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Abstract

Treatment planning for patients undergoing radiation therapy is often performed based on four-dimensional computed tomography (4DCT) when respiratory motion is present, as in lung cancer patients. 4DCT is used to define the internal target volume (ITV) that, ideally, incorporates all potential locations of the tumour. In this work, we use the locations of gold fiducial markers implanted in lung tumours of eight patients to represent tumour motion. These fiducial locations are used in a simulation of a four-slice CT scanner to generate the ITV for 10, 20 and 30 mm diameter model tumours. To demonstrate instabilities in the ITV definition based on 4DCT, the ITV calculation was repeated for the same patients for consecutive scan start times, staggered by 1 s. The volumetric difference in the ITV and the per cent of time that the ITV contains in the tumour are both evaluated. The ITV from a single patient was found to vary by 46%–127% for a tumour diameter of 10 mm. The ITV did not cover the entirety of the tumour 11%–74% of the time for a 10 mm tumour diameter. (Some figures may appear in colour only in the online journal)

1. Introduction

Radiation therapy is a local therapy where the objective is to treat the tumour volume while sparing the surrounding organs and tissues. This becomes a greater challenge when the tumour volume moves with internal organ motion (Keall et al 2006). A patient undergoing radiation therapy will often be imaged prior to treatment to determine the treatment volume. The prescribing volumes for radiation therapy are recommended by the International Commission on Radiation Units and Measurements in Report 83 (ICRU 2010), where the concept of the internal target volume (ITV) is used to account for uncertainties in the size, shape and position of the clinical target volume. This internal volume should encompass all locations of the tumour...
due to internal organ motion. To determine where the tumour may move with respect to the patient’s bony anatomy, a four-dimensional computed tomography (4DCT) scan is acquired while the patient breathes (Rietzel et al 2005). The ITV is taken from the maximum intensity projection (MIP) of the 4DCT scan and this volume is the union of all tumour positions during the imaging study (Underberg et al 2005). Clinically, the ITV derived from the 4DCT scan represents all positions of the tumour during the imaging study.

The ITV reproducibility has been previously evaluated by investigators looking at the tumour volumes on CT scans that were acquired sequentially (Siker et al 2006). More recently, limitations of 4DCT in the context of treatment planning have been studied by comparing the ITV acquired in 4DCT to dynamic MR images (Cai et al 2010) and by simulating the tumour volume based on the external breathing traces of patients (Sarker et al 2010). The accuracy of the MIP with respect to determining the ITV has also been measured by simulating tumour motion in a physical phantom (Park et al 2009) and by measuring the tumour motion from dynamic MRI and performing simulations (Cai et al 2008). All of these studies demonstrate that variations in the ITV depend on the tumour size and the amplitude and regularity of the breathing pattern.

The goal of this work is to investigate the stability of the ITV definition as it is clinically used today and to evaluate how well the ITV covers the tumour volume for patients with lung cancer. This is the first time that the clinical interpretation of the ITV concept has been tested using internal fiducial markers in patients with lung cancer and the first time that temporal variations in the ITV are investigated.

2. Methods

The simulations in this paper are based on data from eight patients with early stage lung cancer that were imaged and treated using the Mitsubishi Real Time Radiation Therapy System in the Radiation Oncology Clinic at the Nippon Telegraph and Telephone Company in Sapporo, Japan. The details of the therapy and internal tracking system have been previously reported (Shirato et al 2000). For these patients, 1.5 mm diameter gold fiducial markers were implanted in the lung tumours and stereoscopic images of the markers were taken at a sampling rate of 30 Hz. From these images, the 3D locations of the gold markers could be determined with an accuracy of ±1 mm. All of the patients were freebreathing and were selected for internal marker motion greater than 5 mm. For the eight patients analysed, there is more than 250 min of lung tumour tracking information with simultaneous external motion.

2.1. Instabilities in ITV definition

All of the simulations were constructed with MATLAB (The Mathworks, Natick MA). Using the patient data, a tumour was modelled as a sphere with location extracted from the experimental data. With this tumour volume, we simulated a 4DCT scan acquired in cine mode, accounting for the time required to acquire a single bed position (6 s), the travel time between bed positions (1.5 s), the slice thickness (2.5 mm) and the number of slices acquired per bed position (4). This simulation represents a typical clinical scan used for radiotherapy planning and accounts for the discrete nature of four slice CT scanners that are often used in radiation oncology clinics (including our own). In these simulations, the tumour may not be represented smoothly across boundaries of the four-slice CT scanner. This is because the tumour locations in the first four slices are based on the first 6 s of imaging time, while in the next four slices the tumour locations are based on the following 6 s of imaging time (accounting for the time for the bed to move to the second position). The ITV was taken to be the union of
Table 1. The ITV differences (%) for the eight patients studied.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient number</th>
<th>Tumour diameter 10 mm</th>
<th>Tumour diameter 20 mm</th>
<th>Tumour diameter 30 mm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

all tumour positions during the scan time, as seen by the CT scanner; this is analogous to an MIP of the tumour that is clinically used to create ITVs. This was a geometric study and image reconstruction was not used. As an MIP can be constructed from a cine 4DCT acquisition without performing any respiratory sorting, and the ITV is constructed from the MIP, sorting techniques were not considered as a source of error in this study. In these simulations, the variables that affect the ITV include tumour motion, tumour size, tumour shape, the scan duration and the geometry of the CT scanner. The ITV calculation was repeated for the same patients for consecutive scan start times, staggered by 1 s. To quantify the ITV variations, the per cent difference in the ITVs for the same patients was calculated using

\[
\text{ITV percent difference} = \frac{200 \times (\text{maximum ITV} - \text{minimum ITV})}{\text{(maximum ITV + minimum ITV)}} \%.
\]

2.2. Margin evaluation

For each patient, a uniform margin of varying size (0–10 mm) was added to the ITV and the per cent of the time that the ITV and the margin covered the tumour volume was calculated. To achieve this, two datasets were chosen for each patient. The first dataset was used to generate ITVs following the method described in section 2.1. The second dataset was used to compare the ITV to the tumour position. The first 60 s of the second dataset was used to calculate the centre-of-mass position of the tumour on the treatment day and align this with the centre-of-mass position of the ITV. The remaining samples in the dataset were used to calculate the amount of time that the ITV plus a uniform margin covered during the tumour location. For every time point, the coverage of the ITV was determined by calculating the volume of the tumour at that time point that the simulated ITV would not cover. This volume represents tumour tissue that would be undercovered during radiation therapy. This process was repeated for ITVs generated with consecutive start times at 1 s intervals.

3. Results

3.1. ITV variations

The ITVs for the different patients varied by 12%–127% for the 10 mm diameter model tumour, 6%–99% for the 20 mm diameter model tumour and 15%–69% for the 30 mm diameter model tumour. The differences in the ITV for the eight patients and three model tumours are reported in table 1 and were calculated using equation (1).

Histograms of the ITVs are shown in figure 1 for all the eight patients evaluated. For reference, the tumour volume for a 10 mm diameter sphere is 0.5 ml, for a 20 mm diameter sphere is 4.2 ml and for a 30 mm diameter sphere is 14.1 ml.
Figure 1. Histograms of the ITVs for the eight patients in the study. The three populations in each subplot correspond to the tumour diameters of 10 (red, left), 20 (blue, centre) and 30 mm (green, right).

Figure 2 shows the ITVs for the 10 mm model tumour as a function of the start time in the scan for a period of 60 s. Plotting the ITVs as a function of time illustrates the dynamic nature of the ITV definition used clinically.

3.2. ITV coverage

For the eight patients studied, the ITV coverage is plotted in figure 3 as a function of uniform margin. To illustrate these results, the ITV coverage with a 1 mm uniform margin, along with the internal superior–inferior (SI) tumour motion and the corresponding ITVs for one patient, is shown in figure 4. The colour map displays the volume of the tumour in percentage that would be subject to undercoverage, as a function of the ITV number (shown on the x-axis) and the time (shown on the y-axis). The corresponding ITVs are shown below the colour map, while the internal SI tumour motion is shown to the left of the colour map. As in the previous simulations, the ITV is generated from four bed positions spanning the tumour position (as seen by the scanner) for 28.5 s. This instantaneous comparison shows (i) if the ITV covers the tumour position for that one time point and (ii) if not, then how much of the tumour is not covered at that specific time point.

4. Discussion

Highlighted in this work are the potential drawbacks of using 4DCT for treatment planning purposes. From this study, variations of up to 127% were observed in the ITVs for a 10 mm diameter model tumour, up to 99% for a 20 mm diameter model tumour and up to 69% for a 30 mm model tumour. For the eight patients studied, the ITV accounted for the entire range of the tumour motion in none of the patients. The difference in coverage can be attributed to the differences in the breathing of each patient. For patients with a poor ITV coverage, this could
Figure 2. The variation in the ITV with time for the eight patients in the study. For patient 5 data from two separate treatment fractions is shown, with the dotted red line corresponding to data from the second treatment.

Figure 3. A plot of the ITV coverage for all eight patients, for margins varying from 0 to 10 mm.

be due to either (i) one or more shallow breaths during the ITV generation or (ii) one or more deep breaths during the comparison. This would correspond to one or more shallow breaths during simulation and one or more deep breaths during therapy. Clinically, the first source of error is more problematic and could result in undercoverage of the tumour for all planned
Figure 4. The ITV coverage as a function of time and ITV number for patient 2 with a 1 mm uniform margin. For illustration, the corresponding ITV (from day 1) is shown below the ITV coverage and the internal superior–inferior tumour motion (from day 2) is shown on the left of the ITV coverage.

radiation fields. While the second source of error is concerning, it is an error that would not be propagated to the entire course of treatment for the patient. Not considered in this study is the effect of a single large breath during the treatment simulation. A single large breath would result in a larger than required ITV and additional radiation dose to healthy lung tissue.

The larger axial field-of-view CT scanners may maximize the probability of the treatment plan covering the tumour volume; however, this would only occur in the situation where the duration of the CT simulation was comparable to the total duration of the four-slice CT study as imaging over a longer period of time has the benefit of potentially covering more tumour locations. A change in image acquisition protocols (e.g. increasing the scan time while maintaining a constant mAs per imaging study) may be a more accurate method to determine the ITV in patients with large variations in their breathing. While it is preferable to more accurately define the ITV than to add uniform margins, the simulations in this work demonstrate that adding a uniform margin of 1 mm will improve the ITV coverage time from 11%–74% of the time to 31%–98%.

The purpose of this study is to demonstrate shortcomings in the ITV generation based on conventional treatment planning 4DCT. The dosimetric impact of not covering a tumour volume, or of accidentally irradiating healthy tissue, is beyond the scope of this paper. While, in some of the patient cohort it is possible that the planning treatment volume (PTV) covered the tumour location, the purpose of the PTV is to account for patient setup errors that are independent of the tumour motion. It is the role of the ITV to account for the tumour motion within the patient.

This study demonstrates previously understated limitations of 4DCT in treatment planning for radiation therapy of lung tumours. These results highlight the need for monitoring patient breathing before and during the 4DCT used for simulation to ensure that a representative ITV
is generated. Breath coaching, whenever possible, may also minimize the variations in the ITV. Real-time tumour tracking remains the most promising method to ensure that the dose to the tumour is maximized, while the dose to healthy tissue is minimized (Berbeco et al 2005, Rottman et al 2010, Lewis et al 2010).
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