

A SYSTEMATIC ANALYSIS OF Fe II EMISSION IN QUASARS: EVIDENCE FOR INFLOW TO THE CENTRAL BLACK HOLE

CHEN HU,^{1,2,3} JIAN-MIN WANG,² LUIS C. HO,⁴ YAN-MEI CHEN,^{2,3}
 HAO-TONG ZHANG,¹ WEI-HAO BIAN,^{2,5} AND SUI-JIAN XUE¹

Received 2008 February 12; accepted 2008 July 10

ABSTRACT

Broad Fe II emission is a prominent feature of the optical and ultraviolet spectra of quasars. We report on a systematical investigation of optical Fe II emission in a large sample of 4037 $z < 0.8$ quasars selected from the Sloan Digital Sky Survey. We have developed and tested a detailed line-fitting technique, taking into account the complex continuum and narrow and broad emission-line spectra. Our primary goal is to quantify the velocity broadening and velocity shift of the Fe II spectrum in order to constrain the location of the Fe II-emitting region and its relation to the broad-line region. We find that the majority of quasars show Fe II emission that is redshifted, typically by $\sim 400 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, but up to 2000 km s^{-1} , with respect to the systemic velocity of the narrow-line region or of the conventional broad-line region as traced by the $H\beta$ line. Moreover, the line width of Fe II is significantly narrower than that of the broad component of $H\beta$. We show that the magnitude of the Fe II redshift correlates inversely with the Eddington ratio, and that there is a tendency for sources with redshifted Fe II emission to show red asymmetry in the $H\beta$ line. These characteristics strongly suggest that Fe II originates from a location different from, and most likely exterior to, the region that produces most of $H\beta$. The Fe II-emitting zone traces a portion of the broad-line region of intermediate velocities whose dynamics may be dominated by infall.

Subject headings: galaxies: nuclei — line: profiles — quasars: emission lines — quasars: general — galaxies: Seyfert

Online material: color figures, machine-readable table

1. INTRODUCTION

Fe emission contributes significantly to the optical and ultraviolet (UV) spectra of most active galactic nuclei (AGNs), both in terms of wavelength coverage and flux. The properties of the Fe II-emitting clouds may provide important clues to the underlying physics in the broad-line region (BLR). First, Fe II emission can be used to constrain the covering factor of BLR clouds from energy budget considerations. Second, the ratio of the equivalent width (EW) of Fe II to that of $H\beta_{\text{BC}}$ strongly varies with statistical measures of AGN correlations, such as the so-called eigenvector 1 derived from principal component analysis, which is believed to be driven by some fundamental property such as mass accretion rate (e.g., Boroson & Green 1992; Sulentic et al. 2000a, 2000b; Marziani et al. 2001, 2003a). Third, Fe abundance derived from Fe II emission can be used to study the cosmological evolution of AGNs and possibly chemical enrichment of their hosts and environment (e.g., Wills et al. 1985; Wheeler et al. 1989; Dietrich et al. 2002, 2003; Maiolino et al. 2003). Careful measurement of the properties of Fe II emission in a large sample of AGNs will clearly have a considerable impact on our understanding of these systems.

The origin of the optical/UV Fe emission has been hotly debated for more than two decades. Thousands of Fe emission lines

blend together to form a pseudocontinuum, which, when combined with Balmer continuum emission, results in the “small blue bump” around 3000 \AA (Grandi 1982; Wills et al. 1985). Previously, theoretical calculations of photoionized clouds in the BLR encountered difficulties reproducing the observed strength of strong Fe II emission, prompting many authors to propose additional physical mechanisms (Netzer & Wills 1983; Wills et al. 1985; Joly 1987; Joly 1991; Collin-Souffrin et al. 1988; Sigut & Pradhan 1998). Recently, a more sophisticated calculation by Baldwin et al. (2004) revealed that the predicted shape and EW of the $2200\text{--}2800 \text{ \AA}$ Fe II UV bump can only be made consistent with observed values if either microturbulence of hundreds of km s^{-1} or another collisionally excited component is included in the model.

Despite its significance, current observations of Fe II emission provide poor constraints on its origin. Some studies suggest that Fe II emission originates from the same region as the other broad emission lines. For example, Phillips (1977), Boroson & Green (1992), Laor et al. (1997b), and Véron-Cetty et al. (2004) observed similar line widths and profiles for Fe II and $H\beta_{\text{BC}}$, and Maoz et al. (1993) found that both Fe II emission and the Balmer continuum have comparable variation amplitudes. But there is a growing debate on this issue. Marziani et al. (2003b) found that $H\beta_{\text{BC}}$ is systematically broader than Fe II for sources with $\text{FWHM}(H\beta_{\text{BC}}) > 4000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. Recent studies of Fe II emission variability have shown that Fe II emission responds to variations in continuum flux but that the variability amplitude of Fe II is not the same as that of $H\beta$ (Vestergaard & Peterson 2005 and references therein; Wang et al. 2005; Kuehn et al. 2008). In fact, the upper limit on the time lag between Fe II emission and the continuum exceeds the lag of any other observed emission lines obtained (Vestergaard & Peterson 2005). This implies that Fe II may be emitted from further out in the BLR than any other broad emission line. Kuehn

¹ National Astronomical Observatories of China, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100012, China; chenhu@bao.ac.cn.

² Key Laboratory for Particle Astrophysics, Institute of High Energy Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100039, China.

³ Graduate University of the Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China.

⁴ The Observatories of the Carnegie Institution of Washington, 813 Santa Barbara Street, Pasadena, CA 91101.

⁵ Department of Physics and Institute of Theoretical Physics, Nanjing Normal University, Nanjing 210097, China.

et al. (2008) suggested that Fe II may be produced from a region between the BLR and the dust sublimation radius. The study of Matsuoka et al. (2008), based on measurements of the O I and Ca II emission lines, supports the notion that Fe II emerges from the outer portion of the BLR. In a recent three-dimensional spectroscopic study of Mrk 493, Popović et al. (2007) found that the Fe II emission region is extensive and that the line width of Fe II is only $\frac{1}{3}$ of that of $H\beta_{BC}$, leading them to suggest that Fe II emission originates in an intermediate-line region. Sluse et al. (2007) also found evidence that a large fraction of the Fe II emission arise in the outer parts of the BLR by means of a microlensing study. If these findings can be confirmed, Fe II emission can be a probe of the intermediate-line region, which may be the transition from the torus to the BLR and accretion disk.

Quasar emission lines often exhibit considerable velocity shifts with respect to each other. However, the relative velocity of Fe II emission with respect to other lines has not been well studied, especially systematically for a large sample of objects (only a few measurements of individual sources have been published; e.g., Véron-Cetty et al. 2004). In fact, almost all broad quasar emission lines show blueward velocity shifts (Gaskell 1982; Carswell et al. 1991), with the exception of Mg II (no shift) and $H\beta$ (redward shift in some studies; e.g., McIntosh et al. 1999). Most previous studies simply *assumed* that Fe II has no shift with respect to [O II] (e.g., Boroson & Green 1992; Marziani et al. 1996; McLure & Jarvis 2002; Dietrich et al. 2003; Greene & Ho 2005b; Kim et al. 2006; Woo et al. 2006) and that it has the same line width as the broad component of $H\beta$ (e.g., Netzer & Trakhtenbrot 2007; Salvander et al. 2007). The goal of this study is to test this assumption.

The present paper presents the first detailed investigation of the velocity shift and width of optical Fe II emission⁶ in a large sample of quasars selected from the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS; York et al. 2000). Our primary motivation is to determine the physical location and origin of the Fe II-emitting region. We describe selection of the sample in § 2 and spectral analysis in § 3. We test the reliability of the measurements and the errors using Monte Carlo simulations (§ 3.3), and then check how significantly our method improves the spectral fit and how our method affects the measurements of other emission-line parameters (§ 3.4). Section 4 discusses the results we obtained, including the distribution of Fe II emission shifts and widths, correlations with other parameters, and also an analysis of the composite spectra. The implications of our results are discussed in § 5, with concluding remarks given in § 6.

Throughout this work, we adopt the following cosmological parameters: $H_0 = 70 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$, $\Omega_m = 0.3$, and $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.7$ (Spergel et al. 2007).

2. SAMPLE SELECTION

Our sample is selected from the SDSS Fifth Data Release (DR5; Adelman-McCarthy et al. 2007) quasar catalog (Schneider et al. 2007). We choose objects with redshift $z < 0.8$ to ensure that the [O II] emission line lies within the SDSS spectral coverage. Since the SDSS quasar sample is flux-limited and selected by broadband colors (Richards et al. 2002a), care must be exercised in using it to study the quasar luminosity function (Vanden Berk et al. 2005; Richards et al. 2006) or its cosmological evolution. However, this sample is adequate for the scientific goals of this work.

We impose a series of selection criteria to ensure reliable measurements of Fe II emission. (1) We require a signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) > 10 in the wavelength range 4430–5550 Å, covering

$H\beta$, [O III], and the most prominent features of optical Fe II emission. (2) We remove sources that have reduced $\chi^2 > 4$ in the continuum decomposition (§ 3.1.3). These sources cannot be fitted well by the present continuum model (eq. [1] in § 3.1). (3) We remove sources that have $EW_{Fe} < 25 \text{ Å}$; this EW cut is determined by the simulations described in § 3.3. (4) We also remove sources with $H\beta_{BC}$ FWHM errors $> 10\%$ and [O III] $\lambda 5007$ peak velocity shift errors $> 100 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. In total, the final sample contains 4037 sources, which is roughly 30% of all quasars in DR5 with redshift $z < 0.8$.

3. SPECTRAL FITTING

The spectra of quasars from UV to optical wavelengths are remarkably similar to each other. The quasar composite spectrum (e.g., Vanden Berk et al. 2001) is characterized by a featureless continuum and a plethora of broad and narrow emission lines. In the luminosity range of interest to us here, very little, if any, starlight is observed, so we can ignore the host galaxy contribution to the spectrum. The validity of this simplification can be tested from our spectral fitting—nearly all of the sources in the sample can be well fitted without a host galaxy component.

The procedure of our spectral fitting algorithm is as follows. We begin by deredshifting the spectrum after correcting for Galactic extinction. Then, the continuum is decomposed into three components: (1) a single power law, (2) Balmer continuum emission (supplemented with high-order Balmer emission lines), and (3) a pseudocontinuum due to blended Fe emission. We subtract this continuum model to obtain a pure emission-line spectrum, which is then fitted to derive parameters for the emission lines. The measurement of Fe emission is strongly affected by the uncertainty in the determination of the continuum level. Since there are almost no “pure” continuum windows, a simultaneous fit should be performed to decouple the Fe emission from the featureless continuum, rather than fitting the two independently. (Tsuzuki et al. [2006] adopt an alternative approach in which they use a theoretical model to estimate the flux fraction of the emission lines in the continuum windows.) The following subsections will describe each step in detail.

3.1. Continuum Decomposition

We use the R_V -dependent Galactic extinction law given by Cardelli et al. (1989) and assume $R_V = 3.1$. Equations (2a) and (2b) in Cardelli et al. (1989) are used for the infrared band, but equations (3a) and (3b) are replaced by those in O’Donnell (1994) for the optical band. We adopt the Galactic extinction in the u band listed in the SDSS quasar catalog (Schneider et al. 2007) and change it to the V band using the relation $A_V = A_u/1.579$ (Schlegel et al. 1998). Then, we deredshift the extinction-corrected spectrum using the redshift provided by the SDSS pipeline. This value of redshift is later refined using the line centroid of [O III] $\lambda 5007$ measured after continuum decomposition (see § 3.5).

We model the continuum of the spectrum after Galactic extinction and redshift correction (hereinafter the corrected spectrum) using three components:

$$F_\lambda = F_\lambda^{\text{PL}}(F_{5100}, \alpha) + F_\lambda^{\text{BaC}}(F_{\text{BE}}, \tau_{\text{BE}}) + F_\lambda^{\text{Fe}}(F_{\text{Fe}}, \text{FWHM}_{\text{Fe}}, V_{\text{Fe}}). \quad (1)$$

In total there are seven free parameters. The first term is the featureless power law,

$$F_\lambda^{\text{PL}} = F_{5100} \left(\frac{\lambda}{5100 \text{ Å}} \right)^\alpha, \quad (2)$$

⁶ Unless otherwise noted, the Fe II emission in this paper refers to the optical band.

where F_{5100} is the flux density at 5100 Å and α is the spectral index. The second and third terms denote the Balmer continuum and Fe emission, respectively, which are described below. For the Fe emission term, F_{Fe} is the flux and V_{Fe} is the shift velocity of Fe II.

3.1.1. Balmer Continuum and High-Order Balmer Lines

Following Grandi (1982) and Dietrich et al. (2002) the Balmer continuum produced by a partially optically thick cloud with a uniform temperature can be expressed by

$$F_{\lambda}^{\text{BaC}} = F_{\text{BE}} B_{\lambda}(T_e)(1 - e^{-\tau_{\lambda}}) \quad (3)$$

for wavelengths shortward of the Balmer edge ($\lambda_{\text{BE}} = 3646$ Å). $B_{\lambda}(T_e)$ is the Planck function at an electron temperature T_e , F_{BE} is a normalization coefficient for the flux at λ_{BE} , and τ_{λ} is the optical depth at λ expressed by

$$\tau_{\lambda} = \tau_{\text{BE}} \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda_{\text{BE}}} \right), \quad (4)$$

where τ_{BE} is the optical depth at the Balmer edge. There are two free parameters, F_{BE} and τ_{BE} . Following Dietrich et al. (2002), we assume $T_e = 15,000$ K.

At wavelengths $\lambda > \lambda_{\text{BE}}$, blended higher order Balmer lines give a smooth rise in the spectrum from ~ 4000 Å to the Balmer edge (Wills et al. 1985). We treat the higher order Balmer lines in a manner similar to that in Dietrich et al. (2003), with some modifications. To determine the relative strengths of the transitions with $7 \leq n \leq 50$, we use the line emissivities given by Storey & Hummer (1995) for Case B, $T_e = 15,000$ K, and $n_e = 10^8 \text{ cm}^{-3}$. We normalize the flux of the higher order Balmer lines to the flux of the Balmer continuum at the edge using the results in Wills et al. (1985). (This implies that the higher order Balmer lines also depend on F_{BE} and τ_{BE} .) In order to smooth the rise to the Balmer edge, we assume that each line has a Gaussian profile with FWHM = 8000 km s^{-1} . In practice, none of the assumptions concerning the higher order Balmer lines actually impact our results because our fitting windows do not include this region (see § 3.1.3).

3.1.2. Fe Emission

Phillips (1977) first introduced the template-fitting method to treat Fe emission in AGNs, using the Fe spectrum of the narrow-line Seyfert 1 (NLS1) galaxy I Zw 1 ($z = 0.061$) to construct an Fe II template. In most applications of this method, the amount of velocity broadening applied to the template during spectral fitting is either solved as a free parameter or is fixed (usually to the FWHM of broad H β). But the Fe template itself is not allowed to shift in velocity. We follow essentially the same template-fitting, but we explicitly allow the width and shift of Fe II to be free parameters. We now describe the details of our algorithm.

Considering the redshift range of our sample, we need both the UV and optical Fe template for I Zw 1. In the UV band, we adopt the Fe template of Vestergaard & Wilkes (2001). Note that their template is set to zero around the Mg II line, which is unphysical. However, the Mg II line is not the main focus of the present work, and for the purposes of this work we do not concern ourselves with this complication. In the optical, apart from the widely used template constructed by Boroson & Green (1992) some others are also available. For example, a template of any width can be constructed from the list of Fe lines for I Zw 1 given by Véron-Cetty et al. (2004). We compared these two different templates and in the end chose the one from Boroson & Green

(1992) (kindly provided by T. A. Boroson) because it gives smaller reduced χ^2 . However, we have verified that the shift of Fe emission, one of the main goals of this work, is actually not sensitive to the choice of template. The velocity shifts measured using either of the two template are consistent with each other.

We combine the UV and optical templates to form a single template (with a gap from 3100 to 3700 Å, which has no data) and convolve it with a Gaussian function:

$$F_{\lambda}^{\text{Fe}} = F_{\lambda}^{\text{I Zw 1}} * G(F_{\text{conv}}, \text{FWHM}_{\text{conv}}, V_{\text{conv}}), \quad (5)$$

where $F_{\lambda}^{\text{I Zw 1}}$ is the I Zw 1 Fe template, G is a Gaussian function with flux F_{conv} , width $\text{FWHM}_{\text{conv}}$, and peak velocity shift V_{conv} . The convolution is done in logarithmic wavelength space because $d(\ln \lambda) = d\lambda/\lambda = dv/c$. The parameters in equation (1) can be calculated as follows. The flux of the Fe emission, F_{Fe} , is equal to F_{conv} multiplied by the flux of the template. The shift of the Fe spectrum, V_{Fe} , is simply V_{conv} . Finally, the FWHM of the Fe lines can be expressed as

$$\text{FWHM}_{\text{Fe}} = \sqrt{\text{FWHM}_{\text{I Zw 1}}^2 + \text{FWHM}_{\text{conv}}^2}. \quad (6)$$

In the above algorithm, we assume that the Fe emission in the UV and optical have the same width and velocity shift, and that the ratio of UV Fe flux to optical Fe flux is fixed to that of I Zw 1. These assumptions help to reduce the number of free parameters, and seem appropriate given the S/N of the present sample. If we split the UV/optical template following Verner et al. (2004) to three major wavelength bands—UV (2000–3000 Å), small blue bump (3000–3500 Å), and optical (4000–6000 Å)—the final results will be determined mainly by the optical Fe emission. The reasons are as follows. First, the small blue bump Fe emission is outside of our fitting windows (see § 3.1.3). Second, the spectra of most of the quasars in the sample either do not cover or only cover a very narrow segment of the UV Fe emission wavelength range. Third, the S/N of the UV band is lower than the optical band. Thus, our measurements mainly trace the optical Fe emission and are not very sensitive to UV Fe emission. We consider each of the three assumptions in turn.

The ratio of UV to optical Fe flux has been investigated by many authors (e.g., Sigut et al. 2004; Verner et al. 2004; Baldwin et al. 2004 and references therein). This ratio depends on the physical parameters of the clouds, such as the hydrogen density n_{H} , the hydrogen-ionizing flux Φ_{H} , the velocity of turbulence, and so forth. However, for physical conditions typical of quasars ($n_{\text{H}} \approx 10^{11} \text{ cm}^{-3}$, $\Phi_{\text{H}} \approx 3 \times 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$; Ferland et al. 1992), models of Fe emission indicate that there are large regions of parameter space where the ratio is roughly constant (Figs. 3 and 4. in Verner et al. 2004). This suggests that adopting a single ratio of UV to optical Fe flux (fixed to that of I Zw 1) should be a reasonably good approximation.

The width of the UV Fe lines is also not necessarily equal to that of the optical Fe lines. Many authors fix the width of the optical Fe lines to the width of broad H β_{BC} , while in the UV the width is fixed to that of Mg II (e.g., Salviander et al. 2007). This procedure implicitly assumes that the optical Fe lines and H β_{BC} originate from the same region, and similarly that the UV Fe lines follow Mg II. Empirically, however, the width of H β_{BC} is consistent with that of Mg II (McLure & Jarvis 2002); the difference is only 0.05 dex on average (Salviander et al. 2007). Our results in § 4.2 also show this. Thus, for the present purposes, it is safe to assume one single value for the width of the optical and UV Fe lines. We leave the width as a free parameter, as has been done in many

previous studies (e.g., McLure & Jarvis 2002; Dietrich et al. 2003; Kim et al. 2006).

The velocity shift of the UV Fe lines⁷ could be different from that of the optical lines if they arise from different regions. We use only the optical Fe template and fit the continuum only in the optical band for testing. The resulting Fe shifts change little, demonstrating that our measurements are mainly determined by the optical Fe lines. Thus, for our goal of studying the optical Fe lines, assuming one single shift for the UV and optical Fe lines is an adequate approximation.

It should be noted here that because of the two reasons mentioned above (low S/N around UV Fe lines and incomplete wavelength near), the present paper cannot conclude whether the UV and optical Fe II have a common origin.

3.1.3. Multicomponent Fit

The continuum model described by equation (1) is fitted by minimizing the quantity

$$\chi^2 = \sum_i \left(\frac{y_i - y_{\text{model}}}{\sigma_i} \right)^2, \quad (7)$$

where σ_i is the error of the data set (x_i, y_i) . We adopt the Levenberg-Marquardt method (Press et al. 1992, chap. 15.5) to solve equation (1), which is nonlinear. We also use it in fitting the emission lines (§ 3.2). The fitting is performed in the following windows: 2470–2625, 2675–2755, 2855–3010, 3625–3645, 4170–4260, 4430–4770, 5080–5550, 6050–6200, and 6890–7010 Å. These windows are devoid of strong emission lines (Vestergaard & Wilkes 2001; Kim et al. 2006). The window 3625–3645 Å is used to constrain the Balmer continuum emission, because in this region there is no strong Fe emission (Wills et al. 1985). The reduced χ^2 distribution has a median value of $\chi^2 = 1.365$.

Two examples of continuum decomposition are shown in Figures 1 and 2. The top panel shows the corrected spectrum. The spectrum in the fitting window is plotted in green. Each component is plotted in blue and the summed continuum in red. The middle panel shows the residual spectrum, which is the pure emission-line spectrum for the next step (§ 3.2). The model fits the spectrum very well except in the region ~ 3100 – 3700 Å, where no Fe template is available. In fact, the total flux of the residual spectrum in this region strongly correlates with the Fe flux F_{Fe} . This is consistent with the “small blue bump” being produced by Fe lines and the Balmer continuum (Wills et al. 1985). We subtract the power law and the Balmer continuum from the corrected spectrum and show the enlarged resultant spectrum (Fe-only spectrum) in the wavelength range 4100–5600 Å in the bottom panel. The Fe model is plotted in red.

From the first example on SDSS J115507.61+520129.6, which has narrow Fe lines, the Fe model not only agrees with the Fe-only spectrum in our fitting windows (*green*), but it also fits the two strong Fe II lines at 4924 and 5018 Å very well, even though these two lines are not in the fitting windows. Two blue dashed lines in the middle panel mark the positions of H β λ 4861 and [O III] λ 5007. The blue dashed line in the bottom panel marks the position of Fe II λ 4924 line with zero velocity shift. The shift of Fe emission in this source can be seen clearly from the position of the Fe II λ 4924 line; the velocity shift, as measured from

the model and with respect to [O III], is $459 \pm 16 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. For the second source, SDSS J112611.63+425246.4, Fe II λ 4924 cannot be distinguished from [O III] λ 4959, but the shift can be seen from the Fe II model. In this case, it is $1691 \pm 119 \text{ km s}^{-1}$.

3.2. Emission-Line Fitting

After subtracting the continuum, we measure the H β and [O III] emission lines from the pure emission-line spectrum. We use multiple components to fit the emission lines over the wavelength range 4770–5080 Å. The narrow H β component, [O III] λ 4959, and [O III] λ 5007 are modeled using three Gaussian. The [O III] λ 4959, 5007 lines are forced to have the same FWHM and no relative wavelength shift, and their intensity ratio fixed to the theoretical value of 3.0. The narrow H β component (H β_{NC}) is forced to have the same FWHM as [O III] λ 5007, a shift of up to 600 km s^{-1} relative to [O III] λ 5007, and an intensity constrained to lie between 1/20 and 1/3 of that of [O III] λ 5007 (e.g., Veilleux & Osterbrock 1987; McGill et al. 2008). If necessary, we add another two Gaussian components for [O III] λ 4959, 5007 to match their wings, and a corresponding Gaussian is added to H β_{NC} to ensure that H β_{NC} and [O III] have the same profile. Following Salvander et al. (2007) and McGill et al. (2008) the broad H β component is modeled using a Gauss-Hermite function (van der Marel & Franx 1993), whose best fit yields the FWHM, peak velocity shift, and the square root of the second moment ($\sigma_{\text{H}\beta}$). As illustration, Figure 3 shows the emission-line fitting for the two sources in Figures 1 and 2. The top panel shows the pure emission-line spectrum. The multiple components are in blue and the sum of them is in red; the bottom panel is the residuals.

We also measure the [O III] and Mg II emission lines when they are available. Since the continuum around [O III] is not well determined (we make two assumptions in the model for the higher-order Balmer lines; see the last paragraph in § 3.1.1), we simply fit [O II] above a locally defined continuum with a single Gaussian (Greene & Ho 2005a). As many sources have weak [O II] emission, we adopt as detection criterion that the line must have an amplitude larger than 3 times the standard deviation of the local continuum. The Mg II λ 2796, 2803 doublet is fitted using two Gauss-Hermite functions; they have the same parameters except that the intensity ratio between them is fixed to 2 (Baldwin et al. 1996). The FWHMs and velocity shifts of Mg II are calculated from a single Gauss-Hermite function.

3.3. Tests of the Continuum Decomposition

The template-fitting method for measuring Fe emission and the Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm for nonlinear fitting are widely used as almost “standard” approaches. We add a new parameter V_{Fe} and set FWHM_{Fe} free in our fitting. Since the S/N of the majority of the sources in our sample are low (about 50% have $\text{S/N} < 15$), it is necessary to test the reliability of the Fe emission measurement. We perform a suite of simulations similar to those done in Greene & Ho (2006). Using a Monte Carlo method to generate artificial spectra, we measure the Fe emission of these spectra using the same method as that used for the observed spectra. Differences between input and output parameters can then be compared to evaluate potential systematic errors and biases.

We build the simulated continuum spectrum as a linear combination of a single power law and Fe emission expressed by equation (5). We generate a realistic noise pattern for the spectra using a real error array taken from SDSS observations, scaling it by a multiplicative factor to match the desired S/N of the simulation. For each pixel of the simulated spectrum, a Gaussian random deviation is added. Bad pixels have large deviates statistically. We

⁷ In fact, the velocity shift of each individual UV Fe line may be different. Vestergaard & Wilkes (2001) measured the velocity of each UV Fe line and showed that their shifts can vary by as much as $\sim 100 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. But considering the resolution of our spectra, assuming a single velocity shift is safe, both for the UV and optical.




FIG. 1.— Example of continuum decomposition for SDSS J115507.61+520129.6, which has narrow Fe lines. Its v_{Fe} is $459 \pm 16 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. Note that our Fe model fits the two strong Fe II lines at 4924 and 5018 Å very well, even though these two lines are not in the fitting window. The top panel gives the spectrum after Galactic extinction and redshift correction. The spectrum in the fitting window is plotted in green; each component is plotted in blue; and the summed continuum is plotted in red. The middle panel shows the residual, pure emission-line spectrum. Two blue dashed lines mark the positions of H β λ 4861 and [O III] λ 5007. The bottom panel shows the spectrum after subtracting the power law and the Balmer continuum in the wavelength range 4100–5600 Å. The red spectrum is our Fe model. The blue dashed line in the bottom panel is the position of the peak of Fe II λ 4924 with zero velocity shift. [See the electronic edition of the Journal for a color version of this figure.]

use a mask array from an actual FITS file to locate the masked pixels; some of the pixels that have large errors are masked by the SDSS pipeline, but not all. This procedure ensures that the simulated spectra have a realistic noise level and noise pattern.

There are three main factors that can affect the measurements of V_{Fe} and FWHM_{Fe} : (1) the S/N of the spectrum; (2) the strength (EW) of the iron emission; and (3) the width (FWHM) of the iron lines. Our simulations demonstrate that the input value of V_{Fe} in the simulated spectrum has a very minimal effect on the systematic bias of the measured output values of V_{Fe} or FWHM_{Fe} , and so we neglect it from further consideration. We calculate $\text{EW}_{\text{Fe}} = F(\text{Fe II } \lambda 4570)/F_{5100}$ where, in the present paper, $F(\text{Fe II } \lambda 4570)$ is the flux of the Fe II emission between 4434 and 4684 Å. Most of the quasars in the sample have a EW_{Fe} between 15 and 75 Å and FWHM_{Fe} between 1000 and 5000 km s^{-1} . Accordingly, we test four values of EW_{Fe} (15, 25, 50, 75 Å) and vary FWHM_{Fe} from 1000 to 5000 km s^{-1} , in steps of 500 km s^{-1} . We set

S/N = 10, which is the lower limit of the S/N in the sample. V_{Fe} is fixed at zero so that we can examine whether the measured shifts are real or spurious.

For each pair of values for EW_{Fe} and FWHM_{Fe} , we generate 100 spectra. We fit the continuum and then calculate the quantity

$$\delta_{\text{sim}}^V = \frac{V_{\text{in}} - V_{\text{out}}}{\text{FWHM}_{\text{in}}}, \quad (8)$$

where V_{in} and V_{out} are input and output values of V_{Fe} , respectively, and FWHM_{in} is the input FWHM_{Fe} . Figure 4a shows δ_{sim}^V as a function of FWHM_{Fe} . The results are plotted using different colors and line styles for different values of EW_{Fe} . For each EW_{Fe} , there are three lines: the middle line represents the mean δ_{sim}^V , and the other two lines above and below are one standard deviations (σ_{sim}^V) above and below the mean. From the diagram, we can see that the average value of δ_{sim}^V is always close to 0.




FIG. 2.— Same as Fig. 1, but for SDSS J112611.63+425246.4, which has broad Fe lines. Note the large departure of the modeled Fe II $\lambda 4924$ line from its rest-frame wavelength (blue dashed line in the bottom panel). v_{Fe} is $1691 \pm 119 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. [See the electronic edition of the *Journal* for a color version of this figure.]

This demonstrates that the measured value of V_{Fe} shows no systematic redshift or blueshift with increasing FWHM_{Fe} and EW_{Fe} . Obviously, σ_{sim}^V increases with decreasing EW_{Fe} ; measuring Fe II emission is very uncertain when EW_{Fe} is small. Based on the results of these tests, we decided to exclude from the sample sources with $\text{EW}_{\text{Fe}} < 25 \text{ \AA}$. It should be noted that the S/N in this particular simulation is set at the lower limit of our sample, so the uncertainties shown in Figure 4 should be considered upper limits. Figure 4b shows the difference between the error given by our code and that given by the simulation; σ_{fit}^V is the average value of the errors given by the code in the 100 trials divided by FWHM_{in} .

Figures 4c and 4d show the systematic error in the measurement of FWHM_{Fe} . We define

$$\delta_{\text{sim}}^{\text{FWHM}} = \frac{\text{FWHM}_{\text{out}}}{\text{FWHM}_{\text{in}}} - 1. \quad (9)$$

The standard deviation of $\delta_{\text{sim}}^{\text{FWHM}}$ is denoted by $\sigma_{\text{sim}}^{\text{FWHM}}$, and $\sigma_{\text{fit}}^{\text{FWHM}}$ is the average value of the relative errors of FWHM_{Fe}

(defined as the error of the FWHM_{Fe} divided by FWHM_{in}) given by the code.

From these simulations, we conclude that (1) the mean values of δ_{sim}^V and $\delta_{\text{sim}}^{\text{FWHM}}$ cluster around 0 and exhibit no trend as a function of FWHM_{Fe} or EW_{Fe} , and (2) the error given by our code is consistent with that given by the simulations (except perhaps for the $\text{EW}_{\text{Fe}} = 15 \text{ \AA}$ bin). These results show that our measurements are reliable and robust.

3.4. Comparison with Conventional Fe Template-fitting Methods

There are two conventional methods for Fe fitting. Both have been widely used and effective. The first (model 1) assumes that the Fe lines have no velocity shift but that their width can be different with that of $\text{H}\beta_{\text{BC}}$ (e.g., Boroson & Green 1992; Marziani et al. 1996; McLure & Jarvis 2002; Dietrich et al. 2003; Greene & Ho 2005b; Kim et al. 2006; Woo et al. 2006). Alternatively (model 2), one assumes that the Fe lines have no shift and that they have the same width as $\text{H}\beta_{\text{BC}}$ (e.g., Netzer & Trakhtenbrot 2007; Salvander et al. 2007). Two obvious questions arise. Does our




FIG. 3.— Fitting of the emission lines for the two quasars in Figs. 1 and 2. The top panel shows the pure emission-line spectrum. Each component is in blue, and the sum of them is in red. The bottom panel shows the residuals. [See the electronic edition of the *Journal* for a color version of this figure.]

continuum model (eq. [1]) significantly improve the fit? And second, how does our continuum decomposition affect the emission-line measurements (e.g., $H\beta$ and $[O\text{ III}]$) and the physical parameters subsequently derived from them (e.g., central BH mass and the Eddington ratio)?

We compare the results derived from our approach with the two standard methods described above. Following Lupton (1993, chap. 12.1), we use the F -test⁸ to calculate how significantly our model improves the fit for each source. Comparing with model 1, 71% of the sources are better fit by our model at a significance of $>95.45\%$, and 58% of the sources are better fit at a significance level of $>99.73\%$. With respect to model 2, the corresponding improvement can be seen in 89% of the sources at a significance of $>95.45\%$ and in 80% of the sources at a significance of $>99.73\%$. On average, our model decreases the reduced χ^2 by 0.039 and 0.094 compared with models 1 and 2, respectively. We conclude that our approach of allowing V_{Fe} and $FWHM_{Fe}$ to be free parameters significantly improves the fit in most objects.

Next, we evaluate the actual impact that the different methods have on measured and derived physical quantities. We measure the $H\beta_{BC}$ and $[O\text{ III}]$ emission lines, derive M_{BH} and L_{bol}/L_{Edd} (see § 4.4) for each model, and then calculate the relative differences of the parameters between our model and the two fiducial standard models. As summarized in Table 1, the differences in line luminosities and line widths for $H\beta_{BC}$ and $[O\text{ III}]$, M_{BH} , and L_{bol}/L_{Edd} are all less than 5%, while changes in velocity shifts are also no more than 50 km s^{-1} . The only exception is for sources with very weak $[O\text{ III}]$ lines. In this regime, the measurement of $[O\text{ III}]$ can be strongly affected by $Fe\text{ II } \lambda 4924$ and $Fe\text{ II } \lambda 5018$, and the effect on $L_{[O\text{ III}]}$ and $FWHM_{[O\text{ III}]}$ in Table 1 is large (a few tens of percent). This exercise demonstrates that, for most applications, the choice of method for Fe template fitting is in practice

unimportant—unless the main scientific objective is to actually study the $Fe\text{ II}$ emission itself.

3.5. Redshifts

The narrow emission lines are commonly used to obtain the systemic redshift. The $[O\text{ III}] \lambda 5007$ line is the strongest narrow line for most quasars, so it is most often used. However, Boroson (2005) showed that $[O\text{ III}]$ can be blueshifted with respect to the low-ionization forbidden lines, which provide a better rest-frame. In our sample, 2265 sources ($\sim 50\%$) have detectable $[O\text{ II}] \lambda 3727$ emission. Figure 5 shows the distribution of velocity shifts between $[O\text{ III}]$ and $[O\text{ II}]$; positive velocity indicates a redward shift. Consistent with the results of Boroson (2005) the majority of the sources have blueshifted $[O\text{ III}]$; the median blueshift is -47 km s^{-1} . Because the $[O\text{ III}]$ blueshifts are much smaller than the velocity shifts seen in $Fe\text{ II}$ and in other broad lines (see § 4.1) and only half of our sample is detected in $[O\text{ II}]$, we still use $[O\text{ III}]$ to define the rest-frame. Thus, we define the $Fe\text{ II}$ velocity shift by $v_{Fe} = V_{Fe} - V_{[O\text{ III}]}$, where $V_{[O\text{ III}]}$ is the velocity shift of the core component of the $[O\text{ III}] \lambda 5007$ line measured in § 3.2.

3.6. Errors

We calculate the error of v_{Fe} from the fitting of the continuum and the fitting of the $[O\text{ III}]$ line. Figure 6a shows the distribution of the v_{Fe} errors. Most velocity shifts have an error $<200\text{ km s}^{-1}$, and the median of the distribution is 116 km s^{-1} . We also plot the relative error on $FWHM_{Fe}$ in Figure 6b. The typical value is about 10%–20%, and the median is 12.0%.

4. RESULTS

The full catalog of the measurements used in the analysis below is available electronically. Table 2 describes the contents and the formats of each column. All the emission-line velocity shifts are with respect to $[O\text{ III}] \lambda 5007$ and a positive value indicates a redward shift. The notation -9999 in the columns of $Mg\text{ II}$

⁸ Strictly speaking, this test is valid only for models that use linear fitting. But it has been used empirically for nonlinear models and seems to be effective (e.g., see Hao et al. 2005).




FIG. 4.—(a) Input-output simulations of v_{Fe} measurements. We define $\delta_{\text{sim}}^V = (V_{\text{in}} - V_{\text{out}})/\text{FWHM}_{\text{in}}$. For each EW_{Fe} , the middle line represents the mean δ_{sim}^V , and the other two lines above and below are one standard deviation above and below the mean. The S/N in the simulation is 10, which is the lower limit of our sample, so the uncertainties shown here should be considered upper limits. (b) Test of the error bars given by the code; σ_{fit}^V is the average value of the errors given by the code in 100 trials, divided by FWHM_{in} , and σ_{sim}^V is the standard deviation of δ_{sim}^V . (c) Input-output simulations of FWHM_{Fe} measurements. We define $\delta_{\text{sim}}^{\text{FWHM}} = (\text{FWHM}_{\text{out}} - \text{FWHM}_{\text{in}})/\text{FWHM}_{\text{in}}$. (d) Test of the error bars by the code. $\sigma_{\text{fit}}^{\text{FWHM}}$ is the average value of the relative errors of FWHM_{Fe} given by the code in 100 trials, and $\sigma_{\text{sim}}^{\text{FWHM}}$ is the standard deviation of $\delta_{\text{sim}}^{\text{FWHM}}$. See text for details of the simulation. [See the electronic edition of the Journal for a color version of this figure.]

(cols. 21–26) and [O II] (cols. 35–40) measurements indicates that the line lies out of the SDSS spectral coverage or is too weak to be detected (see § 3.2 for details). The details of how to deriving the radio and X-ray properties (cols. 19 and 20), and the M_{BH} and Eddington ratio $L_{\text{bol}}/L_{\text{Edd}}$ (cols. 42 and 43), are described in §§ 4.5 and 4.4, respectively.

4.1. Fe Emission Shifts

From the distribution of v_{Fe} (Fig. 7a), we can clearly see that most quasars exhibit Fe II emission that is *redshifted* with respect

to the systemic velocity of the narrow-line region (defined by [O III]). Only 481 out of 4037 quasars have blueshifts. The median shift is $v_{\text{Fe}} = +407 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ (the vertical dashed line in the figure), with a maximum value of $v_{\text{Fe}} \approx 2000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. Considering that the typical error on v_{Fe} is only $<200 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ (§ 3.6), the vast majority of the values in the redshifted tail of the v_{Fe} distribution must be real. For comparison, we also calculate the distribution of Fe II velocity shift with respect to [O II] (Fig. 7b). The results obtained by using [O II] as reference instead of [O III] are very similar and lead to the same conclusion.

TABLE 1
EFFECT OF Fe TEMPLATE-FITTING METHOD ON OTHER PARAMETERS

Model (1)	$L(\text{H}\beta_{\text{BC}})$ (2)	$\text{FWHM}(\text{H}\beta_{\text{BC}})$ (3)	$V(\text{H}\beta_{\text{BC}})$ (4)	$L_{[\text{O III}]}$ (5)	$\text{FWHM}_{[\text{O III}]}$ (6)	$V_{[\text{O III}]}$ (7)	M_{BH} (8)	$L_{\text{bol}}/L_{\text{Edd}}$ (9)
Fix V_{Fe} , free FWHM_{Fe}	-1.75(2.99)	-1.74(3.54)	-27.2(49.2)	-2.15(5.01)	-1.02(9.51)	2.76(35.6)	-0.07(0.49)	2.23(11.2)
Fix both V_{Fe} and FWHM_{Fe}	-1.46(3.14)	-0.39(4.10)	-23.2(47.9)	-0.48(5.58)	-0.31(10.22)	2.95(32.0)	-0.01(0.46)	0.63(10.5)

NOTES.—Changes in emission-line parameters and derived physical parameters due to using different Fe template-fitting models. Col. (2): luminosity of $\text{H}\beta_{\text{BC}}$. Col. (3): FWHM of $\text{H}\beta_{\text{BC}}$. Col. (4): $\text{H}\beta_{\text{BC}}$ velocity shift. Col. (5): luminosity of $[\text{O III}]$. Col. (6): FWHM of $[\text{O III}]$. Col. (7): $[\text{O III}]$ velocity shift. Col. (8): mass of the central BH. Col. (9): Eddington ratio of the central BH (see § 4.4 for details of how to derive M_{BH} and $L_{\text{bol}}/L_{\text{Edd}}$). Each column shows the relative changes in percentage, except for cols. (4) and (7), which show the difference in absolute velocity shift in km s^{-1} . The number in parenthesis is the standard deviation.

To rule out the possibility that the excess Fe II redshifts arise from artifacts due to poor data quality or fitting errors, we examined a subset of data using the much stricter selection criteria that $\text{S/N} > 15$ and $\chi^2 < 1.2$. These 309 quasars have the best data quality and the most reliable continuum fitting. The shape of the distribution of v_{Fe} this subsample (Fig. 7c) is quite similar to that of our whole sample. The median velocity shift is 414 km s^{-1} . We conclude on the basis of this test, as well as the Monte Carlo simulations described in § 3.3, that the Fe II redshifts are robust and reliable.

Finally, we illustrate that the velocity shifts found for Fe II really do imply radial motions of the Fe II-emitting region, and not others. Figures 7d and 7e show the velocity shifts for $\text{H}\beta_{\text{BC}}$ [$v(\text{H}\beta_{\text{BC}})$] and Mg II. The distribution of $v(\text{H}\beta_{\text{BC}})$ is almost symmetrical around 0, with a median value of only 65 km s^{-1} and a maximum value of about $\pm 1000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. This result is consistent with previous studies (e.g., Fig. 3 of Sulentic et al. 2000a; Fig. 2 of Baskin & Laor 2005; Fig. 6 of Shang et al. 2007). Most recently, Bonning et al. (2007) also studied the velocity shift of $\text{H}\beta_{\text{BC}}$ using SDSS quasars, and our result is very similar to theirs; they fit their distribution of velocity shifts (their Fig. 1) using a Gaussian profile with a peak velocity of 100 km s^{-1} . Our distribution of Mg II shifts is indistinguishable from that of $\text{H}\beta_{\text{BC}}$. It is symmetrical around 0, has

a median value of 113 km s^{-1} and a maximum value of about $\pm 1000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, and it is consistent with those given in, for example, Richards et al. (2002b) and Shang et al. (2007).

In order to compare Fe II directly with $\text{H}\beta_{\text{BC}}$, we also plot the distribution of the Fe II velocity shift with respect to $\text{H}\beta_{\text{BC}}$, as shown in Figure 7f. Again, most objects have redward shifts in Fe II.

4.2. Fe Emission Widths

Figures 8a and 8b show the distribution of FWHM_{Fe} and $\text{FWHM}(\text{H}\beta_{\text{BC}})$, respectively. FWHM_{Fe} has an artificial lower limit of 900 km s^{-1} , which is bounded by the I Zw 1 Fe II template. The median value of FWHM_{Fe} , 2533 km s^{-1} , is ~ 0.74 of that of $\text{FWHM}(\text{H}\beta_{\text{BC}})$ (3445 km s^{-1}). Almost all the sources have Fe II lines narrower than $\text{H}\beta_{\text{BC}}$, and the majority have $\text{FWHM}_{\text{Fe}} \approx 3/4 \text{ FWHM}(\text{H}\beta_{\text{BC}})$ (Fig. 8c). This result is contrary to the prevailing notion that Fe II and $\text{H}\beta_{\text{BC}}$ have similar profiles and are emitted from the same region (e.g., Boroson & Green 1992), but is consistent with some more recent studies of a few objects (e.g., Popović et al. 2007; Matsuoka et al. 2008).

We find that $\text{FWHM}(\text{H}\beta)$ and $\text{FWHM}(\text{Mg II})$ are well correlated and roughly equal (Fig. 8d), consistent with McLure & Jarvis (2002) and Salviander et al. (2007). Salviander et al. (2007) find that $\text{FWHM}(\text{H}\beta)$ tends to be larger than $\text{FWHM}(\text{Mg II})$ for $\text{FWHM}(\text{H}\beta) > 4000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, an effect they attribute to an extensive red wing on $\text{H}\beta$. This tendency can also be seen in our plot, and our composite spectra (Fig. 13) do show that $\text{H}\beta$ tends to have a red asymmetry when broad.

4.3. Correlations with Other Emission-line Parameters

Boroson & Green (1992) used principal components analysis to study the correlations among various observed properties of nearby quasars, and found that most of the variance in the optical spectra of quasars is connected with the inverse correlation between Fe II and $[\text{O III}]$ strength. The soft X-ray photon index (Γ_{soft}), the ratio of Fe II to $\text{H}\beta_{\text{BC}}$ (R_{Fe}), and the line width of $\text{H}\beta_{\text{BC}}$ [$\text{FWHM}(\text{H}\beta_{\text{BC}})$] correlate with each other (Boller et al. 1996; Wang et al. 1996; Laor et al. 1997a). Sulentic et al. (2000a, 2000b, 2007) identified that $\text{FWHM}(\text{H}\beta_{\text{BC}})$, R_{Fe} , Γ_{soft} , and the velocity shift at half-maximum of the broad C IV line profile [$c(1/2)$] provide discrimination between different AGN types. So, for simplicity, instead of using a formal principal components analysis to study the correlations between Fe II and other parameters, we just study the correlations with $\text{FWHM}(\text{H}\beta_{\text{BC}})$ and R_{Fe} .

The left panel of Figure 9 shows the dependence of v_{Fe} on $\text{FWHM}(\text{H}\beta_{\text{BC}})$ for our sample. The crosses in the figure present the median values of the errors in both coordinates. Dividing the sample by a vertical line of $\text{FWHM}(\text{H}\beta_{\text{BC}}) = 3000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ and a horizontal line of $v_{\text{Fe}} = 800 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, we find that almost all sources




FIG. 5.—Distribution of $[\text{O III}]$ velocity shifts with respect to $[\text{O II}]$. Positive velocity indicates a redshift. The dotted line marks the position of zero velocity shift, while the dashed line marks the median of the distribution.




FIG. 6.—Distribution of (a) error in the Fe II velocity shift and (b) relative error in Fe II FWHM. The dashed line marks the median of the distribution.

with $\text{FWHM}(\text{H}\beta_{\text{BC}}) < 3000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ have $v_{\text{Fe}} < 800 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, and most sources with $v_{\text{Fe}} > 800 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ have $\text{FWHM}(\text{H}\beta_{\text{BC}}) > 3000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. Sources with large v_{Fe} and low $\text{FWHM}(\text{H}\beta_{\text{BC}})$ are rare. There is also a large spread in v_{Fe} for sources with $\text{FWHM}(\text{H}\beta_{\text{BC}}) > 3000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. Note that narrow-line Seyfert 1 galaxies (NLS1s), defined by $\text{FWHM}(\text{H}\beta_{\text{BC}}) < 2000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ (Osterbrock & Pogge 1985), almost all have low v_{Fe} in our sample.

Following Netzer & Trakhtenbrot (2007) we define R_{Fe} as the line luminosity ratio of Fe II and $\text{H}\beta_{\text{BC}}$,

$$R_{\text{Fe}} = \frac{L(\text{Fe II } \lambda 4570)}{L(\text{H}\beta_{\text{BC}})}, \quad (10)$$

where $L(\text{Fe II } \lambda 4570)$ is the luminosity of Fe II emission between $\lambda 4434$ and $\lambda 4684$. The right panel of Figure 9 shows the correlation diagram for v_{Fe} versus R_{Fe} . The vertical line is $R_{\text{Fe}} = 1$. Most sources with $R_{\text{Fe}} > 1$ have small v_{Fe} . Sources with large R_{Fe} and large v_{Fe} are rare. This correlation agrees with the correlation between R_{Fe} and $L_{\text{bol}}/L_{\text{Edd}}$ shown in Figure 5 of Netzer & Trakhtenbrot (2007), considering the strong inverse correlation between v_{Fe} and $L_{\text{bol}}/L_{\text{Edd}}$ that we find in § 4.4 below.

The statistical connection between v_{Fe} and $\text{FWHM}(\text{H}\beta_{\text{BC}})$ or R_{Fe} is similar to those shown in Figures 2 and 9 of Boroson & Green (1992). This suggests that v_{Fe} can also provide useful empirical discrimination between different types of AGNs from optical spectra.

4.4. The Physical Driver of v_{Fe}

The Eddington ratio $L_{\text{bol}}/L_{\text{Edd}}$ is often suggested to be the main physical driver of the spectral diversity in quasars (Sulentic et al. 2000a, 2000b; Marziani et al. 2001, 2003a; Boroson 2002), and M_{BH} is argued to be an important determinant of radio-loudness (Laor 2000; Boroson 2002; McLure & Jarvis 2004, but see Ho 2002). This section investigates attempts to determine which physical variable is the main driver of variations in v_{Fe} .

Central black hole masses can be estimated from empirical relations derived from reverberation mapping. We derived the BH

mass and $L_{\text{bol}}/L_{\text{Edd}}$ using the relation calibrated by McGill et al. (2008)

$$\log\left(\frac{M_{\text{BH}}}{M_{\odot}}\right) = 7.383 + 2\left(\frac{\sigma_{\text{H}\beta}}{1000 \text{ km s}^{-1}}\right) + 0.69 \log\left(\frac{\lambda L_{5100}}{10^{44} \text{ ergs s}^{-1}}\right) \quad (11)$$

(their Table 3; we use the factors for $L_{5100,t}$ and $\sigma_{\text{H}\beta}$). We estimate the bolometric luminosity using $L_{\text{bol}} = 9\lambda L_{5100}$ (Kaspi et al. 2000).

Figure 10a shows v_{Fe} as a function of $L_{\text{bol}}/L_{\text{Edd}}$ for our sample. The vertical dot-dashed line is $\log(L_{\text{bol}}/L_{\text{Edd}}) = -0.8$, and the horizontal dot-dashed line is $v_{\text{Fe}} = 800 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. We find that almost all sources with $\log(L_{\text{bol}}/L_{\text{Edd}}) > -0.8$ have $v_{\text{Fe}} < 800 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, and most sources with $v_{\text{Fe}} > 800 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ have $\log(L_{\text{bol}}/L_{\text{Edd}}) < -0.8$. There are very few sources with large v_{Fe} and large $L_{\text{bol}}/L_{\text{Edd}}$. The error in $L_{\text{bol}}/L_{\text{Edd}}$ is roughly 0.3 dex, which is dominated by the systematical error in estimating the BH mass using empirical relations (McGill et al. 2008).

The logarithmic form of this diagram is plotted in Figure 10b, excluding the 481 sources with negative v_{Fe} . We find a strong inverse correlation between v_{Fe} and $L_{\text{bol}}/L_{\text{Edd}}$. The fit taken into account the uncertainties in both quantities yields the solid line

$$\log v_{\text{Fe}} = (1.00 \pm 0.05) - (1.83 \pm 0.05) \log(L_{\text{bol}}/L_{\text{Edd}}). \quad (12)$$

Pearson's correlation coefficient r_p is -0.53 , and the probability P of a chance correlation $< 1 \times 10^{-5}$. Note that below an v_{Fe} of about 150 km s^{-1} , the scatter to the fitted line increases. As mentioned in § 3.3, the input value of V_{Fe} affects the measurements little; this means that the errors of v_{Fe} will not decrease with v_{Fe} . Thus, for sources with small v_{Fe} , the fractional error on v_{Fe} will be large. This causes the scatter described before. The squares show the mean values of $\log(L_{\text{bol}}/L_{\text{Edd}})$ in bins of $\Delta v_{\text{Fe}} = 200 \text{ km s}^{-1}$; the error bars in panel a show the standard deviations. The dashed line shows the fit to the binned values of $\log(L_{\text{bol}}/L_{\text{Edd}})$:

$$\log v_{\text{Fe}} = (0.60 \pm 1.40) - (2.22 \pm 0.99) \log(L_{\text{bol}}/L_{\text{Edd}}), \quad (13)$$

TABLE 2
SPECTROPHOTOMETRIC MEASUREMENTS TABLE FORMAT

Column	Format	Units	Label	Description
1.....	a18	...	SDSS Name	SDSS DR5 Object Designation hhmmss.ss+ddmmss.s (J2000.0)
2.....	f8.4	...	z	Redshift determined using the peak of the [O III] λ 5007
3.....	e12.4	ergs s ⁻¹	$L(\text{Fe II } \lambda 4570)$	Luminosity of the Fe II emission between 4434 and 4686 Å
4.....	e12.4			Error in $L(\text{Fe II } \lambda 4570)$
5.....	f8.1	km s ⁻¹	FWHM _{Fe}	Fe II FWHM
6.....	f8.1			Error in FWHM _{Fe}
7.....	f8.1	km s ⁻¹	v_{Fe}	Fe II velocity shift; all the emission line velocity shifts are with respect to [O III]
8.....	f8.1			Error in v_{Fe}
9.....	e12.4	ergs s ⁻¹	$L(\text{H}\beta_{\text{BC}})$	H β_{BC} luminosity
10.....	e12.4			Error in $L(\text{H}\beta_{\text{BC}})$
11.....	f8.1	km s ⁻¹	FWHM(H β_{BC})	H β_{BC} FWHM
12.....	f8.1			Error in FWHM(H β_{BC})
13.....	f8.1	km s ⁻¹	$v(\text{H}\beta_{\text{BC}})$	H β_{BC} velocity shift
14.....	f8.1			Error in $v(\text{H}\beta_{\text{BC}})$
15.....	e12.4	ergs s ⁻¹ Å ⁻¹	L_{5100}	Specific continuum luminosity at 5100 Å
16.....	e12.4			Error in L_{5100}
17.....	f7.3	...	α	Power law spectral index of the continuum
18.....	f7.3	...		Error in α
19.....	a2e10.3	ergs s ⁻¹ Hz ⁻¹	$L_{6\text{ cm}}$	Specific luminosity at 6 cm derived from the peak flux density measured in FIRST, assuming a radio spectral index = -0.5; the upper limits are derived from the FIRST flux limits; -1.000 indicates not in FIRST survey area
20.....	e11.3	ergs s ⁻¹ Hz ⁻¹	$L_{2\text{ keV}}$	Specific luminosity at 2 keV derived from <i>ROSAT</i> PSPC count rate; 0.000 means no detection.
21.....	e12.4	ergs s ⁻¹	$L_{\text{Mg II}}$	Mg II luminosity; -9999 means no detection.
22.....	e12.4			Error in $L_{\text{Mg II}}$
23.....	f8.1	km s ⁻¹	FWHM _{Mg II}	Mg II FWHM
24.....	f8.1			Error in FWHM _{Mg II}
25.....	f8.1	km s ⁻¹	$v_{\text{Mg II}}$	Mg II velocity shift
26.....	f8.1			Error in $v_{\text{Mg II}}$
27.....	e12.4	ergs s ⁻¹	$L_{[\text{O III}]}$	[O III] λ 5007 luminosity
28.....	e12.4			Error in $L_{[\text{O III}]}$
29.....	f8.1	km s ⁻¹	FWHM _[O III]	[O III] λ 5007 FWHM
30.....	f8.1			Error in FWHM _[O III]
31.....	e12.4	...	$L(\text{H}\beta_{\text{NC}})/L_{[\text{O III}]}$	Ratio of H β_{NC} to [O III] λ 5007
32.....	e12.4	...		Error in $L(\text{H}\beta_{\text{NC}})/L_{[\text{O III}]}$
33.....	f8.1	km s ⁻¹	$v(\text{H}\beta_{\text{NC}})$	H β_{NC} velocity shift
34.....	f8.1			Error in $v(\text{H}\beta_{\text{NC}})$
35.....	e12.4	ergs s ⁻¹	$L_{[\text{O II}]}$	[O II] luminosity; -9999 means no detection.
36.....	e12.4			Error in $L_{[\text{O II}]}$
37.....	f8.1	km s ⁻¹	FWHM _[O II]	[O II] FWHM
38.....	f8.1			Error in FWHM _[O II]
39.....	f8.1	km s ⁻¹	$v_{[\text{O II}]}$	[O II] velocity shift
40.....	f8.1			Error in $v_{[\text{O II}]}$
41.....	f8.1	km s ⁻¹	$\sigma_{\text{H}\beta}$	Square root of the second moment of H β_{BC}
42.....	e11.3	M_{\odot}	M_{BH}	Mass of the central Black Hole
43.....	e11.3	...	$L_{\text{bol}}/L_{\text{Edd}}$	Ratio of bolometric luminosity to Eddington luminosity
44.....	e12.4	...	R_{Fe}	Ratio of Fe II to H β_{BC}

NOTES.—Table 2 is published in its entirety in the electronic edition of the *Astrophysical Journal*. A portion is shown here for guidance regarding its form and content.




FIG. 7.—(a) Distribution of Fe II velocity shifts with respect to [O III]. (b) Fe II velocity shifts with respect to [O II]. (c) The distributions of v_{Fe} in the subsample that has the stricter criteria $S/N > 15$ and $\chi^2 < 1.2$. (d) $H\beta_{\text{BC}}$ velocity shifts with respect to [O III]. (e) Mg II velocity shifts with respect to [O III]. (f) Distribution of Fe II velocity shifts with respect to $H\beta_{\text{BC}}$. Positive velocity indicates a redshift. The number in each panel is the median value of the respective distribution, which is also marked by the dashed line. The dotted line marks the position of zero velocity shift.

In this case, $r_p = -0.98$ and $P < 1 \times 10^{-5}$. The above analysis indicates that v_{Fe} depends strongly on $L_{\text{bol}}/L_{\text{Edd}}$; $v_{\text{Fe}} \propto (L_{\text{bol}}/L_{\text{Edd}})^\gamma$, with $\gamma \approx -2$: the larger the Eddington ratio, the lower the velocity shift v_{Fe} . Plotting v_{Fe} as a function of M_{BH} and L_{bol} (not shown) reveals that neither of these two variables is as important as $L_{\text{bol}}/L_{\text{Edd}}$. The Eddington ratio is the main physical driver for v_{Fe} . This result provides a strong constraint on theoretical models of the Fe II emission region.

4.5. Correlations with Radio and X-Ray Properties

In an effort to understand the physical origin of the Fe II velocity shift, we examine whether v_{Fe} correlates with radio and X-ray emission. Richards et al. (2002b) conducted a similar investigation in their analysis of velocity shifts for the C IV line. Figure 11 (*top panel*) plots the fraction of radio-loud quasars in bins of different v_{Fe} . We define the radio-loudness parameter as




FIG. 8.—Distribution of (a) Fe II FWHM and (b) H β FWHM. The number in the panel is the median FWHM, which is also marked by the dashed line. FWHM_{Fe} has a lower limit of 900 km s⁻¹ (dotted line); this is artificial because the I Zw 1 Fe template we used has a width of 900 km s⁻¹. (c) Correlation between FWHM_{Fe} and FWHM(H β). The dashed diagonal line shows FWHM_{Fe} = FWHM(H β), and the solid line is FWHM_{Fe} = $\frac{3}{4}$ FWHM(H β). (d) Correlation between FWHM_{Fe} and FWHM(Mg II). The dashed diagonal denotes FWHM(H β) = FWHM(Mg II).

$R = \log(L_{6\text{ cm}}/L_B)$, where $L_{6\text{ cm}}$ and L_B are the observed luminosities at 6 cm and 4400 Å. We use the FIRST (Becker et al. 1995) peak flux densities at 20 cm in Table 2 of Schneider et al. (2007) to calculate the radio luminosity, assuming a radio spectral index $\alpha_r = -0.5$. The optical luminosities are calculated from the power-law continuum we fitted. We classify the sources with $R > 1$ as radio-loud. There are a total of 165 radio-loud quasars out of 3750 sources in the present sample within the FIRST survey area. Note that the percentage of radio-loud quasars in our sample is $165/3750 = 4.4\%$, slightly lower than the 6.7% found by McLure & Jarvis (2004). The reason is probably that our sample is biased toward quasars with high $L_{\text{bol}}/L_{\text{Edd}}$ (by our Fe II EW cut), since R decreases with increasing $L_{\text{bol}}/L_{\text{Edd}}$ (Ho 2002; Greene et al. 2006). The rightmost bin have the largest radio-loud fraction, in which there are seven radio-loud quasars out of 85, a fraction ~ 2 times higher than average. The cumulative Poisson probability (P) of getting seven or more objects out of 85 is 8.54×10^{-2} (Gehrels 1986) when the average is 3.74 ($165/3750 \times 85$), no more than a 3σ significance ($1 - P < 99.73\%$). Thus there is no clear trend of radio-loud fraction with v_{Fe} .

Next, we evaluate the fraction of X-ray-detected quasars as a function of v_{Fe} (Fig. 11, *middle panel*). We use the data from Schneider et al. (2007), who provide the X-ray full-band count rate from the *ROSAT* All-Sky Survey Bright (Voges et al. 1999) and Faint (Voges et al. 2000) sources catalogs. No obvious trend is apparent. Finally, we test for possible dependence of v_{Fe} on the optical-to-X-ray spectral index $\alpha_{\text{ox}} \equiv -0.3838 \log(L_{2500}/L_{2\text{ keV}})$, where L_{2500} is the specific luminosity at 2500 Å calculated using the power-law continuum we measured and $L_{2\text{ keV}}$ is the specific luminosity at 2 keV derived from the *ROSAT* count rate using PIMMS (Mukai 1993) assuming a power-law model with photon index of 2. We see no obvious trend between α_{ox} and v_{Fe} either (Fig. 11, *bottom*).

4.6. Composite Spectra

In order to get a visual impression of the correlations between v_{Fe} and other emission-line properties, we create a set of five composite spectra by combining quasars in bins of different v_{Fe} . We divide our sources into five subsamples, covering the following velocity ranges: -250 – 250 km s⁻¹ (A, 1350 objects), 250 – 750 km s⁻¹




FIG. 9.—Correlation diagram for v_{Fe} vs. $\text{FWHM}(\text{H}\beta_{\text{BC}})$ (left) and for v_{Fe} vs. R_{Fe} (right). The crosses in the figure present the median values of the errors in both coordinates. See text for description of the vertical and horizontal lines.

(B, 1362 objects), 750–1250 km s^{-1} (C, 590 objects), 1250–1750 km s^{-1} (D, 332 objects), and 1750–2250 km s^{-1} (E, 180 objects). The composite spectra are generated following the procedure of Vanden Berk et al. (2001). The spectra of quasars in each subsample are deredshifted using the redshifts determined from [O III] and then normalized to unity average flux density over the rest wavelength interval 5090–5110 Å. We generate the composites using the geometric mean, which is appropriate for quasars with power-law spectra because the geometric mean will result in a power law with the mean spectral index (Vanden Berk et al. 2001).

Figure 12 shows the composite spectra of the five subsamples described above. We plot the spectra in different colors and shifted them slightly vertically for clarity. The spectra are arranged so that, from top to bottom, the velocity shifts of the Fe II emission increase. We find that $\text{FWHM}(\text{H}\beta_{\text{BC}})$ increases while the Fe II flux decreases, consistent with the correlations seen in § 4.3. Inspecting the continuum slope, composite A shows a redder continuum than the rest (this can be seen most easily when comparing composites A with B). This suggests that sources with low v_{Fe} , which from our analysis are often accompanied by high $L_{\text{bol}}/L_{\text{Edd}}$




FIG. 10.—Correlation of Fe II velocity shift vs. Eddington ratio, with the Fe II velocity shift plotted (a) linearly and (b) logarithmically. See text explanation of the dot-dashed lines. The solid lines is the fit to data in eq. (12). The green squares represent the mean $\log(L_{\text{bol}}/L_{\text{Edd}})$ in bins of $\Delta v_{\text{Fe}} = 200 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, and the error bars represent the standard deviations. The green dashed line shows the fit to the binned data. [See the electronic edition of the Journal for a color version of this figure.]




FIG. 11.—*Top*: Fraction of radio-loud quasars in bins of different v_{Fe} . *Middle*: Fraction of X-ray-detected quasars. *Bottom*: α_{ox} in bins of different v_{Fe} .

and strong Fe II emission, tend to have redder UV-optical continua. This pattern is reminiscent of that seen by Constantin & Shields (2003), who found that the UV-optical continuum of NLS1s, which have high $L_{\text{bol}}/L_{\text{Edd}}$ and strong Fe II, is redder than that of regular AGNs. This is unexpected from standard accretion disk models, since a higher $L_{\text{bol}}/L_{\text{Edd}}$ generally produces a hotter disk and thus a bluer continuum (e.g., Hubeny et al. 2000 and references therein).

Note that it is difficult to see the shifts of the Fe II emission clearly in the composite spectra. For example, the peaks between




FIG. 12.—Composite spectra of quasars in bins of different v_{Fe} . See text for details on generating the composite spectra. We shifted the spectra vertically for clarity. Major emission lines are indicated; see Vanden Berk et al. (2001) for a complete identification of the emission lines. [See the electronic edition of the Journal for a color version of this figure.]




FIG. 13.—H β and [O III] region of the composite spectra. The inserted plot shows the difference spectra. The vertical dashed line is drawn at 4861 Å. Note the excess on the red wing of H β . [See the electronic edition of the Journal for a color version of this figure.]

~5150 and 5250 Å appear to remain unshifted. The reason is as follows. On the one hand, since both the shifts and widths of the Fe II emission have a large scatter in each subsample, stacking the spectra effectively smooths the Fe II emission. On the other hand, there are many narrow emission lines (e.g., line system N3 in Véron-Cetty et al. 2004) that are weak in a single spectrum and have nearly no shift. Stacking the spectra enhances these narrow features.

Another interesting phenomenon is that the wings of the H β profile become progressively more (red) asymmetric when v_{Fe} increases (Fig. 13). The inserted plot shows the difference spectra between composites B–E, using composite A as reference, to emphasize the profile changes. Note the systematic migration of the red excess as v_{Fe} increases. We do find some individual sources, similar to OQ 208 (Marziani et al. 1993), whose redshifted H β component seems to be associated with Fe II emission (§ 5.1). The asymmetry in H β has been studied by many authors. Boroson & Green (1992) found that there are H β red asymmetries at small R_{Fe} . Marziani et al. (1996) found that radio-loud AGNs show predominantly redshifted and red asymmetric H β profiles: the larger the shift, the broader the line. Recently, Netzer & Trakhtenbrot (2007) investigated the fractional luminosity of the red part of the H β line and found that it has a tendency to increase with decreasing Fe II/H β (see their Table 3). Considering that v_{Fe} inversely correlates with R_{Fe} , as shown in the right panel of Figure 9, the finding here is consistent with theirs. One possible interpretation, discussed in § 5.2, is that the H β excess emerges from the same region that produces Fe II. A systematic study of this issue will be carried out in a future paper.

5. DISCUSSION

5.1. Redshifted H β Component: OQ 208-like Quasars

In our sample, we find a class of sources whose H β profile can be fitted well by including an additional, substantially redshifted Gaussian component. Usually this additional component has a




FIG. 14.—Spectrum of SDSS J094603.94+013923.6, an example of a OQ 208–like source. (a) Continuum decomposition. (b) Fe model and the observed spectrum after subtracting the power law and Balmer continuum. The spectra and the marks plotted in (a) and (b) are as the same as those in the top and bottom panels of Fig. 1. (c) Fitting of H β and [O III] emission lines. The multiple Gaussian components are in blue, and the sum of them is in red. The two blue dashed lines mark the rest-frame wavelength of H β and [O III] $\lambda 5007$. (d) Fitting of [O II] emission line. The blue dashed line marks the rest-frame wavelength of [O II] $\lambda 3727$. Note that the redshifts and widths of [O II], [O III], and H β_{NC} are almost the same. Note also that we added an additional redshifted Gaussian line to fit H β . The width and velocity shift of this redshifted H β component are consistent with those of Fe II emission. See text and Table 3 for more details. [See the electronic edition of the *Journal* for a color version of this figure.]

velocity width intermediate between that of the broad and narrow components. We call this the intermediate component. An interesting and possibly highly significant fact is that the width and velocity shift of this additional H β component is consistent with those of the Fe II emission. A prototype of this kind of sources is OQ 208 (Mrk 668), which was first studied in detail by Marziani et al. (1993). They pointed out that in OQ 208 the Fe II lines at 4924 and 5018 Å have the same peak displacement as the red peak of H β .

Figure 14 shows the spectrum of SDSS J094603.94+013923.6, an example of a OQ 208–like source. Panel a shows the continuum

decomposition, following the same convention as used in the top panel of Figure 1. Panel b shows the emission-line spectrum after continuum subtraction. The red solid line is our Fe II model. The blue dashed line marks the position of the peak of Fe II $\lambda 4924$ at zero velocity shift. The shift of the Fe II spectrum is obvious. Panel c illustrates the detailed fitting of the H β and [O III] emission lines. The profile of H β_{NC} is fixed to that of [O III]. The two blue dashed lines mark the rest-frame wavelength of H β and [O III] $\lambda 5007$; both H β_{NC} and [O III] share the same velocity. A prominent, intermediate-width redshifted component is clearly required to

TABLE 3
EMISSION-LINE PROPERTIES OF SDSS J094603.94+013923.6

PARAMETER	Fe	H β			[O III] ^a	[O II]
		Redshifted	Broad	Narrow		
FWHM (km s ⁻¹).....	1543(55)	1428(18)	5730(58)	... ^b	505(6)	568(18)
Velocity shift (km s ⁻¹).....	1533(24)	1756(7)	373(25)	49(10)	... ^c	-22(8)

NOTES.—The number in parenthesis is the error.

^a Refers to the line core component used to derive the redshift.

^b The width of the H β_{NC} is fixed to the width of [O III].

^c The redshift of [O III] is used as the systemic redshift.

fit H β . Panel *d* shows the fit for [O II] λ 3727, which also has the same redshift as [O III]. This means that this source is not an [O III] “blue outlier” (Boroson 2005). Table 3 lists the FWHMs and velocity shifts of Fe II, [O III], [O II], and each component of H β . The velocity shifts are all with respect to [O III]. The width and velocity shift of the redshifted H β component are consistent with those of Fe II emission. The emission-line spectrum of SDSS J094603.94+013923.6 can be divided into three systems: (1) H β , [O III], and [O II] emission lines with narrow (FWHM \approx 500 km s⁻¹) widths and no velocity shift; (2) a normal “broad” H β component with FWHM \approx 5700 km s⁻¹, approximately at rest with respect to the narrow lines; and (3) Fe II emission and H β of intermediate width (FWHM \approx 1500 km s⁻¹) redshifted by \sim 1500–1700 km s⁻¹.

OQ 208–like sources can offer a unique view to understand the origin of Fe II emission and the structure of the BLR. A detailed study of this class of objects is beyond the scope of this paper. We will analyze a sample of such sources discovered in our work in a forthcoming publication. For the purposes of the present discussion, we simply note: Fe II emission is not associated with the conventional broad component of H β but instead originates from the same region emitting the intermediate component of H β . This is consistent with our findings in § 4.1 that the width of Fe II is systematically narrower than that of H β_{BC} .

5.2. Where is the Fe II Emission Region?

This paper has demonstrated that Fe II emission most likely does not originate from the same location that produces the broad component of H β . The evidence comes from the systematic redshifts and narrower line widths of Fe II compared to H β_{BC} . As discussed below, the simplest interpretation is that Fe II emission originates from an inflow that is located at the outer parts of the BLR. The H β_{BC} emission line itself shows no systematic velocity shift, and any velocity shift itself is also small. This suggests that H β_{BC} emission region is well virialized and that the width of H β_{BC} is dominated by gravity, making this line suitable for estimating BH masses.

Our finding that $\text{FWHM}_{\text{Fe}} \approx \frac{3}{4} \text{FWHM}(\text{H}\beta_{\text{BC}})$ suggests that the Fe II emission region is farther from the central BH than the H β_{BC} emission region. If the Fe II emission region is also virialized, so that $R \propto v^{-2}$, then it is about 2 times farther from the center than the H β_{BC} region. On the other hand, the systematic redshift of Fe II indicates that the assumption of virialization may be incorrect.

The redward shift of Fe II and the inverse correlation between v_{Fe} and $L_{\text{bol}}/L_{\text{Edd}}$ favor a scenario in which Fe II emission emerges from an inflow. To explain the systematic redshift, the inflow on the back side of the accretion disk must be obscured by the accretion disk and the torus, so that we can only observe the redshifted part on the nearer side. To explain the inverse correlation between

v_{Fe} and $L_{\text{bol}}/L_{\text{Edd}}$, we speculate that the inflow is driven by gravity toward the center and decelerated by the radiation pressure. An increase in $L_{\text{bol}}/L_{\text{Edd}}$ would enhance the radiation pressure and lead to a decrease of the inward velocity of the inflow.

Previous studies of the C IV line have shown that it tends to be systematically blueshifted (e.g., Gaskell 1982; Wilkes 1984; Marziani et al. 1996; Sulentic et al. 2000a; Richards et al. 2002b). This finding has led to suggestions that high-ionization lines and low-ionization lines originate from distinct regions (see also the result of reverberation mapping Peterson & Wandel 1999). High-ionization lines such as C IV may be emitted from some kind of outflowing disk wind (e.g., Leighly & Moore 2004; Leighly 2004; Baskin & Laor 2005; Shang et al. 2007 and references therein), whereas low-ionization lines such as H β are anchored to a more disklike configuration. This paper adds an additional element to this picture. We suggest that in addition to a disk and a wind, the BLR has yet another component, one associated with inflowing material that produces the Fe II emission. Interestingly, Welsh et al. (2007) recently reanalyzed the spectral variability of the well-studied Seyfert 1 galaxy NGC 5548 and suggested, based on the differential lag between the red and blue wings of the H β profile, that the BLR in this object contains an inflowing component. Gaskell & Goosmann (2008) also suggested that BLRs have an inflow velocity. Such an inflow is likely to develop from the inner edge of the dusty torus, which may connect with the accretion disk. If this picture is correct, the redshifted Fe II emission can be used as a probe of the transition region from the dusty torus to the BLR or accretion disk. More detailed theoretical modeling and observations of the Fe II emission region are clearly required to test this picture.

6. SUMMARY

Using a large sample of quasars selected from SDSS, we have studied the properties of their optical Fe II emission, especially their velocity profile and velocity shift, with the goal of understanding the origin of the nature of the Fe II–emitting region. This was accomplished using an improved iron template-fitting method, whose reliability has been tested using extensive simulations.

Our findings can be summarized as follows:

1. The majority of quasars show redshifted Fe II emission with respect to the systemic velocity of narrow-line region (as traced by [O III] λ 5007) or of the conventional broad-line region (as traced by H β). The shift is typically \sim 400 km s⁻¹ and can be as large as \sim 2000 km s⁻¹. By contrast, neither the broad H β nor Mg II lines show a systematic velocity shift.

2. The velocity width of Fe II is systematically narrower than that of the broad component of H β . On average, $\text{FWHM}_{\text{Fe}} \approx \frac{3}{4} \text{FWHM}(\text{H}\beta_{\text{BC}})$.

3. The velocity shift of Fe II increases with decreasing Eddington ratio, decreasing Fe strength, and increasing H β line

width. No clear trends with radio or X-ray properties can be discerned.

4. Composite spectra reveal that objects with large Fe II velocity shifts have a tendency to exhibit asymmetric H β profiles with an excess red wing. This phenomenon is particularly notable in a subclass of objects that resembles the prototype OQ 208. The H β profile of OQ 208-like sources show a redshifted component of intermediate width that closely resembles the Fe II emission.

5. Our results strongly indicate that Fe II emission does not originate from the same region of the BLR that produces the “traditional” broad component of H β . Instead, we suggest that Fe II is associated with the intermediate-width H β component, both tracing an inflowing component of the BLR.

We thank the referee, Kirk Korista, for his careful, detailed comments and suggestions that helped to improve the paper. J. M. W. is very grateful to A. Laor for useful comments. We thank T. A. Boroson and M. Vestergaard for the I Zw 1 Fe templates and their suggestions on the spectral fitting. We appreciate extensive discussions among the members of IHEP AGN group. The research is supported by NSFC and CAS via NSFC-10325313, 10733010, 10521001, and 973 Program 2009CB824800; and KJXC2-YW-T03, respectively. B. W. H. would like to acknowledge the financial support from the Science-Technology Key Foundation for the Education Department of P. R. China (No. 206053), and the China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (No. 20060400502). This paper has used data from SDSS, FIRST, and *ROSAT*. We are grateful to the SDSS, FIRST, and

ROSAT collaborations for their effort devoted to conducting the surveys and providing the data to the public. The FIRST survey is supported in part under the auspices of the Department of Energy by Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory under contract W-7405-ENG-48 and the Institute for Geophysics and Planetary Physics. The *ROSAT* Project is supported by the Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung (BMBF/DLR) and the Max-Planck-Gesellschaft (MPG). Funding for SDSS and SDSS-II has been provided by the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation, the Participating Institutions, the National Science Foundation, the U.S. Department of Energy, the National Aeronautics and Space Administration, the Japanese Monbukagakusho, and the Max Planck Society, and the Higher Education Funding Council for England. The SDSS World Wide Web site is <http://www.sdss.org>. The SDSS is managed by the Astrophysical Research Consortium (ARC) for the Participating Institutions. The Participating Institutions are the American Museum of Natural History, Astrophysical Institute Potsdam, University of Basel, University of Cambridge, Case Western Reserve University, The University of Chicago, Drexel University, Fermilab, the Institute for Advanced Study, the Japan Participation Group, The Johns Hopkins University, the Joint Institute for Nuclear Astrophysics, the Kavli Institute for Particle Astrophysics and Cosmology, the Korean Scientist Group, the Chinese Academy of Sciences (LAMOST), Los Alamos National Laboratory, the Max-Planck-Institute for Astronomy (MPIA), the Max-Planck-Institute for Astrophysics (MPA), New Mexico State University, Ohio State University, University of Pittsburgh, University of Portsmouth, Princeton University, the United States Naval Observatory, and the University of Washington.

REFERENCES

- Adelman-McCarthy, J., et al. 2007, *ApJS*, 172, 634
 Baldwin, J. A., Ferland, G. J., Korista, K. T., Hamann, F., & LaCluyzé, A. 2004, *ApJ*, 615, 610
 Baldwin, J. A., et al. 1996, *ApJ*, 461, 664
 Baskin, A., & Laor, A. 2005, *MNRAS*, 356, 1029
 Becker, R. H., White, R. L., & Helfand, D. J. 1995, *ApJ*, 450, 559
 Boller, Th., Brandt, W. N., & Fink, H. 1996, *A&A*, 305, 53
 Bonning, E. W., Shields, G. A., & Salvander, S. 2007, *ApJ*, 666, L13
 Boroson, T. A. 2002, *ApJ*, 565, 78
 ——. 2005, *ApJ*, 130, 381
 Boroson, T. A., & Green, R. F. 1992, *ApJS*, 80, 109
 Cardelli, J. A., Clayton, G. C., & Mathis, J. S. 1989, *ApJ*, 345, 245
 Carswell, R. F., et al. 1991, *ApJ*, 381, L5
 Collin-Souffrin, S., Hameury, J.-M., & Joly, M. 1988, *A&A*, 205, 19
 Constantin, A., & Shields, J. C. 2003, *PASP*, 115, 592
 Dietrich, M., Appenzeller, I., Vestergaard, M., & Wagner, S. J. 2002, *ApJ*, 564, 581
 Dietrich, M., Hamann, F., Appenzeller, I., & Vestergaard, M. 2003, *ApJ*, 596, 817
 Ferland, G. J., Peterson, B. M., Horne, K., Welsh, W. F., & Nahar, S. N. 1992, *ApJ*, 387, 95
 Gaskell, C. M. 1982, *ApJ*, 263, 79
 Gaskell, C. M., & Goosmann, R. W. 2008, *ApJ*, submitted (arXiv: 0805.4258)
 Gehrels, N. 1986, *ApJ*, 303, 336
 Grandi, S. A. 1982, *ApJ*, 255, 25
 Greene, J. E., & Ho, L. C. 2005a, *ApJ*, 627, 721
 ——. 2005b, *ApJ*, 630, 122
 ——. 2006, *ApJ*, 641, 117
 Greene, J. E., Ho, L. C., & Ulvestad, J. S. 2006, *ApJ*, 636, 56
 Hao, L., et al. 2005, *AJ*, 129, 1783
 Ho, L. C. 2002, *ApJ*, 564, 120
 Hubeny, I., Agol, E., Blaes, O., & Krolik, J. H. 2000, *ApJ*, 533, 710
 Joly, M. 1987, *A&A*, 184, 33
 ——. 1991, *A&A*, 242, 49
 Kaspi, S., Smith, P. S., Netzer, H., Maoz, D., Jannuzi, B. T., & Givon, U. 2000, *ApJ*, 533, 631
 Kim, M., Ho, L. C., & Im, M. 2006, *ApJ*, 642, 702
 Kuehn, C. A., Baldwin, J. A., Peterson, B. M., & Korista, K. T. 2008, *ApJ*, 673, 69
 Laor, A. 2000, *ApJ*, 543, L111
 Laor, A., Fiore, F., Elvis, M., Wilkes, B. J., & McDowell, J. C. 1997a, *ApJ*, 477, 93
 Laor, A., Jannuzi, B. T., Green, R. F., & Boroson, T. A. 1997b, *ApJ*, 489, 656
 Leighly, K. M. 2004, *ApJ*, 611, 125
 Leighly, K. M., & Moore, J. R. 2004, *ApJ*, 611, 107
 Lupton, R. H. 1993, *Statistics in Theory and Practice* (Princeton: Princeton Univ. Press)
 McGill, K. L., Woo, J.-H., Treu, T., & Malkan, M. A. 2008, *ApJ*, 673, 703
 Maiolino, R., Juarez, Y., Mujica, R., Nagar, N. M., & Oliva, E. 2003, *ApJ*, 596, L155
 Maoz, D., et al. 1993, *ApJ*, 404, 576
 Marziani, P., Sulentic, J. W., Calvani, M., Perez, E., Moles, M., & Penston, M. V. 1993, *ApJ*, 410, 56
 Marziani, P., Sulentic, J. W., Dultzin-Hacyan, D., Calvani, M., & Moles, M. 1996, *ApJS*, 104, 37
 Marziani, P., Sulentic, J. W., Zamanov, R., Calvani, M., Dultzin-Hacyan, D., Bachev, R., & Zwitter, T. 2003b, *ApJS*, 145, 199
 Marziani, P., Sulentic, J. W., Zwitter, T., Dultzin-Hacyan, D., & Calvani, M. 2001, *ApJ*, 558, 553
 Marziani, P., Zamanov, R. K., Sulentic, J. W., & Calvani, M. 2003a, *MNRAS*, 345, 1133
 Matsuoka, Y., Oyabu, S., Tsuzuki, Y., & Kawara, K. 2008, *ApJ*, 673, 62
 McIntosh, D. H., Rix, H.-W., Rieke, M. J., & Foltz, C. B. 1999, *ApJ*, 517, L73
 McLure, R. J., & Jarvis, M. J. 2002, *MNRAS*, 337, 109
 ——. 2004, *MNRAS*, 353, L45
 Mukai, K. 1993, *Legacy*, 3, 21
 Netzer, H., & Trakhtenbrot, B. 2007, *ApJ*, 654, 754
 Netzer, H., & Wills, B. J. 1983, *ApJ*, 275, 445
 O'Donnell, J. E. 1994, *ApJ*, 422, 158
 Osterbrock, D. E., & Pogge, R. W. 1985, *ApJ*, 297, 166
 Peterson, B. M., & Wandel, A. 1999, *ApJ*, 521, L95
 Phillips, M. M. 1977, *ApJ*, 215, 746
 Popović, L. C., Smirnova, A., Ilić, D., Moiseev, A., Kovačević, J., & Afanasiev, V. 2007, in *The Central Engine of Active Galactic Nuclei*, ed. L. C. Ho & J.-M. Wang (San Francisco: ASP), 552
 Press, W. H., Teukolsky, S. A., Vetterling, W. T., & Flannery, B. P. 1992, *Numerical Recipes in FORTRAN* (2nd ed.; Cambridge: Cambridge Univ. Press)
 Richards, G. T., et al. 2002a, *AJ*, 123, 2945
 ——. 2002b, *AJ*, 124, 1
 ——. 2006, *AJ*, 131, 2766

- Salviander, S., Shields, G. A., Gebhardt, K., & Bonning, E. W. 2007, *ApJ*, 662, 131
- Schlegel, D. J., Finkbeiner, D. P., & Davis, M. 1998, *ApJ*, 500, 525
- Schneider, D. P., et al. 2007, *AJ*, 134, 102
- Shang, Z., Wills, B. J., Wills, D., & Brotherton, M. S. 2007, *AJ*, 134, 294
- Sigut, T. A. A., & Pradhan, A. K. 1998, *ApJ*, 499, L139
- Sigut, T. A. A., Pradhan, A. K., & Nahar, S. N. 2004, *ApJ*, 611, 81
- Sluse, D., Claeskens, J.-F., Hutsemékers, D., & Surdej, J. 2007, *A&A*, 468, 885
- Spergel, D. N., et al. 2007, *ApJS*, 170, 377
- Storey, P. J., & Hummer, D. G. 1995, *MNRAS*, 272, 41
- Sulentic, J. W., Bachev, R., Marziani, P., Negrete, C. A., & Dultzin, D. 2007, *ApJ*, 666, 757
- Sulentic, J. W., Marziani, P., & Dultzin-Hacyan, D. 2000a, *ARA&A*, 38, 521
- Sulentic, J. W., Zwitter, T., Marziani, P., & Dultzin-Hacyan, D. 2000b, *ApJ*, 536, L5
- Tsuzuki, Y., Kawara, K., Yoshii, Y., Oyabu, S., Tanabé, T., & Matsuoka, Y. 2006, *ApJ*, 650, 57
- Vanden Berk, D. E., et al. 2001, *AJ*, 122, 549
- . 2005, *AJ*, 129, 2047
- van der Marel, R. P., & Franx, M. 1993, *ApJ*, 407, 525
- Veilleux, S., & Osterbrock, D. E. 1987, *ApJS*, 63, 295
- Verner, E., Bruhweiler, F., Verner, D., Johansson, S., Kallman, T., & Gull, T. 2004, *ApJ*, 611, 780
- Véron-Cetty, M.-P., Joly, M., & Véron, P. 2004, *A&A*, 417, 515
- Vestergaard, M., & Peterson, B. M. 2005, *ApJ*, 625, 688
- Vestergaard, M., & Wilkes, B. J. 2001, *ApJS*, 134, 1
- Voges, W., et al. 1999, *A&A*, 349, 389
- . 2000, *IAU Circ.*, 7432, 1
- Wang, J., Wei, J. Y., & He, X. T. 2005, *A&A*, 436, 417
- Wang, T., Brinkmann, W., & Bergeron, J. 1996, *A&A*, 309, 81
- Welsh, W. F., Martino, D. L., Kawaguchi, G., & Kollatschny, W. 2007, in *The Central Engine of Active Galactic Nuclei*, ed. L. C. Ho & J.-M. Wang (San Francisco: ASP), 29
- Wheeler, J. C., Sneden, C., & Truran, J. W. 1989, *ARA&A*, 27, 279
- Wilkes, B. J. 1984, *MNRAS*, 207, 73
- Wills, B. J., Netzer, H., & Wills, D. 1985, *ApJ*, 288, 94
- Woo, J.-H., Treu, T., Malkan, M. A., & Blandford, R. D. 2006, *ApJ*, 645, 900
- York, D. G., et al. 2000, *AJ*, 120, 1579