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#### Abstract

In this paper, we present an LTE abundance analysis of two new proto-planetary nebulae, IRAS Z02229 +6208 and IRAS $07430+1115$, based on high-resolution ( $R \approx 55,000$ ) optical echelle spectra. Results show that both stars are metal-poor $([\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]=-0.5)$ and overabundant in $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{N}$, and $s$-process elements. The average elemental abundances are $[\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{Fe}]=+0.8,[\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{Fe}]=+1.2$, and $[s$-process $/ \mathrm{Fe}]=+1.4$ for IRAS Z02229 +6208 , and $[\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{Fe}]=+0.6,[\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{Fe}]=+0.4$, and $[s$-process $/$ $\mathrm{Fe}]=+1.6$ for IRAS $07430+1115$. These abundances suggest that the stars have experienced nucleosynthesis on the asymptotic giant branch (AGB), and the resultant products of CNO, $3 \alpha$, and $s$-process reactions were brought to the photosphere during shell flashes and deep mixing episodes during the AGB phase of their evolution. Of major significance is the measurement of a high Li abundance in both stars, $\log \epsilon(\mathrm{Li}) \approx 2.3$ and 2.4 for IRAS Z02229 +6208 and IRAS $07430+1115$, respectively. This may be the result of hot bottom burning, below the deep convective zone. We also present an analysis of the circumstellar molecular ( $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ and CN ) and atomic ( $\mathrm{Na}_{\mathrm{I}}$ and $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{I}}$ ) absorption spectra of both stars. We derive rotational temperatures, column densities, and envelope expansion velocities using molecular $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ Phillips and CN Red system bands. The values derived for expansion velocities, $8-14 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, are typical of the values found for post-AGB stars. IRAS $07430+1115$ is unusual in that it shows P Cygni-shaped $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ emission profiles in the spectra of the circumstellar envelope. A minimum distance for IRAS Z02229 +6208 , determined from interstellar Na I lines, suggests that it is evolved from an intermediate-mass star. Including these two stars, the number of post-AGB stars for which clear C, N, and $s$-process elemental overabundances are found rises to eight. IRAS Z02229+6208 is known to possess the $21 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ emission feature in its mid-infrared spectrum; these results support the idea that all $21 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ emission stars are carbon-rich post-AGB stars.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

The proto-planetary nebula (PPN) phase is one of the important but least understood stages in the evolution of low- and intermediate-mass stars. Objects in this stage are in transition between the asymptotic giant branch (AGB) and planetary nebula (PN) phases. The study of PPNs became active only after the launching of the Infrared Astronomical Satellite (IRAS) in 1983. Since then many objects have been proposed as PPN or post-AGB candidates based on their infrared colors and follow-up groundbased observations (Parthasarathy \& Pottasch 1986; Hrivnak, Kwok, \& Volk 1989; Hrivnak 1995; Reddy \& Parthasarathy 1996; García-Lario et al. 1997). The general properties of PPNs have been summarized by Kwok (1993) and more recently by Hrivnak (1997).

Analysis of the chemical composition is probably the best tool to ascertain the post-AGB nature of these stars and also to understand both the nucleosynthesis mechanisms during the AGB phase and during the subsequent evolution toward the PN phase. Chemical composition analysis of many bright, high-latitude supergiants, which were pre-

[^0]viously thought to be post-AGB stars, showed that their large CNO abundances are due not to nucleosynthesis but rather to depletion of iron-peak elements by the circumstellar dust (Bond 1991; Lambert 1991; Van Winckel, Mathis, \& Waelkens 1992). Van Winckel, Waelkens, \& Waters (1995) found that many of these high-latitude stars are binaries, and they concluded that these more likely represent a particular stage of binary evolution rather than being typical post-AGB stars. (See also Van Winckel 1999 for a good summary).

Recently a subgroup of post-AGB candidates emerged with the discovery of a $21 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ emission feature in their mid-infrared spectra (Kwok, Volk, \& Hrivnak 1989; Kwok, Hrivnak, \& Geballe 1995; Omont et al. 1995; Kwok, Volk, \& Hrivnak 1999). These studies showed that the $21 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ emission feature was not found either in PNs or in AGB stars, suggesting that it is only in the dust envelopes of transition objects (PPNs), under special physical conditions. Although the nature of the $21 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ emission feature is not known, a good correlation exits between the presence of carbon molecules such as $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ in the optical and the presence of the $21 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ feature (Hrivnak 1995; Bakker et al. 1996, 1997), which indicates that this feature originates in a carbon-rich dust envelope. Thus the presence of a $21 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ feature may be direct evidence of a carbon-rich dust envelope and hence a carbon-rich nature of the photosphere.

Elemental abundance analyses have recently been carried out for several $21 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ PPNs: IRAS 07134+1005 (HD 56126: Parthasarathy, García-Lario, \& Pottasch

TABLE 1
Some Observational Properties ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Parameter | Z02229 + 6208 | $07430+1115$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| V $\ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .$. | 12.1 | 12.6 |
| $B-V \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots .$. | 2.8 | 1.9 |
| $l(\mathrm{deg}) \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots .$. | 133.7 | 208.9 |
| $b$ (deg) ................ | +1.5 | +17.1 |
| Spectral type........ | G8-K0 0-Ia: | G5 0-Ia: |
| Infrared excess ...... | Yes | Yes |
| $21 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ emission...... | Yes | No |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Hrivnak \& Kwok 1999.
1992; Klochkova 1995), IRAS $22272+5435$ (HD 235858: Začs, Klochkova, \& Panchuk 1995), IRAS 19500-1709 (HD 187885: Van Winckel, Waelkens, \& Waters 1996), IRAS $05341+0852$ (Reddy et al. 1997), and IRAS $22223+4727$ and IRAS $04296+3429$ (Decin et al. 1998). These indeed show large $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{O}$ ratios and $s$-process elemental enhancements, consistent with AGB nucleosynthesis, shell flashes, and dredge-up. Although these stars seem to represent clearly the post-AGB phase of evolution and the expected products of nucleosynthesis on the AGB phase, they also pose new questions. The $s$-process elemental distribution, represented by the ratio of heavy-to-light elements, $[h s / l s]$, is found to be lower than expected (Decin et al. 1998). The finding of a high Li abundance in a few of these carbon-rich PPNs (Začs et al. 1995; Reddy et al. 1997) is surprising. Since Li is very fragile (easily destroyed at few times $10^{6} \mathrm{~K}$ ), its abundance is expected to be very low (Brown et al. 1989) owing to depletion in the pre-mainsequence and main-sequence phases and by dilution on the RGB and AGB phases where the stars develop deep convective envelope. The chemical composition analysis of more of this class of objects may serve to constrain the theoretical models and hence may lead to a better understanding of the role of thermal pulses, nucleosynthesis, mixing mechanisms, and the transition process of oxygenrich to carbon-rich stars during the AGB phase of evolution.

In this paper we present detailed chemical composition analyses of two new PPNs, IRAS Z02229 + 6208 and IRAS $07430+1115$. Both objects were initially chosen as PPN candidates based on their mid-infrared IRAS colors. Ground-based follow-up revealed them each to have a double-peaked spectral energy distribution, spectral type of $G$ supergiants, and $C_{2}$ and $C_{3}$ absorption features. PAH features were also seen in both, with IRAS Z02229+6208 also possessing the $21 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ emission feature (Hrivnak \& Kwok 1999). In Table 1 we summarize some of their observational properties. (Note that the letter " $Z$ " in the name of IRAS Z02229 +6208 indicates that this source is listed in the IRAS Faint Source Reject File but not in the IRAS Point Source Catalog or Faint Source Catalog; for details see, Hrivnak \& Kwok 1999).

## 2. OBSERVATIONS

Spectra were obtained on 1996 December 19-23, with the McDonald Observatory 2.7 m telescope, using the crossdispersed coudé echelle spectrograph (Tull et al. 1995) and a CCD of $2048 \times 2048$ pixels. Thirty-six spectral orders were recorded, providing spectral coverage from 5200 to $9800 \AA$ with gaps between the orders. We obtained three or four 30
minute exposures for each of two settings, to improve the $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ ratio and also to correct better for cosmic ray hits. By combining the spectra, we achieved an average $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N} \approx 100$ for IRAS Z02229+6208 and $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N} \approx 70$ for IRAS $07430+1115$. The spectral resolution is $R(\lambda / \Delta \lambda) \approx 55,000$, as measured from the $\mathrm{Th}-\mathrm{Ar}$ arc spectral lines.

The observed spectra were reduced using the standard NOAO IRAF package. The spectra were bias subtracted, flat-field corrected to remove pixel-to-pixel variations, and converted to one-dimensional spectra. The spectra were wavelength calibrated using Th-Ar arc spectra obtained immediately following each observation. We also obtained spectra of several hot, rapidly rotating stars, which were used to identify the telluric absorption lines. The wavelength-calibrated spectra were normalized to the continuum, and the equivalent widths of the absorption lines were measured using the routines available in the SPLOT package of IRAF. The equivalent widths were measured by Gaussian fitting to the observed profiles.

## 3. SPECTRAL ANALYSIS

### 3.1. Spectral Description

Both stars show numerous sharp absorption lines in their spectra, typical of cool evolved supergiants. A few selected regions of the spectra of both the stars are presented in Figure 1. Several important lines are indicated on the spectra. The Li I resonance lines at $6707 \AA$ and Al I lines at 6696 and $6698 \AA$ have been identified in both of the spectra, as well as strong lines of the $s$-process elements Y II, La II, and Nd II (see Fig. 1). No atomic emission lines are seen. Both IRAS Z02229 +6208 and IRAS $07430+1115$ show circumstellar absorption lines of $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ Phillips and CN Red system bands in their spectra. A complex series of Na I D lines and a series of K I lines are seen in the spectra of both stars. These will be discussed later.

### 3.2. Radial Velocities

A summary of the radial velocity measurements for both stars is presented in Table 2. We have chosen good lines for measuring the radial velocities of these stars, avoiding all lines that are very strong or asymmetric. To investigate radial velocity trends with the lower excitation potential (LEP) of an element, we have listed in Table 2 the average LEP ( $\overline{\mathrm{LEP}}$ ) and the average heliocentric radial velocity $\left(V_{r}\right)$ for each element, along with the number of lines $(n)$ used. The results do not show any obvious correlation between LEP and $V_{r}$ except for the LEP $=0$ lines, which are discussed below.
The lines of $\mathrm{Na}_{\mathrm{I}}(5890,5896 \AA$ ), K I $(7699 \AA$ ), and Li I ( $6707 \AA$ ) are shifted redward ( $\sim 0.2 \AA$ ) relative to lines of other atoms (Table 2). The average $V_{r}$ of these zero LEP lines of Li I, Na I, and $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{I}}$ show radial shifts of $\sim+10 \mathrm{~km}$ $\mathrm{s}^{-1}$ as compared to those of higher LEP lines. These are all resonance lines of neutral alkalis, so they all should be formed under similar conditions. It may be that these lines are formed in a distinct region with its own particular velocity. Monitoring of these stars for pulsations may help one to understand the displacement of these zero LEP lines. Recently, Gonzalez, Lambert, \& Giridhar (1997) noticed a slight asymmetry in the profiles of $\mathrm{Li}, \mathrm{Na}$, and K in the high-resolution spectra of CE Vir, which might be due to the same physical mechanism.


FIG. 1.-Sample spectra of IRAS Z02229 +6208 and IRAS $07430+1115$ showing the presence of Li, Al, and s-process elements

The radial velocity results for the $\mathrm{C}_{2}(2,0), \mathrm{C}_{2}(3,0)$, and $\mathrm{CN}(1,0)$ molecular lines are also presented in the Table 2. These show a shift of $\sim-12 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ with respect to the atomic lines. The molecular spectra are discussed in detail in § 3.7.

### 3.3. Line Selection and Atomic Data

Identification of atomic lines has been made using the solar spectrum (Moore, Minnaert, \& Houtgast 1966), supplemented with the line compilation by R. E. Luck (1993, private communication). For molecular $\mathrm{C}_{2}, \mathrm{C}_{3}$, and CN line identification, we used the lines listed by Bakker (1995). For the abundance analysis, we excluded all lines that are stronger than $200 \mathrm{~m} \AA$, in order to minimize the effects due
to uncertainties in the microturbulence value ( $\xi_{t}$ ), damping parameter, and non-LTE effects. For the final abundance analyses, we tried to include as many lines as possible that were common to both PPNs. In some cases we were not able to do this owing to line asymmetries (we used only good lines), differences in line strengths due to the temperature difference between the two stars, and the lower signal-to-noise ratio in IRAS $07430+1115$.
The oscillator strengths ( $\log g f$-values) for most of the lines were taken from Thévenin $(1989,1990)$, who derived $g f$-values by inverting the solar spectrum. For $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}}$ and N I , we used the theoretical $g f$-values calculated by Hibbert et al. $(1991,1993)$ and by Biémont et al. (1993). For additional lines ( $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{I}}, \mathrm{Si}$ I, and $\mathrm{Mg}_{\mathrm{I}}$ ) not found in these papers, we used

TABLE 2
Radial Velocities Measured for Individual Species

| Species | Z02229 + 6208 |  |  | $07430+1115$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $n$ | $\overline{\mathrm{LEP}}(\mathrm{eV})$ | $V_{r}\left(\mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ | $n$ | $\overline{\mathrm{LEP}}(\mathrm{eV})$ | $V_{r}\left(\mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ |
| C $1 . \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 9 | 8.67 | $18 \pm 1$ | 8 | 8.64 | $34 \pm 2$ |
| O i.............. | 3 | 9.14 | $17 \pm 1$ | 3 | 9.14 | $34 \pm 1$ |
| Ca ı............ | 7 | 2.52 | $18 \pm 1$ | 6 | 2.52 | $35 \pm 1$ |
| Fe i.............. | 16 | 3.20 | $18 \pm 1$ | 20 | 3.25 | $34 \pm 2$ |
| Fe II ............. | 6 | 3.48 | $17 \pm 2$ | 4 | 3.68 | $34 \pm 1$ |
| Ni I .............. | 7 | 3.35 | $19 \pm 2$ | 6 | 3.60 | $35 \pm 2$ |
| Ce II ............. | 4 | 1.18 | $19 \pm 2$ | 4 | 1.18 | $35 \pm 1$ |
| Nd II ........... | 9 | 1.10 | $20 \pm 2$ | 8 | 1.10 | $36 \pm 3$ |
| CN (phot)...... | 2 | $\ldots$ | $14 \pm 2$ | . | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| Li 1 .............. | 1 | 0.0 | $26 \pm 1$ | 1 | 0.0 | $50 \pm 2$ |
| Na I ............. | 2 | 0.0 | $30 \pm 2$ | 2 | 0.0 | $46 \pm 3$ |
| K I............. | 1 | 0.0 | $24 \pm 1$ | 1 | 0.0 | $46 \pm 3$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2}(2,0) \ldots \ldots \ldots$. | 23 | ... | $6 \pm 1$ | 15 | ... | $22 \pm 1$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2}(3,0) \ldots \ldots .$. | 12 | $\ldots$ | $6 \pm 1$ | 13 | $\ldots$ | $24 \pm 1$ |
| CN (1,0) ....... | 7 | $\cdots$ | $8 \pm 1$ | 6 | $\cdots$ | $24 \pm 1$ |

Note.-The number of lines used in the velocity determination is indicated by $n$.


Fig. 2.-Spectroscopic determination of atmospheric parameters $T_{\text {eff }}$, $\xi_{t}$, and $\log g$ using Fe I (crosses) and Fe II (filled circles) lines for both IRAS Z02229 +6208 (top panel) and IRAS $07430+1115$ (bottom panel) is shown.
$g f$-values from the line compilation of R. E. Luck (1993, private communication). For Al I $g f$-values, there exits a large discrepancy between the values of Thévenin (1989, 1990) and R. E. Luck (1993, private communicatin), and we adopted the values of Luck. (See § 3.6 for details.) For Fe I and Fe II lines, we used $g f$-values recently compiled by Lambert et al. (1996) and Giridhar \& Arellano Ferro (1995), respectively.

### 3.4. Atmospheric Parameters

The atmospheric parameters of effective temperature $\left(T_{\text {eff }}\right)$ and surface gravity ( $\log g$ ) were initially estimated from the assigned spectral and luminosity classes. Hrivnak \& Kwok (1999) classified IRAS Z02229+6208 and IRAS $07430+1115$ as G8-K0 0-Ia: and G5 0-Ia:, respectively, based on their low-resolution optical spectra. The spectral type $-T_{\text {eff }}$ calibration (Böhm-Vitense 1972) yields $T_{\text {eff }}=4430 \mathrm{~K}$ for IRAS Z02229 +6208 and $T_{\text {eff }}=4850 \mathrm{~K}$ for IRAS $07430+1115$.

Using the $T_{\text {eff }}$ values determined above and $\log g=1.0$ (typical for a supergiant) as initial values, we derived the physical parameters spectroscopically by analyzing neutral ( Fe I ) and ionized ( Fe II) lines of iron. We have chosen many Fe I and Fe il lines for the analysis.

The $T_{\text {eff }}$ was determined by the method of excitation balance, constraining the $\mathrm{Fe}_{\mathrm{I}}$ abundance to be independent of the LEP of the individual lines. In deriving $T_{\text {eff }}$, only weak $\mathrm{Fe}_{\text {I }}$ (equivalent width $\left[W_{\lambda}\right] \leq 130 \mathrm{~m} \AA$ ) lines have been used, to reduce the uncertainty due to micro-
turbulence. In this way, we derived $T=5500 \mathrm{~K}$ for IRAS Z02229 +6208 and 6000 K for IRAS $07430+1115$. The microturbulent velocity $\left(\xi_{t}\right)$ was determined by analyzing the reduced equivalent widths $\left(\mathrm{W}_{\lambda} / \lambda\right)$ of $\mathrm{Fe}_{\mathrm{I}}$ and Nd II lines. We adopted the value of $\xi_{t}$, which showed no correlation between the derived abundances and $W_{\lambda} / \lambda$. The $\log g$ was determined using the ionization equilibrium of $\mathrm{Fe}_{\mathrm{I}}$ and Fe II lines. The determination of $T_{\text {eff }}, \log g$, and $\xi_{t}$ using $\mathrm{Fe}{ }_{\text {I }}$ and Fe II lines is illustrated in Figure 2. From this analysis, we found $T=5500 \mathrm{~K}, \log g=0.5$, and $\xi_{t}=4.25 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ for IRAS Z02229 +6208 , and $T=6000 \mathrm{~K}, \log g=1.0$, and $\xi_{t}=3.50 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ for IRAS $07430+1115$. These results are listed in Table 3.

The non-LTE effects on the $\mathrm{Fe}_{\mathrm{I}}$ and $\mathrm{Fe}_{\text {II }}$ lines in the spectra of the cool supergiant Arcturus have been discussed by Takeda (1991). The study of the non-LTE corrections versus $W_{\lambda}$ and LEP indicates that for lines of $W_{\lambda} \leq 130 \mathrm{~m} \AA$ and LEP $\geq 3.5 \mathrm{eV}$, the non-LTE corrections are of minor important ( $\Delta \log \epsilon \leq 0.1$ ). Since most of the $\mathrm{Fe}_{\text {I }}$ and $\mathrm{Fe}_{\text {II }}$ lines used in this study have $W_{\lambda} \leq 130 \mathrm{~m} \AA$, we do not expect significant non-LTE effects in our parameter determinations and subsequent abundance analysis.

We note that the values of $T_{\text {eff }}$ derived in this study are $\sim 1000 \mathrm{~K}$ higher than those estimated from their spectral classification. A similarly large temperature difference between the high-resolution analysis and the low-resolution spectral classification has also been observed by Decin et al. (1998) for similar carbon-rich PPNs. Spectroscopic indicators such as CH and CN bands and $s$-process elements may

TABLE 3
Atmospheric Parameters Determined from Spectroscopic Analysis

| Object | $T_{\text {eff }}(\mathrm{K})$ | $\log g$ | $\xi_{t}\left(\mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ | $[\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{H}]$ | $V_{r}\left(\mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Z02229+6208 $\ldots .$. | 5500 | 0.5 | 4.25 | -0.5 | $18 \pm 1$ |
| $07430+1115 \ldots \ldots$. | 6000 | 1.0 | 3.50 | -0.5 | $35 \pm 1$ |

be affected by the enhancement of $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{N}$, and $s$-process elements, which we will discuss later. Thus we suspect that these features may make carbon-rich and $s$-processenriched post-AGB stars appear cooler in their lowresolution spectra.

The uncertainties in $T_{\text {eff }}, \log g$, and $\xi_{t}$ have been estimated from the sensitivity of the abundance versus LEP correlation to changes in $T_{\text {eff }}$ and $\log g$ and the abundance versus $W_{\lambda} / \lambda$ to changes in $\xi_{t}$. After several iterations, we estimated the uncertainties in $T_{\text {eff }}$ to be $\pm 250 \mathrm{~K}$, in $\log g$ to be $\pm 0.25$, and in $\xi_{t}$ to be $\pm 0.5 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$.

### 3.5. Abundances

### 3.5.1. Fine Analysis

The abundances are derived mostly by the fine analysis method, using the modified code MOOG, originally developed by Sneden (1973). In this method, for a given LTE model atmosphere, strength of the line, and relevant atomic data, MOOG adjusts the abundances such that the computed equivalent width matches with that of each individual observed spectral line. In this analysis we used LTE model atmosphere grids computed by Kurucz (1993).

Using this analysis, the average iron abundances were derived: $\log \epsilon(\mathrm{Fe} \mathrm{I})=7.0$ for IRAS Z02229 +6208 and $\log \epsilon\left(\mathrm{Fe}_{\mathrm{I}}\right)=7.1$ for IRAS $07430+1115$. These values are based on 22 Fe I lines for each star. The abundance results derived from individual lines of Fe I and Fe II, as well as for all of the individual lines of other atomic species, are listed in Table 10, along with their LEP values and $\log g f$-values.

Summaries of the elemental abundances determined for both stars, based on the atmospheric parameters determined above and with a metallicity of $[\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{H}]=-0.5$, are listed as Model 1 in Tables 4 and 5. In these two tables, $n$ represents the total number of lines used in the determination of each elemental abundance, $\log \epsilon(X)$ is the standard notation for representing the elemental abundance and is defined as $\log [\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{X}) / \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{H})]+12$, and $\sigma$ is the standard deviation (representing the line-to-line scatter in the abundance determinations of each element). The usual notation $[\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{H}]$ is the logarithmic ratio with respect to hydrogen, relative to the solar value, and $[\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{Fe}]=[\mathrm{X} /$ $\mathrm{H}]-[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]$ denotes the logarithmic elemental abundance relative to the iron abundance ( Fe I ) in the program stars.

Of the CNO trio, we could determine the abundances of only C and N . We could not derive the oxygen abundance from $\mathrm{O}_{\text {I }}$ because the $\mathrm{O}_{\text {I }}$ triplet region at $6156 \AA$ was missed in the gaps between the spectral orders. Unfortunately, the forbidden [O I] lines at 6300 and $6362 \AA$ were contaminated by noise and atmospheric emission lines, rendering them useless for the abundance determination. We were left with the strong O I triplet lines at $7771 \AA$, which are well known for their non-LTE effects, and hence these lines were not used in our abundance analysis.

We derived the C and N abundances from many welldefined atomic, neutral carbon and nitrogen lines in the red part of the spectra. In order to determine accurate abundances for C and N , we searched the entire spectra to measure as many good lines as possible. This resulted in identifying as many as 14 C I lines, including the forbidden

TABLE 4
Elemental Abundance Analyses of IRAS Z02229 + 6208

| Species | $n$ | Model $1(5500 \mathrm{~K})^{\text {a }}$-Adopted |  |  |  | Model $2(5000 \mathrm{~K})^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\log (\mathrm{X})$ | $\sigma$ | [X/H] | [ $\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{Fe}$ ] | $\log \epsilon(\mathrm{X})$ | $\sigma$ | [X/H] | [X/Fe] |
| Li I ....... | 1 | (2.3) | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | (1.7) | . | $\cdots$ | ... |
| C i ......... | 10 | 8.84 | 0.16 | 0.29 | 0.78 | 9.43 | 0.26 | 0.87 | 1.98 |
| Ni......... | 5 | 8.67 | 0.01 | 0.70 | 1.19 | 9.51 | 0.06 | 1.46 | 2.57 |
| Mg I ...... | 1 | 7.21 | ... | $-0.37$ | 0.14 | 6.80 | ... | -0.78 | 0.33 |
| Al I ........ | 1 | 6.19 | ... | $-0.28$ | 0.21 | 5.85 | ... | $-0.62$ | 0.49 |
| Si i ......... | 3 | 7.47 | 0.09 | $-0.08$ | 0.41 | 7.17 | 0.05 | -0.38 | 0.73 |
| S I......... | 2 | 7.07 | 0.03 | -0.14 | 0.35 | 7.58 | 0.06 | 0.37 | 1.48 |
| Ca i....... | 8 | 6.04 | 0.14 | $-0.32$ | 0.17 | 5.56 | 0.13 | $-0.72$ | 0.39 |
| Sc II....... | 2 | 2.60 | 0.13 | $-0.57$ | -0.04 | 2.68 | 0.14 | -0.42 | 0.69 |
| Ti II ....... | 1 | 4.47 | ... | $-0.55$ | -0.02 | 4.60 | ... | $-0.39$ | 0.72 |
| Cri....... | 1 | 5.39 | $\ldots$ | $-0.28$ | 0.21 | 4.86 | $\ldots$ | $-0.75$ | 0.36 |
| Cr II ...... | 2 | 5.39 | 0.09 | -0.28 | 0.21 | 5.65 | 0.10 | -0.02 | 1.09 |
| Fei....... | 22 | 7.01 | 0.13 | -0.49 | 0.00 | 6.44 | 0.16 | -1.11 | 0.0 |
| Fe III...... | 6 | 7.09 | 0.14 | -0.41 | 0.08 | 7.35 | 0.14 | -0.17 | 0.94 |
| Ni I ........ | 15 | 5.82 | 0.13 | -0.43 | 0.06 | 5.25 | 0.21 | $-1.00$ | 0.11 |
| Y I ......... | 2 | 3.50 | 0.13 | 1.26 | 1.75 | 2.71 | 0.11 | 0.47 | 1.57 |
| Y II ....... | 2 | 3.86 | 0.12 | 1.62 | 2.11 | 3.91 | 0.16 | 1.67 | 2.78 |
| Zr II ...... | 2 | 4.33 | 0.11 | 1.73 | 2.22 | 4.48 | 0.11 | 1.88 | 2.99 |
| La II ....... | 2 | 1.94 | 0.01 | 0.72 | 1.21 | 2.07 | 0.05 | 0.85 | 1.96 |
| Ce II ...... | 4 | 2.09 | 0.04 | 0.54 | 1.03 | 2.08 | 0.04 | 0.52 | 1.63 |
| PriII...... | 3 | 1.79 | 0.08 | 1.08 | 1.57 | 1.71 | 0.06 | 1.00 | 2.11 |
| Nd II...... | 9 | 2.36 | 0.14 | 0.86 | 1.35 | 2.33 | 0.13 | 0.83 | 1.94 |
| Sm II...... | 1 | 1.40 | ... | 0.39 | 0.88 | 1.41 | $\ldots$ | 0.41 | 1.52 |
| Eu II ...... | 2 | 0.80 | 0.06 | 0.29 | 0.78 | 0.84 | 0.07 | 0.33 | 1.44 |
| Gd II...... | 1 | 1.37 | ... | 0.25 | 0.74 | 1.40 | ... | 0.28 | 1.39 |

[^1]TABLE 5
Elemental Abundance Analysis of IRAS 07430+1115

| Species | $n$ | Model $1(6000 \mathrm{~K})^{\text {a }}$-Adopted |  |  |  | Model $2(5500 \mathrm{~K})^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\log \epsilon(\mathrm{X})$ | $\sigma$ | [X/H] | [X/Fe] | $\log \epsilon(\mathrm{X})$ | $\sigma$ | [X/H] | [X/Fe] |
| Li I ....... | 1 | (2.4) | $\ldots$ | . | $\ldots$ | (1.9) | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| C i ......... | 11 | 8.76 | 0.13 | 0.21 | 0.63 | 9.05 | 0.20 | 1.30 | 2.05 |
| Ni........ | 4 | 7.97 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.42 | 8.60 | 0.09 | 0.55 | 1.30 |
| Mg I...... | 1 | 7.19 | ... | $-0.39$ | 0.03 | 7.03 | ... | $-0.55$ | 0.20 |
| Al I ........ | 1 | 5.96 | $\ldots$ | $-0.51$ | $-0.09$ | 5.84 | $\ldots$ | $-0.63$ | 0.12 |
| Si i ......... | 3 | 7.29 | 0.04 | -0.26 | 0.16 | 7.18 | 0.08 | $-0.37$ | 0.38 |
| S If........ | 2 | 6.98 | 0.02 | $-0.23$ | 0.19 | 7.25 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.79 |
| Ca $1 . \ldots \ldots$. | 5 | 6.02 | 0.08 | $-0.34$ | 0.11 | 5.84 | 0.10 | $-0.52$ | 0.23 |
| Sc II....... | 2 | 2.64 | 0.06 | $-0.46$ | $-0.04$ | 2.50 | 0.08 | $-0.60$ | 0.15 |
| Cri....... | 1 | 5.26 | ... | -0.41 | 0.01 | 4.97 | ... | $-0.70$ | 0.05 |
| Cr II ...... | 1 | 5.26 | $\ldots$ | $-0.41$ | 0.01 | 5.32 | $\ldots$ | $-0.35$ | 0.40 |
| Fein...... | 22 | 7.08 | 0.10 | $-0.42$ | 0.00 | 6.77 | 0.13 | $-0.75$ | 0.0 |
| Fe II ...... | 6 | 7.00 | 0.14 | $-0.50$ | $-0.08$ | 7.03 | 0.14 | -0.49 | 0.26 |
| Ni m ....... | 11 | 5.89 | 0.06 | -0.36 | 0.06 | 5.54 | 0.10 | -0.71 | 0.04 |
| Y II ....... | 2 | 3.72 | 0.25 | 1.48 | 1.90 | 3.57 | 0.023 | 1.33 | 2.08 |
| ZriII..... | 2 | 4.45 | 0.08 | 1.85 | 2.27 | 4.37 | 0.08 | 1.77 | 2.52 |
| La II ...... | 2 | 2.45 | 0.06 | 1.23 | 1.65 | 2.31 | 0.07 | 1.09 | 1.84 |
| Ce II...... | 4 | 2.45 | 0.12 | 0.90 | 1.32 | 2.26 | 0.03 | 0.71 | 1.46 |
| Pr III...... | 1 | 2.54 | $\ldots$ | 1.83 | 2.25 | 2.26 | $\ldots$ | 1.55 | 2.30 |
| Nd II...... | 7 | 2.74 | 0.16 | 1.24 | 1.67 | 2.48 | 0.15 | 0.98 | 1.73 |
| Sm II...... | 1 | 1.65 | ... | 0.64 | 1.06 | 1.44 | $\ldots$ | 0.44 | 1.19 |
| Eu II ...... | 1 | 0.47 | $\ldots$ | -0.04 | 0.38 | 0.30 | $\ldots$ | $-0.21$ | 0.30 |
| Gd II...... | 1 | 1.40 |  | 0.28 | 0.70 | 1.25 | $\ldots$ | 0.13 | 0.90 |

> Note.-The values in parentheses are derived from spectrum synthesis.
> ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Model 1: $T_{\text {eff }}=6000 \mathrm{~K}, \log g=1.0, \xi_{t}=3.50 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, and $[\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{H}]=-0.5$.
> ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Model 2: $T_{\text {eff }}=5500 \mathrm{~K}, \log g=1.0, \xi_{t}=3.50 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, and $[\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{H}]=-0.5$.
line at $8727.13 \AA$, and six $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{I}}$ lines. However the final carbon abundances, $\log \quad \epsilon(\mathrm{C})=8.8 \quad$ for IRAS Z02229+6208, and $\log \epsilon(\mathrm{C})=8.8$ for IRAS $07430+1115$, are based on only 10 and 11 lines, respectively. The abundance of nitrogen comes from five N I lines for IRAS Z02229+6208 $[\log \epsilon(\mathrm{N})=8.7]$ and four N I lines for IRAS $07430+1115[\log \epsilon(N)=8.0]$. A few C I and N I lines were omitted owing to their asymmetric profiles. Studies of non-LTE effects on the C I and N I lines (Luck \& Lambert 1985; Venn 1995) indicate that the non-LTE effects on the abundances of lines weaker than $100 \mathrm{~m} \AA$ and for the stars of $T_{\text {eff }} \leq 6500 \mathrm{~K}$ are not important. We therefore applied no corrections, since most of the C and N lines we analyzed are weaker than $W_{\lambda}=100 \mathrm{~m} \AA$ and since no systematic difference in abundances is seen between the stronger and weaker lines.

We determined the abundances of the $\alpha$-process elements $\mathrm{Mg}, \mathrm{Si}, \mathrm{S}, \mathrm{Ca}$, and Ti , and these are listed in Tables 4 and 5. Except for the abundance of Ca , the rest of the $\alpha$-process elemental abundances are based on only a few lines. The abundance of Ca is based on five to eight $\mathrm{Ca}_{\mathrm{I}}$ lines of moderate strength and shows a deficiency of $[\mathrm{Ca} /$ $\mathrm{H}]=-0.3$ for both stars. The abundances of $\mathrm{Mg}, \mathrm{Si}$, and S are found to be slightly overabundant in IRAS Z02229 +6208 with respect to Fe . Also note that the uncertainties may be large, since many of these abundances are based on very few lines. The average abundance of the $\alpha$-process elements is found to be normal relative to Fe ( $[\alpha-$ process $/ \mathrm{Fe}]=0.1-0.2$ ). The abundance of the odd-Z element Al was determined using only a single line of Al I at $6696.03 \AA$.

The abundances of iron-peak elements $(\mathrm{Sc}, \mathrm{Cr}, \mathrm{Fe}$, and $\mathrm{Ni})$ are found to be deficient $([\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]=-0.4$ to -0.5$)$ in
both stars, relative to their corresponding solar values. The abundance of $\mathrm{Ni}([\mathrm{Ni} / \mathrm{Fe}]=0.1)$ is derived from many good lines of weak and moderate strength, while the abundances of Sc and Cr are based on only a few lines.

In both of the spectra we could identify many ionized lines of heavy elements: $\mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{Zr}, \mathrm{La}, \mathrm{Ce}, \mathrm{Pr}, \mathrm{Nd}, \mathrm{Sm}, \mathrm{Eu}$, and Gd. Unfortunately we were not able to use all of these lines, since most of them are too strong ( $>200 \mathrm{~mA}$ ) to be used in the abundances analysis and a few good ones lack atomic data, especially the lines occurring in the red part of the spectra. A few very strong Ba lines are seen in the spectra, but they are much too strong to use for abundance determinations. The average abundances for the elements Ce and Nd were derived from four or more lines, and these may best represent the $s$-process abundances for the stars. The rest of the abundances are mostly based on only one or two lines. We could positively identify two Y I lines at $6687.57 \AA$ ( $W_{\lambda}=40 \mathrm{~m} \AA$ ) and $6793.63 \AA\left(W_{\lambda}=36 \mathrm{~m} \AA\right)$ in the spectrum of IRAS Z02229 +6208 but not in IRAS $07430+1115$; this may be due to the lower $T_{\text {eff }}$ of IRAS Z02229+6208. The average $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{I}}$ abundance $[\log \epsilon(\mathrm{Y} \mathrm{I})=3.5]$ is found to be somewhat less than the $\mathrm{Y}_{\text {II }}$ abundance $\left[\log \epsilon\left(\mathrm{Y}_{\text {II }}\right)=3.9\right]$, which may be due to the large difference in the strengths of the Y I and Y ir lines. In fact, the derived abundances for heavy elements based on stronger lines are found to be highly sensitive to microturbulence, as discussed later in § 3.6. In spite of the large uncertainties ( $\pm 0.2$ to $\pm 0.3$ ) in the heavy element abundances derived by using stronger lines, the average $s$-process abundances based on elements $\mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{Zr}$, $\mathrm{La}, \mathrm{Ce}, \mathrm{Pr}, \mathrm{Nd}, \mathrm{Sm}$, and Gd are clearly much larger than their corresponding solar values: [s-process $/ \mathrm{Fe}]=1.4$ for IRAS Z02229 +6208 and $[s$-process $/ \mathrm{Fe}]=1.6$ for IRAS $07430+1115$. Since the Eu abundance is mostly con-
tributed by the $r$-process, it has been excluded from the average $s$-process abundance value.

We also investigated the results derived from cooler temperature models, since the low-resolution spectra indicated a lower temperature. For IRAS Z02229 + 6208, we lowered $T_{\text {eff }}$ from 5500 to 5000 K and for IRAS $07430+1115$, from 6000 to 5500 K . These results are also listed in Tables 4 and 5 as Model 2. The abundances derived from the cooler models also indicate that the stars are metal-poor, with a clear overabundance of $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{N}$, and $s$-process elements. However, these models result in a large discrepancy in the abundances of iron derived from the $\mathrm{Fe}_{\mathrm{I}}$ and Fe II lines; also the correlations of LEP versus abundances of Fe I lines show positive values of slope, indicating higher $T_{\text {eff }}$ models. Had we used models with still lower temperatures, as indicated by the low-resolution spectra, the discrepancies would be even larger. Therefore, for our final discussion, we adopted the abundances derived using Model 1.

### 3.5.2. Li Abundance from Spectrum Synthesis

The lithium abundance can be derived from the Li i doublet line at $6707 \AA$. However, this line is expected to be blended with both the CN Red system and $s$-process lines in the spectra of cool post-AGB stars such as IRAS Z02229 + 6208 and IRAS $07430+1115$. Thus the measured strength, as represented by its equivalent width, may lead to an overestimate of the Li abundance. We therefore used the spectrum-synthesis method, which takes into account the possible contributions by other nearby features and hence yields a more reliable abundance.

This method requires a complete line list with good atomic data for all the lines. An initial line list in the vicinity of the Li doublet line was taken from Kurucz \& Petrymann (1975). This line list was modified to accommodate reliable $g f$-values and molecular CN lines according to the list given by Cunha, Smith, \& Lambert (1995). In this line list we included all the hyperfine structure components of the Li doublet as discussed by Andersen, Gustafsson, \& Lambert (1984). Also, we incorporated the suspected Ce II line at $6707.74 \AA$ (Lambert, Smith, \& Heath 1993).

In Figure 3 we compare the theoretical spectra with the observed spectra in the wavelength range 6705-6710 $\AA$. The theoretical spectra were computed using the MOOG computer code (Sneden 1973) for three Li abundance values. We obtained the best fits with the observed spectra for Li abundance values of $\log \epsilon(\mathrm{Li})=2.3$ for IRAS Z02229 +6208 and $\log \epsilon(\mathrm{Li})=2.4$ for IRAS $07430+1115$. The synthetic spectra were convolved with a Gaussian profile of FWHM $\approx 0.3 \AA$, which incorporated both the instrumental broadening and the macroturbulent velocity, $v_{m} \approx 6 \mathrm{~km}$ $\mathrm{s}^{-1}$. This value for $v_{m}$ was estimated by fitting synthetic spectra to the observed spectra for a few weak Fe I lines for which the abundance was determined independently by fine analysis. In computing the synthetic spectra, we incorporated the elemental abundances given in Tables 4 and 5.

The Li line in the spectrum of IRAS $07430+1115$ appears weak when compared with the relatively strong Li line in IRAS Z0229 + 6208 and does not have as high a signal-to-noise ratio. The relative weakness is not surprising, since the temperature of IRAS $07430+1115$ is higher by 500 K . However, we believe that the Li feature is definitely present in IRAS $07430+1130$. We note that the feature is seen in our two individual spectra taken at the two different settings.


Fig. 3.-Synthetic spectrum around the Li i $6707 \AA$ region is compared with the observed spectrum (dots) for both of the stars. Synthetic spectra are computed for three values of the Li abundance.

We have already noted in the discussion of radial velocities that the Li doublet is slightly shifted redward from its expected position. The positional matching of the synthetic Li profile with the observed one was achieved by simply adding the shift $\Delta \lambda=0.2 \AA$ to the rest wavelengths of the Li lines. Another way to explain the shift in Li wavelength is to assume that the entire Li abundance is in the form of ${ }^{6} \mathrm{Li}$ rather than ${ }^{7} \mathrm{Li}$. However, this seems unlikely based on other observations, which show an abundance ratio of ${ }^{6} \mathrm{Li}$ / ${ }^{7} \mathrm{Li}=0-0.5$ (Andersen et al. 1984, and references therein).

We also note that the computed spectrum for IRAS $07430+1115$ does not account for a small dip slightly shortward of the fitted Li line. This dip occurs at $6707.8 \AA$, which is the expected, unshifted Li position. Since the Li abundance has important implications, we investigated if this could possibly be the Li line. We fitted the observed spectrum (without adding $0.2 \AA$ shift to the rest wavelength) and computed a Li abundance of $\log \epsilon(\mathrm{Li})=2.2$; however, the theoretical spectrum then did not account for the line at $6708.05 \AA$. We conclude that the Li I doublet line is present and shifted, since this also is the case for similar lines of Na I and K I. Thus we discuss only the abundances derived from the shifted Li line, which are presented in Tables 4 and 5.

### 3.6. Uncertainties

To determine the uncertainties in our abundance values, we investigated the effects on the abundances due to the
estimated uncertainties in our adopted model parameters: $T_{\text {eff }}= \pm 250 \mathrm{~K}, \log g= \pm 0.25$, and $\xi_{t}= \pm 0.5 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. The corresponding abundance shifts for the uncertainties in the atmospheric parameters are tabulated in Table 6. They suggest that the abundances derived from lines of $W_{\lambda} \leq 125$ mA are not very sensitive to $\xi_{t}$. However, the uncertainty in $\xi_{t}$ introduces an uncertainty of $0.1-0.2$ dex in the abundances derived from the stronger lines. At the temperature of these stars, the derived abundances are very sensitive to changes in $T_{\text {eff }}$, which introduces an uncertainty in the abundances $[\log \epsilon(\mathrm{X})]$ of $\sim 0.1-0.3$. The abundance of Li is found to be especially sensitive to $T_{\text {eff }}$ and almost insensitive to the uncertainties in both $\log g$ and $\xi_{t}$. The uncertainties in $\log g$ are small and mainly affect the abundances of ionized species.

Other important sources of uncertainties in the derived abundances may be due to uncertainties in the adopted $g f$-values and to some extent to the measured equivalent widths of the lines. The differences between $g f$-values of Thévenin and another widely used source, Führ, Martin, \& Wiese (1988), may alone contribute additional uncertainties of around 0.1-0.2 dex in the abundances (Thévenin 1989, 1990). We also investigated these possible uncertainties by computing abundances using $g f$-values from other sources. The Fe iI $g f$-values derived from experiments and recently compiled by Giridhar \& Arellano Ferro (1995) are systematically higher than the $g f$-values of Thévenin. The mean Fe II abundance $[\log \epsilon(\mathrm{Fe}$ II) $)$ computed using the values of Thévenin is almost 0.2 dex higher than the abundance we derived using the values of Giridhar \& Arellano Ferro. However the discrepancy between the $g f$-values for Fe I
lines of Thévenin and the recently adopted $g f$-values of Lambert et al. (1996) is negligibly small; the average computed $\mathrm{Fe}_{\mathrm{I}}$ abundance using the common lines in both the sources differs by less than 0.05 . We noticed a large difference in the Al I $g f$-values of Thévenin and the $g f$-values listed in the R. E. Luck (1993, private communication) compilation. Using the Thévenin solar $g f$-value of the Al I line at $6696.03 \AA$ leads to an abundance that is 0.35 larger than the value we determined using the $g f$-value of Luck. This emphasizes the need for more accurate $g f$-values to determine accurate stellar abundances.

The uncertainties in the measured $W_{\lambda}$, due to incorrect placement of the continuum and to noise, may amount to $5 \%-15 \%$, which yields additional uncertainties in the abundances in the range of 0.05 to 0.1 dex. The line-to-line scatter in the abundances (see Tables 4 and 5), as represented by $\sigma$, is mainly contributed by uncertainties in the $g f$ values and the measured $\mathrm{W}_{\lambda}$. Note that the main conclusions of our abundance studies are based on abundance ratios, which are much less affected by uncertainties in $T_{\text {eff }}$, $\log g$, and $\xi_{t}$ than are the absolute abundance values.

### 3.7. Circumstellar Molecular Absorption Lines

Both IRAS Z02229 + 6208 and IRAS $07430+1115$ show circumstellar absorption lines in their spectra. Sample spectra showing circumstellar molecular $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ Phillips $(2,0)$ and CN Red system $(2,0)$ bands, along with computed spectra, are shown in Figure 4. We also see photospheric CN absorption bands in IRAS Z02229 + 6208 (see Fig. 3), as found by Bakker et al. (1997) for several similar carbonrich PPNs. These photospheric CN bands yield an average

TABLE 6
Uncertainties in the Derived Abundances

| Species(1) | Z $02229+6208$ |  |  |  | $07430+1115$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{W_{\lambda}} \\ & (\mathrm{m}) \end{aligned}$ <br> (2) | $\begin{gathered} \Delta \xi_{t} \\ \pm 0.5 \\ \left(\mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ <br> (3) | $\begin{gathered} \Delta T_{\text {eff }} \\ \pm 250 \\ (\mathrm{~K}) \end{gathered}$ <br> (4) | $\Delta \log g$ $\pm 0.25$ (5) | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{W_{\lambda}} \\ & (\mathrm{mA}) \end{aligned}$ (6) | $\begin{gathered} \Delta \xi_{t} \\ \pm 0.5 \\ \left(\mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ <br> (7) | $\begin{gathered} \Delta T_{\text {eff }} \\ \pm 250 \\ (\mathrm{~K}) \end{gathered}$ (8) | $\Delta \log g$ $\pm 0.25$ (9) |
| Li I ........ | 65 | 0.01 | 0.30 | 0.01 | 40 | 0.01 | 0.25 | 0.01 |
| C i ......... | 89 | 0.03 | 0.15 | 0.08 | 95 | 0.04 | 0.07 | 0.11 |
| Ni......... | 68 | 0.03 | 0.30 | 0.12 | 49 | 0.02 | 0.22 | 0.17 |
| Mgi...... | 97 | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.01 | 89 | 0.05 | 0.09 | 0.03 |
| Al I ........ | 53 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 31 | 0.02 | 0.12 | 0.07 |
| Si i ......... | 125 | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.01 | 93 | 0.05 | 0.11 | 0.04 |
| S i.......... | 54 | 0.02 | 0.14 | 0.06 | 77 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.10 |
| Ca i....... | 126 | 0.07 | 0.14 | 0.02 | 86 | 0.05 | 0.15 | 0.04 |
| Sc II....... | 173 | 0.08 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 125 | 0.09 | 0.11 | 0.15 |
| Cr II ...... | 110 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.08 | 80 | 0.01 | 0.21 | 0.04 |
| Fe $\mathrm{I} . \ldots . .$. | 123 | 0.07 | 0.21 | 0.02 | 93 | 0.07 | 0.17 | 0.04 |
| Fe II ....... | 130 | 0.07 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 87 | 0.06 | 0.04 | 0.14 |
| Ni I ........ | 100 | 0.03 | 0.20 | 0.02 | 81 | 0.05 | 0.20 | 0.04 |
| Y II ........ | 140 | 0.05 | 0.13 | 0.08 | 150 | 0.15 | 0.12 | 0.15 |
| Zr II ...... | 203 | 0.13 | 0.02 | 0.09 | 164 | 0.15 | 0.08 | 0.08 |
| La II ...... | 180 | 0.13 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 170 | 0.22 | 0.12 | 0.12 |
| Ce II...... | 160 | 0.08 | 0.10 | 0.08 | 146 | 0.16 | 0.15 | 0.14 |
| Pr II ...... | 187 | 0.10 | 0.15 | 0.08 | 190 | 0.26 | 0.21 | 0.11 |
| Nd II...... | 140 | 0.10 | 0.12 | 0.10 | 154 | 0.19 | 0.18 | 0.12 |
| Sm II...... | 130 | 0.05 | 0.09 | 0.08 | 75 | 0.04 | 0.15 | 0.14 |
| Eu II ...... | 100 | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.08 | 55 | 0.02 | 0.14 | 0.07 |
| Gd II...... | 60 | 0.01 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 35 | 0.01 | 0.12 | 0.14 |

[^2] atmospheric parameters, as listed in the column readings. $W_{\lambda}$ represents the average equivalent widths of the lines for each element.


Fig. 4. $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ Phillips System (2-0) band (upper panel) and CN Red system band (lower panel) absorption features in IRAS Z02229 + 6208 and IRAS $07430+1115$. Note that for IRAS $07430+1115$, the $C_{2}$ lines have a P Cygni shape. In both of the panels, synthetic spectra are plotted, which were computed using $T_{\mathrm{rot}}=345 \mathrm{~K}, \log N=15.11 \mathrm{~cm}^{-2}, b=0.51 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, and $R=55,000$.
radial velocity of $V_{r}=14 \pm 2 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, which is close to the radial velocity derived from photospheric atomic lines (Table 2).

From the molecular $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ (3-0 and 2-0) lines we find radial velocities of $V_{r}=6.0 \pm 1.0 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and $V_{r}=23.0 \pm 1.1$ $\mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$, and from the molecular CN lines (1-0) we find $V_{r}=8 \pm 1 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and $V_{r}=24 \pm 1 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ for IRAS Z02229 +6208 and IRAS $07430+1115$, respectively (see Table 2). These molecular velocities are less than the photospheric values of $18 \pm 1 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, and $35 \pm 1 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ for IRAS Z02229 +6208 and IRAS $07430+1115$, respectively, and are presumably formed in an expanding circumstellar envelope around each star. These molecular velocities can be compared with the photospheric values to derive expansion velocities of the envelopes, although, owing to possible pulsation of the photospheres, these can be uncertain by a few $\mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$. This matter is discussed more carefully in § 4.3.

Equivalent widths of the lines used in our rotational analysis were measured by making a Gaussian fit to the observed line profiles, using SPLOT in IRAF. Only those lines that had a unique identification and were not blended were used. While there are many molecular lines in the spectra, unfortunately there are not many that were well isolated and of sufficient quality to use in the analysis.

Column densities were computed using the optical-thin formula and by constructing a rotational diagram for both $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ and CN. Adopting a Doppler parameter of $b=$ $0.51 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ (Bakker \& Lambert 1998), we find that the optical-thin approximation breaks down for equivalent widths in excess of 80 mA . For IRAS $\mathrm{Z} 02229+6208$ there are only two lines that have an equivalent width in excess of $80 \mathrm{~m} \AA$, while there are no lines for IRAS $07430+1115$ in excess of $80 \mathrm{~m} \AA$. Therefore, it seems appropriate to use the optical-thin formula in order to compute column densities.

Required oscillator strengths for $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ and CN were taken from Bakker et al. (1997). $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ is a homonuclear molecule without any permanent dipole moment. Only even rotation levels ( $J^{\prime \prime}=0,2,4,6 \ldots$ ) exist, and the molecule has no infrared ro-vibrational spectrum. Stellar photons excite the molecule, but the molecule is not able to cool efficiently and the rotational temperature will be suprathermal ( $T_{\text {rot }} \geq$ $T_{\text {kin }}$ ). Therefore there is no unique rotational temperature for the $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ molecule. In order to quantify the rotation temperature and to be able to compare it with $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ in the line of sight to other stars, we determined an effective rotational temperature by fitting a first-order polynomial to the rotational diagram (effectively assuming that the molecule is in LTE). The fits yield the following for $\mathrm{C}_{2}: T_{\mathrm{rot}}=354 \pm 53 \mathrm{~K}$ and $\log N=15.30 \pm 0.10 \mathrm{~cm}^{-2}$ for IRAS Z02229+6208, and $T_{\text {rot }}=235 \pm 27 \mathrm{~K}$ and $\log N=15.03 \pm 0.10 \mathrm{~cm}^{-2}$ for IRAS $07430+1115$, based on 34 and 24 lines, respectively. These rotational diagrams and the calculated fits are shown in Figure 5. CN is a heteronuclear molecule with a strong permanent dipole moment. All energy levels exist ( $N^{\prime \prime}=0$, $1,2 \ldots$ ). The infrared and radio spectra of CN allow the molecule to cool below the kinetic temperature of the gas. The molecule will be subthermal ( $T_{\text {rot }} \leq T_{\text {kin }}$ ). Only three lines are available for the CN molecule, and the rotational diagram does not very well constrain the rotational temperature and column density of CN in the line of sight to the two stars. Nevertheless, a fit to the rotational diagram of CN yields the following: $T_{\mathrm{rot}}=16 \pm 5 \mathrm{~K}$ and $\log N=14.4 \pm 0.3 \mathrm{~cm}^{-2}$ for IRAS Z02229+6208, and $T_{\text {rot }}=9 \pm 5 \mathrm{~K}, \quad \log N=14.3 \pm 0.3 \mathrm{~cm}^{-2} \quad$ for IRAS $07430+1115$.

### 3.8. Na I D Lines, K I Lines, and DIBs

The complex structure of the Na I $\mathrm{D}_{1} \& \mathrm{D}_{2}$ lines in the spectra of IRAS Z02229 + 6208 and IRAS $07430+1115$ are


Fig. 5.-Rotational diagram for $\mathrm{C}_{2}(2-0)$ (circles) and (3-0) (squares) bands toward IRAS Z02229 +6208 (left) and IRAS $07430+1115$ (right)
shown in Figure 6. We could identify at least six Na i components in the spectrum of IRAS Z02229+6208 and three components in the spectrum of IRAS $07430+1115$. The detailed analysis of the Na I lines for both of the stars is given in Table 7. Since these two stars have strong infrared excesses and CO emissions arising from detached shells of cool dust and molecular gas (Hrivnak \& Kwok 1999), they might be expected to show a circumstellar contribution to the Na I lines. By comparing the average measured radial velocities of atomic (Table 2) and molecular lines (Table 7), we found that components 1 and 2 appear to be of photospheric and circumstellar origin, respectively. Recently, Dinerstein, Sneden, \& Uglum (1995) in the spectra of planetary nebulae, Bakker et al. (1996) in the spectrum of the PPN HD 56126, and Reddy \& Hrivnak (1999) in the spectrum of the PPN candidate HD 179821, showed the presence of circumstellar Na I components.

Components 3, 4, 5, and 6 in the spectrum of IRAS Z02229 +6208 and component 3 in the spectrum of IRAS $07430+1115$ are presumably due to interstellar clouds in the line of sight. Using these radial velocities, we calculated the kinematic distances to the clouds based on a simple Galactic rotation model. This yields distances of 0.3, $1.0,2.6$, and 4 kpc for components $3,4,5$, and 6 , respectively, for IRAS Z02229 + 6208, and a distance of 0.3 kpc for component 3 of IRAS $07430+1115$. In fact, IRAS Z02229 + 6208 is found to be in the direction of the W3 molecular cloud, and the radial velocity of component 5 $\left(V_{\text {lsr }}=-41 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ is in good agreement with the radial velocity of the W3 cloud ( $V_{\text {lsr }}=-43$ to $-47 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ) as measured from OH emission (Wilson, Johnston, \& Mauersberger 1991). Since the W3 molecular cloud is determined to be at a distance of around 2.2 kpc (Wilson et al. 1991), this suggests that IRAS Z02229+6208 must be farther than the


FIG. 6.-The Na I $D_{2}$ and $D_{1}$ absorption profiles of IRAS Z $02229+6208$ and IRAS $07430+1115$ show six and three well-separated components, respectively. The corresponding line velocities are given in Table 7.

TABLE 7
Analysis of Na I and K I Lines

| Component | Z02229+6208 |  |  |  | $07430+1115$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{Na} \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{D}}{ }_{2}$ |  | Na I $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ |  | Na I $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ |  | Na I $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} W_{\lambda} \\ (\mathrm{m}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} V_{r} \\ \left(\mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} W_{\lambda} \\ (\mathrm{m}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} V_{r} \\ \left(\mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} W_{\lambda} \\ (\mathrm{m} \AA) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} V_{r} \\ \left(\mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} W_{\lambda} \\ (\mathrm{m}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} V_{r} \\ \left(\mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ |
| 1........... | 150 | 30 | 180 | 30 | 280 | 46 | 270 | 46 |
| $2 \ldots . . . . . .$. | 380 | 6 | 240 | 6 | 365 | 21 | 360 | 20 |
| 3............ | 400 | -4 | 250 | -6 | 60 | 4 | 80 | 4 |
| $4 \ldots \ldots .$. | 220 | -21 | 180 | -22 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| 5........... | 57 | -45 | 55 | -44 | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| $6 \ldots \ldots .$. | 75 | -73 | 75 | -73 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
|  | K I $\lambda 7699$ |  | K у $\lambda 7665$ |  | K I $\lambda 7699$ |  | K I $\lambda 7665$ |  |
| 1............ | 170 | 24 | $\ldots{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\ldots{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 120 | 46 | $\ldots{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\ldots{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| $2 \ldots . . . . .$. | 180 | 9 | 220 | 9 | 164 | 26 | 200 | 26 |
| 3........... | 190 | -7 | $\ldots{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $\ldots{ }^{\text {b }}$ | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| $4 \ldots \ldots .$. | 30 | -18 | $\ldots{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $\ldots{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Blended with telluric $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ line at $7665.9 \AA$ A.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Blended with telluric $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ line at $7664.9 \AA$.

W3 cloud. In the case of IRAS $07430+1115$, we identified only one interstellar component, which may be due to a very nearby cloud. This difference is understandable, since IRAS $07430+1115$ lies above the Galactic plane with a latitude $b=17{ }^{\circ} 1$ while IRAS $\mathrm{Z} 02229+6208$ lies in the plane ( $b=1.5$ ), and its light is intercepted by many foreground interstellar clouds.

The structure of the $\mathrm{K}_{\text {I }}$ lines in the spectra of IRAS Z02229 +6208 and IRAS $07430+1115$ is shown in Figure 7. For IRAS Z02229 + 6208 we can see four components of the profile at $\lambda 7699$, while for IRAS $07430+1115$ we can see two. The measured profiles
of these lines are listed in Table 7. The velocities of these components show that components 1 and 2 are due to the photosphere and circumstellar envelope, respectively. Components 3 and 4 of IRAS Z02229 +6208 may be due to interstellar clouds. The line at $\lambda 7665$ is made complicated by the presence of two very strong telluric $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ lines, as seen in the figure. For both stars, component 1 appears to be blended with the telluric $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ line at $7665.9 \AA$. However, for both stars, component 2 is visible and of a velocity similar to that of component 2 of the profile at 7699 A. Components 3 and 4 for IRAS Z02229 +6208 appears to blended with telluric line at $7664.9 \AA$ and to contribute to


Fig. 7.-K I absorption profiles at $7699 \AA(t o p)$ and $7665 \AA$ (bottom). Components 1,3 , and 4 at $7665 \AA$ are blended with telluric lines. The telluric $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ (Earth symbol) lines are also marked and illustrate radial velocity shifts in the K I components between the two objects.
its having an unusually large relative equivalent width. These velocities are similar to those of the similar components seen in the Na I profiles (see Table 2).

K I and Na I lines due to fluorescent emission have previously been seen in emission in the circumstellar envelopes of supergiants (Mauron \& Querci 1990) and giants (Plez \& Lambert 1994).

We also investigated the presence of diffuse interstellar bands (DIBs) in the spectrum of these two objects. DIBs at 5780 and $6284 \AA$ are seen in the spectrum of IRAS Z02229 +6208 , and perhaps at $5780 \AA$ in the spectrum of IRAS $07430+1115$. The measured velocity of the $5780 \AA$ feature in IRAS Z02229 +6208 is in good agreement with that of component 6 of Na I, suggesting that they both arise in the same interstellar cloud.

## 4. DISCUSSION

### 4.1. General Abundance Patterns

Summaries of our results on the chemical composition of IRAS Z02229 +6208 and IRAS $07430+1115$, based on the derived LTE model atmospheres, are listed as Model 1 in Tables 4 and 5. These are also presented visually in Figure 8. Inspection of the results in these tables and the abundance patterns in Figure 8 shows that these stars are slightly metal-poor and are overabundant in $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{N}$, and $s$-process elements.

The enhancements in C and N are presumably the results of CN and $3 \alpha$ reactions and the mixing of these elements from the He-burning shell to the surface (third dredge-up) during the AGB phase. The overabundances of $s$-process elements result from neutron irradiation of the interior material and the deep convective mixing during the advanced stages of AGB evolution. The primary source of neutrons may be the activation of the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}(\alpha, n){ }^{16} \mathrm{O}$ reaction as suggested by AGB models (Hollowell \& Iben 1989; Busso et al. 1992). During the thermal pulses, a convective shell is formed between the base of the hydrogen-rich envelope and the helium-burning region. The large amount of helium in the convective shell coupled with the high temperatures (in excess of $150 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~K}$ ) at the base of the shell may allow ( $\alpha, n$ ) reactions to produce neutrons, which are then captured by iron seed nuclei to produce the observed $s$-process elements (Iben \& Renzini 1982; Gallino et al. 1988). These temperatures are easily encountered in the intershell convective zone models for AGB stars (Iben 1981).

The ratio ([hs/ls]) of heavy (La, Ce, Pr, Nd) to light $(\mathrm{Zr}, \mathrm{Y}) s$-process elements is a good indicator of the mean neutron exposure rate $\tau_{0}$ in the processed material (Luck \& Bond 1991). This basically indicates to what extent the material in the convective layers was exposed to neutron flux. The higher the value of $[h s / l s]$ (or $\tau_{0}$ ), the higher the exposure. For IRAS Z02229+6208 and IRAS $07430+1115$, the ratio $[h s / l s]$ is found to be -0.7 and -0.4 , respectively. By comparing these observed ratios with those of theoretical predictions of s-process elemental mixing on the AGB (Busso et al. 1995), we obtain $\tau_{0}$ to be in the range $0.15-0.25 \mathrm{mbarn}^{-1}$.

We also determined the values of $\tau_{0}$ by comparing the observed individual $s$-process abundances with Malaney's (1987) predicted s-process abundances for different models with an exponentially decaying neutron exposure. The observed $s$-process abundances were first corrected for the initial unexposed stellar material as explained by Smith et al. (1996). We fitted these observed abundances with each of the different $\tau_{0}$ models, with a neutron density of $N_{n}=10^{8}$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-3}$. The best goodness of fit (minimum $S^{2}$ ) for each star was obtained with the model of $\tau_{0}=0.2 \mathrm{mbarn}^{-1}$. We compare the observed $s$-process enhancements with the predicted values of the exponential neutron exposure models of Malaney (1987) in Figure 9. The basic fit is good and also shows that the odd-even (low-high) effect is observed in the abundances.

These values are similar to the $\tau_{0}$ values recently obtained for other carbon-rich PPNs. In Table 8, we list for comparison the values of $[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}], \quad[h s / l s]$, and $\tau_{0}$ for

TABLE 8
Ratios of Heavy to Light $s$-Process Elements ([ $h s / l s]$ ) and Mean Neutron Exposure ( $\tau_{0}$ ) for CARbon-rich PPNs

| Object | $[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]$ | $[h s / l s]$ | $\tau_{0}$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{mbarn}^{-1}\right)$ | References |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IRAS Z02229+6208 $\ldots \ldots$ | -0.49 | -0.7 | $\sim 0.2$ | 1 |
| IRAS 04296 $+3429 \ldots \ldots$. | -0.70 | -0.3 | $\sim 0.2$ | 2 |
| IRAS 05431+0852 $\ldots \ldots$. | -0.90 | +0.5 | $\sim 1.0$ | 3 |
| IRAS 07134+1005 $\ldots \ldots$. | -1.20 | -0.1 | $\sim 0.4$ | 4 |
| IRAS 07430+1115 $\ldots \ldots$. | -0.42 | -0.4 | $\sim 0.2$ | 1 |
| IRAS 22223+4327 $\ldots \ldots$. | -0.40 | -0.6 | $\sim 0.2$ | 2 |
| IRAS 22272+5435 $\ldots \ldots$. | -0.49 | -0.0 | $\sim 0.4$ | 5 |

[^3]

Fig. 8.-Elemental abundances relative to the solar value ([X/H]) vs. atomic number (Z) are shown for IRAS Z02229+6208 (crosses) and IRAS $07430+1115$ (hexagons).


Fig. 9.-Comparison of the enhanced observed $s$-process elemental distribution with Malaney's (1987) theoretical distribution, for models of $\tau_{0}=0.2 \mathrm{mbarn}^{-1}$ and $N_{n}=10^{8} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$.

IRAS Z02229 +6208 and IRAS $07430+1115$ together with those of other known carbon-rich PPNs.

The $[h s / l s]$ ratios of these carbon-rich post-AGB stars are distinctly lower than the values found for AGB stars, such as metal-poor CH giants (Kipper et al. 1996; Luck \& Bond 1991), and MS, S, and Ba stars (Busso et al. 1995 and references therein). In Figure 10 we plot $[h s / l s]$ versus [ $\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]$ data for three groups of stars: (1) metal-poor CH giants; (2) MS, S, and Ba stars; and (3) carbon-rich postAGB stars (PPNs). In the MS, S, and Ba star data, a general trend can be seen in the values of $[h s / l s]$ with $[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]$. When these data are combined with those of the CH giants, this trend is even more pronounced. One can clearly see the expected anticorrelation between $[h s / l s]$ and $[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]$. A decrease in metallicity from +0.2 ( $\mathrm{MS}, \mathrm{S}$, and Ba ) to -1.5 (metal-poor CH giants) is correlated with an increase in [ $h s / l s$ ] from about -0.3 to 1.2. The expectation that $[h s / l s]$ should show an inverse relation with metallicity is based on the fact that a low-metallicity environment in a AGB star favors synthesis of more heavier $s$-process elements and hence larger values of $[h s / l s]$ for metal-poor stars.

In the case of PPNs, one can draw two tentative conclusions from Figure 10. The first is that the values of $[h s / l s]$ for the set of carbon-rich post-AGB stars are less than the values for members of the other two groups at similar metallicity. The second is that the ratio [ $\mathrm{hs} / \mathrm{ls}$ ] for PPNs also seems to show an anticorrelation with metallicity.


Fig. 10.-The values of $[h s / l \mathrm{~s}]$ as a function of $[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]$ for seven carbon-rich post-AGB stars are compared with metal-poor CH giants, MS, S, and Ba stars.

However, the anticorrelation shows large scatter and is based on only a small number of data points. The scatter may be due to the fact that the abundance values were taken from different investigators, who derived these values from various quality spectra (both in $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ and resolution) using different methods, and by using slightly different sets of elements in deriving $[h s / l s]$. The observed lower value of [ $h s / l s$ ] for the post-AGB stars indicates a less efficient $s$ process mechanism as compared to their counterparts on the AGB. To arrive at a more definite conclusion about the observed $s$-process distribution in carbon-rich post-AGB stars, it would be helpful to have a larger sample, with a wider range in metallicity. Such a study has the potential to help us understand the complexity involved in He-shell flashes and the third dredge-up during the AGB phase.

With the addition of these two stars, the number of PPNs that are found to display clear overabundances in $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{N}$, and $s$-process elements rises to eight. In addition to the five others listed in Table 8 is IRAS 19500-1709 (HD 187885; Van Winckel et al. 1996). Interestingly, all of these stars except IRAS $07430+1115$ (Hrivnak \& Kwok 1999) have been shown to possess the $21 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ emission feature in their mid-infrared spectra. All of the $21 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ emission sources that have high-resolution spectroscopic studies (seven of 12) show from their abundance patterns that they are clearly post-third dredge-up, post-AGB/PPNs. It may be that IRAS $07430+1115$ possesses a very weak $21 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ feature that will be detected with higher signal-to-noise ratio observations.

The $\alpha$-process elements $\mathrm{Mg}, \mathrm{Si}, \mathrm{Ca}$, and Ti are found to be normal relative to Fe . The abundance of Al is found to be low: $[\mathrm{Al} / \mathrm{Fe}]=+0.06$ and $[\mathrm{Al} / \mathrm{Fe}]=-0.06$ for IRAS Z02229 + 6208 and IRAS $07430+1115$, respectively. Models of "hot bottom burning" (HBB) on the AGB indicate an enhancement of Al (Lattanzio et al. 1997) along with
Li. The high value of Li and normal Al abundance may suggest that these stars have not experienced a strong HBB.

### 4.2. Li Abundance and Luminosity

An important result of this study is the finding that these two PPNs are overabundant in $\mathrm{Li}[\log \epsilon(\mathrm{Li})=2.3$ and 2.4 for IRAS Z02229 + 6208 and IRAS 07430 + 1115, respectively]. The value of $\log \epsilon(\mathrm{Li})$ for these stars is higher than that observed for typical red giants $[\log \epsilon(\mathrm{Li})=-1$ to 1; Brown et al. 1989]. The obvious interpretation is that Li has been synthesized in these two stars. A high Li abundance has been reported previously in a few similar carbonrich PPNs, IRAS $22272+5435$ (Začs et al. 1995) and IRAS $05431+0852$ (Reddy et al. 1997). Luck, Bond, \& Lambert (1990) found a high Li abundance $[\log \epsilon(\mathrm{Li})=2.4]$ in the F2 post-AGB supergiant HR 7671. HR 7671 is metalpoor $([\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]=-1.1)$, shows significant $s$-process enhancement, but is slightly deficient in carbon.

The finding of large amounts of Li in many AGB stars in the Small Magellanic Cloud (SMC; Smith \& Lambert 1989, 1990) led to the idea of Li being synthesized in evolved stars. It is believed to be produced by the ${ }^{7} \mathrm{Be}$ transport mechanism as first suggested by Cameron \& Fowler (1971). Theoretical computations based on HBB models satisfactorily reproduced the large amounts of Li observed in the Li-rich stars of the SMC (Sackmann \& Boothroyd 1992). These theoretical models suggest that HBB is only possible in very luminous ( $M_{\mathrm{bol}} \approx-6$ to -7 ) and massive ( $4-7 M_{\odot}$ ) AGB stars. The Li-rich stars found in SMC (Smith \& Lambert 1989, 1990) are indeed luminous stars.

It is hard to compare the luminosities of individual postAGB stars with these theoretical models since accurate distances are difficult to determine. But at least for IRAS Z02229 +6208, the interstellar Na I components indicate that the star is at a distance of $d \geq 2.2 \mathrm{kpc}$ (see § 3.8). Assuming this distance, we can determine an approximate luminosity for the star based on its observed parameters (Table 1) and the total reddening (interstellar + circumstellar) of $\sim 2.1 \mathrm{mag}$. This value of the total reddening has been estimated from the difference between the observed $B-V$ and the expected value of $B-V$ for the atmospheric parameters derived in this analysis. This leads to a lower limit for the luminosity of $M_{\text {bol }} \leq-6$ (which implies $M_{\text {initial }}>3 M_{\odot}$ ). (Note that we get the same approximate value using the scaled flux determined by Hrivnak \& Kwok 1999, when corrected for interstellar extinction.) Thus the overabundance of Li and its high luminosity may suggest that HBB existed in IRAS Z02229 + 6208 during its AGB phase of evolution.

Unfortunately we were not able to determine the oxygen abundance to quantify the $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{O}$ ratio for IRAS Z02229 + 6208 and IRAS $07430+1115$. However, the detection of infrared PAH features at 3.3 and $11.3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, and the presence of strong molecular $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ lines in the optical spectrum (Hrivnak \& Kwok 1999), suggest these stars are carbon-rich rather than oxygen-rich. This appears to be evidence against the idea that the HBB prevents luminous stars from becoming carbon stars by destroying dredged-up carbon through the CN cycle to produce an oxygen-rich environment. In this way, HBB has been viewed as the possible cause for the rarity of luminous carbon-rich stars. However, recent observational finding of luminous ( $M_{\mathrm{bol}} \sim-7$ ) carbon-rich stars in the Magellanic Clouds by van Loon et al. (1998) suggests that HBB is only
a part of a complicated mechanism operating during the AGB phase. Recently Frost et al. (1998) suggested that the chemistry of the photosphere, whether it is carbon-rich or oxygen-rich, depends on the efficiency of both the mass-loss and the third dredge-up during the AGB phase. They argue that if the minimum envelope mass required for third dredge-up is less than that for HBB, dredge-up continues even after the HBB ceases. This continuation of dredge-up after the cessation of HBB may be the explanation for the occurrence of luminous carbon stars. This would thus explain the high Li abundance and apparently high luminosity in a carbon-rich PPN like IRAS Z02229 +6208 .

The distance to IRAS $07430+1115$ is unknown, since it does not have the series of foreground interstellar clouds to give us a good lower limit as was the case with IRAS Z02229 + 6208. Thus we do not know if it also has a high luminosity and mass as does IRAS Z02229+6208. However, high amounts of Li have also been observed in low-mass carbon-rich AGB stars (Abia et al. 1991) and the low-mass carbon-rich post-AGB star IRAS $05341+0852$ (Reddy et al. 1997). The four Li-rich AGB stars studied by Abia et al. have $M_{\text {bol }} \sim-6.2$ to -5.0 . These are less luminous than the Li-rich AGB stars found in the SMC and indicate that Li overabundance can occur in the Galaxy in stars of lower luminosity and lower mass. The findings of Li in IRAS Z02229 +6208 and IRAS $07430+1115$, and also in the similar objects IRAS $22272+5435$ and IRAS $05431+0852$, suggest that these post-AGB stars are probably the successors of a rather small group of Li-rich and carbon-rich AGB stars. Note that not all of the carbonrich PPNs display an overabundance of Li . If the dredge-up continues long after HBB ceases, it is expected that Li will be destroyed, which may explain the scarcity of carbon-rich AGB and post-AGB stars found to be Li-rich.

### 4.3. Circumstellar Envelope

We have detected the circumstellar envelopes in molecular absorption bands ( $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ and CN ) and atomic absorption lines ( $\mathrm{Na}_{\mathrm{I}}$ and $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{I}}$ ). They had previously been detected in millimeter CO emission (Hrivnak \& Kwok 1999).

Radial velocity studies of few similar carbon-rich PPNs have revealed radial velocity variations of $\sim 8 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ (peak-to-peak) due to photospheric pulsations (Hrivnak \& Lu 1999). In the cases of IRAS $\mathrm{Z} 02229+6208$ and IRAS $07430+1115$, we find differences of $\sim 1-2 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ between the velocity measurements of CO emission and the visible spectral lines (Table 2). This difference may be also due to pulsation of the photosphere. Assuming that the CO velocities represent the system velocities, we compare the expansion velocities derived from $\mathrm{CO}, \mathrm{C}_{2}, \mathrm{CN}, \mathrm{Na} \mathrm{I}$, and K I features in Table 9. We find that these features all possess similar velocities, confirming that they are all formed in the circumstellar envelope. These values, $8-15 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, are typical of the expansion velocities observed in AGB stars and PPN envelopes.

The expansion velocities, rotational temperatures, and column densities of $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ in the circumstellar shells surrounding IRAS Z02229 + 6208 and IRAS $07430+1115$ are in the same range as those determined by Bakker et al. (1997) in other carbon-rich post-AGB stars. It is interesting to note that some of the molecular $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ lines appear to show a P Cygni-shaped profile in the spectrum of IRAS $07430+1115$ (Fig. 4). The presence of $P$ Cygni profiles is not fully unexpected since the lines are formed in an expanding shell. $\mathrm{C}_{2}$

TABLE 9
Summary of Circumstellar Velocity Components

| Component | Z02229+6208 |  | $07430+1115$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $V_{r}\left(\mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ | $V_{\text {exp }}\left(\mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}\right)$ | $V_{r}\left(\mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ | $V_{\text {exp }}\left(\mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}\right)$ |
| Photosphere (atomic lines)...... | 18 | $\ldots$ | 35 | $\ldots$ |
| System (CO) ...................... | 20 | $\cdots$ | 34 | $\ldots$ |
| CSE (CO) ........................ | $\ldots$ | 15 | $\ldots$ | 15 |
| $\operatorname{CSE}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right) \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$. | 6 | 14 | 23 | 11 |
| $\operatorname{CSE}(\mathrm{CN}) \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots . . . . . . . . . . .$. | 8 | 12 | 24 | 10 |
| CSE ( Na I) $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots .$. | 6 | 14 | 20 | 14 |
| $\operatorname{CSE}(\mathrm{K}$ I) $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$. | 9 | 11 | 26 | 8 |

emission has been seen previously in the visible spectrum of the carbon-rich PPN AFGL 2688 (Egg Nebula) by Cohen \& Kuhi (1977). By means of spectropolarimetry, they have shown the presence of an underlying $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ absorption component due to light from near the star, scattered by the lobes, and a $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ emission component originating in the lobes. Hora \& Latter (1994) have observed $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ and CN emission in the near-infrared spectrum of AFGL 2688. Recently Klochkova et al. (1999) presented spectra of the carbon-rich PPN IRAS 04296+3429 which shows the $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ band to be in emission. Thus, while $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ emission does not appear to be common, IRAS $07430+1115$ is one of a few PPNs in which it has been observed. In the case of IRAS $07430+1115$, the P Cygni-like profile suggests that we may be seeing $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ emission from portions of the circumstellar envelope that are not expanding toward us. It would be interesting to obtain high spatial resolution images of IRAS $07430+1115$ to see if these would help one to understand the mechanism responsible for this sort of circumstellar $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ profile.

## 5. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

From high-resolution spectroscopic analysis, we determined the following atmospheric models: $T_{\text {eff }}=5500 \mathrm{~K}$, $\log g=0.5, \xi_{t}=4.25 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, and $[\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{H}]=-0.5$ for IRAS Z02229 +6208 , and $T_{\text {eff }}=6000 \mathrm{~K}, \log g=1.0, \xi_{t}=$ $3.50 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, and $[\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{H}]=-0.5$ for IRAS $07430+1115$. The elemental abundance analyses show that both stars are metal-poor and overabundant in $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{N}$, and $s$-process elements, which are the primary chemical characteristics of the post-AGB phase. Thus both stars are unambiguous postAGB objects. The observed $s$-process elemental patterns for these two stars are similar to other PPNs studied recently by Reddy et al. (1997) and Decin et al. (1998). In common with these two studies, the derived value of the neutron exposure parameter ( $\tau_{0} \approx 0.2$ ) is found to be significantly
less than that found for AGB stars. These results may lead to a better understanding of the nature of the thermally pulsating AGB phase.

In addition to $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{N}$, and $s$-process enhancements, the spectrum synthesis analysis of the Li i doublet at $6707 \AA$ shows an enhanced Li abundance, $\log$ $\epsilon(\mathrm{Li})=2.3 \quad($ IRAS Z02229 +6208$) \quad$ and $\quad \log \epsilon(\mathrm{Li})=2.4$ (IRAS $07430+1115$ ). The overabundances of Li found in these stars are similar to those in two other carbon-rich PPN stars, IRAS $05341+0852$ and IRAS 22272 +5435 , and suggests that these may be all descendents of a rare group of carbon-rich and Li-rich AGB stars.

The rotational temperatures, column densities, and expansion velocities derived from circumstellar $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ and CN absorption lines are typical of the values found for postAGB stars. IRAS $07430+1115$ is unusual in that it shows an emission component in the $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ lines. We found the use of high-resolution spectra of the Na I D lines to be a very important tool in studying the physical properties of PPNs. For IRAS Z02229+6208, which is in the Galactic plane, several additional velocity components were seen which are due to foreground interstellar clouds. These were used to set an important lower limit to the distance to the PPN. This was used to estimate lower limits to the luminosity and initial mass, which are important to our understanding of nucleosynthesis during the AGB phase. This technique can be used profitably for post-AGB stars near the Galactic plane.
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TABLE 10
Abundance Results of Individual Lines, Based on the Adopted Models

| $\begin{gathered} \lambda \\ (\AA) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LEP } \\ & \text { (eV) } \end{aligned}$ | $\log g f$ | Z02229+6208 |  | $07430+1115$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} W_{\lambda} \\ (\mathrm{mA}) \end{gathered}$ | $\log \epsilon(\mathrm{X})$ | $\begin{gathered} W_{\lambda} \\ (\mathrm{m} \AA) \end{gathered}$ | $\log \epsilon(\mathrm{X})$ |
| Li I |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6707.7 | 0.00 | $\ldots$ | 65 | 2.3 | 40 | 2.4 |
| C I |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6587.62 | 8.53 | $-1.050$ | 110 | 8.71 | 120 | 8.87 |
| 6655.51 | 8.54 | -1.942 | 65 | 9.13 | ... | ... |
| 7087.83 | 8.65 | -1.480 | 70 | 8.84 | 54 | 8.63 |
| 7100.13 | 8.64 | $-1.470$ | 60 | 8.69 | 66 | 8.66 |
| 7108.92 | 7.95 | -1.680 | 115 | 8.80 | $\ldots$ | ... |
| 7111.48 | 8.64 | $-1.330$ | $\cdots$ | ... | 80 | 8.67 |
| 7113.17 | 8.64 | $-0.960$ | 160 | 8.97 | 130 | 8.63 |
| 7115.17 | 8.64 | $-0.930$ | ... | ... | 120 | 8.69 |
| 7116.96 | 8.65 | -1.080 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 135 | 9.00 |
| 7119.70 | 8.64 | -1.309 | ... | $\ldots$ | 110 | 8.97 |
| 7476.18 | 8.77 | -1.643 | 63 | 9.03 | 50 | 8.75 |
| 7685.20 | 8.77 | -1.573 | 45 | 8.72 | 50 | 8.68 |
| 7840.25 | 8.85 | -1.852 | 32 | 8.83 | $\ldots$ | ... |
| [C] |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8727.13 | 1.26 | $-8.210$ | 170 | 8.66 | 130 | 8.81 |
| N I |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7468.31 | 10.34 | $-0.130$ | 62 | 8.67 | 40 | 8.02 |
| 8629.24 | 10.69 | 0.090 | 65 | 8.66 | 45 | 7.92 |
| 8680.28 | 10.34 | 0.420 | 125 | 8.67 | ... |  |
| 8686.15 | 10.33 | $-0.270$ | 65 | 8.68 | 40 | 7.90 |
| 9045.80 | 12.36 | 0.420 | 14 | 8.69 | $\ldots$ |  |
| 9392.66 | 10.69 | 0.328 |  | ... | 70 | 8.02 |
| Mg I |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5711.10 | 4.34 | $-1.683$ | 120 | 7.21 | 95 | 7.19 |
| Al I |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6696.03 | 3.14 | $-1.320$ | 40 | 6.19 | 40 | 5.96 |
| Si ${ }_{\text {I }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7405.79 | 5.61 | $-0.570$ | 153 | 7.53 | 100 | 7.30 |
| 7932.35 | 5.96 | $-0.471$ | 120 | 7.50 | 75 | 7.25 |
| 8742.47 | 5.87 | $-0.510$ | 125 | 7.37 | 105 | 7.33 |
| S I |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8679.65 | 7.87 | $-0.460$ | 65 | 7.05 | 65 | 6.97 |
| 8693.96 | 7.87 | $-0.560$ | 60 | 7.09 | 60 | 7.00 |
| Ca I |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5261.71 | 2.52 | $-0.500$ | 157 | 6.19 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| 5512.99 | 2.93 | $-0.500$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 80 | 6.07 |
| 5601.29 | 2.52 | $-0.630$ | ... | $\ldots$ | 107 | 6.10 |
| 5590.13 | 2.52 | $-0.740$ | 93 | 5.82 | $\cdots$ | ... |
| 6166.44 | 2.52 | $-1.260$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 35 | 5.90 |
| 6449.81 | 2.52 | $-0.620$ | 135 | 6.02 | 99 | 5.98 |
| 6455.60 | 2.52 | -1.290 | 72 | 6.14 | $\ldots$ | ... |
| 6471.67 | 2.52 | $-0.686$ | 130 | 6.03 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |
| 6493.79 | 2.52 | $-0.390$ | 181 | 6.19 | . | $\ldots$ |
| 6499.65 | 2.52 | $-0.818$ | 121 | 6.09 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| 6717.69 | 2.71 | $-0.390$ | 120 | 5.84 | 110 | 6.05 |

TABLE 10-Continued

| $\begin{gathered} \lambda \\ (\AA) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LEP } \\ & \text { (eV) } \end{aligned}$ | $\log g f$ | Z02229 + 6208 |  | $07430+1115$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} W_{\lambda} \\ (\mathrm{m} \AA) \end{gathered}$ | $\log \epsilon(\mathrm{X})$ | $\begin{gathered} W_{\lambda} \\ (\mathrm{m} \AA) \end{gathered}$ | $\log \epsilon(\mathrm{X})$ |
| Sc II |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6279.74 | 1.50 | $-1.280$ | 170 | 2.70 | 108 | 2.60 |
| 6604.60 | 1.36 | $-1.230$ | 175 | 2.51 | 140 | 2.68 |
| Ti II |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6559.58 | 2.05 | $-2.300$ | 145 | 4.47 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| Cr I |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7400.19 | 2.90 | $-0.080$ | 100 | 5.39 | 55 | 5.26 |
| Cr II |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5407.62 | 3.83 | $-2.380$ | 120 | 5.45 | 80 | 5.26 |
| 5502.09 | 4.17 | $-2.090$ | 100 | 5.32 | ... | $\ldots$ |
| $\mathrm{Fe}_{\mathrm{I}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5198.72 | 2.20 | $-2.189$ | 143 | 6.86 | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ |
| 5373.71 | 4.47 | $-0.880$ | ... | ... | 50 | 7.19 |
| 5445.05 | 4.39 | 0.050 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 128 | 7.11 |
| 5701.56 | 2.56 | $-2.210$ | 130 | 7.09 | 82 | 7.09 |
| 5763.00 | 4.21 | $-0.230$ | . | ... | 120 | 7.11 |
| 5862.37 | 4.55 | $-0.379$ | 95 | 7.00 | . | ... |
| 5984.83 | 4.73 | $-0.310$ | ... | $\ldots$ | 80 | 7.20 |
| 6003.02 | 3.88 | $-1.120$ | ... | $\ldots$ | 70 | 7.10 |
| 6008.57 | 3.88 | $-0.980$ | 98 | 6.93 | 90 | 7.18 |
| 6024.07 | 4.55 | $-0.020$ | 130 | 6.94 | 110 | 7.04 |
| 6065.49 | 2.61 | $-1.490$ | 195 | 6.98 | 150 | 7.19 |
| 6213.44 | 2.22 | $-2.610$ | 160 | 7.34 | 80 | 7.12 |
| 6215.15 | 4.19 | $-1.440$ | . | .. | 30 | 7.17 |
| 6219.29 | 2.20 | $-2.420$ | 170 | 7.22 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| 6322.69 | 2.58 | -2.425 | 97 | 7.01 | 45 | 6.87 |
| 6335.34 | 2.20 | $-2.200$ | 185 | 7.12 | 130 | 7.23 |
| 6336.83 | 3.69 | $-0.680$ | 150 | 6.86 | 115 | 6.93 |
| 6408.03 | 3.69 | $-1.000$ | 140 | 6.92 | 98 | 7.06 |
| 6411.66 | 3.65 | $-0.820$ | ... | $\ldots$ | 109 | 6.96 |
| 6421.36 | 2.28 | -2.010 | 175 | 6.92 | 130 | 7.04 |
| 6609.12 | 2.56 | $-2.670$ | 60 | 7.09 | 35 | 6.95 |
| 810.26 | 4.61 | $-1.120$ | 35 | 6.89 | $\ldots$ | $\cdots$ |
| 7531.15 | 4.37 | $-0.590$ | 103 | 7.12 | 85 | 7.17 |
| 7568.91 | 4.28 | $-0.990$ | 75 | 7.01 | 50 | 7.06 |
| 7583.80 | 3.02 | $-1.960$ | 115 | 7.07 | .. | ... |
| 7586.03 | 4.31 | $-0.180$ | 170 | 7.07 | 112 | 6.95 |
| 7723.21 | 2.28 | $-3.590$ | 25 | 7.10 | ... | ... |
| 7748.28 | 2.95 | $-1.740$ | 110 | 6.99 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| 7780.57 | 4.47 | $-0.090$ | 140 | 6.73 | 120 | 7.09 |
|  |  |  | Fe II |  |  |  |
| 5627.50 | 3.39 | -4.240 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 60 | 7.21 |
| 5991.38 | 3.15 | $-3.760$ | 140 | 7.00 | 100 | 6.90 |
| 6084.10 | 3.20 | $-3.990$ | 110 | 7.03 | 96 | 7.14 |
| 6369.46 | 2.89 | -4.310 | 145 | 7.29 | ... | ... |
| 6416.93 | 3.89 | -2.850 | 154 | 6.94 | 123 | 6.94 |
| 7222.40 | 3.89 | -3.370 | 133 | 7.26 | 75 | 6.94 |
| 7224.46 | 3.89 | $-3.390$ | 103 | 7.02 | 67 | 6.87 |

TABLE 10-Continued

| $\begin{gathered} \lambda \\ (\AA) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LEP } \\ & \text { (eV) } \end{aligned}$ | $\log g f$ | Z02229+6208 |  | $07430+1115$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} W_{\hat{\lambda}} \\ (\mathrm{mA}) \end{gathered}$ | $\log \epsilon(\mathrm{X})$ | $\begin{gathered} W_{\lambda} \\ (\mathrm{mA}) \end{gathered}$ | $\log \epsilon(\mathrm{X})$ |
| Ni I |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6598.59 | 4.24 | -1.020 | 13 | 5.83 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| 6643.64 | 1.68 | -2.010 | 145 | 5.69 | 104 | 5.85 |
| 6767.78 | 1.83 | -1.890 | 115 | 5.48 | 100 | 5.83 |
| 6772.32 | 3.66 | -1.070 | 48 | 5.95 | $\ldots$ | ... |
| 7385.24 | 2.74 | -2.070 | 32 | 5.76 | $\ldots$ | ... |
| 7393.61 | 3.61 | -0.040 | 182 | 6.02 | 120 | 5.89 |
| 7409.10 | 3.80 | -0.150 | 140 | 5.96 | ... |  |
| 7414.51 | 1.99 | -2.440 | 69 | 5.78 | 45 | 5.93 |
| 7422.29 | 3.63 | -0.140 | 155 | 5.90 | 110 | 5.78 |
| 7522.78 | 3.66 | $-0.560$ | 90 | 5.81 | 63 | 5.86 |
| 7525.12 | 3.63 | -0.690 | 85 | 5.87 | 53 | 5.85 |
| 7555.61 | 3.85 | 0.060 | 157 | 5.94 | 110 | 5.91 |
| 7574.04 | 3.83 | -0.630 | 60 | 5.78 | 50 | 5.94 |
| 7727.62 | 3.68 | -0.150 | 135 | 5.78 | $\ldots$ | ... |
| 7788.94 | 1.95 | -2.420 | 72 | 5.73 | 52 | 5.96 |
| 7797.59 | 3.90 | -0.230 | ... | ... | 85 | 5.99 |
| Y I |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6687.57 | 0.50 | -0.430 | 40 | 3.59 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| 6793.63 | 0.57 | -0.240 | 36 | 3.41 |  |  |
| Y II |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5610.36 | 1.03 | -2.910 | 170 | 3.78 | $\ldots$ |  |
| 6832.48 | 1.74 | -1.939 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 130 | 3.54 |
| 7450.33 | 1.75 | -1.430 | 300 | 3.95 | ... | ... |
| 7264.16 | 1.84 | -1.502 | ... | ... | 200 | 3.89 |
| Zr II |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6346.48 | 2.41 | -1.300 | 196 | 4.26 | 160 | 4.39 |
| 6677.92 | 2.42 | $-1.360$ | 210 | 4.41 | 167 | 4.51 |
| La II |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5377.07 | 2.30 | 0.700 | 180 | 1.95 | 175 | 2.41 |
| 5863.72 | 0.93 | $-0.990$ | 180 | 1.93 | 160 | 2.49 |
| Ce ${ }_{\text {II }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5610.25 | 1.05 | 0.000 | 186 | 2.09 | 170 | 2.56 |
| 5330.56 | 0.87 | $-0.230$ | 175 | 2.07 | $\ldots$ | ... |
| 5512.06 | 1.01 | 0.200 |  | $\ldots$ | 165 | 2.27 |
| 5975.82 | 1.33 | -0.320 | 112 | 2.06 | 110 | 2.48 |
| 6043.40 | 1.21 | -0.170 | 160 | 2.14 | 140 | 2.50 |
| Pr ${ }_{\text {II }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5219.03 | 0.79 | -0.150 | 183 | 1.70 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| 5322.82 | 0.48 | -0.540 | 195 | 1.85 | 190 | 2.54 |
| 5509.11 | 0.48 | -0.820 | 165 | 1.82 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |

TABLE 10-Continued

| $\begin{gathered} \lambda \\ (\AA) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LEP } \\ & \text { (eV) } \end{aligned}$ | $\log g f$ | Z02229+6208 |  | $07430+1115$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} W_{\lambda} \\ (\mathrm{m} \dot{\AA}) \end{gathered}$ | $\log \epsilon(\mathrm{X})$ | $\begin{gathered} W_{\hat{\lambda}} \\ (\mathrm{m} \dot{\mathrm{~A}}) \end{gathered}$ | $\log \epsilon(\mathrm{X})$ |
| Nd II |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5276.88 | 0.86 | -0.710 | 185 | 2.40 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| 5416.38 | 0.86 | -1.010 | 145 | 2.32 | 116 | 2.59 |
| 5431.54 | 1.12 | -0.290 | 200 | 2.37 | 183 | 2.88 |
| 5442.29 | 0.68 | -0.610 | 185 | 2.07 | 160 | 2.48 |
| 5620.62 | 1.54 | -0.070 | 175 | 2.35 | 155 | 2.83 |
| 5804.02 | 0.74 | -0.660 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 185 | 2.97 |
| 6031.31 | 1.28 | -0.420 | 180 | 2.40 | 150 | 2.72 |
| 6034.23 | 1.54 | -0.400 | 145 | 2.37 | 130 | 2.75 |
| 6183.91 | 1.16 | -1.340 | 77 | 2.36 | ... | ... |
| 6365.54 | 0.93 | -1.410 | 135 | 2.62 | ... | $\ldots$ |
| Sm II |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6731.89 | 1.17 | $-0.510$ | 95 | 1.40 | 75 | 1.65 |
| Eu II |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6173.06 | 1.32 | -0.276 | 100 | 0.85 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| 6437.70 | 1.32 | -0.200 | 100 | 0.76 | 55 | 0.47 |
| Gd II |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5733.89 | 1.37 | $-0.360$ | 60 | 1.37 | 35 | 1.40 |
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[^1]:    Note.-The values in parentheses are derived from spectrum synthesis.
    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Model 1: $T_{\text {eff }}=5500 \mathrm{~K}, \log g=0.5, \xi_{t}=4.25 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, and $[\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{H}]=-0.5$.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Model 2: $T_{\text {eff }}=5000 \mathrm{~K}, \log g=1.0, \xi_{t}=4.25 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, and $[\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{H}]=-0.5$.

[^2]:    Note.-The uncertainties in the derived abundances [ $\Delta \log \epsilon(\mathrm{X})$ ] are due to uncertainties in the

[^3]:    References.-(1) This study; (2) Decin et al. 1998; (3) Reddy et al. 1997; (4) Hrivnak et al. 1999; (5) Začs et al. 1995.

