## A POSSIBLE NEW POPULATION OF SOURCES WITH EXTREME X-RAY/OPTICAL RATIOS<sup>1</sup>

ANTON M. KOEKEMOER,<sup>2</sup> D. M. ALEXANDER,<sup>3</sup> F. E. BAUER,<sup>4</sup> J. BERGERON,<sup>5</sup> W. N. BRANDT,<sup>4</sup>

E. CHATZICHRISTOU,<sup>6</sup> S. CRISTIANI,<sup>7</sup> S. M. FALL,<sup>2</sup> N. A. GROGIN,<sup>8</sup> M. LIVIO,<sup>2</sup> V. MAINIERI,<sup>9</sup>

L. A. MOUSTAKAS,<sup>2</sup> P. PADOVANI,<sup>2</sup> P. ROSATI,<sup>9</sup> E. J. SCHREIER,<sup>10</sup> AND C. M. URRY<sup>6</sup>

Received 2003 May 13; accepted 2003 May 29; published 2004 January 9

# ABSTRACT

We describe a possible new class of X-ray sources that have robust detections in ultradeep *Chandra* data yet have no detections at all in our deep multiband Great Observatories Origins Deep Survey *Hubble Space Telescope* Advanced Camera for Surveys (ACS) images, which represent the highest quality optical imaging obtained to date on these fields. These extreme X-ray/optical ratio sources (EXOs) have values of  $F_X/F_{opt}$  at least an order of magnitude above those generally found for other active galactic nuclei (AGNs), even those that are harbored by reddened hosts. We thus infer two possible scenarios: (1) if these sources lie at redshifts  $z \leq 6$ , then their hosts need to be exceedingly underluminous or more reddened, compared with other known sources, or (2) if these sources lie above  $z \sim 6-7$ , such that even their Ly $\alpha$  emission is redshifted out of the bandpass of our ACS  $z_{850}$  filter, then their optical and X-ray fluxes can be accounted for in terms of relatively normal  $\sim L_*$  hosts and moderate-luminosity AGNs.

Subject headings: galaxies: active — galaxies: evolution — galaxies: high-redshift — surveys — X-rays: galaxies

### 1. INTRODUCTION

A key question in astrophysics concerns the evolution of active galactic nuclei (AGNs) during the "quasar epoch" ( $z \sim 2-3$ ) and at higher redshifts, where their space density declines (Fan et al. 2001, 2003; Barger et al. 2003). Their evolution appears to track the star formation rate (e.g., Steidel et al. 1999), thereby suggesting an empirical link between galaxy growth and AGN fueling. A connection between galaxies and AGNs is also suggested by the black hole/bulge mass relationship (Ferrarese & Merritt 2000; Gebhardt et al. 2000).

A powerful tool to investigate the physical nature of such relationships is AGN X-ray emission, which above a few keV can penetrate obscuration around AGNs. Ultradeep X-ray surveys with *Chandra* on the Hubble Deep Field–North (HDF-N; Brandt et al. 2001) and Chandra Deep Field–South (CDF-S; Giacconi et al. 2002) are sufficiently sensitive to reveal AGNs beyond the tentative reionization epoch ( $z \sim 6-7$ ; Fan et al. 2001, 2003), where optical information is no longer available. These surveys also probe more numerous, lower luminosity AGNs at  $z \sim 3-6$ , where ultradeep optical and near-IR imaging can constrain their properties.

In this Letter we describe a sample of sources with extreme

<sup>1</sup> Based on observations obtained with the NASA/ESA *Hubble Space Telescope*, which is operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy (AURA), Inc., under NASA contract NAS5-26555.

<sup>2</sup> Space Telescope Science Institute, 3700 San Martin Drive, Baltimore, MD 21218; koekemoe@stsci.edu.

<sup>3</sup> Institute of Astronomy, Madingley Road, Cambridge CB3 0HA, UK.

<sup>4</sup> Department of Astronomy and Astrophysics, Pennsylvania State University, 525 Davey Laboratory, University Park, PA 16802.

<sup>5</sup> Institut d'Astrophysique de Paris, 98bis Boulevard Arago, F-75014 Paris, France.

<sup>6</sup> Yale Center for Astronomy and Astrophysics, Department of Physics (JWG 460), Yale University, P.O. Box 208121, New Haven, CT 06520-8121.

<sup>7</sup> INAF–Osservatorio Astronomico, via Tiepolo 11, I-34131 Trieste, Italy.

<sup>8</sup> Department of Physics and Astronomy, Johns Hopkins University, 3400 North Charles Street, Baltimore, MD 21218.

<sup>9</sup> European Southern Observatory, Karl-Schwarzschild-Strasse 2, Garching D-85748, Germany.

<sup>10</sup> Associated Universities, Inc., 1400 16th Street, Northwest, Suite 730, Washington, DC 20036.

X-ray/optical flux ratios (EXOs), which are robustly detected (25–89 counts) in the 2 ms HDF-N and reprocessed 1 ms CDF-S main catalogs (Alexander et al. 2003). They are also detected in near-IR *JHK* imaging but completely undetected in our deep Great Observatories Origins Deep Survey *Hubble Space Telescope*/Advanced Camera for Surveys (ACS) survey, which to date is the most sensitive and detailed optical imaging of these fields (Giavalisco et al. 2004). We discuss various possible interpretations for these objects. Throughout this Letter we adopt  $H_0 = 70 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$ ,  $\Omega_M = 0.3$ , and  $\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.7$ .

## 2. OBSERVATIONS AND SAMPLE DESCRIPTION

We began by matching the X-ray catalogs (Alexander et al. 2003) to our ACS  $z_{850}$  catalogs (Giavalisco et al. 2004); details are in A. Koekemoer et al. (2004, in preparation) and F. E. Bauer et al. (2004, in preparation). The matched sources are mostly moderate-luminosity AGNs at  $z \sim 0.5-4$  or star-forming galaxies at  $z \leq 0.5-1$  (e.g., Hornschemeier et al. 2001; Schreier et al. 2001; Alexander et al. 2001; Koekemoer et al. 2002).

The remaining sources were inspected in detail by overlaying X-ray contours on the  $z_{850}$  and the combined  $B_{435} + V_{606} + i_{775} + z_{850}$  images. Most of these had faint optical counterparts, below the formal 10  $\sigma$   $z_{850}$  catalog threshold or undetected in  $z_{850}$  but detected in another band (perhaps high–equivalent width Ly $\alpha$  emitters). Detection in  $z_{850}$  or bluer bands suggests that these sources are at  $z \leq 6$  (Barger et al. 2003; Cristiani et al. 2004).

Finally, there remained sources with no ACS counterparts within 2".4 ( $\geq 10$  times the positional uncertainty) in  $z_{850}$  and the combined  $B_{435} + V_{606} + i_{775} + z_{850}$  images, despite robust detections in the X-ray main catalogs (25–89 counts). We focus on CDF-S where we have complete ACS and near-IR coverage; HDF-N sources will be discussed separately (A. Koekemoer et al. 2004, in preparation). Five of these seven CDF-S sources have fairly well-behaved X-ray exposure maps; the other two are still detected in *JHK*, thus are likely also real. The sources are also at a wide range of off-axis angles in the *Chandra* image. We computed  $z_{850}$  upper limits from the pixel rms



FIG. 1.—The seven CDF-S X-ray sources with no ACS counterparts, also showing the Son of ISAAC (SOFI) JHK detections. Each panel is 15" on a side. Contours show 0.5–8 keV Chandra data, starting at 1, 2, and 3  $\sigma$  and doubling thereafter.

(0.0017–0.0024 counts s<sup>-1</sup> pixel<sup>-1</sup>), integrated over a 0.2 × 0.2 aperture (4 × 4 ACS pixel box), to yield 3  $\sigma$  upper limits<sup>11</sup> of  $z_{850} \sim 27.9-28.4$  (AB magnitudes).

### 3. EXOs: EXTREME X-RAY/OPTICAL RATIO SOURCES

The seven sources with no ACS counterparts are shown in Figure 1. We carried out photometry at the X-ray positions and

<sup>11</sup> Using the same zero points as Giavalisco et al. (2004).

present the ACS upper limits and near-IR detections in Table 1. To further investigate the nature of these sources, we examine the relationship between their X-ray and optical fluxes (e.g., Maccacaro et al. 1988; Stocke et al. 1991). In Figure 2 we plot  $F_{0.5-8 \text{ keV}}$  versus  $z_{850}$  for all the X-ray sources, including the ACS nondetections, also showing lines of constant  $F_X/F_{opt}$  (derived from  $z_{850}$ ). At soft X-ray energies this ratio is complicated by absorption and thermal gas emission (e.g., Beuermann et al. 1999), but these no longer dominate above a few keV, which

TABLE 1	
OPTICALLY UNDETECTED X-RAY	Sources

R.A. <sup>a</sup> (J2000.0)	Decl. <sup>a</sup> (J2000.0)	Total Counts (0.5-8 keV)	$F_{0.5-8 \text{ keV}}$ (ergs s <sup>-1</sup> cm <sup>-2</sup> )	Z <sub>850</sub>	J	Н	K
03 32 08.39	$-27 \ 40 \ 47.0$	$89^{+15}_{-14}$	$4.3 \times 10^{-15}$	>27.9	$25.2 \pm 1.3$	$23.3 \pm 0.2$	$24.8 \pm 1.1$
03 32 08.89	-27 44 24.3	$27^{+9}_{-8}$	$3.8 \times 10^{-16}$	>28.3	>25.9	$24.8~\pm~0.5$	$23.8~\pm~0.6$
03 32 13.92	$-27\ 50\ 00.7$	$44^{+9}_{-8}$	$6.1 \times 10^{-16}$	>28.3	$23.9 \pm 0.4$	$22.7 \pm 0.1$	$22.4 \pm 0.2$
03 32 20.36	$-27\ 42\ 28.5$	$42^{+11}_{-10}$	$8.7 \times 10^{-16}$	>28.3	>25.9	>25.1	>25.0
03 32 25.83	-27 51 20.3	$25^{+7}_{-6}$	$3.3 \times 10^{-16}$	>28.4	>25.9	>25.1	$23.3 \pm 0.4$
03 32 33.14	-275205.9	$44_{-7}^{+9}$	$5.9 \times 10^{-16}$	>28.4	$25.7 \pm 1.1$	$24.8 \pm 0.5$	$25.4 \pm 1.5$
03 32 51.64	$-27\ 52\ 12.8$	$45_{-9}^{+11}$	$1.3 \times 10^{-15}$	>28.4	>25.9	$24.1~\pm~0.3$	$23.5~\pm~0.6$

NOTE.-Units of right ascension are hours, minutes, and seconds, and units of declination are degrees, arcminutes, and arcseconds.

<sup>a</sup> Positions for the X-ray sources, from Alexander et al. 2003.



FIG. 2.—Total X-ray flux (0.5–8 keV) against  $z_{850}$  magnitude for all the X-ray sources, including those unidentified in  $z_{850}$  (diamonds with arrows). Lines indicate  $F_X/F_{opt} = 0.1$ , 1, and 10. Symbol shading shows the  $z_{850}-K$  color of each source, ranging from light to dark for  $z_{850}-K \sim 0-5$ . White symbols (*no shading*) were undetected in *K*. Squares show our measured ACS magnitudes for sources reported as undetected in ground-based data by Yan et al. (2003), and triangles indicate X-ray–selected EROs in the Lockman Hole (Stevens et al. 2003).

is redshifted into the soft band for  $z \ge 1-2$ . Sources with  $F_{\rm X}/F_{\rm opt} \ge 0.1-10$  are generally identified with AGNs; these deep X-ray data also reveal normal galaxies and starbursts (e.g., Hornschemeier et al. 2003) with  $F_{\rm X}/F_{\rm opt}$  extending a few orders of magnitude below AGNs, but at  $z_{850} \ge 24$  these are lost from the X-ray sample and the AGNs dominate.

Figure 2 also reveals that our  $z_{850}$ -undetected objects occupy the high end of the  $F_X/F_{opt}$  plane, above most of the detected optically faint sources. This is interesting, since it is not expected a priori that optically undetected objects should have strong X-ray flux. We also plot our measured ACS magnitudes for CDF-S sources reported as undetected in ground-based data by Yan et al. (2003) and show extremely red objects (EROs) from previous studies (Alexander et al. 2002; Stevens et al. 2003).

In Figure 3 we plot  $F_X/F_{opt}$  against  $z_{850}-K$ ; the normal galaxies and starbursts with  $F_X/F_{opt} \leq 0.1-1$  are blue, while the only objects with  $z_{850}-K > 4$  are those with high  $F_X/F_{opt}$  (see also Brusa et al. 2002; Cagnoni et al. 2002). Not *all* the high  $F_X/F_{opt}$  objects are red; some are blue, with  $z_{850}-K \sim 0-2$ , and are likely unobscured AGNs. However, at high  $F_X/F_{opt}$  we also find the reddest objects, with most of our undetected  $z_{850}$ sources at the extreme end with  $z_{850}-K \geq 4.2-6.2$ .

#### 4. POSSIBLE CONSTITUENTS OF THE EXO POPULATION

We now examine various possibilities for these sources in order to explain (1) deep optical nondetection, (2) robust X-ray detection, and (3) extremely red colors. We rule out main-sequence stars as these typically have  $F_X/F_{opt} \sim 10^{-5}-0.1$  (e.g., Stocke et al. 1991). More exotic Galactic sources include low-mass X-ray binaries, cataclysmic variables, or neutron stars, which generally have  $L_x \sim 10^{30}-10^{35}$  ergs s<sup>-1</sup> (e.g., Verbunt & Johnston 1996 and references therein). At distances  $\sim 10^{-100}$  kpc they would have X-ray fluxes  $\leq 10^{-14}$  ergs s<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup>, consistent with what is observed. However, the number of sources in our small survey area would imply total counts  $\sim 10^{4}-10^{5}$  times above what is known for our Galaxy (e.g., Howell & Szkody 1990; Alexander et al. 2001). Thus we turn to an extragalactic origin for the EXOs.



FIG. 3.—Ratio of  $F_{0.5-8 \text{ keV}}$  to  $z_{850}$  for all the X-ray sources, plotted against  $z_{850}-K$ . Symbol shapes are the same as in Fig. 2; however, shading represents  $z_{850}$ , fainter sources being darker. Normal galaxies and starbursts ( $F_X/F_{opt} \leq 0.1-1$ ) are relatively blue, and the only redder objects are those with higher  $F_X/F_{opt}$ .

Low-luminosity galaxies can host relatively luminous X-ray sources, for example, the local dwarf NGC 4395 ( $M_B \sim -16.5$ ) with a central X-ray source  $L_{2-10 \text{ keV}} \sim 3 \times 10^{38}$  ergs s<sup>-1</sup> (Ho et al. 2001). However, such objects do not have the extremely red colors of our sources. Moreover, our  $z_{850}$  limits would imply a distance modulus  $\gtrsim 45.3$  mag, hence  $L_X \gtrsim 3 \times 10^{42}$ –8 ×  $10^{43}$  ergs s<sup>-1</sup>, at least  $10^4$  times above those for such dwarfs locally. It would be highly interesting if the EXOs were low-luminosity dwarfs with such extreme AGNs, but since we know of no local analogs, we explore other alternatives.

## 4.1. Evolved Galaxies

A possible alternative is that the EXOs may be at moderate redshifts and extremely evolved. At  $z \sim 1$ , 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6, the oldest possible populations (5.7, 3.2, 2.1, 1.5, 1.2, and 0.9 Gyr) would have observed colors  $z_{850}-K \sim 1$ , 4, 4.5, 3.5, 3.2, and 3, respectively, for a single-burst model with 0.3 solar metallicity (S. Charlot & G. Bruzual 2004, in preparation). At least  $\sim 1-2$  mag of extinction would be required since we observe no UV excess. These properties are comparable to those derived for other X-ray EROs (e.g., Alexander et al. 2002; Brusa et al. 2002; Stevens et al. 2003). However, it is interesting to note that the *K*-band magnitudes are  $\sim 100$  times too low compared to what is needed to place these objects on the  $M_{\rm BH}$ - $\sigma$  relation (see Woo & Urry 2002).

#### 4.2. Dusty Galaxies

We next explore the amount of dust needed to achieve the high  $z_{850}-K$  colors. If these sources were local ( $z \le 0.1$ ), then  $z_{850}-K \ge 4.5$  would imply  $A_V \ge 10-14$  (Rieke & Lubofsky 1985; Calzetti 1997), depending on the stellar populations. This would require a column  $N_{\rm H} \ge (2-5) \times 10^{22} \,{\rm cm}^{-2}$ , which is too high for the observed soft X-ray fluxes unless we invoke a highly interesting scenario in which the AGN is unobscured while the rest of the galaxy is completely obscured.

At higher redshifts, the  $A_V$  limits decrease since  $z_{850}$  and K sample bluer rest-frame wavelengths. In the range  $z \sim 1-2.5$  we infer  $A_V \gtrsim 5-2$ , comparable to similar objects discussed by Alexander et al. (2002), Smail et al. (2002), Stevens et al. (2003), and Yan et al. (2003). Again it is interesting that the EXO host luminosities at these redshifts, from their K mag-

REFERENCES

nitudes, would place them at least 2 orders of magnitude away from the  $M_{\rm BH}$ - $\sigma$  relation implied by their X-ray fluxes, suggesting atypical accretion rates (Woo & Urry 2002).

### 4.3. High-Redshift Lyman Break Galaxies

Finally we investigate the possibility that these sources are at high enough redshifts to move the Lyman break out of the  $z_{850}$  filter. Yan et al. (2003) investigated similar possibilities for their CDF-S sources undetected in ground-based R imaging but detected with the Infrared Spectrometer And Array Camera (ISAAC). We have in fact detected their sources in our ACS  $z_{850}$  data (Fig. 2) and agree with them that those sources are unlikely to be at  $z \ge 5$ . However, their sources are the only ones with  $F_X/F_{opt}$  comparable to our EXOs. Thus, if the EXOs belong to the same population as the Yan et al. (2003) sources, then their distance modulus is  $\geq 1.5-2$  mag higher. If the Yan et al. (2003) sources are luminous E/S0 galaxies with  $z \sim$ 1–2.5, this would translate to  $z \sim 2.5-6$  for the EXO population. The other possibility considered by Yan et al. (2003) is that their sources may be higher redshift AGNs up to  $z \sim$ 3-5, depending on extinction details. If EXOs are the highredshift extension of this population, this would place them at  $z \sim 6-10$ . In this case, their host galaxy absolute magnitudes (from our K-band data) would be -20 to -21, comparable to  $\sim L_*$  luminosities, while their X-ray luminosities would be  $\sim (2-$ 6)  $\times$  10<sup>44</sup> ergs s<sup>-1</sup>. These are not unusually high luminosities for AGNs and may be consistent with a high-redshift extension of moderate-luminosity AGNs at  $z \sim 3-5$  (e.g., Cristiani et al. 2004).

- Alexander, D., Brandt, W. N., Hornschemeier, A., Garmire, G., Schneider, D., Bauer, F., & Griffiths, R. 2001, AJ, 122, 2156
- Alexander, D., Vignali, C., Bauer, F., Brandt, W. N., Hornschemeier, A., Garmire, G., & Schneider, D. 2002, AJ, 123, 1149
- Alexander, D., et al. 2003, AJ, 126, 539
- Barger, A., Cowie, L., Capak, P., Alexander, D., Bauer, F., Brandt, W. N., Garmire, G., & Hornschemeier, A. 2003, ApJ, 584, L61
- Beuermann, K., Thomas, H.-C., Reinsch, K., Schwope, A. D., Trümper, J., & Voges, W. 1999, A&A, 347, 47
- Brandt, W. N., et al. 2001, AJ, 122, 1
- Brusa, M., Comastri, A., Daddi, E., Cimatti, A., Mignoli, M., & Pozzetti, L. 2002, ApJ, 581, L89
- Cagnoni, I., Elvis, M., Kim, D. W., Nicastro, F., & Celotti, A. 2002, ApJ, 579, 148
- Calzetti, D. 1997, AJ, 113, 162
- Cristiani, S., et al. 2004, ApJ, 600, L119
- Fan, X., et al. 2001, AJ, 121, 54
- ——. 2003, AJ, 125, 1649
- Ferrarese, L., & Merritt, D. 2000, ApJ, 539, L9
- Gebhardt, K., et al. 2000, ApJ, 539, L13
- Giacconi, R., et al. 2002, ApJS, 139, 369
- Giavalisco, M., et al. 2004, ApJ, 600, L93

- Ho, L., et al. 2001, ApJ, 549, L51
- Hornschemeier, A. E., et al. 2001, ApJ, 554, 742
- Howell, S. B., & Szkody, P. 1990, ApJ, 356, 623
- Koekemoer, A. M., et al. 2002, ApJ, 567, 657
- Maccacaro, T., Gioia, I., Wolter, A., Zamorani, G., & Stocke, J. 1988, ApJ, 326, 680
- Rieke, G. H., & Lebofsky, M. J. 1985, ApJ, 288, 618
- Schreier, E., et al. 2001, ApJ, 560, 127
- Smail, I., Owen, F., Morrison, G., Keel, W., Ivison, R., & Ledlow, M. 2002, ApJ, 581, 844
- Steidel, C., Adelberger, K., Giavalisco, M., Dickinson, M., & Pettini, M. 1999, ApJ, 519, 1
- Stevens, J., Page, M., Ivison, R., Smail, I., Lehmann, I., Hasinger, G., & Szokoly, G. 2003, MNRAS, 342, 249
- Stocke, J., Morris, S., Gioia, I., Maccacaro, T., Schild, R., Wolter, A., Fleming, T., & Henry, J. 1991, ApJS, 76, 813
- Verbunt, F., & Johnston, H. M. 1996, in ASP Conf. Ser. 90, The Origins, Evolution, and Destinies of Binary Stars in Clusters, ed. E. F. Milone & J.-C. Mermilliod (San Francisco: ASP), 300
- Woo, J.-H., & Urry, C. M. 2002, ApJ, 579, 530
- Yan, H., Windhorst, R., Röttgering, H., Cohen, S., Odewahn, S., Chapman, S., & Keel, W. 2003, ApJ, 585, 67

#### 5. CONCLUSIONS

We have examined various possible explanations for a population of objects that have extreme X-ray/optical ratios (EXOs), being robustly detected in the *Chandra* data in CDF-S and HDF-N, yet completely undetected in our deep multiband  $B_{435} + V_{606} + i_{775} + z_{850}$  ACS images, which represent the most sensitive optical imaging obtained to date on these fields. In particular, the lack of detections even in the  $z_{850}$  band suggests one of two possible scenarios: (1) if these sources lie at  $z \leq 6-7$ , then their hosts are unusually underluminous, or more reddened, compared with other known AGN hosts (even other EROs), or (2) if these sources lie above  $z \sim 6-7$ , such that even their Ly $\alpha$  emission is redshifted out of the ACS  $z_{850}$  bandpass, then their optical and X-ray fluxes can be accounted for in terms of  $\sim L_*$  hosts and moderate-luminosity AGNs. Deep near-IR spectroscopic observations will help further elucidate their nature.

Partial support for this work was provided by NASA through grant HST-GO-09425.01-A from the Space Telescope Science Institute, which is operated by AURA, Inc., under NASA contract NAS5-26555. We thank the referee for a speedy review and for valuable suggestions that helped to improve this Letter. D. M. A. gratefully acknowledges the generous support from the Royal Society. F. E. B. and W. N. B. wish to thank NSF CAREER award AST 99-83783.