## ERRATUM

In the paper "Signatures of Stellar Reionization of the Universe" by Zoltan Haiman and Abraham Loeb (ApJ, 483, 21 [1997]), the stated values of the normalization $\sigma_{8 h^{-1}}$ are misleading as they are based on Gaussian, rather than conventional top-hat filtering. We calculated the value of $\sigma_{81-1}$ from the power spectrum $P(k)$ with a Gaussian filter; i.e., using $W(k)=\exp \left(-k^{2} r^{2} / 2\right)$ in the expression $\sigma^{2}(r)=\int d^{3} k W^{2}(k) P(k)$. With the BBKS power spectrum (J. M. Bardeen, J. R. Bond, N. Kaiser, \& A. S. Szalay, ApJ, 304, 15 [1986]), this gives a 2.23 times smaller value for $\sigma_{s h-1}$ than one would obtain with the conventional top-hat filter, $W(k)=3 j_{1}(k r) / k r$. Accordingly, our values quoted for $\sigma_{8 h^{-1}}$ should be multiplied by a factor of 2.23 to correspond to the conventional interpretation.

Our results remain valid, except that our models with $\sigma_{8 h^{-1}}($ Gaussian $)=0.67$, for example, correspond to $\sigma_{8 n^{-1}}($ (op-hat $)=$ 1.49. We have reproduced our calculations using the conventional top-hat normalization in each case. Table 1 summarizes the corrected reionization redshifts and electron scattering optical depths for the original range of parameters. Although in all cases reionization occurs somewhat later than originally stated (at $z=18$ rather than $z=25$ in our standard model), the electron scattering optical depths remain similar and are detectable by MAP and the Planck Surveyor. The authors would like to thank $N$. Gnedin for a discussion that led to their noticing and correcting the error.

TABLE 1
Corrected Paraneter Values

| Parameter | Standard | Range | Reionization Redshift | Optical Depth |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\sigma_{3 h-1}$ | 0.67 | 0.67-1.0 | 18-22 | 0.07-0.11 |
| $n$ | 1.0 | 0.8-1.0 | 13-18 | 0.04-0.07 |
| $\Omega_{1}$ | 0.05 | 0.01-0.1 | 17-19 | 0.02-0.13 |
| $f_{\text {star }}$ | 13\% | 1\% $/ 10 \%$ | 12-24 | 0.05-0.09 |
| $f_{\text {csc }}$ | $f_{\text {esc }}(z)$ | $3 \%-100 \%$ | 11-18 | 0.05-0.07 |
| IMF tilt ( $\beta$ ) | 0 | 0-1.69 | 18-1 | 0.01-0.07 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ feedback. | Yes | Yes/No | 18-20 | 0.07-0.11 |

