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Abstract
C-arm cone-beam CT could replace preoperative multi-detector CT scans in the cardiac interventional setting. However, cardiac gating results in view angle undersampling and the small size of the detector results in projection data truncation. These problems are incompatible with conventional tomographic reconstruction algorithms. In this paper, the prior image constrained compressed sensing (PICCS) reconstruction method was adapted to solve these issues. The performance of the proposed method was compared to that of FDK, FDK with extrapolated projection data (E-FDK), and total variation-based compressed sensing. A canine projection dataset acquired using a clinical C-arm imaging system supplied realistic cardiac motion and anatomy for this evaluation. Three different levels of truncation were simulated. The relative root mean squared error and the universal image quality index were used to quantify the reconstruction accuracy. Three main conclusions were reached. (1) The adapted version of the PICCS algorithm offered the highest image quality and reconstruction accuracy. (2) No meaningful variation in performance was observed when the amount of truncation was changed. (3) This study showed evidence that accurate interior tomography with an undersampled acquisition is possible for realistic objects if a prior image with minimal artifacts is available.

(Some figures may appear in colour only in the online journal)
1. Introduction

Operative image guidance has enabled the development of numerous percutaneous interventions in cardiology (Topol 2011), vascular medicine (Valji 2006) and oncology (Geschwind and Soulen 2008). In electrophysiological procedures, volumetric image datasets are used to produce a roadmap of the atria which is registered to fluoroscopic images during catheter ablation procedures for the treatment of cardiac arrhythmias (Ejima et al 2010, Cappato et al 2010). Novel stem cell injection procedures for myocardial regeneration (Stamm et al 2003) may also benefit from volumetric roadmaps. The 3D dataset used in these procedures can be obtained using a preoperative multi-detector CT (MDCT) scan. However, the repeated image registration required between the MDCT image volume and images acquired using the real time imaging devices in the surgical room can significantly prolong the procedure. Therefore, it is highly desirable to directly use the cone-beam CT (CBCT) imaging capability provided by the digital flat panel-based interventional imaging suites to generate the necessary roadmap for such interventions. Due to cardiac motion, it may also be desirable to obtain a separate roadmap for different cardiac phases for optimal guidance. Such time-resolved navigation would require 4D CBCT imaging.

However, time-resolved interventional cardiac CBCT is technically challenging for two reasons. First, high temporal resolution images must be obtained using a C-arm gantry. Such a system has a relatively slow period of rotation, which allows several heart contractions to occur during the scan. The acquired projection data are retrospectively sorted into different cardiac phases. Each gated dataset is used to reconstruct a separate image volume for each phase. The first challenge originates from the fact that these gated datasets are highly undersampled with respect to view angles. If a conventional filtered backprojection (FBP) reconstruction algorithm—such as the FDK algorithm (Feldkamp et al 1984)—is used, the reconstructed images are contaminated by a high level of streaking artifacts. The second challenge is caused by the small size of the detector, only about 20 cm across in most cases. This results in a small scanning field of view (SFOV), which is often too small to cover the entire width of adult patients. Consequently, the system is prone to data truncation artifacts if FBP methods are used. These two challenges severely limit the quality of the current cardiac C-arm CBCT images.

Given that images are known a priori to be sparse under a particular transform, a possible approach to relax the classical Nyquist–Shannon sampling requirements is to use compressed sensing (CS) (Donoho 2006, Candès et al 2006, Candes and Tao 2006). The CS approach used in this paper is called prior image constrained compressed sensing (PICCS) (Chen et al 2008). PICCS improves on CS by incorporating a prior image similar to that to be reconstructed into a sparsifying transformation. This was shown to improve the undersampling capabilities of the CS framework and the noise properties of the images reconstructed (Chen et al 2008).

PICCS was applied to dynamic CBCT in image-guided radiation therapy (Leng et al 2008) and in image-guided cardiac interventions (Chen et al 2011). In these applications, the prior image is reconstructed using the non-gated, fully sampled projection dataset. However, the data truncation problem was not addressed in these initial publications.

The problem of image reconstruction from truncated projections has been studied extensively (Natterer 1986). It is well known that it results in severe cupping artifacts. Several exact and approximate methods have been suggested to improve image quality. The projection data extrapolation method aims to approximate the missing projection segments using some a priori information (Herman and Lewitt 1981, Ogawa et al 1984, Ohnesorge et al 2000, Ruchala et al 2002, Hsieh et al 2004, Sourbelle et al 2005, Starman et al 2005, Wiegert et al 2005, Anoop and Rajgopal 2007, Zamyatin and Nakanishi 2007, ...
Maltz et al 2007, Kolditz et al 2010, 2011, Zhao et al 2011). It is also possible to increase the spatial and angular sampling to mitigate cupping artifacts moderately. Such an increase minimizes the impact of missing segments in the center of the field of view. The idea of differentiated backprojection (DBP) has been shown to enable exact reconstruction in cases of partial data truncation (Chen 2003, Noo et al 2004, Zou and Pan 2004, Zhuang et al 2004, Pan et al 2005, Defrise et al 2006). The DBP can be used to exactly reconstruct images from fully truncated projection data using a projection onto convex sets (POCS) algorithm provided that accurate information about the image object is known for a small region of interest inside the object (Ye et al 2007a, 2007b, 2008, Li et al 2009, Yu et al 2008, Kudo et al 2008). Recently, the reconstruction of piecewise-constant image objects from truncated projections has been shown to be possible using total variation-based compressed sensing (TVCS) (Yu and Wang 2009, Yu et al 2009, Han et al 2009). In these publications, TVCS was applied to fully sampled projection datasets. Higher order TVCS has also been shown to enable the exact reconstruction of piecewise polynomial objects (Yang et al 2010). A method combining the TVCS and the POCS approaches has been suggested (Taguchi et al 2011). Hardware solutions such as multi-resolution (Maass et al 2011) acquisitions and x-ray filtration (Schafer et al 2010) have also been investigated.

In this paper, we address both challenges encountered in cardiac C-arm CBCT by adapting the PICCS (Chen et al 2008) to account for projection data truncation. The prior image for the PICCS reconstruction is reconstructed using the conventional FDK algorithm combined with a projection data extrapolation scheme. Furthermore, the PICCS objective function is modified to account for truncated projections.

The layout of this paper is as follows. Section 2 briefly reviews the PICCS algorithm and its application to cardiac CBCT. Section 3 describes the data extrapolation method used to produce the prior image. The adapted version of the PICCS algorithm is presented in section 4. The methods and materials are described in section 5, while the results are presented in section 6, discussion in section 7 and conclusions in section 8.

2. Review of PICCS

CS is a technique that aims to find solutions to underdetermined linear systems. One of its enabling ideas is sparsity. If a signal is known to be sparse under a certain transform, then it is possible to reconstruct the signal with high accuracy using a number of samples considerably lower than that dictated by the Nyquist–Shannon criterion. In practice, the reconstruction is accomplished by a constrained $\ell_1$-norm minimization since this procedure is tractable and is known to promote sparsity.

$$\hat{x} = \arg\min_x |\Psi x|_1 \text{ subject to } Ax = y,$$

where $\hat{x}$ is the reconstructed signal, $\Psi$ is a transformation under which $x$ is sparse, $A$ is the measurement system matrix and $y$ is the measurement data vector. Another possible formulation of this problem is closely related to basis pursuit denoising (Chen 2001):

$$\hat{x} = \arg\min_x \lambda|\Psi x|_1 + \|Ax - y\|^2_2.$$  (2)

The generalization of equation (2) in the context of statistical image reconstruction was presented in Tang et al (2009).

Defrise et al (2011, Ouyang et al 2011, Ritschl et al 2011). If one stores an $M \times N$ image as a vector using lexicographical ordering $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{MN \times 1}$, the $\ell_1$-norm of the gradient transformation is equal to the total variation (TV)

$$|\Psi \mathbf{x}|_1 = TV(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_i \sqrt{(x_i - x_{i-1})^2 + (x_i - x_{i-M})^2 + \varepsilon^2},$$

where $\varepsilon$ is a small constant which ensures that the TV is differentiable at the origin.

In medical imaging, an image similar to the one to be reconstructed is often available. The PICCS algorithm takes advantage of this prior image in order to sparsify the reconstruction using a difference operation. This operation also enables the target image to partially inherit the noise characteristics of the prior image. Formally, the reconstruction can be accomplished by solving the following optimization problem (Chen et al 2008, Theriault-Lauzier et al 2012):

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}} = \arg \min_{\mathbf{x}} (\alpha |\Psi(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_p)|_1 + (1 - \alpha)|\Psi \mathbf{x}|_1 + \lambda ||\mathbf{Ax} - \mathbf{y}||_2^2),$$

where $\mathbf{x}_p$ is the prior image and $\alpha$ is the prior image parameter that controls the relative weight of the two sparsity-promoting terms of the objective function. $\lambda$ is the regularization parameter to trade off the PICCS regularization function term and the data fidelity term.

The nature of the prior image is application specific (Nett et al 2008, Leng et al 2008, Chen et al 2009a, Tang et al 2010, Szczukutowicz and Chen 2010, Ramirez-Giraldo et al 2011, Lubner et al 2011). In the case of time-resolved cardiac CBCT, an interventional cardiac C-arm gantry with a flat-panel detector is used to acquire the projection dataset using a single slow (8–14 s) rotation (Chen et al 2009b, 2011). Several cardiac contractions occur during the acquisition of over 400 view angles. A reconstruction of the whole dataset using FDK yields a temporally averaged image volume with a relatively high SNR and a low level of streaking artifacts. These characteristics make this image a prime candidate to be used as a prior image. To recover temporal information, the projection dataset is retrospectively gated using the electrocardiogram (ECG) signal recorded during the acquisition. Each gated dataset only includes 14–30 view angles depending on the heart rate of the subjects. This makes the reconstruction of time-resolved images a highly underdetermined inverse problem, which is solved using the PICCS algorithm. The workflow described above is summarized schematically in figure 1.

The potential limitations of the approach are described in Chen et al (2011). The minimal number of projection view angles per gated dataset that allowed the recovery of temporal information was determined to be 14. For a 14 s acquisition, the subject’s heart rate should be above 60 bpm, which is not difficult to fulfill in clinical practice.

3. Projection data extrapolation

The prior image used in cardiac CBCT PICCS is reconstructed using the FDK algorithm. Images reconstructed using FDK with truncated projection data suffer from considerable artifacts. To mitigate these artifacts, projection data extrapolation is used in this study.

The goal of projection data extrapolation is to mitigate truncation artifacts and to moderately extend the field of view that is reconstructed accurately. The approach used in this study approximates the support of the object by an ellipsoid (Kolditz et al 2011). The investigation of specific methods of obtaining the approximate support—such as projection based, camera based, or a priori volume techniques—is out of the scope of this research. It is assumed for the rest of this paper that an approximate support is known a priori.
Figure 1. Schematic workflow of the PICCS-based 4D cardiac CBCT image acquisition and reconstruction framework. The raw projection dataset is acquired in a single rotation. The gated datasets do not share common projection view angles with each other; in other words, the gating procedure sorts a particular view angle into a single gated dataset.

Given a truncated cone-beam projection dataset $y(u, v, t)$, where $u$, $v$ and $t$ parameterize, respectively, the in-plane dimension, the axial dimension and the view angle, the algorithm used to generate the prior image from truncated projection is described below.

**ALGORITHM: projection data extrapolation FDK (E-FDK)**

**INPUT:** truncated projection data $y$, ellipsoid support parameters $(a, b, c)$ and center $(x_0, y_0, z_0)$

**OUTPUT:** reconstructed prior image $x_p$

$y_{\text{ellipsoid}}(u, v, t) \leftarrow$ SIMULATE cone beam projection data of ellipsoid $(a, b, c, x_0, y_0, z_0)$

FOR all rows $v$ and view angles $t$ DO

$(u_0, u_1) \leftarrow$ DETEMINE edges of truncated projection

FOR all $u < u_0$ DO

$$y_{\text{extrapolated}}(u, v, t) \leftarrow y(u_0, v, t) / y_{\text{ellipsoid}}(u_0, v, t) \times y_{\text{ellipsoid}}(u, v, t)$$

END

FOR all $u > u_1$ DO

$$y_{\text{extrapolated}}(u, v, t) \leftarrow y(u_1, v, t) / y_{\text{ellipsoid}}(u_1, v, t) \times y_{\text{ellipsoid}}(u, v, t)$$

END

END

$x_p(x, y, z) \leftarrow$ PERFORM FDK reconstruction ($y_{\text{extrapolated}}$)

This method ensures continuity at the boundary between the measured and extrapolated regions. A schematic representation of an in-plane projection profile after the extrapolation procedure ($y_{\text{extrapolated}}$) is illustrated in figure 2.
Figure 2. Illustration of the projection data extrapolation method. The extrapolated segments are the dashed lines.

Figure 3. Illustration of the various image and projection space regions. $F$ is the scanner field of view (SFOV). $S$ corresponds to the assumed object support excluding the SFOV. $E$ is the extrapolated region of projection space. $T$ is the region of projection space for which data are acquired.

4. 4D cardiac CBCT using adapted PICCS

The PICCS algorithm can be modified in order to reconstruct images from fully truncated projection datasets. Specifically, the various terms of the objective function are calculated from specific regions of the image volume. The objective function is modified in the following manner:

\[
\hat{x} = \arg \min_x \left( \alpha |\Psi(x - x_p)|_{1,F} + (1 - \alpha) |\Psi x|_{1,S,t,F} + \lambda |A_{S,t,F} x - y|_{2,T} \right). \tag{5}
\]

In this objective function, each term is calculated over the specific regions of the image object. The definition of the regions and the corresponding labels are given in figure 3. To be more specific, let us define the terms of the objective function as

\[
f_1(x) = \alpha |\Psi(x - x_p)|_{1,F} = \frac{\alpha}{N_F} \sum_{i \in F} ||\Psi(x - x_p)||_1,
\]

\[
f_2(x) = (1 - \alpha) |\Psi x|_{1,S,t,F} = \frac{1 - \alpha}{N_{S,t,F}} \sum_{i \in S,t,F} ||\Psi x||_1,
\]

and

\[
f_3(x) = \lambda |A_{S,t,F} x - y|_{2,T}^2 = \frac{\lambda}{N_T} \sum_{j \in T} ||y_j - \sum_{i \in S,t,F} A_{j,i} x_i||^2.
\]

(6)

(7)

(8)
The regions of image and projection space used in equations (4)–(7) are illustrated in figure 3. $N_F$, $N_{S,F}$ and $N_T$ are the number of voxels in each associated image region. Region $S$ is excluded from the $f_1$ term since the prior image is not expected to be accurate in that region. For the same reason, the $f_3$ term excludes the extrapolated region $E$. $f_3$ also excludes the region of the image outside $S$ in order to improve the convergence speed and accuracy. However, the term $f_2$ includes region $S$ since it is desirable that the image be approximately piecewise constant in that region.

As previously discussed, it was demonstrated in Yu and Wang (2009), Yu et al (2009) and Han et al (2009) that piecewise-constant objects can be reconstructed from fully truncated projections using TVCS. In the case of TV-based PICCS, this result applies in two ways. First, images of the cardiac ROI are mostly piecewise constant since the contrast agent has a relatively constant concentration within the heart chambers. The TV term, $f_2$, applies a penalty which favors a piecewise-constant image $x$. Second, the difference image $x - x_p$ is expected to also be mostly piecewise constant. The prior image has only minimal truncation artifacts and temporal inconsistencies in $x_p$ mostly manifest themselves as large-scale changes in contrast. The proposed approach takes advantage of these characteristics via the prior image term $f_1$. This term promotes the reconstruction of a target image $x$ that yields a piecewise-constant difference image $x - x_p$.

The hypothesis investigated in this study was that the adapted PICCS reconstruction algorithm mitigates both truncation and undersampling artifacts in the context of 4D cardiac CBCT.

5. Methods and materials

The strategy used to evaluate the hypothesis was to acquire non-truncated projections using a clinical interventional system in an animal model and to truncate the projections artificially. This ensured that a non-truncated reconstruction was available for comparison. The amount of truncation was varied to simulate different detector dimensions. Four algorithms were used to reconstruct the datasets: FDK, E-FDK, TVCS and PICCS.

The dataset used in this study was acquired in vivo in a canine model. The study had Institutional Animal Care and User Committee approval. The subject was a one-year-old beagle with a weight of 9.8 kg. It was intubated, and its heart rate averaged 95 bpm during the scan. Prior to the scan, 37 mL of iodixanol-320 contrast agent (Visipaque, GE Healthcare, Waukesha, WI) was diluted in 113 mL of saline. The solution was injected using an automated injector (Medrad, Warrendale, PA). A GE Innova 4100 interventional imaging system (GE Healthcare, Waukesha, WI) with a detector size of $(40 \times 40) \text{ cm}^2$ was used to acquire 420 projection view angles over a short-scan angular range of $210^\circ$. The total scan time was $14 \text{ s}$ during which mechanical ventilation was suspended. The projections were retrospectively gated into 20 cardiac phases, each with 20–21 projection view angles. After reconstruction, each gated projection dataset yielded an independent image volume. In all cases, the image matrix size was set to $256 \times 256 \times 128$ with an isotropic voxel size of $0.68 \text{ mm}$.

The ECG-based gating was performed using MATLAB (MathWorks, Natick, MA). Both TVCS and PICCS were implemented using the nonlinear conjugate gradient method with backtracking line search (Nocedal and Wright 1999). For all algorithms, the forward and backprojection, as well as the filtration operations, were implemented using CUDA (Nvidia, Santa Clara, CA). The underlying optimization algorithm was coded using C++. A workstation equipped with a Q6700 Core 2 Quad CPU (Intel, Santa Clara, CA) and a GeForce GTX 560 Ti (Nvidia, Santa Clara, CA) was used to process the reconstructions.
Three levels of truncation were simulated, corresponding to flat-panel sizes of \((20 \times 20), (15 \times 15)\) and \((10 \times 10)\) cm\(^2\). The projection data outside the artificial SFOV were set to zero for the FDK reconstruction. This method is expected to produce images with both truncation and undersampling artifacts. The E-FDK algorithm described above was also applied to the gated projections; the reconstructions are expected to have mitigated truncation artifacts, but to be affected by undersampling. To conform with the method proposed in Yu and Wang (2009), all voxels of the initial guess image were set to zero for the TVCS reconstructions. In the case of PICCS, the prior image was used as an initial guess. This image was reconstructed using E-FDK from the full 420-view angle dataset. The PICCS reconstruction parameters \(\alpha\) and \(\lambda\) were set based on the results from Chen et al (2011). \(\alpha\) was set to 0.5 in all cases.

Reference images were reconstructed from the non-truncated datasets using the PICCS algorithm. To evaluate the reconstruction accuracy achieved by each algorithm, two quantitative metrics were used. The first metric, the relative root mean squared error (rRMSE), was measured within the SFOV for each approach:

\[
r_{\text{RMSE}}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{\max(\mathbf{x}_{\text{ref}}) - \min(\mathbf{x}_{\text{ref}})} \sqrt{\frac{1}{N_{\text{SFOV}}} \sum_{i \in \text{SFOV}} \left( x_i - \mathbf{x}_{i,\text{ref}} \right)^2},
\]

where \(N_{\text{SFOV}}\) is the number of voxels within the SFOV. The functions \(\max()\) and \(\min()\) yield the maximal and minimal voxel values within the SFOV of the reference image \(\mathbf{x}_{\text{ref}}\). The second metric, the universal quality index (UQI) (Wang and Bovik 2002), is defined as

\[
\text{UQI}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{4\sigma_{\text{ref}} \mu_{\text{ref}} \mu_{\mathbf{x}}}{(\sigma_{\mathbf{x}}^2 + \sigma_{\text{ref}}^2)(\mu_{\text{ref}}^2 + \mu_{\mathbf{x}}^2)},
\]

where

\[
\mu_{\text{ref}} = \frac{\sum_{i \in \text{SFOV}} x_{i,\text{ref}}}{N_{\text{SFOV}}},
\]

\[
\mu_{\mathbf{x}} = \frac{\sum_{i \in \text{SFOV}} x_i}{N_{\text{SFOV}}},
\]

\[
\mu_{\mathbf{x}} = \frac{\sum_{i \in \text{SFOV}} \left( x_{i,\text{ref}} - \mu_{\text{ref}} \right)^2}{(N_{\text{SFOV}} - 1)},
\]

\[
\sigma_{\text{ref}}^2 = \frac{\sum_{i \in \text{SFOV}} \left( x_{i,\text{ref}} - \mu_{\text{ref}} \right)^2}{(N_{\text{SFOV}} - 1)},
\]

\[
\sigma_{\mathbf{x}}^2 = \frac{\sum_{i \in \text{SFOV}} (x_i - \mu_{\mathbf{x}})^2}{(N_{\text{SFOV}} - 1)},
\]

\[
\sigma_{\text{ref},\mathbf{x}} = \frac{\sum_{i \in \text{SFOV}} (x_i - \mu_{\mathbf{x}})(x_{i,\text{ref}} - \mu_{\text{ref}})}{(N_{\text{SFOV}} - 1)},
\]

and \(N_{\text{SFOV}}\) is the number of voxels within the SFOV. The UQI takes values between 0 and 1. Large values correspond to good agreement in terms of correlation, mean image intensity value and the contrast curve.

The left ventricular volume (LVV) was measured by manual delineation of every slice of each image volume for all cardiac phases. Because of the subjectivity of the measurement procedure, the LVV was not meant to be fully quantitative. It is only used to illustrate the temporal dynamics of the dataset and a potential clinical use of 4D cardiac imaging.
6. Results

The prior image used for the PICCS reconstructions with a simulated detector size of (15 × 15) cm² is presented in figure 4. The E-FDK algorithm was used to reconstruct this image. The boundary of the SFOV is indicated by the blue long-dashed line in figure 4, while the green short-dashed line encircles the assumed ellipsoidal object support.

The gated datasets with a simulated detector size of (15 × 15) cm² were used to investigate the performance of the four algorithms. In order to illustrate the dynamics present in the datasets, the LVV was measured from the truncated PICCS reconstructions (figure 5). Again, this measurement was not meant to quantitatively evaluate the performance of the algorithm, but rather to show a potential clinical application.

The images reconstructed using FDK, E-FDK, TVCS and PICCS are presented in figures 6 (axial view), 7 (coronal view) and 8 (sagittal view).

As shown in figures 6–8, the level and nature of artifacts vary between images reconstructed using different algorithms. The FDK reconstructions are plagued by a high
Figure 6. Axial slice through the reconstructions from truncated projection dataset simulating a $(15 \times 15)$ cm$^2$ detector size for the four reconstruction algorithms studied. Time frame 8 is at the end of the systole and time frame 17 is at the end of the diastole. The long-dashed line encircles region F and the short-dashed line encircles region S.

Figure 7. Coronal slice through the reconstructions from truncated projection dataset simulating a $(15 \times 15)$ cm$^2$ detector size for the four reconstruction algorithms studied. Time frame 8 is at the end of the systole and time frame 17 is at the end of the diastole. The long-dashed line encircles region F and the short-dashed line encircles region S.

level of truncation (cupping) and undersampling (streaks) artifacts. The latter artifacts also contaminate the E-FDK images. However, truncation artifacts are mostly corrected on these E-FDK images. The TVCS method mitigated both cupping and streaks. However, the object studied is only approximately piecewise constant. The use of TVCS results in images which contain a large amount of patchy artifacts. These are particularly visible in the axial view since the TV is calculated in that plane. Small-scale and low-contrast structures are particularly degraded by this algorithm. The images reconstructed using PICCS have mitigated both
undersampling and truncation artifacts. Furthermore, the algorithm accurately reconstructs both small-scale and low-contrast structures and does not result in obvious patchy artifacts.

In order to quantify the reconstruction accuracy, the $r\text{RMSE}$ and the UQI were measured for all images reconstructed with a simulated detector size of $(15 \times 15)$ cm$^2$. The results are plotted in figure 9. The reference image used was the non-truncated PICCS reconstruction. The quantitative accuracy of each algorithm increases as expected from the qualitative evaluation. The average $r\text{RMSE}$ over the 20 cardiac phases was 20.5% for FDK, 11.4% for E-FDK, 6.3% for TVCS and 1.91% for PICCS which indicated that the PICCS reconstructions have the highest accuracy. The average UQI over the 20 cardiac phases was 0.732 for FDK, 0.869 for E-FDK, 0.964 for TVCS and 0.998 for PICCS which indicated that the image appearance is the most similar to the non-truncated case for the PICCS reconstructions.

The first time frame of the dynamic dataset was used to evaluate the performance of the proposed approach with respect to the amount of truncation present at three simulated detector
The reconstructions with various levels of truncation are presented in figure 10. In each case, the reconstruction is only expected to be accurate within the SFOV denoted by the blue dashed line. Except for the reduction in SFOV size, no qualitative difference is observed within the SFOV.

For all truncation cases, the \( rRMSE \) was measured to be very close to 1.6% and the UQI of about 0.996. Within the precision given, no dependence on the amount of truncation was observed. To ensure consistency between levels of truncation, all measurements were carried out within the SFOV of the \((10 \times 10)\) cm\(^2\) detector case using the non-truncated \((40 \times 40)\) cm\(^2\) detector image as a reference.

7. Discussion

A potential limitation of the quantitative measurements presented is that the reference image was produced using the non-truncated PICCS algorithm, which could have given PICCS an advantage over the other algorithms. However, a fully sampled reference image was not available in this case. While this may limit the strength of the conclusion about the advantage of PICCS over other algorithms, the results strongly support the hypothesis that the adapted PICCS mitigates both truncation and undersampling artifacts.

Another potential limitation of this study is the use a single canine dataset. Differences between the anatomy of the subject and that of patients are likely to result in variations in size and position of the regions described in figure 3. The evaluation with respect to the amount of truncation present showed no meaningful dependence of the reconstruction accuracy on the size of the SFOV. This suggests that the proposed approach is rather robust with respect to geometrical variations. Further investigations, including a large-scale human subject validation, will answer this question more definitively in the future.

The datasets used in this study, like the vast majority of medically relevant cases, were only approximately piecewise constant. Also, they were highly undersampled. Both of these...
factors explain the presence of patchy artifacts and, consequently, the poor image quality of TVCS images. The results presented here expand on those of Yu and Wang (2009), where fully sampled projection datasets were used. It is demonstrated that the images reconstructed using TVCS with truncation and undersampling suffer from the same types of artifacts as images with no truncation. Furthermore, the results presented here suggest that the requirement of TVCS approaches (Yu and Wang 2009, Yu et al 2009, Han et al 2009, Yang et al 2010) with regard to the properties of the object can be somewhat relaxed in practice. Indeed, it is demonstrated that realistic objects for which a prior image with minimal artifacts is available can be reconstructed with high accuracy using PICCS. This result is not specific to cardiac CBCT imaging, but rather is general to all types of truncated CT imaging.

It should be noted that the adaptation of the PICCS algorithm presented in this paper is not fundamentally different from the formulation from Chen et al (2008). Essentially, the region of the image from which the terms of the objective function are calculated and the algorithm used to reconstruct the prior image are the only differences between the implementation used here and that used in other PICCS applications.

Finally, beyond the advantages relating to the less-stringent detector size constraints, the results presented in this paper are also significant in terms of radiation dose reduction. Based on these results, it can be readily concluded that a high image quality can be maintained even if the x-ray beam is collimated down to cover only a volume of interest. A reduction in the width of the beam results in a reduction of the volume irradiated and in the overall radiation dose. This may have clinical significance.

8. Conclusion

Four algorithms were studied with regard to their ability to reconstruct time-resolved cardiac images from fully truncated projections acquired using a cardiac C-arm interventional system. A canine projection dataset was acquired in realistic conditions and supplied realistic cardiac motion. Three main conclusions can be drawn from the results. (1) The adapted version of the PICCS algorithm was shown to offer the highest image quality and reconstruction accuracy when compared with other approaches. (2) No meaningful variation in performance of PICCS was observed when the amount of truncation was varied. (3) This study showed evidence that accurate interior tomography with an undersampled acquisition is possible for realistic objects if a prior image with minimal artifacts is available to be used in PICCS.
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