A THERMAL WIND MODEL FOR THE X-RAY OUTFLOW IN GRO J1655-40

HAGAI NETZER^{1,2}

Received 2006 July 24; accepted 2006 October 10; published 2006 November 7

ABSTRACT

Recent *Chandra* observations of an outflowing gas in GRO J1655–40 resulted in a suggestion by Miller et al. that the wind in this system must be powered by a magnetic process that can also drive accretion through the disk around the black hole. The alternative explanations, of radiation pressure or thermally driven flows, were considered unsatisfactory because of the highly ionized level of the gas and because of the derived small distance from the black hole, well inside the minimum distance required for an efficient X-ray–heated wind. The present Letter shows that there is a simple photoionized wind solution for this system where the gas is much farther out than assumed by Miller et al., at $r/r_g = 10^{4.7}-10^{5.7}$. The expected wind velocity, as well as the computed equivalent widths of more than 50 absorption lines in this single-component one-dimensional model, are all in good agreement with the *Chandra* observations.

Subject headings: accretion, accretion disks — binaries: spectroscopic — black hole physics — stars: individual (GRO J1655-40) — X-rays: stars

1. INTRODUCTION

High-resolution spectroscopy of transient X-ray sources is a powerful tool for studying the nature of such systems. The recent paper by Miller et al. (2006, hereafter M06) is an excellent example that illustrates the power of such methods. These authors analyzed the High Energy Transmission Grating (HETG) Chandra observations of GRO J1655-40 (Orosz & Baily 1997), a stellar mass binary system containing a 7 M_{\odot} black hole (BH) and a F3 IV– F6 IV companion with a mass of 2.3 M_{\odot} at a mean distance of about 10⁶ gravitational radii ($r_g = GM/c^2$). The observations were obtained during an X-ray-bright phase when the intrinsic luminosity of the source approached 3.3×10^{37} ergs s⁻¹, equivalent to $L/L_{\rm Edd} \sim 0.03$. They show the clear signature of an outflow ("X-ray wind"). M06 measured the equivalent widths (EWs) and the line widths of more than 70 identifiable X-ray lines. Using empirical fits to the line profile, they derived column densities for the more abundant ions (mostly H-like and He-like lines of oxygen, neon, magnesium, silicon, sulfur, argon, calcium, iron, and nickel) and obtained reliable estimates of the gas composition and level of ionization. Fitting the unblended lines indicate outflow velocities of 300–1000 km s⁻¹ and line widths of 300–500 km s⁻¹. This data set is not the first of its kind (e.g., Miller et al. 2004) but, so far, it is the highest quality one.

According to M06, the X-ray wind in GRO J1655–40 must be powered by magnetic processes (pressure generated by magnetic viscosity or magnetocentrifugal forces; e.g., Blandford & Payne 1982). The reason for favoring this interpretation is the inefficiency of two alternative mechanisms, radiation pressure force and thermal expansion, to explain the observed properties. This conclusion, claimed to be the best evidence so far for a magnetically driven disk wind, was based on a detailed photoionization model for the X-ray–heated gas. The outflowing gas in this model is very close to the BH, some $450r_g$ from it, where the escape velocity is about 2×10^4 km s⁻¹. This location was inferred from trying to match the observed EWs of more than 70 absorption lines. The best-fit model suggests a very high ionization parameter, gas density of $n \approx 5.6 \times 10^{15}$ cm⁻³, and a column density of $N_{\rm H} \approx 10^{24.15}$ cm⁻². The observed wind velocity is more than an order of magnitude smaller than the escape velocity at the suggested location. This was explained to be due to the high inclination of the disk (67°–85°) in this system. The highest velocity component is perpendicular to the accretion disk and the observed velocities are much smaller. Given this location and level of ionization, the radiation pressure force is too small to drive the wind. A thermal wind solution was rejected on grounds that the gas location is orders of magnitude inside the region where such winds are likely to develop (e.g., Begelman et al. 1983). The rejection of these two mechanisms leave magnetic processes as the only viable explanation for the outflow.

In this Letter I show that there is, in fact, a simple wind solution that is consistent with all the observed properties of this system. Section 2 presents this solution, and § 3 discusses several other implications.

2. A THERMAL WIND MODEL FOR GRO J1655-40

2.1. General Considerations

Thermal winds are expected to arise in various astrophysical situations involving X-ray-irradiated accretion disks. These includes disks around Galactic X-ray sources, as well as the inner regions of active galactic nuclei. In such cases, the central X-ray source provides the energy to lift the gas from the surface of the disk at large distances and to heat it to a high enough temperature that enables escape via an expanding wind. Such winds have been discussed extensively in the literature. Here I focus on the Begelman et al. (1983) analytical approach and the follow-up two-dimensional (2D) time-dependent numerical calculations of Woods et al. (1996, hereafter W96).

Important parameters in X-ray–irradiated thermal wind models are the Compton temperature of the gas, $T_{\rm C}$, and the Compton radius, $r_{\rm C}$, which is the distance at which the escape velocity equals the isothermal sound speed at the Compton temperature. This radius is given by

$$r_{\rm C} = \frac{9.8 \times 10^9}{T_{\rm C8}} \frac{M({\rm BH})}{M_{\odot}} \,{\rm cm},$$
 (1)

¹ School of Physics and Astronomy and the Wise Observatory, The Raymond and Beverly Sackler Faculty of Exact Sciences, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv 69978, Israel.

² Kavli Institute for Particle Astrophysics and Cosmology and Department of Physics, Stanford University, CA 94305.

 TABLE 1

 Parameters of Thermal Wind Models

Model	ξ ₀	<i>r</i> ₀ (cm)	$n_0 (cm^{-3})$	Column Density (cm ⁻²)	x	$V_{ m expan}$	EW(obs)/EW(model)
A B	1.1×10^{3} 9.2×10^{2}	$\frac{10^{10.73}}{10^{10.65}}$	$\frac{10^{13.0}}{10^{13.25}}$	$\frac{10^{23.73}}{10^{23.78}}$	0 0.3	300 400	$\begin{array}{rrr} 0.99 \ \pm \ 0.40 \\ 1.03 \ \pm \ 0.46 \end{array}$

where $T_{C8} = T_C / 10^8$ K. According to the theoretical estimates, X-ray-heated winds can develop at any $r \ge 0.1r_{\rm c}$. The more accurate numerical calculations (e.g., W96, Fig. 4) give even smaller radii, as small as $0.01r_{\rm C}$. There are various wind regions depending on the luminosity (isothermal wind, steadily heated free wind, and gravity inhibited wind; see W96 for more details). The Compton temperature of the gas depends on the spectral energy distribution (SED) of the continuum source. In the case of GRO J1655-40, this is obtained directly from the observations, after correcting for galactic absorption. There is little uncertainty in the chosen SED since most of the energy is emitted over the observed Chandra band. Here I adopt the SED described in M06, which is a combination of a thermal source with kT = 1.35 keV and a high-energy power law of the form $L_E \propto E^{-2.54}$. This results in $T_C \simeq 1.4 \times 10^7$ K. Thus, $r_{\rm C} \simeq 10^{11.7}$ cm and an effective thermal wind is likely to develop at all distances exceeding about $10^{10.7}$ cm $(10^{4.7}r_g)$ and perhaps much smaller.

The simple one-dimensional (1D) model presented here assumes a pointlike X-ray source in the center of the disk and a 4π ionized gas distribution that may have different density and column density at different polar angles. This is not a full numerical model but rather a demonstration that a differentially expanding, photoionized thermal wind can explain the Chandra observations of GRO J1655-40. Realistic wind models combine the microphysics of the ionized gas with the gas dynamics, in a time-dependent fashion. Such calculations are beyond the scope of the present work or the work of M06. However, as shown by Begelman et al. (1983), many of the wind properties can be inferred from general considerations. Further confirmation can be found in Chelouche & Netzer (2005), who describe a phenomenological approach of a polytropic wind that shares many properties with numerical 1D and 2D wind simulations. Simple estimates of this type are nevertheless limited in the ability to estimate the wind velocity and density at various locations.

Thermal wind are characterized by two regimes, before and after crossing the critical (sonic) point. The present work addresses the region around and beyond the sonic point, where most of the observed line opacity is assumed to take place. In this region the velocity increases slowly with radius in a way that can be expressed as

$$v_{\rm wind} \propto r^x,$$
 (2)

where in most cases of interest $0 \le x < 0.5$. The wind speed at $r \gg r_{\rm C}$ approaches 3–4 times the sound speed in the fully ionized gas. As shown by W96, thermal outflows can develop in gas whose temperature is much below $T_{\rm C}$ (e.g., W96, Fig. 7). Such gas is carried away with the flow and can reach, under some circumstances, its Compton temperature. The case addressed here is that of the cooler gas, although, for simplicity, some of the estimates are based on the assumption $T_{\rm wind} = T_{\rm C}$.

Continuity in a spherical geometry (see, however, comments in § 3) requires that

$$n \propto r^{-(2+x)}.\tag{3}$$

Assign n_0 as the gas (hydrogen) density at the base of the wind, just beyond the sonic point, where the distance from the BH is r_0 . This gives a maximum column density of

$$N_{\rm H} = n_0 r_0 / (1+x). \tag{4}$$

The ionization parameter of the gas is given by

$$\xi = \frac{L_X}{nr^2},\tag{5}$$

where L_x is the integrated luminosity above 1 Ryd and where at the base of the wind $\xi_0 = L_x/n_0 r_0^2$. Thus, $\xi \propto r^x$.

Experimenting with the above SED and a detailed photoionization code (see § 2.2), and changing the ionization parameter until it results in a fractional ionization that fits the observations to within a factor of 2, I obtain $\xi \simeq 10^3$. This is 15–50 times smaller than the value suggested by M06.³ Given the column densities inferred from the EWs of several unsaturated lines (M06 supplementary Table 1), and assuming near solar composition, I derive a minimum required column density of $N_{\rm H} \simeq 10^{23.6} \,{\rm cm}^{-2}$, about a factor of 3 smaller than the column density used in M06. The combination of ξ_0 and $N_{\rm H}$, together with L_x , can be used to solve for n_0 and the maximum value of r_0 which is about 10^{11} cm (~ $10^5 r_0$). This location is outside the minimum distance $(0.1r_{\rm c} \text{ or smaller})$ required for a thermal wind. Some 90% of the wind opacity is achieved over the distance $1-10r_0$. For GRO J1655-40, this corresponds to $10^{4.7} \leq r/r_{a} \leq 10^{5.7}$. These dimensions fit nicely with the expected dimensions of the accretion disk in this system. The expected wind velocities over this region are similar to the velocities inferred from the observed line blueshifts in the spectrum of the source.

Thus, estimates based on the observed ionization, column density, and velocity of the gas in GRO J1655–40 all agree with the conditions expected in a thermal wind around an X-ray–irradiated accretion disk.

2.2. A Photoionized Wind Model for GRO J1655-40

Detailed photoionization calculations were carried out to compare the predicted spectra, under such conditions, with the *Chandra* observations. The photoionization code used, ION06, is the 2006 version of the code ION most recently described in Netzer (2004) and in Netzer et al. (2005). The only significant modification is the introduction of new radiative and dielectronic recombination rates described in Badnell (2006 and references

³ The reason for this discrepancy, which is a major cause for the very different model presented here, is not clear.



FIG. 1.—Thermal wind model for GRO J1655-40 (the absorption spectrum of model A). The continuum is corrected for galactic absorption, and an absorption covering fraction of 0.75 is assumed.

therein). The latest atomic data for iron L-shell lines, published by Landi & Gu (2006), were used in order to facilitate a meaningful comparison with the large number of Fe XXII and Fe XXIII lines observed in the spectrum of this source. The SED and L_x are those given in M06. The gas composition was assumed to be solar except for calcium and iron where, following the suggestion of M06, twice the solar abundances were assumed.

Several velocity profiles were tested assuming $0 \le x < 0.5$. Line widths of 250–500 km s⁻¹ were chosen to agree with the observations. These velocities can be interpret as due to internal (sound or turbulent) or global (wind expansion) motion. The results are not sensitive to the exact values of ξ , r_0 , and n_0 provided that they are within a factor of ~2 of the values given above. Several models with ξ decreasing with r have also been computed and found to be of similar quality.

Two additional parameters require further explanation. The first is the covering fraction of the outflowing gas which, given the disk-wind geometry, may not be the same for the emission and absorption lines. As noted by M06, the very weak emission lines and the lack of clear P Cygni profiles suggest a small emission measure of the X-ray gas. This can be interpreted as different density and/or column density at different polar angles. For example, the W96 numerical calculations (Fig. 7 and Tables 2–4) clearly show that column densities along the plane of the disk can be significantly larger than the column perpendicular to the disk surface. Such a scenario will produce weak emission lines. In the 1D photoionization calculations presented here, this is taken into account by assuming a small emission (global) covering factor, $\Omega_{em}/4\pi \leq 0.2$.

There are indications in the spectrum that the absorption (line-



FIG. 2.—Observed over calculated EWs for the absorption lines in model A. Filled squares represent lines from H-like ions, open squares are lines of He-like ions, and triangles are lines of Fe XXII, Fe XXIII, and Fe XXIV.

of-sight) covering factor is also smaller than unity. This can be the result of a patchy absorber or due to scattering of the X-ray continuum into the line of sight. For example, several of the longer wavelength lines, for example, those of neon and magnesium, are resolved, yet the optical depths inferred from the profiles of the low and the high series lines are inconsistent and suggest an unobscured continuum. A second example is the pair of Fe xxIV lines at 10.67 and 10.62 Å. The optical depths of the two differ by a factor of 2 yet the observations suggest almost identical depths. This indicates saturation in both lines combined with an unobscured continuum. A rough estimate based on visual inspection suggests an absorption covering factor of about 0.75. The EWs listed in M06 must be corrected for this factor in order to compare with the calculations.

Regarding the gas density, in principal, this can be directly obtained from the relative intensity of the Fe XII lines since one of the lines, at 11.92 Å, originates from an excited $2s^22p^2P_{3/2}^0$ level at 14.6 eV above ground. The known collision strength and decay rate of this level indicate a critical density of order 10^{14} cm⁻³ for the temperature found here (~2 × 10^6 K). However, this is only true for optically thin transitions, while for the density estimated here (about 10^{13} cm⁻³ at the base of the wind) and the assumed column density, the lines in question are optically thick (the ground level transitions are starting to saturate while the optical depth of the 11.92 Å line is of order unity). This changes the line ratios in favor of the smallest optical depth line and results in a satisfactory agreement between the observations and the calculations of the EWs of all Fe XXII lines.

The results of two models with different assumptions on the radial velocity of the wind, x = 0 and x = 0.3, are summarized in Table 1. The calculated spectrum for the x = 0 atmosphere is shown in Figure 1 on a scale similar to the one shown in M06 (supplementary Fig. 1). The computed spectrum was convolved with the HETG instrumental profile to enable a direct comparison with the observations. The diagram shows the great similarity of the model with the *Chandra* observations.⁴

To make a more quantitative comparison I show in Figure 2 a comparison of 53 EWs of observed and calculated

⁴ Note that the flux scale used here corresponds to the absorption corrected spectrum while M06 plot the observed spectrum. Note also that the Fe xxIV L edge at 6.06 Å looks artificially prominent because the current model does not include the high-order L-shell lines of this ion and the Ni xxVI line at 6.05 Å.

saturated and unsaturated lines. These lines are basically the ones used by M06 in their Figure 2 except that the present calculations do not include nickel and sodium lines. The values plotted are EW(obs)/EW(model), where EW(model) is obtained by assuming Gaussian line profiles (damping winds are not important at such velocities) and where the computed EWs are corrected for the blending of several line pairs. The diagram shows a uniform distribution with no obvious ionization trends. The mean and the standard deviation of this ratio for the two models detailed in Table 1 are 0.99 \pm 0.40 for the x = 0 model and 1.03 ± 0.46 for the x = 0.3 model. Both are considered satisfactory given the measurement uncertainties and the simplicity of the model, and both are of comparable quality to the M06 fit (their Fig. 2). There are only two notable deviations. The first is Ne IX 13.45 Å, where EW(obs)/EW(model) = 2.3 ± 0.5 . This is suspected to be due to an overestimate of the measured EW and can be tested by measuring a different Ne IX line observed in the spectrum but not listed in M06. The second is Fe xxII 11.77 Å, where EW(obs)/EW(model) = 0.43 ± 0.06 . However, the spectrum shows several additional Fe XXII lines that were not identified by M06 (e.g., at 11.54 and 11.42 Å) that seem to be in better agreement with the model, although their EWs were only estimated from the plot and hence were not included in the comparison. The groundto-excited level line ratios in Fe XXII is in good agreement with the observations.

3. DISCUSSION

The *Chandra* observations of GRO J1655–40 are perhaps the best indication, so far, of X-ray-heated flows in galactic sources containing accretion disks. M06 have argued that the origin of this wind is magnetic because two alternative mechanisms that can drive such flows are inefficient. One possibility, acceleration by radiation pressure force, was ruled out on the grounds that the force multiplier in such highly ionized gas is too small to drive the observed flow. The models presented here agree with this conclusion. The value of the force multiplier (\sim 1.5) is more than an order of magnitude too small to drive a wind given L/L_{Edd} of the source. The second possibility, of an X-ray-heated wind, was rejected on the basis of the derived location of the absorbing gas, which was obtained by computing a detailed photoionization model to fit the observed spectrum. This was found by M06 to be well inside the minimum distance where thermally driven winds are thought to be important.

Badnell, N. R. 2006, A&A, 447, 389

- Begelman, M. C., McKee, C. F., & Shields, G. A. 1983, ApJ, 271, 70
- Blandford, R. D., & Payne, D. G. 1982, MNRAS, 199, 883
- Chelouche, D., & Netzer, H. 2005, ApJ, 625, 95
- Hawley, J. F., Gammie, C. F., & Balbus, S. A. 1995, ApJ, 440, 742
- Landi, E., & Gu, M. F. 2006, ApJ, 640, 1171
- Miller, J. M., Raymond, J., Fabian, A., Steeghs, D., Homan, J., Reynolds, C., van der Klis, M., & Wijnands, R. 2006, Nature, 441, 953 (M06)

The model presented here is of similar quality to the M06 model, yet the gas location is some 2 orders of magnitude further out. This is the region where thermal, X-ray heated outflow are likely to be important. While not a complete 2D time-dependent wind model, all the properties found here, including the location, velocity and column density of the gas, are consistent with theoretical expectations. The mass loss rate in this model is $\dot{m}_{w} \simeq 2 \times 10^{19} \Omega_{\rm em}/4\pi$ g s⁻¹ (note a comment below about non-radial streamlines). For an accretion efficiency of 0.1, this is about a factor of $50\Omega_{\rm em}/4\pi$ larger than the accretion rate by the central object. Given the small derived $\Omega_{\rm em}$, this is in general agreement with the Begelman et al. (1983) results.

Realistic 2D numerical simulations that include the possibility of a nonuniform medium and the disk-wind interaction are required to fully explain the spectrum of GRO J1655–40. Such calculations must address several important issues that could not be included in the present model: (1) thermal instabilities in the outflowing gas, (2) a multicomponent patchy absorber, (3) nonradial streamlines that will modify the simplified continuity condition (eq. [3]) and hence also the radial dependence of ξ (e.g., a decrease of ξ with r), (4) radial dependence of the covering factor, and (5) time-dependent L_x reflecting the observed variability of the source. The simple 1D model presented here is already in good agreement with the observations and is likely to be a major component of the more advanced computations.

All the above does not exclude the possibility that magnetically driven winds are important sources of driving material near galactic and extragalactic BHs, including GRO J1655–40. Such winds have been proposed and explored in numerous papers and provide a natural scenario for many observed systems (e.g., Blandford & Payne 1982; Hawley et al. 1995). However, given the success of the simple thermal wind model to explain the observations of GRO J1655–40, there seems to be no need to invoke a magnetically powered wind as a major contributor in this case.

I am grateful to the Kavli Institute at SLAC (KIPAC) for their hospitality during part of my sabbatical. I am grateful to Jon Miller and John Raymond for providing useful information about the *Chandra* observations and the model presented in M06. John Raymond has pointed out the importance of the Fe xxII lines in deriving the gas density. I also thank Steve Kahn, Roger Blandford, Doron Chelouche, Ming-Feng Gu, and Gary Ferland for useful discussions.

REFERENCES

- Miller, J. M., et al. 2004, ApJ, 601, 450
- Netzer, H. 2004, ApJ, 604, 551
- Netzer, H., Lemze, D., Kaspi, S., George, I. M., Turner, T. J., Lutz, D., Boller, T., & Chelouche, D. 2005, ApJ, 629, 739
- Orosz, J. A., & Bailyn, C. D. 1997, ApJ, 477, 876
- Woods, D. T., Klein, R. I., Castor, J. I., McKee, C. F., & Bell, J. B. 1996, ApJ, 461, 767 (W96)