cases, this resulted in small impact parameters. We then checked whether NED gives a velocity for the galaxy. If so, we redid the impact parameter calculation. In the end there are quite a few galaxies whose velocity remains unknown, but which may have impact parameter $<1 \mathrm{Mpc}$ to a background target. Most of these galaxies are smaller and less luminous and have similar impact parameter as the galaxy that we associate with the absorber. However, for 13 absorbers there are a total of 16 galaxies with unknown velocity that could have significantly lower impact parameter than the one we list in our results table (see the Appendix notes for 1H 0707-495, 3C 249.1, Mrk 509, Mrk 1095, PG 0804+761, PG 1211+143, PG 1302-102, PG 1444+407, RX J0100.4-5113, and VII Zw 118). In three cases the galaxy with the lowest known impact parameter is at $\rho>1 \mathrm{Mpc}$, but the galaxy with unknown velocity could have $\rho<1$ Mpc (see notes for PG 1211+143, RX J0100.4-5113, and VIII Zw 118).
Using the sample of galaxies, we associated absorption lines with some of these, as we discuss in more detail in Section 4.3. For the other galaxies, we derived upper limits on the equivalent widths of $\mathrm{Ly} \alpha, \mathrm{Ly} \beta$, and O vi absorption. Table 3 lists all the galaxies with $v_{\mathrm{gal}}<6000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ that lie within 1 Mpc of a sightline to a background target (sorted on impact parameter), and for which the ratio of impact parameter to galaxy diameter is $<125$. We justify the latter criterion in Section 4.3. All individual associations in Table 3 are discussed in detail in the Appendix, on a sightline by sightline basis. In Table 4, we separately list the detections for lines other than $\operatorname{Ly} \alpha, \operatorname{Ly} \beta$ or O vi.

We have made the full galaxy table available in the online version of this paper. This includes all galaxies near each sightline. This table is inhomogeneous, however. Near some sightlines deep surveys for dwarf galaxies exist. Near others special searches were done. For some sightlines (especially in
the Virgo cluster region), we did not check the diameter in NED for some of the additional galaxies with impact parameter $<1 \mathrm{Mpc}$. Although these additional galaxies come from searches for dwarfs, it is possible (but unlikely) that there are cases where a galaxy should have been listed in Table 3 but was not. The online table should therefore be treated with care. It is only reliably complete for statistical analyses for large galaxies.

In Tables 5 and 6 we summarize all the detected $\operatorname{Ly} \alpha, \operatorname{Ly} \beta$, and O vi lines, and compare our measurements with previously published values.

## 3. ABSORPTION-LINE RESULTS

In this section, we first compare our line identifications listed in Tables 3-6 with those claimed in previous work (Section 3.1). We then discuss a number of analyses that can be done from the perspective of the Ly $\alpha / \mathrm{Ly} \beta / \mathrm{OVI}$ lines, without reference to the galaxies near the sightlines, specifically the distribution of linewidths (Section 3.2), the frequency of absorbers ( $d N / d z$, Section 3.3), and the evolution of linewidths over time (Section 3.4). Since the width and $d N / d z$ distributions and their implications have been presented and discussed previously (Penton et al. 2004 and Lehner et al. 2007 for Ly $\alpha$, and Tripp et al. 2008 for O vi), and since our distributions are similar, we defer to those papers for a more detailed discussion of the implications.

### 3.1. Comparison with Previous Work

A number of previous authors have published measurements for very low redshift $\mathrm{Ly} \alpha$ and O vi absorbers at $z<0.017$. Among these are Bowen et al. (1996, 2002); Penton et al. (2000a, 2000b, 2002, 2004); Côté et al. (2005); Danforth et al. (2006), and Danforth \& Shull (2008). Where Bowen et al. and Côté et al. concentrated on looking for absorption associated with nearby galaxies (with sample sizes of 7 and 5 sightlines, respectively), Penton et al. looked at the statistics of all Ly $\alpha$ absorbers found in their 30 sightlines and Danforth \& Shull (2008) studied the O vi absorption in the Penton et al. sample.

Our sample includes the sightlines from these papers, but we also include 30 new sightlines for which low-redshift data have not yet been published. Of the 129 H i systems that we list (see Tables 3 and 5) Bowen et al. $(1996,2002)$ previously published values for 23, Côté et al. (2005) for 5, and Penton et al. (2000a, $2000 \mathrm{~b}, 2002,2004$ ) for 48 . A few other papers also presented systems in some sightlines, but 45 systems ( $33 \%$ of the sample, $29 \mathrm{Ly} \alpha$ lines and $36 \mathrm{Ly} \beta$ lines) are presented here for the first time.

We do not confirm 20 of the previously published $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}$ systems. These are indicated by a "-" in Column 8 or 9 in Table 5 and they are discussed individually in the Appendix. Nine of these disagreements are for systems that are listed as $<3 \sigma$ detections in the various Penton et al. papers (toward HE 1029 - 1401, HE 1228+0131, Mrk 335, PKS 2005-489, and PKS 2155-304), while three are due to different interpretations of some of the spectra in Bowen et al. (2002) (toward MCG+10-16-111, PG 1216+069, and PG 1341+258). Of the remaining six, four were listed as $<4 \sigma$ detections by the respective authors (toward HE 0226-4110, HE 1228+0131, HS 0624+607, and PKS 0405-12), while two toward PHL 1811 were misidentified as redshifted O vi lines. So, on balance, the only systems with whose reality we do not agree were at the limit of detection.

Table 4
Detections of Other Ionic Lines ${ }^{1}$

| Target <br> （1） | Galaxy <br> （2） | Group <br> （3） | $\begin{gathered} v_{\text {gal }} \\ \left(\mathrm{km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ <br> （4） | $\rho$ <br> （kpc） <br> （5） | Ion （6） | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ion EW@v } \\ \left(\mathrm{mÅ@km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ <br> （7） | $\mathrm{HI}_{\prime} / \mathrm{O}$ vi？ （8） |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mrk205 | NGC4319 | GH107 | 1357 | 6 | C III | $293 \pm 33 \pm 18$＠ 1273 | $+\mathrm{Ly} \alpha,+\mathrm{Ly} \beta,-\mathrm{OVI}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | Si III | $283 \pm 10 \pm 5$＠ 1293 | ＂ |
|  |  |  |  |  | C iv $\lambda 1548$ | $282 \pm 19 \pm 2 @ 1280$ | ＂ |
|  |  |  |  |  | C iv $\lambda 1550$ | 165土17士3＠1290 | ＂ |
| 3C232 | NGC3067 | GH50 | 1476 | 14 | Si III | $1043 \pm 21 \pm 20 @ 1493$ | ＋Ly $\alpha$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | C iv $\lambda 1548$ | $1081 \pm 39 \pm 22$＠ 1462 | ＂ |
|  |  |  |  |  | C iv $\lambda 1550$ | $761 \pm 44 \pm 5 @ 1462$ | ＂ |
|  |  |  |  |  | N v $\lambda 1238$ | $233 \pm 35 \pm 5$＠ 1377 | ＂ |
| ESO185－IG13 | IC4889 |  | 2526 | 62 | Ly $\gamma$ | $635 \pm 98 \pm 21$＠ 2642 | ＋Ly $\beta,+\mathrm{OVI}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | C III | $470 \pm 113 \pm 42$＠ 2625 | ＂ |
| Ton S180 | NGC247 | Scl | 159 | 125 | $\mathrm{C}_{\text {III }}$ | $60 \pm 28 \pm 7 @ 279$ | ＋OVI |
| 1H0419－577 | NGC1574 | LGG112 | 925 | 140 | $\mathrm{C}_{\text {III }}$ | $430 \pm 110 \pm 15 @ 1135$ | ＋Ly $\beta$ ，－－OVI |
| MCG＋10－16－111 |  | LGG234 | 1692 | ＞145 | Si III | $203 \pm 10 \pm 3 @ 1661$ | ＋Ly $\alpha$ |
| Mrk734 |  | GH78 | 921 | ＞133 | $\mathrm{Ly} \gamma$ | 90土79 ${ }^{\text {d }}$＠ 745 | ＋Ly $\beta$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | C III | $127 \pm 56 \pm 19 @ 485$ | ＋Ly $\beta$ |
| Mrk876 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NGC6140 } \\ & \text { UGC10294 } \end{aligned}$ |  | 910 | 206 | Si III | $42 \pm 9 \pm 1$＠ 912 | $+\mathrm{Ly} \alpha,+\mathrm{Ly} \beta,+\mathrm{OVI}$ |
|  |  |  | 3516 | 282 | $\mathrm{Ly} \gamma$ | $27 \pm 12 \pm 7 @ 3480$ | $+\mathrm{Ly} \alpha,+\mathrm{OVI}$ |
| PG1216＋069 |  |  |  | 283 | Ly $\gamma$ | $744 \pm 157 \pm 25 @ 1864$ | ＋Ly $\alpha,+\mathrm{Ly} \beta$ |
| 3C273．0 |  | LGG287 | 1655 | ＞311 | Ly $\gamma$ | $158 \pm 5 \pm 9 @ 1598$ | $+\mathrm{Ly} \alpha,+\mathrm{Ly} \beta,-\mathrm{OVI}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | Si III | $68 \pm 4 \pm 1$＠ 1589 |  |
| HE1228＋0131 |  | LGG287 | 1655 | ＞338 | $\mathrm{Ly} \gamma$ | 405土91土9＠1718 | $\underset{,}{+\operatorname{Ly} \alpha, \operatorname{Ly} \beta}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | C III | $342 \pm 100 \pm 16 @ 1711$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Civ $\lambda 1548$ | $71 \pm 17 \pm 5 @ 1722$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | C iv $\lambda 1550$ | $50 \pm 17 \pm 5 @ 1725$ |  |
| MS0700．7＋6338 |  | LGG140 | 4404 | ＞ 356 | $\mathrm{C}_{\text {III }}$ | $159 \pm 65 \pm 19 @ 4335$ | ＋Ly $\beta$ ，－OVI |
| PG1302－102 | NGC4920 | LGG307 | 1336 | 473 | C III | $58 \pm 16 \pm 16 @ 1310$ | $+\mathrm{Ly} \alpha,+\mathrm{Ly} \beta,-\mathrm{OVI}$ |
| HE0226－4110 | NGC954 | LGG62 | 5353 | 562 | $\mathrm{C}_{\text {III }}$ | $23 \pm 9 \pm 3 @ 5259$ | $+\mathrm{Ly} \alpha,+\mathrm{OVI}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | C iv $\lambda 1548$ | $39 \pm 11 \pm 2 @ 5232$ | ＂ |
| PKS2005－489 | 2MASX J200943．13 ${ }^{2}$ |  | 5116 | 787 | $\mathrm{C}_{\text {III }}$ | $117 \pm 23 \pm 9 @ 5065$ | $+\mathrm{Ly} \alpha,+\mathrm{Ly} \beta,-\mathrm{OVI}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | Si III | $29 \pm 4 \pm 2 @ 5073$ | ＂ |

Notes．1：description of columns－Column 1：AGN name；Column 2：associated galaxy；Column 3：galaxy group if galaxy is in group；Column 4：heliocentric systemic velocity of galaxy；Column 5：impact parameter；Column 6：ion detected；Column 7：measurement result，giving＂equivalent width $\pm$ statistical error $\pm$ systematic error＠fitted velocity；＂Column 8：entries show whether Ly $\alpha, \operatorname{Ly} \beta$ ，and／or O vi were also detected（＋）or not（ - ）；if line information is missing there is no data for that ion．2：full name is 2MASX J200943．13－481105．2．

For O vi，the literature comparison shows a much worse situation．Sembach et al．（2001），Richter et al．（2004），and Lehner et al．（2006）previously published O Vi absorptions to－ ward 3C 273．0，PG 1259＋593，and HE 0226－4110，respec－ tively．We agree with all of their low－z detections．Danforth et al． （2006）previously systematically analyzed the low－redshift O vi absorbers．We agree with only three of the six relevant detections that they listed（toward 3C 273．0，PG 1259＋593 and Mrk 876）． We also find three new O vi lines in sightlines that they stud－ ied（toward MRC 2251－178，Mrk 876 and PG 0953＋414）． In addition，we find seven new O vi absorbers in other sight－ lines（ $1 \mathrm{H} 0717+714$ ，ESO 185－IG13，Mrk 290，PG 0844＋349， PG 1302－102，Ton S180，and Ton S210），making for a total of 14 positively identified O vi absorbers at $v<6000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ． All these systems are commented on in Section 3.2 and in the Appendix．
Danforth et al．（2006）and Danforth \＆Shull（2008）listed O vi detections and nondetections for all Ly $\alpha$ systems in their sightline sample，but we only compared to our results for the 33 systems at $v<6000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ．As mentioned above， we agree with three of their detections，and do not confirm three other detections．We further agree with 20 of their nondetections，but we consider seven nondetections to have been given in error（see below），i．e．，we agree with 23 of 33 （ $70 \%$ ）of their results．Since we find three new detections in their sightlines，the detection statistics are not affected much，
even though the samples overlap for only three of the 14 positive identifications．

The three absorption lines for which do not agree with the Danforth et al．（2006）claim that they are redshifted O vi are the following．（1）A $29 \pm 16 \mathrm{~m} \AA$ line at $3205 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ toward MRC 2251－178，complementing strong Ly $\alpha$ and $\mathrm{Ly} \beta$ ；as can be seen in Figure 2，there is no convincing evidence for O vi absorption at this velocity，so we set an upper limit of 27 mA ．（2） O vi at $1147 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ toward PG $0804+761$ ；this feature is more likely to be C ii $\lambda 1037.337$ in the Galactic HVC complex A（see the Appendix）．（3）A $45 \pm 14 \mathrm{~m} \AA \mathrm{O}$ vi $\lambda 1037.617$ absorber at $2130 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ toward PG 1211＋143；Figure 2 clearly shows no evidence for a feature here and we set an upper limit of $19 \mathrm{~m} \AA$ ．
For seven nondetections listed by Danforth et al．（2006），we instead argue for a detection in three cases（see above）．For four others（toward PG 1116＋215，PHL 1811 and PKS 2005－489） there are other absorption lines $\left(\mathrm{Ly} \gamma, \mathrm{Ly} \eta, \mathrm{Ly} \xi, \mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ at the velocity of O vi corresponding to a Ly $\alpha$ detection．So，in practice it is not possible to set a useful upper limit．

## 3．2．Discussion of $O$ VI Absorbers

In this subsection，we summarize the O vi absorbers in our sample．The spectra can be seen in Figure 2．We derive column densities using the apparent optical depth method（Sembach \＆Savage 1992）．We also refer to linewidths，which were

Table 5
$\operatorname{Ly} \alpha$ and $\operatorname{Ly} \beta$ Detections and Comparison with Values in the Literature

| $\overline{\text { Object }}$ | Literature Values |  |  |  | This Paper |  |  |  |  | Flags ${ }^{4}$ |  | Galaxy | $\rho$ | Note |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline v(\operatorname{Ly} \alpha) \\ \left(\mathrm{km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{EW}(\mathrm{Ly} \alpha) \\ (\mathrm{m} \AA) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{EW}(\mathrm{Ly} \beta) \\ (\mathrm{m} \AA) \end{gathered}$ | Reference ${ }^{1}$ | $\begin{gathered} v(\operatorname{Ly} \alpha) \\ \left(\mathrm{km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{EW}(\mathrm{Ly} \alpha) \\ (\mathrm{m} \AA) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{EW}(\mathrm{Ly} \beta) \\ (\mathrm{m} \AA) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} b(\mathrm{Ly} \alpha)^{3} \\ \left(\mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & b(\operatorname{Ly} \beta)^{3} \\ & \left(\mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \end{aligned}$ | Ly $\alpha$ | Ly $\beta$ |  | $(\mathrm{kpc})$ |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) | (14) | (15) |
| 1H 0419-577 |  |  |  |  | 1112 |  | $463 \pm 25 \pm 15$ |  | 79 |  | + | NGC1574 | 140 |  |
| 1H 0707-495 |  |  |  |  | 1302 |  | $26 \pm 7 \pm 8$ |  | 19 |  | + | ESO207-G22 | 482 |  |
| 1H 0717+714 |  |  |  |  | 2888 |  | $41 \pm 7 \pm 8$ |  | 32 |  | + | UGC3804 | 199 | 6 |
| 3C232 | 1428 | $6400 \pm 230$ |  | Ke+2005 | 1434 | DLA |  | - |  |  |  | NGC3067 | 14 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 4526 | $153 \pm 30 \pm 4$ |  | 94 |  | + |  | Mrk412 | 196 |  |
| 3C 249.1 |  |  |  |  | 1861 | $40 \pm 9 \pm 3$ |  | 29 |  | + |  | NGC3329 | 543 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 6672 | $245 \pm 15 \pm 3$ | $39 \pm 13 \pm 3$ | 58 | 59 | + |  | CGCG351-57 | 858 |  |
| 3C 273.0 | 1015 | $369 \pm 36$ |  | PSS2000 ${ }^{2}$ | 1010 | $394 \pm 7 \pm 1$ | $120 \pm 4 \pm 10$ | 53 | 44 |  |  | LGG292 | >191 |  |
|  | 1013 | $371 \pm 17$ | $158 \pm 17$ | $\mathrm{Se}+2001$ |  | " | " |  |  |  |  | " |  |  |
|  | 1001 | $390 \pm 11$ |  | Tr+2008 |  | " | " |  |  |  |  | " |  |  |
|  | 1586 | $373 \pm 30$ | $251 \pm 11$ | PSS2000 ${ }^{2}$ | 1580 | $380 \pm 5 \pm 1$ | $236 \pm 2 \pm 8$ | 49 | 38 |  |  | LGG287 | >311 |  |
|  | 1589 | $367 \pm 13$ |  | Se+2001 |  | " | " |  |  |  |  | " |  |  |
|  | 1589 | $377 \pm 12$ |  | Tr+2002 |  | " | " |  |  |  |  | " |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2160 | $20 \pm 5 \pm 1$ | <9 | 32 |  | + |  | UGC7625 | 711 | 7 |
|  | 2290 | $35 \pm 30$ |  | PSS2000 | 2274 | $31 \pm 7 \pm 1$ | <9 | 44 |  |  |  | 2MASX J122815 | 429 | 7 |
| 3C 351.0 |  |  |  |  | 3465 | $117 \pm 13 \pm 5$ |  | 41 |  | + |  | NGC6292 | 314 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 3598 | $164 \pm 10 \pm 6$ |  | 46 |  | + |  | Mrk892 | 170 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 5175 | $182 \pm 10 \pm 4$ |  | 43 |  | + |  | SDSS J170349 | 594 |  |
| ESO 141-G55 |  |  |  | Sh +2000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| ESO 185-IG13 |  |  |  |  | 2635 |  | $641 \pm 52 \pm 14$ |  | 110 |  | + | IC4889 | 62 |  |
| ESO 438-G09 | 1469 | $510 \pm 20$ |  | BPB2002 | 1426 | $579 \pm 44 \pm 15$ |  | 114 |  |  |  | LGG230 | >173 |  |
|  | 2211 | $230 \pm 40$ |  | BPB2002 | 2215 | $265 \pm 30 \pm 13$ |  | 58 |  |  |  | 2MASX J111343 | 577 |  |
| Fairall 9 |  |  |  | Sh +2000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| H 1821+643 |  |  |  |  | 2836 | $17 \pm 5 \pm 1$ | <15 | 20 |  | + |  | NGC6667 | 2475 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 4084 | $38 \pm 5 \pm 2$ | <21 | 20 |  | + |  | NGC6636 | 2434 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 5253 | $22 \pm 5 \pm 1$ |  | 20 |  | + |  | FGC2210 | 2832 |  |
| HE 0226-4110 | 3645 | $50 \pm 3$ | $<18$ | DS2008 |  | $<36$ |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5234 | $80 \pm 12$ |  | Le+2006 | 5235 | $60 \pm 7 \pm 2$ |  | 17 |  |  |  | NGC954 | 562 |  |
|  | 5237 | $91 \pm 12$ |  | Tr+2008 |  | " |  |  |  |  |  | " |  |  |
| HE 0340-2703 |  |  |  |  | 1361 | $292 \pm 30 \pm 6$ |  | 55 |  | + |  | NGC1398 | 244 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1785 | $374 \pm 30 \pm 6$ |  | 71 |  | + |  | NGC1412 | 167 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 4100 | $167 \pm 26 \pm 5$ |  | 35 |  | + |  | ESO419-G03 | 942 |  |
| HE 1029-1401 | 1979 | $103 \pm 45$ |  | Mc+2002 | 2004 | $90 \pm 10 \pm 3$ |  | 53 |  |  |  | MCG-2-27-1 | 1065 |  |
|  | 1971 | $110 \pm 39$ |  | PSS2004 |  | " |  |  |  |  |  | " |  |  |
|  | 2202 | $45 \pm 31$ |  | PSS2004 |  | $<27$ |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2324 | $183 \pm 32$ |  | PSS2004 | 2457 | $168 \pm 9 \pm 3$ |  | 47 |  |  |  | 6dF J1033307 | 427 |  |
|  | 4523 | $59 \pm 37$ |  | PSS2004 | 4557 | $56 \pm 10 \pm 3$ |  | 59 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HE 1228+0131 | 889 | $46 \pm 13$ |  | R+2003 |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1490 | $138 \pm 42$ |  | PSS2000 | 1482 | $156 \pm 16 \pm 10$ | $58 \pm 26 \pm 11$ | 28 | 26 |  | + | LGG287 | > 338 |  |
|  | 1482 | $158 \pm 14$ |  | R+2003 |  | " |  |  |  |  |  | " |  |  |
|  | 1666 | $385 \pm 94$ |  | PSS2000 | 1700 | $623 \pm 22 \pm 4$ | $468 \pm 38 \pm 22$ | 86 |  |  | + | LGG287 | > 338 | 8 |
|  | 1685 | $497 \pm 13$ |  | R+2003 |  | " |  |  |  |  |  | " |  |  |
|  | 1745 | $241 \pm 99$ |  | PSS2000 |  | " |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1721 | $410 \pm 11$ |  | R+2003 |  | " |  |  |  |  |  | " |  |  |
|  | 1860 | $142 \pm 81$ |  | PSS2000 |  | " |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1834 | $115 \pm 14$ |  | R+2003 |  | " |  |  |  |  |  | " |  |  |
|  | 2301 | $439 \pm 57$ |  | PSS2000 | 2306 | $338 \pm 21 \pm 6$ | $172 \pm 31 \pm 17$ | 50 | 65 |  | + | UGC7625 | 339 |  |
|  | 2303 | $360 \pm 18$ |  | R+2003 |  | " |  |  |  |  |  | " |  |  |
| HS 0624+6907 | 5262 | $41 \pm 10$ |  | Ar+2006 | 5262 | $<29$ |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |
| HS 1543+5921 | 2883 | DLA |  | BTJ2001 | 2830 | DLA |  | - |  |  |  | SBS1543+593 | 0.3 |  |
| MCG+10-16-111 | 936 | $200 \pm 10$ |  | BPB2002 | 942 | $173 \pm 14 \pm 4$ |  | 59 |  |  |  | NGC3556 | 462 | 9 |
|  | 1472 | $620 \pm 20$ |  | BPB2002 | 1654 | $494 \pm 13 \pm 4$ |  | 71 |  |  |  | LGG234 | >145 |  |
|  | 1844 | $540 \pm 20$ |  | BPB2002 |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2012 |  |  | BPB2002 | 2022 | $174 \pm 11 \pm 9$ |  | 65 |  |  |  | NGC3625 | 190 |  |
|  | 2133 |  |  | BPB2002 | 2136 | $301 \pm 11 \pm 7$ |  | 58 |  |  |  | NGC3613 | 41 |  |
|  | 3104 | $100 \pm 20$ |  | BPB2002 | 3113 | $102 \pm 12 \pm 3$ |  | 62 |  |  |  | CGCG291-61 | 367 |  |
|  | 3578 | $110 \pm 20$ |  | BPB2002 | 3541 | $31 \pm 10 \pm 3$ |  | 52 |  |  |  | NGC3809 | 2910 |  |
|  | 3783 |  |  | BPB2002 | 3792 | $50 \pm 10 \pm 2$ |  | 41 |  |  |  | NGC3809 | 2910 |  |
|  | 4034 | $90 \pm 10$ |  | BPB2002 | 4043 | $52 \pm 11 \pm 2$ |  | 88 |  |  |  | SDSS J104924 | 4351 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 5363 | $172 \pm 8 \pm 3$ |  | 53 |  | + |  | MCG+10-16-118 | 208 |  |
| MRC 2251-178 | 2237 | $39 \pm 34$ |  | PSS2004 | 2265 | $133 \pm 12 \pm 3$ |  | 77 |  |  |  | ESO603-G31 | 422 | 10 |
|  | 2281 | $52 \pm 46$ |  | PSS2004 |  | " |  |  |  |  |  | " |  |  |
|  | 3035 | $60 \pm 32$ |  | PSS2004 | 3046 | $65 \pm 8 \pm 6$ |  | 65 |  |  |  | ESO603-IG23 | 412 |  |
|  | 3205 | $349 \pm 37$ |  | PSS2004 ${ }^{2}$ | 3212 | $364 \pm 11 \pm 5$ | $91 \pm 9 \pm 18$ | 77 | 55 |  | + | ESO603-G27 | 322 |  |
|  | 4368 | $40 \pm 28$ |  | PSS2004 | 4371 | $34 \pm 7 \pm 2$ | <50 | 56 |  |  |  | NGC7381 | 2470 |  |
|  | 9021 | $51 \pm 28$ |  | PSS2004 | 9032 | $54 \pm 8 \pm 2$ | $<29$ | 52 |  |  |  | ESO603-G25 | 322 |  |
| Mrk 106 |  |  |  |  | 2407 |  | $77 \pm 12 \pm 10$ |  | 35 |  | + | UGC4800 | 1030 |  |
| Mrk 110 |  |  |  |  | 1297 | $115 \pm 27 \pm 4$ |  | 37 |  | + |  | UGC4984 | 1975 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 3579 | $484 \pm 22 \pm 4$ |  | 71 |  | + |  | UGC5354 | 1702 |  |
| Mrk 205 |  |  |  |  | 1289 | $801 \pm 13 \pm 4$ | $477 \pm 14 \pm 8$ | - | 76 | + | + | NGC4319 | 6 |  |
| Mrk 279 |  |  |  | Sh+2000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |

Table 5
(Continued)

| $\overline{\text { Object }}$ | Literature Values |  |  |  | This Paper |  |  |  |  | Flags ${ }^{4}$ |  | Galaxy | $\rho$ | Note |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline v(\mathrm{Ly} \alpha) \\ \left(\mathrm{km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \\ (2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{EW}(\operatorname{Ly} \alpha) \\ (\mathrm{m} \AA) \\ (3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{EW}(\operatorname{Ly} \beta) \\ (\mathrm{m} \AA) \\ (4) \end{gathered}$ | Reference ${ }^{1}$ <br> (5) | $\begin{gathered} \hline v(\mathrm{Ly} \alpha) \\ \left(\mathrm{km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \\ (6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{EW}(\operatorname{Ly} \alpha) \\ (\mathrm{m} \AA) \\ (7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{EW}(\mathrm{Ly} \beta) \\ (\mathrm{m} \AA) \\ (8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} b(\mathrm{Ly} \alpha)^{3} \\ \left(\mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \\ (9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline b(\mathrm{Ly} \beta)^{3} \\ \left(\mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \\ (10) \end{gathered}$ | Ly $\alpha$ (11) | Ly $\beta$ (12) |  | (kpc) <br> (14) |  |
| Mrk 290 |  |  |  |  | 720 |  | $23 \pm 10 \pm 8$ |  | 14 |  | + | NGC5963 | 307 |  |
|  | 4667 | $60 \pm 18$ |  | PSS2000 | 4640 | $34 \pm 15 \pm 3$ | <33 | 20 |  |  |  | NGC5971 | 1586 |  |
| Mrk 335 |  |  |  |  | 1308 | $57 \pm 9 \pm 3$ | $<14$ | 19 |  | + |  | UGC12893 | 697 |  |
|  | 1965 | $229 \pm 30$ |  | PSS2000 ${ }^{2}$ | 1954 | $229 \pm 12 \pm 3$ | $31 \pm 3 \pm 9$ | 35 | 26 |  | + | [VCS96]000254.9 | 78 |  |
|  | 1970 | 170 |  | St+1995 |  | " |  |  |  |  |  | " |  |  |
|  | 1957 | $210 \pm 19$ | $59 \pm 8$ | DS2008 |  | " |  | 20 |  |  |  | " |  |  |
|  | 2295 | $81 \pm 26$ |  | PSS2000 ${ }^{2}$ | 2286 | $114 \pm 17 \pm 2$ | $<13$ | 66 |  |  |  | NGC7817 | 395 |  |
|  | 2290 | 73 |  | St+1995 |  | " |  |  |  |  |  | " |  |  |
|  | 2275 | $78 \pm 3$ | $<13$ | DS2008 |  | " |  | 33 |  |  |  | " |  |  |
|  | 4267 | $33 \pm 16$ |  | PSS2000 ${ }^{2}$ |  | $<29$ |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4270 | 26 |  | St+1995 |  | " |  |  |  | - |  | " |  |  |
| Mrk 421 | 3046 | $92 \pm 10$ |  | SSP1996 | 3007 | $84 \pm 9 \pm 2$ |  | 40 |  |  |  | HS 1059+3934 | 1041 |  |
|  | 3035 | $86 \pm 15$ |  | PSS2000 ${ }^{2}$ |  | " |  |  |  |  |  | , |  |  |
|  | 3035 | $87 \pm 15$ |  | Mc+2002 |  | " |  |  |  |  |  | " |  |  |
|  | 3022 | $82 \pm 8$ |  | SWFS2005 |  | " |  |  |  |  |  | " |  |  |
| Mrk 478 | 1582 | $194 \pm 31$ |  | PSS2004 ${ }^{2}$ | 1573 | $254 \pm 14 \pm 2$ | <44 | 68 |  |  |  | NGC5727 | 645 |  |
| Mrk 501 | 4661 | $161 \pm 43$ |  | PSS2000 | 4593 | $150 \pm 26 \pm 4$ | $<71$ | 47 |  |  |  | NGC6257 | 521 |  |
|  | 4660 | 154 |  | St+1995 |  | " |  |  |  |  |  | , |  |  |
| Mrk 509 | 2560 | $209 \pm 32$ |  | PSS2000 ${ }^{2}$ | 2545 | $224 \pm 16 \pm 1$ | $41 \pm 5 \pm 7$ | 47 | 40 |  | + | MCG-1-54-3 | 4834 |  |
|  | 2548 | $211 \pm 32$ |  | $\mathrm{Mc}+2002$ |  | " | " |  |  |  |  | , |  |  |
| Mrk 586 |  |  |  |  | 1464 |  | $49 \pm 11 \pm 9$ |  | 23 |  | + | NGC864 | 1239 |  |
| Mrk 734 |  |  |  |  | 478 |  | $301 \pm 31 \pm 11$ |  | 50 |  | + | GH78 | >230 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 757 |  | $149 \pm 31 \pm 11$ |  | 31 |  | + | GH78 | >230 |  |
| Mrk 771 | 1186 | $294 \pm 56$ |  | PSS2004 | 1184 | $321 \pm 13 \pm 2$ | <109 | 59 |  |  |  | NGC4561 | 502 |  |
|  | 1895 | $216 \pm 56$ |  | PSS2004 | 1891 | $243 \pm 13 \pm 5$ |  | 50 |  |  |  | KUG1229+207 | 184 |  |
|  | 2563 | $248 \pm 42$ |  | PSS2004 | 2557 | $246 \pm 13 \pm 3$ | <96 | 59 |  |  |  | UGC7697 | 139 |  |
|  | 2557 | $290 \pm 70$ |  | Co+2005 |  | " |  |  |  |  |  | " |  |  |
| Mrk 817 | 1933 | $29 \pm 13$ |  | PSS2000 | 1922 | $29 \pm 7 \pm 2$ | <9 | 50 |  |  |  | UGC9391 | 308 |  |
|  | 1933 | $29 \pm 13$ |  | PWW2004 |  | " |  |  |  |  |  | , |  |  |
|  | 2097 | $135 \pm 15$ | $40 \pm 4$ | PSS2000 ${ }^{2}$ | 2085 | $131 \pm 8 \pm 3$ | $25 \pm 3 \pm 7$ | 43 | 59 |  |  | SDSS J143903 | 202 |  |
|  | 2097 | $135 \pm 15$ | $25 \pm 7$ | PWW2004 |  | , |  |  |  |  |  | , |  |  |
|  | 4682 | $23 \pm 11$ |  | PSS2000 | 4670 | $25 \pm 5 \pm 2$ | $<22$ | 44 |  |  |  | $\ldots$ | > 5000 |  |
| Mrk 876 | 935 | $390 \pm 70$ |  | Co+2005 | 936 | $476 \pm 14 \pm 3$ | $79 \pm 6 \pm 33$ | 77 | 76 |  |  | NGC6140 | 206 |  |
|  | 959 | $324 \pm 52$ | $170 \pm 40$ | Sh +2000 |  | " |  |  |  |  |  | " |  | 11 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1109 | $39 \pm 7 \pm 3$ | $<13$ | 13 |  | + |  | NGC6140 | 206 |  |
|  | 3486 | $236 \pm 50$ |  | DSRS2006 | 3481 | $267 \pm 12 \pm 3$ |  | 37 |  |  |  | UGC10294 | 282 |  |
| Mrk 926 |  |  |  | PSS2004 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| Mrk 1095 | 4040 | $48 \pm 18$ |  | PSS2000 | 4048 | $44 \pm 10 \pm 4$ | <35 | 35 |  |  |  | UGC3262 | 1306 |  |
| Mrk 1513 |  |  |  | PSS2004 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| MS 0700.7+6338 |  |  |  |  | 4322 |  | $209 \pm 16 \pm 29$ |  | 38 |  | + | LGG140 | > 356 |  |
| NGC 985 | 1913 | $120 \pm 10$ |  | BPB2002 | 1924 | $69 \pm 20 \pm 2$ | $<31$ | 132 |  |  |  | DDO23 | 993 |  |
|  | 2156 | $51 \pm 42$ |  | PSS2004 | 2183 | $42 \pm 13 \pm 2$ | $<31$ | 65 |  |  |  | DDO23 | 993 |  |
|  | 2183 | $80 \pm 10$ |  | BPB2002 |  | " |  |  |  |  |  | " |  |  |
| PG 0804+761 | 1147 | $165 \pm 29$ |  | PSS2004 ${ }^{2}$ | 1144 | $159 \pm 7 \pm 2$ | $52 \pm 10 \pm 2$ | 47 | 68 |  | + | UGC3909 | 829 | 12 |
|  | 1530 | $78 \pm 28$ |  | PSS2004 | 1537 | $114 \pm 14 \pm 2$ |  | 107 |  |  |  | UGC4238 | 155 |  |
|  | $1621$ | $41 \pm 27$ |  | PSS2004 |  | " |  |  |  |  |  | " |  |  |
|  | 1570 | $260 \pm 30$ |  | Co+2005 |  | " |  |  |  |  |  | " |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2282 | $28 \pm 7 \pm 2$ |  | 32 |  | + |  | UGC4202 | 875 |  |
|  | 5552 | $324 \pm 44$ |  | PSS2004 | 5549 | $338 \pm 8 \pm 2$ | $72 \pm 5 \pm 3$ | 59 | 35 |  | + | UGC3889 | 4541 |  |
| PG 0838+770 |  |  |  |  | 716 |  | $330 \pm 53 \pm 13$ |  | 53 |  | + | UGC4527 | 10 |  |
| PG 0844+349 |  |  |  |  | 351 |  | $25 \pm 8 \pm 2$ |  | 8 |  | + | NGC2683 | 250 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2260 |  | $64 \pm 5 \pm 3$ |  | 25 |  | + | UGC4621 | 372 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2326 |  | $29 \pm 6 \pm 3$ |  | 23 |  | + | UGC4621 | 372 |  |
| PG 0953+414 |  |  |  |  |  |  | <22 | 41 |  |  | + | NGC3104 | 296 |  |
|  | 4671 | $58 \pm 12$ |  | SSTR2002 | 4670 | $55 \pm 10 \pm 1$ | <22 | 35 |  |  |  | KUG0952+418 | 449 |  |
|  | 4803 | $162 \pm 13$ |  | SSTR2002 ${ }^{2}$ | 4807 | $160 \pm 12 \pm 1$ | $<21$ | 50 |  |  |  | KUG0952+418 | 449 |  |
|  | 4961 | $123 \pm 12$ |  | SSTR2002 ${ }^{2}$ | 4961 | $120 \pm 10 \pm 1$ | $<17$ | 31 |  |  |  | KUG0952+418 | 449 |  |
| PG 1001+291 |  |  |  |  | 487 | $308 \pm 7 \pm 12$ | $<87$ | 41 |  | + |  | UGC5427 | 84 |  |
|  | 1078 | $300 \pm 60$ |  | BBP1996 | 1069 | $267 \pm 14 \pm 5$ | <77 | $47$ |  |  |  | UGC5464 | 337 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 4602 | $242 \pm 11 \pm 5$ | <62 | 55 |  | + |  | UGC5461 | 1249 |  |
| PG 1049-005 | 5583 | 80 |  | Co+2005 |  | $<93$ |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |
| PG 1116+215 | 1478 | $95 \pm 11$ |  | STSR2004 | 1479 | $91 \pm 10 \pm 2$ | <14 | 35 |  |  |  | UGC6258 | 543 |  |
|  | 1499 | $82 \pm 33$ |  | PSS2004 ${ }^{2}$ |  | , |  |  |  |  |  | " |  |  |
|  | 4902 | $113 \pm 10$ |  | STSR2004 | 4884 | $111 \pm 12 \pm 1$ | $41 \pm 4 \pm 3$ | 50 | 17 |  | + | NGC3649 | 1742 |  |
|  | 4913 | $90 \pm 32$ |  | PSS2004 ${ }^{2}$ |  | " |  |  |  |  |  | " |  |  |
| PG 1149-110 | 1660 | $1100 \pm 30$ |  | BPB2002 | 1665 | $437 \pm 69 \pm 7$ |  | 101 |  |  |  | NGC3892 | 529 |  |
|  | 3716 | $390 \pm 10$ |  | BPB2002 | 3728 | $381 \pm 54 \pm 3$ |  | 82 |  |  |  | NGC3942 | 141 |  |
| PG 1211+143 | 2130 | $186 \pm 19$ |  | PSS2004 ${ }^{2}$ | 2110 | $104 \pm 8 \pm 2$ | $50 \pm 9 \pm 8$ | 53 | 47 |  | + | LGG285 | >121 |  |
|  | 4944 | $189 \pm 46$ |  | PSS2004 ${ }^{2}$ | 4932 | $165 \pm 7 \pm 4$ | $31 \pm 10 \pm 7$ | 52 | 68 |  | + | CGCG69-129 | 1919 |  |
|  | 5036 | $154 \pm 40$ |  | PSS2004 ${ }^{2}$ | 5015 | $231 \pm 7 \pm 4$ | $57 \pm 14 \pm 8$ | 62 | 58 |  | + | CGCG69-129 | 1919 |  |

Table 5
(Continued)

| $\overline{\text { Object }}$ | Literature Values |  |  |  | This Paper |  |  |  |  | Flags ${ }^{4}$ |  | Galaxy | $\rho$ | Note |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline v(\mathrm{Ly} \alpha) \\ \left(\mathrm{km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{EW}(\mathrm{Ly} \alpha) \\ (\mathrm{m} \AA) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{EW}(\mathrm{Ly} \beta) \\ (\mathrm{m} \AA) \end{gathered}$ | Reference ${ }^{1}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline v(\mathrm{Ly} \alpha) \\ \left(\mathrm{km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{EW}(\mathrm{Ly} \alpha) \\ (\mathrm{m} \AA) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{EW}(\mathrm{Ly} \beta) \\ (\mathrm{m} \AA) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & b(\mathrm{Ly} \alpha)^{3} \\ & \left(\mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & b(\operatorname{Ly} \beta)^{3} \\ & \left(\mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \end{aligned}$ | Ly $\alpha$ | Ly $\beta$ |  | (kpc) |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) | (14) | (15) |
| PG 1216+069 | 1124 |  |  | TJBP2005 | 1106 | $209 \pm 27 \pm 5$ | <90 | 115 |  |  |  | LGG292 | $>104$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1443 | $119 \pm 19 \pm 6$ | <90 | 55 |  | + |  | LGG289 | $>264$ |  |
|  | 1650 | $1630 \pm 160$ |  | BBP1996 |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1895 | DLA |  | TJBP2005 | 1895 | $2400 \pm 62 \pm 350$ | $1001 \pm 73 \pm 12$ | - | - |  | + | LGG278 | >283 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 3774 | $148 \pm 13 \pm 17$ |  | 31 |  | + |  | SDSS J121903 | 103 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 3808 | $163 \pm 12 \pm 16$ | $<78$ | 32 |  | + |  | SDSS J121903 | 103 |  |
| PG 1259+593 | 687 | $190 \pm 24$ | $21 \pm 6$ | RSTS2004 ${ }^{2}$ | 678 | $25 \pm 9 \pm 3$ | $<15$ | 62 |  |  |  | UGC8146 | 80 |  |
|  | 679 | $330 \pm 80$ |  | Co+2005 |  | " |  |  |  |  |  | " |  |  |
|  | 630 | $292 \pm 23$ |  | Tr +2008 |  | " |  |  |  |  |  | " |  |  |
|  | 2278 | $301 \pm 15$ | $75 \pm 6$ | RSTS2004 ${ }^{2}$ | 2275 | $291 \pm 11 \pm 1$ | $67 \pm 6 \pm 2$ | 44 | 35 |  |  | UGC8046 | 584 |  |
|  | 4502 | $73 \pm 6$ |  |  | 4501 | $64 \pm 7 \pm 4$ |  | 28 |  |  |  | MCG+10-19-23 | 1869 |  |
| PG 1302-102 | 1311 | $293 \pm 0$ |  | DSRS2006 ${ }^{2}$ | 1316 | $284 \pm 30 \pm 2$ | $221 \pm 8 \pm 7$ | 37 | 35 |  | + | NGC4920 | 473 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1045 | $84 \pm 24 \pm 4$ | <25 | 41 |  | + |  | MCG-2-34-6 | 391 |  |
| PG 1341+258 | 1425 | $120 \pm 20$ |  | BPB2002 |  | $<31$ |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |
| PG 1351+640 |  |  |  | PSS2004 | 1447 | $122 \pm 15 \pm 23$ |  | 64 |  | + |  | SDSS J134711 | 482 | 13 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1771 | $143 \pm 18 \pm 3$ |  | 92 |  | + |  | UGC8894 | 274 |  |
| PG 1444+407 |  |  |  |  | 2630 | $95 \pm 14 \pm 4$ | $<85$ | 62 |  | + |  | SDSS J145045 | 897 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 5638 | $103 \pm 11 \pm 4$ | $<80$ | 38 |  | + |  | UGC9502 | 637 |  |
| PHL 1811 | 3558 | $240 \pm 50$ |  | $\mathrm{Je}+2003^{2}$ |  | O vi@0.0809 |  |  |  | - |  |  |  | 14 |
|  | 5309 | $210 \pm 60$ |  | $\mathrm{Je}+2003^{2}$ |  | O vi@0.0809 |  |  |  | - |  |  |  | 14 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 5402 | $35 \pm 3 \pm 2$ |  | 8 |  | + |  | SDSS J215446 | 787 |  |
| PKS 0405-12 | 3567 | $53 \pm 11$ |  | Le+2007 | 3574 | $51 \pm 9 \pm 5$ | $<19$ | 17 |  |  |  | 2MASX J040607 | 1489 |  |
|  | 4472 | $35 \pm 11$ |  | Le+2007 |  | <34 |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5039 | $45 \pm 14$ |  | Le+2007 | 5035 | $35 \pm 10 \pm 2$ |  | 25 |  |  |  | $\ldots$ | $>5000$ |  |
| PKS 2005-489 | 2752 | $24 \pm 15$ |  | PSS2004 |  | ( $26 \pm 3 \pm 2$ ) | $<20$ |  |  | - |  |  |  | 15 |
|  | 4947 | $299 \pm 26$ |  | PSS2004 ${ }^{2}$ | 4973 | $307 \pm 5 \pm 6$ | $46 \pm 7 \pm 7$ | 77 | 35 |  | + | ESO233-G37 | 599 |  |
|  | 5061 | $281 \pm 21$ |  | PSS2004 ${ }^{2}$ | 5071 | $330 \pm 3 \pm 6$ | $170 \pm 9 \pm 9$ | 61 | 38 |  | + | 2MASX J200943 | 787 |  |
| PKS 2155-304 | 2632 | $42 \pm 40$ |  | PSS2000 |  | $<20$ | <9 |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2785 | $36 \pm 22$ |  | PSS2000 |  | $<20$ | $<9$ |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4031 | $21 \pm 11$ |  | PSS2000 |  | <20 | <9 |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4951 | $64 \pm 23$ |  | PSS $2000{ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  | 16 |
|  | 5013 | $82 \pm 22$ |  | PSS2000 ${ }^{2}$ | 4990 | $104 \pm 7 \pm 2$ | $17 \pm 2 \pm 7$ | 29 | 22 | (+) | + | ESO466-G32 | 306 |  |
|  | 5119 | $218 \pm 20$ |  | PSS2000 ${ }^{2}$ | 5101 | $140 \pm 6 \pm 3$ | $43 \pm 4 \pm 8$ | 32 |  | (+) | + | ESO466-G32 | 306 |  |
|  |  |  |  | PSS2000 | 5164 | $61 \pm 6 \pm 3$ | $26 \pm 3 \pm 8$ | 35 |  | (+) | + | ESO466-G32 | 306 |  |
| RX J0100.4-5113 |  |  |  |  | 4874 | $83 \pm 27 \pm 4$ | <55 | 64 |  | + |  | ESO195-G17 | 1189 |  |
| RX J1830.3+7312 | 1536 | $110 \pm 20$ |  | BPB2002 | 1549 | $81 \pm 6 \pm 3$ |  | 41 |  |  |  | NGC6654A | 308 |  |
|  | 1938 | $110 \pm 20$ |  | BPB2002 | 1968 | $68 \pm 10 \pm 3$ | $<66$ | 111 |  |  |  | NGC6654 | 174 |  |
|  | 2368 | $100 \pm 20$ |  | BPB2002 | 2383 | $56 \pm 6 \pm 2$ | <64 | 32 |  |  |  | UGC11295 | 1307 |  |
|  | 4246 | $50 \pm 10$ |  | BPB2002 | 4260 | $47 \pm 9 \pm 2$ |  | 38 |  |  |  | UGC11334 | 1022 |  |
|  | 4752 | $90 \pm 50$ |  | BPB2002 | 4770 | $45 \pm 6 \pm 2$ |  | 41 |  |  |  | UGC11334 | 1022 |  |
| Ton S180 | 1919 | $66 \pm 28$ |  | PSS2004 | 1939 | $54 \pm 10 \pm 2$ | $<27$ | 44 |  |  |  | ESO451-G05 | 774 |  |
|  | 2774 | $49 \pm 26$ |  | PSS2004 | 2792 | $38 \pm 10 \pm 1$ | $<27$ | 32 |  |  |  | 2MASX J005700 | 560 |  |
|  | 2985 | $41 \pm 23$ |  | PSS2004 | 3001 | $35 \pm 9 \pm 2$ |  | 34 |  |  |  | ESO474-G25 | 1509 |  |
|  | 5502 | $268 \pm 54$ |  | PSS2004 | 5519 | $255 \pm 10 \pm 2$ | $37 \pm 9 \pm 7$ | 58 | 29 |  | + | 2MASX J010208 | 1547 |  |
| VII Zw 118 | 1721 | $54 \pm 29$ |  | PSS2004 | 1697 | $38 \pm 9 \pm 2$ |  | 41 |  |  |  | UGC3685 | 1414 |  |
|  | 2463 | $355 \pm 35$ | $110 \pm 50$ | Sh+2000 | 2438 | $333 \pm 16 \pm 2$ | $70 \pm 8 \pm 7$ | 65 | 38 |  |  | UGC3748 | 753 | 17 |
|  | 2426 | $189 \pm 15$ |  | $\mathrm{Mc}+2002$ |  | , |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2469 | $144 \pm 11$ |  | $\mathrm{Mc}+2002$ |  | " |  |  |  |  |  | " |  |  |
|  | 2382 | $68 \pm 38$ |  | PSS2004 |  | " |  |  |  |  |  | " |  |  |
|  | 2460 | $267 \pm 35$ |  | PSS2004 ${ }^{2}$ |  | " |  |  |  |  |  | " |  |  |
|  | 4595 | $35 \pm 20$ |  | PSS2004 | 4613 | $64 \pm 16 \pm 2$ | <37 | 89 |  |  |  | UGC3648 | 620 |  |
|  | 4693 | $45 \pm 31$ |  | PSS2004 |  | " |  |  |  |  |  | " |  |  |





















 two components, but the $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ does not really justify this.

Table 6
O vi Detections and Comparison with Values in the Literature

| Object | Literature Values |  |  |  | This paper |  |  | Qual ${ }^{3}$ |  | Galaxy | $\rho$ | Note |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $v(\mathrm{O} \mathrm{vI})$ $\left(\mathrm{km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ (2) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{EW}(1031) \\ (\mathrm{mA}) \\ (3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{EW}(1037) \\ (\mathrm{mA}) \\ (4) \end{gathered}$ | Reference ${ }^{1}$ <br> (5) | $\begin{gathered} v(\mathrm{O} \mathrm{vi}) \\ \left(\mathrm{km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \\ (6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{EW}(1031) \\ (\mathrm{mÅ}) \\ (7) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{EW}(1037) \\ (\mathrm{mA}) \\ (8) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | (kpc) <br> (12) |  |
| 1H 0717+714 |  |  |  |  | 2914 | $66 \pm 15 \pm 9$ | <23 | ? | + | UGC3804 | 199 |  |
| 3C 273.0 | 1013 | $26 \pm 10$ | $<30$ | Se+2001 | 1008 | $21 \pm 3 \pm 7$ |  | ! |  | LGG292 | >191 |  |
|  | 1015 | $35 \pm 6$ |  | DSRS2006 |  | " |  |  |  | " |  |  |
|  | 1001 | $31 \pm 7$ |  | Tr+2008 |  | " |  |  |  | " |  |  |
|  | 1589 |  | $17 \pm 10$ | Se+2001 | 1580 |  | $<10$ |  | - | LGG287 | >311 |  |
|  | 1586 |  | $<9^{2}$ | DSRS2006 |  |  | " |  |  | " |  |  |
| ESO 185-IG13 |  |  |  |  | 2627 | $335 \pm 68 \pm 15$ |  | ! | + | IC4889 | 62 |  |
| HE 0226-4110 | 5234 | $40 \pm 10$ |  | Le+2006 | 5240 | $41 \pm 6 \pm 4$ |  | + |  | NGC954 | 562 | 5 |
|  | 5237 | $54 \pm 10$ |  | Tr+2008 | " | " |  |  |  | " |  |  |
| MRC 2251-178 | 3205 | $29 \pm 16$ | $<24^{2}$ | DSRS2006 | 3212 | $<27$ | $<31$ |  | - | ESO603-G27 | 322 | 6 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2283 |  | $40 \pm 12 \pm 9$ | ? | + | ESO603-G31 | 422 |  |
| Mrk 290 | 2850 | $<100$ |  | PWW2004 | 3073 | $49 \pm 8 \pm 7$ | $20 \pm 8 \pm 7$ | + | + | NGC5987 | 424 | 7 |
| Mrk 335 | 1965 |  | $<10^{2}$ | DSRS2006 | 1954 |  | $<11$ |  |  | [vCS86]000254.9 | 78 |  |
|  | 2295 |  | $<10^{2}$ | DSRS2006 | 2286 | $<13$ | $<11$ |  |  | NGC7817 | 395 |  |
| Mrk 421 | 3022 | $<19$ |  | SWFS2006 | 3007 | $<10$ |  |  |  | HS 1059+3934 | 1041 |  |
|  | 3035 | $<9^{2}$ |  | DSRS2006 |  | " | " |  |  | " |  |  |
| Mrk 478 | 1582 |  | $<67^{2}$ | DSRS2006 | 1573 | <42 | $<47$ |  |  | NGC5727 | 645 |  |
| Mrk 509 | 2560 | $<19^{2}$ | $<13^{2}$ | DSRS2006 | 2545 | <25 | $<13$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mrk 817 | 2097 |  | $<21$ | PWW2004 | 2085 |  | <9 |  |  | SDSS J143903.89+584717.6 | 202 |  |
|  | 2097 |  | $<6^{2}$ | DSRS2006 |  |  | " |  |  | " |  |  |
| Mrk 876 | 958 | $26 \pm 7$ |  | DSRS2006 | 945 | $17 \pm 4 \pm 8$ | $<15$ | + |  | NGC6140 | 206 | 8 |
|  | 3486 | $<16^{2}$ |  | DSRS2006 | 3508 | $18 \pm 4 \pm 7$ |  | ! | + | UGC10294 | 282 |  |
| PG 0804+761 | 1147 | $36 \pm 10$ |  | DSRS2006 |  | $=\mathrm{C}_{\text {II }}$ |  |  | - |  |  | 9 |
|  | 5552 |  | $<9^{2}$ | DSRS2006 | 5549 |  | $<13$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| PG 0844+349 |  |  |  |  | 365 | $37 \pm 5 \pm 2$ | $27 \pm 5 \pm 7$ | + | + | NGC2683 | 250 |  |
| PG 0953+414 |  |  |  |  | 637 | $39 \pm 8 \pm 7$ | $<22$ | + | + | NGC3104 | 296 | 10 |
|  | 4806 | $<23$ | $<19$ | SSTR2002 | 4807 | $<18$ | $<18$ |  |  | KUG0952+418 | 449 |  |
|  | 4965 | $<19$ | <14 | SSTR2002 | 4961 | $<17$ | $<17$ |  |  | KUG0952+418 | " |  |
| PG 1116+215 | 1499 |  | $45 \pm 11$ | DSRS2006 |  |  | $=\mathrm{Ly} \xi$ |  | - |  |  | 11 |
|  | 4913 | $<14^{2}$ | <184 | DSRS2006 |  | $=\mathrm{Ly} \iota$ | $=\mathrm{Ly} \eta$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| PG 1211+143 | 2130 |  | $45 \pm 14$ | DSRS2006 | 2110 |  | $<19$ |  | - | LGG285 | > 121 | 12 |
|  | 4944 | $<23^{2}$ | $<13^{2}$ | DSRS2006 | 4932 | $<19$ | $<18$ |  |  | CGCG69-129 | 1919 |  |
| PG 1259+593 | 687 | $63 \pm 22$ | $<51$ | RSTS2004 | 627 | $25 \pm 5 \pm 2$ | $13 \pm 3 \pm 2$ | $!$ |  | UGC8146 | 80 | 13 |
|  | 687 | $14 \pm 9$ |  | DSRS2006 |  | " | " |  |  | " |  |  |
|  | 630 | $40 \pm 5$ | $26 \pm 6$ | Tr+2008 |  | " | " |  |  | " |  |  |
|  | 2278 | $15 \pm 4$ |  | RSTS2004 | 2275 |  | $<13$ |  | - | UGC8046 | 584 |  |
|  | 2280 |  | $<15^{2}$ | DSRS2006 |  |  | , |  |  | " |  |  |
| PG 1302-102 | 3109 |  |  |  | 3109 | $19 \pm 6 \pm 8$ |  | ? | + | NGC4939 | 104 | 14 |
| PHL 1811 | 3537 | $<63^{2}$ |  | DSRS2006 |  | $=\mathrm{Ly} \gamma$ |  |  | - |  |  | 15 |
| PKS 2005-489 | 4947 | $<15^{2}$ | $<14^{2}$ | DSRS2006 | 4973 | <20 | $<20$ |  |  | ESO233-G37 | 599 |  |
|  | 5061 | $<59^{2}$ | $<125^{2}$ | DSRS2006 | 5071 | $=\mathrm{H}_{2}$ |  |  |  | 2MASXJ200943 | 787 | 16 |
| PKS 2155-304 | 5013 | $<15^{2}$ | $<6^{2}$ | DSRS2006 | 4990 | $<10$ |  |  |  | ESO466-G32 | 306 |  |
|  | 5119 | $<8^{2}$ | $<34{ }^{2}$ | DSRS2006 | 5101 | $<10$ | $<11$ |  |  | ESO466-G32 | 306 |  |
| Ton S180 | 251 | $47 \pm 10$ |  | W+2003 | 260 | $51 \pm 10 \pm 8$ | $34 \pm 13 \pm 8$ | $!$ | + | NGC247 | 125 |  |
|  | 5502 | $<20^{2}$ | $<20^{2}$ | DSRS2006 | 5519 | <28 | <27 |  |  | 2MASX J010208.0302245597 | 1547 |  |
| Ton S210 |  |  |  |  | 288 | $25 \pm 8 \pm 7$ |  | + | + | NGC253 | 374 |  |
| VIII Zw 118 | 2460 |  | $<18^{2}$ | DSRS2006 | 2438 |  | $<21$ |  |  | UGC3748 | 753 |  |

Notes. 1: References. Se+2001: Sembach et al. 2001 (3C 273.0); SSTR2002: Savage et al. 2002 (PG 0953+414); W+2003: Wakker et al. 2003 (Ton S180); PWW2004: Pisano et al. 2004 (Mrk 290, Mrk 817); RSTS2004: Richter et al. 2004 (PG 1259+593); SWFS2005: Savage et al. 2005b (Mrk 421); DSRS2006: Danforth et al. 2006; Le+2006: Lehner al. 2006 (HE 0226-4110). Tr+2008: Tripp et al. 2008 (3C273.0, HE 0226-4110, PG 1259+593). 2: note that Danforth et al. (2006) give $4 \sigma$ upper limits to equivalent widths for absorption lines with a width of 1 resolution element ( $\sim 7$ or $\sim 20 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ), whereas our $3 \sigma$ upper limits are based on assuming a typical linewidth of $60 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. 3 : quality flag for detections; "!" implies a secure identification, " + " means that the identification is probably correct, "?" is given when the line(s) identified as O vi need confirmation. 4 : this column indicates a new detection (" + ") or a change in interpretation ("-"), i.e., a claimed detection or limit that we do not agree with. 5 (HE $0226-4110$ ): the O vi $\lambda 1031.926$ line is contaminated by interstellar $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~L}(4-0) \mathrm{R}(1) \lambda 1057.380$, while O vi $\lambda 1037.617$ is contaminated by O vi $\lambda 787.711$ at $z=0.3406$; Tripp et al. (2008) did not correct the equivalent width for the contribution of $\mathrm{H}_{2} .6$ (MRC $2251-178$ ): the O vi claimed by Danforth et al. (2006) is probably not real, as it is located in the detector flaw near $1043 \AA$ that is seen in almost all FUSE spectra; on the other hand, they did not list the possible O vi $\lambda 1037.617$ line near $2283 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, although this line has no confirming Ly $\beta$ or O vi $\lambda 1031.926 .7$ (Mrk 290): the negative-velocity side of the 1037 line at $3073 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ is contaminated by Galactic Ar I $\lambda 1048.220$; only the positive-velocity side is measured, and the result is doubled. 8 (Mrk 876): the value listed by Danforth et al. (2006) does not take into account the contamination by $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~L}(6-0) \mathrm{P}(4) \lambda 1035.783$; the O vi $\lambda 1031.926$ line at $3508 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ was missed by Danforth et al. (2006). 9 (PG 0804+761): the intergalactic O vi $\lambda 1031.926$ line claimed by Danforth et al. (2006) is actually C $\begin{aligned} & \text { I } \\ & \text { absorption at }-140 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \text { associated with the HVC complex A (see also the extended sightline note on PG } 0804+761 \text { in the Appendix). }\end{aligned}$ 10 (PG 0953+414): this O vi line was missed by Danforth et al. (2006). 11 (PG 1116+215): the feature at $1042.6 \AA$ is not O vi $\lambda 1031.926$ at $1499 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, as claimed by Danforth et al. (2006), but $\mathrm{Ly} \xi$ at $z=0.13847$; Sembach et al. (2004) showed the first 10 HI lines in this system, but another 8 are detected, some of which make deriving a lower limit for O vi near $4913 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ impossible. 12 (PG 1211+143): the feature claimed by Danforth et al. (2006) as O vi $\lambda 1037.617$ at $2130 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ clearly is not present. 13 (PG 1259+593): Richter et al. (2004) integrated several wiggles over a wide velocity range, to end up with a $63 \mathrm{~m} \AA$ equivalent width; we integrate just the feature that is matched by an apparent O vi $\lambda 1037.617$ line, which Richter et al. (2004) listed as O vi $\lambda 1031.926$ at $v=2278 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} .14$ (PG 1302-102): this is not a secure detection; O vi $\lambda 1037.617$ is in the wing of Ari $\lambda 1048.220$, and would be a $1.5 \sigma$ detection; Ly $\beta$ is hidden by Galactic С ${ }_{\text {II }} \lambda$ 1036.337. Ly $\alpha$ may be present, but the STIS-E140M spectrum is very noisy, and the detection limit is $72 \mathrm{~m} \AA$; we list this detection only because the feature is clearly visible by eye, and we find associated O vi for all other bright ( $L>0.1 L_{*}$ ) field galaxies with impact parameter $<300 \mathrm{kpc}$ (see Section 5.3 .3 ). 15 (PHL 1811): since the absorptions at 1230.04 and $1236.8 \AA$ are intrinsic O vI, rather than intergalactic Ly $\alpha$ as originally listed by Jenkins et al. (2003), the lower limit to EW(O vi) given by Danforth et al. (2006) is inappropriate. 16 (PKS 2005-489): Danforth et al. (2006) gave upper limits, but there are an $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and Fe II lines that completely cover any possible redshifted O vi absorption.

Table 7
Intergalactic O vi Systems at $z<0.017^{1}$

| Object (1) | Line (2) | $\left(\mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}\right)$ <br> (3) | $\begin{gathered} \hline W \\ (\mathrm{~mA}) \\ (4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} b \\ \left(\mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ <br> (5) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \log N \\ \left(\mathrm{~cm}^{-2}\right) \\ (6) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1H 0717+714 | Ly $\beta$ | 2888 | $41 \pm 7 \pm 8$ | $29 \pm 4$ | $13.86 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.06$ |
| 1H 0717+714 | O vi $\lambda 1031$ | 2915 | $66 \pm 15 \pm 9$ | $32 \pm 2$ | $13.81 \pm 0.17 \pm 0.04$ |
| 1H 0717+714 | O vi $\lambda 1037$ |  | <23 |  | $<13.87$ |
| 3C 273.0 | Ly $\alpha$ | 1010 | $394 \pm 7 \pm 1$ | $53 \pm 3$ | $14.27 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.01$ |
| 3C 273.0 | Ly $\beta$ | 1013 | $120 \pm 4 \pm 10$ | $44 \pm 2$ | $14.32 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.02$ |
| 3C 273.0 | O vi $\lambda 1031$ | 1008 | $21 \pm 3 \pm 7$ | $34 \pm 6$ | $13.24 \pm 0.15 \pm 0.15$ |
| ESO 185-IG13 | Ly $\beta$ | 2635 | $641 \pm 52 \pm 14$ | $88 \pm 3$ | > 15.35 |
| ESO 185-IG13 | O vi $\lambda 1031$ | 2627 | $335 \pm 67 \pm 15$ | $87 \pm 7$ | $14.63 \pm 0.15 \pm 0.01$ |
| ESO 185-IG13 | C iII $\lambda 977$ | 2625 | $470 \pm 113 \pm 42$ | $108 \pm 16$ | $14.18 \pm 0.35 \pm 0.19$ |
| HE 0226-4110 | Ly $\alpha$ | 5235 | $60 \pm 7 \pm 2$ | $17 \pm 3$ | $13.17 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.01$ |
| HE 0226-4110 | O vi $\lambda 1031$ | 5240 | $41 \pm 6 \pm 4$ | $17 \pm 3$ | $13.57 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.02$ |
| HE 0226-4110 | C iII $\lambda 977$ | 5259 | $23 \pm 9 \pm 3$ | $19 \pm 4$ | $12.64 \pm 0.23 \pm 0.15$ |
| HE 0226-4110 | Civ $\lambda 1548$ | 5232 | $39 \pm 11 \pm 2$ | $7 \pm 4$ | $13.03 \pm 0.20 \pm 0.14$ |
| MRC 2251-178 | Ly $\alpha$ | 2265 | $133 \pm 12 \pm 3$ | $64 \pm 4$ | $13.43 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.01$ |
| MRC 2251-178 | O vi $\lambda 1037$ | 2283 | $40 \pm 12 \pm 9$ | $35 \pm 5$ | $13.87 \pm 0.25 \pm 0.08$ |
| Mrk 290 | O vi $\lambda 1031$ | 3073 | $49 \pm 8 \pm 7$ | $34 \pm 4$ | $13.63 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.06$ |
| Mrk 290 | O vi $\lambda 1037$ | 3073 | $20 \pm 8 \pm 7$ | $31 \pm 3$ | $13.46 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.17$ |
| Mrk 876 | Ly $\alpha$ | 936 | $476 \pm 14 \pm 3$ | $77 \pm 2$ | $14.27 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.01$ |
| Mrk 876 | Ly $\beta$ | 933 | $79 \pm 6 \pm 33$ | $75 \pm 2$ | $14.14 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.03$ |
| Mrk 876 | O vi $\lambda 1031$ | 945 | $17 \pm 4 \pm 8$ | $29 \pm 3$ | $13.18 \pm 0.13 \pm 0.16$ |
| Mrk 876 | O vi $\lambda 1037$ |  | $<16$ |  | $<13.13$ |
| Mrk 876 | Si III $\lambda 1206$ | 912 | $42 \pm 9 \pm 1$ | $10 \pm 4$ | $12.34 \pm 0.15 \pm 0.11$ |
| Mrk 876 | Ly $\alpha$ | 3481 | $267 \pm 12 \pm 3$ | $37 \pm 3$ | > 14.06 |
| Mrk 876 | O vi $\lambda 1031$ | 3508 | $18 \pm 4 \pm 7$ | $29 \pm 4$ | $13.18 \pm 0.15 \pm 0.16$ |
| PG 0844+349 | Ly $\beta$ | 351 | $25 \pm 8 \pm 2$ | $6 \pm 2$ | $13.66 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.03$ |
| PG 0844+349 | O vi $\lambda 1031$ | 365 | $37 \pm 5 \pm 2$ | $28 \pm 2$ | $13.57 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.02$ |
| PG 0844+349 | O vi $\lambda 1037$ | 365 | $27 \pm 5 \pm 7$ | .. | $13.74 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.04$ |
| PG 0953+414 | Ly $\alpha$ | 621 | $70 \pm 9 \pm 3$ | $41 \pm 3$ | $13.19 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.01$ |
| PG 0953+414 | Ly $\beta$ |  | $<23$ |  | $<13.52$ |
| PG 0953+414 | O vi $\lambda 1031$ | 637 | $39 \pm 8 \pm 7$ | $53 \pm 2$ | $13.57 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.07$ |
| PG 1259+593 | Ly $\alpha$ | 678 | $231 \pm 9 \pm 3$ | $62 \pm 3$ | $13.81 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.01$ |
| PG 1259+593 | Ly $\beta$ |  | <15 |  | $<13.26$ |
| PG 1259+593 | O vi $\lambda 1031$ | 627 | $25 \pm 5 \pm 2$ | $24 \pm 4$ | $13.33 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.03$ |
| PG 1259+593 | O vi $\lambda 1037$ | 622 | $13 \pm 3 \pm 2$ | $15 \pm 3$ | $13.41 \pm 0.12 \pm 0.06$ |
| PG 1302-102 | Ly $\alpha$ |  | $<72$ |  | $<13.21$ |
| PG 1302-102 | O vi $\lambda 1031$ | 3109 | $19 \pm 6 \pm 8$ | $13 \pm 5$ | $13.23 \pm 0.15 \pm 0.15$ |
| PG 1302-102 | O vi $\lambda 1037$ |  | <23 |  | <13.34 |
| Ton S180 | Ly $\beta$ |  | $<37$ |  | $<13.76$ |
| Ton S180 | O vi $\lambda 1031$ | 260 | $51 \pm 10 \pm 8$ | $24 \pm 4$ | $13.68 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.05$ |
| Ton S180 | O vi $\lambda 1037$ | 285 | $34 \pm 13 \pm 8$ | $33 \pm 5$ | $13.75 \pm 0.24 \pm 0.09$ |
| Ton S180 | C iII $\lambda 977$ | 279 | $60 \pm 28 \pm 7$ | $41 \pm 8$ | $13.10 \pm 0.26 \pm 0.16$ |
| Ton S210 | Ly $\beta$ |  | $<20$ |  | $<13.45$ |
| Ton S210 | O vi $\lambda 1031$ | 288 | $25 \pm 8 \pm 7$ | $41 \pm 6$ | $13.38 \pm 0.13 \pm 0.10$ |

Notes. 1: Column 1 gives the target name. Column 2 gives the O vi lines and other lines in the system that are detected or, in the cases of $\operatorname{Ly} \alpha, \operatorname{Ly} \beta$, O vi, where it is possible to set an upper limit. Columns 3, 5, and 6 give the central (heliocentric) velocity, linewidth and logarithmic column density derived from a Gaussian fit to the apparent optical depth profile (see Sembach \& Savage 1992). Column 4 gives the equivalent width, with upper limits determined by integrating over a $60 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ wide window centered on the central velocity of the detected ion.
measured by fitting a Gaussian to the apparent optical depth profile and correcting the result for instrumental broadening (see also Section 3.2). The data for the O vi absorbers are collected in Table 7, listing the equivalent widths, linewidths and column densities for the O vi lines, as well as other lines detected in each system.

1H 0717+714 at $2915 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ : In the direction toward $1 \mathrm{H} 0717+714$ there is absorption at $1041.960 \AA$ that is best interpreted as $\mathrm{O}_{\text {vi }} \lambda 1031.926$ at a velocity of $2915 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ (reported here for the first time). The feature is located just longward of geocoronal OI* $\lambda 1041.688$ emission, and we measure it using the orbital-night-only data, although it is visible in the combined data. The line is $66 \pm 15 \mathrm{~m} \AA$, where the $3 \sigma$ detection limit for a $60 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ wide line is $12 \mathrm{~m} \AA$. In the combined day+night data the detection limit is 7 mA , so the feature is clearly significant. The corresponding O vi $\lambda 1037.617$ line is not seen, with a detection limit of $24 \mathrm{~m} \AA$. The expected
value is $33 \pm 8 \pm 8 \mathrm{~m} \AA$, using the statistical error near the O vi $\lambda 1037.617$ line, and a systematic error based on the O vi $\lambda 1031.926$ line, but any O vi $\lambda 1037.617$ absorption would also be contaminated by $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~L}(5-0) \mathrm{P}(4) \lambda 1047.550$.

The feature at $1035.603 \AA$ is very likely to be the corresponding Ly $\beta$ absorption, although its apparent velocity differs by $27 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ from that of the O vi line. This feature is unlikely to be interstellar C $_{\text {II }} \lambda 1036.337$ at $-207 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ originating in an ionized envelope of the HVC complex A, observed about 1 deg away. Assuming that this HVC has a metallicity of 0.1 solar, the logarithmic carbon abundance would be -4.61 , and if the feature is C in, the implied total hydrogen column density would be $\sim 2 \times 10^{18} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2}$. However, there is no evidence for $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}$ absorption at $-207 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ in the higher Lyman lines. Thus, intergalactic Ly $\beta$ at $2888 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ is the best interpretation for the feature at $1035.603 \AA$. The spectrum near $\mathrm{C}_{\text {II }}$ also shows a feature centered at $-150 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, and this does have corresponding $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}$
absorption in the Lyman lines, with a column density compatible with the possible $1-2 \times 10^{18} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2}$ seen in the 21 cm spectrum. It is likely that this component originates in the outskirts of complex A.

The galaxy nearest the sightline is UGC 3804, at $v_{\text {gal }}=$ $2887 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}, \rho=199 \mathrm{kpc}$, with diameter 22.8 kpc . It is a member of the LGG 141 group, but most of the group galaxies have impact parameters $>650 \mathrm{kpc}$.

Nominally, the velocities of $\mathrm{Ly} \beta$ and O vi $\lambda 1031.926$ differ by $27 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, but the $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ in the O vi spectrum is too low to know for sure. The linewidths of the $\mathrm{Ly} \beta$ and O vi $\lambda 1031.926$ absorption are very similar ( $29 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ versus $32 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ). Thus, the velocity offset between $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{i}}$ and O vi suggests collisional ionization, while the similarity in the linewidths suggests photoionization (although the O vi line would be relatively wide). However, we conclude that the measurements are too noisy to properly distinguish between the different ionization origins.
$3 C 273.0$ at $1010 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ : This O vi $\lambda 1031.926$ absorption is relatively clear, and it was previously reported by Sembach et al. (2001), Danforth \& Shull (2005), and Tripp et al. (2008). The strong Ly $\alpha$ and $\operatorname{Ly} \beta$ lines originate in LGG 292, one of the groups near the Virgo cluster. The nearest group galaxy is MCG0-32-16 ( $\left.v_{\text {gal }}=1105 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}, D_{\text {gal }}=6.3 \mathrm{kpc}\right)$, with impact parameter 191 kpc . A number of smaller galaxies have $\rho$ $=200-500 \mathrm{kpc}$. As can be seen in Figure 2, the O vi $\lambda 1037.617$ line is strongly contaminated by $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~L}(5-0) \mathrm{R}(3) \lambda 1041.158$ absorption. Although the Ly $\alpha$ line is very strong, the H i column densities derived from the $\mathrm{Ly} \alpha$ and $\mathrm{Ly} \beta$ lines match. The O vi line is only slightly narrower than the $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}$ lines $\left(b=34 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right.$ versus $b \sim 50 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ). Nominally, this implies a temperature of $8.5 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~K}$ and $b$ (turbulent) $\sim 30 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. As the $\mathrm{HI}_{\mathrm{I}}$ and O vi velocities are close ( $1010 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ versus $1008 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ), the system is likely to be mostly photoionized gas, although the temperature would be very high for gas in photoionization equilibrium.

ESO 185-IG13 at $2627 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ : The Ly $\beta$ line in this spectrum is so strong that it is saturated. Similarly, the O vi $\lambda 1031.926$ at $2627 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ is very strong, so even though we can only use orbital-night-only data, and even though the spectrum is rather noisy, the detection is clear. The O vi $\lambda 1037.617$ line, unfortunately, is hidden by the intrinsic $\mathrm{Ly} \beta$ absorption, but it would probably be visible in a spectrum with higher $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$. The sightline to ESO 185-IG13 is the seventh closest to another galaxy in our sample. IC 4889 ( $v_{\text {gal }}=2526 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}, D_{\text {gal }}=28.9$ kpc ) has impact parameter 62 kpc . The Ly $\beta$ and O vi lines are very broad ( $88 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ versus $87 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ) and close in velocity. However, the saturation of the $\operatorname{Ly} \beta$ line limits the value of the linewidth comparison. The O vi could be either photoionized or collisionally ionized.

HE 0226-4110 at $5240 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}{ }^{-1}$ : Near a velocity of $5240 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ there is a set of narrow lines that is likely to contain intergalactic $\mathrm{Ly} \alpha, \mathrm{Ly} \beta$, and O vi $\lambda 1031.926$. These were previously reported by Lehner et al. (2006) and Tripp et al. (2008), but were not listed by Danforth \& Shull $(2005,2008)$. The Ly $\alpha$ line is clear, though rather narrow ( $b=17 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ), which sets an upper limit on the gas temperature of 6500 K . The $\mathrm{Ly} \beta$ line is confused by the FUSE detector flaw near $1043 \AA$ A. So although there appears to be a feature where Ly $\beta$ is expected, it cannot be reliably measured. At the velocity of the O vi $\lambda 1037.617$ line is an $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ line $(\mathrm{L}(4-0) \mathrm{R}(1) \lambda 1049.960)$, but the $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ model that is based on all $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ lines combined shows that the feature is too strong. The best explanation is additional intergalactic O vi at $5240 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. After correcting for the $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ line, the linewidth and
velocity of this feature match that of Ly $\alpha$, strongly suggesting that the gas is photoionized. Unfortunately, the corresponding O vi $\lambda 1037.617$ is contaminated by intergalactic O Iv $\lambda 787.711$ at $z=0.34035$ (see Lehner et al. 2006).

This sightline passes 562 kpc from NGC 954 ( $v_{\text {gal }}=$ $5353 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}, D_{\mathrm{gal}}=33.0 \mathrm{kpc}$ ), a member of a group of galaxies with $v \sim 5000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ that is not included in Garcia (1993). The impact parameter is the largest for any of the O vi absorbers in our sample, but the galaxy surveys in this part of the sky are not very deep, so there is a good chance that an $L>0.1 L_{*}$ galaxy with lower impact parameter can be found.

MRC 2251-178 at $2283 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ : In this sightline a clear Ly $\alpha$ feature at $2265 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ appears to be matched by an O vi $\lambda 1037.617$ line at $2283 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, although this feature has a significance of only about $3.5 \sigma$. Both the $\mathrm{Ly} \alpha$ and the O vi line may have two (matching) components. The O vi $\lambda 1031.926$ line is contaminated by strong geocoronal emission, even in the orbital-night-only data. This is therefore one of the most uncertain O vi detections. There is a suggestion that both the $\mathrm{Ly} \alpha$ and the O vi absorptions have two components, but the $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ of the data is not good enough to definitively decide this. If the O vi line is real, it can be associated with ESO 603-G31 $\left(v_{\text {gal }}=2271 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}, D_{\text {gal }}=9.1 \mathrm{kpc}\right)$ at impact parameter 422 kpc. Danforth \& Shull (2005) did not report this feature, nor did they give a lower limit. If we assume that the structure inside the line is real, photoionization is the most likely explanation for this, since the lines would be narrow. If instead the structure is noise (quite likely), then we conclude that the width of the Hi line ( $b=64 \pm 4 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ) is about twice that of the $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{vI}}$ line ( $b=35 \pm 5 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ), which would imply $T \sim 1.8 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~K}$ and $b$ (turbulent) $\sim 30 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. Thus, the gas in this system is probably collisionally ionized.

Mrk 290 at $3073 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ : In this sightline, a clearly significant ( $49 \pm 8 \mathrm{~m} \AA$ ) feature at $1042.504 \AA$ is best explained as intergalactic O vi absorption at $3073 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. There are no interstellar lines at this wavelength. The feature cannot be $\mathrm{Ly} \beta$ at $5163 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, as there is no matching, stronger, Ly $\alpha$ line in the GHRS spectrum. The Ly $\alpha$ line corresponding to the O vi has not been observed, but a Cosmic Origins Spectrograph (COS) is planned; detecting Ly $\alpha$ would confirm the O vi interpretation. The corresponding Ly $\beta$ line is obscured by saturated Galactic C $_{\text {II }}$ absorption. There does appear to be a matching $\mathrm{O}_{\text {vi }} \lambda 1037.617$ absorption. Although this is blended on one side with Galactic Ar I $\lambda 1048.220$, no other Galactic interstellar absorption line has a wing on the positivevelocity side. Moreover, if we measure just the positive-velocity side of this feature, its strength and width match the values expected from the O vi $\lambda 1031.926$ absorption. On balance, this O vi absorber appears secure. However, there is not enough information to determine the origin of the ionization of O vI .

Several galaxies with $v_{\text {gal }} \sim 3100 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ have impact parameters of $300-600 \mathrm{kpc}$. In Table 3 we associate the absorber with NGC $5987(\rho=424 \mathrm{kpc})$, as it is by far the largest ( $v_{\text {gal }}=$ $\left.3010 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}, D_{\text {gal }}=51.7 \mathrm{kpc}\right)$.

Mrk 876 at $945 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ : Toward Mrk 876 there is a feature at $1035.179 \AA$ that we interpret as a blend of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~L}(6-0) \mathrm{P}(4)$ at $1035.783 \AA$ and O vi $\lambda 1031.926$ redshifted to a velocity of $945 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. As can be seen in Figure 2, the $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ model does not exactly match this feature, unlike what is the case for the other $\mathrm{H}_{2} J=4$ lines (see, e.g., the Mrk 876-UGC 10294 panel in Figure 2). After removal of the $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ absorption, a $4 \sigma$ absorption remains, which we interpret as intergalactic O vi $\lambda 1031.926$, because there is also $\mathrm{Ly} \alpha$ and $\mathrm{Ly} \beta$ absorption
at its velocity; these lines were previously reported by Shull et al. (2000) and Côté et al. (2005). Finally, there is a 42 $\pm 9 \mathrm{~m} \AA$ feature at $1210.170 \AA$ that is most likely Si III at $912 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$.

The Ly $\alpha$ line is broad $\left(b=75 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ and at a velocity of $936 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. The S/N of the STIS-E140M spectrum is insufficient to determine whether this line is a single or multicomponent absorber, although the apparent optical depth profile looks compatible with a single component. The corresponding $\mathrm{Ly} \beta$ absorption is strongly contaminated by two-component $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ $\mathrm{L}(6-0) \mathrm{R}(3) \lambda 1028.985$ absorption, but after correcting for this contamination, the implied Hi column density matches that of the Ly $\alpha$ line to within the errors.

Although the width of the O vi line is difficult to measure, it is less than half that of the Ly $\alpha$ line $\left(b=29 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$. The difference in the $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}$ and O vi linewidths implies $T=3 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~K}$ and $b$ (turbulent) $=23 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, implying the origin of the ionization is almost certainly collisional ionization.

A large $\left(D_{\text {gal }}=27.1 \mathrm{kpc}\right)$ isolated galaxy $\left(\mathrm{NGC} 6140, v_{\text {gal }}=\right.$ $910 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ) lies only 206 kpc from the sightline, and it is the only candidate galaxy to associate with the absorber.

Mrk 876 at $3508 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ : The strong Ly $\alpha$ line at $3481 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ (previously reported by Danforth \& Shull 2005) is matched by Ly $\beta$ absorption, although the latter is blended with Galactic O vi $\lambda 1037.617$, and no equivalent width can be measured. The presence of Ly $\beta$ is shown by the fact that the apparent optical depth profiles of Galactic O vi $\lambda 1031.926$ and O vi $\lambda 1037.617$ do not match, the only sightline in the FUSE sample for which this is the case (see Wakker et al. 2003). A $4.5 \sigma$ ( $17 \pm 4 \mathrm{~m} \AA$ ) feature at $1044.001 \AA$ is best explained as a corresponding $\mathrm{O}_{\text {vi }} \lambda 1031.926$ line at $3501 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, even though it is offset in velocity from Ly $\alpha$ by $27 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. Danforth \& Shull (2005) reported an upper limit of 16 mA at this velocity. There is only one galaxy that can clearly be associated with the absorption: UGC 10294 ( $v_{\text {gal }}=3516 \mathrm{kpc}, D_{\text {gal }}=27.6 \mathrm{kpc}, \rho=282 \mathrm{kpc}$ ). The widths of the Ly $\alpha$ and O vi $\lambda 1031.926$ lines are similar ( $37 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ versus $29 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ), which would support the idea that this system consists of photoionized gas. However, such an origin does not explain the $27 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ velocity offset, so collisional ionization is more likely.

PG 0844+349 at $365 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ : The FUSE spectrum of this target has several features that are difficult to interpret, but which we decided are $\mathrm{Ly} \beta, \mathrm{O}$ vi $\lambda 1031.926$, and O vi $\lambda 1037.617$ at $\sim 360 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. Unfortunately, this velocity is such that any Ly $\alpha$ line would be hidden in the Galactic Ly $\alpha$ line. In the $\mathrm{O}_{\text {vi }} \lambda 1031.926$ part of the spectrum there are three clear features. We interpret the two at the most positive velocities as $\mathrm{Ly} \beta$ at 2260 and $2326 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, especially since there is a strong feature in the low-resolution $F O S$ spectrum near these velocities. Normally, we would be inclined to identify the third feature also as $\operatorname{Ly} \beta$, although this line is only a $3 \sigma$ detection, and appears to be extremely narrow. Furthermore, the spectrum lies below the continuum at the wavelengths where O vi $\lambda 1037.617$ is expected, even though this falls between an $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ line ( $\mathrm{L}(5-0)$ $\mathrm{R}(2) \lambda 1038.689)$ and Galactic Oi $\lambda 1039.230$. The low quality of the $\operatorname{Ly} \beta$ measurement makes it difficult to determine the origin of the ionization of O vi even though the O vi line appears to be broader than the $\operatorname{Ly} \beta$ line and there is a velocity offset.
$P G 0953+414$ at $637 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ : Toward this target there are two clear features that can be interpreted as Ly $\alpha$ at $621 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{\text {vi }} \lambda 1031.926$ at $637 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, which have not been reported before. Both of these features are very significant $(70 \pm 9$ and
$39 \pm 8 \mathrm{~mA}$ ), and intergalactic O VI is the most likely interpretation for the feature at $1034.129 \AA$. This system can be associated with NGC $3104\left(v_{\text {gal }}=612 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}, D_{\text {gal }}=11.5 \mathrm{kpc}, \rho=\right.$ 296 kpc ), which is the only galaxy with $v \sim 600 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and $\rho$ $<600 \mathrm{kpc}$. The widths of the Ly $\alpha$ and O vi $\lambda 1031.926$ lines are difficult to measure because the $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}$ line is noisy and in the wing of Galactic Ly $\alpha$. They are similar, though large ( $b=41 \pm 3$ and $53 \pm 2 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ). Since the velocities differ by $16 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, this O vi system may originate in a collisionally ionized gas.
$P G 1259+593$ at $627 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ : In the spectrum of this target there is a set of aligned features. The Ly $\alpha$ line at $678 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ is clear, and fairly broad. Tripp et al. (2008) interpreted this as a two-component system, probably basing this on the possibly double O vi line. However, the noise in the Ly $\alpha$ spectrum is too high to be certain. Therefore, we list this as a single system. The expected corresponding $\operatorname{Ly} \beta$ line is weak, and, if present, would be hidden by $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~L}(6-0) \mathrm{P}(2) \lambda 1028.104$. At velocities of 627 and $622 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ there is a matching set of O vi features, whose equivalent widths are in the ratio $2: 1$. We interpret this set of absorbers as an intergalactic system associated with UGC 8146 $\left(v_{\text {gal }}=669 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}, D_{\text {gal }}=12.6 \mathrm{kpc}, \rho=80 \mathrm{kpc}\right)$, even though there is a dwarf galaxy (SDSS J130206.46+584142.8, $D_{\text {gal }}=$ 1.8 kpc ) with slightly lower impact parameter ( 72 kpc ). These features were previously reported by Richter et al. (2004), Côté et al. (2005), Danforth et al. (2006), and Tripp et al. (2008).

The widths of the two O vi lines appear to differ $(b=24 \pm 4$ and $15 \pm 3 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ), but this can be attributed to the noisiness of the data. The average of these is about a factor 3 smaller than the width of the Ly $\alpha$ line $\left(b=62 \pm 3 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right.$ ). However, mostly because the HI and O vi velocities differ by $51 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, collisional ionization is the more likely explanation for the origin of this system.

PG 1302-102 at $3109 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ : The possible O vi $\lambda 1031.926$ absorber at $3109 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ that we list toward PG 1302-102 is the least certain detection in our sample. Ly $\beta$ is hidden by Galactic C ii absorption, O vi $\lambda 1037.617$ would be too weak and blends with $\operatorname{Ar}$ I $\lambda 1048.220$. For Ly $\alpha$ only an upper limit of $72 \mathrm{~m} \AA$ can be set. However, since two of the seven Ly $\alpha$ lines in systems with O vi have $W \sim 70 \mathrm{~m} \AA$, the Ly $\alpha$ nondetection is not problematic. The O vi $\lambda 1031.926$ line itself is not strong, but appears clear (see Figure 2). The line is narrow ( $b=13 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ), so if this system is real, it probably consists of photoionized material, as turbulent broadening usually is at least this large.

The galaxy NGC $4939\left(v_{\text {gal }}=3111 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}, D_{\text {gal }}=24.6\right.$ kpc ) lies only 104 kpc from the sightline. It is the only galaxy with velocity near $3109 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ with $\rho<900 \mathrm{kpc}$, and thus the only candidate for associating with the absorber. The small impact parameter to this galaxy is one of the arguments we use to interpret the feature as a real line, as we find that all other $L>0.1 L_{*}$ field galaxies with impact parameter $<350 \mathrm{kpc}$ have associated Ly $\alpha$ absorption.

Ton S180 at $260 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ : In this sightline there is a clear pair of O vi lines, centered at $260 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ (first reported by Wakker et al. 2003). The separately derived column densities are compatible with each other. However, no $\mathrm{HI}_{\mathrm{I}}$ is detected, as $\mathrm{Ly} \beta$ is blended with geocoronal $\mathrm{OI}^{*} \lambda 1027.431$, and any Ly $\alpha$ would be hidden by the Galactic Ly $\alpha$ absorption. On the other hand, there is a possible $\mathrm{C}_{\text {iII }}$ line centered at $279 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ that goes with the O vi detection. On balance, the identification of the O vi lines appears secure. In spite of their relatively low velocity (below $400 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ), we identify the lines as intergalactic, rather than as originating in the Milky Way halo, since no other Galactic


Figure 4. Distributions of fitted linewidths for four different lines (Ly $\alpha, \operatorname{Ly} \beta$, O vi $\lambda 1031.926$, and O vi $\lambda 1037.617$ ), as indicated in the top left corner of each panel. $b$-values for Ly $\alpha$ and Ly $\beta$ are listed in Table 5, those for O vi in Table 7. The top x-scale gives $b$-values, the bottom x-scale the FWHM. Selection criteria: all lines that are not contaminated by $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ absorption, the FUSE detector flaw near $1043 \AA$, or blended with other absorption lines. The dotted line in the O vi $\lambda 1031.926$ panel shows the distribution found by Tripp et al. (2008), scaled to our sample size.
high-velocity gas with high positive velocities is known in the part of the sky where Ton S180 is located, and because NGC 247 ( $v_{\text {gal }}=159 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}, D_{\text {gal }}=15.7 \mathrm{kpc}$ ) has an impact parameter of only 125 kpc . There is not enough information to determine the origin of the ionization of O vi.

Ton $S 210$ at $288 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ : The feature at $1032.933 \AA$ is interpreted as probable O vi $\lambda 1031.926$ at $288 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, even though there is no corroborating Ly $\alpha$ (hidden in Galactic Ly $\alpha$ ), Ly $\beta$ (confused by geocoronal Oi* $\lambda 1027.431$ ), or O vi $\lambda 1037.617$ (blended with $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~L}(5-0) \mathrm{R}(2) \lambda 1038.689\right)$. However, there are no other intergalactic absorption systems that produce, e.g., Ly $\beta$ or metal lines at this wavelength, so O vi $\lambda 1031.926$ is the most likely interpretation. If so, this line is associated with NGC 253 $\left(v_{\mathrm{gal}}=251 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}, D_{\text {gal }}=20.7 \mathrm{kpc}, \rho=374 \mathrm{kpc}\right)$. However, the velocity of this absorber is low enough that another possibility is that the gas is located in the Local Group. There is not enough information to constrain the origin of the O vi absorption.

In their analysis of 78 O vi absorbers, Tripp et al. (2008) plotted the O vI/ $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}$ column density ratio versus $N\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}\right)$ and found a strong correlation between the two. If we do this for the 11 systems in Table 7 with both Hi and O vi results, we find that our systems follow the same relation, with $N\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}\right)$ ranging from 13.17 to 14.27 , which falls in the middle of the range found by Tripp et al. (2008). Further, for the most part, the linewidths of our O vi/H I absorbers follow the distribution found by Tripp et al. (2008) (see Section 3.2). This suggests that the conclusions of Tripp et al. (2008) concerning the nature of the low-redshift O vi absorbers are also valid for these 11 systems.

As discussed above, we have a reasonably good handle on the origin of the ionization in eight of the 14 systems. Based on the linewidth and velocity offset comparison, we conclude that collisional ionization is likely for five absorbers (toward MRC 2251-178, Mrk 876 (both systems), PG 0953+414, and PG $1259+593$ ), while for three photoionization is more likely (toward 3C 273.0, HE 0226-4110 and PG 1302-102). The origin of the O vi ionization remains undetermined in six of the 14 systems.

As can be seen from the cases above, 10 of the 14 O vi absorbers originate within 550 kpc from an $L_{*}$ galaxy, and the other four originate within 450 kpc of an $0.1 L_{*}$ galaxy. We therefore agree with Stocke et al. (2006) that in general lowredshift intergalactic $O$ vI only originates within 500 kpc from bright galaxies.

### 3.3. Distribution of Ly $\alpha, L y \beta$, and $O$ vi Line Widths

Figure 4 shows the distributions of fitted linewidths, separately for each of four lines- $\mathrm{Ly} \alpha, \mathrm{Ly} \beta, \mathrm{O}$ vi $\lambda 1031.926$, and O vi $\lambda 1037.617$. The widths have been corrected for instrumental broadening, although this makes only a slight difference, as the resolution is $6.5 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ for STIS-E140M data and $\sim 30$ and $20 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ for STIS-G140M and FUSE data, respectively, while the FWHM of the lines typically is much larger. We removed saturated Ly $\alpha$ lines, some weak lines with low significance and lines in noisy spectra, as well as lines observed with the GHRS, as its line-spread function has broad wings. We also removed lines that are blended with $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ or with the FUSE detector flaw


Figure 5. Plots of the frequency of occurrence of $\operatorname{Ly} \alpha, \operatorname{Ly} \beta$, and O vi. Linear equivalent width limit scale on the left, and logarithmic scale on the right. Our results, based on $102 \mathrm{Ly} \alpha, 34 \mathrm{Ly} \beta$, and 11 O vi detections at $v=400-5000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, are shown as the solid line with error bars. The stars in panels (a) and (b) show the distribution of Penton et al. (2004), corrected for the difference in the way that equivalent width limits are measured (see Section 2.3). In panels (e) and (f) the closed stars show the $d N / d z$ distribution of Danforth \& Shull (2005), the open stars that of Tripp et al. (2008), and the open plusses that of Thom \& Chen (2008), with corrections for the difference in equivalent width limit calculation when necessary. Selection criteria: all 133 Ly $\alpha$, Ly $\beta$, O vi lines at $v=400-5000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}{ }^{-1}$ with $W>W_{\text {lim }}$.
or which are otherwise problematic (such as multicomponent lines).

For Ly $\alpha$ we find $\langle b\rangle=50 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, median $49 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, and dispersion $19 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, where Penton et al. (2000b) measured $\langle b\rangle=38 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, median $35 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, and dispersion $16 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, while Danforth \& Shull (2008) give a median of $28 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and dispersion $16 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. Although 47 of our 115 Ly $\alpha$ lines overlap with the Penton et al. (2000b) sample, the discussion in the next subsection suggests that the difference in the medians may be significant and that the typical linewidth at $z=0-0.017$ may be larger than at $z=0.017-0.2$.

A new result is our derivation of these values for $\operatorname{Ly} \beta$ : $\langle b\rangle=42 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, median $38 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, and dispersion $20 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, i.e., on average the $\mathrm{Ly} \beta$ lines seem to be slightly narrower than the Ly $\alpha$ lines. This may indicate that some of the Ly $\alpha$ lines that we thought were well measured are still affected by saturation and other effects.

For O vi, $\langle b\rangle=29 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, median $29 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, and dispersion $11 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ (excluding the noisy, apparently broad detection associated with IC 4889). Thus, the average/median is smaller for O vi than for Hi. As discussed in Section 3.2, we find individual cases where $b\left(\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{vI}}\right)<b\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}\right)$, but also cases where the linewidths are similar. Tripp et al. (2008) showed the linewidth distribution of 74 OVI absorbers that were found in a sample of 16 AGNs with redshifts up to 0.5 . We show their distribution by the dotted line in Figure 4 (scaling to our sample size of 14). When compared to the 14 absorbers at $z<0.017$ that form our sample, it is clear that the two distributions are similar.

## 3.4. $d N / d z$-Frequency of Intergalactic Lya, Ly $\beta$, and $O$ vI Absorption

In Figure 5, we present plots for the frequency with which $\operatorname{Ly} \alpha, \operatorname{Ly} \beta$, and O vi $\lambda 1031.926$ occur $(d N / d z)$, as a function
of the limiting equivalent width. This is a fundamental quantity that can be predicted by theoretical models (e.g., Cen et al. 2001; Fang \& Bryan 2001; Furlanetto et al. 2005; Cen \& Fang 2006), as well as one that can be converted to an estimate of the baryon density associated with the ion. Penton et al. (2004) and Tripp et al. (2008) presented their results for $d N / d z$ for $\mathrm{Ly} \alpha$ and O vi $\lambda 1031.926$ absorbers in the low-redshift universe, which in their case meant $z<0.5$ in 15 and 16 sightlines, respectively.

Estimating $d N / d z$ as a function of limiting equivalent width $W_{\text {lim }}$ requires counting the number of absorbers with equivalent width $W$ larger than $W_{\text {lim }}$ and dividing by the total path $\Delta z$ over which these absorbers could have been detected. In practice, this calculation is not trivial, because the limiting equivalent width varies widely between the different sightlines in our sample, and even within a single spectrum (e.g., if there are strong emission lies intrinsic to the background AGN). We thus calculate the $3 \sigma$ equivalent width upper limit for a $60 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ wide absorber as a function of wavelength for each of $\operatorname{Ly} \alpha, \operatorname{Ly} \beta$, and O vi $\lambda 1031.926$. For a given $W_{\text {lim }}$ we then find the total velocity range between 400 and $5000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ over which lines with $W>W_{\text {lim }}$ could have been detected. We subtract the ranges where Galactic or higher redshift intergalactic lines block the possible detection of intergalactic lines. This includes both ionic and molecular low- and high-velocity Galactic absorption. We also estimate an error for the path length, taking into account the fuzzy edges of the Galactic absorption lines $\left(50 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right.$ per sightline for Ly $\alpha, 200 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ per sightline for Ly $\beta$ and $\mathrm{O}_{\text {vi }} \lambda 1031.926$ ). In our 76 sightlines, in the velocity range $v=400-5000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}(z=0.0013-0.0167)$, and for $W_{\lim }>$ $100 \mathrm{~m} \AA$, we build up $\Delta z=0.68$ for $\operatorname{Ly} \alpha, \Delta z=0.41$ for $\operatorname{Ly} \beta$, and $\Delta z=0.48$ for $\mathrm{O}_{\text {vi }} \lambda 1031.926$. This can be compared to the values $\Delta z=1.1$ for Ly $\alpha$ in Penton et al. (2004) and $\Delta z=$ 3.2 for O vi $\lambda 1031.926$ in Tripp et al. (2008). For lower $W_{\text {lim }}$, the redshift path decreases $(\Delta z=0.51,0.33,0.40$ for $\operatorname{Ly} \alpha, \operatorname{Ly} \beta$, O vi $\lambda 1031.926$, respectively, at $W_{\lim }=50 \mathrm{~mA}$ ), until it hits zero at $W_{\text {lim }} \sim 20 \mathrm{mÅ}$.

The resulting $d N / d z$ distributions are shown in Figure 5, with a log-log scale in the right column and a linear scale in the left column. The error estimate for each $d N / d z$ combines sqrt(no. of detections) with the estimated error in the path; the latter is only important at the lowest values of $W_{\mathrm{lim}}$.

In Figures 5(a) and (b), the connected points with error bars show our data, i.e., $\log d N(\operatorname{Ly} \alpha) / d z$ for velocities $<5000$ $\mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}(z<0.0167)$. The stars show the results from Penton et al. (2004), which were taken from the plot in their paper, although we multiplied $W_{\text {lim }}$ by a factor 1.3 to account for the difference in the way the equivalent width limits are calculated (see Section 2.3). It is clear that the two distributions are basically identical, implying that the somewhat higher redshifts Ly $\alpha$ absorbers at $v=5000-20,000$ $\mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ in the Penton et al. (2004) sample should have the same relationship to galaxies as we find below in Sections 4 and 5.

We make three additional remarks. First, Penton et al. (2004) showed a Ly $\alpha$ point at $W_{\text {lim }}=10 \mathrm{~m} \AA$, even though only two of their detections are weaker than $20 \mathrm{~m} \AA$, and there is almost no redshift path at $W_{\text {lim }}<20 \mathrm{~m} \AA(\Delta z<0.02)$; this point is shown by the crosses in Figures 5(a) and (b). Second, in the $\log d N / d z$ versus $\log W_{\lim }$ plot (Figure 5(b)), our Ly $\alpha$ distribution appears to show a slight turnover for the weakest absorbers ( $W_{\text {lim }}<25$ $m \AA$ ). This is most likely an indication that we may have missed some weak lines. Only with more sensitive data (such as will be
provided by $C O S$ ) will it be possible to extend the measurements to $W<20 \mathrm{~m} \AA$. Third, we find relatively more strong ( $W>500$ $m \AA$ ) Ly $\alpha$ absorbers per unit redshift than did Penton et al. (2004). They find two (both toward PG 1211+143, at $v>5000$ $\mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ ) for $\Delta z=1.1$, while we find six (toward 3C 232, HS 1543+5921, PG 1216+069, Mrk 205, HE 1228+0131 and ESO 438-G09) for $\Delta z=0.7$. None of these six sightlines were in the Penton et al. (2004) sample. The net result of this is that the dropoff in $d N / d z$ at high equivalent width limits is not as steep as found by Penton et al. (2004) found. We can also compare to the results of Weymann et al. (1998), who showed $d N / d W$ for strong lines found in the FOS QSO Absorption Line Key Project ( $\Delta z \sim 30$ ), though not $d N / d z$ as a function of $W_{\text {lim }}$. However, above some (high) value of the equivalent widths, the redshift path will stay the same, so that the shape of $d N / d W$ will be the same as that of $d N / d z$. Their $d N / d W$ is a power law between $W=300$ and 900 mA. Our sample shows an apparent rise in the number of absorbers at $W_{\lim }>500 \mathrm{~m} \AA$. This difference suggests that the results at high equivalent widths are affected by object selection bias, especially since some sightlines were a priori selected to pass close to or even through nearby galaxies. In particular, these are 3C 232, ESO 438-G09, HS 1543+5921, Mrk 205 and MCG+10-16-111, which yield four of the six strongest lines.

Figures 5(c) and (d) show $d N(\operatorname{Ly} \beta) / d z$, which has not been shown in previous papers. For a given equivalent width limit, there are 2-3 times fewer Ly $\beta$ lines per unit redshift than Ly $\alpha$ lines. Since the ratio of the optical depths of $\operatorname{Ly} \alpha$ and $\operatorname{Ly} \beta$ is 5.3, this means that more Ly $\beta$ lines are detected than would naively be expected. We used the measured linewidths (corrected for instrumental broadening) to convert the equivalent widths to optical depths, and checked the ratio of Ly $\alpha$ and $\mathrm{Ly} \beta$ in the 26 systems in which both lines are detected. Both lines are unsaturated, not contaminated and well measured in just eight systems (at $3212 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ toward MRC 2251-178, $1954 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ toward Mrk 335, $2545 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ toward Mrk 509, $2085 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ toward Mrk 817, $1144 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ toward PG 0804+761, $4932 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ toward PG 1211+143, $2275 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ toward PG 1259+593, and $5519 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ toward Ton S180). In each of these systems, the optical depth ratio is found to be near the expected value of 5.3 (within the errors). In the other 18 systems the derived optical depth ratio ranges from 0.70 to 7.0 , with an average of 3.2. For the cases with unsaturated Ly $\alpha$ lines, the Ly $\beta$ lines tend to be in multicomponent systems or in noisy spectra, making the measurements uncertain. When Ly $\alpha$ is saturated, the equivalent width does not increase as fast with increasing column density as is the case for $\mathrm{Ly} \beta$, resulting in a ratio of $\operatorname{Ly} \alpha$ and $\operatorname{Ly} \beta$ equivalent widths that is smaller than the ratio of optical depths.

Table 8 and Figures 5(e) and (f) present our $d N(\mathrm{OvI}) / d z$ results and compare them to the three previous studies of lowredshift $d N(\mathrm{O} v \mathrm{v}) / d z$ : Danforth \& Shull (2005; 40 systems at $z<0.15$ ), Tripp et al. (2008; 91 systems at $z=0.15-0.5$ ), and Thom \& Chen (2008; 27 systems at $z=0.15-0.5$ ). We note that Tripp et al. (2008) discussed a difference between O vi components and O vi systems, since some of their O vi detections come in groups; we compare to their results for systems.

Before discussing the similarities and differences between the four studies, we note that different conventions are used to calculate the detection limits (see Section 2.3). To repeat, Danforth \& Shull (2005) defined $W_{\text {lim }}$ as a $4 \sigma$ nondetection of a line one resolution element ( $20 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ) wide; Tripp et al.

Table 8
$d N(\mathrm{OVI}) / d z$ Values $^{1}$

| Item <br> (1) | This Paper <br> (2) | Danforth \& Shull (2005) ${ }^{2}$ <br> (3) | Tripp et al. (2008) <br> (4) | Thom \& Chen (2008) <br> (5) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| path@ $W_{\text {lim }}=50 \mathrm{~m}$ A | 0.4 | 2.0 | 2.8 | 2.4 |
| No. of sightlines | 76 | 31 | 16 | 16 |
| $W_{\text {lim }}$ scale $^{3}$ | 1.0 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 1.5 |
| $W_{\text {lim }}=15 \mathrm{~m}$ § |  | $19 \pm 3$ (38) |  |  |
| $W_{\text {lim }}=20 \mathrm{~m}$ A | $50 \pm 22$ (10) |  |  |  |
| $W_{\text {lim }}=30 \mathrm{~m}$ A | $16 \pm 9$ (7) | $17 \pm 3$ (35) | $15.6 \pm 2.9 \pm 2.4$ (41) | $10.4 \pm 2.2$ (22) |
| $W_{\text {lim }}=50 \mathrm{~mA}$ | $8 \pm 5$ (3) | $9 \pm 2$ (19) |  | $6.7 \pm 1.7$ (16) |
| $W_{\text {lim }}=70 \mathrm{~m}$ ¢ | $2 \pm 3$ (1) |  | $8.8 \pm 2.1 \pm 1.7$ (27) |  |
| $W_{\text {lim }}=100 \mathrm{~m} \AA$ |  | $3 \pm 2$ (6) | $4.5 \pm 1.5 \pm 1.2$ (14) |  |
| $W_{\text {lim }}=200 \mathrm{~m}$ A |  |  | $2.2 \pm 1.2 \pm 0.8$ (6) |  |
| $W_{\text {lim }}=300 \mathrm{~m} \AA$ |  |  | $0.9 \pm 1.0 \pm 0.5$ (3) |  |

Notes. 1: entries gives the published values for low-redshift $d N(\mathrm{O} \mathrm{vi}) / d z$, followed by the number of detections (in parentheses) at the given equivalent width limit. 2: Danforth \& Shull (2008) show a plot of $d N / d z$ based on more data, but they do not give a table. However, the distribution in their plot follows the numbers in Danforth \& Shull (2005). 3: the different studies used different ways to define the equivalent width limit at a given wavelength. The listed values are those reported in the original publications. However, to properly compare the $d N / d z$ values the equivalent width limits need to be scaled with the values in row three, e.g., $d N / d z$ (Danforth \& Shull at $30 \mathrm{~m} \AA$ ) should be compared to $d N / d z$ (this paper at $40 \mathrm{~m} \AA$ ).
(2008) defined $W_{\text {lim }}$ as three times the error for a 15 -pixel ( $55 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ) wide line; Thom \& Chen (2008) defined $W_{\text {lim }}$ as a $3 \sigma$ nondetection for a line with $b=10 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. We define $W_{\text {lim }}$ as the $3 \sigma$ error over a $60 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ interval. As Figure 4 shows, all detected O vi lines have $\mathrm{FWHM}>30 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, i.e., the integration range will typically be $60 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ or more. Thus, using a narrower integration interval to define the detection limit underestimates that limit. A proper comparison between the different studies thus requires increasing the equivalent width limits of Danforth \& Shull (2005) by a factor $\sqrt{60 / 20} *$ $3 / 4 \sim 1.3$ and those of Thom \& Chen (2008) by a factor $\sqrt{60 /(1.66 * 10)}=1.5$.

With these corrections, we can see in Figures 5(e) and (f) that the different studies agree that $d N(\mathrm{O} \mathrm{vI}) / d z$ at a $30 \mathrm{~m} \AA$ equivalent width limit is about 17. However, we find fewer strong systems ( $W>70 \mathrm{~mA}$ ) than expected from the number of O vi systems seen at $z=0.1-0.5$. The Tripp et al. (2008) study has 27 systems over a path $\Delta z=3.1$ for $W_{\text {lim }}=70 \mathrm{~m} \AA$. For our path $\Delta z=0.43$ we would thus expect to find four systems. We find just one, although we would have counted the detection toward ESO 185-IG13 if we had better data. Similarly, for $W_{\text {lim }}=100 \mathrm{~mA}$ we expect two detections, but find one (toward ESO 185-IG13). Since we are working with a relatively small total path length and small number statistics, the discrepancy is not problematic.

Figures 5(e) and (f) also show that our high value for $d N(\mathrm{OvI}) / d z$ at $W_{\text {lim }}=20 \mathrm{~m} \AA(50 \pm 22)$ is compatible with extrapolating the other studies. We derive this from a redshift path $\Delta z=0.1195$ built up in the $17 F U S E$ sightlines that have $\mathrm{S} /$ $\mathrm{N}>20$, and which yield six detections with $W(\mathrm{O}$ vI $)>20 \mathrm{~m} \AA$. The COS will provide an increase in the typical $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ at $\lambda>1200$ $\AA$ from about 10 to about $30-50$, giving a typical detection limit of about $10-15 \mathrm{~mA}$. Compared to the Tripp et al. (2008) survey, we thus expect to see an increase in the number of detected O vi absorbers per unit redshift interval in the $\operatorname{COS}$ data of a factor about 2 .

The discussion above leads us to the conclusion that to compare the different published analyses of the distribution of the number of absorption lines between $z=0$ and $z=0.5$ as a function of limiting equivalent width, it is necessary to take into account the different definitions of the detection limits that were used. After correcting, we find that the distributions for Ly $\alpha$ and $O$ vi found in different studies do agree for equivalent width limits between 20 and $40 \mathrm{~m} \AA$.

### 3.5. Time Evolution of Lyd Line Widths

Lehner et al. (2007) did a detailed study of the distribution of 316 Ly $\alpha$ lines toward seven QSOs at $z<0.5$ with highquality STIS-E140M spectra. They compared their sample to those of Kim et al. (2001, 2002; 2315 Ly $\alpha$ lines at $z=1.5-3.6$ ) and Janknecht et al. (2006; $1325 \mathrm{Ly} \alpha$ lines at $z=0.6-1.9)$, and plotted the relative number of broad $\left(b>40 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ absorption lines in different redshift intervals ( 0.5 units wide). If the broadening is thermal, $b=40 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ corresponds to a temperature of $10^{5} \mathrm{~K}$. Lehner et al. (2007) found that the fraction of broad Ly $\alpha$ lines increases with decreasing redshift, suggesting that the IGM may be heating up over time. We revisit this analysis and add our measurements at $z=0-0.017$.

We note that Kim et al. (2001) gave the S/N of the optical (Very Large Telescope Ultraviolet and Visual Echelle Spectrograph (VLT/UVES) data as "typically 40-50." The mid-UV spectra used by Janknecht et al. (2006) have S/N of 10-15 per resolution element. The seven high-quality low-redshift spectra of Lehner et al. (2007) also have $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ about $10-15$ per resolution element. Thus, the quality of the several UV datasets is comparable, while that of the higher redshift optical data is better.
For each of the detected Ly $\alpha$ lines in the three samples referenced above, values were given for the fitted column density and linewidth. We convert these to equivalent widths $(W)$ and optical depths ( $\tau$ ) and then look at the distribution of linewidths, using redshift intervals 0.1 wide for $z<1$, and 0.2 wide for $z>1$, excluding lines with $W$ (rest) $>500 \mathrm{~m} \AA$ and $\tau>2$. These criteria remove saturated lines, for which the fitted $b$ value is not a good measure of the intrinsic width. We also checked the Lehner et al. (2007) sample in more detail and further remove lines that are clear blends, as well as those that are hard to discern. For our sample, we only include the 225 well-measured lines in their sample of 316 .
Figure 6 shows some of the resulting parameters of the linewidth distribution, as a function of both redshift and lookback time. In Figures 6(a) and (b) we give the fraction of lines with $b>40 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ (the parameter shown by Lehner et al. 2006), with errors based on Poisson statistics. Figures 6(c) and (d) show curves that represent the 10th, 25th, 50th, 75th, and 90th percentile of the distribution, as well as points and bars giving the average and dispersion. We also include the redshift ranges of the individual QSO spectra (line segments at the top of


Figure 6. Parameters of the distribution of Ly $\alpha$ linewidths as a function of redshift ( $z$ ) or look-back time. Top panels: fraction of lines with $b>40 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}{ }^{-1}$. At $z<1.0$ the redshift interval is 0.1 , at $z>1.0$ it is 0.2 . The short horizontal lines near the top of panel (a) show the redshift ranges of individual QSOs used to derive the linewidth distribution. Bottom panels: horizontal lines show the 10th, 25 th, 50 th, 90 th, and 95 th percentile of the distribution of $b$-values. The points and vertical lines show the average and dispersion in each redshift interval. Selection criteria: Ly $\alpha$ lines with $W($ rest $)<500 \mathrm{~m} \AA)$ and implied optical depth $<2$.
panels (a) and (b)). This shows that most of the irregularities in the distributions are associated with the edges of the spectra. For instance, for the $z=2.3-2.7$ interval almost all Ly $\alpha$ lines come from the spectrum of HE 1347-2457, but at $z<2.36$ other QSOs contribute. Similarly, the depression at $z=1.4$ happens at the break between the optical sample of Kim et al. (2001, 2002) and the STIS-E230M sample of Janknecht et al. (2006). Further, the wild fluctuations at $z=0.6-0.9$ occur because these bins are based on a relatively noisy spectrum ( $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N} \sim 10$ ) of a single QSO, PG $1634+706$. Finally, the $z=0.45$ bin uses data from the long-wavelength edges of the STIS spectra, where the noise increases and variations in the detector sensitivity may result in fluctuations. So, lines that are narrower or broader than average may become more difficult to discern. Obviously, a more thorough analysis of each of these spectra is required before one can conclude that the fluctuations in the distribution of $b$ are real or artifacts.

With these caveats, clear trend lines can be seen. The 10th percentile of the distribution stays more or less constant at $16 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, while from $z=3.5$ to $z \sim 0$ the 50th percentile (i.e., the median) increases from 25 to $\sim 35 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, and the 90 th percentile increases from 40 to $\sim 60 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. Similarly, the fraction of lines wider than $b=40 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ increases from $10 \%$ at $z=3.5$ to $55 \%$ at $z=0$. Figure 6(b) suggests a mostly continuous increase in the fraction of wide lines as the universe evolves. Because of the apparent problems with instrumental breaks, it is not possible to derive the precise manner in which the linewidths have increased over time. The data support a
linear increase over time. Note, however, that our sample (at $z=0$ ) has a substantially larger fraction of wide lines than the lowest redshift point in the Lehner et al. (2007) sample (at $z=0.05)$. This may be caused by the differing particular set of sightlines in the two samples.

The largest fraction of wide lines and the largest average linewidth occur at $z=0$. In spite of the large fluctuations and large errors in $f(b>40)$, the difference between the points at $z=0$ and $z=0.1-0.4$ is likely significant. To confirm this, it will be necessary to reassess all linewidth measurements, using the same method at all redshifts. We note that compared to Lehner et al. (2007), we only used the reliable lines in their sample, i.e., we excluded lines with large optical depth or blends. This did not fundamentally change the conclusion derived in that paper.

To summarize, we conclude from Figure 6 that the widths of the Lya lines have increased over cosmic time, that the $z=0$ sample has the largest fraction of wide lines, and that the distribution function of the linewidths is the widest at the present time.

## 4. GALAXIES ASSOCIATED WITH ABSORBERS

In making the associations between absorbers and galaxies near the sightline, some previous authors (such as Bowen et al. 1996, 2002) implicitly used the assumption that Ly $\alpha$ absorbers are associated with the halos of the galaxies near them (a reasonable assumption when concentrating on sightlines with

Table 9
Fraction of Ly $\alpha$ Absorbers Having a Galaxy within $\rho$ and $\Delta v^{1}$

| $\begin{aligned} & \rho \\ & (\mathrm{kpc}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \Delta v \\ \left(\mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | All | $L>0.1 L_{*}$ | $L>0.25 L_{*}$ | $L>0.5 L_{*}$ | $\overline{L>L_{*}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) |
| <200 | <200 | 28; $21 \%$ | 20; 15\% | 18; 13\% | 14; 10\% | 8; 6\% |
| <200 | $<400$ | 30; 22\% | 23; 17\% | 20; 15\% | 15; $11 \%$ | 9; 6\% |
| $\leq 200$ | $<1000$ | 31; 23\% | 24; 18\% | 21; $15 \%$ | 15; $11 \%$ | 9; 6\% |
| <400 | <200 | 60; 45\% | 49; 36\% | 44; 33\% | 34; 25\% | 23; 17\% |
| <400 | <400 | 63; 47\% | 52; 39\% | 47; 35\% | 37; 27\% | 27; 20\% |
| $\leq 400$ | $<1000$ | 63;47\% | 52; $39 \%$ | 47; 35\% | 37; 27\% | 27; $20 \%$ |
| <1000 | <200 | 93; 69\% | 78; 58\% | 67; 50\% | 52; 39\% | 36; 27\% |
| <1000 | <400 | 100; 75\% | 83; $62 \%$ | 70; 52\% | 54; $40 \%$ | 39; $29 \%$ |
| $\leq 1000$ | $<1000$ | 100; 75\% | 83; 62\% | 70; 52\% | 54; 40\% | 39; 29\% |
| <2000 | <200 | 111; 83\% | 95; 71\% | 80; 60\% | 63; 47\% | 44; 33\% |
| <2000 | <400 | 122; 91\% | 103; 77\% | 86; 64\% | 68; $51 \%$ | 47; 35\% |
| $\leq 2000$ | $<1000$ | 122; 91\% | 103; 77\% | 86; 64\% | 68; $51 \%$ | 47; 35\% |
| <3000 | <200 | 113; 84\% | 97; 72\% | 82; 61\% | 64; 48\% | 44; 33\% |
| <3000 | <400 | 128; 96\% | 109; 81\% | 92; 69\% | 71;53\% | 49;36\% |
| <3000 | $<1000$ | 128; $96 \%$ | 109; 81\% | 92; 69\% | 71;53\% | 49;36\% |

Notes. 1: This table gives number and percentage of $\operatorname{Ly} \alpha$ absorbers associated with galaxies. For example, on the fourth line in Column 7, there are 23 (or $17 \%$ ) absorbers for which it is possible to find a galaxy with luminosity $>L_{*}$ that lies within 400 kpc and whose systemic velocity differs by less than $200 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ from that of the absorber. For this count no difference is made between group and field galaxies. The total number of absorbers is 133 .
low impact parameters to galaxies). However, other authors argued that most absorbers are intergalactic. For instance, Impey et al. (1999) compared their absorber sample with galaxy surveys in the Virgo region, and concluded that galaxy halos are not responsible for the absorbers. Penton et al. (2002) concurred, except that they allowed that strong absorbers with small impact parameters may originate in halos. A number of other authors analyzed imaging surveys of galaxies up to $z \sim 0.2$ near several sightlines (e.g., Tripp et al. 1998; Sembach et al. 2004; Tumlinson et al. 2005; Prochaska et al. 2006). However, the field of view is usually small, the luminosity limit is not constant and most faint galaxies are not found, so the results have not been unambiguous. The advantage of our approach of looking at the very nearest galaxies is that the galaxy content of the survey volume is relatively uniformly well known, at least down to a given luminosity limit ( $0.5 L_{*}$ at $5000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}, 0.1 L_{*}$ at $2500 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ).

In this section, we present several analyses of the $\operatorname{Ly} \alpha / \mathrm{Ly} \beta /$ OVI detections that look at the galaxies near each of the absorbers. For the first two analyses we do not make a priori assumptions about which galaxy a particular absorption line might be associated with, by just looking at the probability of finding galaxies of a given luminosity near the absorber as a function of impact parameter and absorber-galaxy velocity difference (Section 4.1). We then compare the distribution of galaxy-absorber impact parameters to that of galaxy-galaxy separations (Section 4.2). In these two subsections, we establish that absorbers do associate with galaxies. Therefore, we continue with a description of the particular associations that we make, which are listed in Table 3 (Section 4.3). This leads to a discussion of the issue of "void absorbers" (Section 4.4). Having established the individual galaxy-absorber associations, we look at the distribution of velocity differences $v(\mathrm{abs})-v($ gal $)$ (Section 4.5), at the distribution of absorber linewidths as a function of impact parameter (Section 4.6), and at the relation between absorber equivalent width and impact parameter (Section 4.7).

### 4.1. The Fraction of Absorbers Having a Galaxy of Given $L$ Within $\rho, \Delta v$

In Table 9, we summarize the fraction of Ly $\alpha$ absorbers for which we can find a galaxy of a given luminosity within
some impact parameter and differing in velocity by less than a given value. Note that we do the opposite analysis (i.e., we ask whether an absorber can be found near a particular galaxy) in Section 5.2. To construct Table 9, we find the nearest galaxy that fits the impact parameter, velocity difference, and luminosity criterion. The table shows that for the great majority (128 of 133 , or $96 \%$ ) of intergalactic absorbers a galaxy can be found with impact parameter $\rho<3 \mathrm{Mpc}$ and velocity difference $\Delta v<$ $400 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. As the criteria are made more strict, the fraction decreases. If there is a galaxy within a given impact parameter limit, most absorbers have velocities that are within $200 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ from that galaxy, with generally just a few having $\Delta v=200-400$ $\mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$. The fraction of absorbers decreases by a factor of about 2.2 as the luminosity limit of the nearest galaxy is increased from $0.1 L_{*}$ to $L_{*}$. Further, for any given impact parameter and velocity difference limit, about $15 \%-20 \%$ of the absorbers do not have a galaxy brighter than $0.1 L_{*}$ as the nearest galaxy. Only 23 systems ( $17 \%$ ) occur within what would generally be called the halo of a luminous galaxy, i.e., within 400 kpc and 200 $\mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ of a galaxy with $L>L_{*}$, and only 8 of these originate in the inner part of these halos $(\rho<200 \mathrm{kpc})$.

We can also determine the median impact parameter for finding a galaxy brighter than a given luminosity and with $\Delta v<$ $400 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ to an absorber. We find that $50 \%$ of the absorbers with $v<2500 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ have a galaxy with $L>0.1 L_{*}$ within 370 kpc . The median impact parameter for an absorber with $v<$ $3700 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ to an $L>0.25 L_{*}$ galaxy is 390 kpc , while for absorbers with $v<5000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ it is 730 kpc to an $L>0.5 L_{*}$ galaxy and 870 kpc to an $L>L_{*}$ galaxy.

Based on Table 9 we conclude that for almost all Ly absorbers there is a galaxy with $\rho<3$ Mpc having $\Delta v<400$ $\mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$, and most $(81 \%)$ of these are brighter than $0.1 L_{*}$. On the other hand, only a small fraction ( $\sim 20 \%$ ) occurs within the halos of $L>L_{*}$ galaxies.

### 4.2. Distribution of Nearest Neighbor Separations

A different way of exploring the relation between absorbers and galaxies is to compare the three-dimensional separation between galaxies to the three-dimensional separation between absorbers and galaxies. This was previously done by Penton et al. (2002) and Stocke et al. (2006). Penton et al. (2002) used relatively complete galaxy surveys down to at least $L_{*}$ near 15 of
their sightlines, while Stocke et al. (2006) used a combination of galaxy surveys, containing $10^{6}$ galaxies with known redshifts. In their Figure 3 (Penton et al. 2002) and Figure 1 (Stocke et al. 2006), these authors presented the cumulative nearest neighbor galaxy distribution, i.e., the fraction of galaxies whose nearest neighbor is separated by less than a given value. We first analyze our data in the manner presented by these authors and then add some new twists.

To calculate our version of the nearest neighbor distributions, we start with the direction and distance of every galaxy with $L>L_{*}$ and $v_{\mathrm{gal}}<4500 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and find the nearest $L>L_{*}$ galaxy with $v_{\text {gal }}<5000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. We use a $4500 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ limit for the base galaxy to avoid edge effects, since otherwise we might miss the nearest galaxy if it has $v_{\mathrm{gal}}>5000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. A $500 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ velocity difference corresponds to about 10 Mpc , which is larger than all but a few of the largest separations that are found. For a given impact parameter we then find the fraction of $L_{*}$ galaxies whose nearest neighbor $L_{*}$ galaxy is closer. We also do this calculation using only $L>0.1 L_{*}$ galaxies with $v<$ $2000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. Comparing these distributions to the nearest $L_{*}$ or $0.1 L_{*}$ neighbor of an absorber, we can determine whether or not absorbers associate more with galaxies of a given luminosity than the galaxies associate with each other.

We further look at the nearest neighbor galaxy of any luminosity to each $L>L_{*}$ or $>0.1 L_{*}$ galaxy. This comparison allows a comparison of the typical impact parameter of an absorber to the typical separation between dwarf galaxies and bright galaxies, even though the dwarf galaxy sample is incomplete.

Since the galaxy-galaxy nearest neighbor separations were calculated in three-dimensional space, while the absorbergalaxy impact parameters are two-dimensional projections, we assume that the gas cloud with impact parameter $\rho$ can lie up to $\rho \mathrm{kpc}$ in front or behind the projection plane. Assuming a random placing along this line, the three-dimensional separation between an absorber and a galaxy will on average be a factor 1.25 times the impact parameter. All absorber-galaxy separations in Figure 7 are thus increased by this factor over the raw impact parameters.

In Figures 7(a) and (b) we compare the Penton et al. (2002) curves to our data, using the same luminosity and equivalent width criteria. The thin solid line in panel (a) shows their galaxygalaxy distribution. The Stocke et al. (2006) curves are the same as those of Penton et al. (2002). The thick solid black line gives our version of the distribution of the nearest $L_{*}$ neighbor for $L_{*}$ galaxies. The dashed black line gives the distribution for the nearest neighbor of any luminosity to $L_{*}$ galaxies, using only galaxies with $v_{\text {gal }}<2500 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. The faint galaxies include many Virgo dwarfs, and many dwarf galaxies that lie near wellstudied bright galaxies or near some of the AGN sightlines. The comparison between the dashed black and thin black curve in Figure 7(a) shows that the nearest neighbor function for this inhomogeneous sample is quite similar to that found by Penton et al. (2002). We conclude that Penton et al. (2002) showed the nearest neighbor of any luminosity to each $L_{*}$ galaxy, although their text and figure caption would suggest that they showed the distribution of the separation between $L_{*}$ galaxies. Stocke et al. (2006) explicitly addressed this issue and mention that they used both approaches.

The Penton et al. (2002) galaxy-absorber distributions are shown in Figure 7(b) by the magenta lines, separately for strong ( $W>68 \mathrm{~m} \AA$ ) and weak $(W<68 \mathrm{~m} \AA$ ) lines. Comparing the galaxy-galaxy and galaxy-absorber distributions, Penton et al.
(2002) as well as Stocke et al. (2006) concluded that the typical nearest neighbor galaxy to a strong absorber is about as close as that galaxy's nearest neighbor, while weak lines occur much further from galaxies. This would imply that absorbers are not generally associated with galaxy halos, but instead occur in intergalactic filaments. As presented, their analysis is correct, but we find that there is more to the story, and that the conclusion needs to be modified.

The purple lines in Figure 7(b) give our version of the galaxyabsorber nearest neighbor distribution for Ly $\alpha$ absorbers with equivalent width $>68 \mathrm{~m} \AA$ and $<68 \mathrm{~m} \AA$. It is clear that the Penton et al. (2002) curves have a kink at around 1.5 Mpc impact parameter, whereas ours are more smooth across this impact parameter range. That is, we find a continuous distribution of the number of absorbers as a function of impact parameter, whereas Penton et al. (2002) found a deficit of absorbers with $\rho$ $\sim 1.5 \mathrm{Mpc}$. The purple curve is also significantly higher than the Penton et al. (2002) galaxy-Ly $\alpha$ curve for impact parameters above 500 kpc , which means that we can find galaxies with $\rho=500-1500 \mathrm{kpc}$ near some absorbers, where Penton et al. (2002) found relatively fewer.

In Figures 7(c) and (d), we present our nearest neighbor distributions, using the $L>L_{*}$ sample in Figure 7(c), the $L>$ $0.1 L_{*}$ sample in Figure 7(d). We also show the galaxy-galaxy distributions that are obtained when excluding galaxies within $30^{\circ}$ from the Virgo cluster (thin black lines). These distributions are compared to the galaxy-absorber distributions-red for the distance between absorbers and $L>L_{*}$ galaxies, blue for $L>0.1 L_{*}$ galaxies. We conclude that (1) for $50 \%$ of $L>$ $L_{*}$ galaxies, the nearest $L_{*}$ galaxy is at a separation $<1.2 \mathrm{Mpc}$, while the nearest $L_{*}$ galaxy to an absorber has a median impact parameter 1.1 Mpc . The nearest $L>0.1 L_{*}$ galaxy on average lies 600 kpc from another $L>0.1 L_{*}$ galaxy, while for Ly $\alpha$ lines such a galaxy is on average found within 450 kpc . We note that Stocke et al. (2006) quoted values of 1.8 Mpc and 250 kpc for the median separations between $L_{*}$ and $0.1 L_{*}$ galaxies. We have not identified the origin of this discrepancy, but it may partly be due to the inhomogeneity in the magnitude systems used to define the different galaxy catalogs that were used. (2) The Virgo cluster has a significant effect on the median separation between galaxies. Excluding it leads to a median nearest $L_{*}$ neighbor for an $L_{*}$ galaxy of 1.4 Mpc . (3) The nearest $L_{*}$ galaxy to an absorber has a median separation of 1.1 Mpc , while the nearest $0.1 L_{*}$ galaxy lies at 450 kpc . The strongest lines ( $W>68 \mathrm{~m} \AA$ ) have a median distance to $L_{*} / 0.1 L_{*}$ galaxies of $900 / 400 \mathrm{kpc}$, while the median for weak lines $(W<68 \mathrm{~m} \AA)$ is $1750 / 950 \mathrm{kpc}$. Stocke et al. (2006) quoted $1130 / 445 \mathrm{kpc}$ for strong lines and $2150 / 1455 \mathrm{kpc}$ for weak lines. The large difference in median separations for strong and weak lines led Stocke et al. (2006) to conclude that strong lines correlate more strongly with galaxies than weak lines. Our data support this, though we also conclude that the difference may not be as pronounced as Stocke et al. (2006) found.

There is a big problem with the galaxy-galaxy distributions in Figures 7(c) and (d), however. This is that in galaxy groups the nearest neighbor will typically be closer than the nearest neighbor to a field galaxy. Gaseous halos of galaxies in groups may touch and possibly merge or, alternatively, the group environment may destroy them. Halos around field galaxies are much more likely to form a single structure.

To deal with this problem, we separate the absorber and galaxy samples into absorbers/galaxies in the field and in


Figure 7. Galaxy-galaxy and absorber-galaxy nearest neighbor distributions. For a given separation the curves give the fraction of galaxies closer to each other galaxy or absorber. Galaxy-galaxy separations are calculated using directions and estimated distances. Galaxy-absorber separations are 1.25 times the impact parameter (see the text). Black curves give galaxy-galaxy nearest neighbor distributions; solid black curves are given when comparing galaxies with the same luminosity limit, dashed black curves when looking at the separation for any galaxy from the reference galaxies. Colored curves give the nearest galaxies to absorbers, red when the galaxy has $L>L_{*}$, blue when the galaxy has $L>0.1 L_{*}$, purple for all galaxies, magenta for the distributions given by Penton et al. (2002). Solid colored curves include Ly $\alpha$ absorbers stronger than some equivalent width limit, while dotted colored curves include only Ly $\alpha$ absorbers below some equivalent width. In panels (a)/(b) we compare our results to those of Penton et al. (2002), in panels (c)/(d) all galaxies were included, in panels (e)/(f) only field galaxies, and in panels (g)/(h) only group galaxies. Selection criteria: $L>0.1 L_{*}$ (i.e., $D_{\text {gal }}>7.5 \mathrm{kpc}$ ) and $v<2500 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, or $L>L_{*}$ (i.e., $D_{\text {gal }}>20.4 \mathrm{kpc}$ ) and $v<5000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, classified as in the field or member of a group, and all Ly $\alpha$ lines with equivalent width above or below the given value.
groups. We count a galaxy as a group galaxy if it was identified as such in the $\mathrm{GH}+\mathrm{LGG}$ group sample that we discussed previously (Section 2.4), or if it falls within a group's outline on the sky and within the velocity range of the group galaxies used to define the group. For absorbers, we overlaid the sightlines on maps of the distribution of group galaxies, and we counted absorbers as associated with a group if the sightline passes through or close to the edge of a group. This is the case for 19 of the systems. The other 96 absorbers occur in the field.

Some have argued that all galaxies really are members of groups. One could also argue that the GH/LGG definitions of groups is arbitrary. Nevertheless, using these defined groups will separate the galaxies into a set of galaxies located in regions of high or low galaxy density, and thus the general conclusion remains valid.

Following Penton et al. (2002) and Stocke et al. (2006), we divide the absorber sample into strong and weak lines. However, rather than using $68 \mathrm{~m} \AA$, we set the dividing line at $130 \mathrm{~m} \AA$, as this is the median for our sample.

The curves in Figures 7(c) and (d) would seem to indicate that the typical impact parameter of a Ly $\alpha$ absorber to a galaxy is not much smaller than the typical distance between galaxies. However, we use Figures 7(e)-(h) to show that this is because field and group galaxies were averaged. In Figures 7(e)-(h) we can see the following: (1) the median distance between $L_{*}$ field galaxies is 2.9 Mpc (see the solid black line in Figure 7(e)) while the median separation between a field Ly $\alpha$ absorber and the nearest $L_{*}$ galaxy is 1.5 Mpc (thick red line). Conversely, for only $14 \%$ of $L_{*}$ galaxies in the field is the nearest $L_{*}$ galaxy closer than 1.5 Mpc . (2) The dashed black line in

Figure 7(e) shows that half of the $L_{*}$ field galaxies have a smaller galaxy within about 1.2 Mpc . This is comparable to the typical distance between an absorber and an $L_{*}$ galaxy. That is, in the field the typical separation between an $L_{*}$ galaxy and another, smaller, galaxy is similar to the typical separation between it and an absorber. A KS test can test whether the differences are statistically significant. Comparing the distributions of the 396 galaxies and that of the 39 strong ( $\mathrm{EW}>130 \mathrm{~m} \AA$ ) or 21 weak ( $\mathrm{EW}<55 \mathrm{~m} \AA$ ) absorbers, the hypothesis that they differ is rejected only at the $50 \%$ (for strong lines) or $75 \%$ (for weak line) confidence level. (3) On average, field Ly $\alpha$ absorbers occur significantly closer to the nearest $0.1 L_{*}$ field galaxy (median impact parameter 600 kpc ) than these galaxies are to each other (median impact parameter 1.5 Mpc )-compare the solid black line with the blue lines in Figure 7(f). The KS test rejects the hypothesis that the distributions are the same at the $>99.9 \%$ confidence level. (4) The typical separation between an absorber and the nearest field $L>0.1 L_{*}$ galaxy (median 600 kpc ) is smaller than the typical separation between the nearest galaxy of any luminosity to an $L>0.1 L_{*}$ galaxy $(1.1 \mathrm{Mpc})$-compare blue and dashed black lines in Figure 7(f). (5) When looking at the separation between $L>0.1 L_{*}$ field galaxies and absorbers, the strong absorbers occur on average closer to those galaxies than the weak absorbers-compare the solid and dotted blue lines in Figure 7(f). The KS test rejects the hypothesis that the distributions for the strong ( $\mathrm{EW}>130$ $\mathrm{m} \AA$ ) and weak ( $\mathrm{EW}<55 \mathrm{~m} \AA$ ) lines are the same at the $99.3 \%$ level. (6) In galaxy groups the median distance between $L_{*}$ galaxies is 900 kpc (the solid black line in Figure $7(\mathrm{~g})$ ), and between $0.1 L_{*}$ galaxies it is 500 kpc (the solid black line in Figure 7(h)). (7) For half of the Ly $\alpha$ absorbers that originate in groups it is possible to find an $L_{*}$ or $0.1 L_{*}$ galaxy within 550 or 350 kpc , respectively (the solid colored lines in Figures 7(g) and (h)). The KS test rejects the hypothesis that the distribution of the $1761 L>0.1 L_{*}$ group galaxies is the same as that of the 13 strong or 19 weak absorbers with $>98.5 \%$ confidence. On the other hand, there is no difference between strong and weak absorbers in this case: the KS test rejects the hypothesis that they are the same only at the $64 \%$ confidence level, i.e., the difference is a $0.5 \sigma$ effect. Thus, as is the case for field absorbers, these Ly $\alpha$ absorbers typically occur closer to galaxies than the galaxies do to each other, though the contrast is not as pronounced. (8) Comparing Figures 7(c)-(h) shows that when combining the field and group sample, the averaging of the galaxy-galaxy nearest neighbor distributions and the galaxyabsorber nearest neighbor distributions works out such that the two curves are close together in the combined sample, because group galaxies are closer together than absorbers are to field galaxies.

From these comparisons we conclude that Ly $\alpha$ absorbers are associated with $L>0.1 L_{*}$ galaxies, both in the field and in groups. Reaching this conclusion requires looking at group and field galaxies and absorbers separately.

As a final note, we mention that an alternative way of comparing the galaxy-galaxy and galaxy-absorber distributions is to use the two-point correlation function. This was done by Wilman et al. (2007), who used the 381 absorbers from the HST QSO Absorption Line Key Project and a sample of 685 galaxies at $z<1$ to calculate the two-point correlation function for a number of absorber column density intervals as a function of impact parameter and line-of-sight separation. They find that the absorber-galaxy two-point correlation function is more concentrated toward small separations than the galaxy-galaxy
function, and also that the absorber-galaxy function extends out to beyond 1 Mpc . This analysis thus supports our contention that absorbers associate more with galaxies than that the galaxies associate with each other.

### 4.3. Associating Lyd/Ly $\beta / O$ vI with Individual Galaxies

Now that we have established that absorbers associate with galaxies, we discuss the general properties of the associations that we make to construct Table 3. But first we summarize the different a priori criteria that were used in previous work. These papers used either $H_{0}=100 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ or $H_{0}=71 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. We rescale all distances and impact parameters to the latter, but when discussing results from other papers we include a factor $h_{71}^{-1}=71 / H_{0}$ as a reminder.

Lanzetta et al. (1995) identified 46 galaxies at $z=0.07-$ 0.55 having impact parameter $\rho=25-500 h_{71}^{-1} \mathrm{kpc}$ in fields toward six QSOs observed with the FOS on HST. These data allowed a search for absorbers with equivalent width $>150 \mathrm{~mA}$. They found associated absorbers $\left(|\Delta v|<500 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ near 20 galaxies.

Bowen et al. (1996) analyzed absorptions near 38 galaxies at $z=0-0.08$ with $\rho=60-700 h_{71}^{-1} \mathrm{kpc}$ using FOS spectra of 10 QSOs. Nine were found to have associated Ly $\alpha$.

Tripp et al. (1998) studied the relation between galaxies and Ly $\alpha$ absorbers in two QSO sightlines (H 1821+643 and PG 1116+215). They found 17 galaxy-absorber pairs with $\rho<1 \mathrm{Mpc}$ and $\Delta v<350 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. Within $\rho<600 \mathrm{kpc}$ and $\Delta v<$ $1000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ they found a galaxy for $100 \%$ of the absorbers. They further found that the weakest Ly $\alpha$ absorbers ( $W<$ $100 \mathrm{~m} \AA$ ) associate with galaxies slightly less than the strong absorbers.

Impey et al. (1999) discussed 10 low-resolution QSO spectra observed with the GHRS. All sightlines were in the general direction of the Virgo cluster. 11 of the intergalactic Ly $\alpha$ lines in their sample were correlated against a sample of galaxies complete to $M_{B}=-16\left(0.04 L_{*}\right)$. From this comparison, they concluded that absorbers are not preferentially associated with galaxy halos, looking at impact parameters $<2 \mathrm{Mpc}$ and velocity differences $<300 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$.

Bowen et al. (2002) obtained STIS-G140M spectra of seven AGN sightlines passing close to ( $\rho<700 h_{71}^{-1} \mathrm{kpc}$ ) eight nearby galaxies, deciding in favor of an association between Ly $\alpha$ absorption and galaxy if the velocity difference was less than about $500 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. They considered the complications that occur when associating an absorber with the galaxy nearest in velocity. For systems with multiple absorbers this can mean that a weak absorber is associated with the galaxy with low impact parameter, while a strong absorber is associated with a smaller galaxy with higher impact parameter. In their sample this happens for the sightlines to ESO 438-G09, MCG+10-16-111 and RX J1830.3+7312. As can be seen from the discussions in the Appendix, these three are among the more problematic sightlines, and in this respect Bowen et al. (2002) got unlucky with their sample.

Penton et al. (2002) studied 15 sightlines and listed the nearest three galaxies to each Ly $\alpha$ absorber, where they used a "retarded Hubble flow" model to convert velocity differences into spatial distances. That is, they assumed that $D$ (gal-abs) $=\rho$ when $|\Delta v|<300 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and add (in quadrature) a line-of-sight radial distance as $(|\Delta v|-300) / H_{o}$. Stocke et al. (2006) used the same method, but extended the velocity range to $\pm 500 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. The problem with this method is that it weighs the impact parameter
on the sky much more than the velocity difference. Further, any galaxy with $\Delta v>370 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ is a priori assumed to be more than 1 Mpc away from the absorber, while $\Delta v=440 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ already implies 2 Mpc . We therefore decided not to introduce this complication in our analyses.

Prochaska et al. (2006) looked for galaxies with $R$ magnitude $<20$ near metal-line absorbers toward PKS 0405-12. They claimed to be complete down to $L=0.1 L_{*}$ for $\rho<1 \mathrm{Mpc}$ at $z=0.1$ and concluded that the O vi absorbers toward this sightline arise in individual halos, galaxy groups, filamentary structure as well as voids, i.e., in a variety of environments.

Clearly, a variety of criteria have been used in the literature. To determine the "best" criteria more data are needed, as well as an approach that is not a priori biased to assuming a maximum impact parameter or maximum velocity difference. Combined with the relative completeness of the galaxy sample at velocities $<5000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, our sample of sightlines and absorbers is just large enough that we do not have to choose the association criteria a priori.

In Figure 8, we graphically show the justification for each association that we make between an absorption line and a galaxy. We generally associate the absorption line with the galaxy with the smallest combination of $\rho$ and $\Delta v=$ $v(\mathrm{gal})-v(\mathrm{abs})$, where a velocity difference of a few hundred $\mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ is considered equivalent to an impact parameter of about a Mpc. However, sometimes we prefer a larger galaxy over a dwarf galaxy with slightly lower $\rho$ but higher $\rho / D_{\text {gal }}$.

For $33 \%$ ( 44 of 133) of the systems listed in Table 3 an unambiguous association can be made with a galaxy, and in 42 of these the velocity difference is $<200 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. For instance toward 1H $0717+714$, we detect $\mathrm{Ly} \beta$ at $2892 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. There is one large group galaxy (UGC 3804 in LGG 141, $D_{\text {gal }}=$ 22.8 kpc ) with impact parameter 199 kpc , shown by the filled square in Figure 8. A few other group galaxies lie further away ( $\rho>650 \mathrm{kpc}$ ) and are shown by the open squares.

For a few sightlines (Mrk 876, PG 0844+349, PG 0953+414, PG 1216+069, PKS 2155-304), there are two or three Ly $\alpha$ detections listed in Table 3 as associated with one galaxy. This happens when there are no other galaxies with which the absorption line could reasonably be associated.

For another few sightlines (3C 273.0, 3C 351.0, MCG+10-11-116, MRC 2251-178, PG 1302-102, PKS 2005-489) two Hi absorption features are seen with similar velocity, and they can be associated with two galaxies that have fairly similar impact parameter. Then both galaxies are listed in Table 3 as having associated absorption, with the associations made to minimize the total velocity difference. In these cases, it is possible to argue that one galaxy should be associated with both detections, or that the association should be reversed. However, this will generally make no difference for doing statistical analyses. In Figure 8 just one panel is shown in these cases, rather than a separate panel for each absorption line.

Toward 3C 249.1, HE 0340-2703 (twice), Mrk 478, Mrk 501, Mrk 771, Mrk 817 (twice), PG 1001+291, PG 1259+593, Ton S180, and VII Zw 118 there are multiple galaxies with similar impact parameter and similar velocity difference, but only one intergalactic absorption feature. We then list the largest galaxy in Table 3, and list nondetections for the rest. In such cases, the listed galaxy may or may not be the proper one to chose.

Toward 3C 273, ESO 438-G09, HE 1228+0131, MCG+10-16-111, Mrk 734, MS 0700.7+6338, PG 1211+143, and PG 1216+069 the sightline passes through a dense group of galaxies. In their spectra we find a total of 13 systems, for which
we do not list a particular galaxy in Table 3 as the associated galaxy, but instead we list the group itself, using the smallest impact parameter to a member of the group.

The cases summarized above add up to 93 of the 133 absorbing systems. For almost all of these a well-justified association can be made between the absorption and a galaxy with low ( $<1 \mathrm{Mpc}$ ) impact parameter. And if the association is not clear-cut, there are galaxies with similar impact parameter to choose from. This leaves 40 absorbers without clear-cut association with a galaxy. Seven of these (toward 1H 0149-577, Mrk 335 (2 lines), NGC 985 (2 lines), PG 0804+761, and PG 135+643) are ambiguous. For instance, NGC 985 the only known galaxy with small velocity difference has large impact parameter, while galaxies with lower impact parameter would have unusually high-velocity difference. Or, toward 1H 0419-577 the candidates are a medium-sized galaxy at $\rho=140 \mathrm{kpc}$ and another galaxy half its size at $\rho=70 \mathrm{kpc}$. All of these cases are discussed in detail in the Appendix.

Finally, in the directions to H 1821+643, HE1029-1401, MCG+10-11-116, MRC 2251-178, Mrk 106, Mrk 110, Mrk 290, Mrk 421, Mrk 509, Mrk 586, Mrk 817, Mrk 1095, PG 0804+761, PG 1001+291, PG 1116+215, PG 1211+143, PG 1259+593, PKS 0405-12, RX J0100.4-5113, RXJ1830.3+ 7312, Ton S180, and VII Zw 118 there are a total of 33 intergalactic $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}$ lines at a velocity for which we cannot find a galaxy with impact parameter less than 1 Mpc . These cases are collected at the end of Table 3. In these sightlines, the search for associated galaxies was extended to impact parameters of 5 Mpc. We can find a galaxy within 2 Mpc for 22 absorbers, and within 3 Mpc for 28 . In all but two cases it is possible to find a galaxy within 5 Mpc , and this is the galaxy listed in Table 3. However, since we do not list all the nondetections for galaxies with impact parameter between 1 and 5 Mpc , these detections are treated slightly differently in the remainder of the paper.

Among all the associations there are 14 cases where $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{VI}}$ is seen. Twelve of these fall into the clear-association category, one is in a multicomponent system (toward Mrk 876), and one is associated with a group (toward 3C 273).

Of the 128 associations between an intergalactic $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}$ absorber and a galaxy listed in Table 3, just 15 have $|\Delta v|>200 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. Seven of these occur for galaxies with $\rho=400-1000 \mathrm{kpc}$ and seven have the nearest galaxy at $\rho>1 \mathrm{Mpc}$. The remaining one is the line at $1447 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\left(\Delta v=316 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ toward PG $1351+640$, which is ambiguously associated with UGCA 375, at $v_{\text {gal }}=1763 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ (see Section 4.3). There are only two other cases for which the nearest galaxy with $\rho$ $<3 \mathrm{Mpc}$ also has $|\Delta v|>300 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. These are the line at $4638 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ toward Mrk 290, for which the nearest galaxy with similar velocity is NGC 5971 ( $\rho=1586 \mathrm{kpc}, \Delta v=332$ $\mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ ), and the line at $3792 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ toward MCG+10-16-111, with $\Delta v=349 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and the nearest galaxy NGC 3809 at $\rho=2910 \mathrm{kpc}$.

In Table 3 we do not list galaxies with $\rho<1 \mathrm{Mpc}$ for which $\rho / D_{\text {gal }}>125$, where $D_{\text {gal }}$ is the galaxy's diameter. This criterion allows us to avoid listing nondetections for many dwarf galaxies that are close to a larger galaxy with similar impact parameter. We also avoid listing many dwarf galaxies whose impact parameter is much larger than that of a large galaxy with which the absorption can be associated. However, by looking at the ratio of impact parameter to diameter (rather than just the diameter) we do allow small galaxies with small impact parameter to appear in the table of results. The criterion is based on the fact that we found that $\rho / D_{\mathrm{gal}}<125$ for all but one likely


Figure 8. For each absorber, this figure shows the impact parameter (horizontal axis) vs. the difference between the velocity of the absorption line and the systemic velocity of the galaxies near it (vertical axis). The velocity is usually that of Ly $\alpha$ but can be for Ly $\beta$ or O vi if no Ly $\alpha$ data are available. The absorber's velocity and the galaxy associated with it in Table 3 are given on the second line of the label in the top left of each panel. The top right label shows a panel number. Circles are for field galaxies, squares for galaxies listed as part of a GH or LGG group. The filled symbols represent the galaxies that are associated with the absorber. If the association is with a group, all group galaxies are given a closed symbol. The open symbols with a plus in them are for galaxies for which a nondetection is listed in Table 3. If there is no associated galaxy within 1 Mpc , a rightward pointing triangle is shown, whose size and velocity placement are set by the nearest galaxy at $\rho>$ 1 Mpc . The size of each symbol scales with the galaxy's diameter to the power $2 / 3$.
associations that have $\rho<1 \mathrm{Mpc}$. Even when the nearest galaxy to an absorber is at $\rho>1 \mathrm{Mpc}, \rho / D_{\text {gal }}$ is $<125$ for half the cases. In fact, for half the associations $\rho / D_{\text {gal }}$ is $<23$ and for $90 \%$ it is $<80$. Note, however, that for many of the analyses presented in Sections 4 and 5 we include all galaxies with impact parameter $<1 \mathrm{Mpc}$, even if they are not listed in Table 3.

Summarizing the results of our attempts at associating absorbers with galaxies we conclude that For the majority (100 of 133, 75\%) of absorption lines, it is possible to find a galaxy within 1 Mpc and $400 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. For about half of these (54) there is just one galaxy that is a likely candidate. For most of the other
half, there either are two galaxies equally likely to be associated with the absorber ( 12 cases) or there are two absorption lines and two likely galaxies ( 12 cases). In a small number of cases (13) the absorption occurs in a group of galaxies and no unambiguous choice can be made. Ambiguities also exist for a small fraction (seven cases) of absorbers outside groups.

### 4.4. Ly $\alpha$ Absorbers in Voids

A question that is complementary to asking whether Ly $\alpha$ absorbers associate with galaxies is whether there are any Ly $\alpha$


Figure 8. (Continued)
absorbers that lie in voids. This question was studied most clearly by Penton et al. (2002), who defined a "void absorber" as a Ly $\alpha$ line for which there is no galaxy within 3 Mpc . They based their 3 Mpc void size on the contention that it is the median distance from a random point in the universe to the nearest $L_{*}$ galaxy. As Figure 7(c) shows, this is true if one only looks at galaxies with $L>L_{*}$. A 3 Mpc definition for the separation from the nearest $L_{*}$ galaxy would imply that half of the $L_{*}$ galaxies lie in voids.

Taking a nearest neighbor distance of 3 Mpc as the limit for a void absorber, we can find a galaxy within that distance and with $|\Delta v|<400 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ for 99 of the 102 Ly $\alpha$ lines at $v<5000$ $\mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$. This would imply a $3 \% \pm 2 \%$ fraction of void absorbers. In particular, these would be the lines at $2545 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ toward Mrk 509 (nearest galaxy at $\rho=4834 \mathrm{kpc}$ ), at $4043 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$
toward MCG+10-16-111 (nearest galaxy at $\rho=4351 \mathrm{kpc}$ ), and at $4670 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ toward Mrk 817 (nearest known galaxy at $\rho=8739 \mathrm{kpc}$ ). The fact that all three lines are at $v>$ $4000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ suggests that maybe the galaxy surveys near these sightlines were not deep enough to find all galaxies. We note that near Mrk 509 there are five galaxies with unknown velocity (and $L \sim 0.25 L_{*}$ ) whose impact parameter would be between 1.5 and 2.5 Mpc , if their velocity were about $2500 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. In that case the detection would no longer be considered a "void detection" (see the Appendix for more details). Similarly, MCG $+10-17-2 \mathrm{~A}$ could have $\rho=1.4 \mathrm{Mpc}$ from MCG+10-16-111 if that galaxy's velocity is about $4043 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. Thus, it is quite possible that there are galaxies within 2 Mpc of the two best current candidates for "void absorbers."
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If we impose that the nearest galaxy has to be brighter than $0.1 L_{*}$, there are two more candidate void absorbers: the line at $3574 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ toward PKS 0405-12 (nearest $L>0.1 L_{*}$ galaxy at $\rho=4492 \mathrm{kpc}$; Table 3 lists 2MASX J04060761-1023272 with $D_{\text {gal }}=5.7 \mathrm{kpc}, \rho=1489 \mathrm{kpc}$ ) and the line at 3007 km $\mathrm{s}^{-1}$ toward Mrk 421 (nearest $>0.1 L_{*}$ galaxy at $\rho=4840$ kpc; nearest galaxy HS $1059+3934$ with $D_{\text {gal }}=3.8 \mathrm{kpc}, \rho=$ $1041 \mathrm{kpc})$.
In principle, some of the candidate void absorbers could instead represent gas expanding at very high velocity from the AGN. For Mrk 421 this expansion velocity would be 5993 $\mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$, for Mrk 509 it would be $7767 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, and for Mrk 817 it is $4760 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. These would be comparatively large expansion velocities, however. Tripp et al. (2008) concluded that absorbers
with velocity differing more than $2500 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ from that of the AGN are almost certain to be intergalactic, rather than associated with the AGN. Thus, the absorbers toward Mrk 421. Mrk 509 and Mrk 817 are unlikely to be associated with the AGNs.

The foregoing discussion does not use a complete sample of galaxies, however. If we do restrict ourselves to complete samples, we find that there may be no absorbers that can be classified as a "void absorber." At velocities below $2500 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, where our galaxy sample is complete down to $L>0.1 L_{*}$, we can find an $L>0.1 L_{*}$ galaxy within $\rho<1.4 \mathrm{Mpc}$ for all of the 58 absorption lines with $v<2500 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. We can find an $L>0.25 L_{*}$ galaxy for 71 of the 75 absorbers with $v<$ $3700 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, and an $L>0.5 L_{*}$ galaxy for 92 of the 102
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absorbers with $v<5000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. Finally, there are 17 absorbers among the 102 with $v<5000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ for which we cannot find an $L>L_{*}$ galaxy within 3 Mpc . This fraction of $17 / 102$ or $17 \% \pm 4 \%$ is the equivalent of the value of $22 \pm 8$ that Penton et al. (2002) quoted for the fraction of absorbers in voids-they looked at four sightlines to compare the locations of $L>L_{*}$ galaxies relative to absorbers at $v<20,000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. We note that 12 of our 17 void absorbers have $v>3000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. So, it is conceivable that galaxies were still missed near the sightlines with candidate void absorbers.

Looking only at the 58 absorbers with $v<2500 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, we find an $L>0.1 L_{*}$ galaxy within 1.4 Mpc for each of these, as well as a $>0.25 L_{*}$ galaxy within 2.2 Mpc , and a $>0.5 L_{*}$ galaxy within 2.5 Mpc . Finally, for 54 of the 58 absorbers there is an $L>L_{*}$ galaxy within 2.6 Mpc . Thus, using a 3 Mpc limit, there are no void absorbers when looking for galaxies brighter than $0.5 L_{*}$, and there is a $7 \% \pm 3 \%$ fraction when looking for $L_{*}$ galaxies. This would suggest that there are no void absorbers if the galaxy sample is sufficiently complete at a luminosity limit better than $0.5 L_{*}$.

McLin et al. (2002) looked in more detail near seven of the void absorbers claimed by Penton et al. (2002) (four at $v<5000$ $\mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ ), determining redshifts of galaxies down to $\sim 0.1 L_{*}$ lying within $20^{\prime}-40^{\prime}$ of the sightlines. They did not find any galaxies with $\rho<250 \mathrm{kpc}$. However, for three of the four at
$v<5000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ we find an $L>0.3 L_{*}$ galaxy within 1.1 Mpc (Ly $\alpha$ at $2426 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ toward VII Zw 118, UGC 3748 at 753 kpc; Ly $\alpha$ at $1979 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ toward HE 1029-1401, MCG-2-$27-1$ at 1065 kpc ; Ly $\alpha$ at $2548 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ toward Mrk 509, MCG-54-3 at 2231 kpc ). Only for the $3007 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ line toward Mrk 509 is the nearest $L>0.1 L_{*}$ galaxy (UGC 6383) at large impact parameter ( 4840 kpc ). All these galaxies have angular separation larger than 1 deg , so the $20^{\prime}-40^{\prime}$ field used by McLin et al. (2002) may have been too small to find all the relevant galaxies.

Based on these results, we conclude that it depends on the luminosity limit and completeness of the galaxy sample whether an absorber is called a "void absorber" or not. Further, we find that there may not be any void absorbers (i.e., absorbers occurring more than 3 Mpc from the nearest galaxy) if a luminosity limit of $0.1 L_{*}$ is used. In fact, we find $a>0.1 L_{*}$ galaxy within 1.5 Mpc of each of 58 absorbers with $v<2500$ $\mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$, and $a>0.5 L_{*}$ galaxy within 2.5 Mpc of each absorber with $v<2500 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}{ }^{-1}$. Finally, we find that just $10 \%$ of the absorbers with $v<5000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}{ }^{-1}$ lies more than 3 Mpc from the nearest $0.5 L_{*}$ galaxy. Finally, where Penton et al. (2002) found a fraction of $22 \% \pm 8 \%$ for absorbers more than 3 Mpc from the nearest $L_{*}$ galaxy, we find a fraction of $17 \% \pm 4 \%$ for absorbers with $v<5000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, but just $7 \% \pm 3 \%$ when only looking at absorbers with $v<2500 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$.


Figure 9. Histograms of the difference in velocity between an absorber and the galaxy that we associate with it. Top panels: Hi lines (Ly $\alpha$ or Ly $\beta$ ); bottom panels: O vi lines. Left panels: only unambiguous associations are included (see Section 4.3). Right panels: all associations listed in Table 3 are included. The smooth curves represent Gaussians with the average velocity and dispersion given in the labels.

### 4.5. Velocity Difference Between Ly $\alpha / O$ vi Absorbers and Associated Galaxies

To determine (a posteriori) the best velocity difference criterion for associating an absorber with a galaxy, we show in Figure 9 the distribution of the difference between the velocity of the absorber (either Ly $\alpha$ or $\mathrm{Ly} \beta$ in the top panels, O vi in the bottom panels) and the associated galaxy (as listed in Table 3, and as discussed in the Appendix and Section 4.3). In the left panels we show the distribution when selecting only the unambiguous cases with $\rho<1 \mathrm{Mpc}$, while on the right all associations are included. Clearly, the distribution of unambiguous cases is basically symmetrical around $\Delta v=0$; excluding the two outliers, the dispersion is $60 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, with a range from -118 to $+147 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. The full distribution (right panels) includes the cases with multiple absorbers associated with one galaxy, cases with one or two lines and two galaxies with similar impact parameter and velocity, detections associated with a group and detections with the nearest galaxy at $\rho>1 \mathrm{Mpc}$.

As we limited ourselves to the part of the universe where most galaxies have previously been found, our sample of galaxies is more complete than that used in previous studies. Therefore, we draw the conclusion that for unambiguous associations (i.e., just a few galaxies are known within 1 Mpc and $400 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, just one or two of which are bright), the difference in velocity between the intergalactic absorption and the galaxy's systemic velocity ranges from -118 to $147 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, with a dispersion of $60 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. This suggests that in general it is OK to use a limit of $\Delta v<400 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ for associating an absorber with a galaxy,
although if there are no galaxies with low impact parameter and low velocity difference in individual cases larger velocity differences might exist.

### 4.6. Lya Line Widths Versus Impact Parameter

In Figure 10, we look at the distribution of fitted linewidths of Ly $\alpha$ versus impact parameter. In the top panel we show the scatter plot for all lines. Absorbers that we associate with groups are shown by stars, and field absorbers by circles. The closed symbols correspond to reliable absorbers, and the open circles to unreliable ones. Unreliable lines are those that are saturated as well as lines for which the width measurement is questionable, either because the spectrum is too noisy, or the line is too shallow. Finally, small symbols are for lines where the association with a galaxy is ambiguous, i.e., the assigned impact parameter is unreliable. In the bottom panel, we include only reliable field absorbers with a clear association with a galaxy (see discussion in Section 4.3).

The outlier at ( $206 \mathrm{kpc}, 21 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ) in both panels is the secondary Ly $\alpha$ line at $1109 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ seen toward Mrk 876. The outlier at ( $993 \mathrm{kpc}, 219 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ) in the top panel corresponds to the line at $1924 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ seen toward NGC 985. This is a weak line, which may have multiple components. Also, the association we list with DDO 23 is one of the most ambiguous in the sample. In fact, Bowen et al. (2002) associated it with NGC 988, which has impact parameter 175 kpc , but in that case $v(\operatorname{Ly} \alpha)-v$ (gal) would be $419 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, larger than for any other absorber-galaxy association. Associating the line with the LGG 71 group is also problematic, since the highest velocity for any group galaxy


Figure 10. Plot of the linewidth of Ly $\alpha$ lines vs. the impact parameter. Top panel: all Ly $\alpha$ absorbers. Bottom panel: including only well-measured absorbers clearly associated with field galaxies. The filled symbols are for reliably measured lines, and the open symbols for problematic lines (e.g., saturated, noisy). Circles are given for field galaxies, stars for group galaxies. Labels on the right side in the bottom panel show the temperature corresponding to some FWHM $/ b$-values for gas at the given temperature. The histograms give the 10th, 50th, and 90th percentile of the distribution in $250 \mathrm{kpc}(\rho<1 \mathrm{Mpc})$ or 500 kpc ( $\rho>2 \mathrm{Mpc}$ ) wide bins.
is $1665 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}(\rho=446 \mathrm{kpc})$. If the line is indeed a single broad line, its location in Figure 10 would suggest that there should be galaxy with low impact parameter, which could be NGC 988. A COS spectrum of this target could resolve these issues.

Both panels of Figure 10 show a clear pattern: at all impact parameters there is a large spread in measured linewidths, but there is a trend for the maximum linewidth to increase with decreasing impact parameter. The histograms in the bottom panel show the 10th, 50th, and 90th percentile of the distribution of linewidths. At impact parameters above 200 kpc , the 50th percentile (i.e., the median) is $75-80 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, but for the $0-200 \mathrm{kpc}$ bin it is $105 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. Also, the upper envelope of the distribution shows a clear trend of increasing maximum linewidth with decreasing impact parameter.

On the right side of the bottom panel of Figure 10 we also show horizontal lines at FWHMs of $21,68,151$, and $214 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, corresponding to the thermal width of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}$ at temperatures of $10^{4}$, $10^{5}, 3 \times 10^{5}$, and $10^{6} \mathrm{~K}$. However, the width of the H i absorption line is not necessarily thermal. It is often assumed that Ly $\alpha$ absorbers consist of photoionized gas and such modeling gives consistent results (e.g., Penton et al. 2004). Also, some lines may have multiple components. Alternatively, the gas may be highly turbulent. However, a turbulent width of $100 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ is an order of magnitude higher than typical values seen inside galaxy disks. If the gas is orbiting a galaxy, but stretched over many kpc, the projection of its orbital velocity may change from the front to the back side, broadening the observed profile. For cloud densities in the gas typical of that of clouds in the

Milky Way corona ( $\sim 10^{-3} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2}$; Fox et al. 2005), a cloud with total hydrogen column density $\sim 10^{20} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2}$ would be about 30 kpc deep. Orbiting with $200 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ at 100 kpc , the changing velocity projection then introduces a velocity gradient of about $8 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, much smaller than the observed $50 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ increase in the maximum velocity width.

A final possibility is that large velocity gradients were introduced for the gas in the extended halos by tidal effects. Near the Milky Way, the Magellanic Stream provides evidence for such processes, and in the galactic standard of rest reference system it has an apparent velocity gradient of $400 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ over $180^{\circ}$. Sightlines through the stream result in profiles that when fitted by a single-Gaussian can have FWHM up to $\sim 80$ $\mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$. This is much smaller than the absorber linewidths at low impact parameter. However, we cannot exclude that the apparent linewidths will be larger if the sightline passes through a tidal feature along its long axis. On the other hand, it is unlikely that the axis of the feature and the sightline line up exactly in many cases. On balance, tidal stretching may be one of the causes of the line broadening, but it is unlikely to be the explanation for all of the lines that are broader than $150 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$.

At present, the $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{Ns}$ of spectra with candidate broad lines are too low to analyze the detailed shapes of the profiles and decide whether they can be described by a single component Gaussian. The installation of COS on HST will allow observations with sufficiently high $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ to check this. With this caveat in mind, however, Figure 10 suggests that there is an increase in the temperature of the gas within a few hundred kpc of galaxies. Such behavior inside gravitational wells is predicted


Figure 11. Scatter plot of the Ly $\alpha$ equivalent width against impact parameter. Left panels: results for the absorbers listed in Table 3 (two absorbers with equivalent width $>1000 \mathrm{~m} \AA$ are not shown in the top left panel). The symbol size scales as the $2 / 3 \mathrm{rd}$ power of the galaxy diameter. Right panels: impact parameters to the nearest $L>L_{*}$ galaxy. Top panels: linear scales; bottom panels: logarithmic scales. The histograms in the top panels show the 10th, 50 th, and 90 th percentiles of the distribution of equivalent width in 250 or 500 kpc wide impact parameter bins. In the bottom panels the dotted line gives the relation between equivalent width and impact parameter claimed by Chen et al. (2001), while the solid line is the relation given by Penton et al. (2002).
in hydrodynamical simulations of structure formation in the universe (Cen \& Ostriker 1999; Davé et al. 2001; Cen \& Fang 2006).

### 4.7. Ly $\alpha$ Equivalent Width Versus Impact Parameter

The question of an anti-correlation between the equivalent width of Ly $\alpha$ absorbers and the impact parameter to the nearest galaxy has been the subject of much discussion and disagreement in the literature. Lanzetta et al. (1995) reported an anti-correlation between equivalent width $(W)$ and $\rho$, but they only had seven detections with $\rho<100 h_{71}^{-1} \mathrm{kpc}$. Tripp et al. (1998) plotted nine absorbers at $\rho<600 h_{71}^{-1} \mathrm{kpc}$, and these do show an anti-correlation between $W$ and $\rho$. Chen et al. (2001) claimed a tight anti-correlation between $W$ and $\rho$ for their 34 associations with $\rho<200 h_{71}^{-1} \mathrm{kpc}$. In particular, they claimed that $\log W=-(0.96 \pm 0.11) \log \rho+$ constant. However, also they showed plots of the difference between $\log W$ predicted by this equation and the actual $\log W$, and these reveal that the residuals have a large spread of $\pm 0.5$ dex. Chen et al. (2001) further claimed that the anti-correlation improves if the luminosity of the associated galaxy is taken into account. Impey et al. (1999) combined earlier studies with their sample of 139 Ly $\alpha$ lines, and saw a more mixed picture, concluding that at high impact parameters there is no anti-correlation between $W$ and $\rho$, but at $\rho<200 h_{71}^{-1} \mathrm{kpc}$ there is a trend of finding higher $W$ at lower $\rho$. The well-defined sample of six clear associations with $\rho<$ $200 h_{71}^{-1} \mathrm{kpc}$ of Bowen et al. (2002) also lead them to conclude that $\log W$ and $\log \rho$ do anti-correlate. Penton et al. (2002)
studied this once more, using their sample of 81 low-redshift absorbers and concluded that at $\rho>50 h_{71}^{-1} \mathrm{kpc}$ the $W$ versus $\rho$ plot is a scatter plot. At lower $\rho$ they did not find a strong anti-correlation, but the lowest measured $W$ is $500 \mathrm{~m} \AA$, so that viewed over a wide range of $\rho$ there seems to be some relation.

We show our results in Figure 11, using linear scales in the top two panels, logarithmic scales in the bottom two. In the studies listed above only logarithmic scales were used for $W$ and $\rho$, but as we discuss below this obscures the real conclusion that can be drawn from the scatter plot. In the left panels of Figure 11, we use the impact parameters and equivalent widths given in Table 3. In the plot on the right we check to see what happens if we were only able to find the nearest $L>L_{*}$ galaxy to an absorber.

For comparing with the literature results (bottom panels), we include the relations given by Chen et al. (2001; dotted line) and Penton et al. (2002; solid line). This shows that our impact parameters are typically much larger than those of Chen et al. (2001). The distribution of points at $\rho>100 \mathrm{kpc}$ looks like a scatter plot, except when looking at the nearest $L>L_{*}$ galaxy, in which case there seems to be an impact parameter dependent lower envelope, i.e., $W<100 \mathrm{~m} \AA$ only occurs at $\rho>0.5 \mathrm{Mpc}$, $W<50 \mathrm{~mA}$ at $\rho>1 \mathrm{Mpc}$, and $W<25 \mathrm{~mA}$ at $\rho>2.5$ Mpc from the nearest $L_{*}$ galaxy. A similar pattern holds when looking at the impact parameter relative to $L>0.1 L_{*}$ galaxies, for which $W<100 \mathrm{~mA}$ occurs at $\rho>150 \mathrm{kpc}, W<50 \mathrm{~m} \AA$ at $\rho>300 \mathrm{kpc}$, and $W<25 \mathrm{~m} \AA$ at $\rho>750 \mathrm{kpc}$.

On the other hand, strong lines occur mostly in the neighborhood of galaxies, with just two of the ten $>400$ m $\AA$ lines
occurring at impact parameters $\rho>350 \mathrm{kpc}$ (at $1665 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ toward PG 1149-110, $\rho=529 \mathrm{kpc}$, and at $3579 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ toward Mrk 110, $\rho=1975 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ). At the $>300 \mathrm{~m} \AA$ level 19 of 23 lines ( $82 \%$ ) occur within 600 kpc of a galaxy, and 16 of 23 ( $70 \%$ ) have $\rho<350 \mathrm{kpc}$. This includes 6 of the 10 detections that we associate with a group rather than a single galaxy. We can look at this in reverse, and note that for about $10 \%$ of the strong lines the nearest galaxy has impact parameter $>1 \mathrm{Mpc}$ ( 5 of 38 with $W>200 \mathrm{~m} \AA, 2$ of 23 with $W>300 \mathrm{~mA}$, and 1 of 10 with $W>400 \mathrm{~m} \AA$ ).

The top panels of Figure 11 show that using a logarithmic scale for the equivalent width hides an important facet of the relation between $W$ and $\rho$. The bins in the top panels give the 10th, 50th, and 90th percentile of the distribution of $W$ in a 250 kpc (at $\rho<1 \mathrm{Mpc}$ ) or 500 kpc (at $\rho>1000 \mathrm{kpc}$ ) wide interval. The 10th percentile remains more or less constant with impact parameter (except for the lowest impact parameters to the nearest $L_{*}$ galaxy), while the 90th percentile strongly anticorrelates with $\rho$, especially at $\rho<1500 \mathrm{kpc}$. Note that the top panels do not show the four strongest lines, three of which occur inside a galaxy ( $\rho=0.3 \mathrm{kpc}$ toward HS $1543+5921, \rho=6 \mathrm{kpc}$ toward Mrk 205, $\rho=14 \mathrm{kpc}$ toward 3C 232, and the sub-DLA at $1895 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ toward PG 1216+069). It is clear that at any impact parameter, the distribution of equivalent widths of Ly $\alpha$ absorbers is wide, but the maximum equivalent width is larger at smaller impact parameters.

We checked whether there was any difference in the distribution when selecting only field or group galaxies, or when selecting only galaxies with diameters in a given range, or when comparing galaxies with $v_{\text {gal }}<2500$ versus galaxies with $v_{\text {gal }}<$ $5000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. In all but one cases the 10th, 50 th, and 90 th percentile bins are basically identical. The lone exception is that all the lines stronger than $100 \mathrm{~m} \AA$ at $\rho>1500 \mathrm{kpc}$ occur at velocities above $2500 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. Since the galaxy sample is less complete for the fainter galaxies, it is possible that a better search for galaxies could turn up an $L<0.1 L_{*}$ galaxy with lower impact parameter.

From Figure 11 we derive the following conclusions: At any impact parameter there is a wide range in Lyo equivalent widths, but the strongest lines are stronger at lower impact parameter. Also, $80 \%$ of strong ( $W>300 \mathrm{~mA}$ ) lines occur within 600 kpc of a galaxy, while $70 \%$ originate within 350 kpc . On the other hand, weak lines only occur far from $L_{*}$ galaxies, and the weaker the line, the larger the minimum impact parameter; specifically all Lyd lines with $W<25 \mathrm{~mA}$ have $\rho>750 / 2500 \mathrm{kpc}$ to the nearest 0.1/1.0 $L_{*}$ galaxy, while all Lya lines with $W<50 \mathrm{~mA}$ have $\rho>300 / 1000 \mathrm{kpc}$ to the nearest $L>0.1 / 1.0 L_{*}$ galaxy.

## 5. ABSORBERS NEAR GALAXIES

This section presents a number of analyses from the perspective of the galaxies. By restricting ourselves to very low redshift, we can use general surveys and catalogs to produce a fairly complete galaxy sample. Looking at many sightlines, we can thus study the detection fraction as a function of impact parameter using different selection criteria. First we look at the amount of intergalactic gas as a function of the density of galaxies near the absorbers (Section 5.1). In Section 5.2, we tabulate the fraction of galaxies having an absorber within a given impact parameter and velocity, while in Section 5.3 we show histograms of the detection fraction as a function of impact parameter, using several criteria to select galaxies. We also combine all the sightlines to create a synthetic map of a galaxy's gaseous envelope (Section 5.4).

### 5.1. Ly Equivalent Width Versus Galaxy Density

A way of looking at the relation between galaxies and absorbers is to look at the density of galaxies in the neighborhood of an absorber. Bowen et al. (2002) did this for the six sightlines in their sample. They calculated the number of galaxies in a cylindrical volume with impact parameter $<2 \mathrm{Mpc}$ and velocity within $\pm 500 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ from the absorber. Since the Hubble constant is $71 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \mathrm{Mpc}^{-1}$, the depth of such a cylinder may be larger than its width. However, galaxies usually have large peculiar velocities and thus $\Delta v / H_{o}$ is not a good measure of the differential distance of the galaxy along the line of sight. Bowen et al. (2002) adopted $500 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, arguing that this is near the maximum observed for groups. They also made the point that one should compare the galaxy density to the total equivalent width (or column density) of the absorbers in a velocity interval-if there are multiple absorbers, taking each absorber separately would underestimate the density of intergalactic gas. With this method and criteria, Bowen et al. (2002) found that there was a very tight correlation between the galaxy density and the total Ly $\alpha$ equivalent width for the six main absorbing systems in their sample. Côté et al. (2005) added four more systems, and although their plot of $\log W$ versus $\log n$ showed larger scatter, they thought that they confirmed the conclusion of Bowen et al. (2002).
In Figure 12 we show our results, for several choices for the maximum impact parameter and velocity difference between absorber and galaxies. For each absorber, we first add the equivalent width of it and all other absorbers within $\Delta v<$ 500 or $<1000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. We then count the number of galaxies with $L>0.1 L_{*}$ in each cylinder and divide by its volume. In each of the four panels, we show the systems in Bowen et al.'s (2002) study as filled stars and the systems of Côté et al. (2005) as filled squares. We looked at this scatter plot for cylinders with radii $0.2,0.5,1,2$ and 3 Mpc , and velocity range 200, 400, 500, 1000 and $3000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, as well as separately for absorbers with $v<2500 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and $v>2500 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and using different luminosity limits for the galaxies. All of these choices lead to the same conclusions. Figure 12(a) presents the plot using the criteria used by Bowen et al. (2002) ( $\rho<2 \mathrm{Mpc}, \Delta v<$ $500 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ). The filled stars then fall on a line (correlation coefficient 0.88 ), and the filled squares scatter near this line. However, it is clear that this must be an artifact of the particular set of systems that Bowen et al. (2002) and Côté et al. (2005) observed. The scatter plot does suggest that there is a tendency for an increase of the maximum total equivalent width with increasing galaxy density (correlation coefficient 0.95 ), but there clearly is no strong general correlation (the correlation coefficient for all data is 0.40). If we look at a somewhat smaller box ( 1 Mpc by $500 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, panel (b)), we come to the same conclusion. If we look closer to the absorbers, using a 500 kpc by $1000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ box, we find that there may a rough correlation between the amount of absorbing intergalactic gas and the galaxy density (panel (c)). But looking even closer (panel (d)), the properties of the scatter plot revert to those of the larger boxes.

In Figure 12(c), a number of outliers are located at $\log n=$ $-0.2, \log W=2.05$. Two of these correspond to the detections at 1924 and $2183 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ toward NGC 985. As discussed in Section 4.3 and in the notes to NGC 985, and as can be seen in Figure 8, this is an absorber that is very difficult to associate with a galaxy. The galaxies in the nearby group LGG 71 range in velocity from 1145 to $1781 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, and the sightline passes 175 kpc from the edge of the group. The derived galaxy density


Figure 12. Scatter plot of the total Ly $\alpha$ equivalent width within 500 or $1000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ from an absorber vs. the number density of galaxies with $L>0.1 L_{*}$ in a cylinder with radius 500,1000 or 2000 kpc (see labels). The open circles show the data for all detections in our sample, while the filled stars are for the absorbers in the sample of Bowen et al. (2002) and filled squares correspond to the absorbers discussed by Côté et al. (2005). In each panel, the column of crosses corresponds to having no galaxy in the box, while the second column gives the density with just one galaxy in each box. Selection criteria: all Ly $\alpha$ lines; all galaxies fitting the criteria, where for $L>0.1 L_{*}$ also $v<2500 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and for $L>L_{*} v<5000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$.
is thus sensitive to the parameters of the cylinder. For $\Delta v=$ $1000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ many group galaxies are included, but for $\Delta v$ $=500 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ they are not, so that the point falls on what appeared to be a linear relation between $\log W$ and $\log n$. The third outlier corresponds to the line at $2110 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ seen toward PG $1211+143$, which is normal in every other aspect.

From Figure 12 we conclude that there is no correlation between the total Ly $\alpha$ equivalent and the galaxy density near the absorber, although the maximum Lyd equivalent width may depend on the galaxy density. At all galaxy densities there is a wide range in the observed Lya equivalent widths.

### 5.2. The Fraction of Galaxies of Given L Having a Ly $\alpha$ Absorber Within $\rho, \Delta v$

In Table 10, we look at the fraction of galaxies that have an associated Ly $\alpha$ absorber stronger than either 50 or 300 $\mathrm{m} \AA$ within a given impact parameter and velocity difference. This table is the complement to Table 9. To construct Table 10 we first find for each galaxy with $v_{\mathrm{gal}}<2500 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ the impact parameter to an AGN sightline, and we check the $3 \sigma$ Ly $\alpha$ equivalent width error at the velocity of the galaxy. Then we note whether or not there is an intergalactic line within a given impact parameter and velocity difference. We use a velocity limit of $2500 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, rather than $5000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ because then our galaxy sample is basically complete down to $0.1 L_{*}$, and then we can compare the fractions across different luminosities.

Each entry in the table consists of three parts. The middle part is the number of galaxies with equivalent width limit $<50 \mathrm{~m} \AA$ (first group of 15 rows) or $<300 \mathrm{~m} \AA$ (second group of 15 rows), impact parameter less than the number in Column 1, and luminosity larger than the limits given in Columns 3-6. The first part of the entry is the number of these galaxies for which there is a Ly $\alpha$ or Ly $\beta$ absorption line with $W>50$ or $>300 \mathrm{~m} \AA$ whose velocity differs from the galaxy's systemic velocity by less than the number in Column 2. The third part of each entry is the ratio of these two numbers, converted to a percentage. So, for example, there are 135 galaxies with $v_{\text {gal }}<2500 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and $L>0.1 L_{*}$ within 1 Mpc of an AGN sightline, in whose spectrum the $3 \sigma$ equivalent width limit is $<50 \mathrm{~m} \AA$ at the velocity of the galaxy. For $68(50 \%)$ of these, the AGN spectrum shows $\mathrm{a}>50 \mathrm{~m} \AA \mathrm{Ly} \alpha$ or $\mathrm{Ly} \beta$ line with velocity within $400 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ of the systemic velocity of the galaxies.

We summarize the conclusions that can be drawn from this table below. We also looked at the fractions separately for field and group galaxies and even for the four sightlines going through or near the Virgo cluster (3C 273.0, HE 1228+0131, PG 1211+143, and PG 1216+069). The fractions are basically the same, however, typically differing by less than $10 \%$.

From Table 10 we can draw the following conclusions: (1) At low impact parameter ( $<400 \mathrm{kpc}$ ), it is possible to find a $>50 \mathrm{~mA}$ Ly $\alpha$ absorber within $1000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ for all galaxies, and within $400 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ for the majority ( $\sim 80 \%$ ) of them. (2)


Figure 13. Distribution of the number of galaxies, the number of detections and fraction of detected galaxies as a function of impact parameter. This includes every galaxy with systemic velocity $400-5000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and $\rho<2 \mathrm{Mpc}$ near any of the 76 sightlines in our sample, independent of brightness, size, completeness of the galaxy survey near the sightline, or group membership. The histograms in the top panels show the number of galaxies in impact parameter bins of 100 kpc . At $\rho>1$ Mpc there are two histograms, with the lower one giving the actual number and the higher values taking into account a correction for the incompleteness of the NED sample (see Section 5.3.2). The dotted lines show the expected numbers for a sample of galaxies with random impact parameters. The hatched areas in the top panels show the distribution of detections. The bottom panels give the fraction of galaxies with which we associate a detection; the thin vertical bars provide an estimate of the error in the fraction, found from sqrt(no. of detections). Finally, the numbers in the top right corner give the total number of detections and galaxies in the plot. Selection criteria: galaxies of any luminosity with $v=400-5000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, all Ly $\alpha, \mathrm{Ly} \beta$, O vi lines found where the equivalent width error is $<100 \mathrm{~m} \AA$.


Figure 14. Same as Figure 13. Selection criteria: galaxies with $D_{\text {gal }}>7.5 \mathrm{kpc}$ (equivalent to $L>0.1 L_{*}$ ) and $v=400-2500 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, and not listed as a member of a galaxy group by Geller \& Huchra $(1982,1983)$ and/or Garcia (1993). All Ly $\alpha, \operatorname{Ly} \beta$, O vi lines with $v<2500 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ found where the equivalent width error is $<100$ mA .

Table 10
Fraction of Galaxies with $v<2500 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and a Ly $\alpha$ Absorber within $\rho$ and $\Delta v^{1}$

| $\rho$ <br> (kpc) <br> (1) | $\begin{gathered} \Delta v \\ \left(\mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \\ (2) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $L>0.1 L_{*}$ <br> (3) | $L>0.25 L_{*}$ <br> (4) | $L>0.5 L_{*}$ <br> (5) | $L>L_{*}$ <br> (6) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $W>50 \mathrm{~mA}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| <200 | <200 | 4 of 9; 44\% | 4 of 8; $50 \%$ | 4 of 8; 50\% | 1 of 3; 33\% |
| <200 | <400 | 7 of 9;77\% | 6 of 8; $75 \%$ | 6 of 8; $75 \%$ | 2 of $3 ; 66 \%$ |
| <200 | $<1000$ | 9 of 9; $100 \%$ | 8 of 8; $100 \%$ | 8 of 8; $100 \%$ | 3 of 3; 100\% |
| <400 | <200 | 9 of $22 ; 40 \%$ | 9 of $16 ; 56 \%$ | 6 of 11; $54 \%$ | 2 of 5; 40\% |
| <400 | <400 | 17 of $22 ; 77 \%$ | 13 of 16; 81\% | 9 of 11; $81 \%$ | 4 of 5; 80\% |
| <400 | $<1000$ | 22 of $22 ; 100 \%$ | 16 of $16 ; 100 \%$ | 11 of $11 ; 100 \%$ | 5 of 5; 100\% |
| <1000 | <200 | 34 of 135; 25\% | 27 of 95; $28 \%$ | 20 of 62; 32\% | 6 of $30 ; 20 \%$ |
| $<1000$ | <400 | 68 of 135; 50\% | 47 of 95; 49\% | 33 of 62; 53\% | 14 of $30 ; 46 \%$ |
| <1000 | $<1000$ | 101 of 135; 74\% | 72 of $95 ; 75 \%$ | 48 of $62 ; 77 \%$ | 24 of $30 ; 80 \%$ |
| <2000 | <200 | 86 of 451; 19\% | 70 of $312 ; 22 \%$ | 47 of $211 ; 22 \%$ | 22 of 105; 20\% |
| <2000 | <400 | 200 of $451 ; 44 \%$ | 150 of $312 ; 48 \%$ | 99 of $211 ; 46 \%$ | 46 of 105; 43\% |
| <2000 | $<1000$ | 305 of 451; 67\% | 222 of $312 ; 71 \%$ | 147 of $211 ; 69 \%$ | 73 of $105 ; 69 \%$ |
| <3000 | <200 | 152 of 966; $15 \%$ | 112 of 669; $16 \%$ | 79 of 457; $17 \%$ | 35 of 230; $15 \%$ |
| <3000 | <400 | 360 of 966; 37\% | 262 of 669; 39\% | 177 of 457; 38\% | 80 of 230; 34\% |
| <3000 | <1000 | 550 of 966; 56\% | 395 of 669; 59\% | 276 of 457; 60\% | 134 of 230; $58 \%$ |
| $W>300 \mathrm{~mA}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| <200 | <200 | 5 of 14; 35\% | 5 of 13; $38 \%$ | 4 of 10; $40 \%$ | 2 of 4; 50\% |
| <200 | <400 | 6 of 14; $42 \%$ | 6 of 13; $46 \%$ | 5 of 10; $50 \%$ | 2 of 4; 50\% |
| <200 | $<1000$ | 7 of $14 ; 50 \%$ | 7 of 13; $53 \%$ | 6 of 10; $60 \%$ | 2 of 4;50\% |
| <400 | <200 | 8 of $33 ; 24 \%$ | 7 of $25 ; 28 \%$ | 6 of 17; 35\% | 3 of 9;33\% |
| <400 | <400 | 12 of $33 ; 36 \%$ | 11 of $25 ; 44 \%$ | 9 of 17; $52 \%$ | 5 of 9; 55\% |
| $\leq 400$ | <1000 | 15 of $33 ; 45 \%$ | 14 of $25 ; 56 \%$ | 11 of $17 ; 64 \%$ | 6 of 9; 66\% |
| $<1000$ | <200 | 21 of $174 ; 12 \%$ | 18 of $121 ; 14 \%$ | 15 of 80; $18 \%$ | 7 of 43; 16\% |
| <1000 | <400 | 39 of 174; 22\% | 30 of $121 ; 24 \%$ | 26 of 80; 32\% | 12 of $43 ; 27 \%$ |
| $\leq 1000$ | $<1000$ | 77 of $174 ; 44 \%$ | 56 of $121 ; 46 \%$ | 42 of $80 ; 52 \%$ | 22 of $43 ; 51 \%$ |
| <2000 | <200 | 48 of 569; 8\% | 41 of $397 ; 10 \%$ | 31 of $269 ; 11 \%$ | 13 of 138; $9 \%$ |
| <2000 | <400 | 115 of 569; 20\% | 88 of $397 ; 22 \%$ | 65 of $269 ; 24 \%$ | 29 of 138; $21 \%$ |
| <2000 | $<1000$ | 218 of 569; 38\% | 165 of $397 ; 41 \%$ | 114 of $269 ; 42 \%$ | 60 of $138 ; 43 \%$ |
| <3000 | <200 | 97 of 1216; $7 \%$ | 74 of $855 ; 8 \%$ | 55 of 585; 9\% | 24 of $309 ; 7 \%$ |
| <3000 | <400 | 228 of $1216 ; 18 \%$ | 173 of $855 ; 20 \%$ | 125 of 585; $21 \%$ | 61 of $309 ; 19 \%$ |
| <3000 | <1000 | 403 of 1216; $33 \%$ | 295 of $855 ; 34 \%$ | 207 of 585; 35\% | 108 of $309 ; 34 \%$ |

Notes. 1: this table gives the number and percentage of galaxies with $v<2500 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ brighter than a given luminosity limit for which it is possible to find a Ly $\alpha$ absorber within a given impact parameter and velocity difference and detected line strength larger than $50 \mathrm{~m} \AA$ (upper half of table) or $300 \mathrm{~m} \AA$ (lower half of table).

Detecting $a>300 \mathrm{~mA}$ Ly absorber within $400 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ is possible for $\sim 40 \%-50 \%$ of galaxies with $\rho<400 \mathrm{kpc}$ and for $\sim 45 \%$ of galaxies with $\rho<200$ kpc. (3) The fraction of galaxies with a Lyd line within a given velocity difference does not depend on their luminosity. (4) At higher impact parameters the fraction of galaxies with an associated Ly $\alpha$ line decreases.

### 5.3. Ly $\alpha$, Ly $\beta$, and $O$ vi Detection Fraction as a Function of Impact Parameter

In this section, we study the fraction of Ly $\alpha$ absorbers as a function of impact parameter to the associated galaxy. We can do this because our galaxy sample is more or less complete, so we can properly count nondetections. Some previous studies also discussed detection fractions, but only for relatively bright galaxies and relatively small impact parameters. We first compare the numbers in these studies to our results, scaling the impact parameters listed in the other studies to a Hubble constant of $H_{o}=71 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ (Section 5.3.1). We then describe how we construct the detection fraction histograms as a function of impact parameter (Section 5.3.2), including
a correction for incompleteness in the NED data, and justifying our selection criteria. The results are shown in Tables 11 and 12, as well as Figures 13-17. We discuss them systematically in Sections 5.3.3 (Ly $\alpha$ for field galaxies), 5.3.4 (Ly $\alpha$ for group galaxies), 5.3.5 ( $\mathrm{Ly} \beta$ ), and 5.3.6 ( O vI ).

### 5.3.1. Comparison with Previous Studies

Lanzetta et al. (1995) reported a $100 \%$ detection fraction for impact parameters $\rho<100 h_{71}^{-1} \mathrm{kpc}$ and equivalent width limit $W_{\text {lim }}>150 \mathrm{~m} \AA$, decreasing to $66 \%$ for $\rho<230 h_{71}^{-1} \mathrm{kpc}$ and $11 \%$ at $\rho>230 h_{71}^{-1} \mathrm{kpc}$. However, because of the nature of their survey (a single limiting apparent magnitude over a large redshift range), it is not completely clear how to compare this to our sample. If we use a limit of $D_{\text {gal }}>11 \mathrm{kpc}\left(L>0.25 L_{*}\right)$, we find percentages of $80 \%, 50 \%$, and $8 \%$ for the three fractions of lines with $W_{\lim }>150 \mathrm{~m} \AA$, i.e., the same pattern, but about three quarters as many detections.

Bowen et al. (1996) found a $44 \%$ fraction for $\rho<430 h_{71}^{-1}$ $\mathrm{kpc}, L>0.5 L_{*}$, and $W>300 \mathrm{~m} \AA$. Using the same criteria we find 7 detections for 31 galaxies, or $23 \%$, which is about half as

Table 11
Detection Fraction Versus Impact Parameter ${ }^{1}$


Notes. 1: for each impact parameter interval given in Column 1, the table gives the number of galaxies, the number of galaxies associated with an absorber and the fraction of absorbers, using four different selection criteria. For the first group (all(Ly $\alpha$ )) all galaxies and Ly $\alpha$ lines with $v<$ $5000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ are used. For the second group (field, $v<2500 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ), only field galaxies and absorbers with $v<2500 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}{ }^{-1}$ are counted; in this context a "field" galaxy is one that was not listed as a group member by Geller \& Huchra (1983) or Garcia (1993). The third group (groups(Ly $\alpha$ )) counts Ly $\alpha$ lines and galaxy groups, using the group galaxy with the smallest impact parameter. For the fourth group (all( O vi)), we count all galaxies and $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{VI}}$ absorbers with $v<5000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. So, for instance, there are five field galaxies with $v<2500 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and impact parameter $300-400 \mathrm{kpc}$, and three $\operatorname{Ly} \alpha$ lines were associated with these galaxies. The numbers in this table are represented graphically in Figures 13-16.

Table 12
Detection Fraction Summary

| Lum. Limit <br> (1) | $\rho$ (kpc) (2) | field, Ly $\alpha$ |  |  | group, Ly $\alpha$ |  |  | field, O vi |  |  | group, O VI |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | \#gal <br> (3) | \#det <br> (4) | Frac. <br> (5) | \#gal <br> (6) | \#det <br> (7) | Frac. <br> (8) | \#gal <br> (9) | \#det <br> (10) | Frac. <br> (11) | \#gal (12) | \#det <br> (13) | Frac. <br> (14) |
| $\mathrm{L}>0.1 L_{*}{ }^{1}$ | 0-350 | 7 | 7 | $100_{-37}^{+0} \%$ | 21 | 13 | $61_{-17}^{+17} \%$ | 6 | 4 | $66_{-33}^{+33} \%$ | 13 | 1 | $7_{-7}^{+7} \%$ |
|  | 350-700 | 21 | 7 | $33_{-12}^{+12} \%$ | 45 | 4 | $8_{-4}^{+4} \%$ | 14 | 1 | $7_{-7}^{+7} \%$ | 26 | 2 | $7_{-5}^{+5} \%$ |
|  | 700-1500 | 74 | 9 | $12_{-4}^{+4} \%$ | 165 | 1 | $0_{-0}^{+0} \%$ | 68 | 0 | $0_{-0}^{+0} \%$ | 85 | 0 | $0_{-0}^{+0} \%$ |
|  | 1500-3000 | 297 | 0 | $0_{-0}^{+0} \%$ | 566 | 0 | $0_{-0}^{+0} \%$ | 221 | 0 | $0_{-0}^{+0} \%$ | 339 | 0 | $0_{-0}^{+0} \%$ |
| $\mathrm{L}>0.25 L_{*}{ }^{2}$ | 0-350 | 10 | 9 | $90_{-30}^{+10} \%$ | 25 | 14 | $56_{-14}^{+14} \%$ | 9 | 7 | $7_{-29}^{+22} \%$ | 13 | 2 | $15_{-10}^{+10} \%$ |
|  | 350-700 | 22 | 8 | $36_{-12}^{+12} \%$ | 40 | 3 | $7_{-4}^{+4} \%$ | 14 | 0 | $0_{-0}^{+0} \%$ | 33 | 3 | $9_{-5}^{+5} \%$ |
|  | 700-1500 | 58 | 6 | $10_{-4}^{+4} \%$ | 141 | 1 | $0_{-0}^{+0} \%$ | 64 | 0 | $0_{-0}^{+0} \%$ | 77 | 0 | $0_{-0}^{+0} \%$ |
|  | 1500-3000 | 259 | 2 | $0_{-0}^{+0} \%$ | 463 | 2 | $0_{-0}^{+0} \%$ | 205 | 0 | $0_{-0}^{+0} \%$ | 280 | 0 | $0_{-0}^{+0} \%$ |
| $\mathrm{L}>0.5 L_{*}{ }^{3}$ | 0-350 | 6 | 5 | $83_{-37}^{+16} \%$ | 18 | 11 | $61_{-18}^{+18} \%$ | 7 | 5 | $71_{-31}^{+28} \%$ | 6 | 2 | $33_{-23}^{+23} \%$ |
|  | 350-700 | 15 | 6 | $40_{-16}^{+16} \%$ | 29 | 5 | $17_{-7}^{+7} \%$ | 15 | 0 | $0_{-0}^{+0} \%$ | 26 | 3 | $11_{-6}^{+6} \%$ |
|  | 700-1500 | 56 | 9 | $16_{-5}^{+5} \%$ | 100 | 1 | $1_{-1}^{+1} \%$ | 53 | 0 | $0_{-0}^{+0} \%$ | 62 | 0 | $0_{-0}^{+00} \%$ |
|  | 1500-3000 | 228 | 5 | $2_{-0}^{+0} \%$ | 367 | 0 | $0_{-0}^{+0} \%$ | 183 | 0 | $0_{-0}^{+0} \%$ | 232 | 0 | $0_{-0}^{+0} \%$ |
| $\mathrm{L}>L_{*}{ }^{3}$ | 0-350 | 4 | 3 | $75_{-43}^{+25} \%$ | 9 | 6 | $66_{-27}^{+27} \%$ | 6 | 5 | $83_{-37}^{+16} \%$ | 4 | 1 | $25_{-25}^{+25} \%$ |
|  | 350-700 | 7 | 2 | $28_{-20}^{+20} \%$ | 13 | 3 | $23_{-13}^{+13} \%$ | 8 | 0 | $0_{-0}^{+0} \%$ | 19 | 3 | $15_{-9}^{+9} \%$ |
|  | $700-1500$ | 35 | 6 | $17_{-6}^{+6} \%$ | 55 | 0 | $0_{-0}^{+0} \%$ | 31 | 0 | $0_{-0}^{+0} \%$ | 36 | 0 | $0_{-0}^{+0} \%$ |
|  | 1500-3000 | 111 | 4 | $3_{-1}^{+1} \%$ | 232 | 0 | $0_{-0}^{+0} \%$ | 92 | 0 | $0_{-0}^{+0} \%$ | 156 | 0 | $0_{-0}^{+0} \%$ |

Notes. 1: also $v_{\mathrm{gal}}<2500 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} ; 2$ : also $v_{\mathrm{gal}}<3700 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} ; 3$ : also $v_{\mathrm{gal}}<5000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$.
many. In their subsequent paper, Bowen et al. (2002) claimed a $100 \%$ detection fraction for $\rho<285 h_{71}^{-1} \mathrm{kpc}, L>0.5 L_{*}$, and $W>45 \mathrm{~mA}$. With just their sightlines, we find four of five ( $80 \%$ ) galaxies are detected, while the complete sample gives $67 \%$ (12 of 18). Clearly, with a relatively low equivalent width
limit, most luminous galaxies with low impact parameter are found to have associated Ly $\alpha$ absorption.

For the two sightlines toward H 1821+643 and PG 1116+215, Tripp et al. (1998) found that there was a Ly $\alpha$ line within 1000 $\mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ of all 42 galaxies with $\rho<600 h_{71}^{-1} \mathrm{kpc}$ in their sample


Figure 15. Same as Figure 13. Selection criteria: galaxies with $D_{\text {gal }}>7.5 \mathrm{kpc}$ (equivalent to $L>0.1 L_{*}$ ) and $v=400-2500 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, which are listed as a member of a galaxy group by Geller \& Huchra $(1982,1983)$ and/or Garcia (1993). All Ly $\alpha, \operatorname{Ly} \beta$, O vi lines with $v<2500 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ found where the equivalent width error is $<100 \mathrm{~mA}$.


Figure 16. Same as Figure 13, except that we only count one detection or nondetection for each galaxy group. The impact parameter, $\rho$, is that to the group galaxy nearest the sightline. Only cases where $\rho$ is less than half the diameter of the group are included.
(note that often more than one galaxy is associated with a particular absorber). The luminosity cutoff of the galaxy sample of Tripp et al. (1998) varied with redshift-at $z<0.10$ (where a substantial fraction of their absorbers occurs), their limit $B<$ 19 corresponds to about an $L_{*}$ galaxy. We find an absorber for
$90 \%$ (10 of 11) of $L>L_{*}$ galaxies with $\rho<600 \mathrm{kpc}$ and $\Delta v<$ $1000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$.

In the Impey et al. (1999) study, 11 absorbers found in GHRS G140L spectra ( $0.8 \AA$, or $\sim 150-190 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ resolution) were compared against galaxies in the Virgo cluster region, with


Figure 17. Same as Figure 13, except that we only count one detection or nondetection for each galaxy group, and unlike what is the case for Figure 16, the impact parameter is that to the center of the group.
the sample complete down to $M_{B}=-16\left(0.04 L_{*}\right)$. The $3 \sigma$ detection limit varies between about 30 and $120 \mathrm{~m} \AA$. They claimed a detection fraction of $60 \%$ for galaxies with impact parameter $<385 h_{71}^{-1} \mathrm{kpc}$ and $L>0.25 L_{*}$, and $20 \%$ for $\rho<$ $700 h_{71}^{-1} \mathrm{kpc}$ and $L>L_{*}$. Using the same impact parameter and luminosity criteria and choosing $W_{\lim }>60 \mathrm{~m} \AA$, we find fractions of $56 \%$ ( 22 detections for 39 galaxies) and $41 \%$ (14 detections for 34 galaxies), respectively.
Finally, Chen et al. (2001) looked at 47 galaxies with $z=0.07-0.89$ with $\rho<180 h_{71}^{-1} \mathrm{kpc}$, and find associated detections for 34 of these ( $61 \%$ ). For their detection limit ( $\sim 300 \mathrm{~mA}$ ), we find five detections for 14 galaxies with $\rho$ $<180 h_{71}^{-1} \mathrm{kpc}$, or $36 \%$, i.e., a detection fraction that is about half as high.

### 5.3.2. Constructing Detection Fraction Histograms

Figures 13-17 show the number of galaxies, the number of detections and detection fraction as a function of impact parameter, using different sets of criteria, separately for each of $\operatorname{Ly} \alpha, \operatorname{Ly} \beta$, and O vi. In Table 11, we list the number of galaxies, the number of detections and the detection fraction for the same criteria. We now first discuss the criteria used to construct these figures, then we discuss the results. Unless noted otherwise, we only use sightlines for which the limiting equivalent width is $100 \mathrm{~m} \AA$ or better.

In each of these figures, the top three panels show the number of galaxies in 100 kpc impact parameter bins, with the taller bins corrected for incompleteness in the NED sample (see below). Hatched regions in the top panels give the number of detections. The dotted lines show the expected distributions, calculated as the ratio of the area in the impact parameter bin to the area in a 2 Mpc radius circle, scaled by the total number of galaxies with
$\rho<2 \mathrm{Mpc}$. The bottom panels give the fraction of galaxies with an associated detection.

The correction for NED completeness is necessary because the standard NED search only allows one to find galaxies within $5^{\circ}$ from a given direction. This is not a problem for the RC3 part of the galaxy sample. Therefore, at an impact parameter of $\rho_{0} \mathrm{Mpc}$, the NED part of the sample is complete only for galaxies with $v_{\text {gal }}>813 \rho_{0} \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. Since we only look at galaxies with $v_{\mathrm{gal}}>400 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, the NED sample is complete for impact parameters $<500 \mathrm{kpc}$. Therefore, we corrected for the incompleteness at a given impact parameter $\rho_{0}$ by scaling the number of additional galaxies from NED by the ratio of the total number with $\rho<500 \mathrm{kpc}$ to the number with $\rho<500 \mathrm{kpc}$ and $v_{\text {gal }}>813 \rho_{0}$. Thus, there are two overlapping histograms in the top panels of Figure 13, with the bottom one giving the actual number of galaxies in the sample, and the top histogram showing the corrected number. The detection fraction is calculated using the corrected data.

There still is a small deficit at $\rho>1500 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. This deficit is caused by a deficit in NED-only galaxies with $v_{\text {gal }}=1000-$ $1100 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, which leads to a slightly lower scaling factor for impact parameters $>1.3 \mathrm{Mpc}$. This deficit in turn is caused by a combination of two factors. First, in the sightlines toward the Virgo cluster (3C 273.0, HE 1228+0131, PG 1211+143 and PG $1216+069$ ) there is a relative deficit of galaxies near $1100 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. Second, there are three sightlines toward galaxy groups with $v \sim 1700 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ (MCG+10-16-111, Mrk 1383, NGC 985) leading to a relative increase in the counts near that velocity.
For Figure 13, we counted every galaxy with systemic velocity $400-5000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and impact parameter $<2 \mathrm{Mpc}$ to any of our 76 sightlines, independent of brightness, size,
completeness of the galaxy survey near the sightline, or group membership. The first group of columns in Table 11 gives the corresponding numbers. Clearly, there is a smooth decrease of the detection fraction with impact parameter.

This galaxy sample is inhomogeneous, however. Galaxies in groups strongly influence the result, since there usually are many galaxies that can be associated with a single detected line, and it is likely that the physical environment in groups differs from that around field galaxies.

### 5.3.3. Ly $\alpha$ Detection Fraction for Field Galaxies

We now discuss the distribution of the detection fraction of $\mathrm{Ly} \alpha, \mathrm{Ly} \beta$, and O vi as a function of impact parameter, separately for field and group galaxies. When combining both kinds, the detection fraction for Ly $\alpha$ at impact parameters $<400 \mathrm{kpc}$ is $20 \%$ (see Table 11), but this value is much higher for luminous field galaxies. We find 26 field galaxies with $\rho<400 \mathrm{kpc}$, $v_{\text {gal }}<5000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and $L>0.1 L_{*}$. For 15 of these we detect an associated Ly $\alpha$ line, while for three we have no Ly $\alpha$ data, but we find $\operatorname{Ly} \beta$. For two of the galaxies we would be unable to find either the $\operatorname{Ly} \alpha$ or $\operatorname{Ly} \beta$ line even if it were present, as it is hidden in the Ly $\alpha$ line associated with another galaxy or the Milky Way. Five of the six remaining non-detections of $\operatorname{Ly} \alpha$ or $\operatorname{Ly} \beta$ are in noisy spectra, so that the upper limits are not very significant. The 18 luminous galaxies that are found to have an associated Ly $\alpha$ or Ly $\beta$ line are IC 4889 ( 62 kpc from ESO 185-IG13, Ly $\beta$ only), UGC 8146 ( 80 kpc from PG 1259+593), UGC 7697 (139 kpc from Mrk 771), NGC 3942 (141 kpc from PG 1149-110), UGC 4238 ( 155 kpc from PG 0804+761), NGC 1412 ( 167 kpc from HE 0340-2703), Mrk 412 (196 kpc from 3C 232), NGC 6140 (206 kpc from Mrk 876, two lines), NGC 2683 ( 250 kpc from PG 0844+349, Ly $\beta$ only), UGC 8849 ( 274 kpc from PG 1351+640) UGC 10294 ( 282 kpc from Mrk 876), NGC 3104 (296 kpc from PG 0953+414), ESO 603G27 (322 kpc from MRC 2251-178), UGC 7625 ( 339 kpc from HE 1228+0131), CGCG 291-61 (367 kpc from MCG+10-16-111), UGC 4621 ( 372 kpc from PG 0844+349, Ly $\beta$ only; two lines), MCG-2-34-6 (391 kpc from PG 1302-102), and NGC 7817 ( 395 kpc from Mrk 335). All but three of the Ly $\alpha$ lines (the second component toward Mrk 876, and the lines toward PG 0953+414 and PG 1302-102) have equivalent width $>100 \mathrm{~mA}$.

The only non-detections of $\operatorname{Ly} \alpha$ for $L>0.1 L_{*}$ galaxies with impact parameter $<400 \mathrm{kpc}$ are associated with NGC 4939 (104 kpc from PG 1302-102), UGC 7226 (362 kpc from Mrk 205) and UGC 5922 ( 391 kpc from PG 1049-005). However, two of these spectra are relatively noisy (detection limits $72 \mathrm{~m} \AA$ for NGC 4939, $31 \mathrm{~m} \AA$ for UGC 7226, and 75 mÅ for UGC 5922). In two cases the only limits are for $\mathrm{Ly} \beta$ : UGC 9452 ( 278 kpc from Mrk 477, $W<39 \mathrm{~mA}$ ) and UGC 5340 ( 296 kpc from PG $1001+291, W<87 \mathrm{~m} \AA$ ). Thus, in each case it is entirely possible that a better spectrum would reveal a line.

For the majority of the associations above, the next nearest field or group galaxy with velocity within $\pm 500 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ of the Ly $\alpha$ line is at significantly larger impact parameter ( $>493 \mathrm{kpc}$ ), although three of the associated galaxies above do have a dwarf near it with impact parameter similar to that of the main galaxy. For three of the associations there are two Ly $\alpha$ lines, with two associated galaxies at similar impact parameter, but the second galaxy is either at $\rho>400 \mathrm{kpc}$, is a group galaxy, or is (slightly) smaller than 7.5 kpc .

Figure 14 shows the Ly $\alpha$ detection fraction for field galaxies with $v_{\mathrm{gal}}<2500 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and $L>0.1 L_{*}\left(D_{\mathrm{gal}}>7.5 \mathrm{kpc}\right)$.

The second group of three columns in Table 11 gives the corresponding numerical values. This does not include all of the galaxies mentioned above, because some have $v_{\text {gal }}>$ $2500 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, where the sample is incomplete. There are 24 Ly $\alpha$ detections for 178 field galaxies with $\rho<2 \mathrm{Mpc}$. The distribution for field galaxies with $v_{\text {gal }}<5000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and $L>0.5 L_{*}\left(D_{\text {gal }}>14.6 \mathrm{kpc}\right)$ is basically identical, with 24 Ly $\alpha$ detections for 137 field galaxies with $\rho<2 \mathrm{Mpc}$.

For both the complete sample and the field sample, the detection fraction for Ly $\alpha$ decreases regularly with impact parameter. Table 11 clearly shows that in spite of the small number statistics, at all impact parameters the detection fraction for $\mathrm{Ly} \alpha$ is higher for the field galaxies than for the full sample, by a factor 2 at $\rho<100 \mathrm{kpc}$, a factor 4 at $\rho \sim 500 \mathrm{kpc}$, and a factor 5 at $\rho \sim 900 \mathrm{kpc}$. The effect of the small number of galaxies in each impact parameter bin is clearly seen for the $1100-1200 \mathrm{kpc}$ bin. There are in fact nine galaxies with $D_{\text {gal }}>$ 7.5 kpc in this impact parameter bin, but by accident many are toward sightlines without Ly $\alpha$ data, or at velocities where there are line blends.

Table 12 presents a summary of the detection fractions for $\mathrm{Ly} \alpha$ and O vi, separately for field and group galaxies, for three complete samples: galaxies with $L>0.1 L_{*}\left(v_{\text {gal }}<2500 \mathrm{~km}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{s}^{-1}\right), L>0.25 L_{*}\left(v_{\mathrm{gal}}<3700 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$, and $L>0.5 L_{*}$ $\left(v_{\text {gal }}<5000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$. In this table we include an estimate of the uncertainty in the detection fraction, based on the square root of the number of detections. This shows that for Ly $\alpha$ detections associated with a complete sample of bright field galaxies the detection fraction is $85 \%-100 \%$ for impact parameters $<350 \mathrm{kpc}$. At larger impact parameters, the detection fraction decreases to almost $0 \%$ only for $\rho>1500 \mathrm{kpc}$.

From the histograms in Figure 14 and the discussion above, we conclude that the fraction of $L>0.1 L_{*}$ field galaxies that have an associated Lyo line is $100 \%$ vor impact parameters $\rho<350 \mathrm{kpc}$ and decreases monotonically to about 0 at $\rho \sim$ 1500 kpc .

### 5.3.4. Ly $\alpha$ Detection Fraction for Group Galaxies

There are three ways in which we can compare the detection rate of field galaxies to that of groups and group galaxies: (1) we can count group galaxies with $L>0.1 L_{*}$, (2) we can count groups, using the impact parameter to the group galaxy nearest the sightlines, (3) or we can count groups, using the impact parameter to the center of the group.

Counting galaxies, Figure 15 shows that the number of group galaxies with $v_{\text {gal }}<2500 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and $L>0.1 L_{*}\left(D_{\text {gal }}>7.5 \mathrm{kpc}\right)$ is about twice that of the number of field galaxies ( 382 versus 178) while the number of detections is similar (19 versus 24). For $v_{\mathrm{gal}}<5000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and $L>0.5 L_{*}\left(D_{\mathrm{gal}}>14.6 \mathrm{kpc}\right)$ there are 18 detections for 246 galaxies, with the same distribution. Figure 15 shows that the detection fraction decreases regularly with impact parameter, just like for field galaxies. However, compared to the field galaxies (for which the detection rate was $100 \%$ for $\rho<350 \mathrm{kpc}$ ), the detection rate at $\rho<350 \mathrm{kpc}$ is $61 \%$ (13 of 21 ) for $L>0.1 L_{*}$ and $61 \%$ (11 of 18) for $L>0.5 L_{*}$. These detections include the ones toward 3C 232 (NGC 3067) and Mrk 205 (NGC 4319) where the sightline passes through the disk of the galaxy.

Counting groups in the first way, we determine impact parameters from the group galaxy with $L>0.1 L_{*}$ that has the smallest impact parameter to the sightline. Figure 16 shows the result for the 38 groups with $v<5000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ for which the sightline passes between the group galaxies or close to the edge
of the group (i.e., within half a group's radius). There are another 19 cases where Table 3 lists a galaxy that is a group member, but the sightline passes far off (greater than one group radius) to the side of the group. For each of the groups we then checked whether there is a detection associated with a group galaxy or with the group as a whole, which is the case for 19 groups. Because of the small number statistics we cannot really say that there is a regular decrease of detection fraction with impact parameter to the nearest group galaxy. For impact parameters $<350 \mathrm{kpc}, 15$ of 24 ( $63 \%$ ) of groups are detected, a fraction that is comparable to the number of bright group galaxies associated with an absorption line.

Calculating impact parameters relative to the group center, we find that it is $>3 \mathrm{Mpc}$ for four of the groups. For the rest, the detection fraction is consistently about $50 \%$ at all impact parameters (Figure 17), although the number of groups in each 200 kpc wide impact parameter interval is small. Thus, on scales of hundreds of kpc, the gas in groups does not seem to be more concentrated to the group centers.

From the histograms in Figure 15-17 we conclude that the covering factor of Lyd around bright group galaxies ( $L>0.1 L_{*}$ ) is about $60 \%$ for impact parameters $<350$ $k p c$ and that about 50\% of galaxy groups have associated Ly $\alpha$ absorption.

### 5.3.5. Ly $\beta$ Galaxy Detection Fraction

The histograms in Figures 13-16 show that in spite of the small number of detections the galaxy detection fraction for $\operatorname{Ly} \beta$ has the same distribution as that of $\mathrm{Ly} \alpha$, as it should, but with about one-third of the number of detections. For the 25 Ly $\alpha$ lines seen toward $L>0.1 L_{*}$ galaxies with $\rho<350 \mathrm{kpc}$, $\operatorname{Ly} \beta$ is detected in five cases, all with $W(\operatorname{Ly} \alpha)>100 \mathrm{~m} \AA$, while a nondetection is found in another five. Three more Ly $\alpha$ lines are sufficiently strong that a corresponding Ly $\beta$ absorption is expected to be present, but either there is no $\operatorname{Ly} \beta$ data, or the $\operatorname{Ly} \beta$ line is blended. Counting these, we find that there should be eight Ly $\beta$ lines accompanying the 25 Ly $\alpha$ lines. Thus, the detection fraction of $\operatorname{Ly} \beta$ is about one-third that of Ly $\alpha$.

### 5.3.6. O vi Galaxy Detection Fraction

As can be seen in Figures 13-16, the analysis of the O vi detection fraction is hampered by the small number of positive detections, so we can only estimate detection fractions with large statistical uncertainties.

We find eight field galaxies for which we can associate an O vi detection with the galaxy (see Section 3.2 for a detailed discussion of each case): IC 4889 ( 62 kpc from ESO 185IG13, $D_{\text {gal }}=28.9 \mathrm{kpc}$ ), UGC 8146 ( 80 kpc from PG 1259+593, $D_{\text {gal }}=12.6 \mathrm{kpc}$ ), NGC 4939 ( 104 kpc from PG 1302-102, $\left.D_{\text {gal }}=24.6 \mathrm{kpc}\right)$, NGC $6140\left(206 \mathrm{kpc}\right.$ from Mrk 876, $D_{\text {gal }}=$ $27.1 \mathrm{kpc})$, NGC 2683 ( 250 kpc from PG $0844+349, D_{\text {gal }}=$ $23.0 \mathrm{kpc})$, UGC 10294 ( 282 kpc from Mrk 876, $D_{\text {gal }}=27.6 \mathrm{kpc}$ ), NGC 3104 ( 296 kpc from PG 0953+414, $D_{\mathrm{gal}}=11.5 \mathrm{kpc}$ ), and ESO 603-G31 (422 kpc from MRC 2251-178, $\left.D_{\mathrm{gal}}=9.1 \mathrm{kpc}\right)$. In addition there are five group galaxies with associated O vi: NGC 247 ( 125 kpc from Ton S180, $D_{\mathrm{gal}}=15.7 \mathrm{kpc}$ ), UGC 3804 ( 199 kpc from $1 \mathrm{H} 0717+714, D_{\text {gal }}=22.8 \mathrm{kpc}$ ), NGC 253 ( 374 kpc from Ton $\mathrm{S} 210, D_{\text {gal }}=20.7 \mathrm{kpc}$ ), NGC 5987 (424 kpc from Mrk 290, $\left.D_{\text {gal }}=51.7 \mathrm{kpc}\right)$, and NGC $954(562 \mathrm{kpc}$ from HE $0226-4110, D_{\text {gal }}=33.0 \mathrm{kpc}$ ).

Of these 13 cases, the detection toward HE 0226-4110 is not included in the statistics discussed below, as it is at $v>5000$
$\mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$. Finally, the O vi detection at $1008 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ toward 3C 273.0 is a special case in this regard. The nearest galaxy (as listed in Table 3) is MCG0-32-16 at 191 kpc , the nearest $L>0.1 L_{*}$ galaxy is NGC $4457\left(D_{\text {gal }}=13.8 \mathrm{kpc}\right)$ at 469 kpc , while the nearest $L>0.5 L_{*}$ galaxy is NGC $4517\left(D_{\text {gal }}=53.8\right.$ $\mathrm{kpc})$ at 662 kpc.

Of this set of O vi lines, the ones toward ESO 185-IG13, PG 1259+593, Mrk 876 and 3C 273.0 are unambiguously detected. The O vi detections toward PG 0844+349, PG 0953+414, Ton S180, Ton S210, 1H 0717+714, Mrk 290, HE 0226-4110 and MRC 2251-178 are not unambiguous, in the sense that we only see one of the two O vi lines, with the other line either blended or too weak, or the very existence of the feature might be questioned. However, in our judgment, these features are real, they measure as $>3 \sigma$ and intergalactic O VI is in all cases the most likely identification. The least certain identification is that of the line seen toward PG 1302-102. There is no corroborating $\mathrm{Ly} \alpha, \mathrm{Ly} \beta$ or O vi $\lambda 1037.617$ and the strength of the feature is just a little over $3 \sigma$. However, visually the absorption is clear, and it can be seen in each of the two channels of each of the two FUSE observations of the target.

Looking at the O vi detection rate for $L>0.1 L_{*}$ field galaxies with $v_{\text {gal }}<2500 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ in Table 12, we find a $66 \%$ detection rate for $\rho<350 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, with detections for UGC 8146, NGC 6140, NGC 2683, and NGC 3104, as well as nondetections for UGC 5340 ( 296 kpc from PG 1001+291) and UGC 7625 (339 kpc from HE 1228+0131). Note, however, that both nondetections are for sightlines with relatively low $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$, and all four detected lines are weaker than the detection limits toward these two sightlines. In any case, for this sample, the detection rate is $100 \%$ for $\rho<296 \mathrm{kpc}$, with just one (possible) O vi line at $\rho>296 \mathrm{kpc}$.

When looking at somewhat brighter field galaxies ( $L>$ $0.25 L_{*}, v_{\text {gal }}<3700 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ) with low impact parameter ( $<$ 350 kpc ), we count detections toward IC 4889, UGC 8146, NGC 4939, NGC 6140, NGC 2683, UGC 10294 and NGC 3104, and nondetections for UGC 7697 ( 139 kpc from Mrk 771, and ESO 603-G27 (322 kpc from MRC 2251-178), for a $77 \%$ detection fraction. However, the detection limit toward Mrk 771 is $94 \mathrm{~m} \AA$, while all but one of the five detections have equivalent width $<40 \mathrm{~m} \AA$; therefore the fact that we do not see O vi is probably not very significant. Thus, we see O vi in all but one sightline with impact parameter $<300 \mathrm{kpc}$.

Finally, for the brightest galaxy sample ( $L>L_{*}$ ), we find a $71 \%$ detection fraction, counting detections associated with IC 4889, NGC 4939, NGC 6140, NGC 2683 and UGC 10294, and nondetections for UGC 7697 and ESO 603-G27. Thus, where for bright field galaxies the detection fraction of Ly $\alpha$ is $85 \%-100 \%$, for O vi it is about $70 \%$, although the small number of sightlines means that there is an uncertainty of about $30 \%$ in this number.

The situation is different for group galaxies, as can be seen in Table 11 and Figure 16. If we count the number of intersected groups, we find that the 76 sightlines pass between the galaxies of 39 groups for which it is possible to find detections and nondetections of O vi. Only four groups ( $10 \%$ ) yield a detection: GH 158 toward Mrk 290, LGG 4 toward Ton S180 and Ton S210, LGG 141 toward 1H 0717+714, and LGG 292 toward 3C 273.0. Using different complete samples with different luminosity limits, we also find that the detection fraction for group galaxies is low, typically somewhere between $7 \%$ and $15 \%$. We need to mention here, however, that for the 53 group galaxies near our sightlines that have $D_{\text {gal }}>7.5 \mathrm{kpc}\left(L>0.1 L_{*}\right)$ and $\rho$
$<350 \mathrm{kpc}$, we find only two detections, but there is no O vi data available in 15 cases, and in 20 cases the possible O vi line is blended with interstellar absorption. For the 16 galaxies where O vi could have been (but was not) detected, only one Ly $\alpha$ absorber is found, but for the 15 cases with no O vi data, there are seven $\mathrm{Ly} \alpha$ lines. Thus, the O vi detection rate for group galaxies may be artificially depressed. Nevertheless, it does appear to be the case that the O vi detection fraction associated with group galaxies is much lower than that associated with field galaxies, by a factor on the order of 5. It might be as much as a factor 10 lower, but the statistics are too uncertain to support this strongly. Another difference between the field and group galaxy samples is that we find only one field galaxy that may have associated O vi at impact parameter $>300 \mathrm{kpc}$, but two of the four group galaxy associations are at $\rho>300 \mathrm{kpc}$. Again, the small number of detections means that this is not a firm conclusion.

Stocke et al. (2006) used a larger sample of O vi lines (40) and thus were able to look at the distribution of nearest neighbor galaxies, similar to the analysis done for Ly $\alpha$ in Section 4.2. They found that the median distance between O vi absorbers and $L>0.1 L_{*}$ galaxies is 335 kpc , and almost all O vi absorbers are found within 400 kpc of such galaxies. This conclusion is confirmed by our results for $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{vI}}$. In fact, for all of our O vi detections with $v<5000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ we can find an $L>0.1 L_{*}$ galaxy within 450 kpc and $\Delta v<120 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$.

A final way of looking at the relation between O vi absorbers and galaxies is to find the nearest galaxy above a given luminosity for each absorber. We find that there is an $L>$ $0.25 L_{*}$ galaxy within 450 kpc and with $|\Delta v|<300 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ for each O vi absorber at $v<5000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, with 9 of 13 having a galaxy within 300 kpc . An $L>L_{*}$ galaxy can be found within 200 kpc and $300 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ for 4 of the 13 absorbers, within 300 kpc for 7 of the 13 , and within 450 kpc for 9 of the 13 , with just one case where the nearest such galaxy is at $\rho>1 \mathrm{Mpc}$. Clearly, the O vi absorbers concentrate near luminous galaxies.

Two recent papers address the question of a correlation between O vi absorbers and galaxies from the theoretical side. Ganguly et al. (2008) used hydrodynamical simulations from Cen \& Fang (2006) to generate 10,000 synthetic spectra through these datasets. Identifying O vi absorbers in the spectra and correlating with the simulated galaxies, they found that $80 \%$ of the $\mathrm{O}_{\text {VI }}$ absorbers with $W>30 \mathrm{~m} \AA$ lie within 3 Mpc and $1000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ of an $L>0.1 L_{*}$ galaxy, $20 \%$ have impact parameter $<1 \mathrm{Mpc}$ to such a galaxy, while just $5 \%$ lie within 500 kpc . This is clearly incompatible with our findings (and with those of Stocke et al. 2006), since we find that $100 \%$ of the O vi absorbers lie within $120 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and 450 kpc of a $0.1 L_{*}$ galaxy. That is, the observational data show a tight correlation between O vi absorbers and galaxies, while the interpretation of the simulations would suggest that the majority originates in the IGM far from galaxies.

Oppenheimer \& Davé (2008) also looked at the relationship between O vi absorption and galaxies. They derived that most $\mathrm{O} v \mathrm{v}$ is photoionized, and not directly associated with galaxies, but, they note "O vi typically is nearest to $\sim 0.1 L_{*}$ galaxies." They also stated that "the majority of O vi absorbers are between 100 and 300 kpc from their nearest galactic neighbor," although they do not show a plot of an absorber parameter versus impact parameter. Their results support our conclusion that a luminosity limit of $0.1 L_{*}$ is the appropriate parameter to study the relationship between O vi absorbers and galaxies.

Finally, we note the evidence for O vi near the Milky Way. Sembach et al. (2003) discovered that high-velocity Galactic

O vi absorption ( $\left|v_{\mathrm{LSR}}\right|<400 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ) is seen in $80 \%$ of high-latitude sightlines observed with FUSE. Some of this is associated with HVCs that are about $5-10 \mathrm{kpc}$ above the Galactic disk (see Wakker et al. 2007, 2008), but about half of the detections appears to originate much farther away, at distances of 50-100 kpc (see, e.g., Fox et al. 2005). Thus, in a random sightline passing within 100 kpc of the Milky Way, there would be a probability of about $25 \%-50 \%$ to detect an O vi absorber. In our sample of extragalactic targets, we find 11 cases with impact parameter $<100 \mathrm{kpc}$, for six of which we can search for $\mathrm{O}_{\text {vi }} \lambda 1031.926$ and/or O vi $\lambda 1037.617$ with detection limit better than $50 \mathrm{~m} \AA$ (NGC 4319, 6 kpc from Mrk 205; NGC 4291, 51 kpc from Mrk 205; IC 4489, 62 kpc from ESO 185-IG13; [vCS96] 000254.9+195654.3, 78 kpc from Mrk 335; UGC 8146, 80 kpc from PG 1259+5930). We find O vi in two cases (ESO 185-IG13 and PG 1259+593), just about the expected number.

Summarizing the numbers above: we conclude (1) For impact parameters $<350 \mathrm{kpc}$, the detection rate for $O$ vi is $60 \%-80 \%$ for field galaxies, $10 \%-30 \%$ for group galaxies, and $\sim 10 \%$ for galaxy groups. (2) Only one field galaxy with $\rho>300 \mathrm{kpc}$ may show associated $O \mathrm{vI}$, but three of the five $O$ vi lines associated with a bright group galaxy have $\rho=300-450 \mathrm{kpc}$. (3) $100 \%$ of the $O$ VI detections at $v<5000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ can be associated with a galaxy with $L>0.1 L_{*}$ at $\rho<450 \mathrm{kpc}$, which appears to be incompatible with a simple interpretation of the results of hydrodynamical simulations.

### 5.4. A Synthetic Map of the Gaseous Envelope of Galaxies

Here we ask whether the intergalactic gas near galaxies knows about the direction of rotation of the underlying galaxy. To answer this, it is necessary to know which side of a galaxy is approaching (relative to the systemic velocity). Then we can create a map with the plane of the galaxy rotated to be horizontal and the approaching side on (e.g.) the left. To rotate the galaxies, we use the position angle given in the RC3, if given. If the RC3 gives no position angle, we visually align the galaxies, using the Digital Sky Survey image that can be extracted from NED. For 68 galaxies it is not possible to determine a position angle because they are too small or too unstructured. The literature contains data that allows us to determine the orientation (i.e., which is the approaching side) for 44 of the 329 galaxies with $\rho<1 \mathrm{Mpc}$ listed in Table 3, with the references given in Note 3. Detections are associated with 17 of these galaxies.

Figure 18 presents the results. The left panels ( $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{c}$ ) include just the galaxies with known position angle, known orientation, and (in the case of detections) a clear association between an intergalactic absorber and a galaxy. In the right panel (b) we include all luminous ( $L>0.1 L_{*}$ ) galaxies with known position angle and inclination with which we either associate a detection or find a nondetection with equivalent limit better than $50 \mathrm{~m} \AA$. For all of these the impact parameter is correct, and the galaxies are rotated to have the major axis horizontal, but if the galaxy's orientation is unknown, the direction to the AGN sightline might have to be rotated by $180^{\circ}$.

Figure 18(a) includes 23 edge-on galaxies (inclination $>60^{\circ}$; nine with associated detections, 13 with nondetections, one having nondetections against two different AGNs). Figure 18(c) includes 17 face-on galaxies (inclination $<60^{\circ}$; seven with associated detection, nine with nondetections, and one with two nondetections). The colored symbols show the detections. The symbol shapes encode whether the detection/


Figure 18. Plot combining all sightline-galaxy associations. Each galaxy is rotated to have the galaxy's major axis horizontal (possible for 261 of the 329 galaxies) (right panels). For a small number this rotation is such that the approaching side is on the left (the galaxies in the left panels). The sightline to the AGN is then placed, using the following symbol code: colored symbols for detections of either Ly $\alpha, \operatorname{Ly} \beta$, or O vi, open symbols for nondetections. Stars indicate an O vi detection, circles are for Hi data with an O vi upper limit, and squares when no O vi data are available. An open plus is shown if there is just an O vi detection, a plus for just an O vi upper limit, and a cross if we could not check either of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}$ and O vi. Colors encode the difference in velocity between the galaxy and the detection, being black if $\Delta v<20 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}{ }^{-1}$, yellow if $\Delta v=20$ to $50 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, orange if $\Delta v=50$ to $100 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, red when $\Delta v>100 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, light blue if $\Delta v=-50$ to $-20 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, dark blue if $\Delta v=-100$ to -50 $\mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$, and purple when $\Delta v<-100 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. The symbol size scales with the square root of the equivalent width of the absorber. If just Ly $\beta$ is detected, its equivalent width is scaled by a factor 3. Detections in panel (a) are for 3C $232-$ NGC 3067 (light-blue square), PG 1259+593-UGC 8146 (black star), Ton S180-NGC 247 (red star), Mrk 771-UGC 7697 (yellow circle), PG 0844+349-NGC 2683 (dark blue star), PKS 2155-304-ESO 466-G32 (blue circle), Ton S210-NGC 253 (yellow star), Mrk 335-NGC 7817 (light-blue circle), and MCG+10-16-111-NGC 3556 (red square). Note that toward PKS 2155-304 there are three lines, at 5105, 4990, and $5164 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$; we only show the strongest of these. The two nondetections with $\rho<350 \mathrm{kpc}$ are toward Mrk 110-NGC 2841 and HE 1228+0131-NGC 4517. In panel (c) we have the lines for HS 1543+5921-SBS 1543+593 (dark blue square), Mrk 205-NGC 4319 (dark blue circle), PG 0804+761-UGC 4238 (black circle), Mrk 876-NGC 6140 (yellow star), PG 0953+414-NGC 3104 (black star), Mrk 290-NGC 5983 (orange circle) and HE 0226-4110-NGC 954 (purple star).
nondetection is found for just $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}$, just O vi or both (see figure caption for details). The circles have radii of 350 kpc and 1 Mpc .

From Figures 18(a) and (c) we can see that at impact parameters $<400 \mathrm{kpc}$ most galaxies have an associated intergalactic line, while nondetections are generally found at larger impact parameters.

Relative to underlying edge-on galaxies, four of the absorbers are relatively close to the galaxy's plane, and of these one has $\Delta v<0$ while lying on the approaching side (toward PKS 2155-304), one has $\Delta v>0$ while lying on the receding side (toward Ton S180), one has the "wrong" sign of the velocity difference (toward Mrk 771), while the detection toward PG $1259+593$ has small $\Delta v$. Four other detections occur away
from the underlying galaxies' plane and have both $\Delta v<0$ and $\Delta v>0$. Thus it appears that in general the absorbers do not have velocities that would fit the rotation curves of the underlying galaxies, a conclusion already reached by Côté et al. (2005), who included PG 1259+593 and Ton S180 in their sample. However, with such a small sample more cases are needed to confirm whether this is generally true. Although the orientations of most of the galaxies in Figure 18(b) are uncertain by $180^{\circ}$, this figure includes about 15 galaxies with associated detections that could lie in an extended flat disk, for about 10 of which we do not yet know the orientation.

For the detections associated with more face-on galaxies there also is no clear pattern to the velocity differences, which range from -68 to $+64 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. Similarly, when looking at all face-on


Figure 19. Histograms of the number of Ly $\alpha$ absorbers in 100 kpc wide bins of impact parameter, separated by the difference in velocity between the absorbers and the associated galaxy. Top panel: absorber velocity more than $20 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ more negative than that of the galaxy; bottom panel: absorber velocity more than $20 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ more positive than that of the galaxy; middle panel: absorber velocity within $20 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ of that of the galaxy. The labels also give the average impact parameter for all absorbers in the histogram.
galaxies (Figure 18(d)), there is no clear pattern to the velocity differences (even though the orientation of most symbols is uncertain by $180^{\circ}$ ). Both red and blue symbols are seen at almost any position angle.

One systematic pattern is suggested by Figures 18(b) and (d). We illustrate it in Figure 19, showing that detections with velocity differences less than $20 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ (the black symbols) on average occur almost twice as close to the associated galaxy (average impact parameter 369 kpc ) as detections having $|\Delta v|>20 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ (average impact parameter $\sim 600 \mathrm{kpc}$ ). All but one of the 20 associations with $\Delta v<20 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ have $\rho<1$ Mpc , whereas all but one of the 33 associations at $\rho>1 \mathrm{Mpc}$ have $\Delta v>20 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. However, this effect has to remain only a suggestion until we can obtain more data. A KS test shows that we can only accept the hypothesis that the distributions differ with $\sim 70 \%$ confidence, i.e., it is about a one sigma effect.

From Figures 18 and 19 we conclude that intergalactic gas knows about the presence of a nearby galaxy, better matching the galaxies' velocities the closer in it is, though the gas apparently does not generally know the direction in which the galaxy rotates.

## 6. DISCUSSION

### 6.1. The Relation Between IGM Absorbers and Galaxies

By combining sightlines analyzed in a number of previous papers aimed at studying low-redshift intergalactic absorption and its relation to galaxies, adding data obtained for other studies, including FUSE data for O vi and concentrating on
just the lowest redshift absorbers and galaxies, we have been able to make progress in understanding the connection between intergalactic gas and galaxies. The advantage of our approach is that we are not limited to finding galaxies with small angular separation to an extragalactic sightline, and in addition our galaxy sample is expected to be complete down to $0.1 L_{*}$ for $v<2500 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. Further, we are able to separately look at field and group galaxies, as there is a consistently defined catalog of galaxy groups for all nearby galaxies.

In previous work arguments have been presented that strong Ly $\alpha$ absorbers are physically associated with galaxies (Lanzetta et al. 1995; Chen et al. 2001; Bowen et al. 2002; Côté et al. 2005), probing their 200-300 kpc radius gaseous halos, while absorbers with larger impact parameters originate in intergalactic filaments. The main arguments in favor of this interpretation are (1) the fact that absorbers with $\Delta v<500$ $\mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ are almost always found for sightlines with galaxy impact parameters below a few hundred kpc and (2) a claimed anti-correlation between impact parameter and Ly $\alpha$ equivalent width. However, the occurrence of Ly $\alpha$ absorbers with low $\Delta v$ at large impact parameters, the fact that not all authors find a correlation between equivalent width and impact parameter and the presence of "void absorbers" (Penton et al. 2002) suggests a more complicated picture, in which (almost) all absorbers trace the large-scale structure of intergalactic gas. Some authors even suggest that absorbers close to galaxies may not be associated with them. Cosmological simulations suggest that the galaxies reside in the denser regions of filaments or sheetlike gaseous structures (Davé et al. 1999). In these simulations the density of gas is highest near galaxies and falls off with radius (i.e., the simulations predict that on average stronger lines occur closer to galaxies), but even far from galaxies there is enough gas to produce Ly $\alpha$ absorption.

Our results support the second model, but also explain the results of the studies that concluded that galaxies have large halos. Supporting the conclusion that Ly $\alpha$ absorbers are related to galaxies we find that the properties of the Ly $\alpha$ absorbers change with impact parameter. (1) The 90th percentile of the linewidth distribution increases from FWHM $\sim 100 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ at $\rho>700 \mathrm{kpc}$ to $\sim 150 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ at $\rho=100 \mathrm{kpc}$ (Section 3.2), although there is a large spread at any impact parameter, while the 10th percentile (widths of $\sim 50 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ) is independent of impact parameter. (2) The 90th percentile of the equivalent width distribution increases from $\sim 100 \mathrm{~m} \AA$ at 1 Mpc to about $500 \mathrm{~m} \AA$ at $\rho=100 \mathrm{kpc}$, while no weak lines $(<100 \mathrm{~mA})$ occur at $\rho$ $<150 \mathrm{kpc}$ (Section 4.7). (3) For impact parameters $<350 \mathrm{kpc}$ to galaxies brighter than $0.1 L_{*}, 100 \%$ of field and $61 \%$ of group galaxies have associated ( $\Delta v<400 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ) Ly $\alpha$ absorption (Sections 5.3.3, 5.3.4). (4) O vi absorption is only found within 500 kpc of luminous ( $L>0.25 L_{*}$ ) galaxies (Section 5.3.6). Thus, on average, stronger and wider Ly $\alpha$ lines occur near galaxies, while almost all luminous galaxies have an associated Ly $\alpha$ absorber.

On the other hand, there is evidence supporting the conclusion that Ly $\alpha$ absorbers originate in intergalactic filaments. (1) About half of the Ly $\alpha$ lines originate more than 400 kpc away from the nearest galaxy (Sections 4.1-4.3). (2) A substantial fraction ( $\sim 20 \%$ ) of absorbers occurs far from ( $>3 \mathrm{Mpc}$ ) the nearest $L_{*}$ galaxy, although few occur far from the nearest $0.1 L_{*}$ galaxyin fact for $v<2500 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ we find an $L>0.1 L_{*}$ galaxy within 1.5 Mpc of every absorber (Section 4.4). (3) About $10 \%$ of strong ( $>200 \mathrm{~m} \AA$ ) Ly $\alpha$ lines occur far ( $>1 \mathrm{Mpc}$ ) from the nearest galaxy (Section 4.7). (4) The fraction of galaxies that
have an associated Ly $\alpha$ line decreases regularly with impact parameter, i.e., there is no break in the distribution of detection fraction (Sections 5.3 and 5.4).

The picture that is most consistent with the absorber properties summarized above is one in which the intergalactic gas filaments are densest near galaxies, with area covering factor near $100 \%$ within about 300 kpc , but these concentrations merge smoothly into a more tenuous IGM that connects the galaxies. This picture works when all galaxies brighter than about $0.1 L_{*}$ are considered and $50 \%$ of the Ly $\alpha$ lines originate within 400 kpc of such a galaxy, $75 \%$ within 1 Mpc . If only galaxies with $L>L_{*}$ were to be taken into account, a different picture would emerge, one in which a little more than half the galaxies have associated gas within 300 kpc , but most ( $80 \%$ ) of the Ly $\alpha$ absorptions originate far $(\rho>400 \mathrm{kpc})$ from $L_{*}$ galaxies.

### 6.2. O VI Absorbers and Thermal Properties of the Gas Near Galaxies

Although we have far fewer Ovi detections than were discussed by Tripp et al. (2008) and Danforth \& Shull (2008) we find similar values for $d N(\mathrm{O} \mathrm{vI}) / d z$ and a basically identical linewidth distribution (Sections 3.2 and 3.3). This leads us to the conclusion that the intergalactic $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{vi}}$ lines seen by Tripp et al. (2008) at redshifts $0.2-0.5$ are likely to have the same relation to galaxies as those we find in the nearby universe. All 14 O vi absorbers in our sample originate within 560 kpc from an $L>0.1 L_{*}$ galaxy, 13 of which originate near an $L>0.25 L_{*}$ galaxy, nine $(65 \% \pm 20 \%)$ occur within 560 kpc of an $L>L_{*}$ galaxy, while six $(40 \% \pm 20 \%)$ lie within 300 kpc of an $L>L_{*}$ galaxy (Sections 3.2 and 5.3.6). Thus, we predict that searches for galaxies near higher redshift O vi absorbers will turn up an $L_{*}$ galaxy within 500 kpc about half the time. At $z=0.08$ this requires a limiting magnitude of about 18.2 , while at $z=0.25 L_{*}$ corresponds to $m \sim 20.8$. We conclude that a proper analysis of the relation between O vi absorbers and galaxies requires galaxy surveys that are about 3 times ( 2.5 mag) deeper than that of Tripp et al. (1998), in order to locate all galaxies brighter than $0.1 L_{*}$.

Three lines of evidence combine to suggest that the denser intergalactic gas near galaxies also is hotter, a property that is predicted by cosmological evolution models (Davé et al. 2001) and is due to the heating of the gas by infall. (1) O vi absorption is only found at impact parameters $<500 \mathrm{kpc}$ from luminous ( $L>0.25 L_{*}$ ) galaxies. Even though for many absorbers the O vi appears to be generated by photoionization, a contribution from collisional ionization is suggested in about half of the cases (Sections 3.2 and 5.3.6). (2) At impact parameters below 700 kpc , the maximum linewidth of Ly $\alpha$ lines increases with decreasing impact parameter (Section 4.6). (3) The fraction of wide $\operatorname{Ly} \alpha$ lines is the largest at $z=0$ (Section 3.4). By itself, item (1) could be explained by the fact that all detected $O$ vi lines have smaller equivalent widths than the $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}$ lines in the same system, so for the weaker $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}$ lines at large impact parameters it would be easier to miss any accompanying O vi. Item (2) might be explained by increasing turbulence in the gas near galaxies or by velocity gradients introduced by tidal stretching, but the linewidths are much larger than would be expected from turbulence or tidal effects; an explanation that we still need to fully exclude is that the broad lines are multicomponent absorbers. Item (3) could be explained if the gas has higher turbulence near galaxies and over time the average impact parameter has decreased. However, in combination with the
modeling, these three items are most consistent with thermal evolution of infalling intergalactic gas.

### 6.3. The Baryon Content of the IGM

Penton et al. (2002) and Danforth \& Shull (2008) combined the count of the number of $\operatorname{Ly} \alpha$ absorbers per unit redshift, the measured column density distribution of Ly $\alpha$ lines with photoionization modeling to derive an estimate of the fraction of baryons in the photoionized Ly $\alpha$ forest. They found a fraction of $29 \% \pm 4 \%$. Using a different sample of sightlines, Lehner et al. (2007) derived a similar value. Since the fraction of baryons inside galaxies is estimated to be $\sim 8 \%$ (Fukugita \& Peebles 2004), the photoionized Ly $\alpha$ forest appears to contain about 3-4 times more baryons than the galaxies. We note that this estimate depends on the assumption that it is the general extragalactic radiation field that does the ionizing. The radiation field will be stronger near galaxies, but for an $L_{*}$ galaxy like the Milky Way, the extragalactic field dominates outside radii of $50-150 \mathrm{kpc}$ (see Figure 9 in Fox et al. 2005), which is where almost all Ly $\alpha$ forest lines originate. Thus, using the extragalactic radiation field to derive the ionization correction is justified, except possibly for the $10 \%$ of the absorbers with $\rho<150 \mathrm{kpc}$.

With $8 \%$ of the baryons inside galaxies, and $30 \%$ in the Ly $\alpha$ forest, the remaining $\sim 60 \%$ of the baryons is suspected to be in the form of hotter $\left(T>10^{5} \mathrm{~K}\right)$ gas, although the observational data supporting this is sparse. For instance, Nicastro et al. (2005) claimed to have detected the $10^{6} \mathrm{~K}$ IGM, but their conclusion is disputed by Kaastra et al. (2006) and Rasmussen et al. (2007). Tripp \& Savage (2000) estimated that about $10 \%$ of the IGM may be traced by O vi absorbers, similar to the number found by Danforth \& Shull (2008) in their much larger survey. Lehner et al. (2007) concluded that $10 \%-20 \%$ of the baryons show themselves as broad Ly $\alpha$ lines.

We find that for $50 \%$ of the Ly $\alpha$ absorbers at $v<2500 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ there is an $L>0.1 L_{*}$ galaxy within $\rho=370 \mathrm{kpc}$ and $\Delta v<$ $400 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ (see Section 4.1). Conversely, $77 \%$ of $L>0.1 L_{*}$ galaxies at $v<2500 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ have a Ly $\alpha$ absorber with $\rho<400$ kpc and $\Delta v<400 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ (see Table 10). Thus, it appears that most galaxies have extended envelopes (halos) of associated photoionized and warm collisionally ionized gas that has been detected via narrow and broad Ly $\alpha$ absorption.

To summarize, $8 \%$ of the baryons is found inside galaxies, $30 \%$ is in the photoionized Ly $\alpha$ forest, $10 \%-20 \%$ may be collisionally ionized and seen as broad Ly $\alpha$ lines, and finally $50 \%$ of the Ly $\alpha$ lines originate within 400 kpc of a luminous galaxy. Therefore, we conclude that the gas out to 400 kpc from $L>0.1 L_{*}$ galaxies seen in Ly $\alpha$ absorption represents $20 \%-25 \%$ of the baryons, i.e., there are at least 3 times as many baryons in the gaseous envelopes ("halos") of the galaxies than there are inside the galaxies. The true baryonic content of these extended halos may be larger by another factor $\sim 2-3$, since the Ly $\alpha$ and Ovi observations are not sensitive to the hot ( $T>10^{6} \mathrm{~K}$ ) phase of the intergalactic gas predicted by the hydrodynamical structure formation simulations. However, the baryonic content of the gas now detected in these extended structures already greatly exceeds the baryonic content of galaxies and these baryons likely play an important role in galaxy evolution.

Since the critical density to have a closed universe $(\Omega=1)$ is $9.2 \times 10^{-30} h_{71}^{2} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$, and since baryons represent $4.6 \%$ of this (Fukugita \& Peebles 2004), the average baryon density is $4.2 \times 10^{-31} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$. We previously found (Section 4.2) that on average the nearest neighbor of an $L_{*}$ galaxy is at 2.1 Mpc , that
of a $0.5 L_{*}$ galaxy at 1.65 Mpc and that of a $0.1 L_{*}$ galaxy at 940 kpc (these values differ from the medians (1.5, 1.2, and 0.6 Mpc , respectively) because the distribution of nearestneighbor distances is skewed). Thus, the average $0.1 L_{*}$ galaxy has $4.8 \times 10^{10} M_{\odot}$ of intergalactic baryons associated with it, every $0.5 L_{*}$ has $2.7 \times 10^{11} M_{\odot}$ and every $L_{*}$ galaxy 5.4 $\times 10^{11} M_{\odot}$. Within 400 kpc the mass of intergalactic baryons associated with each kind of galaxy is about half this amount.

Several lines of evidence support the contention that galaxies have been and are still accreting new material. Sancisi et al. (2008) reviewed this and infer that the observations show a visible (i.e., seen in the form of neutral hydrogen) accretion rate for $L>0.5 L_{*}$ on the order of $0.2 \mathrm{M}_{\odot} \mathrm{yr}^{-1}$. Theoretically, a rate on the order of $1 \mathrm{M}_{\odot} \mathrm{yr}^{-1}$ is expected (e.g., Chiappini et al. 2001), so Sancisi et al. (2008) concluded that a large fraction of the accreting gas is not neutral. With a rate of $1 \mathrm{M}_{\odot} \mathrm{yr}^{-1}$, in 10 Gyr a $0.5 L_{*}$ galaxy would accrete about $10^{10} M_{\odot}$. This is a small fraction of the total amount of intergalactic material associated with it, but a substantial fraction of the material that currently lies within 400 kpc .

Whether or not one should call the intergalactic gas near the galaxies a "halo" or "corona" turns out to be a vague question. Certainly, the gas near galaxies knows about their presence. The gas is affected by them, and probably falling in and heating up. However, the increase in maximum linewidth with decreasing impact parameter may have alternative explanations, such as an increase in the amount of tidal material. Also, there may be alternative sources of heating, such as from the mechanical energy deposited by galaxy outflows. In any case, these "halos" have no firm boundary. They merge smoothly into the more tenuous intergalactic filaments connecting galaxies. The term "corona" might be appropriate for the hotter gas, if further studies could show that the hot gas is more concentrated near galaxies. It is possible that the notion that galaxies have "halos" can be kept if the intergalactic gas generally is kinematically related to the underlying galaxies. However, our first attempt at addressing this possibility suggests that the intergalactic lines do not know about the rotation of the underlying galaxies, which supports the notion that the intergalactic absorption lines originate in filaments connecting galaxies. Yet, absorption is seen much more frequently near galaxies than away from them, at rates approaching $100 \%$ for luminous galaxies. This implies that the galaxies are surrounded by gaseous envelopes.

## 7. CONCLUSIONS

We have analyzed intergalactic absorption lines in HST and FUSE spectra of 76 AGNs, searching for detections and nondetections of absorption near the velocity of nearby ( $v_{\text {gal }}<$ $5000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ) galaxies near those sightlines. Compared to previous studies of this subject, ours has several advantages: (1) the galaxy sample is much larger, (2) at $v_{\mathrm{gal}}<2500 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ the galaxy sample is basically complete down to $0.1 L_{*}$, (3) we can separate the sample into group and field galaxies, (4) for each galaxy we can record nondetections as well as associated detections, (5) we can compare O vi with Hi. Previous studies (Morris et al. 1993; Lanzetta et al. 1995; Tripp et al. 1998;, Impey et al. 1999;, Chen et al. 2001; Bowen et al. 2002; Penton et al. 2002; Côté et al. 2005; Aracil et al. 2006; Prochaska et al. 2006) used smaller and/or less complete samples. Although none of these papers combined all our analyses, they pointed to many of the same conclusions. With our study we are also able to reconcile some apparent contradictions between the conclusions reached in these papers. We now summarize the conclusions,
which are also highlighted at the end of each subsection in Sections 3-5.
(1—see Section 2.3). We have analyzed $52 H S T$ and 63 FUSE spectra of 76 AGN (both QSOs and Seyfert galaxies), and identify a total of 133 intergalactic absorber systems at recession velocities $<6000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. We measure $115 \mathrm{Ly} \alpha, 40$ $\mathrm{Ly} \beta, 13 \mathrm{O}$ vi $\lambda 1031.926$, and 5 O vi $\lambda 1037.617$ lines. Of these systems, 45 are presented for the first time (including $29 \mathrm{Ly} \alpha, 36$ $\operatorname{Ly} \beta$, eight O vi $\lambda 1031.926$, and all five O vi $\lambda 1037.617$ lines). On the other hand, we do not confirm 20 previously published Ly $\alpha$ lines or six previously claimed O vi $\lambda 1031.926$ lines.
(2-see Section 3.2). The properties of the O vi absorbers in our sample generally match those of the larger well-studied sample of Tripp et al. (2008). Since we find that all our absorbers originate within 550 kpc of an $L>0.1 L_{*}$ galaxy, we suggest that this is generally true for intergalactic O vi at low redshift. For eight of the 14 O vi systems we can make some attempt at explaining their origin. In three cases photoionization appears to be the more likely description, while for the other five collisional ionization appears needed to explain the absorber properties.
(3-see Sections 3.2 and 3.3). The distributions of $\mathrm{Ly} \alpha / \mathrm{O} \mathrm{vI} /$ linewidths, $d N(\mathrm{Ly} \alpha) / d z$ and $d N(\mathrm{O} \mathrm{vI}) / d z$ at $z=0.01$ are all similar to the distributions found from studies at redshifts $0-$ 0.5 , suggesting that the relationship between O vi absorbers and galaxies is the same at $z \sim 0$ as at $z \sim 0$ to 0.5 .
(4-see Section 3.4). The fraction of broad Ly $\alpha$ lines is higher in the nearby universe ( $z<0.017$ ) than at higher redshifts, with $55 \%$ of the lines having $b>40 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, compared to $30 \%$ at $\langle z\rangle=0.25$ (look-back time 2.5 Gyr ) and $20 \%$ at $z \sim 2$ (7.5 Gyr ago).
(5-see Section 4.1). For the great majority (96\%) of intergalactic absorbers a galaxy (of any luminosity) can be found with impact parameter $\rho<3 \mathrm{Mpc}$ and velocity difference $\Delta v<$ $400 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. For a large fraction ( $75 \%$ ) there is a galaxy within $400 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and within 1 Mpc , and most of these are brighter than $0.1 L_{*}$. A bright ( $L>L_{*}$ ) galaxy is found within 400 kpc and $200 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ for just $17 \%$ of the Ly $\alpha / \mathrm{Ly} \beta$ lines. Table 9 summarizes the fraction of absorbers for which it is possible to find a galaxy of a given brightness within some impact parameter and velocity difference. This is the complement of Table 10, which gives the fraction of galaxies having an associated Ly $\alpha$ absorber.
(6-see Section 4.2). We analyze the distribution of the nearest neighbor galaxy to an absorber and that of the nearest neighbor galaxy to another galaxy. We find that the median distance between group galaxies brighter than $L>0.1 L_{*}$ is 500 kpc , while the median distance between field galaxies brighter than $L>0.1 L_{*}$ is 1.5 Mpc . For absorbers, the nearest neighbor group galaxy is at a distance of 350 kpc , while in the field the median nearest neighbor galaxy is at 600 kpc . Therefore, we conclude that most Ly $\alpha$ absorbers are associated with galaxies, both in the field and in groups. Previous similar analyses reached the opposite conclusion because they mixed field and group galaxies and only included $L_{*}$ galaxies-the median separation between $L_{*}$ galaxies is 1.1 Mpc , while the median absorber $-L_{*}$ galaxy separation is 1.0 Mpc .
(7-see Section 4.3). For a little more than half of the intergalactic absorbers there is just one galaxy that is likely to be associated with it. For a quarter there either are two equally likely galaxies or there are two absorption lines and two likely galaxies. For about one-eighth of the lines the absorption occurs in a group of galaxies and no unambiguous choice can be made.

In the remaining seven cases the association between an absorber and a galaxy is ambiguous, with two or more galaxies equally likely candidates.
(8-see Section 4.4). We conclude that it depends on the luminosity limit and completeness of the galaxy sample whether an absorber occurs far from the nearest galaxy and can be called a "void absorber." Penton et al. (2002) found a fraction of $22 \% \pm$ $8 \%$ of absorbers occurring more than 3 Mpc from an $L_{*}$ galaxy, while we find $17 \% \pm 4 \%$ using these criteria for our full $v_{\mathrm{gal}}<$ $5000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ sample. However, an $L>0.1 L_{*}$ galaxy is found within 1.5 Mpc of each absorber with $v<2500 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, where our galaxy sample is complete down to that luminosity limit. Further, just $7 \%$ of the absorbers with $v<2500 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ occur more than 3 Mpc from an $L_{*}$ galaxy.
(9—see Section 4.5). For unambiguous associations, the difference in velocity between the intergalactic absorption and the galaxy's systemic velocity ranges from -118 to $147 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, with a dispersion of $60 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. For all associations the range is -443 to $+349 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, although $|\Delta v|>300 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ for just four of the associations that we make (see Section 4.3).
(10-see Section 4.6). At impact parameters less than about 500 kpc the width of the Ly $\alpha$ lines increases with decreasing impact parameter. This is unlikely to be caused by kinematical broadening due to projection effects. We cannot completely exclude that turbulent motions are responsible, but the most likely explanation is that there is an increase in the temperature of the gas within a few hundred kpc of galaxies. Such heating inside gravitational wells is predicted in hydrodynamical simulations of structure formation in the universe (Cen \& Ostriker 1999; Davé et al. 2001; Cen \& Fang 2006).
(11-see Section 4.7). Previous studies of the relation between Ly $\alpha$ equivalent width and impact parameter have led to conflicting conclusions. Some authors concluded that there is a strong anti-correlation, others concluded that there is none. We find that some of the confusion is due to the usage of $\log -\log$ plots as well as to the fact that different ranges in impact parameter are included in different studies. We find that at any impact parameter there is a wide range in equivalent widths. However, the strength of the strongest line does anti-correlate with impact parameter, becoming progressively higher at lower impact parameter. We find that $80 \%$ of strong ( $W>300 \mathrm{~m} \AA$ ) lines occur within 600 kpc of a galaxy, while $70 \%$ originate within 350 kpc . On the other hand, weak lines only occur far from galaxies, and the weaker the line, the larger the minimum impact parameter; specifically all Ly $\alpha$ lines with $W<25 \mathrm{~m} \AA$ have $\rho>0.75 / 2.5 \mathrm{Mpc}$ to the nearest $0.1 / 1.0 L_{*}$ galaxy, while all Ly $\alpha$ lines with $W<50 \mathrm{~m} \AA$ have $\rho>0.3 / 1.0 \mathrm{Mpc}$. Thus, we conclude that there are patterns in the relation between Ly $\alpha$ equivalent width and impact parameter, but there is no simple one-to-one correspondence.
(12-see Section 5.1). We conclude that total Ly $\alpha$ equivalent width in windows $500-1000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ wide around detections does not directly correlate with the density of galaxies in cylinders of radii of $500-2000 \mathrm{kpc}$ and velocity depths of $500-1000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. However, we do find that for a given density of galaxies the largest equivalent width found does change with density, such that at a density of 1 galaxy per $\mathrm{Mpc}^{3}$ the equivalent width in a $500 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ range can reach $3 \AA$, whereas at a density of 0.01 galaxy per $\mathrm{Mpc}^{3}$ the maximum equivalent width in a $500 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ range is 300 m Å.
(13-see Section 5.2). For $100 \%$ of $L>0.1 L_{*}$ galaxies it is possible to find a $>50 \mathrm{~m} \AA \mathrm{Ly} \alpha$ line with impact parameter
$<400 \mathrm{kpc}$ and velocity difference $\Delta v<1000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, while the percentage is $80 \%$ for $\Delta v<400 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. Strong lines $(>300$ mA ) are found within 400 kpc and $400 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ for about $50 \%$ of the galaxies. At impact parameters $<1 \mathrm{Mpc}$ strong lines with $\Delta v<400 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ are found for about $25 \%$ of the $L>0.1 L_{*}$ galaxies, while a line with $W>50 \mathrm{~m} \AA$ is seen for $50 \%$ of such galaxies. Table 10 summarizes the fraction of galaxies for which it is possible to find an absorber of a given equivalent width within some impact parameter and velocity difference. This is the complement of Table 9.
(14—see Sections 5.3.3 and 5.3.4). Using the individually determined associations listed in Table 3, we find that $100 \%$ (seven of seven) of field and $60 \%$ (13 of 21) of group galaxies brighter than $0.1 L_{*}$ and with velocity $<2500 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ have an associated Ly $\alpha$ absorber at impact parameter $<350 \mathrm{kpc}$. The fraction of galaxies with associated absorbers decreases monotonically to about 0 at $\rho \sim 1500 \mathrm{kpc}$. Similarly, about $50 \%$ of galaxy groups are found to have associated Ly $\alpha$ absorption.
(15-see Section 5.3.6). At impact parameters $<350 \mathrm{kpc}$ associated $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{vI}}$ is detected for about $67 \%$ (4 of 6) of field galaxies with $v_{\mathrm{gal}}<2500 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ brighter than $0.1 L_{*}$. Only $8 \%$ ( 1 of 13) of such group galaxies are found to have associated O vi. Only one field galaxy has associated O vi at $\rho>300 \mathrm{kpc}$, but the impact parameter for 3 of the 5 O vi lines associated with a bright group galaxy is $300-450 \mathrm{kpc}$.
(16-see Section 5.4). Our sample includes four edge-on galaxies for which we know which side is approaching/receding and which have an intergalactic absorber with impact parameter $<350 \mathrm{kpc}$ that lies near the extended plane of the galaxies. We do not find a correlation between the difference in velocity between the absorber and the galaxy, suggesting that the intergalactic gas does not know about the rotation of the underlying galaxy. However, we need to confirm this disconnect using more examples.
(17) We can combine conclusions (2), (3) and (15) to imply that many intergalactic O vi lines originate in photoionized gas within 500 kpc of bright $\left(L>0.1 L_{*}\right)$ galaxies. As is the case in larger and more redshifted samples of O vi absorbers, there are cases in which the $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{V}}$ lines have similar widths, but also cases where broader Hi lines are seen. It is not clear yet, however, whether these broad lines are single- or multicomponent absorbers.
(18) Conclusions (3), (4), and (10) suggest that there is an increase in the temperature of the gas within a few hundred kpc of galaxies, although we cannot clearly exclude alternative explanations such as an increase in the amount line broadening caused by an increase in the amount of tidal material with highvelocity gradients. However, heating inside gravitational wells is predicted in hydrodynamical simulations of structure formation in the universe (Cen \& Ostriker 1999; Davé et al. 2001; Cen \& Fang 2006).
(19) Combining conclusions (5)-(9) implies that intergalactic $\mathrm{Ly} \alpha / \mathrm{Ly} \beta$ and O vi absorbers are associated with galaxies. For the parts of parameter space where our sample is complete, we show that an $L>0.1 L_{*}$ galaxy can be found within 1.5 Mpc of each absorber, while each $L>0.1 L_{*}$ field galaxy has an associated absorber within 350 kpc . About half of the $L>$ $0.1 L_{*}$ group galaxies have an associated absorber within 350 kpc , while associated Ly $\alpha$ absorption is found for about $50 \%$ of the galaxy groups.
(20) Conclusions (11)-(14) show that weak lines are seen at all impact parameters, but strong lines above a given equiv-
alent width limit only occur below a given impact parameter. Similarly, the strongest lines occur where the galaxy density is highest, although weak lines also occur in high-density regions. This suggests that denser patches of intergalactic gas are more often found closer to galaxies than at large impact parameter.
(21) All the arguments summarized above can be reconciled if galaxies have gaseous envelopes ("halos") that are several hundred kpc in radius, smoothly connecting to intergalactic filaments. These halos consist of intergalactic gas that is in the process of falling in toward the galaxies and possibly heating up as it falls, but the gas has not yet taken on the kinematics of those galaxies. The baryonic content of this photoionized and warm collisionally ionized gas located within 400 kpc of galaxies exceeds by a factor $\sim 2-4$ the baryonic content of the galaxies. This gas likely plays a crucial role in the evolution of galaxies.

The data in this paper were obtained with the NASA-CNESCSA Far Ultraviolet Spectroscopic Explore, FUSE, operated for NASA by The Johns Hopkins University under NASA contract NAS5-32985 and with the NASA ESA Hubble Space Telescope, at the Space Telescope Science Institute, which is operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Inc., under NASA contract NAS5-26555. Spectra were retrieved from the Multimission Archive (MAST) at STScI. The study made use of the NASA/IPAC Extragalactic Database (NED), which is operated by the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, under contract with NASA. Over the course of this study, B.P.W. was supported by NASA grants NNG04GA39G, NNG06GG39G (FUSE), GO-00754.01A (STScI) and NNX07AH42G (ADP). B.D.S. was supported by grants NAS5-31248 (NASA/FUSE) and MSN111587 from the University of Colorado (NASA Cosmic Origins Spectrograph).

## APPENDIX A

Here we present notes on individual sightlines. We refer to Figure 8 and Table 3 for even more details. The notes often give the parameters of a galaxy near the line of sight, in the format, e.g., ( $v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=925,13.4,140$ ). These three numbers give the systemic velocity $(v)$ in $\mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$, diameter at 25 th magnitude surface brightness $(D)$ in kpc, and impact parameter $(\rho)$ in kpc.

1H 0419-577-Although there is just a FUSE spectrum of this target, and it has low $S / N(\sim 5)$, it is included in the sample because the impact parameter to NGC 1574 ( $v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=925$, $13.4,140$ ) is low. A strong $\mathrm{Ly} \beta$ and a possible $\mathrm{Ly} \gamma$ line are seen at $1112 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. There is a hint of O vi $\lambda 1031.926$ absorption, but only at the $2 \sigma$ level. The confirming O vi $\lambda 1037.617$ line is hidden in geocoronal $\mathrm{OI}^{*}$ emission, and the orbital-night-only data have no signal. Table 3 lists NGC 1574 as the associated galaxy because it is the northernmost galaxy in the LGG 112 $\left(\langle v\rangle=1095 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right.$ ) group, and 1H 0419-577 lies only 140 kpc from it (see the filled square in Figure 8(1)). However, the other group galaxies also have fairly low impact parameters, between 442 and 627 kpc , while a small galaxy (LSBG F157-081; $v_{\mathrm{gal}}$, $D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=1215,3.7,70$ ) is somewhat closer. We choose to list NGC 1574 as the associated galaxy, because it has smaller $\rho / D_{\text {gal }}$ (10) than LSBG F157-081 (19), but we could also have interpreted the absorption as a general group detection.

Two more galaxy groups lie near the sightline, and like LGG 112 these groups are quite well defined. Nondetections are listed for LGG 119 ( $v_{\mathrm{gal}}=1095 \mathrm{kpc}$, nearest galaxy ESO 118G34 at 700 kpc ) and LGG $114\left(v_{\mathrm{gal}}=1481 \mathrm{kpc}\right.$, nearest galaxy APMBGC157+016+068 at 317 kpc ). A final nondetection entry
is given in Table 3 for NGC $1533\left(v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=790,17.7,396\right)$, as it does not belong to any of the groups.

1H 0707-495-The feature listed as Ly $\beta$ at $1302 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ is associated with ESO 207-G09 ( $v_{\mathrm{gal}}, D_{\mathrm{gal}}, \rho=1029$, 8.0, 482), but needs confirmation with an observation of Ly $\alpha$. The association is relatively unambiguous (see Figure 8(2)). A galaxy with unknown velocity (ESO 207-G31) could have $\rho \sim 370 \mathrm{kpc}$, if its velocity is similar to that of the $\operatorname{Ly} \beta$ line.
$1 H 0717+714$-This sightline passes close to several galaxies in the LGG 141 group $\left(\langle v\rangle=3001 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$, with UGC 3804 being the closest at 199 kpc (the filled square in Figure 8(3)). UGC 3921, UGC 3940 and IC 2184 have velocities similar to those of the group galaxies $\left(2475,2462\right.$, and $3605 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, respectively), but lie outside the group on the sky, and are thus listed separately in Table 3.

No Ly $\alpha$ data exist for this sightline, making the analysis more difficult. However, there is a feature that is likely to be $\operatorname{Ly} \beta$ at $2888 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. On the other hand, it is possible that this feature is a weak $\mathrm{C}_{\text {II }}$ line at $v_{\mathrm{LSR}}=-200 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ associated with the nearby $\left(<1^{\circ}\right)$ HVC complex A. No $21 \mathrm{~cm} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}$ emission is detected at this velocity in the direction of $1 \mathrm{H} 0717+714$, but if we interpret the absorption as C II, its strength suggests $N(\mathrm{HI}) \sim 4 \times 10^{17} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2}$, which is below the 21 cm detection limit. An interpretation as $\mathrm{C}_{\text {II }}$ is not supported by the higher Lyman lines, as there is no evidence for a component at $-200 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. Further, complex A has velocities of $\sim-160 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ nearest $1 \mathrm{H} 0717+714$, while velocities of $\sim-200 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ are seen only $3^{\circ}$ or more away.

Further arguing in favor of interpreting the feature as $\operatorname{Ly} \beta$ is the clear $66 \pm 15 \pm 9 \mathrm{~m} \AA$ absorption feature at $2914 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ on the O vi $\lambda 1031.926$ velocity scale. In the combined orbital day plus orbital night data this is blended with geocoronal $\mathrm{OI}^{*}$ emission, but it is very clear in the orbital-night-only data. This feature could be Ly $\beta$ at $4750 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, but there are no known galaxies near that velocity. It is more likely that it is redshifted O vi $\lambda 1031.926$ matching the $\operatorname{Ly} \beta$ line. The only problem with this interpretation is that the corresponding O vi $\lambda 1037.617$ line is not clearly visible. Considering the errors on the probable O vi $\lambda 1031.926$ feature, the equivalent width of the other O vi line is expected to be between 25 and $40 \mathrm{~m} \AA$. Since the detection limit is $23 \mathrm{~m} \AA$, the apparent nondetection is not too problematic, but data with higher $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ are sorely needed (they were approved, but not executed before FUSE was decommissioned).

3C 232-With Mrk 205, this is one of the two targets with good data that lies behind the disk of a nearby galaxy, NGC 3067 ( $v_{\mathrm{gal}}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=1476,17.0,14$ ) in the case of 3C 232. A very strong Ly $\alpha$ line $\left(N(H \mathrm{I})=8 \times 10^{19} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2}\right)$ is detected in the GHRS spectra of 3C 232 , as are many other ions ( $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}, \mathrm{C}_{\text {II, }}$ C iv,
 analyzed in detail by Tumlinson et al. (1999) and Keeney et al. (2005). Unfortunately, the FUV flux of 3C 232 is too low ( 0.5 $\times 10^{-14} \mathrm{erg} \mathrm{cm}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \AA^{-1}$ ) to obtain a useful FUSE spectrum.

In addition to NGC 3067, there are 16 other galaxies in the GH $50\left(\langle v\rangle=1442 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ group with impact parameter between 427 and 1000 Mpc . If any of these have associated absorption, it will be hidden by the strong line originating in NGC 3067.

There are three galaxies with sufficiently different velocity to warrant separate entries in Table 3: UGC $5272\left(v_{\mathrm{gal}}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho\right.$ $=520,6.5,359)$, UGC $5340\left(v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=503,8.3,660\right)$, and Mrk $412\left(v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=4479,11.7,196\right)$. These are not discussed by Tumlinson et al. (1999) and Keeney et al. (2005). Any Ly $\alpha$ absorption near $520 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ is obscured by the Galactic Ly $\alpha$ line, however. There is a clear feature that is probably Ly $\alpha$
at $4526 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, and that can be associated with Mrk 412, which is the only known galaxy with similar velocity; see the filled symbol in Figure 8(5).
$3 C 249.1$-One possible $3 \sigma \mathrm{Ly} \alpha$ line is found at $1861 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. There are four galaxies with similar velocity and impact parameter near this velocity: UGC $5854\left(v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=1808,7.7\right.$, 505), UGC 5841 ( $v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=1766,12.2,538$ ), NGC 3329 $\left(v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=1812,14.3,543\right)$ and UGC $5814\left(v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho\right.$ $=1881,13.3,641$ ), see Figure 8(6). In Table 3 the absorption line is associated with the largest of these three (NGC 3329), but this is an arbitrary choice. For the other galaxies near this velocity nondetections are listed.

The velocity of the galaxy with the smallest angular distance (UGC 6065) has not yet been measured. It has an impact parameter of $238\left(v_{\text {gal }} / 4000\right) \mathrm{kpc}$, and diameter of $25\left(v_{\text {gal }} / 4000\right)$ kpc . So, if this galaxy had a velocity like that of the Ly $\alpha$ line at $1861 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, its diameter would be similar to those of the other five galaxies near this velocity, but it would have an impact parameter of only $\sim 107 \mathrm{kpc}$, and it would be listed as the galaxy associated with the Ly $\alpha$ line.

3C 263-As many as 17 galaxies with velocity near about $1100 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ lie within 1 Mpc of this sightline. For five of these the ratio $\rho / D_{\text {gal }}$ is less than 125 , and these are the ones listed in Table 3: NGC 3682 ( $v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=1532,11.8,626$ ), UGC 6448 ( $v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=991,5.1,643$ ), UGC $6390\left(v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=1008\right.$, $10.3,634)$, NGC $3945\left(v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=1220,15.6,950\right)$ and UGC 6534 ( $v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=1273,15.2,955$ ). No absorption is seen near their velocities.

3C 273.0-In this spectrum there are four Ly $\alpha$ lines with $v<$ $6000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. Two (at 1010 and $1580 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ) are strong, two (at $2160,2274 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ) are weak. This sightline was analyzed in great detail by Sembach et al. (2001) and Tripp et al. (2002), and it was included in the sample of Penton et al. (2000a, 2000b). The equivalent widths and velocities all agree between these papers and Table 3. The two strong lines are associated with the Virgo cluster and assigning it to any individual galaxy (if any) would be very ambiguous. The LGG catalog splits the galaxies near this sightline into two groups-LGG $287(\langle v\rangle=1655$ $\mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ ) and LGG $292\left(\langle v\rangle=938 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$, see Figure 8(7)/(8). The impact parameters ( 191 and 311 kpc ) listed in Table 3 correspond to the nearest galaxy that has a velocity within $\pm 200 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ of the Ly $\alpha$ detection. The probable detection of O vi at $1008 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ was previously reported in three other papers. However, the three papers disagree on the value of the equivalent width. Sembach et al. (2001) reported $26 \pm 10 \mathrm{~m} \AA$, Danforth et al. (2006) gave $35 \pm 6 \mathrm{~mA}$, Tripp et al. (2008) listed $31 \pm 7 \mathrm{~m} \AA$, while we find $21 \pm 3 \pm 7 \mathrm{~m} \AA$. Our value is smaller than any of the others because we correct for the $5 \mathrm{~m} \AA$ contribution of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~L}(6-0) \mathrm{P}(4) \lambda 1035.181$ line, unlike the other authors. Taking this into account, we agree with Sembach et al. (2001).

Sembach et al. (2001) also reported an O vi $\lambda 1037.617$ absorption to go with the $1580 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \mathrm{Ly} \alpha$ line. However, the detailed modeling of the $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ lines shows that this is actually Galactic $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~L}(5-0) \mathrm{R}(3) \lambda 1041.158$, as can be seen in Figure 2.

For the two weak components, there are two galaxies with $v_{\text {gal }}$ between 2000 and $2500 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. UGC $7625\left(v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}\right.$, $\rho=2234,9.6,771$ ) has a large impact parameter, while 2MASX J122815.85+024202.5 ( $v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=2286,5.0,429$ ) is closer to the AGN. Both galaxies have almost the same ratio of $\rho / D_{\text {gal }}$. In Table 3 the Ly $\alpha$ component at 2274 is (somewhat arbitrarily) associated with 2MASX J122815.85+024202.5, and the one at $2160 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ with UGC 7625. This results in $\Delta v=-12$ and $+74 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ instead of -126 and $-40 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, respectively.

3C 351.0-As there is a Lyman-limit system at $z=0.22$ toward this sightline, there is no flux below $1112 \AA$, and the $\mathrm{Ly} \beta$ and O vi lines cannot be checked. Good Ly $\alpha$ data exist, however. The intrinsic absorption system at $z=0.3721$ was studied by Yuan et al. (2002).

There are 12 galaxies with $v_{\text {gal }}$ between 3012 and $3855 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ within 1 Mpc of this target (see Figure 8(10)). Just four of these (NGC 6292, NGC 6306, NGC 6307, NGC 6310) are included in the RC3. Within $5 \mathrm{deg}(3 \mathrm{Mpc})$ of 3C 351.0 there are tens of galaxies whose velocities cluster around $3300 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, with a range from 2500 to $4000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. Garcia (1993) did not define these galaxies as a group, probably because not all radial velocities had been measured at the time. However, there is clearly a group in this part of the sky, and we identify it as LGG179A in Table 3, as its right ascension lies between that of LGG179 and LGG180.

Two strong Ly $\alpha$ features are found near these velocities, at 3598 and $3465 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. The velocities and impact parameters of the galaxies are such that Table 3 associates the detection at $3598 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ with Mrk $892\left(v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=3617,10.2,170\right.$, the smaller filled square in Figure 8(10)). The one at $3465 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ is listed as associated with NGC $6292\left(v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=3411,22.1\right.$, 314), which has the smallest velocity difference (see the larger filled square in Figure 8(10)). NGC 6310 ( $v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=3386$, $28.5,402$, large open square with plus next to larger black square in Figure 8(10)) has the same $\rho / D_{\text {gal }}$ ratio as NGC 6292, but a nondetection is listed because of the larger impact parameter and larger velocity difference with the absorption. Of the other nine galaxies, six have velocity between 3012 and $3350 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, and three lie between 3736 and $3855 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. All are fairly large ( $>7 \mathrm{kpc}$ ) and a nondetection is given in Table 3 for each of these.

Two galaxies with different velocity have small impact parameters and are included separately in Table 3: UGC 10770 ( $v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=1108,5.9,531$ ) and SDSS J170349.45+601806.1 ( $v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=5183,6.6,594$ ). Ly $\alpha$ absorption at $5175 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ is associated with the SDSS galaxy (not shown in Figure 8 because $v>5000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ).

ESO 141-G55-Several galaxies have impact parameters between 400 kpc and 1 Mpc , concentrated around three velocities. IC 4824 and ESO 141-G42 have $v_{\text {gal }}=953$ and $935 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, and lie close together at 769 and 790 kpc impact parameter. IC 4826 and IC 4819 have similar velocity ( 1925 and $1841 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ), and similar impact parameter ( 865 and 868 kpc ), but lie in opposite directions from ESO 141-G55, so separate entries are given in Table 3. This is also the case for ESO 141-G51 ( $v_{\text {gal }}$, $\left.D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=3497,14.2,410\right)$ and IC 4843/ESO 141-G46 ( $v_{\text {gal }}$ $=3975$ and $4079 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}, \rho=662$ and 878 kpc ). No Ly $\alpha$ or O vi absorption is seen in the spectrum of ESO 141-G55. Penton et al. $(2000 \mathrm{a}, 2000 \mathrm{~b})$ listed $1.5 \sigma(12 \pm 8 \mathrm{~m} \AA)$ features near 8449 and $9078 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. However, these are likely to be spurious.

ESO 185-IG13-This nearby galaxy ( $v_{\mathrm{gal}}=5600 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ) is the only AGN in the sample with $v_{\text {gal }}<7000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. In spite of this and in spite of its low-S/N (4.1) FUSE spectrum it is included because the impact parameter to IC $4889\left(v_{\text {gal }}=2528 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ is just 62 kpc and very strong $\mathrm{Ly} \beta, \mathrm{Ly} \gamma, \mathrm{C}$ iII, and O vi are seen. Follow-up FUSE observations were approved twice, but never executed. Nondetections are listed for three other galaxies: IC 4888, 2MASXJ 194221.91-550627.5 and ES0 185-G03. The last two have large impact parameter ( 672 and 985 kpc ) and $v_{\text {gal }} \sim 3000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, and only $\sim 90 \mathrm{~m} \AA$ O vi $\lambda 1031.926$ upper limits are given. Like IC 4889, IC 4888 has low impact parameter
( 123 kpc ), and almost the same velocity, but its diameter is onethird that of IC 4889.

ESO 438-G09-Only a STIS-G140M spectrum is available for this target. It lies behind the LGG 230 group, with nine galaxies clustering around $1425 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ (see Figure 8(12)). ESO 438-G05 is the nearest, with an impact parameter of 173 kpc . A very strong Ly $\alpha$ line is seen at $1426 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, which is associated with the group in Table 3. Bowen et al. (2002) previously listed this line, and associated it with UGCA 226 ( $v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=1500,19.9,178$ ). However, there are several other nearby group galaxies: ESO 437-G05 ( $v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=$ $1507,19.2,173$ ), ESO 438-G12 ( $v_{\mathrm{gal}}, D_{\mathrm{gal}}, \rho=1322,6.8,245$ ), and ESO 438-G10 ( $v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=1487,9.6,248$ ). There is no reason to prefer associating the absorption with any particular one of these.

An additional Ly $\alpha$ line at $2215 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ (also first listed by Bowen et al. 2002) is not considered as originating in the group, because of the large velocity difference and because the group galaxies have velocities ranging from 1230 to $1517 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. Instead it is listed under 2MASX J111343.40-274328.8 ( $v_{\mathrm{gal}}$, $D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=2100,4.8,577 \mathrm{kpc}$ ), which is the only candidate galaxy with $\rho<900 \mathrm{kpc}$.

Fairall 9—Although this sightline passes relatively close to the LGG $19 \operatorname{group}\left(\langle v\rangle=5035 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$, the two nearest galaxies in that group (NGC 484, ESO 113-G35) have large impact parameters $(735,799 \mathrm{kpc})$, and no absorption is seen. Penton et al. (2000a, 2000b) reported on this sightline, and only list absorbers at $v>5000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$.

H 1821+643-This sightline lies at relatively low galactic latitude $\left(27^{\circ}\right)$, and Galactic extinction clearly influences the number of bright galaxies that are visible near it. Only four small galaxies with $v_{\text {gal }}<6000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ are known within 1.5 Mpc of the sightline, and two of these have $\rho / D_{\text {gal }}>125$. That leaves NGC $6690\left(v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=488,8.7,858\right)$, which is included in Table 3. Penton et al. (2000a, 2000b) list no absorption lines below $6000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, mostly because their GHRS spectrum does not extend below $4500 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. The STIS-E140M spectrum shows two low-redshift Ly $\alpha$ absorbers, at 2836 and $4084 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ for which the nearest galaxies with similar velocities have $\rho \sim 2.5 \mathrm{Mpc}$. The line at $2836 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ is the weakest line in our sample ( $17 \pm 5 \mathrm{~m} \AA$ ). In addition, there is a Ly $\alpha$ line at $5253 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, but no galaxies with similar velocity are known with impact parameter $<3 \mathrm{Mpc}$.

Low-redshift O vi absorbers (at $z=0.22497$ ) were first discovered by Savage et al. (1998) and Tripp et al. (2000) in this sightline.

HE 0226-4110-Lehner al. (2006) analyzed the IGM absorption in this sightline. They listed the system at 5235 $\mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$, which includes $\mathrm{Ly} \alpha$ and both O vi lines. However, the O vi $\lambda 1031.926$ line is contaminated by interstellar $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~L}(4-$ 0) $\mathrm{R}(1) \lambda 1049.960$. Nevertheless, the very good $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ model for this sightline (see Wakker 2006) shows that not all of this feature can be $\mathrm{H}_{2}$, and about half is likely to be O vi, centered at $5240 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. Unfortunately, the corresponding O vi $\lambda 1037.617$ line is contaminated by O vi $\lambda 787.711$ at $z=0.3406$ (see Lehner et al. 2006). Aiding the interpretation is the possible detection of weak C $_{\text {III }}(23 \pm 9 \mathrm{~mA})$ and C iv ( $39 \pm 11 \mathrm{~m} \AA$ ) absorption. The lines can be associated with NGC $954\left(v_{\text {gal }}=\right.$ $5353 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ), which has impact parameter 562 kpc , and which belongs to the LGG 62 group. If this interpretation is correct, it is the O vi detection with the largest impact parameter. We note that the galaxy survey in this region of sky is relatively good: the RC3 includes three galaxies with $\rho<1.5 \mathrm{Mpc}$, and

NED lists four more, including three low-surface brightness galaxies.

There may be a weak $(\sim 2 \sigma)$ Ly $\alpha$ line at $1413 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, near the velocity of NGC 986A. This feature was not considered significant by Lehner et al. (2006), and we also list it as a nondetection in Table 3.

On the other hand, Danforth \& Shull (2008) claimed an absorption line at $3642 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ that was not found by Lehner et al. (2006). The nearest galaxy within $400 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ has an impact parameter of 1.5 Mpc . We measured this feature as $22 \pm 10 \mathrm{~m} \AA$, and decided that it is a noise fluctuation, just like some other similar-looking features near it.

HE 0340-2703-The STIS-G140M spectrum of this target shows intrinsic $\operatorname{Ly} \gamma, \operatorname{Ly} \delta, \operatorname{Ly} \epsilon, \mathrm{S}$ vi $\lambda \lambda 933.378$, $944.523(z=$ 0.2830 ), as well as $\mathrm{Ly} \beta$, O vi $\lambda 1031.926$, and O vi $\lambda 1037.617$ at $z=0.1655$. In addition to these lines there are three features that are probably Ly $\alpha$ at 1361,1785 , and $4100 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, although there is a chance that they are $\mathrm{Ly} \beta$ or $\mathrm{Ly} \gamma$ at higher redshift.

Assuming that these are $\operatorname{Ly} \alpha$, we associate the feature at $1785 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ with NGC $1412\left(v_{\mathrm{gal}}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=1790,12.3,167\right)$, although ESO 483-G32 ( $v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=1756,9.8,152$ ) (for which we list a nondetection) is just as a likely a candidate (see the adjacent filled and open circles in Figure 8(17)). Several other galaxies with $|\Delta v|<400 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and $\rho=1 \mathrm{Mpc}$ can be found, but as Figure 8(17) shows, NGC 1412 or ESO 483-G32 is the most likely associated galaxy. Table 3 lists a separate nondetection for 6dF J0342278-260243 ( $v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=1738$, $3.2,325$ ), the one remaining galaxy with $\rho / D_{\text {gal }}<125$.

For the line at $4100 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ there are two candidates with large $\rho$ (shown by a large filled circle and a smaller open overlapping circle in Figure 8(18)): 2MASX J034134.24-27491.87 ( $v_{\text {gal }}$, $D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=4125,10.9,913$ ) and ESO 419-G03 ( $v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=$ $4109,26.9,942)$. We choose the largest of these as the associated galaxy in Table 3, giving a nondetection for the other.

The Ly $\alpha$ line at $1361 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ can be associated with the large galaxy NGC 1398 ( $v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=1407,29.8,244$ ). The sightline passes near the LGG 97 group, whose center lies about $5^{\circ}(\sim 1.6$ Mpc ) to the west of the QSO. The 32 group members defined by Garcia (1993) include two galaxies with $\rho<1 \mathrm{Mpc}$ : NGC 1371 $\left(v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=1471,30.6,838\right)$ and NGC $1385\left(v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho\right.$ $=1493,18.4,861)$. There are another five galaxies with $\rho<$ 1 Mpc to the west of the QSO which were not included in the group, but which have a velocity within $100 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ of that of the group galaxies: NGC $1398\left(v_{\text {gal }}=1407 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}, \rho=244 \mathrm{kpc}\right)$, ESO 482-G46 ( $\left.v_{\text {gal }}=1525 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}, \rho=543 \mathrm{kpc}\right)$, ESO 482G39 ( $\left.v_{\text {gal }}=1381 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}, \rho=588 \mathrm{kpc}\right)$, ESO 482-G11 ( $v_{\text {gal }}=$ $\left.1595 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}, \rho=646 \mathrm{kpc}\right)$, and ESO 482-G06 ( $v_{\mathrm{gal}}=1535, \rho$ $=705 \mathrm{kpc}$ ).

HE 1029-1401—There are high-S/N (~28) STIS-G140M data for this bright target, but no FUSE observation was done. Three Ly $\alpha$ lines are found, at 2004, 2457, and $4567 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, as reported by Penton et al. (2004). The middle one of these can be associated with the galaxy 6dF J1033307-144736 ( $v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}$, $\rho=2475,10.1,427$ ). For the other two the nearest galaxies have impact parameter $>1 \mathrm{Mpc}: \mathrm{MCG}-2-27-1\left(v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho\right.$ $=2028,13.9,1065)$ and MCG-2-27-9 ( $v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=4529$, 377, 1035) (triangles in Figure 8(19)/(21)).

HE 1143-1810-Several galaxies have impact parameter $<1 \mathrm{Mpc}$, concentrating around three velocities. First there are ESO 571-G18 ( $v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=1391,7.5$ 368), [KKS2000] 25 $\left(v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=1227,7.4,437\right)$ and NGC $3887\left(v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=\right.$ $1209,20.2,596)$. A second group is formed by ESO 572-G06 $\left(v_{\mathrm{gal}}, D_{\mathrm{gal}}, \rho=1737,9.9,811\right)$, ESO 572-G09 ( $v_{\mathrm{gal}}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=$
$1737,12.9,884 \mathrm{kpc})$, and ESO $572-\mathrm{G} 07$ ( $v_{\mathrm{gal}}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=1466$, $9.3,976$ ) which are part of the LGG 263 group. Finally, there is ESO 571-G16 ( $v_{\mathrm{gal}}, D_{\mathrm{gal}}, \rho=3637,26.8,851$ ). There is no $\operatorname{Ly} \beta$ detected, so we list a nondetection for each of these galaxies in Table 3. There is no data allowing us to search for Ly $\alpha$ lines.

HE $1228+0131$-This is a sightline with low-S/N STISE140M and FUSE data ( $\sim 5$ ) that passes through the Virgo cluster. At velocities below $2000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, galaxies in two groups lie near it. For $v_{\text {gal }}=700-1200 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ the nearest galaxy is part of LGG 292: MCG0-32-16 with $v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=1105$, $6.3,131$. Although five more small galaxies have smaller impact parameter, Table 3 lists NGC 4517 ( $v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=1121,53.8$, 383), because it is so large. No absorption is found in the 700$1200 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ velocity range.

There are 36 galaxies in the velocity range $1250-1850 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, which spans the velocities of the galaxies in the LGG 287 group $\left(\langle v\rangle=1655 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$. Two large galaxies are among the five with the lowest impact parameters: NGC $4536\left(v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho\right.$ $=1804,32.9,338)$ and NGC 4517A ( $v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=1530$, $32.3,466)$. No other large galaxy has impact parameter $<700$ kpc (see Figure 8(22)). The two absorption lines at 1482 and $1700 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ are listed as generic group absorption in Table 3. Both were previously reported by Penton et al. (2000a, 2000b). These authors actually listed three lines, at 1666,1745 , and $1860 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, but the spectrum is too noisy to support splitting the strong Ly $\alpha$ line into separate components at 1666 and $1745 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, and the claimed $1860 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ detection may well be noise. The latter system has a very strong Ly $\alpha$ line, and Ly $\gamma$ through at least Ly $\zeta$ are seen, as are $\mathrm{C}_{\text {iII }}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{I}}$, but not O vi, although that may be because of the low $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ of the data. The Ly $\beta$ line of this system is contaminated both by Milky Way O vi $\lambda 1031.926$ and by intrinsic S vi absorption.

Rosenberg et al. (2003) discussed this sightline, analyzing the metal-line absorption and estimating absorber sizes. They also considered the galaxies with the lowest impact parameters and concluded that it is more likely that they are associated with gaseous filaments than with individual galaxies.

There is a third Ly $\alpha$ line at $2306 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, which can be associated with UGC $7625\left(v_{\mathrm{gal}}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=2234,9.6,339\right)$, one of eight galaxies with $\rho<1 \mathrm{Mpc}$ and $v_{\text {gal }}=2100-2500 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, but one of only two with $\rho<550 \mathrm{kpc}$. Ly $\beta$ is also seen for this system. Formally, the dwarf [ISI96]1228+0116 ( $\rho=182 \mathrm{kpc}$ ) is the nearest galaxy.

HS 0624+6907-Two galaxies are known near this relatively high extinction sightline: UGC 3580 ( $v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=1201,18.0$, 729 ; to the north) and UGC 3403 ( $v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=1264,12.6$ 932; to the west). No detections are found below 6000 km $\mathrm{s}^{-1}$, although the STIS-E140M data are relatively noisy.

Aracil et al. (2006) make a more extensive study of the spectrum of this target, listing just one detection with $v<$ $6000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. However, we do not confirm that there is a line at $5262 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, for which Aracil et al. (2006) quoted an equivalent width of $41 \pm 10 \mathrm{~m} \AA$.
$H S 1543+5921$-This object is a $z=0.807$ QSO lying directly behind the dwarf galaxy SBS $1543+593$, which is a member of the GH152 (=LGG402) group $\left(\langle v\rangle=2828 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$. Several papers have been written on this pair, including Bowen et al. (2001) and Bowen et al. (2005), who reported on the absorption lines. Chengalur \& Kanekar (2002) and Rosenberg et al. (2006) showed an Hi map of SBS 1543+593. With $\rho=$ 0.3 kpc , it is the closest coincidence in our sample.

IRAS 09149-6206-Near this low galactic latitude sightline lie nine galaxies with velocities between 1900 and 3200 km
$\mathrm{s}^{-1}$. For seven of these, the possible associated Ly $\beta$ absorption is hidden by the Milky Way $\mathrm{C}_{\text {II }} \lambda 1036.337$ or intrinsic $\mathrm{C}_{\text {III }} \lambda 977.020$ absorption. Limits on O vi $\lambda 1031.926$ can be set for five galaxies, but in two cases they require orbital-night-only data. No detections are found.

IRAS F22456-5125-This sightline shows eight intrinsic systems, whose Ly $\delta$ and Ly $\epsilon$ lines fall between 1025 and $1045 \AA$. Fortunately, they are not located near the velocities of the only two known galaxies with $\rho<1$ Mpc: ESO 238G05 ( $v_{\mathrm{gal}}, D_{\mathrm{gal}}, \rho=706,5.9,616$ ) and ESO 149-G03 ( $v_{\mathrm{gal}}$, $D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=594,3.3,895$ ). No absorption is seen, and the $\rho /$ $D_{\text {gal }}$ ratio is high enough only for ESO 238-G05 to list it in Table 3.
$M C G+10-16-111-$ This is a relatively low redshift ( $v_{\text {gal }}$ $=8124 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ) Seyfert galaxy that lies in the direction of several galaxy groups. Thus, NED includes 94 galaxies with $v_{\text {gal }}$ between 400 and $6000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and impact parameter $<1 \mathrm{Mpc}$ (26 of which are listed in the RC3). For the discussion below, these are divided into several groupings, based on their group membership, velocity, and position relative to $\mathrm{MCG}+10-16-111$. With nine Ly $\alpha$ lines at $v<5500 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, this sightline is the most complicated in our sample. Bowen et al. (2002) originally observed the AGN and discussed the detections. They also included an image that shows several of the galaxies near MCG+10-16-111. However, our interpretation of the associations between the Ly $\alpha$ absorptions and these galaxies differs in some details from that presented by Bowen et al. (2002).

Five of the galaxies have $v_{\text {gal }}=400-900 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. One of these is the large galaxy NGC 3556 ( $v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=695,25.8$, 462). This is the galaxy most likely associated with the Ly $\alpha$ line at $942 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ (see the first panel for MCG+10-16-111, Figure 8(25)). We further list a nondetection for UGC 6249 ( $v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=1058,8.1,620$ ), the only other galaxy with $v \sim$ 1000 and $\rho / D_{\text {gal }}<125$. We choose to associate the detection with NGC 3556 even though the difference in velocity between with the absorber is smaller for UGC 6249, because NGC 3556 is much larger and has much smaller impact parameter.

12 galaxies with velocities between 1000 and $1500 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ are members of the LGG 244 group $\left(\langle v\rangle=1230 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ and have $\rho<1 \mathrm{Mpc}$. The sightline does not pass between the galaxies of this group, however; the group galaxy with the smallest impact parameter is CGCG 291-76 ( $\rho=616 \mathrm{kpc}$ ). There is no Ly $\alpha$ line with $v_{\text {gal }}$ in the velocity range spanned by the galaxies in LGG 244, so a nondetection is listed for this group in Table 3.

The sightline also goes through the LGG 234 group $(\langle v\rangle=$ $1692 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ), which has 30 galaxies with $\rho<1 \mathrm{Mpc}$. The ones included in the RC3 are shown by filled squares in the LGG 234 panel of Figure 8(26). Most of these group galaxies are small. Bowen et al. (2002) associated the strong Ly $\alpha$ line seen at $1654 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ with NGC $3619\left(v_{\mathrm{gal}}, D_{\mathrm{gal}}, \rho=1542,21.2,145\right.$, larger of the two filled squares at $\rho<200 \mathrm{kpc}$ ), which is the nearest large group galaxy. There are also seven dwarfs ( $D_{\text {gal }}<$ 5 kpc ) near NGC 3619 with impact parameters ranging from 94 to 248 kpc , as well as UGC $6304\left(v_{\mathrm{gal}}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=1762,11.3\right.$, 163 , smaller filled square with $\rho<200 \mathrm{kpc}$ ). NGC 3619 and UGC 6304 lie in opposite directions from MCG+10-16-111, and have velocity differences of 112 and $-108 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ with the absorption lines, so there is no real reason to preferentially associate either galaxy with the absorption line. In Table 3 the Ly $\alpha$ line at $1654 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ is listed as generally associated with the LGG 234 group.

The two absorption lines at 2022 and $2136 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ are listed under NGC $3625\left(v_{\mathrm{gal}}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=1966,18.1,190\right)$ and NGC 3613 ( $v_{\mathrm{gal}}, D_{\mathrm{gal}}, \rho=2054,35.3,41$ ). Both galaxies are members of the LGG 232 group. It is likely that at least one of the two lines is associated with NGC 3613, which has the fourth smallest impact parameter for any galaxy in our sample. It is quite possible that both lines originate in the NGC 3613 halo, but there is no a priori reason to exclude associating one with NGC 3625. Other, smaller group members lie at 203 kpc (UGC 6344) and 506 kpc (NGC 3669). In addition there are many dwarfs with impact parameter $<1 \mathrm{Mpc}$.

In addition to the three galaxy groups listed above, there are several more galaxies with velocities between 2500 and 7000 $\mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$. Table 3 includes UGC 6335 ( $v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=2927$, 20.6, 957), CGCG 291-61 ( $v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=3188,14.4,367$ ), and MCG+10-16-118 ( $\left.v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=5357,16.6,208\right)$. The last two of these can be associated with Ly $\alpha$ lines at 3113 and $5363 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, while the other one yields a nondetection. Figure 8 includes a panel for the $3113 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ Ly $\alpha$ line (Figure 8(28)), but not for the one at $5363 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, as it has $v>5000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$.

Finally, there are three more features that might be Ly $\alpha$ at 3541,3792 , and $4043 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, even though there are no galaxies known with such velocities within 2.5 Mpc . These are 3-5 $\sigma$ detections, but they seem secure, unless they are blueshifted absorption lines intrinsic to MCG+10-16-111 ( $v=8124$ $\mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ ).

MRC 2251-178-This target is surrounded by three galaxies with $v_{\text {gal }} \sim 3270 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, lying toward the south (ESO 603-G27, $v_{\mathrm{gal}}, D_{\mathrm{gal}}, \rho=3267,15.6,322$ ), north (ESO 603-IG23, $v_{\mathrm{gal}}, D_{\mathrm{gal}}$, $\rho=3282,12.5,412$ ), and east (MCG-3-58-13, $v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=$ $3271,10.0,846)$. A two-component Ly $\alpha$ line is present at 3212 and $3046 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, previously listed by Penton et al. (2004). In Table 3 these are listed as associated with the nearest two galaxies, while an upper limit is given for MCG-3-58-13. The two associations are shown in a single panel in Figure 8(33), since it is not obvious which line should go with which galaxy. Danforth et al. (2006) claimed a $29 \pm 16 \mathrm{~mA}$ O vi absorber at $3205 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, but we cannot confirm this, instead setting an upper limit of $27 \mathrm{~m} \AA$. As can be seen from Figure 2, the wiggle in the spectrum that Danforth et al. (2006) probably identified as O vi $\lambda 1031.926$ is more likely to be due to the FUSE detector flaw. We cannot actually check this, since Danforth et al. (2006) do not show their version of the data.

ESO 603-G31 ( $v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=2271,9.1,422$ ) has an associated Ly $\alpha$ line at $2265 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. This Ly $\alpha$ line was listed as two detections by Penton et al. (2004), though the rms is not high enough to justify splitting this absorption line. There is also a $3 \sigma$ feature that can be interpreted as O vi $\lambda 1037.617$ at $2283 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. Unfortunately, the corresponding O vi $\lambda 1031.926$ line is hidden by geocoronal $\mathrm{OI}^{*}$ emission, which is present even in the orbital-night-only data. This feature cannot be $\operatorname{Ly} \beta$ at higher velocity, as there is no corresponding Ly $\alpha$ line, so O vi is the most likely interpretation. Danforth et al. (2006) did not list this feature.

Finally, there is a feature (also listed by Penton et al. 2004) that is best interpreted as a weak Ly $\alpha$ line at $4371 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. The nearest galaxy with similar velocity (NGC 7381, $v_{\text {gal }}=$ $4521 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, see Figure $8(34)$ ) has high impact parameter: 2470 kpc .

Mrk 9-Several galaxies in the group LGG $143(\langle v\rangle=$ $3420 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ) lie within 1 Mpc of this sightline: UGC 3943 ( $v_{\mathrm{gal}}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=3527,24.8,422$ ), UGC $3897\left(v_{\mathrm{gal}}, D_{\mathrm{gal}}, \rho=\right.$
$3529,19.4,877$ ), UGC 3885 ( $v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=3809,15.3,904$ ), and UGC 3855 ( $v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=3167,29.2,950$ ). No absorption is identified, although no $\operatorname{Ly} \alpha$ data are available and $\operatorname{Ly} \beta$ at the velocities of these galaxies would be hidden by Galactic $\mathrm{H}_{2}$. Absorption is also absent near $1092 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, the velocity of UGC 4121 ( $\rho=895 \mathrm{kpc}$ ).

Mrk 106-This sightline passes within a few hundred kpc of the group GH $44(\langle v\rangle=619)$. Table 3 includes UGC $4879\left(v_{\text {gal }}\right.$, $\left.D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=600,2.8,266\right)$, and NGC $2841\left(v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=638\right.$, $13.9,453$ ), which is the nearest large galaxy in the group. The RC3 includes many other small ( $D_{\text {gal }}<5 \mathrm{kpc}$ ) group galaxies with $\rho<1 \mathrm{Mpc}$. Unfortunately, any possible Ly $\beta$ absorption is hidden in Galactic $\mathrm{OI}^{*}$ emission, which is still present in the orbital-night-only data, while any O vi is hidden by what appears to be $\mathrm{Ly} \beta$ at $2407 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$.

If there are any $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{O} \mathrm{vi}$, and $\mathrm{C}_{\text {iII }}$ absorption lines associated with CGCG 265-14 ( $\left.v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=3334,13.1,772\right)$ or UGC 4984 ( $v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=3386,15.5,881$ ), they are invisible, as they are all hidden by Galactic lines.

A feature is seen at $1033.94 \AA$ that is best interpreted as intergalactic Ly $\beta$ at $2407 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, although it could possibly be O vi $\lambda 1031.926$ at $586 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. A spectrum containing $1220 \AA$ is needed to resolve this issue. UGC $4800\left(v_{\text {gal }}=2433 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ has similar velocity, and is included in Table 3, even though its impact parameter is as large as 1030 kpc .

Mrk 110-There is a FUSE spectrum for this target, but it has an S/N of about 1 , so it is not useful. The STIS-G140M spectrum shows two clear and one possible features. The clearest feature is almost certainly Ly $\alpha$ at $3579 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. The nearest galaxy with similar velocity is UGC $4984\left(v_{\text {gal }}=3386 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$, but it has a very large impact parameter ( $\rho=1975 \mathrm{kpc}$ ). UGC 4934 could have smaller impact parameter ( $\sim 1400 \mathrm{kpc}$ ), if its velocity were like that of the absorber. There is also a feature at the wavelength where the corresponding Si iII line is expected. However, this is more likely to be another Ly $\alpha$ line at $1297 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. The nearest galaxy with known velocity within $200 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ is UGC 5354, which has impact parameter 1700 kpc .

There is one further possible feature, which could be a broad, but shallow line at $2247 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. However, it is only $2.5 \sigma$ and we do not consider it to be real.

There is no detectable absorption near $638 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, the velocity of NGC 2841 , which has an impact parameter of only 144 kpc . This was previously reported by Côté et al. (2005). However, the detection limit for this line is only $108 \mathrm{~m} \AA$, because of the damping wings of the Galactic Ly $\alpha$ absorption.

Mrk 205-Mrk 205 lies behind the disk of the nearby galaxy NGC $4319\left(v_{\text {gal }}=1357 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$. A strong Ly $\alpha$ line is detected at $1289 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. By itself the Ly $\alpha$ spectrum suggests two components, but this must to be due to some hot pixels near $1260 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, since the Ly $\beta$ absorption shows a single saturated component. There is also absorption in many other high- and low-ionization species, including Civ, Si iv, C iII, Si iII, NiI,
 $\mathrm{N} v$ are not detected, however, although the O vi $\lambda 1031.926$ line would be hidden by Galactic $\mathrm{C}_{\text {II }}$, and the O vi $\lambda 1037.617$ line is near geocoronal $\mathrm{OI}^{*}$ emission, so the $37 \mathrm{~m} \AA$ upper limit is derived from the night-only data. Bowen \& Blades (1993) originally reported on the $\mathrm{Mg}_{\text {II }}$ absorption from NGC 4319, but the full STIS-E140M and FUSE spectra of this sightline have not yet been analyzed in detail.

NGC 4319 is not the only galaxy with low impact parameter to Mrk 205, as can be seen from the open square in Figure 8 (38). For instance, three other large galaxies and two dwarfs
have impact parameters $<200 \mathrm{kpc}$ : NGC 4291 ( $v_{\text {gal }}=1757 \mathrm{~km}$ $\mathrm{s}^{-1}$ ) is at 51 kpc , NGC 4386 at 136 kpc , NGC 4363 at 148 kpc , Mailyan 68 at 71 kpc , and CGCG 352-27 at 78 kpc . Based on their velocities, the large galaxies with impact parameter $<1 \mathrm{Mpc}$ can be divided into two groups: four galaxies with $v_{\text {gal }}$ between 1187 and $1357 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and 10 galaxies with $v_{\mathrm{gal}}=$ 1570 to $1980 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. The latter are all members of the GH 107 group, so a second entry for Mrk 205 is given in Table 3 for the velocity of NGC 4291, the group member with the lowest impact parameter. This velocity is sufficiently different from that of the Ly $\alpha$ lines that any line associated with NGC 4291 would appear in the velocity range $\sim 1500$ to $2000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. Unfortunately, the only upper limits that can be set are for $\mathrm{Ly} \alpha$ and O vi $\lambda 1031.926$.

A third entry (an upper limit) for Mrk 205 is given in Table 3 for UGC $7226\left(v_{\text {gal }}=2267 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$, which is not part of the GH 107 group.

Mrk 279—This is a sightline with very high S/N—~20 at $\lambda<$ $1000, \sim 40$ for $1000-1180 \AA$, and $\sim 25$ at $\lambda>1200 \AA$. There are three large galaxies with $v_{\text {gal }}<6000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, but all have $\rho>$ 600 kpc : UGC 8737 ( $1873 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ), NGC 5832 ( $453 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ), and FGC 1680 ( $3865 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ). No Ly $\alpha$ or O vi are detected at $v_{\mathrm{gal}}<6000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. Penton et al. (2000a, 2000b) listed six significant and two $<3 \sigma$ detections at $v_{\text {gal }}=5000-8000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, based on a GHRS spectrum. However, STIS-E140M data show that the claimed detections at 6372,6445 , and $6925 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ are much more likely to be intrinsic Si iII lines, as there are clear Ly $\alpha$ and $\mathrm{C}_{\text {III }}$ absorptions at the corresponding velocities. Their $5631 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ Ly $\alpha$ line is really N v in complex C (see Fox et al. 2004), while the 5246 and $5486 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ features are not seen in the STIS spectrum. This leaves just one confirmed Ly $\alpha$, at $7779 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$.

Mrk 290-This is a sightline with five groups of entries in Table 3. The galaxies with similar velocities usually lie in different directions, while in general their velocities differ by a few hundred $\mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$. Therefore, all galaxies are listed separately in Table 3.

To the north of Mrk 290 lie several galaxies with $v_{\text {gal }} \sim 700$ $\mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$, which are in the LGG 396 group. NGC $5963\left(v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}\right.$, $\rho=656,12.3,307)$ is the nearest. It is associated with a Ly $\beta$ line seen at $720 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ in the orbital-night-only data (see the filled square in Figure 8(39)). Four other large group galaxies with similar velocity are listed in Table 3, though all have impact parameters $>734 \mathrm{kpc}$ (NGC 5907, NGC 5879, NGC 5866B, NGC 5866; see the open squares with plusses in Figure 8(39)). Eight dwarfs (not listed in Table 3) have impact parameters between 307 and 550 kpc , but the association of the Ly $\beta$ line with NGC 5963 is comparatively secure.

There is no Ly $\alpha$ data for the velocity of NGC 5981 ( $v_{\mathrm{gal}}$, $D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=1764,22.5,725$ ), while $\mathrm{Ly} \beta$ and O vi are hidden by Galactic O vi and $\mathrm{H}_{2}$, respectively.

This sightline also passes within 0.5 of the group GH 158 (also known as LGG 402), which has $\langle v\rangle=2882 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. In the RC3 the nearest of these group galaxies is NGC 5987 at 424 kpc , which has a very large diameter $\left(D_{\text {gal }}=52 \mathrm{kpc}\right)$. NED includes nine more small galaxies lying next to the group having velocities between 2900 and $3350 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, and impact parameters $<1$ Mpc. 2MASX J153514.22+573052.9, CGCG 297-17, and SDSS J153733.02+583446.7 are large enough $\left(\rho / D_{\text {gal }}<80\right)$ to merit separate entries in Table 3. Pisano et al. (2004) obtained VLA and DRAO H 21 cm data for the field around Mrk 290, but failed to detect H i emission from any of the group galaxies. They also discussed a FUSE spectrum of Mrk 290, based on the first 13 ks observation. After this
paper was published, another 92 ks of data was taken toward Mrk 290, which turned out to be almost three times brighter as before during the longest ( 54 ks ) individual exposure. With these much improved data, there appears to be O vi at $3073 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, seen in both the O vi $\lambda 1031.926$ and the O vi $\lambda 1037.617$ lines, although the O vi $\lambda 1037.617$ line only shows up as a wing in Galactic Ar I. The equivalent width given in Table 3 is double the value measured using only the positive-velocity half of the line. Unfortunately, the corresponding Ly $\beta$ line is hidden in Galactic $\mathrm{C}_{\text {II }}$, while there is no data for $\mathrm{Ly} \alpha$. This O vi feature thus remains rather uncertain, but it is listed under the entry for NGC 5987 ( $\rho=424 \mathrm{kpc}$ ).

The velocity of SDSS J153802.76+573018.3 ( $v_{\mathrm{gal}}=$ $3525 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ) is similar to that of the GH 158 galaxies, but sufficiently different that this galaxy is listed separately.
The GHRS spectrum of this target only allows a search for $\operatorname{Ly} \alpha$ at velocities above $4000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. One weak line is found at $4638 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, which was included by Penton et al. (2000a, 2000b). The nearest known galaxies with similar velocity are NGC 5971 ( $v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=4306,29.5,1586$, triangle in Figure 8 (41)) and SBS 1533+574B ( $v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=4287,9.1,721$, the open circle in Figure 8(41)).

Mrk 335-There are three Ly $\alpha$ detections at $v<6000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ toward this target. The two at 1954 and $2286 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ were previously reported by Penton et al. (2000a, 2000b), based on a GHRS-G140M spectrum. We observed this sightline with the STIS-E140M, finding a similar equivalent width for the feature at $1954 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}(229 \pm 12 \mathrm{~m} \AA$ versus $229 \pm 30 \mathrm{~m} \AA$ in Penton et al.), while for the other feature we find $114 \pm 17 \mathrm{~m} \AA$, whereas Penton et al. (2000a, 2000b) quoted $81 \pm 26$ mÅ. The stronger Ly $\alpha$ also shows a weak $\operatorname{Ly} \beta$ absorption, adjacent to the strong Galactic $\mathrm{L}(6-0) \mathrm{R}(4) \mathrm{H}_{2}$ line at $1032.520 \AA$. The $2 \sigma$ feature at $4268 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ that Penton et al. (2000a, 2000b) listed is not confirmed by the E140M spectrum, however.

The correspondence between Ly $\alpha$ absorptions and galaxies is complex for this sightline. The only simple association is for the component at $2286 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, which can be associated with NGC 7817 ( $v_{\mathrm{gal}}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=2308,30.4,395$, Figure 8(44)).

For the (stronger) feature at $1954 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, the existence of better galaxy data than is usually the case makes the choice of association more complicated. On the other hand, as can be seen in Figure 8(43), there are no large galaxies with low impact parameter near this velocity. This feature might be associated with NGC 7817 (see the large plussed circle in Figure 8(43)), although a $\Delta v$ of $355 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ would be much higher than is normally found. The only other nondwarf galaxies with similar velocity within 1 Mpc are ESDO F538-2 ( $v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=2175$, $7.2,320$ ) and NGC 7798 ( $v_{\mathrm{gal}}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=2404,12.4,915$ ). For most sightlines this is where the search would stop, and we would associate the absorption with ESDO F538-2, even though $\Delta v=219 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. However, van Gorkom et al. (1996) did a deep search for Hi clouds near Mrk 335, and found the tiny ( $D_{\text {gal }}=$ 0.7 kpc ) dwarf [vCS96] 000254.9+195654.3 at a velocity of $1950 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and with impact parameter of only 78 kpc (see the tiny black circle in Figure 8(43)). As $\rho / D_{\text {gal }} \sim 110$ for this galaxy, only a factor 2 larger than the value of 43 for ESO F538-2, but $\Delta v=7 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, Table 3 lists the absorption at $1954 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ as being associated with [vCS96] 000254.9+195654.3, while nondetections are listed for ESDO F538-2 and NGC 7798.
In the velocity range $713-1108 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ there are 17 known galaxies with impact parameter $<1 \mathrm{Mpc}, 10$ of which are included in the RC3. However, the two largest (NGC 100 and NGC 7814) have large impact parameter ( 814 kpc ). For all
other galaxies the ratio $\rho / D_{\text {gal }}$ is larger than 90 , and all have $D_{\text {gal }}<7 \mathrm{kpc}$. The smallest impact parameter $(170 \mathrm{kpc})$ is for ESDO F538-1, a galaxy with $D_{\text {gal }}=1.1 \mathrm{kpc}$. UGC 47 ( $D_{\text {gal }}$ $=3.3 \mathrm{kpc})$ has the next smallest $\rho(447 \mathrm{kpc})$. No absorption feature is seen in the velocity range $700-1150 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, but there is a weak feature at $1308 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ (lying outside the spectral range analyzed by Penton et al. 2000a, 2000b). However, there are no known galaxies within 1 Mpc with $v_{\text {gal }}$ between 1150 and $1970 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. In Table 3 the galaxy listed for this feature is UGC 12893 ( $v_{\mathrm{gal}}, D_{\mathrm{gal}}, \rho=1108,6.0,697$ ), which has the smallest velocity difference. However, this is rather ambiguous assignment, as can be seen in Figure 8(42).

Finally, UGC 44 is a large ( $\left.D_{\text {gal }}=21.5 \mathrm{kpc}\right)$ galaxy with $v_{\mathrm{gal}}=5936 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, but there is no Ly $\alpha$ near that velocity, although there is a strong feature at $6280 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. Because of the relatively small velocity difference between this feature and the velocity of Mrk 335 itself ( $v_{\text {gal }}=7730 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ), it is not listed in Table 3.

Mrk 421-A weak but clear Ly $\alpha$ line is detected at 3007 $\mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ in this sightline. This detection was first reported by Penton et al. (2000a, 2000b). Savage et al. (2005b) showed that there is no O vi absorption at this velocity. They also studied in detail the galaxies in the field near this sightline, showing that there are no known galaxies with similar velocity within 1 Mpc , and just two dwarfs within 3.5 Mpc , one of which is HS 1059+3934 ( $v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=3274,3.8,1041$ ).

Mrk 477-Although the $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ of this spectrum near O vi $\lambda 1031.926$ is about 10 , the continuum of this target shows strong fluctuations that are probably due to intrinsic emission lines, making the continuum fit uncertain. Furthermore, the SiC data are too noisy to be useful, and there is no Ly $\alpha$ data. The only measurements that generally can be made are $\sim 40 \mathrm{~m} \AA$ upper limits on $\mathrm{Ly} \beta$ and O vi $\lambda 1037.617$.

The galaxies near this sightline come in three groups. At velocities between 750 and $950 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ there are many dwarfs and one substantial galaxy with large impact parameter (NGC 5866, $v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=769,16.7,930$ ). The nearest dwarf (SDSS J144303.81+535457.5, $v_{\mathrm{gal}}, D_{\mathrm{gal}}, \rho=838,1.5$, 138) has $\rho / D_{\text {gal }}<100$, so it is included in Table 3. Six galaxies lie between 2056 and $2181 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ of which UGC $9452\left(v_{\mathrm{gal}}\right.$, $\left.D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=2173,17.1,278\right)$, NGC $5687\left(v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=2119\right.$, $23.1,743$ ), and KUG 1437+524 ( $v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=2181,9.6,743$ ) are included in Table 3. Finally, two of the three galaxies near $3300 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ are in Table 3: NGC $5751\left(v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=3242\right.$, $21.6,419)$ and SBS $1436+529\left(v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=3389,10.0,761\right)$.

Mrk 478 -A strong Ly $\alpha$ line is seen at $1573 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ toward Mrk 478, which was included by Penton et al. (2004), although their reported equivalent width is smaller than our measurement ( $194 \pm 31 \mathrm{~m} \AA$ versus $254 \pm 14 \mathrm{~m} \AA$ ). Based on the equivalent and velocity width of the Ly $\alpha$ line, an $\sim 100 \mathrm{~m} \AA \mathrm{Ly} \beta$ line is expected. There indeed seems to be a $78 \mathrm{~m} \AA$ feature at the right place, except that it would just be a $2 \sigma$ detection. The nearest large galaxy is NGC 5727 ( $v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=1491,16.1$, 645). As can be seen from the filled circle in Figure 8(46), this is not the only possible galaxy that can be associated with the absorption line. However, it is by far the largest galaxy. Two of the smaller galaxies have $\rho / D_{\text {gal }}<125$ and are listed separately in Table 3: UGC 9519 ( $v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=1692,5.3$, 624) and UGC 9562 ( $v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=1253,6.4,748$ ). However, SDSSJ144003.48+340559.6 ( $v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=1492,3.1,605$ ) is not included, as it is a dwarf close to NGC 5727.

A final galaxy for which a nondetection is listed in Table 3 is UGC $9540\left(v_{\mathrm{gal}}, D_{\mathrm{gal}}, \rho=802,4.3,400\right)$. Two more similar
sized galaxies with similar velocities are not listed because they have $\rho / D_{\text {gal }}>125$.

Mrk 501-There are two galaxies with similar velocity and impact parameter that may be associated with the Ly $\alpha$ line at $4593 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ : CGCG 225-6 ( $v_{\mathrm{gal}}, D_{\mathrm{gal}}, \rho=4648,10.7$, 517) and NGC 6257 ( $v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=4692,18.0,521$ ). The first of these lies to the north of Mrk 501, the second to the south. In Table 3 the Ly $\alpha$ line is listed under the larger galaxy, NGC 6257, while a nondetection is listed for CGCG 225-6. This choice is arbitrary, but it allows us to account for having one detection for two galaxies. Penton et al. (2000a, 2000b) also reported this absorption line. Four more galaxies have $\rho<1 \mathrm{Mpc}:$ UGC 10625 ( $\left.v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=2048,11.7,700\right)$, NGC 6239 ( $v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=922,11.3,807$ ), NGC $6255\left(v_{\text {gal }}\right.$, $D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=918,15.2,854$ ), and NGC $6907\left(v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=\right.$ $850,12.2,895)$. Separate nondetection entries are given each of these.

Mrk 509—A strong Ly $\alpha$ line is detected at $2545 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, previously reported by Penton et al. (2000a, 2000b). The FUSE spectrum shows the corresponding Ly $\beta$ line. The nearest galaxy with known velocity between 2000 and $3000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ is MCG-1-54$3\left(v_{\text {gal }}=2231 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ at $\rho=4834 \mathrm{kpc}$, so this seems to be a clear case of an absorber in a void. However, there are five galaxies with unknown velocity that potentially could have impact parameter between 1400 and 2500 kpc , if their velocity is similar to that of the absorber: [RC3]A2052-1056, [RC3]A2030-0838, $\mathrm{MCG}-1-52-4$, MCG-2-52-17 and MCG-2-53-13. On the other hand, the likelihood that this is actually the case is low.

Mrk 586-Absorption is absent for NGC $851\left(v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho\right.$ $=3111,11.9,967$ ). On the other hand, what may be $\operatorname{Ly} \beta$ is detected at $1464 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. No Ly $\alpha$ data are available to confirm this identification, and the redshift of Mrk 586 is sufficiently high ( 0.1553 ) that it could be a higher redshift ionic line, although the FUSE spectrum does not show evidence for a redshifted system. If it is $\operatorname{Ly} \beta$, the nearest galaxy with similar velocity has impact parameter $>1 \mathrm{Mpc}\left(\mathrm{NGC} 864, v_{\text {gal }}=1560 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}, \rho=\right.$ 1240 kpc ).

Mrk 734-This is one of a few sightlines that passes near the center of a galaxy group with many galaxies, namely GH 78. Geller \& Huchra (1983) include nine galaxies in this group, eight of which have velocities ranging from 628 to $1191 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, with one standing out at $1726 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. Without this last one, the average velocity of the group galaxies is $921 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. The galaxy with the lowest impact parameter to Mrk 734 is NGC 3666 ( $v_{\text {gal }}$, $D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=1062,12.7,133$ ), while the other seven original group galaxies have $\rho=230-603 \mathrm{kpc}$. NED includes another 13 small galaxies inside the GH 78 velocity range that can be considered part of the group.

Although the FUSE spectrum of this target has relatively low $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}(\sim 5.5)$, two $\mathrm{Ly} \beta$ absorption lines are clearly detected, at 478 and $757 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. Ly $\gamma$ may also be present at $745 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. There is a $2 \sigma$ feature that may be O vi at $\sim 720 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, but the $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ of the spectrum is insufficient to confirm it. The two Ly $\alpha$ lines are listed as generic group detections in Table 3. There are four other galaxies near Mrk 734 that are not in the GH 78 group for which nondetections are listed separately: 2MASX J112139.77+112924.2 ( $v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=5944,6.2,388$ ), SDSS J111938.66+112643.3 ( $v_{\mathrm{gal}}, D_{\mathrm{gal}}, \rho=3054,5.3,500$ ), and IC $2763\left(v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=1574,10.4,617\right)$.

Mrk 771-This sightline is included in the sample because the STIS-G140M data are good, although the FUSE spectrum has low S/N (4.6).

There are three similar-strength Ly $\alpha$ absorptions, at 1184, 1891 and $2557 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. All three were reported by Penton et al. (2004). The $2557 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ line was also reported by Côté et al. (2005). It can clearly be associated with UGC 7697 ( $v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=2536,24.6,139$; see Côté et al. 2005), which is the only galaxy with velocity between 2000 and $3000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and impact parameter $<1 \mathrm{Mpc}$ (filled circle in Figure 8(53)).

There are two galaxies with $v_{\text {gal }}$ between 1700 and 2100 km $\mathrm{s}^{-1}$ (see Figure 8(52)). The small galaxy KUG $1229+207\left(v_{\text {gal }}\right.$, $D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=1921,6.5,184$ ) has small impact parameter, while the large galaxy NGC $4450\left(v_{\mathrm{gal}}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=1956,23.4,851\right)$ is more distant. Table 3 lists the Ly $\alpha$ absorption as associated with KUG 1229+207.
The most difficult to associate is the $1184 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ absorption. There are five large galaxies in the LGG 289 group that have $v_{\text {gal }}$ within $250 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ from this detection ( $v_{\text {gal }}=1027-$ $1403 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, open symbols in Figure 8(51)). They have $\rho$ $=502,666,807,846$, and 962 kpc . The nearest galaxy (with $\Delta v_{\text {gal }}=259 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ), however, is IC $3436\left(v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=925\right.$, $1.8,183$ ), but this is a small dwarf not in the LGG 289 group. Table 3 lists the absorption line as associated with NGC 4561 (the $\rho=502 \mathrm{kpc}$ group galaxy), but this is one of the most uncertain associations in the table.
Mrk 817-This sightline passes through the edge of the GH 144 group ( $\langle v\rangle=1967 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ), with the closest large galaxy being UGC 9391 ( $v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=1921,14.5,308$ ). Three other large galaxies are listed in the RC3 with velocities between 1900 and $2300 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and $\rho<1 \mathrm{Mpc}$ (UGC 9477, NGC 5667, and NGC 5678). NED gives another six smaller such galaxies, two of which have impact parameter $<308 \mathrm{kpc}$. The nearest is the dwarf PWWF J1437+5905 ( $v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=2233,1.0$, 191) which was identified by Pisano et al. (2004) in a VLA Hi map of the field around Mrk 817. A somewhat larger dwarf (SDSS J143903.89+544717.6, $v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=2134,4.0,202$ ) has similar impact parameter.

We find four Ly $\alpha$ features in this sightline, at 1922, 2085, 4670 and $5081 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ (confirming the entries in the list of Penton et al. (2000a, 2000b)). The first two can be associated with the GH 144 group, and are listed under the entries for SDSS J143903.89+544717.6 and UGC 9391 (see Figure 8 $(54) /(55)$ ), with velocities of 1922 and $2085 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. We find accompanying Ly $\beta$ absorption at $2081 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$.

For the feature at $4670 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ we cannot find any galaxies with similar velocity at impact parameter $<5 \mathrm{Mpc}$. This feature is therefore listed as intergalactic in Table 3.

The feature at $5081 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ has extremely strong O vi associated with it, and it is likely to be gas expanding away from Mrk 817 at $4500 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$.

Mrk 876-There are three Ly $\alpha$ features at $v<6000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ in this sightline. The strong one at $3481 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ is associated with UGC 10294 ( $v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=3516,27.6,282$; Figure 8(58)). The corresponding Ly $\beta$ line contaminates Galactic O vi $\lambda 1037.617$, which is indicated by the fact that the $N_{a}(v)$ profiles of the two Galactic O vi lines do not match (see Wakker et al. 2003). $\mathrm{Ly} \gamma$ may be seen at the $2 \sigma$ level. A $4 \sigma(18 \pm 4 \pm 7 \mathrm{~m} \AA)$ feature is also present where redshifted O vi $\lambda 1031.926$ is expected, but the corresponding O vi $\lambda 1037.617$ line is hidden by $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ absorption. Danforth et al. (2006) gave an upper limit of 16 mA for associated O vi absorption. There are only two other galaxies near this velocity: NGC 6135, and UGC 10376, but they have impact parameters of 630 and 799 kpc . Note that the RC3 gives a velocity of $822 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ for UGC 10376, but according to Schneider et al. (1992) it is $3246 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$.

The other two Ly $\alpha$ lines, at 936 and $1109 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, appear to be associated with NGC $6140\left(v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=910,27.1\right.$, 206) the only large galaxy with velocity between 700 and $1375 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ within 1 Mpc (see Figure 8(57)). The four dwarf galaxies in this velocity range all have much larger impact parameters: UGC $10369\left(v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=998,5.9,493\right)$, UGC $10194\left(v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=870,6.9,573\right)$, CGCG319-39 $\left(v_{\mathrm{gal}}\right.$, $\left.D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=933,2.9,763\right)$, and IC $1218\left(v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=1109,4.8\right.$, 767). Nondetections are listed in Table 3 for the first of these two galaxies, as they have $\rho / D_{\text {gal }}<125$.

The line at $1109 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ is unusually narrow ( $b=14$ $\mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$, FWHM $=23 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ), but its identification as Ly $\alpha$ is fairly secure, since there are no other known intergalactic absorber systems that would produce an ionic line at its wavelength.

Using a G140M STIS spectrum ( $30 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ resolution) Côté et al. (2005) reported a strength of $390 \mathrm{~m} \AA$ at $935 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ for the Ly $\alpha$ absorber, Shull et al. (2000) gave $324 \pm 52 \mathrm{~m} \AA$ at 958 $\mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$, whereas we find $476 \pm 14 \mathrm{~m} \AA$ at $936 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ from our STIS-E140M data. The Ly $\beta$ line corresponding to the Ly $\alpha$ at $936 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ is strongly contaminated by two-component $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ $L(6-0) R(3) \lambda 1028.985$ absorption. However, the $S / N$ in this sightline is so high (33) that a good (two-component) $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ model can be made (see Wakker 2006), which fits all uncontaminated $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ lines extremely well. This shows that the feature contains $79 \pm 6 \mathrm{~m} \AA$ of $\mathrm{Ly} \beta$, though the systematic error is large ( $33 \mathrm{~m} \AA$ ). Shull et al. (2000) reported $110 \pm 50 \mathrm{~m} \AA$ for this line, but that clearly includes the $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ absorption.
In addition, there is $\mathrm{O}_{\text {vi }} \lambda 1031.926$ absorption, centered at $945 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. This line is contaminated by $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~L}(6-0) \mathrm{P}(4)$ at $1035.7830 \AA$, but the very good $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ model does not account for all the absorption near this wavelength, unlike what is the case for all other $J=4 \mathrm{H}_{2}$ lines. Danforth et al. (2006) reported this O vi absorption, but their $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ model is not as precise. Consequentially, they listed an equivalent width that is too high ( $26 \pm 7 \mathrm{~m} \AA$ ). We measure it as $17 \pm 4 \pm 8 \mathrm{~m} \AA$.
Mrk 926-This is the only sightline in the sample with only one known galaxy with $v_{\text {gal }}=400-6000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and impact parameter $<1 \mathrm{Mpc}$ : the dwarf SDSS J230556.27-100257.0 ( $v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=2304,2.6,697$ ). Because $\rho / D>125$ this galaxy is not listed in Table 3. There are also no Ly $\alpha$ lines with $v<$ $5000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, as was previously noted by Penton et al. (2004). The FUSE spectrum has low $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ and thus contributes no useful path length to the $\mathrm{Ly} \beta$ and O vi search.

Mrk 1095-Few galaxies lie near this target. UGC 3303 ( $v_{\text {gal }}$, $D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=522,6.4,571$ ) has low velocity, but the only line for which a limit can be set is O vi $\lambda 1031.926$. UGC 3258 ( $v_{\text {gal }}$, $D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=2821,8.6,999$ ) has large impact parameter, and no absorption is found. The only probable Ly $\alpha$ feature is found at $4048 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, and this was listed by Penton et al. (2000a, 2000b). There are some galaxies near $4000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, but they have large impact parameter. The smallest are 834 and 836 kpc for two tiny galaxies ([OHG88] 0510-0037, [OHG88] 0510-0036, $D_{\text {gal }}$ $=1.8 \mathrm{kpc})$. The nearest large galaxy with $|\Delta v|<300 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ is UGC $3262\left(v_{\text {gal }}=4285 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ at 1306 kpc .

Mrk 1383-This sightline is one of the few that passes between galaxies in a galaxy group (LGG 386 or GH 145). The group has $\langle v\rangle=1701 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, and 32 galaxies have impact parameter $<1 \mathrm{Mpc}$. No absorption is seen near this velocity, however. The closest of nine group galaxies in the RC3 is UGC 9348, with $\rho=622 \mathrm{kpc}$. NED includes seven small ( $D_{\text {gal }}<3 \mathrm{kpc}$ ) galaxies with smaller impact parameter (as low as 236 kpc for 2dFGRS N413Z236), as well as the larger galaxy SDSS J143229.08+001734.4 $\left(D_{\text {gal }}=15.4 \mathrm{kpc}\right)$ at

602 kpc . Another 13 galaxies found only in NED have impact parameter $>622 \mathrm{kpc}$.

Mrk 1513-Like Penton et al. (2004), we find no Ly $\alpha$ absorption below $5000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. The only galaxy with impact parameter less than 1 Mpc is UGC 11782 at 412 kpc . This lack of galaxies is only partly the result of the target's low galactic latitude-there are relatively few galaxies in this region of the sky, which is in the direction diametrically opposite to the Virgo cluster.

MS 0700.7+6338—Like VII Zw 118, this sightline passes through the LGG 140 group $\left(\langle v\rangle=4404 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$. The closest group galaxy is UGC $3660\left(v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=4262,31.0,356\right)$. Strong Ly $\beta$ and probable C iII absorption is seen at $4322 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, but O vi is absent. In Table 3, this system is listed as generally associated with the group. There is one other galaxy within 1 Mpc that is not in the group (UGC 3685, $v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=1797$, $25.9,941$ ). However, near this velocity only O vi $\lambda 1037.617$ is not blended with interstellar O vi, O i or $\mathrm{H}_{2}$.

NGC 985-NGC 985 passes through the southern outskirts of the LGG 71 galaxy group, which has $\langle v\rangle=1406 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. 48 group galaxies have impact parameter less than 1 Mpc (see Figure 8(61)). 11 of these are included in the RC3, and eight of these have diameter $>10 \mathrm{kpc}$. Their velocities range from 1145 to $1781 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, although the velocity range for the large ( $D_{\text {gal }}>10 \mathrm{kpc}$ ) galaxies is smaller ( $1241-1534 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ). Of these, NGC $988\left(v_{\text {gal }}=1504 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ lies much closer than other group galaxies ( $\rho=175 \mathrm{kpc}$, versus $>394 \mathrm{kpc}$ for the rest, see the large open square in Figure 8(61)). No Ly $\alpha$ line is within the velocity range spanned by the velocities of the group galaxies, so Table 3 lists a generic group nondetection.

There are two galaxies with higher velocity: DDO 23 ( $v_{\text {gal }}$, $\left.D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=2110,15.3,993\right)$ and Mrk $1042\left(v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=2133\right.$, 3.1, 996). Two weak ( 3.5 and $3.2 \sigma$ ), broad absorption lines may be present at velocities of 1924 and $2183 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. These were previously reported by Bowen et al. (2002). Both are rather shallow ( $10 \%$ depth) and the one at $1924 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ may not be real. It is hard to justify an association with NGC 988 or the LGG 71 group, as proposed by Bowen et al. (2002). NGC 988 has low impact parameter, but the velocity difference is large ( $420 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ). This is much larger than in any other case with impact parameter $<1 \mathrm{Mpc}$, for which we can always find a galaxy within $320 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. The group galaxy with the velocity closest to that of the absorber is called NGC 1052[PBF2005]GC47 ( $v_{\text {gal }}=1781 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ) in NED but it is very small. The nearest (in velocity) substantial group galaxy is NGC $991\left(v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=1534,12.9,475\right)$, for which $\Delta v=$ 390 and $649 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. The velocities of the absorptions resemble those of DDO 23 better (differences 186 and $78 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ), but the impact parameter to this galaxy is large. In Table 3 both features are listed under DDO 23, but this is by no means a clear-cut association and may be the most debatable one in the table.

PG 0804+761—Penton et al. (2004) and Côté et al. (2005) separately observed this sightline with the STIS-G140M. grating. Both reported the Ly $\alpha$ line at $1537 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, though there is disagreement about the equivalent width. Integrating from 1420 to $1680 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, we find $114 \pm 14 \mathrm{~m} \AA$. Côté et al. (2005) gave 260 mA , while Penton et al. (2004) split the line into two components, with a total equivalent width of $139 \pm 35 \mathrm{~m} \AA$. The evidence for a two-component absorption line is weak, but if it is a single component, the line would have a large, but not extreme width ( $178 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, see Section 3.2). This Ly $\alpha$ line is associated with UGC $4238\left(v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=1544\right.$,
16.3, 155; filled circle in Figure 8(63)). Two other galaxies with similar velocity are included in Table 3, but they have much larger impact parameter (NGC 4466, $v_{\mathrm{gal}}, D_{\mathrm{gal}}, \rho=1416,8.4$, 839) and NGC 2591 ( $v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=1323,18.5,907$ ).

A second Ly $\alpha$ line is seen at $1144 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. Penton et al. (2004) also reported this feature. At the wavelength where the corresponding $\mathrm{O}_{\text {VI }} \lambda 1031.926$ absorption is expected there is a $47 \mathrm{~m} \AA$ feature. Danforth et al. (2006) listed this as a $36 \pm 10$ $\mathrm{m} \AA \mathrm{O}$ vi $\lambda 1031.926$ absorber. However, it is much more likely that this is Galactic C II at $-140 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, associated with HVC complex A, whose edge lies about 1 deg away (see Wakker 2001). The strength of the $\mathrm{C}_{\text {II }}$ line would typically correspond to a total hydrogen column density of about $2 \times 10^{17} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2}$. Weak H i absorption, on the order of a few $10^{16} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2}$, is seen in the FUSE spectrum, so this gas appears to be mostly ionized, as is expected at these column densities. An association between this component and a galaxy is somewhat uncertain, as can be seen from the symbols in Figure 8(62). It could be associated with UGC $4238\left(v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=1544,16.3,155 \mathrm{kpc}\right)$, but $\Delta v$ is too large ( $400 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ). For the same reason, we do not associate the Ly $\alpha$ with UGC 4527 ( $v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=721,4.7,438$ ), since $\Delta v=423 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. Instead, in Table 3 UGC $3909\left(v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho\right.$ $=945,11.3,829, \Delta v=199 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ) is listed as the associated galaxy, in spite of the large impact parameter. Within the range implied by all other associations. UGC 3909 is preferred over NGC $2591\left(v_{\mathrm{gal}}, D_{\mathrm{gal}}, \rho=1323,18.5,907\right)$, because it has slightly smaller impact parameter. Furthermore, there are four galaxies with unknown velocity that could have smaller impact parameter (UGC 4360, UGC 4413 at $\rho \sim 560 \mathrm{kpc}$, UGC 4563, UGC 4194 at $\rho \sim 800 \mathrm{kpc}$ ). This is therefore one of the most uncertain associations in Table 3.

The weak Ly $\alpha$ at $2282 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}(28 \pm 7 \mathrm{~m} \AA)$ was not reported by Penton et al. (2004), but it can be seen in their spectra. In Table 3 it is associated with UGC $4202\left(v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=2296\right.$, $12.0,875$ ), which is not listed in the RC3.

At $5549 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ there is a very strong Ly $\alpha$, with accompanying $\mathrm{Ly} \beta$, though no O vi. No galaxy is known within 3 Mpc with $v_{\text {gal }}$ between 5000 and $6000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. This may be because no deep searches were made in this direction.
$P G 0838+770$-A reasonably good FUSE spectrum exists for this target, which passes within 10 kpc of UGC 4527 ( $v_{\mathrm{gal}}=$ $721 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}, D_{\text {gal }}=4.7 \mathrm{kpc}$ ), a small irregular galaxy. A strong Ly $\beta$ absorption line is seen centered at $716 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, but O vi is absent.

The sightline also passes through the LGG 165 group, which has 13 galaxies with velocities between 1257 and $1544 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ within 1 Mpc . NGC 2591 has the lowest impact parameter $(444 \mathrm{kpc})$. No associated absorption is seen. UGC 4238 ( $v_{\mathrm{gal}}$, $D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=1544,16.3,803$ ) has similar velocity as the group galaxies, but it is not a group member, and it lies in the opposite direction seen from PG $0838+770$. It is therefore listed separately.

UGC 4623 ( $v_{\mathrm{gal}}=2885 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ) is the only known galaxy with $v_{\text {gal }}>2000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, and has impact parameter 467 kpc , but no absorption is seen near this velocity.

PG 0844+349—No high-resolution GHRS or STIS spectrum exists for this sightline. The FUSE spectrum shows three features just redward of $\mathrm{O}_{\text {vi }} \lambda 1031.926$. Two of these are interpreted as Ly $\beta$ at 2260 and $2326 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and are associated with UGC 4621 ( $v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=2306,10.3,372$ ), one of the few cases that we associate two lines with a single galaxy. Four galaxies other than UGC 4621 have similar velocities (see Figure 8(67)): HS 0846+3522 ( $v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=2481,0.6,387$ ),

SDSS J084619.14+351858.2 ( $\left.v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=2368,3.8,391\right)$, CG222 ( $v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=2429,1.1,411$ ), and KUG 0847+350 $\left(v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=2354,4.0,421\right)$, but all are dwarfs with larger impact parameter. A fourth galaxy with similar velocity (UGC 4660; $v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=2203,11.6,826$ ) is listed as a nondetection in Table 3.

The third feature just redward of O vi $\lambda 1031.926$ seems to be O vi at $365 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ that can be associated with NGC $2683\left(v_{\mathrm{gal}}\right.$, $\left.D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=410,23.0,250\right)$. This is supported by the apparent $\mathrm{Ly} \beta$ line detected at $351 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, as well as by extra (though blended) absorption at the wavelength where O vi $\lambda 1037.617$ would be. Three additional galaxies in Table 3 have $v_{\text {gal }} \sim 400$ $\mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ (see Figure 8(66)): [KK98]69 ( $v_{\mathrm{gal}}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=463,6.4$, 228), UGC 4787 ( $v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=552,6.6,816$ ), and UGC 4704 ( $v_{\mathrm{gal}}, D_{\mathrm{gal}}, \rho=596,13.2,967$ ).
$P G 0953+414$-Toward this sightline the RC3 includes just two galaxies with impact parameter less than $1 \mathrm{Mpc}-$ NGC $3104\left(v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=612,11.5,296\right)$ and NGC 3184 ( $v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=593,23.4,758$ ). There is a weak feature in the damping wing of Galactic Ly $\alpha$ that is probably Ly $\alpha$ at $621 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ (see Figure 8(68)). In addition, a $5 \sigma(39 \pm 8 \pm 7 \mathrm{~mA})$ feature at $637 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ seems to be $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{VI}}$, as there are no higher redshift systems that produce absorption at that wavelength. Unfortunately, the corresponding O vi $\lambda 1037.617$ line is only measurable in the lower S/N night-only data; the upper limit ( $22 \mathrm{~m} \AA$ ) is compatible with the detection of the O vi $\lambda 1031.926$ line, however.

NED lists 20 more galaxies with impact parameter below 1 Mpc near this sightline, most of which are small. Of the 13 with velocity $\sim 600 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}, 12$ are KUG and SDSS galaxies with impact parameter $>600 \mathrm{kpc}$ (i.e., much larger than the 296 kpc to NGC 3104), and with $\rho / D_{\text {gal }}>125$, so none is listed in Table 3. However, the table does give upper limits on Ly $\alpha$ lines for two relatively small galaxies with $\rho / D_{\text {gal }}<125$ (KUG 0956+420 and Mrk 1427 at 332 and 390 kpc , respectively).

Also in NED is KUG $0952+418\left(v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=4695,9.2\right.$, 449), whose velocity is similar to that of three closely spaced Ly $\alpha$ absorption lines, at 4670,4807 and $4961 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. These three absorption lines were previously listed by Savage et al. (2002). At impact parameters larger than 1 Mpc , there are many galaxies with $v_{\text {gal }} \sim 2000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, as well as a few with $v_{\mathrm{gal}} \sim 4800 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, including some in the group GH 49 at 2.2 Mpc. Finally, UGC $5290\left(v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=5030,20.8,1292\right)$ lies about 1 Mpc away. No galaxies are known within 3 Mpc with $v_{\text {gal }}$ between 2800 and $4600 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, however. The most probable explanation for these $\mathrm{Ly} \alpha$ absorbers thus seems to be an intergalactic filament that is associated with the galaxies near $4800 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. Table 3 lists all three as associated with KUG 0952 +418 , but a deeper search for faint galaxies may turn up others.

PG 1001 +291 - The situation for PG1001 +291 is complicated. The STIS-E140M spectrum is of reasonably good quality ( $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N} \sim 8$ ), but the $F U S E$ spectrum only has $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N} \sim 4$, making the upper limits to $\mathrm{Ly} \beta$ and the O vi lines not very significant, and resulting in effectively useless C iii data. There are several galaxies with $v_{\text {gal }} \sim 500 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, with UGC 5427 being small $\left(D_{\text {gal }}=3.6 \mathrm{kpc}\right)$, but having an impact parameter of only 84 kpc . A feature is seen in the Ly $\alpha$ line at $487 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, but it is close to where the Galactic line completely saturates, so the line is noisy. Two other small galaxies have similar velocity: UGC $5340\left(v_{\mathrm{gal}}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=503,8.3,296\right)$ and UGC $5272\left(v_{\mathrm{gal}}\right.$, $D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=520,6.5,731$ ). Because of the small impact parameter, Table 3 lists the Ly $\alpha$ line at $487 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ as associated
with UGC 5427, as well as nondetections for UGC 5340 and UGC 5227.

An additional Ly $\alpha$ feature is found at $1069 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, but the nearest galaxies with similar velocities have large $\rho$ and are small (Figure 8(71)): MCG+5-24-11 (=UGCA 201, $v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}$, $\left.\rho=1363,3.5,188, \rho / D_{\text {gal }}=54\right)$, UGC $5464\left(v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=\right.$ $\left.1011,7.2,337, \rho / D_{\text {gal }}=47\right)$, and UGC $5478\left(v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=\right.$ $1378,11.2,686$ ). Table 3 chooses to associate the $1069 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ absorption with UGC $5464\left(\Delta v=-58 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$, rather than with MCG+5-24-11 $\left(\Delta v=294 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$, even though the latter has smaller impact parameter. In contrast, Bowen et al. (1996) associated the $1069 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ feature with MCG+5-24-11.

In addition to these lines, there is a Ly $\alpha$ line at $4602 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. The nearest galaxy with similar velocity is UGC 5461 at $\rho=$ 1249 kpc .

PG 1011-040-The RC3 includes three galaxies near this sightline: IC $600\left(v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=1309,15.1,417\right)$, MCG-1-26-12 ( $v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=662,10.4,750$ ), and NGC $3115\left(v_{\text {gal }}\right.$, $D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=658,26.6,900$ ). Two additional large galaxies can be found in NED: LCRS B101019.9-032413 ( $v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=$ $3395,9.8,680)$ and 2MASX J101213.26-040226.2 ( $v_{\mathrm{gal}}, D_{\text {gal }}$, $\rho=5619,20.2,852$ ). No absorption features are found in any line near the velocities of these galaxies. It is possible that Ly $\alpha$ absorption is present, but there are no Ly $\alpha$ data. No previous paper has reported results for this sightline.

PG 1049-005-Nondetections are listed for seven galaxies near this sightline: UGC $5922\left(v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=1846,9.2,391\right)$, IC $653\left(v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=5538,45.2,438\right)$, CGCG 10-41 ( $v_{\text {gal }}$, $\left.D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=1810,4.5,475\right)$, UGC $6011\left(v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=5547,33.5\right.$, 685), UGC 5943 ( $v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=4544,22.4,689$ ), NGC 3365 $\left(v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=986,23.2,943\right)$, and NGC $3521\left(v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=\right.$ $805,49.2,962$ ). The $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ of the STIS-G140M data is relatively low, however (7.2), and the detection limit is at best $75 \mathrm{~m} \AA$. Côté et al. (2005) claimed an $80 \mathrm{~m} \AA$ line at $5538 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, but this is (1) near the limit of detection and (2) more likely to be Galactic $N v \lambda 1238.821$. There is a hint of an absorption line near $2253 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, but it measures as $135 \pm 105 \mathrm{~mA}$, and this is probably not significant.

PG 1116+215-This sightline has high-S/N FUSE and STISE140M data ( $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N} \sim 25$ and $\sim 10$, respectively). Both Penton et al. (2004) and Sembach et al. (2004) reported the Ly $\alpha$ lines at 1479 and $4884 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, with equivalent widths that are within $1 \sigma$ of the values in Table 3. The feature at $1479 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ can be associated with UGC $6258\left(v_{\mathrm{gal}}, D_{\mathrm{gal}}, \rho=1454,14.4,543 \mathrm{kpc}\right.$; see Figure 8(73)). Danforth et al. (2006) listed a corresponding O vi $\lambda 1037.617$ feature. However, this can be shown to be $\mathrm{Ly} \xi$ at $z=0.138$, at which redshift there is a Lyman-limit system in which 19 Lyman lines, from Ly $\alpha$ to $\operatorname{Ly} \tau$, can be identified.

There is another Ly $\alpha$ absorber at $4884 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. The only galaxy with $\rho<3000$ and $|\Delta v|<400 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ is NGC 3649 ( $v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=4979,26.9,1742$; triangle in Figure 8(74)). There is a feature at the position of $\mathrm{Ly} \beta$ that corresponds to this Ly $\alpha$ absorption, even though it is much narrower. The most likely explanation is that the Ly $\alpha$ is actually a two-component absorber. Danforth et al. (2006) list an upper limit for O vi at this velocity, but any O vi absorption would be blended with Ly $\iota$ at $z=0.138$ and their upper limit does not take this into account.

PG 1149-110-There are eight galaxies at various velocities that lie close to this target. Unfortunately, the STIS-G140M spectrum has a relatively low $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ of 5.2 , and there is an unidentified emission feature near $1232 \AA$. Nevertheless, the following galaxies are listed in Table 3: NGC $3942\left(v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho\right.$ $=3696,232 ., 141$ ), MCG-2-30-33 ( $v_{\mathrm{gal}}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=1273$, 5.1,
442), NGC 3892 ( $\left.v_{\mathrm{gal}}, D_{\mathrm{gal}}, \rho=1697,24.3,529\right)$, MCG-2-30$39\left(v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=1483,13.6,541\right)$, LCRS B115151.0-113904 ( $v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=3009,20.4,640$ ), LCSB S1630P ( $v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho$ $=1971,11.2,642)$, PGC $37027\left(v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=2379\right.$, 14.5 , 812), and Mrk 1309 ( $v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=1715,13.2,909$ ). Ly $\alpha$ absorption lines are seen at 1665 and $3728 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, and they are associated with NGC 3942 (Figure 8(75)) and NGC 3892 (Figure 8(76)), respectively. These lines were also reported by Bowen et al. (2005). However, Bowen et al. gave an equivalent width of $1100 \pm 30 \mathrm{~m} \AA$ for the feature at $1665 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, which is clearly too strong, with too low an error. We find $437 \pm 69 \mathrm{~m} \AA$.

PG 1211+143-Together with 3C 273.0 and HE 1228+0131 this is one of three sightlines passing through the Virgo cluster. That means that many galaxies have impact parameter $<1 \mathrm{Mpc}$. A histogram of the velocities of these galaxies shows three groups: $v_{\text {gal }}<400 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}, v_{\text {gal }}=450-1600 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, and $v_{\text {gal }}$ $=1650-2550 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. Together, the RC3 and NED include 55 galaxies in the first group, 91 in the second, and 44 in the third. Many (but not all) of these galaxies were assigned to one of the LGG groups by Garcia (1993). The sightline passes between the galaxies of the LGG 285 and LGG 289 groups. So, Table 3 gives these two entries for PG $1211+143$, with the impact parameter that of the nearest group galaxy with $D_{\text {gal }}>9 \mathrm{kpc}$. LGG $289\left(\langle v\rangle=1282 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ includes IC $3077\left(v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=\right.$ $1411,9.3,215$ ), as well as NGC 4206 ( $v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=702,40.5$, 418) and three more large galaxies with $\rho>700 \mathrm{kpc}$. LGG 285 $\left(\langle v\rangle=1282 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ includes IC $3061\left(v_{\mathrm{gal}}=2361 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ at $\rho=121 \mathrm{kpc}$, NGC $4189\left(v_{\mathrm{gal}}=2113 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ at 413 kpc and seven more galaxies with $\rho=420-1000 \mathrm{kpc}$.

Absorption near these velocities is only seen at $2110 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, with equivalent width $104 \pm 8 \mathrm{~m} \AA$. It is listed under the LGG 285 group in Table 3 (at $\rho>121 \mathrm{kpc}$ ). This line was previously reported by Penton et al. (2004), although they gave $v=2130$ $\mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$, and equivalent width $186 \pm 19 \mathrm{~m} \AA$. This discrepancy may partly be because of differences in the continuum placement, but also because Penton et al. (2004) included the $<1 \sigma$ noisy wings in the velocities over which they integrated. However, we only get $125 \mathrm{~m} \AA$ if we do that.

Danforth et al. (2006) mysteriously listed a $45 \pm 14 \mathrm{~m} \AA$ O vi $\lambda 1037.617$ feature at $2130 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. However, as can be seen in Figure 2, there clearly is nothing significant within $200 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ of this velocity. We derive an upper limit of $19 \mathrm{~m} \AA$. Since Danforth et al. (2006) did not show their data, it is not possible to determine which feature they had in mind.

Penton et al. (2004) also listed the two lines at 4932 and $5015 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, with equivalent widths of $189 \pm 46$ and $154 \pm$ $40 \mathrm{~m} \AA$. We find $165 \pm 7 \pm 4$ and $231 \pm 7 \pm 4 \mathrm{~m} \AA$, respectively. Since the higher-velocity line is clearly stronger than the lower velocity one (see Figure 2), the Penton et al. (2004) result cannot be correct. The nearest galaxy with known velocity within $\pm 400 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ of these absorption lines is CGCG 69-129 ( $v_{\mathrm{gal}}$, $D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=4987,10.0,1919$ ), which is the one listed in Table 3. Potentially, IC 3073 has much smaller impact parameter (670 kpc ) if its velocity were $\sim 5000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$.

Tumlinson et al. (2005) analyzed two absorption systems at 19,400 and $15,300 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, which have many $\mathrm{HI}_{\mathrm{I}}$ lines, as well as O vi, C iII and Si iII.
$P G 1216+069-T h i s$ sightline passes within 1 Mpc from a large number of galaxies ( 265 are known in NED), including galaxies in six LGG groups-LGG $288\left(\langle v\rangle=505 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$, LGG $292\left(\langle v\rangle=938 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$, LGG $289\left(\langle v\rangle=1282 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$, LGG $287(\langle v\rangle=1655)$, LGG $278\left(\langle v\rangle=2078 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ and LGG $281\left(\langle v\rangle=2473 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$. There is a STIS-E140M
spectrum with relatively low $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}\left(9\right.$ per $6.5 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ resolution element), and a low-S/N $(\sim 5)$ FUSE spectrum, which makes the analysis difficult. Nevertheless, we can discern three Ly $\alpha$ absorption lines, at 1106,1443 , and $1895 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. The third of these is a damped Ly $\alpha$ system, which was discussed in detail by Tripp et al. (2005). Bowen et al. (1996) first identified the damped Ly $\alpha$ absorber in a low-S/N GHRS spectrum, listing a velocity of $1650 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$.

In Table 3 we associate the absorption at $1106 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ with LGG 292 (nearest galaxy NGC 4241 at $\rho=104 \mathrm{kpc}$; Figure 8 (79)), that at $1443 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ with LGG 289 (nearest galaxy UGC 7423 at $\rho=264 \mathrm{kpc}$; Figure 8(80)), and that at 1895 km $\mathrm{s}^{-1}$ with LGG 278 (nearest galaxy NGC 4223 at $\rho=283 \mathrm{kpc}$; Figure 8(81)), although the first two of these are certainly open for discussion.

In addition to the six galaxy groups at $v_{\text {gal }}<2500 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, the sightline passes within 1 Mpc from six galaxies that have $v_{\text {gal }}$ between 2500 and $5600 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ : NGC $4257\left(v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho\right.$ $=2756,16.0,689)$, NGC $4246\left(v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=3725\right.$, 40.1, 644), NGC 4247 ( $v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=3810,18.8,742$ ), NGC 4296 ( $v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=4227,25.4,596$ ), IC 771 ( $v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=5477$, $26.9,841)$, and IC $3136\left(v_{\mathrm{gal}}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=5594,28.8,684\right)$. There are two Ly $\alpha$ lines, at 3774 and $3808 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, which because of their low impact parameter are both associated with SDSS J121903.72+063343.0 ( $v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=3833,5.1,103$, $\left.\rho / D_{\text {gal }}=20.2\right)$. Nondetections are listed for NGC $4246\left(v_{\mathrm{gal}}\right.$, $\left.D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=3725,40.1,644, \rho / D_{\text {gal }}=16\right)$ and NGC $4247\left(v_{\mathrm{gal}}\right.$, $D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=3838,18.8,742, \rho / D_{\text {gal }}=39$ ), even though these are much larger galaxies and NGC 4246 has the lowest $\rho / D_{\text {gal }}$ ratio. The associations are a bit ambiguous, however.

PG $1259+593-\mathrm{PG} 1259+593$ is one of the few sightlines with both high-S/N FUSE and high-S/N STIS-E140M data. Richter et al. (2004) analyzed all IGM lines in detail, listing the $\mathrm{Ly} \alpha$ and $\mathrm{Ly} \beta$ detections at 678 and $2275 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, although they gave velocities of 686 and $2278 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. Their listed equivalent widths are similar to ours within $1 \sigma$ for the $2275 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ component, but they differ for the $678 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ component. The difference in the Ly $\alpha$ equivalent width ( $231 \pm 9 \mathrm{~mA}$ in Table 3 versus $190 \pm 24$ in Richter et al. (2004)) is probably caused by the fact that Richter et al. fitted a polynomial to the continuum around this line, while we model the Galactic damped Ly $\alpha$ profile. In the case of $\mathrm{Ly} \beta(<15 \mathrm{~m} \AA$ versus $23 \pm 6 \mathrm{~m} \AA$ in Richter et al. 2004) the difference is due to the different manner by which the $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ line is removed. Côté et al. (2005) also presented a plot of the STIS-G140M spectrum of the Ly $\alpha$ line, measuring it as $330 \pm 80 \mathrm{~m} \AA$. Our reduction of this spectrum gives $245 \pm 70 \mathrm{~m} \AA$, more in line with the equivalent width found from the E140M data.

An apparently double O vi feature is seen, centered at $627 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, surrounded by Ly $\beta$ at $2269 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and Ly $\delta$ at $z=0.0894$. The two apparent features are centered at 627 and $689 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. Even though this feature is offset in velocity from Ly $\alpha$ by $-50 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, the identification of the $627 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ feature as O vi is fairly secure, because (1) there are no high-redshift systems that produce absorption at this wavelength and (2) there is a corresponding feature for O vi $\lambda 1037.617$ that is half the strength. The other feature does not have such a counterpart. We measure $22 \pm 5 \mathrm{~m} \AA$ for the $627 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ absorption, and $14 \pm 5 \mathrm{~m} \AA$ for the $689 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ absorption. We conclude that there is O vi $\lambda 1031.926$ at $627 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, while the $689 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ absorption is considered not significant.

These features were reported in three previous papers. Richter et al. (2004) gave an equivalent width of $63 \pm 22 \mathrm{~m} \AA$, centered
at $690 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, but integrated from 580 to $710 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. However, this would not only include the probable O vi, but also the Ly $\delta$ line at $z=0.0894$; further we find an equivalent width of $50 \pm 10 \mathrm{~m} \AA$ when integrating over this velocity range. Danforth et al. (2006) listed $14 \pm 9 \mathrm{~m} \AA$ at $687 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$; apparently only measuring the least significant of the three features. Tripp et al. (2008) gave $40 \pm 5 \mathrm{~mA}$ at $630 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. Using their integration range of $590-730 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ we find $36 \pm 8 \mathrm{~m} \AA$, though a fit would give a central velocity of $644 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. Clearly, different authors do not agree about the detailed interpretation and measurement of these two features, but they all agree that $\mathrm{O}_{\text {vi }} \lambda 1031.926$ is present.

The impact parameter of UGC $8146\left(v_{\text {gal }}=669 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ is only 80 kpc , so the $\mathrm{Ly} \alpha$ and O vi absorptions can be confidently associated with that galaxy (see Figure 8(83)). Two other small galaxies have similar velocity, but are not included in Table 3 because $\rho / D_{\text {gal }}>125$. NGC $4964\left(v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=755,4.4,672\right.$ ) has large impact parameter, while SDSS J130206.46+584142.9 $\left(v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=623,1.8,72\right)$ is a dwarf companion of UGC 8146.

A second Ly $\alpha$ absorber is visible at $2275 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. There are several large galaxies with diameter $>10 \mathrm{kpc}$ and $v_{\text {gal }} \sim 2500$ $\mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ within 1 Mpc (Figure 8(84)): UGC $8046\left(v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho\right.$ $=2572,11.8,584)$, UGC $8040\left(v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=2522,16.8,595\right)$ and NGC $4814\left(v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=2513,35.0,694\right)$. The feature is listed as associated with the edge-on galaxy UGC 8040, which is the largest of the two galaxies with $\rho \sim 590 \mathrm{kpc}$. Associating it with NGC 4814 instead would have been justifiable, however. Six more dwarfs with similar velocity and $\rho<1 \mathrm{Mpc}$ are listed in NED. NED also lists SDSS J125926.78+591735.0 ( $v_{\text {gal }}=$ 2867, 6.5, 255), which is included separately in Table 3.

Finally, a third Ly $\alpha$ feature at $v<5000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ is visible at $4501 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. For this velocity neither the RC3 nor NED lists any galaxy closer than MCG+10-19-23 at impact parameter 1.8 Mpc , so this feature is classified as intergalactic in Table 3.

PG 1302-102—The STIS-E140M spectrum of this sightline shows several absorption lines between 1216 and $1224 \AA$, but all but two of these can be identified as $\operatorname{Ly} \beta$ at $z=0.188-0.192$, or $\operatorname{Ly} \gamma$ at $z=0.254$. The remaining lines are probably Ly $\alpha$ at 1045 and $1316 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. The latter identification is secure, as $\mathrm{Ly} \beta$ and $\mathrm{C}_{\text {iII }}$ absorption are also seen at this velocity, while the first is uncertain. Danforth et al. (2006) reached the same interpretation for these lines. In the RC3 and NED there are 22 galaxies with impact parameter $<1 \mathrm{Mpc}$ near this sightline. Separate entries are given in Table 3 for the 17 of these for which the ratio $\rho / D_{\text {gal }}<125$. For all except two these are upper limits, which is unsurprising since all but three of the galaxies have impact parameter $>650 \mathrm{kpc}$.

The table includes the following galaxies. Toward the north lie NGC $4939\left(v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=3111,24.6,104\right)$, DDO 163 $\left(v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=1123,11.0,930\right)$, NGC $4818\left(v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=\right.$ 1065,, 24.0, 987), and NGC 4948A ( $v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=1553,10.5$, 991). Toward the east is MCG-2-34-6 ( $v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=1213$, $18.2,391)$, while toward the south lies NGC 5068 ( $v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}$, $\rho=672,10.9,995$ ). In a westerly direction lies the group LGG 307, of which NGC $4920\left(v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=1336,6.8,473\right)$ is the nearest. Other listed group members are MCG-1-33-60 ( $v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=1487,20.1,830$ ), MCG-2-33-85 ( $v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}$, $\rho=1582,11.6,863)$, UGCA $312\left(v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=1307,8.6\right.$, 900), and UGCA 308 ( $v_{\mathrm{gal}}, D_{\mathrm{gal}}, \rho=1322,9.4,986$ ). Also toward the west are MCG-2-33-75 ( $v_{\mathrm{gal}}, D_{\mathrm{gal}}, \rho=1247,6.8$, 658), MCG-2-33-95 ( $v_{\mathrm{gal}}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=2753,17.4,657$ ), while in a southwesterly directly lie MCG-2-33-97 ( $v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=$

2705, 14.9, 782), NGC 4933 ( $v_{\mathrm{gal}}, D_{\mathrm{gal}}, \rho=2965,34.9,820$ ), UGCA $307\left(v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=824,9.1,882\right)$, and NGC $4802\left(v_{\mathrm{gal}}\right.$, $D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=1013,12.8,901$ ).

As Figure 8(86) shows, two galaxies have considerably lower impact parameter than the rest. These are the two that we associate the two Ly $\alpha$ lines with. The line at $1045 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ is associated with MCG-2-34-6, while the one at $1316 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ is listed under NGC 4920. This assignment is chosen because it minimizes the velocity differences between absorption and galaxy $\left(\Delta v=-168,20 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right.$ for this choice, instead of $\Delta v=-103,+291 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ for the alternative). MCG-1-3383 potentially has smaller impact parameter ( 308 kpc ), but its velocity is unknown.

The association of the Ly $\alpha$ line at $3909 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ with NGC 4939 is much less ambiguous, as can be seen in Figure 8 (87). NGC 4939 is the only galaxy near this velocity with low impact parameter.

PG 1341+258-Bowen et al. (2002) claimed a $120 \pm 20 \mathrm{~m} \AA$ detection of Ly $\alpha$ at $1425 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, but we do not see this line, and instead derive a $30 \mathrm{~m} \AA$ upper limit around this velocity. From the plot in the Bowen et al. (2002) paper it looks like there may be a feature, which we measure to be at $1454 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, but with equivalent width $20 \pm 12 \mathrm{~m} \AA$, i.e., not significant. The only other measurement from this sightline is a nondetection for CGCG 132-10 ( $v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=3188,6.9,825$ ).

PG 1351+640-In this spectrum absorption is seen at 1771 and $1447 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. The corresponding Ly $\beta$ lines are hidden by Galactic O vi and intrinsic Ly $\delta$ absorption, respectively. The O vi $\lambda 1031.926$ lines are hidden by strong $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ lines, while an upper limit can be derived for the O vi $\lambda 1037.617$ line.

There are five galaxies with $v_{\text {gal }}$ between 1247 and 1971 $\mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ and with $\rho<500 \mathrm{kpc}$ : UGC 8894 ( $v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=1771$, $12.9,274$ ), UGCA 375 ( $v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=1763,7.3,299$; Mrk 277 in the RC3, lying in a direction on the sky opposite to UGC 8894), SDSS J134800.10+633120.8 ( $v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=1665,2.5,284$ ), and SDSS J134711.13+625006.3 $\left(v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=1496,2.5\right.$, 482). Associating the Ly $\alpha$ at $1771 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ with UGC 8894 seems an obvious choice (see Figure 8(89)). However, associating the $1447 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ absorption is more tricky. In Table 3 it is listed with UGCA $375\left(\Delta v=316 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right.$, see Figure $\left.8(88)\right)$, but it is easy to argue that it should also be associated with UGC 8894 $\left(\Delta v=324 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ or even with SDSS J134711.13+625006.3 or SDSS J134800.10+6733120.8 $\left(\Delta v=49 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right.$ and 218 $\mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$, open circles). However, $\rho / D_{\mathrm{gal}}=192$ and 113 for these galaxies, which would be larger than is the case for any other association. Obviously, any association choice is dubious.

Penton et al. (2004) have this sightline in their sample, but surprisingly they did not list the two $3.5 \sigma$ features at 1771 and $1447 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, even though they can be seen in their figure of the spectrum and even though they sometimes listed $2 \sigma$ features that do not seem to be real.

PG 1444+407-This sightline has reasonable quality STISE140M data ( $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N} \sim 9$ ), but low-quality FUSE data ( $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N} \sim$ 4). Therefore, the upper limits for $\mathrm{Ly} \beta$ and O vi are not very significant, especially for velocities where only orbital-night data can be used. For Ly $\alpha$ the limits are about $50 \mathrm{~m} \AA$. No Ly $\alpha$ appears associated with UGC 9497 ( $v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=633$, 4.0, 445), nor with SDSS J145001.59+402142.4 ( $v_{\mathrm{gal}}, D_{\mathrm{gal}}, \rho=$ $4814,8.0,653$ ). However, Ly $\alpha$ is clearly present at $5638 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, and is associated with UGC $9502\left(v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=5672,27.8\right.$, 637).

A line also appears at $2630 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, which in Table 3 is listed under SDSS J145045.59+413742.1 ( $v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=2582$,
4.2, 897; Figure $8(90)$ ), in spite of the large impact parameter. Several other galaxies with similar velocity are present with impact parameters of $1200-1800 \mathrm{kpc}$. A potential alternative candidate is UGC 9495, whose velocity is unknown. If it is similar to the velocity of the detected Ly $\alpha$ line at 2630 $\mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$, its impact parameter would be 551 kpc , and its diameter 12.9 kpc , in which case we would associate it with the detection.

PG 1553+113-There are few galaxies in the part of the sky near PG 1553+113. Only one can be found in the RC3 or NED with impact parameter $<1 \mathrm{Mpc}$ : UGC 10014 ( $v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=$ 1121, 7.1, 916).
$P G 1626+554$ - There are just two galaxies with low impact parameter near this target: NGC $6182\left(v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=5138\right.$, $38.1,338)$ and NGC $6143\left(v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=1595,6.8,403\right)$. No $\operatorname{Ly} \alpha$ data exist, and no $\operatorname{Ly} \beta$ absorption is seen.

PHL 1811 -PHL 1811 lies in a part of the sky where there are few nearby galaxies. In fact, only two galaxies with $\rho<1 \mathrm{Mpc}$ can be found in NED: SDSS J214451.59-084537.6 ( $v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}$, $\rho=1276,0.2,674)$ and SDSS J215446.45-084616.9 $\left(v_{\text {gal }}\right.$, $D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=5498,12.0,787$ ), which is listed in Table 3. A very narrow feature (FWHM $14 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ) can be seen at $5402 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, which may be Ly $\alpha$. The sightline contains a Lyman-limit system at $z=0.08093$ which was discussed in detail by Jenkins et al. (2003, 2005).

Jenkins et al. (2003) listed possible Ly $\alpha$ lines at 3537 and 5202 $\mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$. However these are actually O vi $\lambda \lambda 1031.926,1037.617$ at $z=0.1919$, which is confirmed by the fact that these lines have the same velocity structure, as well as by the presence of a corresponding (weak) Ly $\alpha$ absorption. Danforth et al. (2006) gave a lower limit of $63 \mathrm{~m} \AA$ for the O vi line corresponding to the erroneously claimed $3537 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ Ly $\alpha$. This is not only inappropriate, since the line is redshifted O vi $\lambda 1031.926$, but also mysterious, since there is a strong redshifted $(z=0.0735)$ $\mathrm{Ly} \gamma$ line at the corresponding wavelength.

PKS 0405-12—Prochaska et al. (2004) and Williger et al. (2006) analyzed the FUSE and STIS-E140M spectra of this sightline in detail. Williger et al. (2006) listed seven Ly $\alpha$ features at $v<5000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. Four of those are less than $1.5 \sigma$ and probably not real. Lehner et al. (2007) reidentified the features and concluded that the absorptions that are believable are at $1220.605,1227.359,1230.136,1233.808$, and $1236.105 \AA$. The first two of these are best interpreted as O vi redshifted to $z=0.1828$, especially since a strong Hi absorption system ( $\mathrm{Ly} \alpha$ to $\mathrm{Ly} \zeta$ ) is seen at this redshift. The feature at 1230.136 $\AA$ is probably Ly $\alpha$ at $3574 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. The nearest galaxy with $v_{\text {gal }} \sim 3500 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ is 2MASX J040607.61-102327.2 at $\rho=$ 1.5 Mpc . The feature at $1236.105 \AA$ is listed as intergalactic Ly $\alpha$ at $v=5035 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$-no galaxies with similar velocity are known within 3 Mpc . The feature at $1233.808 \AA$ was listed as a $3 \sigma$ detection by Lehner et al. (2007), but we decided that it is not significant.

PKS 0558-504-Near this sightline lie ESO 205-G34, NGC 2104, and NGC 2101, all of which have impact parameter $>500 \mathrm{kpc}$ and velocity $\sim 1100 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. In addition, there is NGC 2152, for which no velocity has been determined, but which potentially has a small impact parameter: $\rho=$ $172 \times\left(v_{\mathrm{gal}} / 2000\right) \mathrm{kpc}$. Finally, there is ESO $205-\mathrm{G} 07$ at $v_{\text {gal }}$ $=2000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. No Ly $\alpha$ data exist for PKS 0558-504, and no Ly $\beta$ absorption with $v<5000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ is seen.

PKS 2005-489—For this sightline Penton et al. (2004) listed three $\mathrm{Ly} \alpha$ lines at velocities below $5100 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. Two of these are strong lines centered at 4973 and $5071 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, and for
both $\operatorname{Ly} \beta$ absorption is also detected. The higher-velocity $\operatorname{Ly} \beta$ line is contaminated by the FUSE detector flaw near $1043 \AA$, and its measured equivalent width is too large; however, we cannot correct for this. The nearest galaxies with similar velocity are ESO 233-G37 ( $\left.v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=4950,26.4,599\right)$ and 2MASX J200943.13-481105.2 ( $v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=5116,16.6$, 787). The feature that Penton et al. (2004) identified as a third Ly $\alpha$ at $2752 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ is more likely to be Si III absorption at a velocity of $5073 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. It has an equivalent width of $29 \pm 4$ m $\AA$. In this system $\mathrm{Ly} \alpha, \mathrm{Ly} \beta$, and $\mathrm{C}_{\text {III }}$ are detected at velocities of 5071,5079 , and $5065 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, and the $\mathrm{C}_{\text {III }}$ line is rather strong (see Table 4). Photoionization modeling using CLOUDY (Ferland et al. 1998) shows that the column densities of $\mathrm{H}_{\text {I }}, \mathrm{C}_{\text {III }}$ and Si III can be explained with a 2.8 kpc thick feature having $\log n=-4.0 \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$, and metallicity 0.1 times solar. Running a CLOUDY model with just the $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}$ and C iiI column densities leads to a prediction for the Si III equivalent width on the order of $25 \mathrm{~m} \AA$, for gas densities $\sim 10^{-4} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$.

The sightline passes through the group LGG 430, which is defined by 12 galaxies that have $\langle v\rangle=2955 \pm 213 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, with velocities ranging from 2504 to $3200 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. Seven of the defining galaxies have impact parameter $<1 \mathrm{Mpc}$ to PKS 2005-489. The RC3 lists another six galaxies within the group's defining velocity range, and includes another 10 , for a total of 23 galaxies with $v_{\text {gal }}$ in the range 2504 to $3200 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and $\rho<1 \mathrm{Mpc}$. Since the feature at $1226.916 \AA$ is most likely Si III at $5073 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, there apparently is no Ly $\alpha$ absorption associated with the group.

PKS 2155-304—Penton et al. (2000a, 2000b) listed eight Ly $\alpha$ lines at $v=2600-5700 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ in this sightline, based on a GHRS spectrum ( $20 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ resolution), although the features at 2632, 2785, 4031, 4709, and $5618 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ are all less than $2.5 \sigma$. Although Penton et al. (2000a, 2000b) listed them as having "significance level" $>4$, none of these features are confirmed in the STIS-E140M spectrum of PKS 2155-304, with upper limits that are three times better than those derived from the GHRS spectrum. Only the feature at $5618 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ is confirmed in the E140M data, but now has a velocity of $5673 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$.

The three features that Penton et al. (2000a, 2000b) listed at velocities of 4951,5013 , and $5119 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ must have been mismeasured by them. Based on the GHRS data they gave equivalent widths of 64,82 , and $218 \mathrm{~m} \AA$. We can reproduce these values by summing over the following velocity ranges: $4850-4970 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}, 4970-5040 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, and $5040-5230 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. As can be seen in Figure 2, the first of these would then cover half of the most-negative-velocity component, plus some velocities where no absorption is seen in the E140M data; although there appeared to be a hint of absorption in the G140M data. The second of the Penton et al. (2000a, 2000b) components would cover the other half of the leftmost absorber. The third component covers a velocity range that spans an asymmetrical absorption. None of these three components seem to be justifiable as is. Even if the equivalent widths given by Penton et al. (2000a, 2000b) were based on Gaussian fits, it is hard to see how they were derived.

We instead measure three different components in the STISE140M spectrum (which shows the same structure as the GHRS data), at velocities of 4990,5101 , and $5164 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. We also find $\mathrm{Ly} \beta$ absorption with similar component structure, although the absorption at the highest velocities is confused with the FUSE detector flaw near $1043 \AA$. We associate these absorbers with ESO 466-G32, a galaxy at $v_{\text {gal }}=5153 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ with impact
parameter 306 kpc , which is not included in the RC3, but listed in NED.

The sightline also passes through the edge of the LGG 450 group ( $\langle v\rangle=2601 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ). The RC3 includes two group galaxies (ESO 466-G36 and NGC 7163), while NED lists five more large (ESO 466-G29, 2dF GRSS407Z162, MCG-5-512, ESO 466-G43 and 2dF GRSS408Z175) as well as three more small galaxies with velocity between 2380 and $2875 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. However, no absorption is seen anywhere in this velocity range down to a limit of $\sim 30 \mathrm{~m} \AA$ (in spite of the $2.5 \sigma$ features claimed by Penton et al. 2000a, 2000b).

RX J0048.3+3941-For this sightline the impact parameter with M 31 is just 22 kpc . High-negative velocity O vi absorption $\left(-300 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ is present, as it is in many sightlines in the southern sky (see Wakker et al. 2003). A few other galaxies have impact parameter $<1 \mathrm{Mpc}$. Five are included in the RC3, but four are small and have velocity similar to UGC $655\left(v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}\right.$, $\rho=829,6.9,602 \mathrm{kpc}$ ), the largest and the only one listed in Table 3. NED lists two more galaxies (UGC 578 and CGCG 535-25), having velocities of 1471 and $4001 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, and impact parameters of 499 and 810 kpc . No intergalactic lines are seen near any of these velocities, although in most cases the possible intergalactic line is blended with interstellar atomic or molecular absorption.

RX J0100.4-5113-Near this target lies ESO 151-G19 ( $v_{\mathrm{gal}}$, $D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=1386,6.5,902$ ). There may be a $2 \sigma$ associated Ly $\alpha$ feature in the STIS spectrum, at $1111 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, but this is not a convincing detection and it is not listed in Table 3. There is another possible ( $3 \sigma$ ) Ly $\alpha$ feature at $4874 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$; the nearest galaxy is ESO 195-G17 ( $v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=1189,18.6$, 1189), although a galaxy with unknown velocity (ESO 195G24) would have impact parameter $\sim 800 \mathrm{kpc}$ if it were at $v_{\mathrm{gal}} \sim$ $4700 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$.

RX J1830.3+7312—As Bowen et al. (2002) reported, there are five Ly $\alpha$ lines seen toward this target in the STIS-G140M spectrum. The two absorptions at 1968 and $1549 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ can be associated with two galaxies lying close to the sightline: NGC 6645A ( $v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=1558,18.2,308$; Figure 8(95)) and NGC 6654 ( $v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=1821,18.2,186$; Figure 8(96)). There are five more galaxies with similar velocity and $\rho<1 \mathrm{Mpc}$ : MCG+12-17+27 ( $v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=1404,5.2,262$ ), UGC 11331 ( $v_{\mathrm{gal}}, D_{\mathrm{gal}}, \rho=1554,10.5,296$ ), CGCG 340-41 ( $v_{\mathrm{gal}}, D_{\mathrm{gal}}, \rho=$ $1469,4.3,337$ ), NGC 6643 ( $v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=1489,26.4,638$ ), and UGC 11193 ( $v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=1489,8.9,985$ ). As Figure 8(96) shows, the association between NGC 6654 and the $1968 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ Ly $\alpha$ absorber is easy to justify. For the other absorber, the large galaxy NGC 6654A is preferred over the smaller ones near it. These galaxies were not included as a group in the LGG list of Garcia (1993), because some redshifts were missing at the time. To account for this, a new group has been defined, using the galaxies above, except UGC 11193. The right ascension of this group would place it between LGG 420 and LGG 421, so it is identified as LGG 420A in Table 3.

For a third Ly $\alpha$ feature, at $2383 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, the nearest galaxies are UGC 11295 and UGC 11382, which have impact parameters of 1307 and 1332 kpc (see triangle in Figure 8(97)). Finally, there are lines at 4260 and $4770 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, but the only galaxy near that velocity with $\rho<3000 \mathrm{kpc}$ is UGC 11334 ( $v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}$, $\rho=4582$, 37.8, 1022 kpc ; Figure 8(98)/(99)).

Ton S180-Two galaxies in the nearest galaxy group, the Sculptor Group, have low impact parameter: NGC 247 ( $v_{\text {gal }}$, $\left.D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=159,15.7,125\right)$ and NGC $253\left(v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=251\right.$, 20.7, 166; the closed and open symbols in Figure 8(100)).

There is a clear O vi pair at $260 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, with an accompanying $2.5 \sigma$ detection of a $\mathrm{C}_{\text {III }}$ line. Ly $\beta$ is confused with geocoronal OI* emission, but seems absent, while any $\mathrm{Ly} \alpha$ is completely hidden in the Galactic Ly $\alpha$ absorption. The O vi velocity lies below the nominal survey limit of $400 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, but no positivevelocity Galactic HVCs are known in this part of the sky, so an association with NGC 247 or NGC 253 is likely. Three other Sculptor group galaxies (NGC 45, NGC 300 and NGC 24) have larger impact parameter $(437,534$, and 876 kpc$)$ and similar velocity ( 468,142 , and $554 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ); no features are seen near those velocities.

Three weak but clear Ly $\alpha$ absorbers are found between 1500 and $5000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, all of which were listed by Penton et al. (2004). In Table 3 the absorber at $2792 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ is associated with the relatively small galaxy 2MASX J005700.66-232044.2 ( $v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=2657,4.9,560$; Figure 8(102)), which is not in the RC3. The absorber at $1939 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ can be associated with either ESO 541-G05 ( $v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=1958,8.3,774$; included in the RC3) or ESO 474-G45 ( $v_{\mathrm{gal}}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=1863,7.2,777$; not in the RC3), both of which are small, but lie in opposite directions from Ton S180 (see Figure 8(101)). Table 3 includes both galaxies, but the Ly $\alpha$ is listed under ESO541-G05, while an upper limit is given for ESO 474-G45. The third Ly $\alpha$ feature is at $3001 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, but the nearest galaxy with similar velocity is ESO 474-G25 ( $v_{\mathrm{gal}}=2850 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$; Figure 8(103)), which has $\rho=1.5 \mathrm{Mpc}$.

Finally, there is a strong $\mathrm{Ly} \alpha$ and $\mathrm{Ly} \beta$ line at $5519 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, already reported by Penton et al. (2004). The nearest galaxy with similar velocity is 2MASX J010208.03-224559.7 ( $v_{\text {gal }}$, $D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=5611,16.6,1547$ ).

Ton S210-This sightline passes through the nearby Sculptor group (LGG 4). The galaxy with the smallest impact parameter is NGC 253 ( 374 kpc ). Formally, its velocity of $251 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \mathrm{ex}-$ cludes it from our sample. However, there is an O vi absorption line at $288 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, which is very unlikely to be related to the Milky Way as Ton S210 lies near the South Galactic Pole. This feature is also unlikely to be related to the Magellanic Stream, as the Stream has velocities of $\sim 0 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ in this part of the sky. It is also unlikely that this is a high-redshift line, as there are no strong intergalactic absorption-line systems in this sightline. The most likely association is with NGC 253 , or possibly with the Local Group. Unfortunately, the possible corresponding Ly $\alpha$ absorption is hidden in the Galactic Ly $\alpha$ line, and $\operatorname{Ly} \beta$ absorption is limited to $<20 \mathrm{~m} \AA$, which still means Ly $\alpha$ could be a strong as 100 mA (see Table 3).

We also give nondetections for NGC $45\left(v_{\mathrm{gal}}, D_{\mathrm{gal}}, \rho=468\right.$, $6.5,702)$, NGC $613\left(v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=1475,27,4,872\right)$, and ESO 413-G02 ( $v_{\mathrm{gal}}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=5588,17.9,942$ ).

VII Zw 118-This is one of two targets passing through the (large) group LGG 140 (the other sightline being MS 0700.7+6338). The nearest group galaxy is UGC $3648\left(v_{\text {gal }}\right.$, $\left.D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=4530,25.9,620\right)$, with UGC $3642\left(v_{\mathrm{gal}}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=4498\right.$, $28.2,738)$, and UGC $3660\left(v_{\mathrm{gal}}, D_{\mathrm{gal}}, \rho=4252,31.0,845\right)$ also within 1 Mpc . UGC 3648 was not listed as a group galaxy by Garcia (1993), but only because its velocity was not yet known at the time. A weak Ly $\alpha$ absorption at $4613 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ is seen near the group's velocity, and it is listed as associated with UGC 3648 in Table 3 (see Figure 8(107)).

A much stronger Ly $\alpha$ line is found at $2438 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, which can be associated with UGC 3748 ( $v_{\text {gal }}, D_{\text {gal }}, \rho=2479,12.2$, 753; Figure 8(106)). Ly $\beta$ is also seen in this system. We find $70 \pm 8 \mathrm{~m} \AA$ for $\mathrm{Ly} \beta$, while Shull et al. (2000) reported $110 \pm$ 50 mA . Penton et al. (2004) listed both features, but split both

Table 13
Galaxy-Absorber Coincidences ${ }^{1}$

| AGN (1) | Galaxy (2) | Type (3) | $l$ <br> $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ <br> (4) | $b$ <br> ${ }^{\circ}$ ) <br> (5) | $\begin{gathered} v_{\text {hel }} \\ \left(\mathrm{km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ <br> (6) | $\begin{gathered} D_{\text {gal }} \\ (\mathrm{Mpc}) \\ (7) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $R_{\text {gal }}$ <br> (kpc) <br> (8) | $\alpha$ <br> ${ }^{\circ}$ ) <br> (9) | $\begin{gathered} \rho \\ (\mathrm{kpc}) \\ (10) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Note (11) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1H0419-577 | LSBGF157-081 | ... | 267.22 | -41.78 | 1215 | 15.4 | 3.7 | 0.27 | 72 | NED |
| 1H0419-577 | IC2039 | .L. 0 *P | 266.36 | -44.58 | 250 | 2.9 | 0.8 | 2.62 | 132 | MINDIST |
| 1H0419-577 | NGC1574 | .LAS-*. | 266.89 | -42.58 | 925 | 13.6 | 13.4 | 0.59 | 140 | LGG112 |
| 1H0419-577 | HIPASSJ0423-56 | $\ldots$ | 265.95 | -42.60 | 1345 | 17.2 | 0.0 | 0.97 | 291 | NED |
| 1H0419-577 | APMBGC157+016+068 | ... | 265.87 | -42.67 | 1350 | 17.3 | 4.7 | 1.05 | 317 | NED |
| 1H0419-577 | IC2038 | .S..7P* | 266.34 | -44.60 | 712 | 8.1 | 4.1 | 2.64 | 373 | 0 |
| 1H0419-577 | ESO118-G19 | $\ldots$ | 268.72 | -42.59 | 1239 | 15.7 | 3.7 | 1.40 | 384 | NED |
| 1H0419-577 | NGC1533 | .LB.-.. | 266.45 | -44.43 | 790 | 9.2 | 7.4 | 2.46 | 396 | 0 |
| 1H0419-577 | NGC1533:[RMF2004]2 | ... | 266.52 | -44.36 | 831 | 9.8 | 0.0 | 2.38 | 406 | NED |
| 1H0419-577 | NGC1533:[RMF2004]1 | $\ldots$ | 266.53 | -44.36 | 846 | 10.0 | 0.0 | 2.38 | 415 | NED |

Notes. 1: Column 1 gives the name of the AGN target, Column 2 the galaxies near the AGN sightline. Column 3 is the galaxy type, taken from the RC3 (de Vaucouleurs et al. 1991). Columns 4 and 5 give the Galactic longitude and latitude of the galaxy. Column 6, 7 , and 8 are the galaxy's heliocentric velocity, estimated distance, and estimated diameter (with values of 0.0 meaning the diameter is unknown). Columns 9 and 10 are the angular and line-of-sight separation between target and galaxy (in degrees and kpc ). Column 11 gives a note: group membership (followed by the distance that would have been derived if the galaxy's velocity was used directly), whether the galaxy's velocity is unknown (note=ASSUMEV), or unknown and checked in NED (note=ASSUMEV-CHK), or whether the minimum distance ( 2.9 Mpc ) was assumed (note=MINDIST). A plain number gives the velocity distance for galaxies for which a better distance estimate was instead taken from the literature. For galaxies that are not included in the RC3, the note "NED" is given.
(This table is available in its entirety in a machine-readable form in the online journal. A portion is shown here for guidance regarding its form and content.)
of them into two components. Based on just the noisy line structure there is little evidence to support this, except that single lines would have relatively large (though not extraordinarily so) FWHM (148 and $109 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ), and that the Ly $\beta$ line has an FWHM of only $63 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. The $2438 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ Ly $\alpha$ line also may be slightly asymmetric.

A weak $(3 \sigma)$ Ly $\alpha$ feature is also seen at $1697 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. The nearest galaxy with similar velocity is UGC 3685 ( $v_{\mathrm{gal}}=$ $1797 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ), at 1.4 Mpc (Figure 8(105)). However, a galaxy with unknown velocity, UGCA 133, might have $\rho=800 \mathrm{kpc}$ if its velocity were about $1700 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$.

## APPENDIX B

We include a table of all galaxies in our sample that have velocity less than $7000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and impact parameter less than 2 Mpc to one of the 76 extragalactic sightlines. A sample is included, but the full table can be found in the online version of this paper. It is important to note that this table in inhomogeneous. Near some sightlines deep searches for dwarf galaxies exist, near others they do not. The table should therefore not be used for statistical analyses for faint galaxies, except for galaxies with diameter $>7.5 \mathrm{kpc}$.

The values in the "Note" column give extra information. Notes starting with "GH" or "LGG' indicate that the galaxy is a member of a GH or an LGG group; this is followed by the distance that would have been derived if the galaxy's velocity were used directly. Other notes can be "ASSUME," when the galaxy's velocity is unknown, "ASSUMEV-CHK" when the galaxy's velocity remained unknown after we checked NED, or "MINDIST," when we assumed the minimum distance of 2.9 Mpc to estimate the impact parameter. A plain number gives the velocity distance for galaxies for which a better distance estimate was instead taken from the literature.
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