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#### Abstract

We present optical, IR, and millimeter observations of the solar-type star 13-277, also known as GM Cep, in the 4 Myr old cluster $\operatorname{Tr} 37$. GM Cep experiences rapid magnitude variations of more than 2 mag at optical wavelengths. We explore the causes of the variability, which seem to be dominated by strong increases in the accretion, being similar to EXor episodes. The star shows high, variable accretion rates (up to $\sim 10^{-6} M_{\odot} \mathrm{yr}^{-1}$ ) and signs of powerful winds, and it is a very fast rotator ( $V \sin i \sim 43 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ). Its strong mid-IR excesses reveal a very flared disk and/or a remnant envelope, most likely out of hydrostatic equilibrium. The 1.3 mm fluxes suggest a relatively massive disk $\left(M_{D} \sim 0.1 M_{\odot}\right)$. Nevertheless, the millimeter mass is not enough to sustain increased accretion episodes over large timescales, unless the mass is underestimated due to significant grain growth. We finally explore the possibility of GM Cep having a binary companion, which could trigger disk instabilities producing the enhanced accretion episodes.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

The open cluster $\operatorname{Tr} 37$ is one of the best-studied intermediateage young star formation regions. Aged $\sim 4 \mathrm{Myr}$ (Sicilia-Aguilar et al. 2004, 2005a, hereafter Paper I, Paper II) and located at 900 pc distance (Contreras et al. 2002), it contains a rich population of T Tauri stars (TTSs; $\sim 180$ members with spectral types G to M2), of which about $48 \%$ still show IR excesses consistent with protoplanetary disks at different evolutionary stages (SiciliaAguilar et al. 2006a, 2006b, hereafter Paper IV, Paper III). While most of the stars in $\operatorname{Tr} 37$ show important evidence of disk evolution, with lower accretion rates and near-IR excesses than in younger regions, a few objects still display characteristics of much younger systems. The most remarkable one is the solar-type star 13-277, also called GM Cep (Morgenroth 1939). Because of its spatial location within the cluster, it most likely belongs to the Tr 37 main population, with average ages of $\sim 4 \mathrm{Myr}$ ( $\sim 85 \%$ of the population is older than 2 Myr , and $\sim 95 \%$ is older than 1 Myr ), rather than to the young population associated with the $\operatorname{Tr} 37$ globule, aged $\sim 1 \mathrm{Myr}$ (Paper II). Its continuum spectrum and the strong and broad $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ emission suggest an accretion rate $\dot{M} \sim$ $3 \times 10^{-7} M_{\odot} \mathrm{yr}^{-1}$, about 2 orders of magnitude over the median accretion rate of TTSs in $\operatorname{Tr} 37$ (Paper IV). Its bolometric luminosity ( $L \sim 26 L_{\odot}$ in 2000) is about 1 order of magnitude higher than that of other stars with similar late-G to early-K spectral type. Finally, we notice an unusually high mid-IR flux for a solar-type star: its MIPS flux at $70 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ is comparable to that of the Tr 37 Herbig Be star MVA-426, being one of only four cluster members detected at this wavelength (Paper III).

[^0]All observations suggest that GM Cep is a variable star of the EXor type, with an unstable disk and variable accretion rate, which is remarkable in an "old" cluster like $\operatorname{Tr} 37$, where disk evolution seems ubiquitous. FUor and EXor objects have been suggested to be either normal stages within the very early TTS evolution (see Hartmann \& Kenyon 1996 for a review) or a special type of young object, probably binary (Herbig et al. 2003 and references therein). Here we present the results of our photometry monitoring campaign during 2006-2007, ${ }^{6}$ lucky imaging, millimeter continuum, and ${ }^{12} \mathrm{CO}$ data, together with optical spec$\operatorname{tra}$ (high- and low-resolution) and a compilation of the data available from the literature. The multiwavelength data are described in $\S 2$. In $\S 3$ we explore the characteristics of the star: optical and IR variability, spectral type, accretion, winds, disk mass, and potential companions. Section 4 discusses the possible causes of variability and the comparison with similar stars, and in $\S 5$ we summarize our results.

## 2. OBSERVATIONS AND DATA REDUCTION

### 2.1. Data from the Literature

The photometric data and epochs available for GM Cep are given in Table 1. The first reference to GM Cep, Morgenroth (1939), classifies it as a long-period variable with visual magnitudes of 13.5-15.5, although no epoch or period is mentioned. Suyarkova (1975) studied the light variations over several months, detecting rapid variations (days to weeks) between 14.2 and 16.4 mag, mixed with stability periods up to $\sim 100$ days at both the lower and the upper magnitude. Kun (1986) lists the star among the variables in Cep OB2, providing $V$ photometry for three epochs. The databases VizieR, SIMBAD, and SuperCOSMOS list optical photometric data from the USNO-A2.0, USNO-B1.0, GSC 2.2, and SuperCOSMOS catalogs, plus IR data from IRAS

[^1]TABLE 1
Summary of Observations of GM Cep

| Date/Epoch | Observatory/Telescope | Filter/Mode | References |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Before 1939 ............................ | Sonneberg Observatory | Visual photographic plates | Morgenroth (1939) |
| $1952.556^{\text {a }}$. | Palomar | R63F | USNO B1.0 (Monet et al. 2003) |
| 1955.936................................. | Palomar | GG395-Bj, R63F | USNO A2.0 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| 1955.941............................ | Palomar | R63F | SuperCOSMOS catalog, POSS I red |
| 1965 Oct 2 .... | Konkoly Observatory | V | Kun (1986) |
| 1978.1: | Palomar | 19 | USNO B1.0 (Monet et al. 2003) |
| 1983.5................................. | IRAS | 12, 25, 60, $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ | IRAS (from VizieR) |
| 1985 Oct 20 .......................... | Konkoly Observatory | V | Kun (1986) |
| 1986 Sep 18......................... | Konkoly Observatory | V | Kun (1986) |
| 1989.757.............................. | Palomar | GG395-B ${ }_{j}$ | USNO B1.0 (Monet et al. 2003) |
| 1990.763.............................. | Palomar | R61F | SuperCOSMOS catalog, POSS II red |
| 1990.789: | Palomar | GG395- $B_{j}$ | GSC 2.2 catalog |
| $1990.789^{\text {c }}$. | Palomar | R59F | USNO B1.0 (Monet et al. 2003) |
| $1991.595^{\text {d }}$............................. | Palomar | GG395-B ${ }_{j}$ | USNO B1.0 (Monet et al. 2003) |
| 1991.599. | Palomar | GG395-B ${ }_{j}$ | SuperCOSMOS catalog, POSS II blue |
| 1993.552. | Palomar | R63F | GSC 2.2 catalog |
| 1994.44... | Palomar | 19 | SuperCOSMOS catalog, POSS II IR |
| 1996-1998.......................... | MSX6C | ACDE | MSX6C ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| 2000 Sep 3............................ | FLWO 1.2 m | $R_{\text {C }} I_{\text {C }}$ | Paper I |
| 2000 Sep 4.... | FLWO 1.2 m | $V R_{C} I_{C}$ | Paper I |
| 2000 Sep 5............................ | FLWO 1.2 m | $R_{\text {C }} I_{\text {c }}$ | Paper I |
| 2000 Sep 6........................... | FLWO 1.2 m | $R_{\text {C }} I_{\text {c }}$ | Paper I |
| 2000 Sep 7............................ | FLWO 1.2 m | $R_{\text {C }} I_{\text {c }}$ | Paper I |
| 2000 Sep 8............................. | FLWO 1.2 m | $R_{\text {C }} I_{\text {c }}$ | Paper I |
| 2000 Sep 9............................. | FLWO 1.2 m | $R_{\text {C }} I_{\text {c }}$ | Paper I |
|  | FLWO 0.9 m 2MASS | JHK | 2MASS |
| 2001 Jun 30 ...... | Keck I/HIRES | High-res. spectroscopy | This work |
| 2001 Jul $11 .$. | FLWO $1.5 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{FAST}$ | Low-res. spectroscopy | Paper I |
|  | FLWO 1.2 m | $R_{\text {C }} I_{\mathrm{C}}$ | Paper I |
| 2001 Sep 22........................... | FLWO 1.2 m | $R_{\text {C }} I_{\text {C }}$ | Paper I |
| 2001 Sep 23........................... | FLWO 1.2 m | $R_{\text {C }} I_{\text {C }}$ | Paper I |
| 2001 Sep 25 ............................. | FLWO 1.2 m | $R_{\text {C }}$ | Paper I |
| 2002 Sep 3............................. | FLWO 1.2 m | U | Paper I |
| 2003 Oct 20 ............................ | FLWO 1.2 m | U | Paper I |
| 2003 Dec 20 | IRAC/Spitzer | 3.6, 4.5, 5.8, $8.0 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ | Paper III |
| 2004 Jun 23 ............................ | MIPS/Spitzer | 23.9, $70.0 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ | Paper III |
| 2004 Dec 1. | Hectochelle/MMT | $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ spectroscopy | Paper IV |
| 2006 Jun 2/3.......................... | IRAM/MAMBO I | 1.3 mm continuum | This work |
| 2006 Jun 3/5.. | IRAM/Heterodyne | ${ }^{12} \mathrm{CO}(1-0),{ }^{12} \mathrm{CO}(2-1)$ | This work |
| 2006 Jul 7 .............................. | Calar Alto $2.2 \mathrm{~m} /$ AstraLux | $z^{\prime}$ | This work |
| 2006 Jul 3 ........................... | Königstuhl 70 cm | UBVRI | This work |
| 2006 Oct 18. | Königstuhl 70 cm | UBVRI | This work |
| 2006 Nov 10.... | Calar Alto $2.2 \mathrm{~m} /$ AstraLux | $z^{\prime}$ | This work |
| 2006 Dec 15 ........................... | Königstuhl 70 cm | UBVRI | This work |
| 2006 Dec 29 ........................... | Calar Alto $2.2 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{CAFOS}$ | VRI | DDT program, this work |
| 2006 Dec 31 ......... | Calar Alto $2.2 \mathrm{~m} /$ CAFOS | VRI | DDT program, this work |
| 2007 Jan 2. | Calar Alto $2.2 \mathrm{~m} /$ CAFOS | VRI | DDT program, this work |
| 2007 Jan 18............................ | Calar Alto $2.2 \mathrm{~m} /$ CAFOS | VRI | DDT program, this work |
| 2007 Apr 27. | Calar Alto $2.2 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{CAFOS}$ | Low-res. spectroscopy | DDT program, this work |
| 2007 May 11.......................... | Calar Alto $2.2 \mathrm{~m} /$ AstraLux | $i^{\prime} z^{\prime}$ | This work |
| 2007 Jun 2 ............................. | Carlos Sánchez/Teide | JHK | This work |
| 2007 Jun 3 ........................... | Carlos Sánchez/Teide | JHK | This work |
| 2007 Jun 4 .............................. | Carlos Sánchez/Teide | JHK | This work |
| 2007 Jun 5 ............................. | Carlos Sánchez/Teide | JHK | This work |
| 2007 Jun 8 ............................. | Carlos Sánchez/Teide | JHK | This work |
| 2007 Jun 8 .............................. | Königstuhl 70 cm | UBVRI | This work |
| 2007 Jun $24 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | Calar Alto $2.2 \mathrm{~m} /$ AstraLux | $z^{\prime}$ | This work |
| 2007 Jun 25 ............................ | Calar Alto $2.2 \mathrm{~m} /$ AstraLux | $z$ | This work |

[^2]and MSX6C. ${ }^{7}$ There are two mentions of GM Cep in the amateur database of the American Association of Variable Star Observers (AAVSO), but they do not add any extra information to our data. Our previous studies of $\operatorname{Tr} 37$ include optical photometry taken with the 1.2 m telescope at the Fred Lawrence Whipple Observatory (FLWO) between 2000 and 2004 (Papers I and II), low-resolution optical spectroscopy taken with the FAST spectrograph on the 1.5 m telescope at the FLWO (Paper I), highresolution optical spectroscopy from Hectochelle on the MMT at the FLWO (Paper IV), and IRAC and MIPS data from our Spitzer study (Paper III). We also include the near-IR data from 2MASS, presented in Paper I. All these data confirm the variability of GM Cep and the presence of strong IR excesses from a very luminous disk.

In order to compare the data from the different studies in Table 1, we transformed the photographic and CCD data from different filters into the $U B V R_{\mathrm{C}} I_{\mathrm{C}}$ system, which is the closest match to the data prior to $\sim 1986$. The photographic R63F and R59F red data are comparable to the modern $R_{\mathrm{C}}$ filter. Here $R_{\mathrm{C}}-$ $\mathrm{R} 63 \mathrm{~F}=0.096$ and 0.081 mag for giants and dwarfs, respectively, and $R_{\mathrm{C}}-\mathrm{R} 59 \mathrm{~F}=-0.031$ (Bessell 1986). Following Blair \& Gilmore (1982), $R_{\mathrm{C}}=\mathrm{R} 63 \mathrm{~F}$ if $R_{\mathrm{C}}-I_{\mathrm{C}}<0.9 \mathrm{mag}$ (for GM Cep, $\left.R_{\mathrm{C}}-I_{\mathrm{C}} \sim 0.8 \mathrm{mag}\right)$. The GG395-Bj photographic passband is similar to the Johnson $B$ filter, although the zero point is different (Bessell 1986). The errors involved in these conversions are less than 0.1 mag , below the typical errors from the photographic plates and well below the observed variations of GM Cep. For the modern observations, when a contemporary $V$ magnitude is available, $R_{\mathrm{J}}$ and $I_{\mathrm{J}}$ can be transformed into $R_{\mathrm{C}}$ and $I_{\mathrm{C}}$ for comparison (Bessell 1979; Fernie 1983), with errors under 0.01 mag for $R$ and around 0.1-0.2 mag for $I$.

### 2.2. Optical Photometry

The first set of optical observations was taken with the KING 70 cm telescope of the Max-Planck-Institut für Astronomie, at Königstuhl in Heidelberg (see Table 1). The telescope has a field of view of $\sim 18^{\prime} \times 18^{\prime}$ and is equipped with a Loral CCD L3W 17 detector and a set of standard $U B V R_{\mathrm{J}} I_{\mathrm{J}}$ filters. The filters have very small color-transform coefficients, and the secondorder extinction is almost zero, so relative photometry is feasible. Exposure times ranged from 30 s to $3 \times 120 \mathrm{~s}$, depending on filter and weather conditions. We also obtained $V R_{\mathrm{J}} I_{\mathrm{J}}$ photometry (Table 1) with the CAFOS imager on the 2.2 m telescope in Calar Alto (Director's Discretionary Time [DDT]), which covers a field of view of $16^{\prime}$ diameter. Taking $3 \times 10$ or $3 \times 30 \mathrm{~s}$ exposures, we reached a magnitude and area coverage similar to that of the Königstuhl observations.

The data were reduced following standard routines within the IRAF ${ }^{8}$ task noao.imred.ccdred to do the bias and flat-field corrections. Aperture photometry was performed within IRAF noao.digiphot. apphot, and the data in each band were calibrated via relative photometry, comparing with our previous observations from the FLWO (Paper I). Depending on the night and instrument, $\sim 150-800$ stars were used for calibrating VRI and $\sim 20-200$ for $B$ and $U$. Since the FLWO observations used the $U V R_{\mathrm{C}} I_{\mathrm{C}}$ filters, the $R_{\mathrm{C}}$ and $I_{\mathrm{C}}$ bands were transformed into the Johnson system (Fernie 1983; Bessell 1979). Taking into account the large number of stars involved in the calibration, the

[^3]

Fig. 1.-Low-resolution spectra of GM Cep. The main emission and absorption features, as well as the atmospheric $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ bands, are labeled.
errors derived from band transformation are minimal, and the final error is dominated by the signal-to-noise ratio, being typically less than 0.01 mag. Due to the lack of calibrated $B$ data in the FLWO survey, we used the $B$ photometry from the literature for the relative photometry. About 150 stars with $B$-band photometry are listed by SIMBAD, mostly bright objects from the Marschall \& van Altena (1987) catalog. Only 15 of these stars are not saturated in the Königstuhl frames and could be used for calibration. Whereas this is insufficient for studying colors, the relative changes between different nights are unaffected.

### 2.3. Optical Spectroscopy: HIRES Keck and CAFOS 2.2 m

GM Cep was observed with the high-resolution spectrograph HIRES mounted on Keck I on 2001 June 30. The spectra were taken before the HIRES upgrades in 2004, using the rg610 filter and a $1.148^{\prime \prime}$ slit, which provides wavelength coverage between 6350 and $8750 \AA$, with some gaps between orders, and a resolution $R \sim 34,000$. The resolution is similar to that of Hectochelle on the MMT (Sicilia-Aguilar et al. 2005b; Paper IV), but the wavelength coverage is much larger, including the $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ and Li I $6708 \AA$ regions, several forbidden lines ([O I] at $6368 \AA$, [S II ] at 6717 and $6731 \AA,\left[N_{\text {II }}\right]$ at 6548 and $6583 \AA$ ), the Ca II IR lines at 8498 and $8662 \AA$, and numerous photospheric absorption lines. The exposure time was $3 \times 900 \mathrm{~s}$. The data were reduced using the MAKEE Keck Observatory HIRES data reduction software, developed by T. Barlow. The wavelength calibration was done with a ThAr lamp, being accurate up to $1-2 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. No flux calibration was required.

A low-resolution spectrum was taken with the CAFOS camera in long-slit spectroscopic mode on the 2.2 m telescope as a part of our Calar Alto DDT program. We used the B-100, G-100, and $\mathrm{R}-100$ grisms, resulting in wavelength coverage from 3200 to $9000 \AA$ with no gaps and some overlap and a resolution of $2 \AA$ pixel $^{-1}$ (Fig. 1). The spectra were reduced using the standard IRAF tasks (noao.imred.ccdred and specred.twodspec), and a wavelength solution was derived using a HgHeRb lamp. A total of $3 \times 600 \mathrm{~s}$ exposures were taken with each grism, in order to achieve a good cosmic-ray removal. In addition, we obtained

TABLE 2
Summary of AstraLux Observations of GM Cep

| Date | Filter | $\begin{gathered} T_{\text {int }} \\ (\mathrm{ms}) \end{gathered}$ | Seeing | Strehl | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2006 Jul 7 ........................... | $z^{\prime}$ | $3000 \times 100$ | 1.10 | 0.09 | Atmospheric dispersion |
| 2006 Nov 10... | $z^{\prime}$ | $15000 \times 25$ | 0.60 | 0.14 |  |
| 2007 May 11........................ | $i^{\prime}$ | $10000 \times 30$ | 0.80 | 0.08 |  |
| 2007 May 11........................ | $z^{\prime}$ | $10000 \times 30$ | 0.80 | 0.10 |  |
| 2007 Jun 24 ......................... | $z^{\prime}$ | $15000 \times 30$ | 0.70 | 0.14 |  |
| 2007 Jun 25 ......................... | $z^{\prime}$ | $15000 \times 30$ | 0.85 | 0.12 |  |

Notes.-Summary of observations done with AstraLux. See text.
two CAFOS acquisition images in $V$ and $R_{\mathrm{J}}$, with exposure times of 60 s . These two images, although lower in quality and with a smaller field of view than the standard CAFOS imaging, were reduced and calibrated using the techniques from $\S 2.2$, to provide simultaneous photometry.

### 2.4. Lucky Imaging with AstraLux

In order to look for wide- and intermediate-distance companions, we observed GM Cep with the high spatial resolution "lucky imaging" camera AstraLux, operating at the 2.2 m telescope in Calar Alto. AstraLux is a high-speed camera with an electronmultiplying CCD, which allows full frame rates of up to 34 Hz with virtually zero readout noise. It has a field of view of $24^{\prime \prime} \times 24^{\prime \prime}$ at a pixel scale of 47 mas pixel ${ }^{-1}$. The AstraLux data consist typically of several thousand single frames with integration times between 15 and 100 ms . Software postprocessing selects the frames which are least affected by distortions due to atmospheric turbulence by measuring the Strehl ratio in each single frame. The high-quality frames ( $\sim 1 \%-5 \%$ of all images) are combined into a final result with improved angular resolution and Strehl ratio (lucky imaging technique; Tubbs et al. 2002; Law et al. 2006). Under good seeing conditions and with the SDSS $z^{\prime}$ filter, AstraLux provides spatial resolution better than 100 mas and Strehl ratios of up to $25 \%$, depending on the magnitude. Observations of GM Cep were conducted in 2006 July and November and 2007 May and June (see Tables 1 and 2 for details). Although the 2006 November data are slightly affected by atmospheric dispersion, leading to an elongated PSF, the superb seeing of $0.6^{\prime \prime}$ still resulted in a Strehl ratio of $14 \%$. Figure 2 shows the 2006

November data together with a simulated theoretical PSF, including atmospheric dispersion effects. We present a detailed analysis of these data in § 3.6.

### 2.5. JHK Photometry

Near-IR photometry was obtained with the IR camera CAIN-2 at the 1.52 m Carlos Sánchez Telescope in the Teide Observatory (Canary Islands) during five nights in early 2007 June (see Table 1). Observations in the $J, H$, and $K_{s}$ bands consisted of five dithered exposures around GM Cep to ensure proper sky image subtraction. For each dither point we took several frames, using short exposure time to avoid saturation, that were later averaged to obtain the final reduced image. The minimum exposure time was 1 s for individual exposures, and the total integration time per filter was about 6 minutes. The data were reduced using the package caindr developed within IRAF by J. A. AcostaPulido. The processing included sky subtraction, flat fielding, and combination of the dither positions. Aperture photometry was done, and the relative photometry calibration was based on $5-13$ nearby IR sources taken from the 2MASS catalog. The errors derived from this procedure were dominated by the background and CCD read noise, ranging from 0.1 to 0.01 mag depending on weather conditions. The star remained stable during the whole run (see Table 3), taking into account the errors, and its magnitude was similar to that of the 2MASS 2001 data. Similar stability over a few days had been observed in the optical data taken in 2000 September. Simultaneous optical observations covered only one of the nights, during which the star was near maximum.


Fig. 2.-AstraLux observations of GM Cep in the SDSS $z^{\prime}$ filter. The left image has a field of view of $2^{\prime \prime} \times 2^{\prime \prime}$ and was generated from the best $2 \%$ of 15,000 single frames. The effective integration time is 7.5 s at a single frame exposure time of 25 ms . The middle image shows the inner $0.9^{\prime \prime} \times 0.9^{\prime \prime}$. The right image is the theoretical PSF with the same pixel scale, taking atmospheric dispersion effects into account. All images are square-root scaled up to saturation.

TABLE 3
Near-Infrared Observations

| Epoch | $J$ | H | K | References |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2000 Sep 22........................ | $10.279 \pm 0.026$ | $9.329 \pm 0.029$ | $8.593 \pm 0.020$ | 2MASS |
| 2007 Jun 2 ........................ | $10.37 \pm 0.17$ | $9.32 \pm 0.23$ | $8.76 \pm 0.13$ | This work |
| 2007 Jun 3 ........................ | $10.52 \pm 0.07$ | $9.36 \pm 0.05$ | $8.48 \pm 0.04$ | This work |
| 2007 Jun 4 | $10.24 \pm 0.04$ | $9.35 \pm 0.03$ | $8.71 \pm 0.08$ | This work |
| 2007 Jun 5 ......................... | $10.21 \pm 0.04$ | $9.26 \pm 0.03$ | $8.64 \pm 0.03$ | This work |
| 2007 Jun 8 ........................... | $10.31 \pm 0.10$ | $9.30 \pm 0.05$ | $8.45 \pm 0.04$ | This work |

Note.-JHK magnitudes from 2MASS and from observations at the Carlos Sánchez Telescope.

### 2.6. IRAM 1.3 mm Continuum

We observed GM Cep at the IRAM 30 m telescope in Pico Veleta using the 37 channel bolometer, MAMBO-1. The observations were done during night time, at 4:18 hr UT on 2006 June 2 and 2:58 hr on June 3 . The opacity at zenith was $\tau=0.4$. Each observation consisted of a 20 minute integration standard onoff, with 10 minutes integration on source. The wobbler throw for the off position was $32^{\prime \prime}$ and $50^{\prime \prime}$, respectively, so the off measurement falls in different locations, to avoid potential contamination from other sources. The data were reduced using the MOPSIC software, developed by R. Zylka for the 30 m bolometer data. The pointing and flux calibration were done with the sources Lk H $\alpha 234$, Cep A, and NGC 7538 from the IRAM pool catalog and the planet Uranus. The final flux at 1.3 mm is $13.9 \pm 1.0 \mathrm{mJy}$. The 1.3 mm continuum observations are analyzed in $\S 3.4$.

### 2.7. IRAM ${ }^{12} \mathrm{CO}(1-0)$ and ${ }^{12} \mathrm{CO}(2-1)$ Observations

We also observed GM Cep with the heterodyne receivers at the 30 m IRAM telescope. The observations were performed under fair weather conditions on June 3 (UT 7:00-8:15), June 4 (UT 7:30-9:20), and June 5 (UT 8:00-10:00). We used the standard onoff mode with wobbler shift that results in better baselines, with wobbler throws from $70^{\prime \prime}$ to $120^{\prime \prime}$. We used the highest resolution mode available ( $2 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ) and the backends A100,


B100 (for frequencies around 115 GHz ) and A230, B230 (for frequencies around 230 GHz ). The instrument allowed us to observe two frequencies simultaneously, which we tuned to the ${ }^{12} \mathrm{CO}(1-0)$ and ${ }^{12} \mathrm{CO}(2-1)$ transitions. Since the LRS velocity of the source (considering the average cluster $c z=-15.0 \pm 3.6 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ) at the time of the observation was around $0 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, the receivers were tuned to $115.271-115.275$ and $230.538-230.543 \mathrm{GHz}$, varying the central frequencies during different integrations to avoid having the line fall always on the same place on the detector. The total integration time was 4 hr , with 2 hr on source. For pointing and calibration, we observed the standard sources J2253+161, NGC 7027, and Cep A from the IRAM pool catalog.

The data were reduced using the CLASS software within the GILDAS package, specially developed for the reduction of IRAM heterodyne data. The single scans were examined to remove the bad ones, matched in frequency, and added. The baseline was fitted as a first-order polynomial and subtracted. The reduced data are plotted in Figure 3. The ${ }^{12} \mathrm{CO}(1-0)$ transition is identified as a $5 \sigma$ detection with peak value 0.3 mJy , but the weaker ${ }^{12} \mathrm{CO}(2-1)$ transition is not detected. No line broadening was observed for ${ }^{12} \mathrm{CO}(1-0)$, which may be an effect of the weakness of the detection and/or a consequence of the inclination of the object. In addition to the $5 \sigma$ emission, we find a $4 \sigma$ absorption around the line. This absorption does not seem to originate in a single point in the off position, given the changes in the wobbler throw and its

Fig. 3.-Left: ${ }^{12} \mathrm{CO}(1-0)$ and ${ }^{12} \mathrm{CO}(2-1)$ spectra. The zero frequencies are 115.2712 and 230.538 GHz , respectively. A narrow emission is seen at approximately the cluster velocity only in ${ }^{12} \mathrm{CO}(1-0)$, suggesting that the radial velocity of GM Cep is consistent with the radial velocity of the cluster. Right: FCRAO CO $J=1-0$ peak intensity map of the IC 1396 region near GM Cep (Patel et al. 1998). The position of GM Cep is marked as a plus sign. The halftone gray-scale levels are linearly scaled from 0.5 to 8 K , with contour levels of $-3,3,5,10,15,20 \ldots$ times the rms of the map $(0.5 \mathrm{~K})$.
position angles. A possible explanation would be the presence of substantial amounts of gas in the $\operatorname{Tr} 37$ bubble. According to the work of Patel et al. (1998) using the 14 m FCRAO antenna, the region around GM Cep is relatively clean (Fig. 3, right). Nevertheless, the sensitivity of the 30 m telescope is more than double that of the FCRAO, so the absorption could be due to gas emission in the expanding IC 1396 H II region barely detected in the FCRAO maps. The ${ }^{12} \mathrm{CO}$ lines are weaker than expected in typical disks for the same 1.3 mm continuum emission, which could be due to oversubtraction of ambient ${ }^{12} \mathrm{CO}$ emission and is difficult to correct without deep mapping of the area.

## 3. ANALYSIS

### 3.1. The Light Curve: Outburst History

The light curve of GM Cep is depicted in Figure 4 (see data in Table 4). The star suffered rapid and repeated irregular variations in all bands, with amplitudes of $2-2.5$ mag. It increased in brightness after $\sim 1986$, reached a low magnitude level in $\sim 2002$, and raised between $\sim 2003$ and $\sim 2006$ July. From 2006 July on, when our time sampling is better, GM Cep experienced a decrease in magnitude, followed by a 1 mag increase in 2007 January, a further decline by 2007 April, and an increase again to nearmaximum levels by 2007 June. The changes in magnitude can be very rapid ( $>0.1 \mathrm{mag}$ in consecutive days in 2000 and 2001; 2 mag from 2006 December 15 to 29 ; 1 mag from 2007 January 2 to 18). This suggests that GM Cep probably suffered similar rapid oscillations during the undersampled periods.

The simultaneous multiband data show that the color changes are small and relatively random (Fig. 5). The changes in color do not seem purely related to extinction variations, considering a typical interstellar extinction law (Cardelli et al. 1989), although the material surrounding a young star is likely to be different from typical ISM. If the changes in magnitude were due to extinction alone, we would need to assume significant grain growth and a nonstandard extinction law: to produce the same amplitude of variation in all $V R I$ bands, the obscuring grains should be very large $(>10 \mu \mathrm{~m})$ to ensure gray extinction (Eiroa et al. 2002). Simultaneous $J H K$ and optical observations would help to constraint the effects of extinction in the light curve, but unfortunately only one of our $J H K$ data sets has simultaneous UBVRI photometry. The small color variations suggest as well that eclipses by a companion of different mass are not responsible for the changes. The amplitude of the variations (up to 2.5 mag ) is too large for eclipses, even if we consider an equal-mass companion, unless we consider some special binary configuration in addition to obscuring material, somehow analogous to KH 15D (Hamilton et al. 2005 and references therein). Detailed studies of the periodicity in the future should clarify the presence of eclipses. Nevertheless, both the extinction and the eclipse scenarios fail to explain the high luminosity of GM Cep (up to $30-40 L_{\odot}$ at maximum), unless the star had a much earlier spectral type (A) or belonged to another luminosity class.

### 3.2. Stellar Properties and Activity

The spectra of GM Cep are dominated by very strong and broad $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ emission with a strong P Cygni profile with a deep blueshifted absorption that goes under the continuum level, characteristic of very strong accretion (Edwards et al. 1994; Hartigan et al. 1995). Other emission lines associated with winds and accretion are present as well (Figs. 1, 6, and 7). The main lines are listed in Table 5. Given that none of the spectra are flux calibrated, it is not possible to determine the variations in the continuum level. The spectral features in the high-resolution data


Fig. 4.-Light curve for GM Cep, including the data in Table 4. The top panel shows the complete light curve. The bottom panel shows a zoom on the most recent data. The data points have been connected for better visualization, although due to the undersampling, further magnitude oscillations probably occurred between the measurements. [See the electronic edition of the Journal for a color version of this figure.]
from 2001 and 2004 are very similar. The $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ equivalent width (EW) was larger in 2004 (EW $=-14 \AA$ ) than in 2001 (EW = $-6 \AA$ ), and the $10 \% \mathrm{H} \alpha$ velocity width was also higher in 2004 ( $660 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$; Paper IV) than in $2001\left(580 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$, suggesting a higher accretion rate in the first case. The narrow $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ feature in the HIRES spectrum may be affected by nebular emission of the $\mathrm{H}_{\text {II }}$ region or may have been oversubtracted in the Hectochelle spectrum. The low-resolution spectra give $\mathrm{H} \alpha \mathrm{EW}=-10$ and $-19 \AA$ in 2001 June and 2007 April, respectively, suggesting $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ variability caused by variable accretion and winds added to changes in the continuum level.

In the 2001 low-resolution FAST spectrum, the $\mathrm{H} \beta$ line appears in absorption. Typically, accreting stars show the Balmer H series in emission, with similar profiles in all the lines, suggesting that they came from the same mass of emitting gas (Muzerolle et al. 1998, 2001). Nevertheless, in the case of very strong accretors, the strong winds can dominate the most optically thick lines $(\mathrm{H} \alpha)$ overrunning the signs of magnetospheric accretion, which would dominate optically thinner lines (like $\mathrm{H} \beta$; Muzerolle et al. 2001). Intermediate-mass variables of the UXor class tend to have $\mathrm{H} \beta$ in absorption (Grinin et al. 2001; Tambovtseva et al. 2001), but $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ P Cygni profiles are very rare in them, and the Ca II IR lines appear typically in absorption. For GM Cep, the Ca II IR triplet ( $\lambda \lambda 8498,8542,8662$ ), an indicator of accretion, shows strong emission in both the HIRES and the CAFOS spectra (Figs. 1 and 6). The lines were stronger in 2001, and the ratio of the 8498 and 8662 lines varies in the two epochs from $\sim 1.5$ to $\sim 2.5$. The profiles of these two lines are not similar either, but the 8662 Å line can be blended with the Paschen 13 line.

The high-resolution spectra show forbidden [ N II] emission at 6548 and $6583 \AA$, typically associated with winds and shocks (Hartmann \& Raymond 1989). The [ $\mathrm{N} \mathrm{II}_{\mathrm{II}}$ ] lines are stronger in the 2004 data, which have the stronger $\mathrm{H} \alpha$. In addition, the [ $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{II}}$ ] lines appear redshifted by about $18 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ in 2004 with respect to 2001 . The shock origin of the [ $\mathrm{N}_{\text {II }}$ ] emission typically results

TABLE 4
Optical Data

| Epoch | $U$ | B | V | $R_{\text {C }}$ | $I_{\text {C }}$ | $R_{\mathrm{J}}$ | $I_{\mathrm{J}}$ | GG395-B ${ }_{j}$ | R59F | R61F | R63F | 19 | Refs. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1952.556^{\text {a }}$.... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $12.92 \pm 0.1^{*}$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 12.84 | . | 1 |
| 1955.936...... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | $14.48 \pm 0.1^{*}$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $15.4 \pm 0.1$ |  |  | $14.4 \pm 0.1$ | $\ldots$ | 2 |
| 1955.941....... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $14.58 \pm 0.1^{*}$ | ... | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | 14.502 | $\cdots$ | 3 |
| 1978.1: | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | 11.77 | 1 |
| 1965.753....... | ... | $\ldots$ | $12.87 \pm 0.1$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | 4 |
| 1985.803...... | ... | 17.31: | $14.30 \pm 0.1$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 4 |
| 1986.715....... | ... | ... | $15.02 \pm 0.1$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | ... |  | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 4 |
| 1989.575...... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 14.74 | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ | ... | 1 |
| 1990.763....... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | 13.993 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 5 |
| 1990.789: | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | 14.22 | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | 6 |
| 1990.789: | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | 14.52 | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | 6 |
| $1990.789^{\text {b }}$..... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | $14.05 \pm 0.1^{*}$ | ... | ... | ... |  | 14.08 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 1 |
| $1991.595^{\text {c }}$.... | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | 14.44 |  | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | 1 |
| 1991.599...... | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | 14.225 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |  | 7 |
| 1993.552....... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | $13.66 \pm 0.1^{*}$ | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 13.58 | ... | 6 |
| 1994.44........ |  | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |  | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | 12.995 | 8 |
| 2000.674....... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $12.971 \pm 0.002$ | $12.141 \pm 0.002$ | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | . . | 9 |
| 2000.677....... | ... | ... | $13.915 \pm 0.002$ | $12.952 \pm 0.002$ | $12.141 \pm 0.002$ | $12.52 \pm 0.07^{*}$ | $11.7 \pm 0.2^{*}$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 9 |
| 2000.679...... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | $12.979 \pm 0.002$ | $12.128 \pm 0.002$ | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 9 |
| 2000.684...... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $12.988 \pm 0.002$ | $12.213 \pm 0.002$ | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | 9 |
| 2000.687...... | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | $12.878 \pm 0.003$ | $11.977 \pm 0.003$ | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 9 |
| 2000.690...... | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | $12.894 \pm 0.004$ | $12.030 \pm 0.002$ | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | 9 |
| 2000.692...... | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $12.885 \pm 0.002$ | $12.072 \pm 0.002$ | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | 9 |
| 2001.723....... | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | $12.702 \pm 0.003$ | $11.846 \pm 0.002$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | 9 |
| 2001.726...... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $12.710 \pm 0.003$ | $11.924 \pm 0.002$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | 9 |
| 2001.729...... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $12.753 \pm 0.003$ | $11.857 \pm 0.003$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | 9 |
| 2001.734....... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | $12.715 \pm 0.003$ | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | 9 |
| 2002.674...... | $16.987 \pm 0.009$ | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | . $\cdot$ | ... | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | 9 |
| 2003.803...... | $16.25 \pm 0.11$ | $\cdots$ | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdot$ | 9 |
| 2006.504....... | $15.04 \pm 0.02$ | $14.51 \pm 0.01^{\text {d }}$ | $13.173 \pm 0.005$ | $12.36 \pm 0.04^{*}$ | $11.64 \pm 0.2^{*}$ | $12.024 \pm 0.011$ | $11.20 \pm 0.01$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | 10 |
| 2006.797....... | $15.64 \pm 0.03$ | $15.02 \pm 0.04^{\text {d }}$ | $13.613 \pm 0.004$ | $12.76 \pm 0.03^{*}$ | $11.98 \pm 0.2^{*}$ | $12.405 \pm 0.007$ | $11.525 \pm 0.008$ | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 10 |
| 2006.956...... | $16.02 \pm 0.05$ | $15.33 \pm 0.01^{\text {d }}$ | $13.783 \pm 0.004$ | $12.88 \pm 0.03^{*}$ | ... | $12.467 \pm 0.007$ | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | 10 |
| 2006.995....... | ... | ... | $14.802 \pm 0.007$ | $13.96 \pm 0.04^{*}$ | $13.17 \pm 0.2^{*}$ | $13.60 \pm 0.02$ | $12.714 \pm 0.005$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | 10 |
| 2006.998...... | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $14.729 \pm 0.004$ | $\cdots$ |  |  | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | 11 |
| 2006.998...... | ... | ... | $14.727 \pm 0.008$ | $13.93 \pm 0.06^{*}$ | $13.15 \pm 0.2^{*}$ | $13.61 \pm 0.01$ | $12.706 \pm 0.005$ | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | ... | 11 |
| 2007.005...... | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | $14.728 \pm 0.008$ | $13.91 \pm 0.04 *$ | $13.19 \pm 0.2^{*}$ | $13.571 \pm 0.009$ | $12.749 \pm 0.006$ | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 11 |
| 2007.049...... | $\ldots$ | . | $13.912 \pm 0.006$ | $13.01 \pm 0.06^{*}$ | $12.02 \pm 0.2^{*}$ | $12.61 \pm 0.01$ | $11.507 \pm 0.005$ | . | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 11 |
| 2007.321...... | ... | ... | $14.41 \pm 0.01$ | $13.46 \pm 0.06^{*}$ | ... | $13.00 \pm 0.01$ | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | 12 |
| 2007.435...... |  | ... | $13.26 \pm 0.02$ | ... | ... | ... | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | ... | 10 |
| 2007.435...... | $15.26 \pm 0.13$ | $14.63 \pm 0.03$ | $13.302 \pm 0.009$ | $12.43 \pm 0.08^{*}$ | $11.73 \pm 0.2^{*}$ | $12.06 \pm 0.02$ | $11.29 \pm 0.01$ | ... | ... | $\cdots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | 10 |
| 2007.435...... | ... | ... | $13.24 \pm 0.02$ | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | . . | $\ldots$ | ... | . . | . . | 10 |

 (1982), and Couch \& Newell (1980). Uncertain magnitudes and epochs are marked by colons.
${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ The epoch is uncertain (either 1952.556 or 1955.936).
The epoch is uncertain (could be 1990.789, 1991.600, or 1993.070).
${ }^{\text {c }}$ d The epoch is uncertain (either 1991.595 or 1991.603).
${ }^{\text {d }}$ The index for the $B$-band data from Königstuhl indicates that the zero point is uncertain (see $\S 2.2$ ).
References.-(1) USNO B1.0, Monet et al. 2003; (2) USNO-A2.0, VizieR Online Data Catalog, I/243 (D. Monet et al., 1998); (3) SuperCOSMOS catalog, POSS I red; (4) Konkoly Observatory, Kun 1986;
 Alto; (12) CAFOS/2.2 m Calar Alto spectroscopy mode.


Fig. 5.-Color evolution of GM Cep, compared to the 1, 10, and 100 Myr isochrones (short-dashed, long-dashed, and solid lines; Siess et al. 2000) and the reddening vector (dash-dotted lines marked at intervals of $A_{V}=0.5 \mathrm{mag}$ ). The data from 2000 September have been converted from the Cousins into the Johnson system (Fernie 1983). The individual data points have been connected to show the direction of the variations. Typical errors are smaller than the symbols except for the 2000 September converted data, which have errors up to $\sim 0.07$ and $\sim 0.2 \mathrm{mag}$ in $R_{\mathrm{J}}$ and $I_{\mathrm{J}}$, respectively. The isochrones have been reddened by the cluster average $\left(A_{V}=1.67 \mathrm{mag}\right)$ following a standard reddening law (Cardelli et al. 1989). [See the electronic edition of the Journal for a color version of this figure.]
in small blueshifts of the lines (Hartigan et al. 1995), so the velocity shift observed could be related to changes in the velocity and/or location of the shocked matter or in the radial velocity of the star. Considering the strength of the lines, we believe that GM Cep had stronger winds in 2004 than in 2001. The [O I] line at $6300 \AA$ is not covered, and the [ $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}$ ] line at $6363 \AA$ is not detected, but the [ $\mathrm{S}_{\text {II }}$ ] line at $6729 \AA$ is detected in emission. The forbidden emission lines ([ $\left.\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}\right],\left[\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{II}}\right]$, and $\left[\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{II}}\right]$ ) can be used to constrain the wind and shock density and the temperature of the regions where they originate, given their different critical densi-
ties and ionization potentials (Hartigan et al. 1990). If a jet or wind does not encounter matter in its way, the forbidden-line emission may not be produced (Hartigan et al. 1995). Higher densities tend to reduce the $\left[\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{II}}\right]$ and $\left[\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{II}}\right]$ emission compared to [ $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}$ ], and higher shock velocities increase ionization and the $\left[\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{II}}\right] /\left[\mathrm{O}_{\text {I }}\right]$ ratio. The different velocities observed for the different lines suggest different formation volumes: [ $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{II}}$ ] could be produced in a low-density shock far from the star, whereas the [ $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}$ ] line could be related to denser, hotter wind possibly associated with the material producing the $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ absorption.


FIG. 6.-Emission lines in GM Cep, from HIRES (solid line) and Hectochelle (dotted line) spectra. The zero velocity position has been marked according to the HIRES radial velocity $c z=-21.0 \pm 2.6 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$.

GM Cep presents remarkable, double-peaked O I emission at $8446 \AA$, with peaks centered at 8442 and $8448 \AA(\sim-140$ and $\sim+80 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$; Fig. 6). This line is characteristic of very strong winds, being usually present in Herbig Ae/Be stars and strongly accreting TTSs like RW Aur (Alencar et al. 2005). The double peak observed in GM Cep could in fact indicate two jetlike wind components. There is a prominent and broad O i absorption at $7774 \AA$, possibly related to the hot disk (Grinin et al. 2001). We also detect some weak $\mathrm{Fe}_{\text {II }}$ and $\mathrm{Fe}_{\mathrm{I}}$ lines in emission (Table 5), which are seen in spectra of other strongly accreting stars like V1647 (Fedele et al. 2007).

The new data allow us to revise the spectral type of the star. The low-resolution spectra are veiled and lack broadband fea-
tures, giving an uncertain spectral type around G. The highresolution HIRES spectrum shows numerous photospheric lines in the whole wavelength range, despite important blending due to the fast rotation of GM Cep. Comparing to standard spectral libraries (Montes et al. 1997; Coluzzi 1993), the $\mathrm{Fe}_{\text {I }}$ and $\mathrm{Ca}_{\text {I }}$ lines, together with the $\mathrm{Li}_{\mathrm{I}}$ absorption $(0.16 \AA$ ), suggest a late type in the range $\mathrm{G} 7 \mathrm{~V}-\mathrm{K} 0 \mathrm{~V}(1 \sigma$; G5-K3 for $3 \sigma)$. The main contributors to the uncertainty in the spectral type are the moderate veiling ( $\sim 0.2-0.4$, depending on spectral type) and the fast rotation, added to the intrinsic lack of strong features in G-type stars.

Cross-correlation of the Hectochelle spectrum with spectra of slow rotators of a similar spectral type revealed a rotational velocity $V \sin i=51.6 \pm 9.4 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ (Paper IV). We repeated


Fig. 7.-Emission lines in GM Cep observed with HIRES. The zero velocity position has been marked according to the HIRES radial velocity $c z=-21.0 \pm 2.6 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}{ }^{-1}$. The Li i absorption at $6708 \AA$ and the Paschen 13 H line at $8663 \AA$ have been marked by dot-dashed lines.
the cross-correlation with each of the HIRES orders, removing the zones affected by emission lines, bad pixels, and strong atmospheric features (mostly $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ bands; Curcio et al. 1964). The results of the cross-correlation are summarized in Table 6 and displayed in Figure 8. The HIRES data confirm the very high rotational velocity over a large range of wavelengths, $V \sin i=43.2 \pm 1.6 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ on average (standard deviation $\sigma=4.2 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ). Compared to the average rotational velocity of the rest of the $\operatorname{Tr} 37$ members ( $V \sin i=10.2 \pm 3.9 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ), GM Cep is a very fast rotator; the next fastest rotator in $\operatorname{Tr} 37$ is the M0 weak-lined TTS 21-763, with $V \sin i=28.3 \pm 8.1 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. We do not observe any correlation between $V \sin i$ and wavelength (Fig. 8) or between line broadening and the line transition
lower excitation potential, as has been suggested for FUors (Hartmann \& Kenyon 1987, 1996; Welty et al. 1990, 1992). Therefore, we believe that the absorption lines arise from the stellar photosphere rather than from a hot disk or shell. The crosscorrelation also provides a measure of the radial velocity. The average radial velocity in $\operatorname{Tr} 37$ is $c z=-15.0 \pm 3.6 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, so GM Cep is slightly off-cluster with $c z=-7.5 \pm 6.7 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ (Paper IV). The HIRES data produce a different off-cluster value, $c z=-21.0 \pm 2.6 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. On the other hand, the radial velocity of the ${ }^{12} \mathrm{CO}(1-0)$ peak is consistent with the cluster average, $-15 \pm 2 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}(\S 2.7)$. Nevertheless, the broad lines lead to large errors, so the evidence of spectroscopic binarity is only $2 \sigma$, and therefore not conclusive.

TABLE 5
Emission and Absorption Lines


Notes.-Emission and absorption lines related to accretion and/or winds. Negative and positive EWs correspond to emission and absorption lines, respectively. The CAFOS 2007 data have simultaneous photometry showing $V=14.41 \pm 0.01$ and $R_{\mathrm{J}}=13.00 \pm 0.01$. $B$ and $R$ indicate the EW of the blueshifted and redshifted components, respectively.

### 3.3. Accretion

The $U$-band observations combined with simultaneous $V R I$ photometry can be used to determine the accretion rate via the excess $U$-band luminosity ( $L_{U}$; Gullbring et al. 1998; Papers I, II, and IV). The excess $U$-band luminosity is well correlated with
the accretion luminosity $L_{\mathrm{acc}}$, which can be transformed into an accretion rate. Following Gullbring et al. (1998),

$$
\begin{gather*}
\log \left(L_{\mathrm{acc}} / L_{\odot}\right)=1.09 \log \left(L_{U} / L_{\odot}\right)+0.98  \tag{1}\\
L_{\mathrm{acc}} \sim G M_{*} \dot{M} / R_{*}\left(1-R_{*} / R_{\mathrm{in}}\right) \tag{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

TABLE 6
Radial and Rotational Velocity

| $\langle\lambda\rangle$ <br> (Å) | Standard/Sp. Type | $R$ | $\begin{gathered} c z \\ \left(\mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} V \sin i \\ \left(\mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6370.................................... | HD 222368/F7 V | 3.4 | $-22.1 \pm 8.1$ | $49.9 \pm 11.5$ |  |
| 6470.................................... | HD 222368/F7 V | 10.9 | $-23.9 \pm 2.7$ | $41.2 \pm 3.5$ |  |
| 6590. | HD 222368/F7 V | 1.3 | $-19.7 \pm 12.7$ | $44.2 \pm 19.4$ | Few lines |
| 6710. | HD 222368/F7 V | 4.0 | $-30.7 \pm 5.8$ | $43.3 \pm 8.7$ |  |
| 6840. | HD 122693/F8 V | 1.6 | $-27.2 \pm 12.0$ | $52.5 \pm 20.4$ | Few lines |
| 7110.. | HD 222368/F7 V | 5.1 | $-8.0 \pm 3.9$ | $49.1 \pm 8.1$ |  |
| 7410.. | HD 222368/F7 V | 6.7 | $-25.6 \pm 4.3$ | $47.7 \pm 6.2$ |  |
| 7730.. | HD 122693/F8 V | 3.5 | $-26.3 \pm 7.0$ | $50.4 \pm 11.3$ |  |
| 7910.. | HD 222368/F7 V | 4.7 | $-14.9 \pm 4.7$ | $41.0 \pm 7.2$ |  |
| 8670. | HD 126053/G1 V | 2.2 | $-25.4 \pm 9.9$ | $42.7 \pm 13.5$ |  |
| 8270. | HD 222368/F7 V | 1.6 | $-13.5 \pm 9.5$ | $40.0 \pm 15.6$ | Few lines |
| 8470.................................... | HD 122693/F8 V | 1.4 | $-29.0 \pm 10.7$ | $38.0 \pm 15.7$ | Few lines |
| 8470................................... | HD 126053/G1 V | 1.4 | $-28.1 \pm 12.0$ | $46.0 \pm 19.0$ | Few lines |

Notes.-Results of the cross-correlation for the different orders of the HIRES spectrum, including the standard used for comparison and its spectral type, the $R$ parameter, indicative of the goodness of the correlation (Tonry \& Davis 1979; Hartmann et al. 1986; Kurtz et al. 1992), the radial velocity $c z$, and the rotational velocity $V \sin i$. Some parts of the spectra are affected by $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ atmospheric absorption bands, leading to small regions free of absorption, few lines to correlate, and worse correlations $(R<2)$. The orders centered in 6970 and $8090 \AA$ are so strongly affected by atmospheric absorption features that we could not cross-correlate them.


Fig. 8.-Rotational velocity ( $V \sin i$ ) and radial velocity ( $c z$ ) of GM Cep versus wavelength, derived from the HIRES spectra (circles) and Hectochelle data (crosses). The average $V \sin i$ and $c z$ of the $\operatorname{Tr} 37$ members are displayed as a solid line, together with the $1 \sigma$ deviations seen in the cluster (dashed lines). Whereas the radial velocity of GM Cep is consistent with the Tr 37 members within the errors, the $V \sin i$ is much higher than any typical CTTS or weak-lined TTS.

The mass and radius of the star $\left(M_{*}\right.$ and $\left.R_{*}\right)$ can be obtained from the $V$ versus $V-I$ diagram and evolutionary tracks (Siess et al. 2000). The value of $L_{U}$ can be estimated as the difference between the measured $U$-band luminosity and the photospheric luminosity, calculated from the measured $I$ magnitude and using the $U-I$ color of a nonaccreting star with the same spectral type (Kenyon \& Hartmann 1995). All magnitudes must be corrected from extinction (derived from the VRI colors using a standard galactic extinction law; Cardelli et al. 1989), and the transformation of magnitudes to luminosity can be done with the zeropoint flux and the bandwith for the $U$ band $\left(4.19 \times 10^{-9} \mathrm{erg} \mathrm{s}^{-1}\right.$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-2} \AA^{-1}$ and $680 \AA$, respectively). For GM Cep and a spectral type G7-K0, the extinction is $A_{V} \sim 2-3 \mathrm{mag}$, so the 2006-2007 data result in variable $L_{U} \sim 0.5-4 L_{\odot}$ and a variable accretion rate in the range $\dot{M} \sim 10^{-7}$ to $5 \times 10^{-6} M_{\odot} \mathrm{yr}^{-1}$. The mass and radius of the star are uncertain, given the variations within the colormagnitude diagram (Fig. 5); the most probable values are $M_{*}=$ $2.1 M_{\odot}$ and a radius between 3 and $6 R_{\odot}$. Added to the uncertainty in the spectral type and extinction, this result is accurate within 1 order of magnitude. In any case, this accretion rate is $2-3$ orders of magnitude higher than the median in $\operatorname{Tr} 37$ (Paper IV) and 1-2 orders of magnitude higher than the rates in typical Taurus stars.

Using the parameterization in Natta et al. (2004), we can convert the $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ velocity wings at $10 \%$ of the maximum ( $V_{\mathrm{H} \alpha 10 \%}$ ), given in $\mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$, into accretion rates,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log \left(\dot{M} / M_{\odot} \mathrm{yr}^{-1}\right)=-12.89+9.7 \times 10^{-3} V_{\mathrm{H} \alpha 10 \%} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the measured velocities of 580 and $660 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, the accretion rates are $5 \times 10^{-8}$ and $3 \times 10^{-7} M_{\odot} \mathrm{yr}^{-1}$, respectively. These values may be underestimated given the wind absorption in the $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ profiles and depending on the viewing angle. As the dispersion associated with this parameterization is around 1-2 orders of magnitude, the $3 \times 10^{-7} M_{\odot} \mathrm{yr}^{-1}$ rate derived from Hectochelle in

TABLE 7
Mid-Infrared Fluxes

| Epoch | $\lambda /$ Band ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) | Flux <br> (Jy) | References |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1983.5. | 12 | $0.659 \pm 0.046$ | IRAS, computed by VizieR |
|  | 25 | $0.836 \pm 0.075$ | IRAS, computed by VizieR |
|  | 60 | $1.44 \pm 0.20$ | IRAS, computed by VizieR |
|  | 100 | $<2.60$ | IRAS, computed by VizieR |
| 1996-1998........ | 8.28/A | $0.622 \pm 0.031$ | MSX6C ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
|  | 12.13/C | <0.629 | MSX6C ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
|  | 14.65/D | $<0.482$ | MSX6C ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
|  | 21.0/E | <1.37 | MSX6C ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 2003.970........... | 3.6 | $0.247 \pm 0.012$ | IRAC/Spitzer (Paper III) |
|  | 4.5 | $0.219 \pm 0.011$ | IRAC/Spitzer (Paper III) |
|  | 5.8 | $0.256 \pm 0.013$ | IRAC/Spitzer (Paper III) |
|  | 8.0 | $0.282 \pm 0.014$ | IRAC/Spitzer (Paper III) |
| 2004.477........... | 23.9 | $0.791 \pm 0.079$ | MIPS/Spitzer (Paper III) |
|  | 70.0 | $0.829 \pm 0.083$ | MIPS/Spitzer (Paper III) |

Note.-Mid-IR fluxes from the literature.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ VizieR Online Data Catalog, V/114 (M. P. Egan et al., 2003).

2004 is roughly consistent with the values derived from $U$-band photometry. The moderate veiling estimated from the HIRES spectrum $(\sim 0.2-0.4)$ agrees with the accretion rate estimated from $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ assuming an intermediate luminosity for GM Cep.

### 3.4. IR Variability and Disk Models

In order to study the structure of the disk around GM Cep, we trace the spectral energy distribution (SED) using the millimeter, Spitzer, 2MASS, and optical data (see Table 7 for a summary of the available mid-IR data). The main uncertainty here is that the different observations are not simultaneous. The 2MASS JHK data are nearly contemporary to the optical photometry (2000), but the Spitzer data were taken in 2003-2004 and the millimeter continuum in 2006. Short time variations of $\sim 1$ mag in $J H K$ have been observed for stars similar to GM Cep (Eiroa et al. 2002), suggesting structural changes in the disks. According to the D'Alessio et al. (2005) disk models, the flux at wavelengths shorter than $\sim 300 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ scales linearly with the total (stellar and accretion) luminosity, but the disk flux at longer wavelengths is independent (Merín 2004). Juhász et al. (2007) and Kóspál et al. (2007) found similar evidence of mid-IR excess variations with luminosity. If the causes of the outbursts were extinction variations, the mid- and far-IR variability would be milder, and the near-IR would be only moderately variable. The differences between the fluxes measured by the $\operatorname{IR} A S 12 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, IRAC $8 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, and MSX6C A band $(\sim 8 \mu \mathrm{~m})$ are suggestive of IR variability. On the other hand, the millimeter emission reflects the total mass of the disk, changing little even if the star suffers strong variability. The brightness in $V$ varied in the whole range ( $\sim 2-2.5 \mathrm{mag}$ ) during the time the different IR observations were taken (Fig. 4), so for modeling the disk we take the optical data from 2000 September, which have an intermediate brightness, and keep in mind that the SED may be affected by variable IR fluxes.

Typical disk models assume that the disk is in hydrostatic equilibrium. Nevertheless, the strong IR emission suggests that the disk is compact, dense, and, if the variability is triggered by episodes of enhanced accretion, probably out of hydrostatic equilibrium (Clarke et al. 1990; Eiroa et al. 2002), so we must be cautious interpreting the models. We use the standard models for irradiated accretion disks around TTSs of D'Alessio et al. (2005), which trace the flared disk self-consistently with a flaring angle determined by the hydrostatic equilibrium and include


Fig. 9.-SED data and disk models (D'Alessio et al. 2005) for GM Cep. The fitted data points (see text) are represented by filled circles. Open circles mark the rest of the data points not included in the fitting, and triangles are upper limits. The dotted lines trace three different hydrostatic equilibrium disk models for a star with a luminosity of $26 L_{\odot}$, accretion rates of $10^{-7}$ to $10^{-6} M_{\odot} \mathrm{yr}^{-1}$, and disk radii ranging from 50 to 600 AU . The short-dashed line represents the model that best fits the millimeter point, consisting of a disk with $\dot{M} \sim 10^{-6} M_{\odot} \mathrm{yr}^{-1}$ and a 30 AU outer radius, and the solid line is the 30 AU model plus a blackbody with $T=150 \mathrm{~K}$ to simulate an excess flaring or envelope in order to reproduce the high mid-IR fluxes. The long-dashed line is the photospheric emission for a K0 star (Kenyon \& Hartmann 1995). The data are dereddened for $A_{V}=2.5 \mathrm{mag}$. The inability to simultaneously fit the mid-IR (24 and $70 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) and the millimeter point with the standard equilibrium models suggests that the disk is unstable and has probably suffered considerable grain growth.
stellar illumination and accretion as heating sources for the disk. The dust opacities are calculated for a collisional distribution of grain sizes, where the amount of grains of a given size $a$ varies as a power law $n(a) \propto a^{-3.5}$ and the maximum grain size is $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. We assume an average inclination of $60^{\circ}$, the effects of intermediate inclination variations being only important for the near-IR emission. The stellar parameters, derived from the optical photometry of 2000 September using the H-R diagram, are $M=3.0 M_{\odot}$, $R=5.14 R_{\odot}$, and $T=5000 \mathrm{~K}$. We also include an accretion rate of $10^{-6} M_{\odot} \mathrm{yr}^{-1}$. These parameters are one of the main uncertainties in the modeling, given the variability of the star. The best-fit model is displayed in Figure 9. The result is, not surprisingly, that the disk cannot be modeled as a standard disk. If we try to fit the MIPS data points at 24 and $70 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, the 1.3 mm continuum flux would be overestimated by more than 1 order of magnitude, and even the 24 and $70 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ contemporary data alone cannot be accurately reproduced with hydrostatic equilibrium disk models for any observed stellar luminosity. The mid-IR flux of GM Cep is comparable to that of FU Orionis itself (Quanz et al. 2006), although its disk (or disk plus envelope) is less massive. Since the flux at millimeter wavelengths is independent of the rapid optical variability, a standard hydrostatic equilibrium model can reproduce the flux at 1.3 mm only if the grains are either much smaller or much larger than $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (maximum grain size $<1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ or $>1 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) or if the disk is very small (up to 30-50 AU). Even in these cases, an additional source of IR emission should be included to produce the high 24 and $70 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ flux (in a small disk) or to fit the near-IR excess (if the grains have centimeter sizes).

A way to increase the IR fluxes without changing the millimeter flux would be to vary the flaring angle at a certain distance from the star. The flaring angle in the D'Alessio et al. (2005) models is fixed by the hydrostatic equilibrium, but strong turbulence related to the strong accretion can produce an unstable disk. As an experiment, the mid-IR fluxes can be increased by adding blackbody emission with $T \sim 150 \mathrm{~K}$ to a compact 30 AU disk model. For the luminosity of GM Cep, this would mean a distance of $\sim 25 \mathrm{AU}$. The excess mid-IR emission that needs to be added could be interpreted as an increase in the flaring angle by a factor of $\sim 2$ at distances of $\sim 20-30 \mathrm{AU}$ (a "bump" in the disk), as an illuminated wall at $\sim 25 \mathrm{AU}$, or as a shell or envelope located at a similar distance. If the innermost disk were very flared or had a high inner wall, some degree of self-shadowing in the near-IR might occur, leading to a bump at longer wavelengths (Dullemond et al. 2001).

A physical mechanism to produce a bump, or a local change in the flaring angle, could be to disrupt the disk by a massive object (a stellar companion and/or a giant planet) triggering thermal instability and producing rims and/or spiral waves (Clarke et al. 1990; Clarke \& Syer 1996; Lodato \& Clarke 2004). This picture would also be useful to explain the observed outbursts as periods of increased accretion activity. A close-in companion, a companion embedded in the disk, or a companion out of the disk (typically at distances 2-3 times the size of the disk; Artymowicz \& Lubow 1994) could produce disk instabilities and increased accretion episodes, with the advantage that companion-triggered outbursts can occur at later stages of evolution in Class II objects, more consistent with the ages of $\operatorname{Tr} 37$ (Lodato \& Clarke 2004). Detailed studies of the periodicity and radial velocity will be used to test these scenarios.

The shell or envelope picture presents a main problem: the relatively low extinction of GM Cep. Assuming a reasonable spectral type range (G5-K3), the extinction can vary between $A_{V}=$ 1.5 and 3 mag, roughly consistent with the cluster average $A_{V}=$ $1.67 \pm 0.45 \mathrm{mag}$ (Paper II), and with the minimum interstellar extinction for an object located at $900 \mathrm{pc}, A_{V} \sim 1$ mag. Therefore, the column density in the envelope would be of the order of $N\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)=10^{21} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2}$ at most (Frerking et al. 1982; Taylor et al. 1993), resulting in a total mass of $\sim 4 \times 10^{-5} M_{\odot}$ if the envelope has an $\sim 30$ AU radius and still only $0.001 M_{\odot}$ for an $\sim 500 \mathrm{AU}$ radius, insignificant compared to the total disk mass. The envelope could be more dense and massive if it had polar gaps, which is reasonable given the strong winds, and if we assume a low viewing angle, but it cannot be too massive to reproduce the millimeter flux. A low viewing angle would be consistent with the lack of broadening in the ${ }^{12} \mathrm{CO}(1-0)$ line and would suggest that GM Cep is rotating close to the breakup velocity, $\sim 200 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. Accretion from an envelope onto the disk could produce episodes of increased accretion onto the star. Extinction by a nonuniform envelope can produce magnitude changes as well, but the rapid variation timescales require that, if extinction plays an important role in the magnitude changes, the extincting matter must be very close to the star. In order to distinguish between all these scenarios, simultaneous observations at all wavelengths are required.

### 3.5. Disk Mass Estimates

The millimeter continuum data can be used to estimate the total disk mass, given that the whole disk is optically thin at these wavelengths. The total dust mass is written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{\mathrm{dust}}=F_{\nu} D^{2} / \kappa_{\nu} B_{\nu}\left(T_{\mathrm{dust}}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F_{\nu}$ is the flux at millimeter wavelengths, $D$ is the distance, $\kappa_{\nu}$ is the dust mass absorption coefficient at the same wavelength ( $2 \mathrm{~cm}^{2} \mathrm{~g}^{-1}$ at 1.3 mm ; Beckwith et al. 1990; Miyake \& Nakagawa 1993; Krügel \& Siebenmorgen 1994), and $B_{\nu}\left(T_{\text {dust }}\right)$ is the Planck function for the temperature of the bulk of the dust. The total mass of the disk can be obtained assuming a gas-to-dust ratio of 100 (Beckwith et al. 1990). The main uncertainties are the dust absorption coefficient (if substantial grain growth has occurred), the gas-to-dust ratio (if the disk is older than typical disks), and, in an unstable disk, the temperature of the bulk of the dust. We use the dust absorption coefficient assumed for Taurus disks (Beckwith et al. 1990; Osterloh \& Beckwith 1995), which is larger than the $\kappa_{\nu}$ for the interstellar medium $\left(1 \mathrm{~cm}^{2} \mathrm{~g}^{-1}\right)$ or that of disks with grains larger than $\sim 100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (Krügel \& Siebenmorgen 1994). The typical dust temperature for outer disks around TTSs is $30-40 \mathrm{~K}$ (Dutrey et al. 1997; Thi et al. 2001). For GM Cep, the dust temperature could be higher if the strong accretion is adding extra heating to the disk and/or if the bulk of the dust is closer to the star (because of changes in the flaring and/or envelope configuration). In order to be conservative, we consider dust temperatures in the range $30-150 \mathrm{~K}$, resulting in total disk masses from 0.07 to $0.01 M_{\odot}$, several times the minimum mass solar nebula.

The emission of optically thin transitions in molecules can be used to estimate the mass in cold gas (Thi et al. 2001). The ${ }^{12} \mathrm{CO}(1-0)$ line detected at the IRAM 30 m telescope is most likely optically thick, so this would underestimate the disk mass. Nevertheless, if we make the approximate calculation, assuming that the line is thermalized, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{(1-0)}=\left(h \nu / 4 \pi D^{2}\right) N(\mathrm{CO}) A_{1-0} \chi_{\nu} A \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F_{(1-0)}$ is the total flux in the ${ }^{12} \mathrm{CO}(1-0)$ line, $N(\mathrm{CO})$ is the ${ }^{12} \mathrm{CO}$ column density, $D$ is the distance, $A_{1-0}$ is the Einstein coefficient for the transition, $\chi_{\nu}$ is the level occupation at a given temperature, and $A$ is the disk surface area. The main error in this approach is that the line is not optically thin. If we assume the ratio of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ to ${ }^{12} \mathrm{CO}$ to be $10^{4}$ (Thi et al. 2001), and we consider the total flux integrated over the line profile $F_{(1-0)}$, the mass of the disk can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{\text {disk }}=\mu m_{\mathrm{H}_{2}} F_{(1-0)} 4 \pi D^{2}\left[N\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right) / N(\mathrm{CO})\right] / h \nu A_{1-0} \chi_{\nu} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

With $A_{1-0}$ and $\chi_{\nu}$ from the Leiden Atomic and Molecular Database (LAMBDA; Schöier et al. 2005) and the Cologne Database of Molecular Spectroscopy (CDMS; Müller et al. 2001, 2005), and assuming gas temperatures between 40 and 80 K (this variation only results in a factor of 2 ), the total disk mass inferred from the integrated flux $F_{(1-0)}=0.27 \mathrm{Jy} \mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ is of the order of $0.0005 M_{\odot}$, about 100 times smaller than the estimate from the dust continuum emission. This is reasonable, since the line is optically thick and the typical CO depletion values in TTS disks are of the order of 10-1000 (Thi et al. 2001). Moreover, our ${ }^{12} \mathrm{CO}$ fluxes may be underestimated because of the absorption from ambient gas (§ 2.7).

The disk mass obtained by integrating the 30 AU disk model that gives the best fit to the millimeter point (§3.4) is about 3 times larger, $0.19 M_{\odot}$. The model suggests a temperature around $30-$ 40 K for the bulk of the dust. The main source of disagreement is the dust mass absorption coefficient, which in the model is variable with the radius of the disk and depends on the distribution of grains of different sizes, having typical values between 0.4 and $1.8 \mathrm{~cm}^{2} \mathrm{~g}^{-1}$, smaller than the $\kappa_{\nu}$ from Beckwith et al. (1990). The stronger inconsistency is that for an accretion rate
$\dot{M} \sim 10^{-6} M_{\odot} \mathrm{yr}^{-1}$, the disk would survive less than 0.1 Myr , very little compared with the age of $\operatorname{Tr} 37$ and even the age of the Tr 37 globule. This inconsistency is not exclusive to GM Cep, but many of the strong accretors like DR Tau and RW Aur have similar $\dot{M}$ and even smaller millimeter disk masses ( 0.03 and $0.0003 M_{\odot}$, respectively; Beckwith et al. 1990; Osterloh \& Beckwith 1995), so their survival times would be even shorter. In the case of RW Aur, the problem can be solved assuming that the current accretion episodes are transient events caused by disk disturbances by its companion(s) (Petrov et al. 1991; Cabrit et al. 2005). EXors are supposed to have variable accretion, so they could spend most of their lives accreting at lower rates. Another way to solve the disagreement would be to change the opacities by increasing the maximum grain sizes to $\sim 1-10 \mathrm{~cm}$ or decreasing them to under $1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. Such dust distributions are compatible with the millimeter fluxes if the disk has a mass of $\sim 0.5-$ $0.6 M_{\odot}$ and an outer radius $>100 \mathrm{AU}$. Mannings \& Emerson (1994) suggested that including large grains and fractal dust structures could increase the disk mass of DR Tau, and Rodmann et al. (2006) found evidence of centimeter-sized grains in RW Aur. Important grain growth seems appropriate for older TTSs like GM Cep and should be explored with more observations in the submillimeter range to constrain the SED slope.

### 3.6. Does GM Cep Have a Wide-Orbit Companion?

Given the anomalous SED of GM Cep, the repeated outbursts, and the fact that many of the well-known variable and EXor/ FUor objects are multiple systems with separations of $\sim 100-$ 300 AU (Reipurth \& Aspin 2004; Vittone \& Errico 2005; Ghez et al. 1993), we investigate the presence of companions. The presence of binaries has been invoked as a mechanism to produce EXor and FUor outbursts in old and more evolved disks without an envelope (Clarke et al. 1990; Bonnell \& Bastien 1992). Some very variable TTSs like RW Aur are such multiple systems (Ghez et al. 1993), and companions at distances of $\sim 100 \mathrm{AU}$ affect the evolution of disks around Herbig $\mathrm{Ae} / \mathrm{Be}$ stars (Chen et al. 2006) and TTSs (Bouwman et al. 2006). The high-resolution spectroscopy is not conclusive, but the detection of spectroscopic binaries may be difficult if the star is a very fast rotator and/or if the viewing angle is low. The luckyimaging data from AstraLux do not reveal a wide companion. The small elongation of the PSF is compatible with the predicted atmospheric dispersion effects and cannot be taken as an indicator of an unresolved companion. The ability to image companions with AstraLux is highly dependent on the magnitude difference between the two stars, and GM Cep was always imaged during high-magnitude phases, so the identification of companions at 100-200 AU would not be possible even if the two stars had a similar mass.

In order to constrain the separation and magnitude difference of a possible companion, we analyzed the maximum brightness differences of hypothetical companions at different angular separations from GM Cep for the 2006 November AstraLux data, which have the best Strehl ratio. We adopted a $5 \sigma$ peak detection over the background noise as the visibility criterion. The absence of static aberrations and asymmetries in the AstraLux data beyond the first diffraction ring allow us to determine the intensity standard deviation in concentric annuli around GM Cep, resulting in robust estimates of the detection limits (Table 8). Binary simulations were constructed by adding to the data a scaled copy of the real observations with the given magnitude differences and separations (Fig. 10). Since we add not only the stellar PSF but also the noise, this method increases the background noise, especially at small magnitude differences, leading to more pessimistic estimates

TABLE 8
Binary Detection Limits For AstraLux

| Distance (AU) | Separation (arcsec) | $\Delta$ (mag) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 60. | 0.07 | -0.5 |
| 90. | 0.10 | -1.2 |
| 100. | 0.11 | -1.8 |
| 150. | 0.17 | -2.0 |
| 200. | 0.22 | -2.6 |
| 250. | 0.27 | -3.2 |
| 300. | 0.33 | -3.5 |
| 350. | 0.39 | -3.8 |
| 400. | 0.44 | -3.9 |
| 425. | 0.47 | -4.0 |
| 450. | 0.50 | -4.1 |
| 500. | 0.56 | -4.4 |
| 600. | 0.67 | -4.6 |
| 700. | 0.78 | -4.8 |
| 800. | 0.89 | -5.0 |
| 900. | 1.00 | -5.3 |
| 1000. | 1.11 | -5.5 |
| 1500. | 1.68 | -6.2 |
| 2000.. | 2.22 | -6.4 |
| 2500.. | 2.78 | -6.6 |
| 3000. | 3.33 | -6.6 |
| 3500... | 3.89 | -6.6 |

Notes.-Detection limits for binary companions with AstraLux. Based on a $5 \sigma$ detection over the background.
of the achievable detection limits. The observed PSF is in good agreement with a theoretical simulation which includes atmospheric dispersion effects, so there is no evidence for a bright companion at angular separations between 50 and 100 mas (45-90 AU). We conclude that GM Cep does not have a companion with a magnitude difference $\leq 1$ mag at $\approx 100 \mathrm{AU}$, and none farther than 200 AU with a magnitude difference $\leq 2$ mag. However, we cannot rule out the presence of a close G- or K-type companion with normal luminosity. We will obtain further AstraLux observations of GM Cep near its minimum in parallel to our photometric monitoring.

## 4. DISCUSSION: POTENTIAL VARIABILITY MECHANISMS IN GM CEP

There are different mechanisms responsible for the magnitude variations of pre-main-sequence stars. Herbst et al. (1994) classifies them into type I, due to rotation of a star with cool spots; type II, due to rotation of a star with hot spots (related to accretion); and type III, also called UXor variables. Young, accreting, solar-type stars experience type I and II variations of the order of $0.01-0.5 \mathrm{mag}$ in a few days (Bertout 1989; Briceño et al. 2001; Eiroa et al. 2002). The irregular UXor variations, with more complex timescales of days to weeks combined with cycles of a few years, do not usually exceed $\Delta \mathrm{mag} \sim 1 \mathrm{mag}$, and occur typically in nearly edge-on Herbig Ae/Be stars (Grinin et al. 2000), although other authors claim that stars as early as K0 can experience type III variations (Herbst \& Shevchenko 1999) and that


Fig. 10.-Simulations of different binary cases as they would be imaged with AstraLux, for different separations and magnitude differences. Top to bottom, left to right: separation $100 \mathrm{mas}(90 \mathrm{AU}), \Delta(\mathrm{mag})=1.20 \mathrm{mag}$; separation $150 \mathrm{mas}(135 \mathrm{AU}), \Delta(\mathrm{mag})=1.92 \mathrm{mag}$; separation $200 \mathrm{mas}(180 \mathrm{AU}), \Delta(\mathrm{mag})=2.20 \mathrm{mag} ; \mathrm{separation}$ $250 \mathrm{mas}(225 \mathrm{AU}), \Delta(\mathrm{mag})=2.50 \mathrm{mag}$; separation $300 \mathrm{mas}(270 \mathrm{AU}), \Delta(\mathrm{mag})=3.00 \mathrm{mag}$; separation $400 \mathrm{mas}(360 \mathrm{AU}), \Delta(\mathrm{mag})=3.50 \mathrm{mag}$. All images are displayed on a linear scale up to saturation. The field of view is $3^{\prime \prime} \times 3^{\prime \prime}$.
the viewing angle can be as low as $45^{\circ}$ (Natta \& Whitney 2000). The mechanisms triggering the UXor variations are the most controversial, and they have been described as obscuration by shells of matter close to the star (Shevchenko et al. 1993; Natta et al. 1997; Grinin et al. 2000; Tambovtseva et al. 2001) or as thermal instabilities similar to those triggering FUor outbursts (Herbst \& Shevchenko 1999; Natta et al. 2000). Strong variations ( $\Delta \mathrm{mag} \sim 2-5 \mathrm{mag}$ ) due to enhanced accretion episodes are seen in FUor or EXor outbursts, which are recurrent at least in the case of EXor objects (Hartmann \& Kenyon 1996; Lehmann et al. 1995; Herbig et al. 2001). These extreme accretors tend to be very fast rotators (Herbig et al. 2003; although the fast rotation detected may actually be the rotation of the very hot inner disk), have IR fluxes that deviate from typical disk models (Hartmann \& Kenyon 1996), and in some cases have companions at 100300 AU (Reipurth \& Aspin 2004). FUors and probably EXors could be either a normal phase within the very early evolution and disk formation in TTSs (Clarke et al. 1990; Hartmann \& Kenyon 1996, among others) or a special type of system (certain binary or multiple systems; Herbig et al. 2003; Quanz et al. 2006). The long-time evolution of these objects is not known, so the study of relatively old variables within these classes could help in understanding their nature.
The light curve of GM Cep is not purely consistent with any known FUor, EXor, or UXor light curves, although these objects are largely variable within their classes. The magnitude changes ( $\Delta \mathrm{mag} \sim 2-2.5 \mathrm{mag}$ ) and multiple maxima are consistent with an EXor- or UXor-type variable. The high-IR flux is another characteristic of EXor/FUor systems, due to their high luminosity and/or the presence of envelopes. The $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ and [ $\mathrm{N}_{\text {II }}$ ] variability suggest changes in the accretion rates and the strength of the winds, and although the $\mathrm{H} \beta$ absorption is typical of UXors, the blueshifted absorption in $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ is very rare in this class. The magnitude changes are not consistent with variable obscuration alone considering a standard galactic extinction law. Nevertheless, if the extinction were produced by material in the circumstellar disk or shell of GM Cep, containing reprocessed dust and large grains, the color changes during high-extinction episodes could be different. The type of obscuration suggested for UXor variables (Shevchenko et al. 1993; Natta et al. 1997) results in bluer colors at low magnitude, due to obscuration of the red disk and scattering (Grinin et al. 2001), which have not been seen so far in GM Cep. Moreover, pure extinction episodes cannot explain the high luminosity of GM Cep unless the spectral type and/or luminosity class are changed beyond reasonable limits. Extinction variations alone can only account for up to $\sim 1.5 \mathrm{mag}$ in $V$ and cannot produce the observed changes in color. If variable extinction were produced by the disk material in the vicinity of the star, the system should be close to edge-on or have an anomalous distribution of circumstellar matter. Given the observed $V \sin i$, the angle of view must be larger than $\sim 15^{\circ}$ to prevent breakup, and the near-IR fluxes and P Cygni $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ profile suggest an intermediate angle. If the excess mid-IR emission were due to an envelope, the strong winds could contribute to clean the polar gaps, reducing the extinction. GM Cep could then be one of the oldest EXor variables known, since these phenomena seem to occur preferentially at ages of $\sim 1 \mathrm{Myr}$. According to the picture developed by Clarke \& Syer (1996), the presence of a planetary or stellar companion in the disk of a Class II object at few AU distances can trigger thermal instability and produce outbursts with amplitude and frequency depending on the mass of the companion (Lodato \& Clarke 2004). Given the age of Tr 37 , it is most likely that GM Cep is a special object, probably a binary or multiple system, rather than a typical very young TTS.

In order to understand the variations of GM Cep, we compare it to the following similar cases:

1. The activity of the EXors EX Lupi and DR Tau strongly resembles that of GM Cep. EX Lupi suffers periods of quiescence followed by strong variability with 2-3 mag amplitude and changes in its emission-line spectrum (Lehmann et al. 1995). Like GM Cep, it is presumably older than other EXors (Herbig 2007). The K5-K7 eruptive star DR Tau is known to have experienced irregular variability episodes since 1900, with amplitudes $\sim 1.5$ to $\sim 3-5 \mathrm{mag}$. Its variable and strong stellar winds, large UV excess, and veiling suggest high and variable accretion rates (Chavarria 1979; Mora et al. 2001). DR Tau can remain relatively stable at both the low-magnitude and high-magnitude phases during years or decades, as was seen by Suyarkova (1975) for GM Cep. The variability of DR Tau cannot be explained by hot and cold spots and variable obscuration alone (Eiroa et al. 2002). Like for GM Cep, the mass of the disk of DR Tau ( $\sim 0.03 M_{\odot}$; Beckwith et al. 1990) seems to be too small for its accretion rate if we assume the small typical grain sizes.
2. Suyarkova (1975) classified GM Cep as a RW Aur-type variable. The "extreme classical TTS (CTTS)" RW Aur shares with GM Cep the strong and variable P Cygni $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ profile, a powerful disk, a large accretion rate, and a strong double-peaked O I emission line at $8446 \AA$, with velocity shifts $\pm 100 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ (Ghez et al. 1993; Alencar et al. 2005). It is a triple system and the prototype of the RW Aur class of irregular variable stars (Hoffmeister 1957), characterized for rapid magnitude variations with $\Delta \mathrm{mag} \sim 1-4 \mathrm{mag}$ and a typical spectral type around G5. The very small millimeter disk mass of RW Aur ( $\sim 0.0003 M_{\odot}$; Osterloh \& Beckwith 1995) suggests a timescale for disk removal of the order of centuries to millennia, too short unless we assume brief and transient accretion episodes (Cabrit et al. 2005) and/or important grain growth to centimeter sizes (Rodmann et al. 2006). The accretion seems to be nonaxisymmetric, as expected from interactions with a close companion (Petrov et al. 2001).
3. The 1 Myr old star GW Ori is one of the most massive TTSs known ( $M=2.5 M_{\odot}, R=5.6 R_{\odot}$; Mathieu et al. 1991), strongly resembling GM Cep. With an accretion rate of $\sim 10^{-6} M_{\odot} \mathrm{yr}^{-1}$, it is a very fast rotator ( $V \sin i=43 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$; Bouvier et al. 1986) and shows variability up to 1 mag in $J H K .{ }^{9}$ It is a binary (maybe triple) system, with an $\sim$ G5 primary with a luminosity of $26 L_{\odot}$, similar to GM Cep and abnormally high for its spectral type (Mathieu et al. 1991). It also has a very strong IR excess and is one of the most luminous TTSs at millimeter wavelengths (Mathieu et al. 1991, 1995), suggesting a disk (or disk + shell) mass of $\sim 1.5 M_{\odot}$, being the only one in its class able to sustain high accretion rates over several megayears.
4. The K3 star CW Tau shares with GM Cep the rapid rotation ( $V \sin i=28 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$; Muzerolle et al. 1998), the $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ P Cygni profile, and a deep, broad O i absorption at $7773 \AA$. It shows magnitude variations up to 2 mag (Kukarkin et al. 1971) and has a strong outflow (Hirth et al. 1994; Gómez de Castro 1993) and strong [ $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{II}}$ ] forbidden-line emission. As for GM Cep, the mass of the disk is not especially high despite the signs of strong accretion ( $<0.02 M_{\odot}$; Beckwith et al. 1990) .
5. The outburst of IRAS 05436-0007/V1647 (Eislöffel \& Mundt 1997) revealed the bright McNeil Nebula in 2004 (McNeil et al. 2004). This very extincted ( $A_{V} \sim 13 \mathrm{mag}$ ) object shows a flat mid-IR spectrum (in contrast to typical EXor and similar objects, which have TTS-looking IR SEDs). It has been considered

[^4]a Class 0 embedded object (Lis et al. 1999), but may be some type of EXor or FUor Class II object, surrounded by a massive circumstellar envelope ( $M \sim 0.5 M_{\odot}$; Ábrahám et al. 2004), with a $5.6 L_{\odot}$ luminosity, typical of TTSs, or an intermediate case between EXor and FUor objects (Fedele et al. 2007). Its emissionline spectrum at optical wavelengths is similar to the spectrum of GM Cep.
6. KH 15D is a pre-main-sequence binary system with a precessing disk or ring, seen edge-on, so one of the components is permanently occulted by the disk, whereas the other is visible only during half of the period (Hamilton et al. 2005). The color changes are affected by the eclipses and scattering. Although such special configurations allow much large amplitudes and atypical color variations, a similar scenario fails to explain the high luminosity of GM Cep without changing its spectral type and luminosity class or introducing additional mechanisms (i.e., hot shells) to reproduce the optical absorption lines.

## 5. CONCLUSIONS

GM Cep is an extremely variable late-G star in the 4 Myr old cluster $\operatorname{Tr} 37$, resembling younger EXor objects. Its complex variability in color and magnitude is not consistent with a single typical variability mechanism in TTSs (hot and cold spots, variable obscuration, or changes in the accretion rate). The amplitude of the variations, the high accretion rate, the luminous mid-IR disk, and the high stellar luminosity suggest variable accretion to be the stronger contributor, maybe mixed with variable extinction by circumstellar material (similar to UXor variables), and some minor influence of cold spots and scattering. Increased accretion episodes are thought to produce the strong, irregular variations in young EXor and FUor objects, typically still surrounded by massive infalling envelopes. Nevertheless, GM Cep has a medium-mass disk and a small or nonexistent envelope and belongs to a cluster where strong disk evolution is ubiquitous. Large changes in the accretion rates can result in changes in the stellar and disk structure, and, conversely, the disruption of the disk structure (for instance, by companions or via gravitational instability if the disk is very massive and compact) can increase
the accretion rate. The presence of companions (stellar, substellar, or planetary) is a plausible mechanism to produce disk instabilities in a relatively old star like GM Cep. Simultaneous multiwavelength observations, including IRS spectra taken in 2007, providing coverage in the $5-35 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ range and detailed monitoring in the coming years will help us to reveal the nature of the variability in GM Cep. Submillimeter observations in the future should be used to determine the SED slope and constrain the size of the grains. Old but extremely accreting stars are thus a key to understanding the processes of disk accretion and evolution and the consequences for planet formation.
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