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#### Abstract

We present an analysis of the FUSE and HST STIS E140M spectra of HE 0226-4110 $(z=0.495)$. We detect 56 Lyman absorbers and five O vi absorbers. The number of intervening O vi systems per unit redshift with $W_{\lambda} \gtrsim 50 \mathrm{~m} \AA$ is $d \mathcal{N}(\mathrm{O} \mathrm{vI}) / d z \approx 11$. The O vi systems unambiguously trace hot gas only in one case. For the four other O vi systems, photoionization and collisional ionization models are viable options to explain the observed column densities of O vi and the other ions. If the O vi systems are mostly photoionized, only a fraction of the observed O vi will contribute to the baryonic density of the warm-hot ionized medium (WHIM) along this line of sight. Combining our results with previous ones, we show that there is a general increase of $N(\mathrm{O} \mathrm{vI})$ with increasing $b(\mathrm{O} \mathrm{vI})$. A comparison of the number of $\mathrm{O}_{\text {I, }}, \mathrm{O}_{\text {II, }} \mathrm{O}_{\text {III, }} \mathrm{O}_{\text {Iv, }}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{\text {vi systems per unit redshift shows that the }}$ low-z IGM is more highly ionized than weakly ionized. We confirm that photoionized O vi systems show a decreasing ionization parameter with increasing H i column density. O vi absorbers with collisional ionization/photoionization degeneracy follow this relation, possibly suggesting that they are principally photoionized. We find that the photoionized O vi systems in the low-redshift IGM have a median abundance of 0.3 solar. We do not find additional Ne viII systems other than the one found by Savage et al., although our sensitivity should have allowed the detection of Ne viII in O vi systems at $T \sim(0.6-$ $1.3) \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~K}$ (if CIE applies). The hot part of the WHIM with $T>10^{6} \mathrm{~K}$ is believed to contain most of the baryons. However, this hot phase of the WHIM remains to be discovered with FUV-EUV metal-line transitions.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

From the earliest time to the present-day epoch, most of the baryons are found in the intergalactic medium (IGM). The Ly $\alpha$ forest is a signature of the IGM that is imprinted on the spectra of QSOs and allows measurements of the evolution of the universe over a wide range of redshift. At $z>1.5, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}$ in the IGM can be observed with ground-based $8-10 \mathrm{~m}$ telescopes. In the lowredshift universe $(z \leq 1.5)$, the Ly $\alpha$ transition and most of the metal resonance lines fall in the ultraviolet (UV) wavelength range, requiring challenging space-based observations of QSOs. Most Ly $\alpha$ absorbers at $z<1.5$ do not show detectable metal absorption lines in currently available spectra, but those that do show associated metals provide further information about the abundances, kinematics, and ionization corrections in the lowredshift IGM and halos of nearby galaxies. Metal-line systems also give indications about the metallicity evolution of the IGM and can contain large reservoirs of baryons at low and high redshift (e.g., Tripp et al. 2000; Carswell et al. 2002; Bergeron \& Herbert-Fort 2005). Detecting metal-line systems in the IGM re-

[^0]quires not only high signal-to-noise but also high spectral resolution FUV spectra and simple lines of sight to avoid blending between the different galactic and intergalactic absorbers. In the last few years, a few high-quality spectra of QSOs covering the wavelength range from the Lyman limit to about $1730 \AA$ have been obtained with the Space Telescope Imaging Spectrograph (STIS) on board the Hubble Space Telescope (HST) and Far Ultraviolet Spectroscopic Explorer (FUSE), thereby allowing sensitive measurements of the metal-line systems in the tenuous IGM (e.g., Tripp et al. 2001; Savage et al. 2002; Prochaska et al. 2004; Richter et al. 2004; Sembach et al. 2004; Williger et al. 2005).

The cold dark matter cosmological simulations provide a selfconsistent explanation of the $\operatorname{Ly} \alpha$ absorbers seen in the QSO spectra (e.g., Davé et al. 1999; Davé \& Tripp 2001). At high redshift, they predict that most of the $\operatorname{Ly} \alpha$ absorbers consist of cool (less than a few $10^{4} \mathrm{~K}$ ) photoionized gas and virtually all baryons are observed in this gas phase at $z>2$ (e.g., Weinberg et al. 1997; Rauch et al. 1997). As the universe expands, the initial density perturbations collapse, producing shock-heated gas at temperatures of $10^{5}-10^{7} \mathrm{~K}$ (Cen \& Ostriker 1999; Davé et al. 1999; Fang et al. 2002). At the present epoch $(z \lesssim 1)$, the hydrodynamical simulations predict that $\sim 30 \%-50 \%$ of the normal baryonic matter of the universe lies in a tenuous warm-hot intergalactic medium (WHIM), and another $\sim 30 \%$ of the baryons lies in a cooler, photoionized, tenuous intergalactic gas; observations appear to support the prediction regarding low-z photoionized gas (e.g., Penton et al. 2004).

At temperatures of $10^{5}-10^{7} \mathrm{~K}$, the most abundant elements C , $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{O}$, and Ne are highly ionized. Detecting the hot component of the WHIM at $T>10^{6} \mathrm{~K}$ is possible through measurements of X-ray O viI $\mathrm{K} \alpha$ and O viII absorption lines at 21.870 and 18.973+ $18.605 \AA$, respectively. These have been detected at low redshift


Fig. 1.-FUSE and STIS FUV spectra of HE $0226-4110$. The data have been binned into $0.1 \AA$ samples; we only present the FUSE night data, and for clarity we only present the FUSE night data in this illustration. The spike in the middle of the damped Galactic Ly $\alpha$ profile is the geocoronal Ly $\alpha$ emission from Earth's atmosphere.
with Chandra along one line of sight (Nicastro et al. 2005). However, apart from detections at $z=0$, most of the current X-ray observations of O vii and O viif reported in the IGM remain marginal or the claims are contradicted by higher signal-to-noise spectra (Rasmussen et al. 2003). The EUV lines of Ne viII $\lambda \lambda 770$, 780 tracing gas at $7 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~K}$ are redshifted to the ultraviolet (UV) wavelength region for $z>0.18$ and Savage et al. (2005) reported the first detection of the Ne viII doublet in the spectrum of the bright QSO HE $0226-4110$. The cooler part ( $T \lesssim 5 \times$ $10^{5} \mathrm{~K}$ ) of the WHIM is currently better observed via the $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{VI}}$ doublet at 1031.926 and $1037.617 \AA$, which can be efficiently observed by combining STIS and FUSE observations (e.g., Tripp \& Savage 2000; Tripp et al. 2000, 2004; Danforth \& Shull 2005). However, the O vi absorbers can arise in warm collisionally ionized gas $\left(T \sim 3 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~K}\right)$, as well as in cooler, photoionized, low-density gas (e.g., Tripp et al. 2001; Savage et al. 2002; Prochaska et al. 2004). A study of the origin(s) of ionization of the O vI is therefore important for estimates of the density of baryons in the WHIM and the IGM in general.

In this paper, we report the complete FUSE and STIS observations and analyses of the IGM absorption lines in the spectrum of the $z=0.495$ quasar HE 0226-4110. From these observations we derive accurate cloud parameters (redshift, column density, Doppler width) for clouds in the Lyman forest and any associated metals. While all the IGM measurements for HE 0226-4110 are reported here, the metal-free $\mathrm{Ly} \alpha$ forest observations will be discussed in more detail in a future paper where we will combine the present results with results from other sight lines in order to derive the physical and statistical properties of the Ly $\alpha$ forest at low redshift. We concentrate in this work on defining the origin(s) of the observed O vi systems and the implications for the IGM by combining our observations with recent analyses of other QSO spectra at low redshift (in particular, Tripp et al. 2001; Prochaska et al. 2004; Richter et al. 2004; Sembach et al. 2004; Williger et al. 2005).

The physical properties and ionization conditions in metalline absorbers can be most effectively studied by obtaining observations of several species in different ionization stages. Using the same species in several ionizing stages provides a direct way to constrain simultaneously metallicity, ionization, and physical conditions. For example, for oxygen, EUV measurements of $\mathrm{O}_{\text {II }}$, $\mathrm{O}_{\text {III, }} \mathrm{O}$ Iv, and O v can be combined with FUV measurements of O i and O vi. The HE 0226-4110 line of sight is particularly favorable for a search for EUV and FUV absorptions because the $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ absorption in our Galaxy is very weak, producing a relatively clean FUV spectrum for IGM studies. In particular, we systematically search for and report the measurements of $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{III}}, \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}}, \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{Iv}}$, O vi, and Ne viir associated with each Ly $\alpha$ absorber in order to better characterize the physical states of the Ly $\alpha$ forest at low redshift.

The organization of this paper is as follows. After describing the observations and data reduction of the HE 0226-4110 spectrum in $\S 2$, we present the line identification and analysis to estimate the redshift, column density $(N)$, Doppler parameter $(b)$ for the IGM clouds in $\S 3$. In § 4, we determine the physical properties and abundances of the metal-line absorbers observed toward HE 0226-4110. The implications of our results combined with recent observations of the IGM are discussed in $\S 5$. A summary of the main results is presented in § 6 .

## 2. OBSERVATIONS AND DATA REDUCTION

We have obtained a high-quality FUV spectrum of HE 0226$4110\left[(l, b)=\left(253^{\circ} .94,-65^{\circ} .78\right) ; z_{\mathrm{em}}=0.495\right]$ covering nearly continuously the wavelength range from 916 to $1730 \AA$. To show the broad ultraviolet continuum shape of HE 0226-4110 as well as the locations and shapes of the QSO emission lines, we display in Figure 1 the FUSE and STIS data with a spectral bin size of $0.1 \AA$. This figure shows the overall quality of the spectrum and the spectral regions covered by the various FUSE

TABLE 1
STIS Observation of HE 0226-4110

| ID | Date | Exposure Time (ks) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O6E107010 | 2002 Dec 25 | 2.1 |
| O6E107020 | 2002 Dec 26 | 3.0 |
| O6E107030 | 2002 Dec 26 | 3.0 |
| O6E108010 | 2002 Dec 26 | 2.1 |
| O6E108020 | 2002 Dec 26 | 3.0 |
| O6E108030 | 2002 Dec 26 | 3.0 |
| O6E109010 | 2002 Dec 26 | 2.1 |
| O6E109020 | 2002 Dec 27 | 3.0 |
| O6E109030 | 2002 Dec 27 | 3.0 |
| O6E110010 | 2002 Dec 29 | 2.1 |
| O6E110020 | 2002 Dec 29 | 3.0 |
| O6E110030 | 2002 Dec 29 | 3.0 |
| O6E111010 | 2002 Dec 31 | 2.1 |
| O6E111020 | 2002 Dec 31 | 3.0 |
| O6E111030 | 2003 Jan 01 | 3.0 |
| O6E111040 | 2003 Jan 01 | 3.0 |
| Total ...... |  | 43.5 |

channels and the STIS spectrum. Several emission features can be discerned, which are associated with gas near the QSO (see Ganguly et al. 2006). The feature near $1537 \AA$ is mostly Ly $\beta$ emission, with possibly some O vi $\lambda 1031$ and O vi $\lambda 1037$ emission mixed in. Around $1454 \AA$, weak Ly $\gamma$ emission is visible. Intrinsic Ne viII emission may be present in the 1150-1160 $\AA$ region. The feature centered on $1049 \AA$ is most likely O III $\lambda 702.332$ emission. A corresponding, slightly weaker $\mathrm{O}_{\text {III }} \lambda 832.927$ emission feature can be seen near $1240 \AA$. Figure 1 also shows that strong lines are sparse in this sight line; the weakness of Galactic $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ lines in particular makes this a valuable sight line for the study of extragalactic EUV/FUV absorption lines. We note that occasional hot pixels in the STIS spectrum are clearly evident in this figure, and the possible contamination of absorption profiles by warm/hot pixels must be borne in mind. In addition, residual geocoronal $\mathrm{Ly} \alpha, \mathrm{Ly} \beta$, and $\mathrm{Ly} \gamma$ emission is visible. All wavelengths and velocities are given in the heliocentric reference frame in this paper. Toward HE 0226-4110, the local standard of rest (LSR) and heliocentric reference frames are related by $v_{\text {LSR }}=v_{\text {helio }}-$ $14.3 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. Savage et al. (2005) described in detail the data used in this paper and its processing, and we give below only a brief summary.

### 2.1. HST STIS Observations

The STIS observations of HE 0226-4110 (GO program 9184, PI: Tripp) were obtained with the E140M intermediateresolution echelle grating between 2002 December 26 and 2003 January 1, with a total integration time of 43.5 ks (see Table 1). The entrance slit was set to $0.12 \times 0.06$. The spectral resolution is $7 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ with a detector pixel size of $3.5 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. The $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ per $7 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ resolution element of the spectrum of HE 0226-4110 is 11,11 , and 8 , at 1250,1500 , and $1600 \AA$, respectively. The $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ is substantially lower for $\lambda \lesssim 1180 \AA$ and $\lambda \gtrsim 1650 \AA$.

The STIS data reductions provide an excellent wavelength calibration. The velocity uncertainty is $\sim 1 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ with occasional errors as large as $3 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ (see the appendix of Tripp et al. 2005). In order to align the FUSE spectra that have an uncertain absolute wavelength calibration (see $\S 2.2$ ) with the STIS spectrum, we systematically measured the velocity of the interstellar lines that are free of blends. Those lines are $\mathrm{S}_{\text {II }} \lambda \lambda 1250,1253,1259, \mathrm{~N}_{\text {I }}$
$\lambda \lambda 1199,1200,1201, \mathrm{O}_{\text {I }} \lambda 1302, \mathrm{Si}_{\text {II }} \lambda \lambda 1190,1193,1304,1526$, $\mathrm{Fe}_{\text {II }} \lambda 1608$, Ni II $\lambda 1370$, and $\mathrm{Al}_{\text {II }} \lambda 1670$. Using these species, we find $\left\langle v_{\text {ISM }}\right\rangle_{\text {helio }}=10.4 \pm 1.4 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ toward HE 0226-4110. We use this velocity to establish the zero point wavelength calibration of the FUSE observations.

### 2.2. FUSE Observations

The FUSE observations of HE 0226-4110 were obtained between 2000 and 2001 from the science team O vi project (Wakker et al. 2003; Savage et al. 2003; Sembach et al. 2003), and between 2002 and 2003 as part of the FUSE GO program D027 (PI: Savage; see Table 2). The total exposure time of these programs in segments 1 A and 1B is 194 ks , in segments 2A and 2B 191 ks . The night data typically account for $65 \%$ of the total exposure time. The measurements were obtained in time-tagged mode and cover the wavelengths between 916 to $1188 \AA$ with a spectral resolution of $\sim 20 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. In the wavelength range 916 to $987 \AA$ only $\operatorname{SiC} 2 \mathrm{~A}$ data are available because of channel alignment problems. Over the wavelength region from 987 to $1182 \AA$ we used LiF 1A, and from 1087 to $1182 \AA \mathrm{LiF} 2 \mathrm{~A}$ was used. The lower $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ observations in LiF 2B and LiF 1B were used to check for fixed pattern noise in LiF 1A and LiF 2A observations, respectively. To reduce the effects of detector fixed-pattern noise, some of the exposures were acquired using focal plane split motions (see Table 2), wherein subsequent exposures are placed at different locations on the detector.

The spectra were processed with CALFUSE version 2.1.6 or 2.4.0 (see Table 2). The most difficult task was to bring the different extracted exposures into a common heliocentric reference frame before co-adding them. To do so, we fitted the ISM lines in each segment of each of the eight exposures and shifted them to the heliocentric frame measured with the STIS spectrum. Typically, in LiF 1A, we use $\mathrm{Si}_{\text {II }} \lambda 1020$, $\mathrm{Ar}_{\text {I }} \lambda \lambda 1048$, 1066, Fe ${ }_{\text {II }}$ $\lambda 1063$; in LiF 1B/2A, Fe if $\lambda \lambda 1096,1112,1121,1125,1142$, 1143,1144 ; in $\operatorname{SiC} 2 \mathrm{~B} \mathrm{Ar}$ I $\lambda \lambda 1048,1066$, Fe II $\lambda \lambda 1063,1096$; and in $\mathrm{SiC} 2 \mathrm{~A} \mathrm{O}_{\text {I }} \lambda \lambda 921,924,925,929,930,936,948,950,971$, 976. We forced the ISM lines in each exposure of the FUSE band to have $v_{\text {helio }}=10.4 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. The rms of the measured velocities of the ISM lines is typically $4-6 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ for the short exposures, $3-4 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ for the two longer ones. We therefore estimate that the velocity zero-point uncertainty for the FUSE data is $\sim 5 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ( $1 \sigma$ ). The relative velocity uncertainty is also $\sim 5 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, although it may be larger near the edge of the detector.

The oversampled FUSE spectra were binned to a bin size of 4 pixels ( $0.027 \AA$ ), providing about three samples per $20 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ resolution element. Data taken during orbital day and orbital night were combined, except in cases where an airglow line contaminated a spectral region of interest. Then only night data were employed. The $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ per $20 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ resolution element is typically 11 in $\operatorname{SiC} 2 \mathrm{~A}(\lambda<987 \AA)$, and 18 in LiF 1 A and LiF 2A ( $\lambda>987 \AA$ ).

## 3. ANALYSIS

### 3.1. Line Identification, Continuum, and Equivalent Width

We show in Figures 2 and 3 the FUSE and STIS spectra of HE 0226-4110, respectively, where about 250 absorption features are identified. All the ISM and IGM absorption lines are labeled in Figures 2 and 3. We first identified all the absorption features associated with interstellar resonance and excited UV absorption lines using the compilation of atomic parameters by Morton (2003) and the $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ molecular line list of Abgrall et al. (1993a, 1993b). The EUV atomic parameters were obtained from Verner et al. (1996). Because HE 0226-4110 is at high latitude

TABLE 2
FUSE Observations of HE 0226-4110

| ID | Date | CALFUSE | Mode | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Flux (1A) } \\ & \left(10^{-14} \mathrm{cgs}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Flux (2B) } \\ \left(10^{-14} \mathrm{cgs}\right) \end{gathered}$ | Flux (Comb.) $\left(10^{-14} \mathrm{cgs}\right)$ | $t_{\exp }(1 \mathrm{~A})^{\mathrm{a}}$ <br> (ks) | $\underset{(\mathrm{ks})}{t_{\exp }(1 \mathrm{~B})^{\mathrm{a}}}$ | $\begin{gathered} t_{\exp }(2 \mathrm{~A})^{\mathrm{a}} \\ (\mathrm{ks}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} t_{\exp }(2 \mathrm{~B})^{\mathrm{a}} \\ (\mathrm{ks}) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P2071301 .......... | 2000 Dec 12 | ver. 2.1.6 |  | 2.60 | 2.60 | 2.59 | 11.0 (8.4) | 11.0 (8.4) | 11.0 (8.4) | 11.1 (8.4) |
| P1019101......... | 2001 Oct 03 | ver. 2.1.6 | FP-SPLIT | 2.57 | 2.73 | 2.62 | 50.3 (37.9) | 50.1 (37.9) | 50.0 (37.9) | 49.9 (38.0) |
| P1019102.......... | 2002 Nov 15 | ver. 2.4.0 | FP-SPLIT | 2.44 | 2.06 | 2.30 | 14.5 (11.1) | 14.5 (11.0) | 14.5 (11.0) | 14.5 (11.1) |
| P1019103......... | 2002 Nov 16 | ver. 2.4.0 | FP-SPLIT | 2.45 | 2.19 | 2.35 | 18.9 (14.7) | 18.9 (14.7) | 18.9 (14.7) | 18.9 (14.7) |
| P1019104......... | 2002 Nov 17 | ver. 2.4.0 | FP-SPLIT | 2.57 | 2.39 | 2.50 | 18.1 (10.5) | 18.1 (10.5) | 18.1 (10.5) | 18.1 (10.5) |
| D0270101 ........ | 2003 Sep 01 | ver. 2.4.0 |  | 3.06 | 2.80 | 2.97 | 23.9 (12.5) | 24.7 (12.6) | 23.9 (12.4) | 23.9 (12.5) |
| D0270102 ........ | 2003 Sep 03 | ver. 2.4.0 |  | 3.08 | 2.98 | 3.05 | 39.9 (19.2) | 39.4 (19.2) | 37.6 (19.1) | 37.3 (19.1) |
| D0270103 ......... | 2003 Oct 31 | ver. 2.4.0 |  | 3.25 | 3.16 | 3.22 | 16.8 (11.9) | 16.8 (12.0) | 17.0 (12.0) | 17.1 (12.0) |
| Total ............. |  |  |  |  |  |  | 193.5 (126.1) | 193.5 (126.2) | 191.0 (126.0) | 190.7 (126.2) |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ The first number is the total exposure time. The night only exposure time is in parentheses.
( $b=-65^{\circ} .78$ ) and in a favorable Galactic direction, the molecular absorption from $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ remains very weak and greatly reduces the problem of blending with IGM absorptions. We identified every $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ line in the HE $0226-4110$ FUSE spectrum, and we modeled the $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ lines by measuring the equivalent width in each $J=0-4$ rotational level (see Wakker 2006). We found that the total $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ column density is $\log N\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)=14.54$, corresponding to a very small molecular fraction of $f\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)=3.7 \times 10^{-6}$. The atomic-ionic ISM gas component consists principally of two main clouds, a low-velocity component at $v_{\text {helio }}=10 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and a high-velocity component (HVC) at $190 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ (see Fox et al. 2005; B. D. Savage et al. 2006, in preparation). There is also a weaker ISM absorber at about $-20 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ (B. D. Savage et al. 2006, in preparation). The HVC is detected in the high ions ( O vI, Si iv, and C iv) and only in the strongest transitions of the low ions (C if, C iII, and Si II $\lambda 1260$ ) (see Fox et al. 2005, and see Figs. 2 and 3). Each of these velocity components has to be considered carefully for possible blending with IGM absorption. An example of such blending occurs between Ly $\alpha$ at $z=0.08735$ and $\mathrm{Ni}_{\text {II }} \lambda 1317.217$. In the notes of Table 3, we highlight any blending problems between ISM and IGM lines, and between IGM lines at different redshifts. After identifying all the intervening Galactic absorption lines, we searched for Ly $\alpha$ absorption at $z>0$. For each Ly $\alpha$ absorption line, we checked for additional Lyman-series and associated metal lines. Since this line of sight has so little $\mathrm{H}_{2}$, it provides an unique opportunity to search for weak IGM metal lines. We systematically searched for the FUV lines of $\mathrm{C}_{\text {III }} \lambda 977$ and the O vi $\lambda \lambda 1031,1037$ doublet, and when the redshift allows, for the EUV lines O III $\lambda 832$, O iv $\lambda 787$, and the Ne viII $\lambda \lambda 770,780$ doublet. If one of these lines was lost in a terrestrial airglow emission line, we considered the night data only. Since the wavelength coverage is not complete to the redshift of the QSO and because shock-heated gas does not have to be associated with a narrow H i system, we always made sure that none of the Ly $\alpha$ systems was an O vi or Ne viII system by using the atomic properties of these doublets. We found one possible O vi system at $z=0.42663$ not associated with $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{Ly} \beta$ (Ly $\alpha$ being beyond detection at this redshift). Note that we identify in Figures 2 and 3 the associated system to the QSO at $z=0.49253$, but we do not report any measurements (see Ganguly et al. 2006 for an analysis of this system, which is associated with gas very close to the active galactic nuclei). We find a total of 59 systems (excluding the associated system at $z=0.49253$ ) toward HE 0226-4110. Two of the systems $(z=0.20701,0.27155)$ clearly have multicomponent $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}$ absorption (see $\S 3.2$ ), and one possible system is detected only in O vi at $z=0.42663$ (see also $\S 3.3$ ).

All our detection limits reported in this work (except otherwise stated) are $3 \sigma$. The $3 \sigma$ limit will vary depending on its wave-
length position in the spectrum because the $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ varies with wavelength. In Table 3, we report our measurements of the line strength (equivalent width), line width (Doppler parameter), and column density for all the detected IGM species or the $3 \sigma$ limits on the equivalent width and column density for the nondetected species.

All our measurements are in the rest frame. The equivalent widths and uncertainties were measured following the procedures of Sembach \& Savage (1992). The adopted uncertainties for the derived equivalent widths, column densities, and Doppler parameters (see $\S 3.2$ ) are $\pm 1 \sigma$. These errors include the effects of statistical noise, fixed-pattern noise for FUSE data when two or more channels were present, the systematic uncertainties of the continuum placement, and the velocity range over which the absorption lines were integrated. The continuum levels were obtained by fitting low-order $(<4)$ Legendre polynomials within 500 to $1000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ of each absorption line. For weak lines, several continuum placements were tested to be certain that the continuum error was robust. For the FUSE data we considered data from multiple channels whenever possible to assess the fixed-pattern noise. An obvious strong fixed-pattern detector feature is present in the LiF 1A channel at $1043.45 \AA$ (see Fig. 2).

### 3.2. Redshift, Column Density, and Doppler Parameter

To measure the centroids of the absorption line, the column densities and the Doppler parameters, we systematically used two methods: the apparent optical depth (AOD, see Savage \& Sembach 1991) and a profile fitting method. To derive the column density and measure the redshift, we used the atomic parameters for the FUV and EUV lines listed in Morton (2003) and Verner et al. (1996), respectively. Note that the system's redshifts in this paper refer to the $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}$ centroids, except for the O vi system at $z=0.42660$.

In the AOD method, the absorption profiles are converted into apparent optical depth (AOD) per unit velocity, $\tau_{a}(v)=$ $\ln \left[I_{c} / I_{\mathrm{obs}}(v)\right]$, where $I_{\mathrm{obs}}, I_{c}$ are the intensity with and without the absorption, respectively. The $\mathrm{AOD}, \tau_{a}(v)$, is related to the apparent column density per unit velocity, $N_{a}(v)$, through the relation $N_{a}(v)=3.768 \times 10^{14} \tau_{a}(v) /[f \lambda(\AA)] \mathrm{cm}^{-2}\left(\mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)^{-1}$. The total column density is obtained by integrating the profile, $N=$ $\int_{-v}^{+v} N_{a}(v) d v$. We also computed the average line centroids and the velocity dispersions through the first and second moments of the AOD

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{v} & =\int_{-v}^{+v} v \tau_{a}(v) d v / \int_{-v}^{+v} \tau_{a}(v) d v \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \\
b & =\left[2 \int_{-v}^{+v}(v-\bar{v})^{2} \tau_{a}(v) d v / \int_{-v}^{+v} \tau_{a}(v) d v\right]^{0.5} \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$



FIG. 2.-FUSE spectra of HE $0226-4110$ as a function of the heliocentric wavelength between 917 and $1183 \AA$. The data are binned by 4 pixels providing about three samples per $20 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ resolution element. At $\lambda \lesssim 986 \AA$, data are from SiC 2 A channel, between 986 and $1083 \AA$, data are from LiF 1 A channel, and at $\lambda \gtrsim 1086 \AA$ data are from LiF 2A channel. Line detections are denoted by tick marks above the spectra. Line identifications for these detections are listed on the right-hand side of each panel. Redshifts (in parentheses) are indicated for intergalactic lines. In cases where the $+190 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ high-velocity interstellar feature is present, an offset tick mark is attached to the primary tick mark at the rest wavelength of the line.
respectively. Note that the equivalent widths were measured over the same velocity range $[-v,+v]$ indicated in column (8) of Table 3.

We also fitted the absorption lines with the Voigt component software of Fitzpatrick \& Spitzer (1997). In the FUSE band, we
assume a Gaussian instrumental spread function with a FWHM = $20 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, while in the STIS band, the STIS instrumental spread function was adopted (Proffitt et al. 2000). Note that to constrain further the fit to the $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}$ absorption, we systematically (except as otherwise stated in Table 3) use nondetected low-order Lyman
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Fig. 3.-HST STIS E140M spectra of HE $0226-4110$ as a function of the heliocentric wavelength between 1167 and $1730 \AA$. The data have spectral resolution of about $7 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. We have binned the data into two pixels $\left(\approx 7 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ for clarity, but all the measurements were conducted on the fully sampled data. Labels are similar to those in Fig. 2.
series lines (all the lines used in the fit are listed in Table 3 in the line corresponding to the fit result). For this reason, we favored the $b$-values and column densities derived from profile fitting when realized. We note, however, that the results obtained from the moments of the optical depth and profile fitting methods are in agreement to within $1 \sigma$ for most cases. We show the normalized spectra (with the fit to the absorption lines when realized) against the rest-frame velocity of the H i absorbers in Figure 4 (systems detected only in Ly $\alpha$ ), Figure 5 (systems detected in Ly $\alpha$ and $\mathrm{Ly} \beta$ ), Figure 6 (systems detected in $\mathrm{Ly} \alpha, \mathrm{Ly} \beta, \mathrm{Ly} \gamma$ ), Figure 7 (system detected in $\operatorname{Ly} \alpha, \operatorname{Ly} \beta$ and $\operatorname{Ly} \gamma$, and $\operatorname{Ly} \delta$ ), and the metal
systems in Figures 8, 9, 10, 11, and 12. See also Figures 2 and 4 in Savage et al. (2005) for the metal system at $z=0.20701$. We find several broad $\mathrm{H}_{\text {I }}$ absorption profiles with $b>50 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. For most of these systems a two-component fit does not improve significantly the reduced $-\chi^{2}$. The system at $z=0.38420$ appears to have an asymmetric profile in both the $\mathrm{Ly} \alpha$ and $\mathrm{Ly} \beta$ lines, and for this system a two-component fit looks better by eye but not statistically (see Fig. 5, dashed line). In the note of Table 3 we give the results of the fit for this system: a combination of broad ( $b=$ $75 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ) and narrow ( $26 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ) lines seem to adequately fit the $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}$ profiles. Hence, in any case, a broad component is present.
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However, only the systems at $z=0.20701$ and 0.27155 are clearly multiple components blended together. We note that several systems are only separated by less than a few hundreds $\mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$. We will discuss further these systems in our future paper on the $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}$ in the low-redshift IGM (N. Lehner et al. 2006, in preparation).

For all the nondetections we list in Table 3 the $3 \sigma$ upper limits to the rest-frame equivalent width and to the column density by assuming the absorption lines lie on the linear part of the curve of the growth. We adopted the velocity range $\Delta v=\left[v_{1}, v_{2}\right]$ either from other observed metals or from the Lyman lines if no metals were detected (see Table 3 for more details); except if $\Delta v\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}\right)>$ $100 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, we set $\Delta v=[-50,50] \mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$.

Table 4 is a summary table that presents the redshift, the $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}$ column density, and Doppler parameter, and the column densities of $\mathrm{C}_{\text {iII, }} \mathrm{O}_{\text {iII, }}$ O iv, $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{vi}}$, and Ne viII. The derived $\mathrm{H}_{\text {i param- }}$ eters $(z, N, b)$ and the O vi column densities are from profile fitting. For the other ions, the column density is from AOD (except for the columns of the system at $z=0.20701$ that resulted from profile fitting; see Savage et al. 2005).

### 3.3. Possible Misidentification

While we have done our best to identify properly all the absorption features in the spectrum of HE 0226-4110, misidentifications are possible because we do not have access to the full

redshift path to HE 0226-4110. The highest redshift at which Ly $\alpha$ is detectable is $z=0.423$ (see $\S 3.4$ ). Thus, Ly $\alpha$ between 0.423 and 0.495 is not detectable and could produce $\operatorname{Ly} \beta$ between 1458.6 and $1533.4 \AA$, i.e. between redshift $z=0.199$ and 0.261 [Ly $\alpha$ cannot be $\operatorname{Ly} \gamma$ because that would imply $z(\operatorname{Ly} \alpha) \sim$ 0.54 .] The following systems are thus potentially affected : $z=$ $0.19860,0.20701,0.22005,0.22099,0.23009,0.23964,0.24514$. However, the $0.19860,0.20055,0.20701,0.22005$, and 0.24514 systems have $\operatorname{Ly} \beta$ and $\operatorname{Ly} \gamma$. The system at $z=0.20055$ would correspond to $\operatorname{Ly} \beta$ at $z=0.42289$. At $z=0.42289$, a feature at $1383.822 \AA$ (marked as Ly $\alpha$ at $z=0.13832$ in Fig. 3) could possibly be identified with $\mathrm{Ly} \gamma$, but the measured $\mathrm{H}_{\text {i }}$ column densities for $\operatorname{Ly} \beta$ and $\gamma$ are discrepant by 0.25 dex. Hence, the system at
$z=0.20055$ is most likely to be Ly $\alpha$ too. The remaining Ly $\alpha$ systems at $z=0.22099,0.23009,0.23964$ could actually be $\operatorname{Ly} \beta$ at $z=0.44721,0.45799,0.46931$, respectively. Those are marked by exclamation points in Tables 3 and 4.

We note that the $z=0.42289 \mathrm{O}$ vi system could be Ly $\alpha$ at $z=0.21089$ and 0.21756 , or $\operatorname{Ly} \beta$ at $z=0.43523$ and 0.44314 . However, the derived physical parameters appear to match very well the atomic properties of the O vi doublet (see Table 3 and Fig. 12). We also note that several cases where O vi is observed without $\operatorname{Ly} \alpha$ or $\operatorname{Ly} \beta$ are reported in T. M. Tripp et al. (2006, in preparation): (1) O vi observed without $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}$ is detected in four cases in associated systems; (2) the intervening system at $z=$ 0.49510 toward PKS $0405-125$ has strong O vi with no $\operatorname{Ly} \beta$;
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(3) for PKS 1302-102 at $z=0.22744$ there is an excellent O vi doublet detection and very low ( $<2 \sigma$ ) significance Ly $\alpha$ and no $\operatorname{Ly} \beta$ detection; (4) there are several cases in the more complex $\mathrm{H}_{\text {I }}$ systems where there is clearly detected O vi well displaced in velocity from the $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}$ absorption. Therefore, the $z=0.42289$ system is likely to be an O vi system, but we would need a FUV spectrum that covers the wavelength up to $1850 \AA$ to have a definitive answer. We will therefore treat this system in the paper as a tentative O vi system, and this system is also marked by exclamation points in Tables 3 and 4.

We finally note that there may be O vi at $z=0.22005$ and 0.29134 . For the system at $z=0.22005, \mathrm{O}$ vi $\lambda 1037$ is identified as Ly $\alpha$ at $z=0.04121$, but the O vi $\lambda 1032$ appears weaker than
expected and is not $3 \sigma$. Therefore, we do not report these features as O vi. For the system at $z=0.29134$, O vi would be shifted by $-30 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ with respect to $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}$. Neither of the O vI lines are $3 \sigma$, and therefore we elected not to include them as reliable detections.

### 3.4. Unblocked-Redshift Path

We will need later (see $\S 5.2$ ) the unblocked redshift path for several species under study. The maximum redshift path available for $\mathrm{Ly} \alpha$ is set by the maximum wavelength available with STIS E140M, which is $1729.5 \AA$, corresponding to $z_{\max }=$ 0.423 . Note that the redshift of HE $0226-4110$ is larger, $z_{\mathrm{QSO}}=$ 0.495 . The blocked redshift interval arising from interstellar lines,

other intervening intergalactic absorption lines, and the gaps existing in the wavelength coverage is $\Delta z_{\mathrm{B}}=0.022$. The unblocked redshift path for $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}$ is $z_{\mathrm{U}}=z_{\max }-\Delta z_{\mathrm{B}}=0.401$.

For O vi, we follow a similar method but we note that O vi can arise without detection of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}$ (see $\S 3.3$ ) since we are not covering the whole wavelength range for Ly $\alpha$. We therefore do not restrict the O vi redshift path to $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}$. We also restrict the part of the spectrum to where a $3 \sigma$ limit integrated over $[-50,50] \mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ is $\$ 50 \mathrm{~m} \AA$. Therefore, the STIS spectrum between 1182 and $1225 \AA$ and above $1565 \AA$ was not used (at $\lambda<1182 \AA$, the FUSE spectrum was used to search for O vI ). The unblocked redshift path for $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{VI}}$ is $z_{\mathrm{U}}=0.450$. In principle, the unblocked redshift path is larger for the $\mathrm{C}_{\text {III }}$ and the EUV lines, but because they are much more difficult to detect (single line or weaker doublet), we adopt for $\mathrm{C}_{\text {III, }} \mathrm{O}_{\text {II, }} \mathrm{O}_{\text {III, }} \mathrm{O}_{\text {Iv, }}$ and $\mathrm{Ne}_{\text {viII }}$ the redshift path of $\mathrm{O}_{\text {vI }}$ corrected for any blocked redshift interval arising from interstellar lines and other intervening intergalactic absorption lines at $\lambda<$ $1032 \AA$. We also consider only wavelengths with $\lambda \gtrsim 924 \AA$ for those lines because at smaller wavelengths the spectrum is too confused with the ISM Lyman series absorption lines. This would give an unblocked redshift path for $\mathrm{O}_{\text {II }}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{\text {III }}$ of about 0.350 and for O iv and Ne viII of 0.283 .

## 4. PHYSICAL CONDITIONS

## IN THE METAL-LINE ABSORBERS

One of the main issues with the detection of O vi absorbers is given the measurements of the different species, can we dis-
tinguish between photoionization and collisional ionization, between warm and hot gas? This is a fundamental question because to be able to estimate $\Omega_{b}(\mathrm{O} \mathrm{vI})$ in the WHIM, we need to know how much of the observed O vi is actually in shock-heated hot gas rather than in cooler photoionized gas. For each of the observed absorbers we will first investigate if a photoionization equilibrium model is a viable option for the source of ionization. We will then consider other sources, in particular collisional ionization equilibrium (CIE) models from Sutherland \& Dopita (1993).

### 4.1. Photoionization

In the IGM, the EUV ionizing radiation field can be energetic enough to produce high ions in a very low-density gas with a long path length. To evaluate whether or not photoionization can explain the observed properties of the O vi absorbers, we have run the photoionization equilibrium code CLOUDY (Ferland et al. 1998) with the standard assumptions, in particular that there has been enough time for thermal and ionization equilibrium to prevail. We model the column densities of the different ions through a slab illuminated by the Haardt \& Madau (1996) UV background ionizing radiation field from QSOs and active galactic nuclei appropriate for the redshift of a given system. The models assume solar relative heavy element abundances from Grevesse \& Sauval (1998), but with the updates from Holweger (2001) for N and Si , from Allende Prieto et al. (2002) for C , and from Asplund et al. (2004) for O and Ne (see Table 5 in Savage

TABLE 3
Properties of the Intervening Systems toward HE 0226-4110

| Species <br> (1) | $\lambda_{\text {obs }}$ <br> (Å) <br> (2) | $\begin{gathered} W_{\lambda} \\ (\mathrm{m} \AA) \end{gathered}$ <br> (3) | $\left(\mathrm{km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ <br> (4) | $\begin{gathered} b \\ \left(\mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \\ (5) \end{gathered}$ | $\log N$ <br> (dex) <br> (6) | Method <br> (7) | $\begin{aligned} & {[-v,+v]} \\ & \left(\mathrm{km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \end{aligned}$ <br> (8) | Instrument <br> (9) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $z=0.01746^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| H I 21215 . | 1236.944 | $79.4 \pm 12.1$ | $+1.2 \pm 2.9$ | $22.7 \pm 3.2$ | $13.28 \pm 0.07$ | AOD | [-40, 40] | STIS |
| H \% $\lambda \lambda 1215,1025$................... | ... | ... | $+0.0 \pm 2.2$ | $17.9 \pm 3.9$ | $13.22 \pm 0.06$ | FIT |  | STIS, LiF 2B |
| С п 121036 ........................... | 1054.473 | <23.3 | ... | ... | $<13.32$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-40, 40] | LiF 1A |
| C III 2977 .............................. | 994.118 | $<65.4$ |  |  | $<13.01$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-40, 40] | LiF 1A |
| C iv 21548 | 1575.289 | $41.3 \pm 13.9$ | $+0.4 \pm 4.8$ | 10.0: | $13.14_{-0.18}^{+0.12}$ | AOD | [-30, 30] | STIS |
| C iv $\lambda 1550 \ldots \ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | 1577.909 | $36.8 \pm 15.1$ | $+9.6 \pm 5.8$ | $21.0 \pm 5.5$ | $13.34_{-0.22}^{+0.14}$ | AOD | [-30, 30] | STIS |
| C iv $2 \lambda 1548,1550$.. | ... |  | $-0.4 \pm 2.5$ | $10.0 \pm 6.8$ | $13.13 \pm 0.11$ | FIT |  | STIS |
| N v $\lambda 1242$. | 1264.554 | $<32.6$ |  |  | <13.48 | $3 \sigma$ | [-40, 40] | STIS |
| O vi $\lambda 1031$.......................... | 1049.964 | $40.1 \pm 10.2$ | $+7.3 \pm 4.0$ | $13.2 \pm 9.7$ | $13.57 \pm 0.10$ | AOD | [-40, 50] | LiF 1A |
| O vi $\lambda 1031$........................... | 1049.990 |  | $+4.9 \pm 2.7$ | $15.2 \pm 7.4$ | $13.60 \pm 0.10$ | FIT |  | LiF 1A |
| Si iI $\lambda 1260$.......................... | 1282.480 | $<29.2$ |  | ... | $<12.25$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-40, 40] | STIS |
|  | 1227.614 | <30.7 |  |  | <12.17 | $3 \sigma$ | [-40, 40] | STIS |
| Si iv 21393 ............................. | 1418.146 | <41.9 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | <12.68 | $3 \sigma$ | [-40, 40] | STIS |
| $z=0.02679^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| H I $\lambda 1215$. | 1248.274 | $67.5 \pm 10.6$ | $0.3 \pm 3.9$ | $28.5 \pm 3.4$ | $13.17 \pm 0.09$ | AOD | [-45, 45] | STIS |
| H I $\lambda \lambda 1215,972$. |  |  | $+0.0 \pm 6.1$ | $41.6 \pm 11.0$ | $13.22 \pm 0.08$ | FIT |  | STIS, LiF 1A |
| C III 2977 ............................. | 1003.224 | <38.4 | ... | ... | <12.78 | $3 \sigma$ | [-45, 45] | LiF 1A |
| O vi $\lambda 1031$............................... | 1059.602 | <27.1 |  |  | <13.34 | $3 \sigma$ | [-45, 45] | LiF 1A |
| $z=0.04121$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| H I 21215. | 1265.768 | $32.4 \pm 10.1$ | $-0.1 \pm 7.3$ | $25.8 \pm 9.1$ | $12.84 \pm 0.14$ | AOD | [-40, 40] | STIS |
| Н у $\lambda 1215,1025 \ldots \ldots \ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .$. | ... |  | $+0.0 \pm 6.8$ | $23.6 \pm 18.6$ | $12.82 \pm 0.14$ | FIT |  | STIS |
| C III 2977 ............................ | 1017.283 | <17.2 |  |  | <12.43 | $3 \sigma$ | [-40, 40] | LiF 1A |
| O vi $\lambda 1031$.............................. | 1074.452 | <39.3 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $<13.50$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-40, 40] | LiF 1A |
| $z=0.04535$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| H I $\lambda 1215$............................ | 1270.801 | $25.0 \pm 6.7$ | $-1.9 \pm 3.6$ | $17.6 \pm 6.7$ | $12.71 \pm 0.12$ | AOD | [-25, 25] | STIS |
| H I $21215,1025 \ldots \ldots \ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | ... | ... | $+0.0 \pm 4.5$ | $16.2 \pm 12.8$ | $12.71 \pm 0.13$ | FIT |  | STIS |
| C III 2977 ............................. | 1021.328 | <17.6 | ... | ... | <12.44 | $3 \sigma$ | [-25, 25] | LiF 1A |
| O vi $\lambda 1031$............................ | 1078.724 | <22.7 |  |  | <13.26 | $3 \sigma$ | [-25, 25] | LiF 1A |
| $z=0.04609$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| H \% 21215 ............................ | 1271.700 | $155.3 \pm 13.0$ | $+0.0 \pm 1.9$ | $24.4 \pm 2.4$ | $13.65 \pm 0.04$ | AOD | [-40, 40] | STIS |
| H I $\lambda 1025$........................... | 1072.998 | $29.2 \pm 7.3$ | $-1.8 \pm 5.4$ | $20.6 \pm 6.8$ | $13.65 \pm 0.09$ | AOD | [-40, 40] | LiF 1A |
| H \% $2 \lambda 1215,1025,972 \ldots . . . . . . .$. |  | ... | $+0.0 \pm 1.4$ | $25.0 \pm 2.1$ | $13.66 \pm 0.03$ | FIT |  | STIS, LiF 1A |
| C III 2977 ............................. | 1022.051 | $<28.0$ | ... | ... | $<12.64$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-40, 40] | LiF 1A |
| O vi $\lambda 1031$........................... | 1079.487 | <29.4 |  |  | <13.37 | $3 \sigma$ | [-40, 40] | LiF 1A |
| $z=0.06015$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| H I $\lambda 1215$............................ | 1288.793 | $62.2 \pm 10.1$ | $+1.2 \pm 3.4$ | $27.5 \pm 2.8$ | $13.15 \pm 0.08$ | AOD | [-40, 40] | STIS |
| H I $2 \lambda 1215,1025$................... | ... |  | $+0.0 \pm 4.1$ | $35.5 \pm 7.6$ | $13.19 \pm 0.06$ | FIT | [.. | STIS, LiF 1A |
| C iII 2977 .............................. | 1035.788 | <26.1 | ... | ... | $<12.61$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-40, 40] | LiF 1A |
| O vi $\lambda 1031$............................ | 1093.997 | $<25.0$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $<13.30$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-40, 40] | LiF 1A |
| $z=0.06083^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| H I 21215 .............................. | 1289.619 | $576.1 \pm 21.4$ |  |  |  | AOD | [-110, 110] | STIS |
| H I $\lambda 1025$............................ | 1088.117 | $222.8 \pm 12.3$ | $+5.3 \pm 2.4$ | $45.0 \pm 3.1$ | $14.64 \pm 0.02$ | AOD | [-110, 110] | LiF 1A |
| H I $\lambda \lambda 1215,1025,949$............. | ... |  | $+0.0 \pm 1.0$ | $44.5 \pm 1.0$ | $14.65 \pm 0.02$ | FIT | $\cdots$ | STIS, LiF 2A, LiF 1A |
| O vi $\lambda 1031$............................. | 1094.698 | $<26.9$ |  |  | $<13.33$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-50, 50] | LiF 2A |
| $z=0.07023$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| H I $\lambda 1215$............................ | 1301.047 | $33.4 \pm 7.3$ | $-1.3 \pm 3.5$ | $24.2 \pm 3.0$ | $12.86 \pm 0.08$ | AOD | [-32, 32] | STIS |
| H I $\lambda \lambda 1215,1025$................... | ... | ... | $+0.0 \pm 5.7$ | $26.0 \pm 12.4$ | $13.81 \pm 0.11$ | FIT | ... | STIS, LiF 2A |
| C iII 2977 .............................. | 1045.636 | <16.4 | ... | ... | $<12.41$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-32, 32] | LiF 1A |
| O vi $\lambda 1031$............................ | 1104.398 | <21.8 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $<13.24$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-32, 32] | LiF 2A |
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| Species <br> (1) | $\lambda_{\text {obs }}$ <br> (A) <br> (2) | $\begin{gathered} W_{\lambda} \\ (\mathrm{m} \AA) \\ (3) \end{gathered}$ | $\left(\mathrm{km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ <br> (4) | $\begin{gathered} b \\ \left(\mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \\ (5) \end{gathered}$ | $\log N$ <br> (dex) <br> (6) | Method <br> (7) | $\begin{gathered} {[-v,+v]} \\ \left(\mathrm{km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \\ (8) \end{gathered}$ | Instrument <br> (9) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $z=0.08375^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| H I $\lambda 1215$. | 1317.470 | $149.0 \pm 11.1:$ | $+2.2 \pm 1.4$ : | $24.3 \pm 1.4:$ | $13.65 \pm 0.04$ : | AOD | [-25, 50] | STIS |
| H ¢ $\lambda 972$ | 1053.977 | $12.3 \pm 6.1$ | $-8.7 \pm 9.8$ |  | $13.744_{-0.29}^{+0.17}$ | AOD | [-30, 30] | LiF 1A |
| H І $\lambda \lambda 1215,972 \ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .$. |  |  | $+0.0 \pm 3.2$ : | $29.6 \pm 4.5$ : | $13.67 \pm 0.05$ : | FIT |  | STIS, LiF 1A |
| C III 2977 ............................. | 1058.836 | $<20.0$ |  | $\ldots$ | $<12.50$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-30, 30] | LiF 1A |
| O vi 21031 ........................... | 1118.340 | <25.3 |  |  | $<13.31$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-30, 30] | LiF 2A |
| $z=0.08901^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| H I $\lambda 1215$.......................... | 1323.877 | $81.4 \pm 9.4$ | $-0.9 \pm 1.7$ | $19.1 \pm 1.6$ | $13.29 \pm 0.05$ | AOD | [-31, 31] | STIS |
| H у $\lambda \lambda 1215,972 \ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . .$. |  |  | $+0.0 \pm 2.3$ | $23.4 \pm 3.7$ | $13.33 \pm 0.05$ | FIT |  | STIS, LiF 1A |
| O vi 21031 ........................... | 1123.778 | $<23.5$ |  |  | $<13.28$ | $3 \sigma$ | $[-31,31]$ | LiF 2A |
| $z=0.08938$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1324.327 | $19.4 \pm 5.7$ | $+0.6 \pm 2.7$ | 7.9: | $12.61{ }_{-0.16}^{+0.11}$ | AOD | $[-15,15]$ | STIS |
| H І $\lambda \lambda 1215,972 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .$. |  |  | $+0.0 \pm 3.7$ | 8.2: | $12.59 \pm 0.22$ | FIT |  | STIS, LiF 1A |
| C III $\lambda 977$............................. | 1064.346 | $<12.8$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $<12.30$ | $3 \sigma$ | $[-15,15]$ | LiF 1A |
| O vi 21031 ........................... | 1124.160 | $<15.2$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | <13.09 | $3 \sigma$ | $[-15,15]$ | LiF 2A |
| $z=0.08950^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1324.472 | $26.2 \pm 7.8$ : | $-2.0 \pm 4.2$ : | $19.1 \pm 3.9$ : | $12.74_{-0.15}^{+0.11}$ | AOD | [-18, 30] | STIS |
| H х $\lambda \lambda 1215,972$. |  | . | $+0.0 \pm 9.0$ : | 22.0: | $12.72 \pm 0.20$ : | FIT |  | STIS, LiF 1A |
| C III 2977 | 1064.463 | $<8.8$ |  | . | $<12.14$ | $3 \sigma$ | [ $-18,30$ ] | LiF 1A |
| O vi 21031 .......................... | 1124.283 | $<20.5$ | $\ldots$ |  | $<13.22$ | $3 \sigma$ | $[-18,30]$ | LiF 2A |
| $z=0.09059^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| H у $\lambda 1215$........................... | 1325.798 | $180.0 \pm 12.1$ | $-1.9 \pm 1.5$ | $28.5 \pm 1.7$ | $13.70 \pm 0.04$ | AOD | $[-55,55]$ | STIS |
|  | 1118.642 | $41.2 \pm 9.8$ | $-9.4 \pm 7.3$ | $31.4 \pm 9.2$ | $13.80 \pm 0.09$ | AOD | [-55, 55] | LiF 2A |
| H у $\lambda \lambda 1215,1025,972 \ldots . . . . . .$. |  |  | $+0.0 \pm 1.4$ | $28.3 \pm 2.0$ | $13.71 \pm 0.03$ | FIT |  | STIS, LiF 2A, LiF 1A |
| C III 2977 ........................... | 1065.528 | $<25.4$ |  |  | $<12.60$ | $3 \sigma$ | $[-50,50]$ | LiF 1A |
| $z=0.09220$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1327.758 | $43.6 \pm 10.2$ | $-10.1 \pm 5.5$ | $34.0 \pm 4.3$ | $12.96 \pm 0.10$ | AOD | [-45, 45] | STIS |
| H І $\lambda \lambda 1215,972 \ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . .$. | ... |  | $+0.0 \pm 8.4$ | $40.2 \pm 18.0$ | $12.94 \pm 0.11$ | FIT |  | STIS, LiF 1A |
| C iII 2977 .. | 1067.104 | $<32.2$ | $\ldots$ | ... | $<12.70$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-45, 45] | LiF 1A |
| O vi 21031 ........................... | 1127.073 | $<24.1$ |  |  | $<13.29$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-45, 45] | LiF 2A |
| $z=0.10668^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| H \% $\lambda 1215 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | 1345.333 | $39.8 \pm 9.6$ | $-2.1 \pm 3.8$ | $24.5 \pm 3.7$ | $13.04 \pm 0.08$ | AOD | [-40, 40] | STIS |
|  | ... | ... | $+0.0 \pm 5.0$ | $32.7 \pm 9.2$ | $13.09 \pm 0.08$ | FIT | [-40, 40] | STIS, LiF 1A |
| C III 2977 ............................. | 1081.229 | $<21.5$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $<12.52$ | $3 \sigma$ |  | LiF 1A |
| O vi $\lambda 1037 \ldots \ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | 1148.298 | <21.4 | ... |  | $<13.53$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-40, 40] | LiF 2A |
| $z=0.11514^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| H i $\lambda 1215$............................. | 1355.642 | $36.8 \pm 10.3$ | $-2.1 \pm 2.7$ | $13.2 \pm 3.2$ | $12.91 \pm 0.09$ | AOD | [-25, 25] | STIS |
| H І $\lambda \lambda 1215,1025 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .$. | ... | ... | $+0.0 \pm 2.1$ | $10.4 \pm 4.1$ | $12.90 \pm 0.09$ | FIT |  | STIS, LiF 2A |
| C III $\lambda 977$............................. | 1089.514 | $<17.5$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $<12.44$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-25, 25] | LiF 2A |
| O vi 21031 ........................... | 1150.742 | $<17.7$ | $\ldots$ |  | $<13.15$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-25, 25] | LiF 2A |
| $z=0.11680$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| H у $\lambda 1215 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | 1357.660 | $82.8 \pm 10.3$ | $+3.0 \pm 2.4$ | $22.8 \pm 2.4$ | $13.28 \pm 0.05$ | AOD | [-40, 40] | STIS |
| H у $\lambda \lambda 1215,1025 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .$. | ... | ... | $+0.0 \pm 2.4$ | $23.7 \pm 3.9$ | $13.27 \pm 0.05$ | FIT |  | STIS, LiF 2A |
| C III 2977 ............................. | 1091.136 | $<23.8$ | ... | ... | $<12.57$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-40, 40] | LiF 2A |
| O vi 21031 ........................... | 1152.455 | $<29.0$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $<13.37$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-40, 40] | LiF 2A |

TABLE 3-Continued

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

TABLE 3 - Continued

| Species <br> (1) | $\lambda_{\text {obs }}$ <br> (Å) <br> (2) | $\begin{gathered} W_{\lambda} \\ (\mathrm{m} \AA) \end{gathered}$ (3) | $\begin{gathered} v \\ \left(\mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ <br> (4) | $\begin{gathered} b \\ \left(\mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \\ (5) \end{gathered}$ | $\log N$ (dex) (6) | Method <br> (7) | $\begin{gathered} {[-v,+v]} \\ \left(\mathrm{km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \\ (8) \end{gathered}$ | Instrument (9) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $z=0.18619^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| H I $\lambda 1215$. | 1442.016 | $73.4 \pm 13.2$ | $+2.0 \pm 5.2$ | $36.1 \pm 5.6$ | $13.21 \pm 0.08$ | AOD | [-50, 50] | STIS |
| $\mathrm{H}_{\text {I }} \lambda \lambda 1215,972$. | ... |  | $+0.0 \pm 8.6$ | $53.9 \pm 16.2$ | $13.26 \pm 0.08$ | FIT |  |  |
| C III 2977 | 1158.931 | $<25.4$ | ... | ... | $<12.60$ | $3 \sigma$ | $[-50,50]$ | LiF 2A |
| O III 2832 ........................................... | 988.012 | <43.5 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $<13.82$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-50, 50] | SiC 2A |
| O iv $\lambda 787$. | 934.375 | <36.5 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $<13.77$ | $3 \sigma$ | $[-50,50]$ | SiC 2A |
| O vi $\lambda 1031$....................................... | 1224.060 | <36.6 | $\ldots$ |  | $<13.47$ | $3 \sigma$ | $[-50,50]$ | STIS |
| Ne viII $\lambda 780$ | 925.613 | <32.6 |  |  | <14.08 | $3 \sigma$ | $[-15,85]$ | SiC 2A |
| $z=0.18811^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1444.350 | $120.1 \pm 12.9$ | $-0.7 \pm 2.3$ | $24.1 \pm 2.5$ | $13.50 \pm 0.05$ | AOD | [-43, 43] | STIS |
| $\mathrm{H}_{\text {I }} \lambda \lambda 1215,1025$. | ... | $\ldots$ | $+0.0 \pm 2.1$ | $22.4 \pm 3.3$ | $13.47 \pm 0.05$ | FIT |  |  |
| C III 2977 | 1161.589 | <24.5 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $<12.58$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-43, 43] | LiF 2A |
| O III 2832 ......................................... | 989.613 | <52.0 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $<13.90$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-43, 43] | SiC 2A |
| O iv $\lambda 787$......................................... | 935.887 | $<39.2$ | ... | $\ldots$ | $<13.80$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-43, 43] | SiC 2A |
| O vi $\lambda 1031$ | 1226.867 | $<28.2$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $<13.32$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-43, 43] | STIS |
| Ne viII 2780 ....................................... | 927.735 | $<29.1$ |  |  | $<14.03$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-43, 43] | SiC 2A |
| $z=0.18891{ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| H I $\lambda 1215$ | 1445.322 | $87.1 \pm 10.8$ | $-0.4 \pm 2.3$ | $18.8 \pm 3.3$ | $13.39 \pm 0.11$ | AOD | $[-31,31]$ | STIS |
| $\mathrm{H}_{\text {I }} \lambda \lambda 1215,1025$. | ... | $\ldots$ | $+0.0 \pm 2.4$ | $22.2 \pm 4.0$ | $13.34 \pm 0.07$ | FIT |  | STIS |
| C III 2977 | 1160.807 | $<18.5$ | ... | $\ldots$ | $<12.46$ | $3 \sigma$ | $[-31,31]$ | LiF 2A |
| O III $\lambda 832$ | 990.278 | <38.5 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $<13.77$ | $3 \sigma$ | $[-31,31]$ | SiC 2A |
| O iv $\lambda 787$. | 936.518 | <26.6 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $<13.64$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-31, 20] | SiC 2A |
| O vi $\lambda 1031$. | 1226.042 | <22.1 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $<13.25$ | $3 \sigma$ | $[-31,31]$ | STIS |
| Ne viII 2780 | 927.111 | $<23.6$ |  |  | $<13.94$ | $3 \sigma$ | $[-31,31]$ | SiC 2A |
| $z=0.19374^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| H $\quad \lambda 1215$. | 1451.218 | $69.9 \pm 10.2:$ | $+2.0 \pm 3.1$ : | $24.1 \pm 5.3:$ | $13.19 \pm 0.07$ : | AOD | [-40, 40] | STIS |
| H I $\lambda 1215$. | 1451.218 | ... | $+0.0 \pm 3.5$ : | $28.7 \pm 6.0$ : | $13.20 \pm 0.06$ : | FIT |  | STIS |
| C III 2977 | 1166.328 | $<23.9$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $<12.57$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-40, 40] | LiF 2A |
| O III $\lambda 832$ | 994.317 | $<29.9$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $<13.67$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-40, 40] | SiC 2A |
| O iv $\lambda 787$. | 940.338 | $<65.1$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $<14.06$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-40, 40] | SiC 2A |
| O vi $\lambda 1031$. | 1231.872 | <29.4 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | <13.40 | $3 \sigma$ | [-40, 40] | STIS |
| Ne viII 2780 ...................................... | 931.520 | $<80.0$ |  |  | $<14.50$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-40, 40] | SiC 2A |
| $z=0.19453$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1452.154 | $36.0 \pm 8.6$ | $+0.5 \pm 4.0$ | $21.3 \pm 3.4$ | $12.88 \pm 0.10$ | AOD | [-30, 30] | STIS |
|  | ... | $\ldots$ | $+0.0 \pm 6.1$ | $26.1 \pm 14.0$ | $12.89 \pm 0.12$ | FIT |  | STIS |
| C III 2977 ........................................... | 1167.080 | <22.6 | $\ldots$ | ... | $<12.55$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-30, 30] | LiF 2A |
| O III 2832 .......................................... | 994.959 | <47.7 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $<13.86$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-30, 30] | SiC 2A |
|  | 940.944 | $<24.2$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $<13.60$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-30, 30] | SiC 2A |
| O vi $\lambda 1031$ | 1232.667 | $<23.6$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $<13.28$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-30, 30] | STIS |
| Ne viII 2770 ....................................... | 920.277 | $<37.6$ |  | $\ldots$ | $<13.84$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-30, 30] | SiC 2A |
| $z=0.19860^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| H I $\lambda 1215$. | 1457.126 | $352.2 \pm 21.1$ | $+0.2 \pm 2.3$ | $37.4 \pm 3.2$ | $14.16 \pm 0.06$ | AOD | [-82, 82] | STIS |
| H i 21025 .......................................... | 1229.451 | $92.3 \pm 14.4$ | $+1.0 \pm 4.3$ | $35.9 \pm 3.6$ | $14.19 \pm 0.06$ | AOD | $[-50,50]$ | STIS |
| H I 2972 | 1165.702 | $49.8 \pm 10.2$ | $+1.7 \pm 5.2$ | $29.4 \pm 5.1$ | $14.36 \pm 0.08$ | AOD | [-50, 50] | LiF 2A |
| Н i $\lambda \lambda 1215,1025,972,949 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | ... | $\ldots$ | $+0.0 \pm 1.6$ | $37.0 \pm 2.0$ | $14.18 \pm 0.04$ | FIT | [.. | STIS, LiF 2A |
| C III 2977 .......................................... | 1171.076 | $<32.6$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $<12.71$ | $3 \sigma$ | $[-50,50]$ | LiF 2A |
| O III 2832 ........................................... | 998.365 | $<33.1$ | ... | $\ldots$ | $<13.70$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-50, 50] | LiF 1A |
| O iv $\lambda 787$.......................................... | 944.166 | $<34.1$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $<13.75$ | $3 \sigma$ | $[-50,50]$ | SiC 2A |
| O vi $\lambda 1037$........................................ | 1243.708 | $<31.5$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $<13.70$ | $3 \sigma$ | $[-50,50]$ | STIS |
| Ne viII 2780 ....................................... | 935.312 | <28.6 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | <14.02 | $3 \sigma$ | [-50, 50] | SiC 2A |

TABLE 3-Continued

| Species <br> (1) | $\lambda_{\text {obs }}$ <br> (A) <br> (2) | $\begin{gathered} W_{\lambda} \\ (\mathrm{m} \AA) \end{gathered}$ <br> (3) | $\left(\mathrm{km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ <br> (4) | $\begin{gathered} b \\ \left(\mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \\ (5) \end{gathered}$ | $\log N$ <br> (dex) (6) | Method <br> (7) | $\begin{gathered} {[-v,+v]} \\ \left(\mathrm{km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \\ (8) \end{gathered}$ | Instrument <br> (9) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $z=0.20055^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1459.473 | $112.4 \pm 12.8$ | $-1.6 \pm 3.3$ | $34.7 \pm 3.1$ | $13.42 \pm 0.05$ | AOD | $[-55,55]$ | STIS |
| H I $\lambda \lambda 1215,1025 \ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | ... |  | $+0.0 \pm 4.0$ | $38.9 \pm 6.4$ | $13.38 \pm 0.05$ | FIT |  | STIS |
| C III 2977 ......................................... | 1172.961 | $<34.0$ | ... | ... | $<12.73$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-50, 50] | LiF 2A |
| O III 2832 ......................................... | 999.973 | <38.8 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $<13.77$ | $3 \sigma$ | $[-50,50]$ | LiF 1A |
| O iv 2787 ........................................ | 945.686 | $<34.0$ | ... | ... | $<13.75$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-50, 50] | SiC 2A |
| O vi 21031 ...................................... | 1238.879 | $<30.1$ | ... | ... | $<13.38$ | $3 \sigma$ | $[-50,50]$ | STIS |
| Ne vIII 2780 .................................... | 936.818 | $<42.4$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $<14.19$ | $3 \sigma$ | $[-25,75]$ | SiC 2A |
| $z=0.20701$ (See Savage et al. 2005) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $z=0.22005^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1483.178 | $345.2 \pm 18.4$ |  | ... | $\cdots$ | AOD | $[-61,61]$ | STIS |
| H i 21025 . | 1251.432 | $151.9 \pm 14.1$ | $-3.7 \pm 2.4$ | $33.2 \pm 3.3$ | $14.49 \pm 0.07$ | AOD | $[-61,61]$ | STIS |
| H i 2972. | 1186.544 | $49.2 \pm 16.2$ | $+7.4 \pm 13.2$ | 21.6: | $14.38_{-0.23}^{+0.15}$ | AOD | $[-61,61]$ | STIS |
| $\mathrm{H}_{\text {I }} \lambda \lambda 1215,1025,972$ | ... | $\ldots$ | $+0.0 \pm 1.0$ | $27.7 \pm 1.1$ | $14.40 \pm 0.04$ | FIT |  | STIS |
| C III $\lambda 977$.................... | 1192.013 | $<52.3$ | ... | ... | $<12.91$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-50, 50] | STIS |
| O III $\lambda 832$ | 1016.215 | $<17.8$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $<13.43$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-50, 50] | LiF 1A |
|  | 961.047 | $<35.5$ | ... | $\ldots$ | $<13.77$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-50, 50] | SiC 2A |
| O vi $\lambda 1031$...................................... | 1259.001 | $<22.8$ | ... | $\ldots$ | $<13.26$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-50, 50] | STIS |
| Ne vIII 2780 .................................... | 952.034 | $<35.7$ |  |  | $<14.12$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-50, 50] | SiC 2A |
| $z=0.22099!^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| H у $\lambda 1215$........................................ | 1484.321 | $29.1 \pm 9.6$ | $-2.8 \pm 5.3$ | $21.1 \pm 4.8$ | $12.80 \pm 0.12$ | AOD | [-30, 30] | STIS |
| H І $\lambda 1215$........................................ | ... | ... | $+0.0 \pm 8.0$ | $34.1 \pm 18.1$ | $12.99 \pm 0.12$ | FIT |  | STIS |
| C III 2977 | 1192.932 | $<37.0$ | ... | ... | $<12.76$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-30, 30] | STIS |
| O III $\lambda 832$ | 1016.998 | $<12.8$ | ... | ... | $<13.29$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-30, 30] | LiF 1A |
| O iv $\lambda 787$. | 961.787 | $<27.0$ | ... | ... | $<13.65$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-30, 30] | SiC 2A |
| O vi $\lambda 1031$. | 1259.971 | <26.4 | $\ldots$ | ... | $<13.33$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-30, 30] | STIS |
| Ne vIII 2770 ..................................... | 940.662 | <29.5 |  | . . . | $<13.74$ | $3 \sigma$ | $[-30,30]$ | SiC 2A |
| $z=0.23009!^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| H I $\lambda 1215 \ldots$ | 1495.408 | $205.5 \pm 21.4$ | $+4.1 \pm 4.7$ | $59.8 \pm 4.5$ | $13.69 \pm 0.05$ | AOD | [-93, 93] | STIS |
| H I $\lambda \lambda 1215,1025$. | ... | ... | $+0.0 \pm 5.0$ | $67.9 \pm 7.5$ | $13.69 \pm 0.04$ | FIT |  | STIS |
| C III 2977 ........................................ | 1201.842 | <43.8 | ... | ... | $<12.84$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-50, 50] | STIS |
| O III 2832 ........................................ | 1024.575 | $<25.1$ | ... | ... | $<13.58$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-50, 50] | LiF 1A |
| O iv 2787 ....................................... | 968.9712 | <39.4 | ... | ... | $<13.81$ | $3 \sigma$ | $[-50,50]$ | SiC 2A |
| O vi $\lambda 1031$ | $1269.383$ | $<26.4$ | . | . | $<13.33$ | $3 \sigma$ | $[-50,50]$ | STIS |
| Ne vIII 2770 .................................... | 947.688 | <34.8 | ... | ... | $<13.81$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-50, 50] | SiC 2A |
| $z=0.23964$ ! |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| H I $\lambda 1215$........................................ | 1507.018 | $63.8 \pm 13.1$ | $-1.7 \pm 4.9$ | $28.9 \pm 5.3$ | $13.16 \pm 0.08$ | AOD | $[-50,50]$ | STIS |
|  | ... | ... | $+0.0 \pm 4.7$ | $28.8 \pm 8.8$ | $13.13 \pm 0.08$ | FIT |  | STIS |
| C III 2977 ........................................ | 1211.173 | $<47.7$ | ... | ... | $<12.87$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-50, 50] | STIS |
| O vi 21031 ...................................... | 1279.237 | $<27.4$ | ... | ... | $<13.34$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-50, 50] | STIS |
| Ne viII 2770 .................................... | 955.0452 | $<27.4$ | ... | ... | $<13.70$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-50, 50] | SiC 2A |
| $z=0.24514^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| H I $\lambda 1215$........................................ | 1513.704 | $346.4 \pm 20.1$ | $-0.1 \pm 7.3$ | $35.3 \pm 7.1$ | ... | AOD | [-80, 60] | STIS |
|  | 1277.188 | $112.8 \pm 11.2$ | $-5.2 \pm 3.5$ | $41.5 \pm 3.4$ | $14.27 \pm 0.04$ | AOD | [-80, 60] | STIS |
| H i $\lambda \lambda 1215,1025,972 \ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | ... | ... | $+0.0 \pm 1.3$ | $34.5 \pm 1.6$ | $14.20 \pm 0.03$ | FIT |  | STIS |
|  | 980.826 | $<33.9$ | ... | ... | $<13.75$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-50, 50] | SiC 2A |
| O vi $\lambda 1031$...................................... | 1284.913 | $<30.6$ | ... | ... | $<13.39$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-50, 50] | STIS |
| Ne viII $\lambda 770$.................................... | 959.283 | $<27.8$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | $<13.71$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-50, 50] | SiC 2A |

TABLE 3 - Continued

| Species <br> (1) | $\lambda_{\mathrm{obs}}$ <br> (A) <br> (2) | $\begin{gathered} W_{\lambda} \\ (\mathrm{m} \AA) \end{gathered}$ <br> (3) | $\left(\mathrm{km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ <br> (4) | $\begin{gathered} b \\ \left(\mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \\ (5) \end{gathered}$ | $\log N$ <br> (dex) <br> (6) | Method <br> (7) | $\begin{aligned} & {[-v,+v]} \\ & \left(\mathrm{km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \end{aligned}$ (8) | Instrument <br> (9) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $z=0.25099^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| H I $\lambda 1215$... | 1520.791 | $60.7 \pm 11.1$ | $-1.5 \pm 4.0$ | $24.7 \pm 3.8$ | $13.12 \pm 0.08$ | AOD | [-40, 40] | STIS |
| H \% $\lambda \lambda 1215,1025$. |  |  | $+0.0 \pm 6.2$ | $37.9 \pm 11.5$ | $13.17 \pm 0.08$ | FIT |  | STIS |
| C III 2977 .. | 1222.242 | <32.1 | ... | ... | $<12.70$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-40, 40] | STIS |
| O III 2832 .................................... | 1041.986 | <24.1 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | <13.57 | $3 \sigma$ | [-40, 40] | LiF 1A |
| O iv 2787 .................................... | 985.419 | <31.8 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | <13.72 | $3 \sigma$ | [-40, 40] | LiF 1A |
| O vi 21031 ................................... | 1290.929 | <20.8 | $\ldots$ |  | <13.22 | $3 \sigma$ | [-40, 40] | STIS |
| Ne vili 2780 .................................... | 976.178 | <29.4 | $\ldots$ |  | $<13.73$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-40, 40] | LiF 1A |
| $z \simeq 0.27155^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| H I $\lambda 1215$.................................... | 1545.664 | $\ldots$ | $-25.3 \pm 3.6$ | $25.7 \pm 4.2$ | $13.85 \pm 0.07$ | FIT | $\ldots$ | STIS |
| H I $\lambda 1215$. | 1545.893 | $\ldots$ | $+26.3 \pm 9.8$ | 26.2: | $13.33 \pm 0.28$ | FIT | $\ldots$ | STIS |
| H \% $\lambda 1215$.................................... | 1546.070 | $\ldots$ | $+60.1 \pm 4.9$ | 11.2: | $12.88 \pm 0.38$ | FIT | $\ldots$ | STIS |
| C III 2977 . | 1242.330 | <22.7 | ... | ... | <12.52 | $3 \sigma$ | [-30, 30] | STIS |
| O III 2832 . | 1059.111 | <16.6 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | <13.40 | $3 \sigma$ | [-30, 30] | LiF 1A |
| O iv 2787 . | 1001.614 | <27.4 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | <13.65 | $3 \sigma$ | [-30, 30] | LiF 1A |
| O vi $\lambda 1031 . . .$. | 1312.146 | <20.8 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | <13.22 | $3 \sigma$ | [-30, 30] | STIS |
| Ne vili 2770 ..................................... | 979.614 | $<29.4$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $<13.73$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-30, 30] | LiF 1A |
| $z=0.27956$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| H I $\lambda 1215$... | 1555.523 | $72.2 \pm 15.2$ | $-9.9 \pm 4.4$ | $25.9 \pm 3.6$ | $13.23 \pm 0.11$ | AOD | [-36, 36] | STIS |
| H I $\lambda \lambda 1215,1025 .$. |  |  | $+0.0 \pm 9.9$ | $36.3 \pm 24.6$ | $13.22 \pm 0.14$ | FIT |  | STIS |
| C III 2977 ........ | 1250.156 | <32.5 | ... |  | $<12.71$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-36, 36] | STIS |
| O III 2832 . | 1065.758 | <14.4 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | <13.43 | $3 \sigma$ | [-36, 36] | LiF 1A |
| O iv 2787 ...................................... | 1007.900 | <24.4 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | <13.60 | $3 \sigma$ | [-36, 36] | LiF 1A |
| O vi $\lambda 1031$...................................... | 1320.411 | $<21.9$ | $\ldots$ |  | $<13.24$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-36, 36] | STIS |
| Ne vili 2770 .................................... | 985.785 | <28.9 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $<13.73$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-36, 36] | SiC 2A |
| $z=0.28041^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| H I $\lambda 1215$.................................... | 1556.556 | $48.2 \pm 14.1$ | $+0.8 \pm 4.5$ | $16.8 \pm 6.2$ | $13.07 \pm 0.12$ | AOD | [-35, 35] | STIS |
| H I $\lambda \lambda 1215,1025$.......................... |  |  | $+0.0 \pm 3.1$ | $13.9 \pm 6.7$ | $13.03 \pm 0.11$ | FIT |  | STIS |
| C III 2977 ....................................... | 1250.986 | $<21.6$ | ... | ... | $<12.53$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-35, 35] | STIS |
| O III 2832 ..................................... | 1066.491 | <18.5 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | <13.49 | $3 \sigma$ | [-35, 35] | LiF 1A |
| O vi $\lambda 1031$..................................... | 1321.289 | $<20.3$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $<13.21$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-35, 35] | STIS |
| Ne viII 2770 ................................... | 986.440 | <33.4 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | <13.79 | $3 \sigma$ | [-35, 35] | SiC 2A |
| $z=0.29134^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| H I 21215 ...................................... | 1569.843 | $121.6 \pm 19.1$ | $+1.9 \pm 3.9$ | $23.5 \pm 3.6$ | $13.54 \pm 0.09$ | AOD | [-40, 40] | STIS |
| H \% $\lambda 1215,972$. | ... | $\ldots$ | $+0.0 \pm 3.6$ | $27.0 \pm 6.2$ | $13.53 \pm 0.07$ | FIT | . | STIS |
| C III 2977 ...................................... | 1261.665 | <31.4 | ... | ... | $<12.69$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-40, 40] | STIS |
| O III 2832 . | 1075.595 | <25.4 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | <13.59 | $3 \sigma$ | [-40, 40] | LiF 1A |
| O iv 2787. | 1017.203 | <15.0 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $<13.39$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-40, 40] | LiF 1A |
| O vi $\lambda 1031$. | 1332.567 | <22.8 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | <13.26 | $3 \sigma$ | [-40, 40] | STIS |
| Ne viII 2770 ..................................... | 994.860 | <39.8 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $<13.87$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-40, 40] | SiC 2A |
| $z=0.29213$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| H I $\lambda 1215$. | 1570.804 | $75.8 \pm 23.1$ | $+4.2 \pm 9.7$ | $43.8 \pm 9.9$ | $13.30 \pm 0.12$ | AOD | [-66, 66] | STIS |
|  | ... | ... | $+0.0 \pm 9.0$ | $33.4 \pm 17.8$ | $13.19 \pm 0.12$ | FIT | . ${ }^{6}$ | STIS |
| C ili 2977 .................................... | 1262.437 | $<30.6$ | ... | ... | $<12.68$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-50, 50] | STIS |
| O III 2832 . | 1076.253 | <30.7 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $<13.67$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-50, 50] | LiF 1A |
| O iv 2787 .................................... | 1017.825 | $<16.0$ | $\ldots$ | ... | $<13.42$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-50, 50] | LiF 1A |
| O vi $\lambda 1031$.................................... | 1333.383 | <29.0 | ... | $\ldots$ | $<13.37$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-50, 50] | STIS |
| Ne vili 2770 .................................... | 995.4686 | <42.8 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $<13.90$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-50, 50] | SiC 2A |

TABLE 3-Continued

| Species <br> (1) | $\lambda_{\text {obs }}$ <br> (A) <br> (2) | $\begin{gathered} W_{\lambda} \\ (\mathrm{m} \AA) \end{gathered}$ <br> (3) | $\left(\mathrm{km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ <br> (4) | $\begin{gathered} b \\ \left(\mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \\ (5) \end{gathered}$ | $\log N$ <br> (dex) <br> (6) | Method <br> (7) | $\begin{aligned} & {[-v,+v]} \\ & \left(\mathrm{km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \end{aligned}$ <br> (8) | Instrument <br> (9) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $z=0.30930$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| H I $\lambda 1215$ | 1591.677 | $383.4 \pm 28.1$ | $-2.0 \pm 8.2$ | $49.1 \pm 4.8$ |  | AOD | [-90, 90] | STIS |
| H ${ }_{\text {I }} \lambda 1025$ | 1342.978 | $124.8 \pm 12.3$ | $-3.2 \pm 3.4$ | $43.6 \pm 3.1$ | $14.32 \pm 0.04$ | AOD | [-70, 70] | STIS |
| H i 2972 . | 1273.343 | $34.2 \pm 11.2$ | $-0.8 \pm 11.1$ | $33.7 \pm 15.6$ | $14.23 \pm 0.10$ | AOD | [-70, 70] | LiF 2A |
| H х $\lambda \lambda 1215,1025,972,949 \ldots . . . . . . . . . . . .$. | .. |  | $+0.0 \pm 1.9$ | $43.8 \pm 2.3$ | $14.26 \pm 0.03$ | FIT |  | STIS, LiF 2A |
| C III 2977 ............................................ | 1279.212 | $<23.9$ | ... | ... | $<12.57$ | $3 \sigma$ | $[-50,50]$ | STIS |
| O III 2832 | 1090.554 | $<24.0$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $<13.56$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-50, 50] | LiF 2A |
| O iv $\lambda 787$. | 1031.350 | <24.0 | $\ldots$ |  | $<13.60$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-50, 50] | LiF 1A |
| O vi $\lambda 1031$. | 1351.101 | <24.8 | $\ldots$ |  | $<13.30$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-50, 50] | STIS |
| Ne viII 2770 ........................................ | 1008.697 | <25.6 | $\ldots$ |  | <13.68 | $3 \sigma$ | [-50, 50] | LiF 1A |
| $z=0.34034^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| H $~ \lambda 1215$ | 1629.411 | $135.0 \pm 21.7$ | $+0.3 \pm 3.3$ | $25.9 \pm 3.3$ | $13.57 \pm 0.10$ | AOD | $[-35,30]$ | STIS |
| H I $\lambda 1025$ | 1374.816 | $33.7 \pm 8.2$ | $-2.2 \pm 4.7$ | $21.5 \pm 5.6$ | $13.72 \pm 0.10$ | AOD | $[-35,30]$ | STIS |
| H г $\lambda \lambda 1215,1025,972$ | ... | ... | $+0.0 \pm 3.0$ | $33.4 \pm 4.9$ | $13.68 \pm 0.06$ | FIT |  | STIS |
| С ІІ $\lambda 903$. | 1211.617 | <36.7 |  |  | $<13.19$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-30, 30] | LiF 2A |
| C III 2977 | 1309.539 | $25.9 \pm 6.5$ | $-1.5 \pm 3.6$ | $17.8 \pm 4.1$ | $12.66{ }_{-0.13}^{+0.16}$ | AOD | [-30, 30] | STIS |
| N if $\lambda 1083$ | 1452.921 | $<24.6$ | ... | ... | $<13.31$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-30, 30] | STIS |
| N III 2989 | 1326.687 | <15.8 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $<13.17$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-30, 30] | STIS |
| N v $\lambda 1238$. | 1660.441 | $<53.5$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $<13.40$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-30, 30] | STIS |
| O III $\lambda 832$. | 1116.408 | $<17.2$ |  | $\ldots$ | $<13.42$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-30, 30] | LiF 2A |
| O iv $\lambda 787$. | 1055.801 | $36.8 \pm 7.2$ | $-8.0 \pm 4.2$ | $17.8 \pm 4.4$ | $13.86 \pm 0.12$ | AOD | [-30, 30] | LiF 1A |
| O vi $\lambda 1031$. | 1383.132 | $63.1 \pm 6.7$ | $+2.1 \pm 1.7$ | $20.0 \pm 1.9$ | $13.88 \pm 0.05$ | AOD | [-30, 30] | STIS |
| O vi $\lambda 1037$. | 1390.760 | $36.6 \pm 8.5$ | $-2.2 \pm 2.9$ | $14.8 \pm 3.9$ | $13.95 \pm 0.10$ | AOD | [-30, 30] | STIS |
| O vi $\lambda \lambda 1031,1037$. | ... | ... | $+2.3 \pm 1.2$ | $14.8 \pm 1.9$ | $13.89 \pm 0.04$ | FIT |  | STIS |
| Ne viII $\lambda 770$ | 1032.610 | $<11.8$ | ... | ... | $<13.34$ | $3 \sigma$ | [ $+0,60$ ] | LiF 1A |
| Ne viII 2780 | 1045.899 | $<15.1$ | ... | ... | $<13.74$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-30, 30] | LiF 1A |
| S iv $\lambda 1062$. | 1424.373 | <20.9 | $\ldots$ | ... | $<13.63$ | $3 \sigma$ | $[-30,30]$ | STIS |
| S vi 1933. | 1251.044 | $<20.6$ |  |  | $<12.78$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-33, 33] | STIS |
| $z=0.35523{ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| H I $\lambda 1215$............................................ | 1647.513 | $138.4 \pm 15.7$ | $+1.8 \pm 2.8$ | $21.0 \pm 2.2$ | $13.61 \pm 0.08$ | AOD | $[-33,33]$ | STIS |
| H \% $\lambda 1025$. | 1390.089 | $30.3 \pm 7.3$ | $+0.1 \pm 4.7$ | $26.1 \pm 4.0$ | $13.69 \pm 0.09$ | AOD | $[-33,33]$ | STIS |
|  | ... | ... | $+0.0 \pm 3.5$ | $27.1 \pm 6.8$ | $13.60 \pm 0.07$ | FIT |  | STIS |
| C II 2903 ............................................ | 1225.076 | <26.2 | ... | ... | $<13.03$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-33, 33] | LiF 2A |
| C III 2977 | 1324.087 | <21.0 | ... | ... | $<12.52$ | $3 \sigma$ | $[-20,46]$ | STIS |
| N if $\lambda 1083$ | 1469.061 | <21.5 | $\ldots$ | ... | $<13.25$ | $3 \sigma$ | $[-33,33]$ | STIS |
| N III 2989 ............................................. | 1341.405 | $<22.2$ | ... | ... | $<13.32$ | $3 \sigma$ | $[-33,33]$ | STIS |
|  | 1678.888 | $<52.5$ | ... | ... | $<13.39$ | $3 \sigma$ | $[-33,33]$ | STIS |
| O I $\lambda 1039$. | 1408.396 | $<18.4$ | $\ldots$ | ... | $<14.32$ | $3 \sigma$ | $[-33,33]$ | STIS |
| O ІІ 2834 ............................................. | 1130.893 | $<18.4$ | ... | ... | $<13.35$ | $3 \sigma$ | $[-33,33]$ | LiF 2A |
| O III 2832 | 1128.810 | $<16.0$ |  |  | $<13.43$ | $3 \sigma$ | $[-33,33]$ | LiF 1A |
| O iv $\lambda 787$............................................ | 1067.530 | $26.1 \pm 5.1$ | $-0.6 \pm 4.2$ | $22.8 \pm 5.2$ | $13.64 \pm 0.07$ | AOD | $[-33,33]$ | LiF 1A |
| O vi $\lambda 1031$. | 1398.497 | $48.2 \pm 7.2$ | $+6.2 \pm 2.8$ | $23.0 \pm 2.3$ | $13.66 \pm 0.06$ | AOD | $[-33,33]$ | STIS |
| O vi $\lambda 1037$. | 1406.210 | $18.1 \pm 6.0$ | $+11.9 \pm 4.5$ | $18.2 \pm 5.0$ | $13.53_{-0.17}^{+0.12}$ | AOD | $[-33,33]$ | STIS |
|  | . | $\cdots$ | $+9.2 \pm 2.5$ | $25.9 \pm 5.0$ | $13.68 \pm 0.05$ | FIT | ... | STIS |
| Ne viII 2770 ........................................ | 1044.081 | $<19.2$ | $\cdots$ | ... | $<13.55$ | $3 \sigma$ | $[-33,33]$ | LiF 1A |
| Si II $\lambda 1190$........................................... | 1613.288 | <41.9 | ... | $\ldots$ | $<13.06$ | $3 \sigma$ | $[-33,33]$ | STIS |
|  | 1635.085 | $<94.6$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $<12.65$ | $3 \sigma$ | $[-33,33]$ | STIS |
| S iv 2748............................................ | 1014.254 | $<16.8$ | ... | $\ldots$ | $<12.83$ | $3 \sigma$ | $[-33,33]$ | STIS |
|  | 1264.942 | <21.2 | ... | ... | $<12.80$ | $3 \sigma$ | $[-33,33]$ | STIS |
| $z=0.37281$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| H i $\lambda 1215$............................................ | 1668.908 | $73.0 \pm 18.7$ | $-1.0 \pm 5.5$ | $23.0 \pm 5.7$ | $13.27 \pm 0.13$ | AOD | [-41, 41] | STIS |
|  | . . | ... | $+0.0 \pm 6.0$ | $25.9 \pm 13.3$ | $13.16 \pm 0.12$ | FIT |  | STIS |
| C III 2977 | 1341.283 | $<24.6$ | ... | ... | <12.59 | $3 \sigma$ | [-41, 41] | STIS |
| O III $\lambda 832$............................................ | 1143.470 | $<16.5$ | ... | ... | $<13.40$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-41, 41] | LiF 2A |
| O iv $\lambda 787$........................................... | 1081.393 | $<19.4$ | . | $\ldots$ | $<13.50$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-41, 41] | LiF 1A |
| O vi $\lambda 1031$.......................................... | 1416.659 | $<27.8$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | $<13.35$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-41, 41] | STIS |
| Ne viII $\lambda 770$........................................ | 1057.641 | $<18.6$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $<13.54$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-41, 41] | LiF 1A |

TABLE 3 - Continued

| Species <br> (1) | $\lambda_{\text {obs }}$ <br> (Å) <br> (2) | $\begin{gathered} W_{\lambda} \\ (\mathrm{m} \AA) \\ (3) \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{v}{\left(\mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)}$ <br> (4) | $\begin{gathered} b \\ \left(\mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \\ (5) \end{gathered}$ | $\log N$ (dex) (6) | Method <br> (7) | $\begin{gathered} {[-v,+v]} \\ \left(\mathrm{km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \\ (8) \end{gathered}$ | Instrument <br> (9) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $z=0.38420^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| H I $\lambda 1215$............................................. | 1682.779 | $342.3 \pm 33.7$ | $+2.2 \pm 5.8$ | $40.5 \pm 6.3$ | $13.95 \pm 0.10$ | AOD | [-60, 60] | STIS |
| H I $\lambda 1025$ | 1419.846 | $33.6 \pm 10.1$ | $+10.0 \pm 6.9$ | $28.3 \pm 6.7$ | $13.71 \pm 0.13$ | AOD | [-45, 45] | STIS |
| H у $\lambda \lambda 1215,1025,972,949 \ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | ... | ... | $+0.0 \pm 4.7$ | $62.0 \pm 7.1$ | $13.91 \pm 0.04$ | FIT |  | STIS |
| C III 2977 ................................................ | 1352.430 | <24.2 | ... | ... | $<12.58$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-45, 45] | STIS |
| O III $\lambda 832$ | 1152.974 | <22.0 | $\ldots$ |  | <13.52 | $3 \sigma$ | $[-10,85]$ | LiF 2A |
| O iv $\lambda 787$ | 1090.381 | <21.4 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $<13.55$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-45, 45] | LiF 2A |
| O vi $\lambda 1031$. | 1428.433 | $<27.0$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $<13.34$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-45, 45] | STIS |
| Ne vIII 2770 ........................................... | 1066.431 | $<21.0$ | $\ldots$ |  | <13.59 | $3 \sigma$ | [-45, 45] | LiF 1A |
| $z=0.38636^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| H I $\lambda 1215$ | 1685.356 | $87.2 \pm 17.1$ | $-3.6 \pm 4.6$ | $26.5 \pm 4.2$ | $13.34 \pm 0.10$ | AOD | [-41, 41] | STIS |
|  | ... | $\ldots$ | $+0.0 \pm 6.7$ | $38.1 \pm 12.7$ | $13.36 \pm 0.09$ | FIT |  | STIS |
| C III 2977 | 1354.502 | $<23.8$ | ... | ... | $<12.57$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-41, 41] | STIS |
| O III 2832 | 1154.740 | $<21.6$ | ... | $\ldots$ | $<13.52$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-41, 41] | LiF 2A |
| O iv $\lambda 787$ | 1092.051 | $<18.9$ | ... |  | <13.49 | $3 \sigma$ | [-41, 41] | LiF 2A |
| O vi $\lambda 1031$. | 1430.621 | $<29.3$ | $\ldots$ |  | $<13.37$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-41, 41] | STIS |
| Ne viII 2770 | 1068.064 | $<17.5$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $<13.51$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-41, 41] | LiF 1A |
| $z=0.39641$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| H $~ \lambda 1215$ | 1697.574 | $179.1 \pm 40.1$ | $+0.2 \pm 10.3$ | $57.2 \pm 9.3$ | $13.69 \pm 0.10$ | AOD | [-90, 90] | STIS |
| $\mathrm{H}_{\text {I }} \lambda \lambda 1215,1025$. | ... | ... | $+0.0 \pm 11.8$ | $62.8 \pm 22.7$ | $13.59 \pm 0.10$ | FIT | ... | STIS |
| C III 2977 | 1364.321 | $<36.3$ | ... | ... | $<12.75$ | $3 \sigma$ | $[-50,50]$ | STIS |
| O III 2832 | 1163.110 | $<22.0$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $<13.53$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-50, 50] | LiF 2A |
| O iv $\lambda 787$ | 1099.968 | $<25.9$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | <13.63 | $3 \sigma$ | [-50, 50] | LiF 2A |
| O vi $\lambda 1031$. | 1440.992 | $<33.7$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $<13.43$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-50, 50] | STIS |
| Ne viII 2770 | 1075.807 | $<26.2$ |  |  | <13.69 | $3 \sigma$ | $[-50,50]$ | LiF 1A |
| $z=0.39890{ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| H I $\lambda 1215$ | 1700.455 | $153.0 \pm 45.0$ | $-18.3 \pm 17.5$ | $84.9 \pm 27.6$ | $13.58 \pm 0.11$ | AOD | $[-125,125]$ | STIS |
| $\mathrm{H}_{\text {I }} \lambda \lambda 1215,1025$. | ... | ... | $+0.0 \pm 46.6$ | 151.7: | $13.50 \pm 0.16$ | FIT |  | STIS |
| C III 2977 | 1366.636 | $<28.4$ | ... | $\ldots$ | $<12.65$ | $3 \sigma$ | $[-50,50]$ | STIS |
| O III 2832 | 1165.085 | $<28.0$ | ... | $\ldots$ | $<13.63$ | $3 \sigma$ | $[-50,50]$ | LiF 2A |
| O iv $\lambda 787$ | 1101.834 | $<20.3$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $<13.52$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-50, 50] | LiF 2A |
| O vi $\lambda 1031$ | 1443.438 | $<35.9$ | ... | $\ldots$ | $<13.46$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-50, 50] | STIS |
| Ne viII $\lambda 770$ | 1077.633 | $<22.3$ |  |  | $<13.62$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-50, 50] | LiF 2A |
| $z=0.40034^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| H ¢ $\lambda 1215$. | 1702.351 | $138.7 \pm 32.5$ | $-1.0 \pm 10.1$ | $59.9 \pm 8.4$ | $13.53 \pm 0.11$ | AOD | [-86, 86] | STIS |
| $\mathrm{H}_{\text {I }} \lambda \lambda 1215,1025$. | ... | ... | $+0.0 \pm 12.4$ | $60.7 \pm 26.1$ | $13.39 \pm 0.11$ | FIT | ... | STIS |
| C III 2977 | 1368.160 | $<30.1$ | ... | ... | $<12.67$ | $3 \sigma$ | $[-50,50]$ | STIS |
| O III 2832 | 1166.384 | $<22.7$ | $\ldots$ | ... | $<13.54$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-50, 50] | LiF 2A |
| O iv $\lambda 787$. | 1103.063 | $<20.2$ | $\ldots$ | ... | <13.52 | $3 \sigma$ | $[-50,50]$ | LiF 2A |
| O vi 21031 ............................................. | 1445.047 | $<35.1$ | ... | $\ldots$ | $<13.45$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-50, 50] | STIS |
| Ne viII $\lambda 770$ | 1078.835 | $<25.8$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | <13.68 | $3 \sigma$ | [-50, 50] | LiF 2A |
| $z=0.40274^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| H у $\lambda 1215$................................................ | 1705.245 | $384.7 \pm 35.2$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | AOD | [-82, 82] | STIS |
| $\mathrm{H}_{\text {I }} \lambda 1025$. | 1438.801 | $93.1 \pm 14.6$ | $+2.3 \pm 5.9$ | $44.1 \pm 6.7$ | $14.19 \pm 0.06$ | AOD | [-82, 82] | STIS |
| H г $\lambda \lambda 1215,1025,972,949 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | . | .. | $+0.0 \pm 5.4$ | $45.7 \pm 4.2$ | $14.13 \pm 0.04$ | FIT | ... | STIS |
| C III 2977 | 1370.486 | $<29.3$ | ... | ... | $<12.66$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-50, 50] | STIS |
| O III 2832 ............................................... | 1168.366 | $<33.7$ | ... | ... | $<13.71$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-50, 50] | LiF 2A |
| O iv 2787 ............................................... | 1104.938 | $<22.2$ | ... | $\ldots$ | $<13.56$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-50, 50] | LiF 2A |
| O vi $\lambda 1031$............................................ | 1447.503 | $<25.1$ | ... | ... | $<13.30$ | $3 \sigma$ | $[-50,50]$ | STIS |
| Ne vIII 2770 ........................................... | 1080.668 | $<26.3$ | ... | $\ldots$ | <13.69 | $3 \sigma$ | [-50, 50] | LiF 1A |

TABLE 3 - Continued

| Species <br> (1) | $\lambda_{\text {obs }}$ <br> (Å) <br> (2) | $\begin{gathered} W_{\lambda} \\ (\mathrm{m} \AA) \\ (3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} v \\ \left(\mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ <br> (4) | $\begin{gathered} b \\ \left(\mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \\ (5) \end{gathered}$ | $\log N$ (dex) (6) | Method <br> (7) | $\begin{gathered} {[-v,+v]} \\ \left(\mathrm{km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \\ (8) \end{gathered}$ | Instrument <br> (9) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $z=0.42660!^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| H I $\lambda 1025$. | 1463.295 | <26.0 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $<13.55$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-50, 50] | STIS |
| O III $\lambda 832$ | 1188.257 | <40.2 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $<13.79$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-50, 50] | STIS |
| O iv $\lambda 787$. | 1123.749 | <21.1 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $<13.54$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-50, 50] | LiF 2A |
| O vi $\lambda 1031$ | 1472.146 | $103.4 \pm 11.2$ : | $-0.6 \pm 3.3$ : | $37.0 \pm 3.3$ : | $14.01 \pm 0.05$ : | AOD | [-50, 50] | STIS |
| O vi $\lambda 1037$. | 1480.264 | $59.1 \pm 9.2$ | $-7.7 \pm 4.1$ | $33.8 \pm 5.0$ | $14.05 \pm 0.07$ | AOD | [-50, 50] | STIS |
| O vi $\lambda \lambda 1031,1037 .$. |  | ... | $+0.0 \pm 3.2$ : | $40.4 \pm 5.0$ : | $14.03 \pm 0.04:$ | FIT |  | STIS |
| Ne viII $\lambda 770$........... | 1099.065 | $<19.9$ |  |  | $<13.57$ | $3 \sigma$ | [-50, 50] | LiF 2A |

Notes.-Exclamation points indicate possible misidentification; see $\S 3.3$ for more details. Table 3 is also available in machine-readable form in the electronic edition of the Astrophysical Journal Supplement.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Additional information on the marked systems follows.
$z=0.01746$.- O vi $\lambda 1031$ is contaminated by $\mathrm{H}_{2} \operatorname{LR}(1) 4-0$ at $1049.960 \AA$. The equivalent width of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{LP}(3) 5-0$ is estimated to be $22.4 \mathrm{~m} \AA$ using our $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ model from observed $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ absorption lines and was removed from the absorption line. O vi $\lambda 1037$ is blended with O iv $\lambda 787$ ( $z=0.34034$ ); O vi $\lambda 1037$ was not used for the profile fitting.
$z=0.02679$.- $\mathrm{H}_{\text {I }} \lambda 1025$ is contaminated by $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{LP}(2) 4-0$ at $10453.284 \AA$ and was not used for the profile fitting.
$z=0.06083$.-H I $\lambda 972$ is blended with ISM O vI $\lambda 1031$, while $\mathrm{C}_{\text {III }} \lambda 977$ is blended with ISM C II $\lambda 1036$.
$z=0.08375$. Н І $\lambda 1215$ is partially blended with ISM Ni II $\lambda 1317$, producing uncertain measurements. $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}} \lambda 1025$ is blended with IGM $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}} \lambda 920(z=0.20701)$ on the blue side and with $\mathrm{S}_{\text {Iv }} \lambda 744$ from the associated system at $z=0.49253$. The red side of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}} \lambda 972$ is blended with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{LR}(3) 4-0 \lambda 1053.976$.
$z=0.08901$.-H І $\lambda 1025$ is blended with $\mathrm{S}_{\text {Iv }} \lambda 748$ from the associated system at $z=0.49253$, while $\mathrm{C}_{\text {III }} \lambda 977$ is blended with ISM Fe II $\lambda 1063$.
$z=0.08950$.-H I $\lambda 1215$ is blended with IGM H I $\lambda 1025$ ( 0.29133 ). We first estimated the strength of H I $\lambda 1025$ at $z=0.29134$ by using our fit on H I $\lambda 1215$ at $z=0.29134$, and then removed H I $\lambda 1025$ from the profile. This process can introduce other errors not accounted for in our measurements, and that is why we add a colon to acknowledge the result is uncertain.
$z=0.09059$.-O vi $\lambda 1031$ is blended with ISM Fe II $\lambda 1125$ and O vI $\lambda 1037$ with IGM H I $\lambda 930(z=0.20701)$.
$z=0.10668$.- $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}} \lambda 1025$ is contaminated by a $\mathrm{N}_{\text {I }}$ airglow emission line. O vi $\lambda 1031$ is blended with $\mathrm{N}_{\text {iv }} \lambda 787$ from the associated system at $z=0.49253$.
$z=0.11514$.- - $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}} \lambda 1215$ is marginally contaminated by ISM O I $\lambda 1355$ (by measuring the column density and equivalent widths of other $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}$ lines, we find that $\left.W\left(\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}} \lambda 1355\right) \simeq(0.05 \pm 0.02) W_{\mathrm{tot}}\right)$.
$z=0.11733$.-H H $\lambda 1025$ is partially blended with IGM H I $\lambda 949(z=0.20701)$. О ${ }_{\text {III }} \lambda 832$ is lost in ISM O I $\lambda 929$. O vi $\lambda 1031$ is partially $\left(v<-15 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ blended with ISM P II $\lambda 1152$.
$z=0.13832$.- $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}} \lambda 1025$ is detected at $1.6 \sigma$. $\mathrm{C}_{\text {III }} \lambda 977$ is blended with ISM Fe ${ }_{\text {II }} \lambda 1112$.
$z=0.15175$.-C III $\lambda 977$ is partially $\left(v>+20 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ blended with ISM Fe II $\lambda 1125$.
$z=0.16237$. - O vI $\lambda 1031$ is blended with ISM $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{I}} \lambda 1199$.
$z=0.16339 .-\mathrm{H}_{\text {I }} \lambda 1025$ is blended with ISM Si II $\lambda 1193 . \mathrm{O}_{\text {III }} \lambda 832$ is blended with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{WQ}(3) 2-0 \lambda 969.047$.
$z=0.16971$.-H $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}} \lambda 1025$ is not detected but lies between ISM N I $\lambda 1199$ and $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{I}} \lambda 1200$. $\mathrm{O}_{\text {Iv }} \lambda 787$ is blended with ISM H I $\lambda 921$ and $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{vI}} \lambda 1031$ is blended with ISM Si III $\lambda 1206$.
$z=0.18619$.- $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}} \lambda$ is blended with ISM H I $\lambda 1215$. Ne vIII $\lambda 780$ is partially blended with $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}} \lambda 925$.
$z=0.18811$. -We use the night only data to remove the airglow contamination to be able to estimate the limit on $\mathrm{O}_{\text {III }} \lambda 832$ and $\mathrm{O}_{\text {Iv }} \lambda 787$.
$z=0.18891$. -We use the night only data to remove the airglow contamination to be able to estimate the limit on $\mathrm{O}_{\text {III }} \lambda 832$ and $\mathrm{O}_{\text {iv }} \lambda 787$ (on the red side of O iv $\lambda 787$, there is $\mathrm{O}_{\text {I }} \lambda 936.6$ ).
$z=0.19374$.-H $\mathrm{H}_{\text {I }} \lambda 1215$ is blended with associated Н І $\lambda 972(z=0.49253)$. We first modeled $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}} \lambda 972$ using $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}} \lambda \lambda 1025$, 949 at $z=0.49253$, and used this model to constrain the fit. The colon in this case indicates that the errors may be larger because of unaccounted errors from the removal of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}} \lambda 972$.
$z=0.19860$.- O vI $\lambda 1031$ is blended with IGM H I $\lambda 1215(z=0.01746)$.
$z=0.20055$.-Ne vIII $\lambda 770$ is blended with ISM O I $\lambda 924$; while Ne vIII $\lambda 780$ is partially blended with ISM O I $\lambda 936$ (night data only were used because of the airglow contamination in day data).

$z=0.22005$.-Ne viII $\lambda 770$ is blended with IGM O vI $\lambda 1037(z=0.20701)$.
$z=0.22099$.-H І $\lambda 1025$ is lost in IGM O vi $\lambda 1037(z=0.20701)$.

$z=0.24514$.- $\mathrm{C}_{\text {III }} \lambda 977$ is lost in the ISM H I $\lambda 1215$. О ${ }_{\text {III }} \lambda 832$ is lost in ISM C II $\lambda 1037$ and HVC C II $\lambda 1036$. O iv $\lambda 787$ is affected by fixed-pattern noise at $v>+30 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$.
$z=0.25099$.-Night data were used to estimate $\mathrm{O}_{\text {III }} \lambda 832$ because of airglow emission line. Ne viII $\lambda 770$ is blended with ISM N I $\lambda 963.99$.
$z=0.27155$.-The H I $\lambda 1215$ consists of three absorption lines that can only be modeled by profile fitting. This system is represent in Table 4 by three $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}$ systems at $z=0.27147,0.27164,0.27175$.
$z=0.28041$.- O Iv $\lambda 787$ is blended with $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ absorptions at 1008.498 and $1008.552 \AA$.
$z=0.29134$.-H I $\lambda 1025$ is blended with IGM H I $\lambda 1215(z=0.08950)$.
$z=0.34034$.- $\mathrm{O}_{\text {Iv }} \lambda 787$ is blended with $\mathrm{O}_{\text {vi }} \lambda 1037$ at $z=0.01746$. We modeled $\mathrm{O}_{\text {vi }} \lambda 1037$ at $z=0.01750$ using $\mathrm{O}_{\text {vi }} \lambda 1031$, and removed this line. Ne viII $\lambda 770$ is blended at $v<0 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ with HVC O vi $\lambda 1031$; we therefore integrate the profile from $[0,60] \mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ to estimate a limit.
$z=0.35523$.-C $\mathrm{III} \lambda 977$ is partially blended with IGM H I $\lambda 1215(z=0.08901)$, so we integrate the line between $[-20,46] \mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1} \mathrm{instead}$ of $[-33,33] \mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$. O iv $\lambda 787$ is blended with weak $\mathrm{H}_{2} \operatorname{LR}(3) 3-0$ at $1067.479 \AA$. Using our $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ model, we estimated the equivalent width of this $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ feature to be $5.7 \mathrm{~m} \AA$, which was removed from the absorption feature to measure $\mathrm{O}_{\text {Iv }} \lambda 787$.
$z=0.38420$.-The $\mathrm{H}_{\text {I }}$ profiles appear asymmetric and may have two components. The reduced $\chi^{2}$ does not improve significantly between a one-component fit (reduced $\chi^{2}=0.89$ ) and a two-component fit (reduced $\chi^{2}=0.87$ ). The results for the two-component fit are $v_{1}=-17.8 \pm 15.9 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}, b_{1}=75.2 \pm 18.5 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, $\log N_{1}=13.74 \pm 0.13 ; v_{2}=+18.0 \pm 6.0 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}, b_{2}=25.7 \pm 19.3 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}, \log N_{2}=13.48 \pm 0.22$. The fit is shown in Fig. $5 . \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}} \lambda 1025$ is affected by an emission peak for $v>+50 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. O III $\lambda 832$ is not detected and the $3 \sigma$ limit can be determined in the range $[-10,85] \mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ because ISM $\mathrm{P}_{\text {II }} \lambda 1152$ lies next to it.
$z=0.38636$.-H I $\lambda 1025$ is lost in IGM H I $\lambda 1215(z=0.16971)$ (see text for more details).
$z=0.39890$.-An emission feature is present near $\mathrm{C}_{\text {III }} \lambda 977$ at $-46 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$.
$z=0.40034$.-At $-55 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ from O ${ }_{\text {III }} \lambda 832$, there is $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}} \lambda 972(z=0.19860)$. At $-23 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ from O vi $\lambda 1031$, there is $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}} \lambda 1215(z=0.18811)$.
$z=0.40274$.-We use the night only data to remove the airglow contamination to be able to estimate the limit on $\mathrm{O}_{\text {III }} \lambda 832$.
$z=0.42660$.- C ${ }_{\text {III }} \lambda 977$ is lost in Galactic Si iv $\lambda 1393$. Because the O vi $\lambda 1031$ absorption line is blended with an emission feature, the error estimates are uncertain.


FIg. 4.-H i intervening absorbers detected only in Ly $\alpha$. The continuum normalized fluxes are plotted against the rest-frame velocity. The redshifts of each absorber are indicated. Profile fits with one component to each intervening Ly $\alpha$ are overplotted as solid lines (see text for details). Other lines present are identified. Note that the systems at $z=0.08938$ and $z=0.08950$ are shown in the panel for $z=0.08901$. Note that the fit for the system at $z=0.08950$ was realized by first removing the blend $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}} \lambda 1025$ at $z=0.29134$.



FIg. 5.-H i intervening absorbers detected in Ly $\alpha$ and $\beta$. The continuum normalized fluxes are plotted against the rest-frame velocity. The redshifts of each absorber are indicated. Profile fits with one component to each intervening absorber are overplotted as solid lines. For the system at $z=0.38420$ a two-component fit is shown in the dashed line (see note in Table 3 for more details). Other lines present are identified.


FIg. 6.-H I intervening absorbers detected in $\operatorname{Ly} \alpha, \beta$, and $\gamma$. The continuum normalized fluxes are plotted against the rest-frame velocity. The redshifts of each absorber are indicated. Profile fits with one component to each intervening absorber are overplotted as solid lines. Other lines present are identified.


FIG. 7.-H I intervening absorber at $z=0.06083$ detected in $\operatorname{Ly} \alpha, \beta$, $\gamma$, and possibly $\delta$. The continuum normalized fluxes are plotted against the rest-frame velocity.
et al. 2005 , and see also $\S 5.3$ for Ne ). With these assumptions, we varied the metallicity and the ionization parameter ( $U=$ $n_{\gamma} / n_{\mathrm{H}}=\mathrm{H}$ ionizing photon density/total hydrogen number density [neutral + ionized]) to search for models that are consistent with the constraints set by the column densities of the various species and the temperature given by the broadening of an absorption line:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=A(b / 0.129)^{2} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(where $A$ is atomic weight of a given chemical element). The temperature is only an upper limit because mechanisms other than thermal Doppler broadening could play a role in the broadening of the line.

The system at $z=0.01746$.-The absorber system at $z=$ 0.01748 (see Fig. 8) has the lowest redshift of all absorbers in our HE 0226-4110 data. It is detected in $\operatorname{Ly} \alpha, \mathrm{C}_{\text {iv }}(\sim 3 \sigma)$, and O vi $\lambda 1031$ ( $8.9 \sigma, \mathrm{O}_{\text {vi }} \lambda 1037$ is blended with $\mathrm{O}_{\text {Iv }}$ at $z=0.34034$ ). The profile fit to $\mathrm{H}_{\text {I }}$ implies $T<2.4 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~K}$. The kinematics appear to be simple, with all the different species detected at the same velocity within $1 \sigma$. These species may therefore arise in the same ionized gas. To investigate this possibility we ran CLOUDY models with $\log N\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}\right)=13.22$. We estimated that a CLOUDY model with a solar abundance could reproduce the observed measurements of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}, \mathrm{C}_{\text {Iv }}$, and O vi and the limits on $\mathrm{C}_{\text {III }}$ and $\mathrm{N} v$ (see Fig. 13). The physical parameters are tightly constrained by the O vi column density, with the ionization pa-


Fig. 8.-Metal-line absorber at $z=0.01746$. Profile fits with one component are overplotted as solid lines. Other lines present are identified. O vi $\lambda 1031$ is contaminated by $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{LR}(1) 4-01049.960 \AA$, in the profile that is shown this contaminating $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ line was removed (see note in Table 3).
rameter $\log U \simeq-1.1$, the total H density $n_{\mathrm{H}} \simeq 5.6 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$. The corresponding cloud thickness is about 17 kpc , and the total hydrogen column density $3.2 \times 10^{17} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2}$. The gas temperature is $1.9 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~K}$, similar to the temperature implied by the broadening of the narrow $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}$ line. These properties are reasonable for a nearby IGM absorber : photoionization is a likely source of ionization for the system at $z=0.01746$.


Fig. 9.-Metal-line absorber at $z=0.16339$. Profile fits with one component are overplotted as solid lines. Other lines present are identified. C III $\lambda 977$ is possibly present. If the $\mathrm{H}_{\text {I }}$ line is thermally broadened and CIE applies, $Z / Z_{\odot} \lesssim 0.01$ in this system.

The system at $z=0.20701$.-This system has the highest total $\mathrm{H}_{\text {r }}$ column density. It is detected in several $\mathrm{H}_{\text {I }}$ Lyman series lines and in many lines of heavier elements in a variety of ionization stages, with in particular the detection of Ne viII. This is the most complex metal-line system in the spectrum with at least two velocity-components in $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}$, and one should refer to Savage et al. (2005) for detailed analysis and interpretation of this system. This system has a metallicity of -0.5 dex and has multiple phases, including photoionized and shock-heated gas phases.

The system at $z=0.34034$.-This system has absorption seen in $\operatorname{Ly} \alpha, \operatorname{Ly} \beta, \mathrm{C}$ iII, $\mathrm{O}_{\text {iv, }}$, and O vi (see Fig. 10). The velocitycentroids of these species agree well within the $1 \sigma$ errors, and therefore a single-phase photoionized model may explain the observed column densities of the various observed species. We ran the CLOUDY models with $\log N\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}\right)=13.68$. We estimated that a simple photoionized model with a $1 / 2$ solar abundance could reproduce the observed measurements of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}, \mathrm{C}_{\text {III }}$, and $\mathrm{O}_{\text {vi }}$ and the limits (see Fig. 14, we did not plot the limits for clarity). The physical parameters are tightly constrained by the O vi and $\mathrm{C}_{\text {III }}$ column densities, with the ionization parameter $\log U \simeq$ -1.0 , the total H density $n_{\mathrm{H}} \simeq 1.1 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$. At higher or lower metallicity, the models cannot reproduce uniquely all the column densities of the various observed ions. The corresponding cloud thickness is about 40 kpc , and the total hydrogen column density $1.4 \times 10^{18} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2}$. The profile fit to $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}$ implies $T<$
$\left(6.7 \pm_{1.8}^{2.1}\right) \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~K}$, which is compatible with the gas temperature $2.5 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~K}$ derived by CLOUDY at $2 \sigma$ with no additional nonthermal broadening.

In conclusion, the observed simple kinematics and the column densities can be explained by a photoionization model with a $1 / 2$ solar metallicity, and $\log U \simeq-1.0$. Therefore, photoionization can be a dominant process for this system too.

The system at $z=0.35523$.-This system is the third most complex metal-system toward HE 0226-4110, with absorption seen in $\mathrm{Ly} \alpha, \mathrm{Ly} \beta, \mathrm{O}_{\text {iv }}$, and O vi. The LiF 2 A channel suggests a nearly $3 \sigma$ feature for O iII, but a comparison of LiF 2 A and LiF 1 B shows it is only a noise feature (see Fig. 11). The $\mathrm{O}_{\text {Iv }}$ feature is, however, real since it is present in both channels, LiF 1 A and LiF 2B. The profiles of $\mathrm{Ly} \alpha$ and $\mathrm{Ly} \beta$ are noisy and do not suggest a multicomponent structure. $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}$ and O iv align very well, but the O vi profile appears to be more complex. The deeper part of the O vi $\lambda 1031$ trough aligns well with O iv, but there is a positive velocity wing, a $\sim 3 \sigma$ feature $(W=17.0 \pm 5.7 \mathrm{~m} \AA$ ) at $\sim+50 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. This feature could be an extra O vi component or a weak intervening Ly $\alpha$ absorption. The $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ is not high enough to really understand the full complexity of the O vi profile. We therefore treated O vi as being coexistent with O iv, and in particular we use the O iv profile to define the velocity range for integration of the O vi absorption lines.

We ran a CLOUDY simulation with $\log N\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}\right)=13.60$. The total column density of $\mathrm{O}_{\text {IV }}$ and O vi and the limit on $\mathrm{C}_{\text {III }}$ can be satisfied simultaneously in the photoionization model for a very narrow range of metallicity near $\log Z / Z_{\odot}=-0.55$ at the given $\log N\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}\right)=13.60$. At a higher metallicity $\left(\log Z / Z_{\odot} \geq-0.52\right)$ the O vi and $\mathrm{C}_{\text {III }}$ column density models diverge, and at a lower metallicity, the models do not predict enough O Iv. In Figure 15, we show the CLOUDY model with 0.28 solar metallicity. The small error on O vi allows only a very narrow range of ionization parameters at $\log U \simeq-1.0\left(n_{\mathrm{H}} \simeq 1.1 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}\right)$. The corresponding cloud thickness is about 40 kpc , and the total hydrogen column density $1.5 \times 10^{18} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2}$. The gas temperature is $2.9 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~K}$. The Doppler parameter of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}$ implies that $T<$ $\left(4.4 \pm_{1.9}^{2.5}\right) \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~K}$, which is compatible with $T$ derived in the CLOUDY simulation.

For the system at $z=0.35523$, a photoionization model with 0.28 solar metallicity and $\log U \simeq-1.0$ can explain the measured $\mathrm{O}_{\text {IV }}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{vI}}$ column densities and the limit on $N(\mathrm{C}$ iII).

The system at $z=0.42660$.-This system is only detected in both O vi lines (see Fig. 12) and could be misidentified (see $\S 3.3$ ). However, although the O vi $\lambda 1031$ line is confused with a spike due to hot pixels, both the strength and the separation of the absorption lines match the atomic parameters of the O vi doublet. No $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}$ is found associated with this system, but the wavelength range only allows us to access $\mathrm{Ly} \beta$. Because O vi $\lambda 1031$ is blended with an emission artifact, it is not clear whether the O vi profile has only one or more components. The negative velocity part of the profile of O vi $\lambda 1031$ where the line is not contaminated by the instrumental spike suggests a rather smooth profile. The O vi $\lambda 1037$ absorption is noisy and too weak to indicate whether more than one component is needed. We therefore fitted the O vi lines with one component and removed the apparent emission feature from the fit. We note that if the fit is made using O vi $\lambda 1037$ alone, the parameters are consistent with those of the fit to the doublet. The errors on the $b$-value may be larger than the formal errors presented in Table 3. The fit to the O vi absorption lines yields $b=40.4 \pm 5.0 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, implying $T<1.6 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~K}$.

We explored the possibility that this system may be principally photoionized by the UV background. Since we do not


Fig. 10.-Metal-line absorber at $z=0.34034$. Profile fits with one component are overplotted as solid lines. Other lines present are identified.


Fig. 11.-Metal-line absorber at $z=0.35523$. Profile fits with one component are overplotted as solid lines. Other lines present are identified. The bold spectra in the panels of $\mathrm{O}_{\text {III }}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{\text {Iv }}$ are LiF 1 A and LiF 2 A , respectively. The overplotted spectra in the panels of $\mathrm{O}_{\text {III }}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{\text {iv }}$ are LiF 2 B and LiF 1 B , respectively.


Fig. 12.-Metal-line absorber at $z=0.42660$. Profile fits with one component are overplotted as solid lines.
know the amount of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}$ for this absorber and have only O vI , the results remain uncertain. For a wide variety of inputs $\left[\log N\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}\right)=\right.$ $13.55,13.30,13.05$, and $\log Z=[-0.6,0]]$, the observed O vi column density can be reproduced with a reasonable ionization parameter of $\log U \sim-0.7$ or smaller and a cloud thickness less than 100 kpc . The broadening of the O vi profiles is nonthermal, since photoionization models give a gas temperature of $\leqq 3 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~K}$. The Hubble flow broadening appears also negligible in most cases. We note that none of the other column density limits constrain the model further.

### 4.2. Collisional Ionization

We showed above that the O vi systems along with the ancillary ions can be modeled by photoionization alone, except for the system at $z=0.20701$ described by Savage et al. (2005) for which O vi clearly traces hot gas. If photoionization is the dominant source of ionization of these systems, the broadening of O vi and the other metal lines is dominated by nonthermal broadening or substructure may be present since the temperature of the photoionized gas is typically a few $10^{4} \mathrm{~K}$. For $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}$, however, there is little room for other broadening mechanisms since $b_{\text {thermal }} \approx b_{\text {total }}$. We note that O vi is detected at $z=0.01746$ in the FUSE spectrum, which has an instrumental broadening of about $b_{\text {inst }} \simeq 12.5 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. For this system, it is not possible to determine whether $b$ is smaller than its instrumental width. Within the errors, the broadening of the line can be reconciled with a broadening from nearly purely thermal motions. The other O vi systems lie in the STIS spectrum, but the $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ of those data is not good enough to distinguish between single and multiple absorption components. In particular, we note the complexity of the O vi profile at $z=0.35523$.

The broadening of the O vi lines (and C iv and O iv when detected) implies temperatures of a few $\times 10^{5} \mathrm{~K}$ if the broadening is purely thermal. At these temperatures, collisional ionization can be an important source of ionization (Sutherland \& Dopita
1993). If CIE applies, these systems must be multiphase since the observed narrow $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}$ absorptions cannot arise in hot gas. Therefore, there is a large degree of uncertainty in any attempt to derive parameters from CIE models since the fraction of O vI or other ions arising in photoionized or collisionally ionized gas is unknown, and the kinematics do not allow a clear separation of gas phases within different ionization origins. With this caveat and making the strong assumption that the metal ions are not produced in photoionized gas, we review now whether CIE could match the observed column densities of the metal-line systems.

The system at $z=0.01746$.-The broadening of O vi implies $T \sim 2.2 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~K}$ (with a large uncertainty) if it is purely thermal. The limit for $T(\mathrm{O} \mathrm{vI})$ is very close to the peak temperature for O vI in collisional ionization equilibrium $\left(T=2.8 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~K}\right.$; Sutherland \& Dopita 1993). The ratio $\log [N(\mathrm{C}$ iv $) / N(\mathrm{O}$ vı $)]=-0.5$ is compatible with the highly ionized gas being in collisional ionization equilibrium at $T \approx 2.0 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~K}$, close to the thermal broadening for $\mathrm{O} v \mathrm{v}$. But $\log [N(\mathrm{~N} v) / N(\mathrm{O} \mathrm{v})]<-0.1$ implies that N must be subsolar because CIE predicts a fraction of 0.2 at $\log T=5.30$. At this temperature $b\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}\right)_{\text {broad }}=58 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ for pure thermal broadening; such a broad component could be superposed on the narrow $\mathrm{H}_{\text {I }}$ absorption and hidden in the noise of the spectrum. To constrain the H i column density of the broad component, we fit the Ly $\alpha$ profile simultaneously with both narrow and broad lines. For the broad component we fix $b\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}\right)_{\text {broad }}=$ $58 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and $v\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}\right)_{\text {broad }} \equiv v(\mathrm{O}$ vI). The parameters $v, b, N$ are free to vary for the narrow component. We find a fit with a very similar reduced $-\chi^{2}$ as that of the one component fit, giving $\log N\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}\right)_{\text {broad }}=12.71 \pm 0.37$. In Figure 16, we show the fit to the Ly $\alpha$ line for $\log N\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}\right)_{\text {broad }}=12.71$. In CIE, at $\log T \approx$ 5.30, the logarithmic ratio of O vi fraction to $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}$ fraction is $\log \left[f(\mathrm{O} \mathrm{vi}) / f\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}\right)\right]=3.82$ (Sutherland \& Dopita 1993) and the solar oxygen abundance is $\log [\mathrm{O} / \mathrm{H}]_{\odot}=-3.34$ (Asplund et al. 2004), implying $[\mathrm{O} / \mathrm{H}] \sim 0.4$ if $\log N\left(\mathrm{H}_{\text {I }}\right)_{\text {broad }}=12.71$ and $[\mathrm{O} / \mathrm{H}] \sim 0.0$ if $\log N\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}\right)_{\text {broad }}=13.10$. Yet the nondetection of $\mathrm{N} v$ implies a N/O ratio less than 0.4 times solar. A low N/O ratio has recently been observed in a solar metallicity environment in the low-z IGM toward PHL 1811 (Jenkins et al. 2005). Therefore, this system could be collisionally ionized.

The system at $z=0.34034$.-We find $N(\mathrm{O} \mathrm{vI}) \approx N(\mathrm{O}$ iv $)$. In CIE, O Iv and O vi have the same ionic fraction at $T \sim 2.6 \times$ $10^{5} \mathrm{~K}$, which corresponds to the thermal broadening of these lines within $1 \sigma$. The fact that $\log [N(\mathrm{Nv}) / N(\mathrm{O} v \mathrm{I})]<-0.5$ is consistent with this temperature. A temperature of $\sim 2.6 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~K}$ implies $b\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}\right)=66 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ if the broadening is purely thermal. Such a broad component could be hidden in the noise of the spectrum. The blue part of the $\operatorname{Ly} \alpha$ spectrum may indicate the presence of a broad wing (see Fig. 10), but the total recovery of the flux on the red part of the Ly $\alpha$ spectrum indicates that the features within the blue wing are not part of the main $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{ab}-$ sorption. While we can force a broad Ly $\alpha$ in the observed profile in the same way that we did for the system at $z=0.01746$, the data do not warrant it. CIE may be viable for this system, but higher quality data are needed to check this. The photoionization model with the adopted parameter predicts $\log N(\mathrm{~N} v)=13.21$, while CIE predicts $\log N(\mathrm{~N} v)=12.75$ (assuming a solar abundance). An increase in the $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ by about a factor of 3 would provide a good $3 \sigma$ limit on Nv and several other species, which would allow a discrimination between these models.

The system at $z=0.35523$.-The same discussion applies for this system as for the $z=0.34034$ system since the ionic ratios are similar. Higher $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ data would provide better constraints on

TABLE 4
IGM Absorbers toward HE 0226-4110

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

[^1]

FIG. 13.-Predicted column densities for the photoionization model of the $z=0.01746$ absorber for a solar metallicity and $\log N\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}\right)=13.26$ dex. Pressure, temperature, density, ionization parameter, total hydrogen column density, and cloud thickness are plotted along the $x$-axes. The thick solid lines along the thin model curves show the ionization parameter ranges, which are consistent with the observed column densities (within their $1 \sigma$ uncertainties); the ions corresponding to each curve are indicated by the key at the right.


FIG. 14.-Predicted column densities for the photoionization model of the $z=0.34034$ system for $1 / 2$ solar metallicity. Axes are defined in Fig. 13. Observed column densities with $1 \sigma$ uncertainties are shown in the thick solid lines along the thin model curves for each ion.


Fig. 15.-Predicted column densities for the photoionization model of the $z=0.35523$ system. Axes are defined in Fig. 13. Observed column densities with $1 \sigma$ uncertainties are shown in the thick solid lines along the thin model curves for each ion.

N v here as well. However, the broadening of the O iv and O vi lines are more consistent with $T \sim(5-6) \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~K}$. Therefore, either there is substructure in the profile as the complexity of the O vi $\lambda 1031$ profile may suggest, or nonthermal broadening is present if CIE applies.

The system at $z=0.42660$.-The fit to the O vi absorption lines yields $b=40.4 \pm 5.0 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, implying $T<1.6 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~K}$. In CIE at $T \sim 1.6 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~K}$, we should find $\log N(\mathrm{Ne}$ viII $)=$ 13.83 if the relative abundance of Ne and O is solar. Our $3 \sigma$ limit for Ne viII suggests a much smaller column density, less than 13.57 dex. If the temperature is $\lesssim 5.4 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~K}$ (corresponding to a thermal $b$-value for O vi of about $25 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ), the ratio of Ne viII to O vi would be consistent with the observed ratio (this would also fit the ratio limit for O iv to O vI ). If the gas is hot, either the profiles may be more complicated, the broadening not solely thermal, or the abundance of Ne to O not solar. We note that the AOD $b$-value of O vi $\lambda 1037$ is less than $2 \sigma$ from $25 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, where $N(\mathrm{Ne} \mathrm{vIII}) / N(\mathrm{O} \mathrm{vI})<1$.

The system at $z=0.16339$.-This absorber has not been discussed yet because no O vi is detected and there is only a tentative measurement of $\mathrm{C}_{\text {III. }}$. It has also a broad $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}$ component, potentially tracing hot gas. It is seen in $\operatorname{Ly} \alpha$ and $\operatorname{Ly} \gamma$, with $\mathrm{Ly} \beta$ hidden by interstellar $\mathrm{Si}_{\text {II }} \lambda 1193$ (see Table 3 and Fig. 9). O III is confused with $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ (see Table 3). There is a $2.9 \sigma$ detection of $\mathrm{C}_{\text {III }}$ in LiF 1A. The data from LiF 1B have lower $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ than LiF 2A and imply a $2.9 \sigma$ upper limit of 12.51 dex for $\mathrm{C}_{\text {IIII }}$, although one pixel is aligned with $\mathrm{C}_{\text {III }}$ in LiF 2 A (see Fig. 9). Within the $1 \sigma$ errors, $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{\text {III }}$ have compatible redshifts. The profile of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}$ is very well fitted with a single Gaussian with $b=46.3 \pm$ $1.9 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. If the broadening is purely thermal, this would imply $T\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}\right)=1.29 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~K}$. This is the only broad $\mathrm{H}_{\text {I }}$ system for which a metal ion is (tentatively) detected along this line of sight.


Fig. 16.-Broad component fit to the $\operatorname{Ly} \alpha$ line at $z=0.01746$. The solid line is a two-component fit with $b=58 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and $\log N\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}\right)=12.71$ for the broad component. The dotted line shows the broad component profile only.

Generally, O vi is a more likely ion to associate with broad $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}$ (Richter et al. 2004; Sembach et al. 2004), but ions in lower ionization stages can constrain the metallicity of broad Ly $\alpha$ absorbers as well. We find $[\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{H}]<-2$, if CIE and pure thermal broadening apply.

### 4.3. Summary of the Origin of the O vi Systems in the Spectrum of HE 02260-4110

In summary, the O vi systems at $z=0.01746,0.34034$, $0.35523,0.42660$ can be explained by photoionization models. In Table 5, we summarize the basic properties of the observed O vi systems assuming photoionization and the possible origins of these systems. The broadening of the metal lines appears to be mostly nonthermal if solely photoionization applies or there may be unresolved substructure buried in the noise of the spectrum. Only the system at $z=0.20701$ described by Savage et al. (2005) appears to be clearly multiphase with photoionized and collisionally ionized gas. The ionic ratios observed in the system at $z=0.01746$ can be reconciled with a single CIE model if the relative abundances are nonsolar. For the other systems, CIE is possible with current constraints. In particular, only a little nonthermal broadening would be needed to explain the broadening of the metal lines. Higher S/N FUV spectra would provide better understanding of the shape and kinematics of the observed profiles and access to other key elements such as $\mathrm{N} v$.

## 5. IMPLICATIONS FOR THE LOW-REDSHIFT IGM

### 5.1. Discriminating between Photoionization and Collisional Ionization

The analysis in $\S 4$ shows that it is difficult to clearly differentiate between photoionization and collisional ionization for several of the metal-line systems. Savage et al. (2005) showed that the system at $z=0.20701$ consists of a mixture of photoionized and collisionally ionized gas. This is the only system along this line of sight for which O vi cannot be explained by photoionization. We showed that the system at $z=0.01746$ can be collisionally ionized if N/O is subsolar, but the observed column densities can also be explained by a simple photoionization model with relative solar abundance. For the O vi systems at $z=0.34034$ and 0.35523 and the tentative O vi system at $z=0.42660$, photoionization is also the likely source of ionization, although collisional ionization cannot be ruled out.

If the photoionization dominates the ionization in a large fraction of the O vi systems, estimates of the baryonic density of the WHIM as traced by O vi would need to be reduced by a similarly large factor (a factor of 6 for the HE 0226-4110 line of sight). The recent observations in the FUV of the lines of sight toward H 1821+643 (Tripp et al. 2001), PG 1116+215 (Sembach et al. 2004), PG 1259+593 (Richter et al. 2004), and PKS 0405-123 (Prochaska et al. 2004) have similarly shown that the O vi systems are complex, with a mix of photoionized and collisionally ionized systems.

Prochaska et al. (2004) noted a general decline of the photoionization parameter $U$ estimated in the CLOUDY model with increasing observed $\mathrm{H}_{\text {I }}$ column density. Davé et al. (1999) found that $n_{\mathrm{H}} \propto N(\mathrm{H} \mathrm{I})^{0.7} 10^{-0.4 z}$ for the low-z Ly $\alpha$ absorbers. Assuming that the $\mathrm{H}_{\text {I }}$ ionizing intensity is constant gives $U \propto$ $N\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}\right)^{-0.7}$ (Prochaska et al. 2004). To check whether this trend holds with more data and in particular to check whether the O vi systems that could be explained by both photoionization and CIE deviate from this trend, in Figure 17 we combine our results summarized in Table 5 for the photoionized O vi systems with results from Prochaska et al. (2004), Richter et al. (2004), Savage et al. (2002), and Sembach et al. (2004). We show in Table 6 the redshift, $\log U$, and $\mathrm{H}_{\text {I }}$ column density. A linear fit using a least-squares fit to the data gives $\log U=-0.58 \log \left(N\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}\right) /\right.$ $10^{14}$ ) - 1.23 (we did not include the peculiar O vi system at $z=0.36332$ toward PKS 0405-123, where the very weak Ly $\alpha$ is offset from the metal-line transitions, see Prochaska et al. 2004; including this system would change the slope to -0.55 ). For the fit we treated the lower limit of $\log U$ toward PKS $0405-123$ as an absolute measure, but excluding this limit from the fit would not change the result. The solid curve in Figure 17 shows the fit. While the slope of -0.58 is close to the predicted slope of -0.7 from the numerical simulations (Davé et al. 1999; Davé \& Tripp 2001), the simulations appear to provide an upper limit to this relation (see Fig. 17, dotted line). Note that metalline systems with no O vi do not seem to follow this correlation. For example, the system at $z=0.00530$ toward 3C 273 gives $\log N\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}\right)=15.85$ and $\log U=-3.4$ (Tripp et al. 2002), and the system at $z=0.16610$ gives $\log N\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}\right)=14.62$ and $\log U=$ -2.6 (Sembach et al. 2004): both systems are very much below the distribution of the data plotted in Figure 17. Although the correlation could be somewhat fortuitous for the O vi systems that can be explained by both photoionization and CIE origins, it would have to occur for all these systems (e.g., two systems presented in this paper, and the system at $z=0.14232$ toward PG $0953+415$ in Savage et al. 2002). This may favor photoionization for the O vi systems that can be fitted by both photoionization and CIE models.

So far, we have mainly considered photoionization and CIE models to explain the observed O vi systems. However, if the gas is hot (between $10^{5}$ and $10^{6} \mathrm{~K}$ ) and dense enough, it should cool rapidly since this is the temperature range over which the cooling of an ionized plasma is maximal (Sutherland \& Dopita 1993). O vI is the dominant coolant in this temperature range. Heckman et al. (2002) investigated the nonequilibrium cooling of $\mathrm{O} v \mathrm{va}$ and computed the relation between $N(\mathrm{O} \mathrm{vI})$ and $b_{\text {obs }}(\mathrm{O} \mathrm{vI})$ expected for radiatively cooling gas at temperatures of $10^{5}$ and $10^{6} \mathrm{~K}$. Considering the 4 IGM sight lines that have now been fully analyzed (HE 0226-4110, this paper; PKS 0405-123, Williger et al. 2005; PG 1116+215, Sembach et al. 2004; PG 1259+593, Richter et al. 2004), we plot in Figure 18 the column density against the observed width for O vi absorption systems seen along these lines of sight. Most of the data lie between the $b$ range $[10,35] \mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ and $\log N$ range [13.5, 14.1] with a large

TABLE 5
Summary of Physical Properties of the O vi Systems toward HE 0226-4110


Note.-Exclamation point indicates possible misidentification; see § 3.3 for more details.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ This column lists the ionization mechanism for O vi: photoionization (photo), collisional ionization (coll). "Photo/Coll" indicates that both ionization mechanisms could explain the observed O vi column densities.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ The metallicity, ionization parameter, H column density, and path length of the absorber are from the CLOUDY photoionization models, except for the O vi system at $z=0.20701$, which are from CIE (see Savage et al. 2005 for more details).
${ }^{c}$ Note this is the total H i column density measured in the photoionized system that does not contain O vi. The estimated $\mathrm{H}_{\text {I }}$ parameters associated to the O vi system are $\log N\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}\right)=13.70$ and $b=97 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ (see Savage et al. 2005).
scatter. Considering data outside these ranges, there is a general trend of the O vi column increasing with increasing O vi width, as observed in the Galaxy and Small and Large Magellanic Clouds (Savage et al. 2003). There is no obvious separation in Figure 18 between systems that could be photoionized or collisionally ionized. In this figure, we also reproduce Heckman et al.'s radiative cooling models for $10^{5}$ and $10^{6} \mathrm{~K}$ and the $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{b}$ ( O vI) linear regime ( $\Delta v=0 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$; see Heckman et al.). Their models can reproduce the observed $b-N$ distribution. Heckman et al. (2002) also computed the column densities of several ancillary species. We reproduce the observed and predicted ratios of $\mathrm{O}_{\text {iv }} / \mathrm{O}$ vi, Ne viII $/ \mathrm{O}$ vi, and S vi/O vi in Table 7. Generally, their models produce too much Ne viII. For O iv and S vi, the comparison may be more difficult because photoionization may play a role, but photoionization can also produce O vi if the IGM has a very low density. So while these cooling models can reproduce the distribution of $N(\mathrm{OvI})$ and $b_{\mathrm{obs}}(\mathrm{O} \mathrm{vI})$, they generally fail to predict observed ionic ratios, especially Ne viII/ O vi. The pure isobaric model is always ruled out, as it predicts a $\mathrm{O}_{\text {Iv }} / \mathrm{O}$ vi ratio that is too low. Note that these models assume solar relative elemental abundances.

In summary, our results show that for one out of five O vi systems toward HE 0226-4110, collisional ionization is the likely origin of the O vi. For the four other systems, comparison of the ionic ratios and the kinematics of the ionic profiles does not yield a single solution for the origin of the O vi. We note that $U$ and $N\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}\right)$ of these systems correlate and follow the same distribution as pure photoionized O vi systems, suggesting that photoionization could be the origin of these O vi systems. To better understand the basic properties of the IGM in the lowredshift universe, observations with high $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ data are needed. At high redshift, data with $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ of 100 per $7 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ resolution element have answered many fundamental questions that remained unanswered with low-quality data. While in the near future FUV spectra will not have the quality of the current optical data, $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ of $\sim 50$ or higher could be achieved on bright QSOs with the Cosmic Origin Spectrograph (COS) when/if it is installed on the HST.

### 5.2. Frequency of Occurrence of Oxygen in Different Ionization Stages

Oxygen is certainly the best metal element for the study of the physical properties of the IGM because it is the most abundant and because it has a full range of ionization stages, from $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}$ to O viII, accessible to existing spectrographs. While we are far
from being able to combine X-ray ( O vii, O viII) lines with FUV lines because of sensitivity and spectral resolution issues, searching for $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}$ to O vI is possible by combining EUV and FUV lines available in the FUSE and STIS wavelength ranges. In Table 8, we summarize the transitions of oxygen observable in the EUVFUV and the redshift at which these transitions can be observed. Toward HE 0226-4110, we unfortunately miss $\mathrm{O} v \lambda 629$ (because the redshift path of HE 0226-4110 is not large enough ( O v is detected in the associated system, see Fig. 2). The close match in the oscillator strengths among these ions also allow direct comparisons of lines with similar column density sensitivities (see Table 8).

For the Ly $\alpha$ systems detected toward HE 0226-4110 it is almost always possible to search for the associated metal lines, as blending is rarely a problem. The only system where we cannot study O v is the one at $z=0.09059$. For all the other systems, we are able to measure the O vi column density or estimate a $3 \sigma$ limit. For five absorbers, $(z=0.06083,0.10667$, $0.16237,0.16971,0.19861) \mathrm{O}$ vi $\lambda 1031$ is blended with other IGM or ISM absorptions, so the limits were estimated with O vi $\lambda 1037$. For $\mathrm{O}_{\text {III }}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{\text {Iv }}$ there are only eight and two systems,


Fig. 17.-Ionization parameter derived from CLOUDY models is plotted against the measured $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}$ column density for the systems that could be mostly photoionized. We combine data from the present work and from the analysis of several other low-redshift QSOs (see § 5.1 for more detail). The solid line is a fit to the data with a slope of -0.58 , while the cosmological simulation predicts a slope of -0.7 (dotted line).

TABLE 6
Summary of Photoionization Parameters and Metallicity in the Low-z IGM for the O vi Systems

| $z$ | $\log N\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}\right)$ | $\log Z / Z_{\odot}$ | $\log U$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HE 0226-4110 |  |  |  |
| 0.01746... | 13.22 | +0.0 | -1.1 |
| 0.34034. | 13.47 | -0.3 | -1.0 |
| 0.35523........ | 13.60 | -0.6 | -1.0 |
| PG 0953+415 |  |  |  |
| 0.06807.......... | 14.39 | -0.4 | -1.4 |


|  | PG 1116+215 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $0.13847 \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 16.20 | -0.5 | -2.5 |
|  | PG $1259+593$ |  |  |
| $0.21949 \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots .$. | 15.25 | -1.3 | -1.5 |
| $0.29236 \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ |  |  |  |

PKS 0405-123

| 0.09180... | 14.52 | >-1.4 | $>-1.5$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.09658................................ | 14.65 | -1.5 | -1.2 |
| 0.16710................................ | 16.45 | -0.3 | -2.9 |
| 0.36080... | 15.12 | $>-0.7$ | -2.0 |
| 0.36332............................. | 13.43 | 0.0 | -1.4 |
| 0.49510................................ | 14.39 | $>-0.3$ | -1.3 |

References.-HE 0226-4110: this work; PG 0953+415: Savage et al. 2002; PG 1116+215: Sembach et al. 2004; PG 1259+593: Richter et al. 2004; PKS 0405-123: Prochaska et al. 2004.
respectively, for which we cannot make a measurement because of blending (see Table 4). We do not report estimates for $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{I}}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{\text {II }}$ because these lines are rarely detected in the Ly $\alpha$ forest [they are sometimes detected in the high H i column systems with $\log N\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}\right)>16.1$; see Prochaska et al. 2004, Sembach et al. 2004, Tripp et al. 2005]. Since no unidentified features with $W \geq 3 \sigma$ lie at the wavelengths where $\mathrm{O}_{\text {I }}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{\text {II }}$ associated with $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}$ are expected, we can confidently say that there are no intervening systems with $\mathrm{O}_{\text {I }}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{\text {II }}$ lines in the spectrum of HE 0226-4110.

A useful statistical quantity to use for constraining the ionization of the IGM is the number of intervening systems per unit redshift. For O vi, five intervening systems toward HE 02264110 are detected in either one $(z=0.01748)$ or both O vi lines ( $z=0.20701,0.34034,0.35523,0.42660)$. These five systems have $W(1031)>48 \mathrm{~m} \AA$. The number of intervening O vi systems with $W_{\lambda} \gtrsim 50 \mathrm{~m} \AA$ per unit redshift is $d \mathcal{N}\left(\mathrm{O} \mathrm{vI}_{\mathrm{I}}\right) / d z=11$ for an unblocked redshift path of 0.450 (see § 3.4). This number would be 9 if the tentative $z=0.42660$ system is not included.

There is one definitive detection of $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III}}$, and there are three detections of $\mathrm{O}_{\text {Iv }}$ in the spectrum of HE 0226-4110. Using the redshift path defined in $\S 3.4, d \mathcal{N}\left(\mathrm{O}_{\text {III }}\right) / d z=3$ and $d \mathcal{N}\left(\mathrm{O}_{\text {Iv }}\right) /$ $d z=11$. If we adopt the unblocked redshift path of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}$ instead of O vi, these numbers do not change significantly. While this sample still suffers from small number statistics, it suggests $d \mathcal{N}\left(\mathrm{O}_{\text {III }}\right) / d z<d \mathcal{N}\left(\mathrm{O}_{\text {Iv }}\right) / d z \approx d \mathcal{N}\left(\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{vI}}\right) / d z$ in the IGM along the HE 0226-4110 sight line. Since the values of $\lambda f$ of the oxygen lines are similar, the column density limits for these different ions are similar. The ionization potentials of $\mathrm{O}_{\text {III }}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{\text {III }}$ are similar, and since there are more systems for which we


Fig. 18.-Column density vs. the Doppler parameter for the intervening O vi absorption systems observed toward HE 0226-4110 (circles, this paper), PKS 0405-123 (stars, Williger et al. 2005), PG 1116+215 (triangles, Sembach et al. 2004), and PG 1259+593 (squares, Richter et al. 2004). The relation predicted by Heckman et al. (2002) for radiatively cooling gas is shown in dotted lines for assumed O vi temperatures $10^{5} \mathrm{~K}$ and $10^{6} \mathrm{~K}$. The solid line corresponds to the linear regime where identical components have the same central velocity ( $\Delta v=$ $0 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ in Heckman et al. 2002).
can search for $\mathrm{C}_{\text {III, }}$ we also report the measurement of the strong $\mathrm{C}_{\text {III }}$ line $(\log \lambda f=2.85)$ in Table 4. Only for the systems at $z=$ $0.06083,0.08901,0.24514,0.42660$ were we not able to estimate the amount of $\mathrm{C}_{\text {III. We find }} d \mathcal{N}\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {III }}\right) / d z=4-6$. Richter et al. (2004) reported 4 definitive O vi systems and detection of two $\mathrm{O}_{\text {III }}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{\text {Iv }}$ systems (one of them not associated with O vi) toward PG 1259+593. Adopting the same procedure as above, toward PG $1259+593$, we find $\left[d \mathcal{N}\left(\mathrm{O}_{\text {III }}\right) / d z=8\right]<\left[d \mathcal{N}\left(\mathrm{O}_{\text {IV }}\right) /\right.$ $d z=10] \approx[d \mathcal{N}(\mathrm{O} v \mathrm{vI}) / d z=11]$. There are 5 reported $\mathrm{C}_{\text {III sys- }}$ tems against 6 O vi systems along the sight line toward PKS 0405-123 (Prochaska et al. 2004), implying $d \mathcal{N}\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {III }}\right) / d z \lesssim$ $d \mathcal{N}(\mathrm{O} \mathrm{vI}) / d z$. Along the path to PKS $0405-123$, there are $1 \mathrm{O}_{\text {III }}$
 $4]<\left[d \mathcal{N}\left(\mathrm{O}_{\text {Iv }}\right) / d z=17\right] \approx[d \mathcal{N}(\mathrm{O}$ vI) $/ d z=16]$. Combining these sight lines, we find $\left[d \mathcal{N}\left(\mathrm{O}_{\text {III }}\right) / d z \approx 5\right]<\left[d \mathcal{N}\left(\mathrm{O}_{\text {Iv }}\right) / d z \approx 13\right] \approx$ $[d \mathcal{N}(\mathrm{O} v \mathrm{I}) / d z \approx 13]$.

The general pattern that emerges is that there is a slightly larger number of O iv and O vi systems per unit redshift compared to $\mathrm{O}_{\text {III }}$, about the same number of $\mathrm{O}_{\text {Iv }}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{\text {vi systems }}$ per unit redshift, but a much larger number of $\mathrm{O}_{\text {III }}$ systems per unit redshift than for $\mathrm{O}_{\text {I }}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{\text {II }}$. The low-redshift IGM is more highly ionized than weakly ionized. This is consistent with the picture of the Ly $\alpha$ forest consisting mainly of very low-density photoionized gas and hot gas. We also note that when $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{III},} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{Iv}}$, and O vi are detected simultaneously the column densities of these ions generally do not differ by more than a factor of 2-3.

### 5.3. Observations of Ne viII

Li-like Ne viII provides a powerful diagnostic of hot gas because in CIE it peaks at $7 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~K}$; Ne has a relatively high cosmic abundance $\left([\mathrm{Ne} / \mathrm{H}]_{\odot}=-4.16\right)$, and the Ne viII lines have relatively high $f$-values $(\log f \lambda=1.90,1.60)$. In CIE

TABLE 7
Comparison of Observed Logarithmic Column Density Ratios with Those from Cooling Flow Models

| $z$ | O iv/O vi | Ne viII/O vi | $\mathrm{S} \mathrm{vi} / \mathrm{O}$ vi |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Isobaric Model |  |  |  |
|  | -0.6 | +0.1 | -2.8 |
| Isochoric Model |  |  |  |
|  | 0.0 | -0.3 | $-2.4$ |
| HE 0226-4110 |  |  |  |
| 0.20701....................... | $>0.11$ | -0.48 | -1.59 |
| 0.34034....................... | -0.03 | $<-0.55$ | <-1.13 |
| 0.35523........................ | -0.04 | $<-0.13$ | $<-0.88$ |
| 0.42660....................... | <-0.49 | <-0.46 | <-1.18 |
| PG 1259+593 |  |  |  |
| 0.21949...................... | $-0.20$ | $<-0.10$ | $\ldots$ |
| PKS 0405-123 |  |  |  |
| 0.16701....................... | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | -1.90 |
| 0.18292........................ | <-0.57 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| 0.36335........................ | +0.21 | $<-0.03$ | ... |
| 0.49512........................ | $>-0.15$ | $(<)-0.74$ | <-1.87 |

Note.-The calculations of the cooling columns at constant pressure (isobaric) and density (isochoric) are from Heckman et al. (2002). The measurements are from: HE 0226-4110, this paper; PKS 0405-123, Prochaska et al. (2004) and Williger et al. (2005); PG 1259+593, Richter et al. (2004).
at $T \sim(0.6-1.3) \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~K}, N(\mathrm{Ne} \mathrm{vIII}) / N(\mathrm{O} \mathrm{vI})>1$. For $T \sim$ $(0.6-1.0) \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~K}, N(\mathrm{Ne} \mathrm{vIII}) \sim(2-3) \times N(\mathrm{O}$ vI). Savage et al. (2005) discussed the system at $z=0.20701$ in the spectrum of HE 0226-4110, and they reported the first detection of an intervening Ne viII system in the IGM. The $z=0.20701 \mathrm{O} \mathrm{vi} /$ Ne viII system arises in hot gas at $T \sim 5.4 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~K}$. With little contamination from $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ lines and its high-redshift path, HE 02264110 currently provides the best line of sight to search for Ne viII. We found, however, only one detection of Ne viII among the 32 H I systems (excluding the associated system) at redshifts where Ne viII could be measured. We also searched for pairs of absorption features with the appropriate separation of the Ne viII doublet, not associated with a Ly $\alpha$ feature, and found none. Note that none of the H i systems observed are broad enough to produce a significant fraction of Ne viil, since the broadest $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}$ implies $T<2.8 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~K}$.

In Figure $19 a$ we show the column density limits and measurement for Ne viII against those of O vi for the HE 0226-4110 line of sight. The upper limits on $N(\mathrm{Ne} v i I I)$ were typically integrated over a velocity range of $80-100 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, which corresponds to $T \sim(0.6-1.1) \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~K}$ if the profile is purely thermally broadened. Figure $19 b$ shows the relationship of the ratio of the Ne viii to O vi column with the temperature for the CIE model of Sutherland \& Dopita (1993) assuming a solar relative abundance. The circles overplotted on this curve are obtained from the measurements of O vi and measurements or upper limits on Ne viII obtained toward the HE 0226-4110, PKS 0405123 (Prochaska et al. 2004; Williger et al. 2005), and PG 1259+ 594 (Richter et al. 2004) lines of sight. These data cannot fit the curve at higher temperatures because the observed O vi broadening always implies $T<10^{6} \mathrm{~K}$, except for the system at $z=0.42660$. For this system the limit on Ne viII is too small. If

TABLE 8
EUV-FUV Oxygen Transitions

| Ion <br> (1) | $\lambda$ <br> (Å) <br> (2) | $\log \lambda f$ <br> (3) | (4) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Oi. | 971.738 | 1.10 | $>0$ |
|  | 988.773 | 1.65 | $>0$ |
|  | 1039.230 | 0.97 | $>0$ |
|  | 1302.169 | 1.82 | $>0$ |
| O ІІ ......................................... | 832.757 | 1.57 | $>0.105$ |
|  | 833.329 | 1.87 | $>0.104$ |
|  | 834.466 | 2.04 | $>0.103$ |
| O III........................................ | 702.332 | 1.98 | $>0.310$ |
|  | 832.927 | 1.95 | $>0.104$ |
| O Iv ....................................... | 553.330 | 1.79 | $>0.663$ |
|  | 554.075 | 2.09 | $>0.660$ |
|  | 608.398 | 1.61 | $>0.512$ |
|  | 787.711 | 1.94 | $>0.169$ |
| O v ........................................ | 629.730 | 2.51 | $>0.463$ |
| O vi ....................................... | 1031.926 | 2.14 | $>0$ |
|  | 1037.617 | 1.83 | $>0$ |

Notes.-Col. (4) shows the lower redshift at which a given transition can be observed in the FUV. We only list the strongest FUV O I transitions since they are rarely detected in the IGM. Atomic parameters can be found in Morton (2003; FUV transitions) and Verner et al. (1996; EUV transitions).

CIE applies along with solar $\mathrm{Ne} / \mathrm{O}$, all these data imply $T<$ $6 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~K}$ for the O vi systems. Figure 18 shows that most of the detected O vi systems have column densities $>13.5$ dex. Hence, the present observations should be sufficiently sensitive to detect Ne viII systems with $T \sim 10^{6} \mathrm{~K}$ since most of our $3 \sigma$ limits are less than 13.8 dex (see Fig. 19a). We note that even though at higher temperatures $N(\mathrm{Ne} v i I I) \gg N(\mathrm{O} v \mathrm{v})$, the Ne viII profile would be broadened beyond detection with the current $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ observations. For the O vi system at $z=0.42660$, the broadening of the O vi absorption favors a high temperature, but no Ne viII is detected; since the O vi system can be photoionized and nonthermally broadened, a cooler temperature is possible.

Solar reference abundances have changed quite significantly over the recent years for C, O, and Ne. Since Savage et al. (2005) produced the summary of recent solar abundance revisions (see their Table 5), recent helioseismological observations have been used to argue that the Ne abundance given by Asplund et al. (2004) is too low to be consistent with the solar interior (Bahcall et al. 2005). Recently, Drake \& Testa (2005) derived Ne/O abundances from Chandra X-ray spectra of solar-type stars and found $\mathrm{Ne} / \mathrm{O}$ abundances that are 2.7 times larger than the values reported by Asplund et al. (2004), which alleviates the helioseismology problem. But if the Ne abundance is -3.73 instead of -4.16 , it would further complicate the explanation for the low frequency of occurrence of Ne viii systems. It is still unclear what the definitive value is for the solar Ne abundance, since recent re-analysis of solar spectra still yields a low value (e.g., Young 2005).

The WHIM at $T \gtrsim 10^{6} \mathrm{~K}$ (where the bulk of the baryons should be formed, according to simulations) remains still to be discovered with EUV-FUV metal-line observations. So far, only the X-ray detections of O vii in nearby systems at $z=$ $0.011,0.027$ discussed by Nicastro et al. (2005) imply temperatures of a few $\times 10^{6} \mathrm{~K}$. The nondetection of hot systems with $T>7 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~K}$ via Ne viII may have several explanations and implications. If the O vi systems are mainly photoionized, it is not surprising to find very few containing Ne viII. Assuming that the numerical simulations of the WHIM are correct, the bulk of


FIg. 19.-(a) Logarithmic column densities of Ne viII against O vi observed toward HE 0226-4110. Upside-down triangles represent data that are $3 \sigma$ upper limits for $N(\mathrm{O} \mathrm{vI})$ and $N(\mathrm{Ne} v \mathrm{vII})$. The solid straight line corresponds to a $1: 1$ relationship. (b) The solid curve represents the relationship between the ratio of Ne viII to O vI and the temperature in a CIE model assuming a solar relative abundance from Asplund et al. (2004) between Ne and O. The circles are observed column densities for which $N(\mathrm{O}$ vI) is measured and $N(\mathrm{Ne}$ viII) is a measure (filled circle) or a $3 \sigma$ upper limit (open circles). These data have been obtained along the lines of sight to HE 0226-4110, PKS 0405-123, and PG 1259+593. These data are put on the CIE curve. This figure shows that, if CIE applies and if the relative abundances are solar, the WHIM $\log T \sim 5.7-5.8$ has only been detected in one of 10 O vi systems. Most O vi systems trace gas with $T<5 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~K}$ based on the broadening of the lines.
the WHIM may have a lower metallicity than the gas traced by hot O vi absorbers. Broad Ly $\alpha$ absorbers that trace the WHIM appear in some cases to have a 0.01 solar abundance (see $\S 4.2$ ). The relative abundances may not be always solar. Low-metallicity environments are known to have relative abundances different from those in the Sun. CIE models may not be a valid representation of the distribution of ions in hot gas in the WHIM, but we note that the nonequilibrium cooling flow models by Heckman et al. (2002) would generally also predict too much Ne viII (see Table 7). Additional and more sensitive searches for Ne viII would be valuable for better statistics and a better understanding of the Ne viII systems, and their frequency of occurrence in the IGM.

### 5.4. Metallicity of the Low-Redshift Metal Systems

Metallicity is a quantity that is fundamental in obtaining estimates of $\Omega_{b}$ from metal-line absorbers and following the chemical enrichment of the IGM. A metallicity of 0.1 solar is generally adopted for estimating $\Omega_{b}(\mathrm{O} v \mathrm{vI})$ (e.g., Tripp et al. 2000; Danforth \& Shull 2005), but since $\Omega_{b} \propto Z^{-1}$, a change in the adopted metallicity can significantly alter the estimated $\Omega_{b}$. Table 6 provides recent metallicity estimates via photoionization models of O vi systems in the low-redshift IGM. If these systems are primarily photoionized, they are not tracers of the WHIM. But abundance measurements in collisionally gas are generally not reliable. Combining the results in Table 6, we find a median abundance for the photoionized O vi systems of -0.5 dex solar with a large scatter of $\pm 0.5$ dex around this value. Only 4 of 13 systems appear to be either at solar abundance or less than $1 / 10$ solar. If those systems are not taken into account, the metallicity is $-0.45 \pm 0.10$ dex. If the metallicity of the photoionized O vi systems reflects the metallicity of the collisionally ionized O vi systems, $\Omega_{b}$ derived via O vi would decrease by a factor of $\sim 3$ since $\Omega_{b} \propto Z^{-1}$.

## 6. SUMMARY

We present the analysis of the intervening absorption and the interpretation of the metal-line systems in the FUSE and STIS

FUV spectra of the QSO HE $0226-4110\left(z_{\mathrm{em}}=0.495\right)$. Due to the low fraction of Galactic ISM molecular hydrogen along this line of sight, HE $0226-4110$ provides an excellent opportunity to search for metals associated with the Ly $\alpha$ forest. For each Ly $\alpha$ absorber, we systematically search for $\mathrm{C}_{\text {III, }} \mathrm{O}_{\text {III, }} \mathrm{O}_{\text {IV, }} \mathrm{O}_{\text {vI, and }}$ Ne viII. We also search for O vi and Ne viII doublets not associated with H i. For each metal-line system detected, we also search for any other metals that may be present. The richest intervening metal-line system along the HE 0226-4110 sight line is at $z=0.20701$. This system was fully discussed by Savage et al. (2005). We examine the ionic ratios to constrain the ionization mechanisms, the metallicity, and physical conditions of the absorbers using single-phase photoionization and collisional ionization models. We discuss our results in conjunction with analyses of the metal-line systems observed in several other lowredshift QSO spectra of similar quality. The main results are as follows:

1. We detect 4 O vi absorbers with rest frame equivalent widths $W_{\lambda} \gtrsim 50 \mathrm{~m} \AA$. A tentative detection at $z=0.42660$ is also reported, but this system could be misidentified because Ly $\alpha$ cannot be accessed. The number of intervening O vi systems with $W_{\lambda} \gtrsim 50 \mathrm{~m} \AA$ per unit redshift is $d \mathcal{N}(\mathrm{O} \mathrm{vI}) / d z \approx 11$ along the HE 0226-4110 line of sight. For 4 of the 5 O vi systems other ions (such as $\mathrm{C}_{\text {III, }} \mathrm{C}_{\text {iv, }} \mathrm{O}_{\text {III, }} \mathrm{O}_{\text {Iv }}$ ) are detected.
2. One O vi system at $z=0.20701$ cannot be explained by photoionization by the UV background (see Savage et al. 2005). For the other 4 O vi systems, photoionization can reproduce the observed column densities. However, for these systems, collisional ionization may also be the origin of the O vi. We note that if photoionization applies, the broadening of the metal lines must be mostly nonthermal, but the $\mathrm{H}_{\text {I }}$ broadening is mostly thermal.
3. Oxygen is particularly useful to study the ionization and physical conditions in the IGM because a wide range of ion states are accessible at FUV and EUV rest-frame wavelengths, including $\mathrm{O}_{\text {I, }} \mathrm{O}_{\text {II, }} \mathrm{O}_{\text {III, }} \mathrm{O}_{\text {Iv, }} \mathrm{O}$ v, and $\mathrm{O}_{\text {vi. }}$ Our results imply that $d \mathcal{N}\left(\mathrm{O}_{\text {II }}\right) / d z \ll d \mathcal{N}\left(\mathrm{O}_{\text {III }}\right) / d z \leq d \mathcal{N}\left(\mathrm{O}_{\text {Iv }}\right) / d z \approx d \mathcal{N}\left(\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{vI}}\right) / d z$. The low-redshift IGM is more highly ionized than weakly ionized
since the transitions for the different oxygen ions have similar values of $\lambda f$.
4. Following Prochaska et al. (2004), we confirm with a larger sample that the photoionized metal-line systems have a decreasing ionization parameter with increasing $\mathrm{H}_{\text {I }}$ column density. The O vI systems that can be explained by both photoionization and collisional ionization follow this relationship. This implies that the systems with photoionization/CIE degeneracy may likely be photoionized.
5. Combining our results with those toward 3 other sight lines, we show that there is a general increase of $N(\mathrm{O} \mathrm{vI})$ with increasing $b(\mathrm{O} \mathrm{vI})$ but with a large scatter. The observed distribution of $N(\mathrm{O} \mathrm{vI})$ and $b(\mathrm{O} \mathrm{vI})$ can be reproduced by cooling flow models computed by Heckman et al. (2002), but these models fail to reproduce the observed ionic ratios.
6. Combining results for several QSOs we find that the photoionized O vi systems in the low-redshift IGM have a median abundance of 0.3 solar, about 3 times the metallicity typically used to derive $\Omega_{b}$ in the warm-hot IGM gas.
7. Along the path to HE 0226-4110, there is one detection of Ne viII at $z=0.20701$ that implies a gas temperature of $5.4 \times$ $10^{5} \mathrm{~K}$. We did not detect other Ne viII systems, although our sensitivity should have allowed the detection of Ne viII in O vi systems at $T \sim(0.6-1.3) \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~K}$ if CIE applies and $\mathrm{Ne} / \mathrm{O}$ is solar. The hot part of the WHIM with $T>10^{6} \mathrm{~K}$ is believed to contain
most of the baryons. However, this hot phase of the WHIM remains to be discovered with FUV-EUV metal-line transitions.
8. This work shows that the origins of the O vi absorption (and the metal-line systems in general) are complex and cause several uncertainties in attempts to estimate $\Omega_{b}$ from O vi. In particular, the O vi ionization mechanism in several cases is indeterminate. High S/N FUV spectra obtained with COS or other future FUV instruments will be needed to solve several of the current observational uncertainties.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Based on observations made with the NASA-CNES-CSA Far Ultraviolet Spectroscopic Explorer. FUSE is operated for NASA by the Johns Hopkins University under NASA contract NAS5-32985. Based on observations made with the NASA/ESA Hubble Space Telescope, obtained at the Space Telescope Science Institute, which is operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Inc., under NASA contract NAS5-26555.
    ${ }_{2}$ Department of Astronomy, University of Wisconsin, 475 North Charter Street, Madison, WI 53706.
    ${ }^{3}$ Department of Physics, University of Notre Dame, 225 Nieuwland Science Hall, Notre Dame, IN 46556.
    ${ }^{4}$ Space Telescope Science Institute, 3700 San Martin Drive, Baltimore, MD 21218.
    ${ }^{5}$ Department of Astronomy, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA 01003.

[^1]:    Notes.-Ellipses signify that species cannot be observed because of blending; "n.a." signifies the species is not observable at this redshift; exclamation points indicate possible misidentification, see $\S 3.3$ for more details. Table 4 is also available in machine-readable form in the electronic edition of the Astrophysical Journal Supplement.
    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ The total column densities are presented, but the structure is more complex (see Savage et al. 2005).
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ These three systems correspond to the three absorption lines observed in the system denoted $z \simeq 0.27155$ in Table 3 .
    ${ }^{c}$ The limit is based on the Ly $\beta$ line (no detection), the corresponding Ly $\alpha$ line is redward of the available STIS wavelength range.

