

CONSTRAINTS ON THE UNIVERSAL C IV MASS DENSITY AT $z \sim 6$ FROM EARLY INFRARED SPECTRA OBTAINED WITH THE MAGELLAN FIRE SPECTROGRAPH*

ROBERT A. SIMCOE^{1,8}, KATHY L. COOKSEY^{1,9}, MICHAEL MATEJEK¹, ADAM J. BURGASSER^{1,2,10}, JOHN BOCHANSKI^{1,3},
 ELIZABETH LOVEGROVE^{1,4}, REBECCA A. BERNSTEIN⁴, JUDITH L. PIPHER⁵, WILLIAM J. FORREST⁵,
 CRAIG MCMURTRY⁵, XIAOHUI FAN⁶, AND JOHN O’MEARA⁷

¹ MIT-Kavli Center for Astrophysics and Space Research, 77 Massachusetts Avenue, Cambridge, MA, 02139, USA

² Center for Astrophysics and Space Science, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA, 92093, USA

³ Department of Astronomy and Astrophysics, 525 Davey Lab, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA 16802, USA

⁴ UCO/Lick Observatory Department of Astronomy and Astrophysics, Santa Cruz, CA, 95064, USA

⁵ University of Rochester, Department of Physics and Astronomy, Bausch and Lomb Hall, 500 Wilson Blvd, Rochester, NY, 14627, USA

⁶ Steward Observatory, University of Arizona, 933 N. Cherry Avenue, Tucson, AZ, 85721, USA

⁷ St. Michael’s College, Department of Chemistry and Physics, Colchester, VT, 05439, USA

Received 2011 April 19; accepted 2011 August 19; published 2011 November 18

ABSTRACT

We present a new determination of the intergalactic C IV mass density at $4.3 < z < 6.3$. Our constraints are derived from high signal-to-noise spectra of seven quasars at $z > 5.8$ obtained with the newly commissioned Folded-Port Infrared Echellette (FIRE) spectrograph on the Magellan Baade telescope, coupled with six observations of northern objects taken from the literature. We confirm the presence of a downturn in the C IV abundance at $\langle z \rangle = 5.66$ by a factor of 4.1 relative to its value at $\langle z \rangle = 4.96$, as measured in the same sight lines. In the FIRE sample, a strong system previously reported in the literature as C IV at $z = 5.82$ is re-identified as Mg II at $z = 2.78$, leading to a substantial downward revision in $\Omega_{\text{C IV}}$ for these prior studies. Additionally, we confirm the presence of at least two systems with low-ionization C II, Si II, and O I absorption but relatively weak signal from C IV. The latter systems may be of interest if the downward trend in $\Omega_{\text{C IV}}$ at high redshift is driven in part by ionization effects.

Key words: galaxies: high-redshift – intergalactic medium – quasars: absorption lines

Online-only material: color figures

1. INTRODUCTION

In 2010 March, our group commissioned the Folded-Port Infrared Echellette (FIRE), a new IR echelle spectrograph for the 6.5 m Magellan Baade Telescope. FIRE delivers $R = 6000$ spectra with uninterrupted coverage from 0.82 to $2.5 \mu\text{m}$ for a $0''.6$ slit (Simcoe et al. 2010; Simcoe 2011). One of the major science drivers for its construction was exploration of the high-redshift intergalactic medium (IGM) via absorption-line spectroscopy. Here, we report initial results on the search for high-redshift C IV based on commissioning observations taken during the first year of FIRE’s operation.

The existence of heavy elements in the IGM has been known since the earliest detections of C IV associated with $z \sim 3$ Ly α forest absorbers in high-resolution optical spectra (Cowie et al. 1995; Songaila & Cowie 1996; Cowie & Songaila 1998). Soon after this discovery, intergalactic C IV lines were detected even in the spectra of quasars with $z > 4$, establishing a lower limit on the amount of metal production that must have occurred in the early universe (Songaila 2001). A surprising result of these studies was the relatively constant comoving number density of C IV atoms over a wide range in redshift, where this density is smoothed over very large scales. More recently, larger samples of C IV lines both in high-redshift optical spectra (D’Odorico et al. 2010) and in lower redshift UV spectra (Cooksey et al. 2010; Danforth & Shull 2008) point toward a slow rise in the C IV density—expressed as $\Omega_{\text{C IV}}$, the C IV contribution to

closure—increasing by a factor of three to four between $z \sim 2$ and the present day.

The discovery of luminous quasar populations at $z > 6$ (Fan et al. 2006; Willott et al. 2010; Mortlock et al. 2009) enables studies of the C IV density at higher redshift, but above $z \sim 5.5$ observations become challenging as the C IV transition moves into the Y and J bands. For this reason, the earliest pilot studies in this region focused on very small (i.e., $N = 2$) samples of quasar sight lines. These pilot studies yielded similar values of $\Omega_{\text{C IV}}$ as at lower z , but with few actual line detections they were strongly affected by small number statistics (Simcoe 2006; Ryan-Weber et al. 2006; Becker et al. 2009). More recently, Ryan-Weber et al. (2009) compiled a larger set of IR observations for nine QSOs, with VLT/ISAAC and Keck/NIRSPEC. Their data set contains several sight lines with no C IV detections, which points to a decrease in $\Omega_{\text{C IV}}$ at $z > 5.5$.

During FIRE’s first year of operation we have obtained spectra of eleven $z > 5.5$ quasars, of which seven are public domain and have suitable signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) for IGM abundance measurements. This paper presents a first analysis of seven of these spectra, focusing on the search for C IV doublets in particular. We combine our results with data from the literature to derive a new value for $\Omega_{\text{C IV}}$ based on 13 unique sight lines. Where relevant throughout the remainder of the paper, we adopt a flat cosmology with $\Omega_M = 0.3$, $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.7$, and $H_0 = 71 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}$.

2. OBSERVATIONS AND DATA REDUCTIONS

During the period from 2010 March 31 to 2011 April 3, we obtained FIRE spectra of 11 QSOs with $z_{\text{em}} > 5.5$, seven of these are in the public-domain and have high enough S/N to study IGM absorption. Table 1 lists details of the observations

* This paper includes data gathered with the 6.5 m Magellan Telescopes located at Las Campanas Observatory, Chile.

⁸ Sloan Foundation Research Fellow.

⁹ NSF Fellow.

¹⁰ Hellman Fellow.

Table 1
FIRE Observations

Object	z	J^a	Exp. Time (s)	Δz	ΔX
SDSS 0818+1722	6.00	18.5	9,000	4.50–6.00	5.61
SDSS 0836+0054	5.82	17.9	10,187	4.35–5.79	4.52
SDSS 1030+0524	6.28	18.9	14,400	4.75–6.29	6.82
SDSS 1306+0356	6.01	18.8	15,682	4.52–5.97	6.46
ULAS 1319+0905	6.13	18.9	19,275	4.62–6.08	6.56
SDSS 1411+1217	5.93	18.9	15,300	4.55–5.93	5.28
CFHQS 1509–1749	6.12	18.9	17,100	4.60–6.11	6.03

Note. ^a Vega magnitudes.

for the seven high-redshift targets included in the present analysis. All spectra were obtained with a 0.6 slit, in seeing conditions ranging from 0.35 to 0.9, for a measured resolution of $R = 6000$, or 50 km s^{-1} . Individual integration times are listed in the table, with typical values of 4–5 hr. Full integrations were broken up into 15–20 minute intervals between which the object was moved on the slit.

We reduced the data using a custom-developed IDL pipeline, evolved from the MASE suite used for optical echelle reduction (Bochanski et al. 2009). There were several important modifications implemented for IR work. The most important of these was for wavelength calibration, which is principally solved using the terrestrial OH lines imprinted upon science spectra. Unlike most IR spectral packages which perform pairwise subtraction of A/B slit positions for sky subtraction, the FIRE pipeline instead calculates a direct B-spline model of the sky for each exposure using the techniques of Kelson (2003). This yields Poisson-limited residuals in the sky-subtracted frame, for a theoretical improvement of $\sqrt{2}$ in S/N relative to pairwise subtraction. This pipeline is being released to the community as part of the instrument package; a full description of its functionality and the efficacy of the sky subtraction will be provided in a separate forthcoming paper.

During observations, we obtained contemporaneous spectra of A0V stars for correction of telluric absorption features using the method of Vacca et al. (2003). We used the `xtellcor` procedure released with the `spextool` pipeline (Cushing et al. 2004) to perform telluric correction and relative flux calibration, finally combining the corrected echelle orders from all exposures into a single, one-dimensional spectrum for analysis.

For each sample target, we obtained additional far-optical spectra using the Magellan Echellette spectrometer (MagE; Marshall et al. 2008), for the purpose of augmenting S/N in the region blueward of 9000 \AA . These spectra had typical integration times of $\sim 1 \text{ hr}$ and $R = 5600$, a close match to FIRE. The data were processed in similar fashion as described above. Rather than performing detailed telluric corrections to the MagE data, we instead masked out regions susceptible to telluric contamination when combining with the FIRE spectra, only including the MagE contribution in telluric-free areas. The MagE spectra were smoothed to match the resolution of FIRE and the spectra from the two instruments were combined with inverse variance weighting, to create a single composite for analysis.

3. ANALYSIS

3.1. Line Identification

Before searching the data for C IV doublets, we fit a smooth continuum estimate to each quasar spectrum using a slowly

varying cubic spline. Figure 1 displays the C IV region for each of the continuum-normalized spectra. These regions were then searched both by hand and also using automated software to identify C IV doublets.

The automated search algorithm followed a two step process. First, the inverse spectrum ($1 - f/f_0$, where f is the flux and f_0 is the continuum) was smoothed with a Gaussian kernel having full width at half-maximum of one spectral resolution element, i.e., 50 km s^{-1} or four pixels. A peak finding procedure was run on the convolved spectrum to identify all deviations of $\geq 2.5\sigma$, which after convolution is equivalent to the S/N per resolution element.

This list of single-line identifications was then parsed to identify pairs at the appropriate velocity spacing for C IV doublets. We further required that the ratio of the absorption amplitudes between the 1548 \AA and 1551 \AA lines be consistent with the ratio in oscillator strengths, i.e., between 2.0 (for an unsaturated doublet) and 1.05 (completely saturated). Each candidate whose doublet ratio fell within $\pm 1\sigma$ of this range was inspected visually to reject obvious anomalies, for example, from poor sky subtraction near OH sky lines. We also verified that candidate C IV pairs were not Si II/O I doublets at higher redshift, by inspecting the corresponding C IV and Mg II wavelengths, as well as Si II $\lambda 1526$ for each system that could be misidentified in this way.

3.2. Completeness Estimates

At $z \lesssim 4$, the C IV column density distribution has a power law form extending at least to $N_{\text{CIV}} = 10^{12.5-13.0}$ and possibly lower, as measured from high S/N, high-resolution optical spectra (Ellison et al. 2000; Songaila 2001, 2005). Our high-redshift sample is incomplete at these lower column densities, because of our $\sim 10\times$ lower resolution (e.g., compared to High Resolution Echelle Spectrometer (HIRES)) and $\sim 5\times$ lower S/N when compared with the best-optical QSO spectra in the literature.

To quantify this incompleteness, we ran a simple set of Monte Carlo tests where a simulated C IV signal was injected into our actual QSO spectra. Our line finding algorithm was then run to determine the recovery fraction as a function of redshift, column density, and line width b .

The intrinsic properties of $z \sim 6$ C IV lines are not yet well characterized because the largest existing surveys are likely limited by instrumental resolution rather than intrinsic line widths.¹¹ At lower redshift, typical b parameters take values in the range $b \sim 8\text{--}20 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, with a slight preference for larger b at higher column densities. For the completeness tests, we took a forward-modeling approach by drawing b parameters at random from a distribution matched to HIRES C IV fits at $z \sim 2\text{--}3$ (Rauch et al. 1996). This distribution is Gaussian in shape and centered at 10 km s^{-1} for $N_{\text{CIV}} = 10^{13}$. It has a cutoff below 5 km s^{-1} and a steady rise in the mean b to 15 km s^{-1} at $N_{\text{CIV}} = 10^{14}$ but again cuts off above $b \sim 25 \text{ km s}^{-1}$.

Over a grid of column density, we drew redshifts at random, with a distribution matched to the path-length-weighted redshift distribution of the survey sight lines. We then calculated Voigt profiles directly for each artificial line, convolved these with the instrumental response function, and inserted them to the survey spectra, with one artificial system, per spectrum, per trial.

¹¹ The NIRSPEC spectra of Becker et al. (2009) with resolution 23 km s^{-1} may sample the high-end tail of the b distribution at lower S/N, but the present study and others in the literature are a factor of ~ 2 lower resolution.




Figure 1. Normalized spectra of the seven sample objects, ranging from the minimum search redshift ($z = 4.35$ in SDSS0836+0054) to the maximum $z = 6.3$ (in SDSS1030+0524). The locations of C IV doublet in Table 2 are shown with red marks, and the C IV wavelength at the QSO emission redshift is shown as a vertical dashed line. The weaker C IV systems that are difficult to see in this plot are better displayed in Figure 3.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

We then re-ran the line search algorithm described above on each trial spectrum and examined the output to see if the line was recovered. Each successful recovery was inspected by eye to ensure that our completeness was not being overestimated due to chance correlations in noise being picked up as “detections” for intrinsically weak lines. This procedure was repeated 5000 times, with 500 trials at each point in a grid of N_{CIV} between 10^{13} and 10^{15} cm^{-2} .

Figure 2 shows the total completeness distribution of the survey; the left panel includes all z while the right panel shows the completeness map by both redshift and N_{CIV} . Broadly

speaking, we recover most lines ($>80\%$) above $N_{\text{CIV}} = 10^{14}$ and relatively few ($<10\%$) below $N_{\text{CIV}} = 10^{13.2}$, with the 50% level occurring near $10^{13.7}$. Thus our survey sits between the parameter space explored by Becker et al. (2009), who are complete to lower N_{CIV} over a significantly smaller path length, and Ryan-Weber et al. (2009) who have a comparable path length but slightly lower completeness.

From the right panel of Figure 2 it is also apparent that the completeness varies with search redshift, with our areas of highest sensitivity occurring at $z < 4.8$ and $z > 5.2$. The high completeness at $z > 5.7$ reflects the rising sensitivity of




Figure 2. Left: the combined C IV sample completeness averaged over the full path of the survey. Dotted lines show the 50% completeness level, which occurs around $N_{\text{C IV}} = 10^{13.75} \text{ cm}^{-2}$. Right: the distribution of completeness with C IV column density and redshift. Some redshift ranges—particularly near $z = 5.0$ —have lower completeness because of higher noise levels in the data. This dependence is taken into account when correcting each detected line up for incompleteness when determining $\Omega_{\text{C IV}}$.

FIRE toward these wavelengths, while at $z < 4.8$ the MagE spectra contribute significantly to the S/N. The relatively low completeness at $z \sim 5$ results from decreased flux and/or imperfect correction in the vicinity of telluric absorption bands, which can be seen as increased noise around $\lambda = 0.94 \mu\text{m}$ in Figure 1.

In statistical calculations below, we correct for incompleteness by scaling each detected line by the inverse of its estimated completeness fraction. It is worth noting that the C IV lines reported in the literature to date nearly all have $N_{\text{C IV}} > 10^{14} \text{ cm}^{-2}$; we should detect the large majority of these over our entire survey volume, so the correction to $\Omega_{\text{C IV}}$ from this source of incompleteness is only $\sim 25\%$ or 0.1 dex—smaller than the Poisson errors from the limited number of systems in the sample. At smaller column density the correction is more severe, but these systems make a smaller fractional contribution to the total value of $\Omega_{\text{C IV}}$.

4. C IV SAMPLE AND MEASUREMENTS

Using the criteria described above, we identified 19 unique C IV systems ranging in redshift from $z = 4.61$ to $z = 5.92$ in the six survey sight lines. Properties of the individual systems are listed in Table 2, and plots of the individual absorbers are shown in Figure 3. We excluded regions within 3000 km s^{-1} of the QSO emission redshift from the search; however, no lines were excluded by this criterion, except for the one BAL absorber in SDSS1411. Table 2 contains two additional systems in SDSS0818+1722 at $z = 5.789$ and $z = 5.876$; these systems were not flagged as C IV in our data but have been reported previously in the literature and are discussed in Section 4.8.1.

We characterized the absorption strength for each system using a variety of different methods and to test the robustness of our column density determinations. At a resolution of 50 km s^{-1} , we almost certainly fail to resolve the detailed velocity structure seen in C IV systems at lower redshift, and we must also be attuned to the possibility of unresolved saturated components.

For each system, we list the rest-frame equivalent width (W_r) of both transitions of the C IV doublet, determined by direct summation of the continuum-normalized spectral pixels. The sums were performed over a region 50 km s^{-1} above and below where the normalized profile returns to unity. We then list a

C IV column density as determined using the “apparent optical depth” method of Savage & Sembach (1991), and finally the column density and b parameter estimates from direct Voigt profile fitting using the *vpfit* package.

Inspection of the Voigt profile Doppler parameters in Table 2 shows a high average b , similar to what was described in Ryan-Weber et al. (2009). This width is more likely to represent the full velocity spread of a suite of narrow components, rather than a large, single component. However, in such cases the *total* column density of the system is represented surprisingly well in a profile fit, since the ratio of the absorption depths reflects the degree of saturation (i.e., the column density for a single component) and the b parameter then scales with the number of individual sub-clumps in the system.

4.1. SDSS0818+1722, $z_{\text{em}} = 6.00$

We detect two discrete C IV systems toward this QSO, which had the shortest total integration of our sample. One of our detections, at $z = 4.7266$, is very robust and appears to contain at least two subcomponents. There is some hint of further substructure in the profile but the S/N of our data did not warrant a more aggressive fit. The second system we detect is at $z = 4.6919$ and is very weak at $N_{\text{C IV}} = 10^{13.2-13.4}$.

This sight line has also been observed with ISAAC (Ryan-Weber et al. 2009), NIRSPEC (Becker et al. 2009), and XShooter (D’Odorico et al. 2011). From these studies, the system at $z = 4.7266$ is confirmed but the system at 4.6919 is not. In addition, Ryan-Weber et al. (2009) and D’Odorico et al. (2011) report tentative C IV detections at $z = 5.7892$ and $z = 5.8769$, respectively. These systems are discussed further in Section 4.8.1. The $z = 5.7892$ system appears to suffer from sky-subtraction systematics in the FIRE spectrum and may be consistent with C IV absorption. The $z = 5.8769$ is detected in C IV 1548 Å, but the 1550 Å component of the doublet narrowly misses our 2.5σ threshold. However, we confirm the clear presence of low-ionization gas associated with both of these systems. Since they are not formally detected in the FIRE spectrum, we do not include these systems in our statistical calculations, although they would represent only a 0.08 dex perturbation on the final mass density calculation. D’Odorico et al. (2011) report a very large number of additional systems (13, at $z = 4.498$,

Table 2
C IV Detections

Object	z_{abs}	$W_r(1548)^a$	$W_r(1550)^a$	$N_{\text{CIV}}(\text{AODM})^b$	$N_{\text{CIV}}(\text{VPFIT})^c$	$b_{\text{CIV}}(\text{VPFIT})^d$	$\log_{10}(w_i)^e$
SDSS0818+1722	4.69193	115 ± 23	63 ± 32	13.3 ± 0.20	13.43 ± 0.09	26 ± 13	0.49
...	4.72586	462 ± 25	290 ± 17	$> 14.1 \pm 0.03$	13.96 ± 0.04	43 ± 8	0.05
...	4.72737	13.67 ± 0.15	10	0.19
...	5.78917 ^f	37 ± 20	54	...	≤ 13.5
...	5.87689 ^f	47 ± 13	33 ± 13	13.3 ± 0.08	≤ 13.41	6.7 ± 8.0	...
SDSS0836+0054	4.99623	163 ± 17	73 ± 17	13.6 ± 0.06	13.70 ± 0.05	71.1 ± 11.5	0.63
SDSS1030+0524	4.94814	109 ± 27	237 ± 27	13.9 ± 0.07	13.76 ± 0.11	33.8 ± 23.1	0.57
...	5.51694	331 ± 31	256 ± 23	$> 14.0 \pm 0.04$	14.02 ± 0.04	72.9 ± 12.0	0.07
...	5.72438	698 ± 17	482 ± 18	$> 14.6 \pm 0.03$	14.59 ± 0.04	50.3 ± 3.0	0.04
...	5.74399	273 ± 15	172 ± 13	13.87 ± 0.05	14.00 ± 0.04	40.2 ± 5.7	0.05
SDSS1306+0356	4.61499	320 ± 13	184 ± 14	13.9 ± 0.03	14.09 ± 0.15	64.4 ± 4.7	0.02
...	4.66825	292 ± 31	198 ± 22	$> 14.0 \pm 0.05$	14.07 ± 0.03	58.1 ± 5.8	0.02
...	4.86591	1744 ± 50	901 ± 41	$> 14.7 \pm 0.03$	14.80 ± 0.01	80.6 ± 32.3	0.05
...	4.87965	514 ± 32	354 ± 30	$> 14.4 \pm 0.10$	14.30 ± 0.20	55.6 ± 19.4	0.06
ULAS1319+0905	5.57415	392 ± 44	196 ± 51	$> 14.1 \pm 0.10$	14.14 ± 0.04	65.5 ± 9.1	0.04
...	5.26490	133 ± 33	118 ± 27	13.6 ± 0.15	13.66 ± 0.10	62.9 ± 21.7	0.32
SDSS1411+1217	5.24988	734 ± 26	321 ± 22	$> 14.3 \pm 0.04$	14.14 ± 0.07	30.0^g	0.03
...	5.24788	13.66 ± 0.10	30.0^g	0.32
...	5.25151	13.95 ± 0.07	30.0^g	0.11
...	5.786 ^h
CFHQS1509-1749	4.61078	26 ± 7	11 ± 8	12.8 ± 0.16	13.23 ± 0.28	5.0 ± 6.0	0.87
...	4.64143	71 ± 10	60 ± 10	13.4 ± 0.10	13.44 ± 0.04	48.8 ± 7.0	0.43
...	4.66598	89 ± 14	35 ± 11	13.3 ± 0.10	13.41 ± 0.05	40.3 ± 7.7	0.50
...	4.81552	308 ± 22	206 ± 19	$> 14.0 \pm 0.10$	14.01 ± 0.04	60.0 ± 5.2	0.06
...	4.82492	...	33 ± 12	13.2 ± 0.20	13.45 ± 0.09	26.0 ± 10.0	0.63
...	5.91578	331 ± 39	183 ± 25	$> 14.1 \pm 0.07$	14.02 ± 0.03	48.8 ± 5.5	0.03

Notes.

^a Rest-frame equivalent width (mÅ).

^b Column density (cm^{-2}) determined via the apparent optical depth method (Savage & Sembach 1991). The AODM is formally a lower bound on the column density for saturated doublets, so systems with $N_{\text{CIV}} > 10^{14} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ are listed as limits in the table.

^c Voigt profile column density (cm^{-2}).

^d Voigt profile doppler parameter (km s^{-1}).

^e Completeness correction (dex).

^f This system is not a statistically significant C IV system in our spectrum, but we confirm the presence of Si II and other neutral atoms as reported by D’Odorico et al. (2011) and Ryan-Weber et al. (2009). It is *not* included in the Ω_{CIV} calculation.

^g This system is blended such that the uncertainty in b was quite high; this has minimal effect on the total column density.

^h Intrinsic BAL.

4.508, 4.523, 4.552, 4.577, 4.620, 4.732, 4.877, 4.942, 5.076, 5.308, 5.322, and 5.344) which we do not detect in our 9000 s spectrum. The systems at $z \lesssim 5$ are detected in neither our MagE or FIRE spectra, and so must be quite weak. The higher redshift examples may only be revealed at the higher S/N revealed by D’Odorico’s 24,000 s spectrum.

4.2. SDSS0836+0054

This bright, lower redshift QSO has been observed by the groups noted above, with no C IV detections. Despite excellent S/N, we also detect no C IV absorption at $z > 5$, although we do detect a new system at $z = 4.996$, with possible Si IV seen at the 2σ level. At $z > 5$, this sight line is roughly 0.2 dex more sensitive than the survey average: its 50% completeness falls at $\log(N_{\text{CIV}}) = 13.55$ and is 80% complete at $\log(N_{\text{CIV}}) = 13.8$.

4.3. SDSS1030+0524

We detect three distinct systems in SDSS1030+0524 and retract from this sight line a fourth system that has been discussed in the literature. The first system is at $z = 5.7244$ and was a strong detection reported by Ryan-Weber et al.

(2006) and a marginal detection in Simcoe (2006). In addition, we find new systems at $z = 4.9481$ and $z = 5.5169$. The $z = 4.9481$ system is confirmed by the presence of Si II 1526 Å, Al II 1670 Å, and the Mg II doublet, while the $z = 5.5169$ system is confirmed by Si II 1526 Å, Fe II (multiple lines), and Al II 1670 Å (Mg II falls between the *H* and *K* bands). We detect an additional C IV doublet at $z = 5.744$, in the vicinity of the known system at $z = 5.72$. However, the strong absorption system at $1.057 \mu\text{m}$ —previously identified as C IV—is shown in the FIRE spectrum to be a remarkable low-redshift interloping Mg II system (discussed further in Section 4.8.2).

4.4. SDSS1306+0305

Three C IV systems are detected in the lower-redshift region of this spectrum, including a very strong complex at $z = 4.870$ that also displays Si II, Fe II, Al II, Mg II, and possible Mg I. This system was also seen in the optical spectra of Becker et al. (2001). Significant systems are also detected at $z = 4.613$ and 4.686; a weak system is possibly present at $z = 4.702$ but is only detected at 2σ significance and so is not included in the sample. Similar to previous studies of this sight line, we find no




Figure 3. Plots of individual C IV systems listed in Table 2, in units of continuum normalized flux. The 1σ error array is shown at bottom and a best-fit Voigt profile is shown with a solid smooth line. The total $\log(N_{\text{C IV}})$ and b is shown for each system in square brackets.




Figure 3. (Continued)

statistically significant C IV absorption at $z > 5$. The two strong absorption lines at 9900 Å are from an interloping Mg II system at $z = 2.53$.

4.5. ULAS1319+0950

This sight line has not previously been observed for C IV absorption. We detect a significant C IV system at $z = 5.573$, which is accompanied by strong Si IV, possible C II, and Fe II. A second, very marginal detection is seen at $z = 5.2646$ with no other transitions. More sensitive data are needed to confirm the identification of this system.

4.6. SDSS1411+1217

This spectrum had among the lowest S/N of our sample given its ratio of emission line to continuum flux. However, we detected one very strong C IV system at $z = 5.2498$ which shows evidence of velocity structure in its profile. This system is also seen in Mg II and Si IV; C II and Si II are not detected but also not strongly ruled out by the data. Fe II falls in a high S/N region of the spectrum but was not detected.

There is a high-redshift absorber that appears to be C IV near $z = 5.786$, but this line has extremely extended absorption as would be characteristic of a BAL at the redshift of the background QSO, so we do not include it in the IGM sample.

4.7. CFHQS1509–1749

We detect five unique systems in our FIRE spectrum of CFHQS1509–1749. Four of these are quite weak at $z < 5$, while one at $z = 4.820$ is significant. The systems at $z = 4.6108$, 4.6416, and 4.6659 were reported by D’Odorico et al. (2011), but the system at $z = 4.820$ was not. A second weak component was required to obtain a good fit on the $z = 4.8202$ system to avoid reversing the doublet ratio.

The b parameter found for the system at $z = 4.61078$ by VPFIT is substantially lower than most others in our sample. This is a very weak detection; it appears intrinsically narrow in the data and is clearly unresolved by FIRE. As illustrated by the equivalent width ratios of the C IV doublet components, our data are consistent with either the 2:1 ratio expected for optically thin absorbers, or the 1:1 ratio characteristic of saturation. Given its small W_r we expect this system to be only mildly saturated if at all; nevertheless the total N_{CIV} can only be constrained to within a factor of ~ 3 . For consistency below we use the VPFIT value of the column; given its small value, any change would only produce a minor perturbation to our final calculation of Ω_{CIV} .

We detect an additional system not previously reported at $z = 5.9158$; this is the highest redshift C IV system in our sample, and to our knowledge the highest redshift absorption system currently known. The C IV system listed at $z = 4.820$ is closely matched in wavelength to the expected location of the O I/Si II pair, but this alternate identification is ruled out by a lack of Si II $\lambda 1526$.

4.8. Special Cases

4.8.1. The $z = 5.7899$ Absorber in SDSS0818

Ryan-Weber et al. (2009) reported a possible C IV system in SDSS0818+1722 at $z = 5.7899$; this system was neither flagged in our visual inspection of the FIRE data nor found by our automated line search. However, as also reported by D’Odorico et al. (2011) and Becker et al. (2011), we do find evidence of low-ionization absorption offset from the reported C IV redshift




Figure 4. Top: FIRE spectrum showing the C IV region of SDSS0818+1722 at $z = 5.78990$, where both Ryan-Weber et al. (2009) and D’Odorico et al. (2011) report tentative detection of a weak C IV system. Gray region shows the $\pm 1\sigma$ error contours, while the solid black line shows a best-fit Voigt profile model to the 1550 Å component alone, which does *not* provide a good match to the 1548 Å line. There is evidence of systematic error in the sky subtraction on the blue wing of the profile (the positive spike). Bottom: confirmation of detected absorption in low-ionization species at this redshift, including O I, Fe II, Si II, and C II.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

by $\sim 75 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. A plot of several absorption species is shown in Figure 4, along with a shaded band showing the $\pm 1\sigma$ contours about the continuum.

Inspection of the error contour shows that both members of the C IV doublet are in regions of higher noise; this is because they are both blended with bright telluric OH emission lines at this redshift. There is weak evidence for absorption at the 1550 Å component, but the 1548 Å component appears to suffer from systematic sky subtraction effects. The data for this object were obtained on a single night (2010 March 5) when the heliocentric velocity amplitude was 28 km s^{-1} , i.e., nearly radial toward SDSS0818. A revisit of this object with seasonal offset of $\sim 1/2$

year would effectively shift the telluric lines by one resolution element, providing an improved view of the absorber.

Using the 1550 Å line alone, we fit a Voigt profile model to check for consistency in column density with the value reported by Ryan-Weber et al. (2009); the result is shown in the top panel of Figure 4. Based on this component, we verified that a $\log(N_{\text{CIV}}) \approx 13.5$ C IV line represents our data quite well. Our fits converged to lower values of the b parameter, though these may be unphysical since the values are well below one resolution element for FIRE. While the velocity width is uncertain, the total C IV column is roughly constant for any choice of between $10 < b < 60 \text{ km s}^{-1}$; this is certainly the case as well for $b = 39 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ (the value measured by Ryan-Weber et al. 2009).

This absorption system is intriguingly different from typical absorption-line systems at lower redshift, where the neutral phase traced by O I, Fe II, Si II, and C II is usually accompanied by strong absorption from high-ionization species. In fact the presence of O I absorption suggests this system may be a damped Ly α absorber or super-Lyman-limit system whose normal highly ionized gas phase is substantially suppressed.

4.8.2. Re-identifying a Reported High- z C IV System as Mg II

Another finding with implications for the existing literature is our improved spectrum of SDSS 1030+0524, where a strong C IV system at $z = 5.8288$ was reported by both Simcoe (2006) and Ryan-Weber et al. (2009). This system was a marginal detection in the ISAAC spectrum, and Simcoe (2006) noted the presence of an unidentified, blended line in his GNIRS spectrum. In the FIRE spectrum, we clearly identify this absorber as a Mg II system at $z = 2.780$, based on the detailed match of the Mg II 2796 and 2803 Å velocity profiles and the weak but detectable presence of Fe II absorption at coincident redshift. Figure 5 shows a stacked velocity plot of three transitions from the system along with a Voigt profile model fit for Mg II.

We detect individual Mg II components¹² spanning a remarkable range of $\pm 400 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ and a total equivalent width in excess of 3 Å. This places the system in the strongest 4% of all Mg II absorbers found in the SDSS DR4 (>17,000 systems at $0.36 < z < 2.28$; Quider et al. 2011). It also has a velocity width larger than any of the 22 Mg II systems studied at high resolution in Prochter et al. (2006).

The equivalent width for the $z = 2.78$ absorber qualifies it as a strong candidate damped Ly α system (Rao & Turnshek 2000), although the Ly α line itself is inaccessible in the saturated forest. No coincident Mg I absorption is seen, so it is uncertain whether there is truly neutral gas present. This leaves the physical picture for this system uncertain. It may represent the chance interception of an unusually violent galactic outflow (Bond et al. 2001), as has been seen in both QSO absorbers (Nestor et al. 2011) and galaxy spectra (Weiner et al. 2009). Alternatively if a gravitational interpretation is invoked to explain the velocity spread, the associated potential must be somewhat large, up to galaxy group scales, unless the system is undergoing a merger or is otherwise out of dynamical equilibrium. If a large galaxy or small cluster potential is present at small enough impact parameter to be seen in Mg II absorption (generally $\lesssim 100 h^{-1}$ physical kpc from galaxies; Chen et al. 2010), it is possible that this mass could act as a gravitational lens for SDSS1030,




Figure 5. Stacked velocity plot showing matched profiles of the Mg II doublet along with Fe II at $z = 2.780$. This identification supersedes prior work which flagged this system as C IV at $z = 5.82$. Note the extremely broad velocity spread, which spans a total of $\sim 800 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. At bottom, we show a Voigt profile fit to the Mg II complex.

explaining in part its large apparent luminosity. A full analysis of this possibility is beyond the scope of the present paper.

4.9. Effects on Past Determinations of Ω_{CIV}

The two systems discussed in Sections 4.8.1 and 4.8.2 constitute 44% of the total C IV column density (and hence 44% of Ω_{CIV}) reported in the Ryan-Weber et al. (2009) survey. The larger of these systems—containing 38% of the total C IV—is definitively removed from the sample, requiring a downward revision of Ω_{CIV} by a factor of 1.6. The smaller system is tentatively confirmed at the column density reported in Ryan-Weber et al. (2009), though this represents only a 6% fluctuation in Ω_{CIV} .

Similar corrections must be applied for the results of Simcoe (2006) and Becker et al. (2009), which on account of their smaller sample sizes include a larger fractional contribution from the system in SDSS1030+0524. In the case of Simcoe (2006), the fractional contribution is a full 56%, leading to a $\sim 2\times$ downward revision in the derived Ω_{CIV} . Becker et al. detect no C IV systems explicitly in their NIRSPEC survey, but use the detections of Simcoe (2006) and Ryan-Weber et al. (2009) to set a lower bound on Ω_{CIV} ; this lower bound should be revised downward by a factor of ~ 2 .

¹² The term components here refers to distinct absorption features in the FIRE spectrum; at a resolution of $\Delta v = 50 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ it is very likely that each spectral resolution element contains several blended and/or saturated intrinsic components.




Figure 6. Evolution of the mass density in C IV, expressed as $\Omega_{\text{C IV}}$, for systems with $13.4 \leq \log(N_{\text{C IV}}) \leq 15.0$. Data from this study are shown in blue; the results of several prior studies are shown for comparison, where all are scaled to our fiducial cosmology and corrected for their varying degrees of completeness. Solid squares show results from Songaila (2001), open triangles are from Songaila (2005), open diamonds are from D’Odorico et al. (2010), solid diamonds are from Cooksey et al. (2010), the cross at $z \approx 0$ is from Danforth & Shull (2008), the solid black circle is from Pettini et al. (2003), and the open black circle is from Ryan-Weber et al. (2009). Ryan-Weber’s point has been adjusted to reflect re-identification of the putative $z = 5.82$ C IV system in SDSS1030 as Mg II.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

5. THE UNIVERSAL MASS DENSITY OF C IV

Using the C IV identifications listed in Table 2, we constructed an estimate of $\Omega_{\text{C IV}}$ using the customary form:

$$\Omega_{\text{C IV}} = \frac{1}{\rho_c} m_{\text{C IV}} \frac{\sum w_i N_{\text{C IV},i}}{(c/H_0) \sum \Delta X}. \quad (1)$$

Here, ρ_c represents the (current) critical density, $m_{\text{C IV}}$ is the mass of the carbon atom, and w_i is the completeness correction applied for each system, as a function of absorber $N_{\text{C IV}}$ and z (see Figure 2). ΔX represents the comoving absorption path length summed over all sight lines, where for each sight line $\Delta X = X(z_{\text{max}}) - X(z_{\text{min}})$ with

$$X(z) = \frac{2}{3\Omega_M} \sqrt{\Omega_M(1+z)^3 + \Omega_\Lambda}. \quad (2)$$

The path length for each sight line is listed in Table 1; the integrated path for all FIRE spectra is $\Delta X = 44.93$, of which $\Delta X = 21.92$ is at $z > 5.3$. This is approximately a factor of four larger in path than the survey of Becker et al. and 78% larger than the survey of (Ryan-Weber et al. 2009). Many sight lines studied by these authors are in the north and not accessible with FIRE. So, to maximize survey volume we combine separately our FIRE measurements with (primarily NIRSPEC) measurements for northern targets to obtain a total path length of $\Delta X = 62.77$, of which $\Delta X = 37.73$ is at $z > 5.3$. Figure 6 shows the resulting calculation of $\Omega_{\text{C IV}}$, along with independent results at other redshifts, gathered from the literature as listed in the figure caption. We have corrected the point from Ryan-Weber et al. (2009) to remove the Mg II system in SDSS1030+0524.

Several adjustments must be made to put data from different studies together on the same plot, since each survey quotes different levels of completeness, and in some cases

different cosmological parameters are used to calculate $\Omega_{\text{C IV}}$ (Ryan-Weber et al. 2009; Cooksey et al. 2010). For older studies which use an Einstein–deSitter cosmology ($\Omega = 1$) we multiply results by $\sqrt{\Omega_M} \approx 0.55$ to (approximately) translate to currently favored concordance models. A more subtle correction must also be made for weak C IV lines not included in the sum from Equation (1) because of survey incompleteness, which varies from study to study.

This effect may be accounted given knowledge of the column density distribution function $f(N_{\text{C IV}}) = dN/dN_{\text{C IV}}$ for each survey, since $\Omega_{\text{C IV}}$ may also be expressed as a weighted integral of $f(N)$. The power-law slope of f is shallower than $N_{\text{C IV}}^{-2}$ in all lower redshift surveys, so the effect of missed systems at low $N_{\text{C IV}}$ is subdominant, but could still represent a factor of ~ 2 or more. We follow the procedures outlined in Ryan-Weber et al. (2009) and Cooksey et al. (2010) to determine the fraction of each survey’s systems that would be detected in our sample, appropriately integrating their fits to $f(N_{\text{C IV}})$ over the range where we are complete. We chose integration limits of $10^{13.4} < N_{\text{C IV}} < 10^{15}$, since we are sensitive to many systems in this range and our completeness corrections are robust. The fraction of each prior survey’s systems that would be detected in the FIRE spectra varies; the specific values we used are 0.70 for Songaila (2001), 0.89 for Songaila (2005), 1.12 for Ryan-Weber et al. (2009), 0.86 for Pettini et al. (2003), and 0.93 for Cooksey et al. (2010). The correction for Ryan-Weber’s data relies on the unknown power-law slope of $f(N)$ at $z > 5$ which we assumed to run as $N^{-1.5}$; if the slope is as steep as $N^{-1.8}$ then the correction becomes a factor of 1.22.

After all corrections are applied, our principal result is confirmation of a marked decline in $\Omega_{\text{C IV}}$ at $z > 4.5$, as initially reported by Ryan-Weber et al. (2009) and Becker et al. (2009). We divided up our sample into two redshift bins with $z > 5.3$ and $z < 5.3$, with the lower redshift end extending as low as $z = 4.35$ and the high-redshift end to $z = 6.4$. The low-redshift bin contains 14 distinct FIRE detections (where we count multi-component systems as single detections), while the high-redshift bin contains five FIRE detections plus the two likely systems discussed in Section 4.8.1. Our $\Omega_{\text{C IV}}$ does not include these systems that are not formal detections with FIRE, although the presence of low-ionization absorption suggests they are probably real. If we treat our fits to these systems as upper limits to the C IV column density, the amount by which $\Omega_{\text{C IV}}$ could increase is bounded at 20% (0.08 dex). The total result for the seven FIRE sight lines is $\Omega_{\text{C IV}} = 6.8 \pm 3.3 \times 10^{-9}$ at $\langle z \rangle = 5.66$, for the column density range $13.4 < \log(N_{\text{C IV}}) < 15.0$. Similarly the value at $\langle z \rangle = 4.92$ for the FIRE sample alone is $2.0 \pm 0.5 \times 10^{-8}$.

Given the low apparent density of C IV systems, we also recalculated $\Omega_{\text{C IV}}$ including six sight lines from the literature that were not observed by FIRE. The additional sight lines used include SDSS0002+2550 and SDSS1148+5251, which yielded no detections in high-resolution (23 km s^{-1}) NIRSPEC data (Becker et al. 2009), and SDSS0840+3549, SDSS1137+3549, SDSS1604+4244, and SDSS2054+0054, which were observed at low resolution (185 km s^{-1}) with NIRSPEC by Ryan-Weber et al. (2009). This expanded set of sight lines yields just one additional C IV system, at $z = 5.738$ in SDSS1137+3529. At this system’s $\log(N_{\text{C IV}}) = 14.2$, Ryan-Weber’s data should be highly complete so we apply no completeness correction to this system in our modified sum for $\Omega_{\text{C IV}}$. Becker’s spectra, while yielding no detections, should also be highly complete over our full range of column densities given his higher resolution.

The net effect of these largely null results is to reduce the derived density, with our combined value for 13 sight lines measured at

$$\Omega_{\text{C IV}} = \begin{cases} (1.87 \pm 0.50) \times 10^{-8} & \langle z \rangle = 4.95 \\ (0.46 \pm 0.20) \times 10^{-8} & \langle z \rangle = 5.66. \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

The redshifts quoted above reflect the path-length-weighted mean for each bin, where the lower bin includes all systems from $4.35 < z < 5.3$ and the higher includes all systems with $5.3 < z < 6.4$. While lower than the value from the FIRE spectra alone, the different methods agree to well within 1σ . The values in Equation (3) represent a steady decline in $\Omega_{\text{C IV}}$ by a factor of 4.1 ± 2.1 over the $4.5 < z < 5.6$ interval. This decline is measured using counts of C IV systems drawn at different redshifts, but from the same spectra.

Our data support the contention that the C IV density is in decline at $z > 4.5$. The exact redshift at which this downturn begins is not strongly constrained by present data, although it appears sometime between $z = 4$ and $z = 5$. When plotted in the context of all surveys including ones in the local universe, several interpretations are possible regarding evolution. Ground-based studies to date have focused on the relative constancy of $\Omega_{\text{C IV}}$ from $2 < z < 5$, followed by a steep drop at higher z . As plotted here, an equally plausible interpretation could be $\Omega_{\text{C IV}}$ decreasing linearly with increasing redshift from $z = 0$, at constant slope until $z \sim 4.5$ after which the decline quickens (as advocated by D’Odorico et al. 2010). Yet another interpretation would hold that the C IV mass density is on relatively constant decline over all redshifts, but is enhanced briefly at $z \sim 4$. Coincidentally this is the redshift where the H I cross-section-weighted C IV ionization fraction peaks for a Haardt & Madau (2001) prescription of the ionizing background spectrum. At present the data do not distinguish strongly between these cases; revisiting the $1 < z < 2$ and $z \sim 4$ regions with larger samples of optical spectra should in time be able to settle the question.

6. DISCUSSION

Over the past five years, C IV observations at $z > 5$ have evolved from samples of two sight lines which were strongly affected by sample variance (Simcoe 2006; Ryan-Weber et al. 2006), to the present sample of 13 sight lines. Yet the number of strong detections has grown slowly, while several sight lines nearly devoid of C IV have now been observed. At a total detection count of six sure and two likely systems at $z > 5.3$, it is far from clear that we have sampled enough cosmic volume to perform robust statistical analysis.

Yet the data in hand point strongly toward a lower C IV mass density in our high-redshift search region. A critical question is to what extent this trend reflects a change in the total carbon abundance as opposed to a change in its ionization balance. Rapid changes such as this over a short timescale are more easily achieved through a combination of radiative and ionization effects rather than chemical feedback, but the period before $z \sim 3$ was also one of stellar mass buildup in the universe (Bouwens et al. 2007). Some of the byproducts of this process will leak into the IGM, and indeed recent estimates of evolution in the ionization-corrected carbon abundance at $z = 2\text{--}4$ suggest that the C IV mass in the IGM doubled over this period (Simcoe 2011), although this represents a somewhat longer stretch of time.

The C IV ionization balance is governed principally by photons with energies of 3.5 Ry (C III to C IV), 4.74 Ry (C IV to

C V), and >20 Ry (C V to C VI). These high-energy photons are produced predominantly in active galactic nuclei (AGNs), but are also strongly attenuated by intergalactic He II at $z > 4$, since He II reionization likely does not occur until $z \lesssim 3.5$, and perhaps even lower redshift (Shull et al. 2010; Worseck et al. 2011). C IV systems—particularly the strong ones which dominate $\Omega_{\text{C IV}}$ —may be preferentially present in He II-ionized bubbles surrounding sites of galaxy formation and/or AGN activity, where the radiation field and abundances are both enhanced.

If the evolution in the C IV abundance is driven by ionization rather than abundance evolution, one might expect to see an increase in the density of low-ionization C II, Si II, or O I systems. These systems would arise in regions where abundances are high but the ionizing field is dominated by stellar photons rather than harder AGN radiation.

There are a few lines of evidence hinting at this interpretation for *some* absorbers, though it is still too early to draw definitive conclusions about the general population. Becker et al. (2006) initially reported a strong enhancement in O I absorption toward the high-redshift QSO SDSS1148+5251, and subsequent observations have not yet yielded corresponding C IV absorption coincident with these O I lines. More recent work by Becker et al. (2011) compiles statistics on C II, O I, and Si II absorbers from HIRES and Echelle Spectrograph and Imager spectra of a large sample of high-redshift objects. This study suggests that the evolution of low-ionization absorbers broadly follows the extrapolation of trends established at lower z , with the highly ionized gas phase dropping out at $z \geq 5$. However these studies treat the evolution of low- and high-ionization systems independently, since examples were not available where both ionization phases were detected in a single $z > 5.5$ system.

Two of the systems studied in Becker et al. (2009) are covered by our data on SDSS0818; one of these was first reported as a tentative C IV detection by (Ryan-Weber et al. 2009) and has since also been discussed by D’Odorico et al. (2011). Our data rule out strong C IV absorption in these two systems but admit the possibility of weak lines, while we confirm evidence of low-ionization absorption. A small handful of systems are present in our other FIRE spectra with similar low-ionization absorption, often identified via Mg II in the *H* band. Analysis of these systems is outside the scope of this paper, although it is notable that some systems contain strong C IV (and are included in the present sample) and some do not. At the resolution and S/N of our FIRE spectra we do not see evidence for the emergence of a strong “forest” of C II, Si II, or O I lines at $z > 5.5$, although higher quality spectra will be needed to assess this possibility in detail.

Ultimately a combination of abundance and ionization evolution must be at play, suggesting that forward modeling using numerical simulations will be required (Oppenheimer & Davé 2006, 2008; Oppenheimer et al. 2009; Wiersma et al. 2010). If the C IV systems reside in non-overlapping, He II-ionized bubbles, radiative transfer effects, and local enrichment are likely to become important. In this case, the C IV systems seen at $z > 5.5$ are only “intergalactic” in the sense that they are selected at random from observations of background QSOs. In fact they are likely to be influenced strongly by their environments in both radiation and metal enhancement, and may reside quite close to the early galaxies responsible for reionizing the universe.¹³

¹³ In fact during review of this paper, Gonzalo Díaz et al. (2011) reported the discovery of a $2.5L^*(z=6)$ galaxy at 80 kpc projected separation from the strongest C IV absorber in our sample, providing further evidence of a strong connection between early galaxies and $z \sim 6$ C IV absorbers.

7. CONCLUSIONS

Using the newly commissioned FIRE spectrograph, we have observed a sample of seven QSOs at $z > 5.5$ to derive improved constraints on the C IV abundance at early times. Using both manual and automated searches, we identified a sample of 19 C IV absorption systems in our FIRE spectra with C IV column densities in the range $13.2 \leq \log(N_{\text{CIV}}) \leq 14.6$ and redshifts ranging from $z = 4.61$ to 5.91 . Monte Carlo tests indicate that our line sample is highly complete at $N_{\text{CIV}} > 10^{14} \text{ cm}^{-2}$; it is 50% complete near $10^{13.7} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ over most survey areas not strongly affected by telluric absorption.

Our conclusions may be summarized as follows.

1. Our spectra show evidence of a decline in the C IV abundance at $z > 5$, consistent with the findings of previous studies not strongly affected by cosmic variance. Expressed in terms of the closure density, we find $\Omega_{\text{CIV}} = 4.6 \pm 2.0 \times 10^{-9}$ at $\langle z \rangle = 5.66$, a fourfold decline relative to what is observed at $\langle z \rangle = 4.95$ and lower redshifts.
2. A strong system in the spectrum of SDSS1030+0524 previously identified in the literature as C IV at $z = 5.82$ is re-identified as Mg II at $z = 2.78$. This system comprised a significant portion of the total C IV mass measured in all prior surveys, so these literature results must accordingly be revised downward.
3. We present new limits on the C IV column density for several systems previously identified based on low-ionization lines and/or weak C IV. The emergence of these systems may reflect an overall change in the ionization balance of absorption systems at $z > 5$, where high-ionization species common at low redshift are less prevalent. Larger statistical samples of these systems are needed to compare the relative importance of the ionizing background field and metallicity distribution when interpreting the observed evolution in C IV.

Finally, we caution that as the C IV density drops, even though the number of well-observed sight lines grows our results may still be subject to fluctuations from shot noise or sample variance (only 5–7 systems are now known at $z > 5.5$). The present work summarizes just the first year of an ongoing program to observe high-redshift QSOs. Planned observations should cover the complete catalog of bright, southern-accessible targets, while ongoing and planned surveys such as UKIDSS (Warren et al. 2007), SkyMapper (Keller et al. 2007), and VISTA (McPherson et al. 2006) should substantially increase the number of known high- z quasars in the Southern sky.

It is a pleasure to thank engineers and staff at MIT and Magellan who contributed to FIRE's successful construction and commissioning. We gratefully acknowledge support for FIRE's construction through the NSF under MRI Grant AST-0619490 and also by the MIT Department of Physics and Curtis Marble. Support for science observations and analysis was provided by NSF Grant AST-0908920 and also by the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation. R.A.S. enthusiastically recognizes

generous lumbar support from the Adam J. Burgasser Endowed Chair in Astrophysics. A.J.B. acknowledges financial support from the Chris and Warren Hellman Fellowship Program.

Facility: Magellan:Baade (FIRE)

REFERENCES

- Becker, G. D., Rauch, M., & Sargent, W. L. W. 2009, *ApJ*, **698**, 1010
 Becker, G. D., Sargent, W. L. W., Rauch, M., & Calverley, A. P. 2011, *ApJ*, **735**, 93
 Becker, G. D., Sargent, W. L. W., Rauch, M., & Simcoe, R. A. 2006, *ApJ*, **640**, 69
 Becker, R. H., Fan, X., White, R. L., et al. 2001, *AJ*, **122**, 2850
 Bochanski, J. J., Hennawi, J. F., Simcoe, R. A., et al. 2009, *PASP*, **121**, 1409
 Bond, N. A., Churchill, C. W., Charlton, J. C., & Vogt, S. S. 2001, *ApJ*, **562**, 641
 Bouwens, R. J., Illingworth, G. D., Franx, M., & Ford, H. 2007, *ApJ*, **670**, 928
 Chen, H., Helsby, J. E., Gauthier, J., et al. 2010, *ApJ*, **714**, 1521
 Cooksey, K. L., Thom, C., Prochaska, J. X., & Chen, H. 2010, *ApJ*, **708**, 868
 Cowie, L. L., & Songaila, A. 1998, *Nature*, **394**, 44
 Cowie, L. L., Songaila, A., Kim, T., & Hu, E. M. 1995, *AJ*, **109**, 1522
 Cushing, M. C., Vacca, W. D., & Rayner, J. T. 2004, *PASP*, **116**, 362
 Danforth, C. W., & Shull, J. M. 2008, *ApJ*, **679**, 194
 D'Odorico, V., Calura, F., Cristiani, S., & Viel, M. 2010, *MNRAS*, **401**, 2715
 D'Odorico, V., Cupani, G., Cristiani, S., et al. 2011, *AN*, **332**, 315
 Ellison, S. L., Songaila, A., Schaye, J., & Pettini, M. 2000, *AJ*, **120**, 1175
 Fan, X., Strauss, M. A., Richards, G. T., et al. 2006, *AJ*, **131**, 1203
 Gonzalo Diaz, C., Ryan-Weber, E. V., Cooke, J., et al. 2011, arXiv: astro-ph/1104.4194
 Haardt, F., & Madau, P. 2001, in *Clusters of Galaxies and the High Redshift Universe Observed in X-rays*, ed. D. M. Neumann & J. T. T. Van, 36th Rencontres de Moriond, 21st Moriond Astrophysics Meeting, Savoie, France
 Keller, S. C., Schmidt, B. P., & Bessell, M. S. 2007, arXiv e-prints
 Kelson, D. D. 2003, *PASP*, **115**, 688
 Marshall, J. L., Burles, S., Thompson, I. B., et al. 2008, *Proc. SPIE*, **7014**, 169
 McPherson, A. M., Born, A., Sutherland, W., et al. 2006, *Proc. SPIE*, **6267**, 7
 Mortlock, D. J., Patel, M., Warren, S. J., et al. 2009, *A&A*, **505**, 97
 Nestor, D. B., Johnson, B. D., Wild, V., et al. 2011, *MNRAS*, **412**, 1559
 Oppenheimer, B. D., & Davé, R. 2006, *MNRAS*, **373**, 1265
 Oppenheimer, B. D., & Davé, R. 2008, *MNRAS*, **387**, 577
 Oppenheimer, B. D., Davé, R., & Finlator, K. 2009, *MNRAS*, **396**, 729
 Pettini, M., Madau, P., Bolte, M., et al. 2003, *ApJ*, **594**, 695
 Prochter, G. E., Prochaska, J. X., & Burles, S. M. 2006, *ApJ*, **639**, 766
 Quider, A. M., Nestor, D. B., Turnshek, D. A., et al. 2011, *AJ*, **141**, 137
 Rao, S. M., & Turnshek, D. A. 2000, *ApJS*, **130**, 1
 Rauch, M., Sargent, W. L. W., Womble, D. S., & Barlow, T. A. 1996, *ApJ*, **467**, L5
 Ryan-Weber, E. V., Pettini, M., & Madau, P. 2006, *MNRAS*, **371**, L78
 Ryan-Weber, E. V., Pettini, M., Madau, P., & Zych, B. J. 2009, *MNRAS*, **395**, 1476
 Savage, B. D., & Sembach, K. R. 1991, *ApJ*, **379**, 245
 Shull, J. M., France, K., Danforth, C. W., Smith, B., & Tumlinson, J. 2010, *ApJ*, **722**, 1312
 Simcoe, R. A. 2006, *ApJ*, **653**, 977
 Simcoe, R. A. 2011, *ApJ*, **738**, 159
 Simcoe, R. A., Burgasser, A. J., Bochanski, J. J., et al. 2010, *Proc. SPIE*, **7735**, 38
 Songaila, A. 2001, *ApJ*, **561**, L153
 Songaila, A. 2005, *AJ*, **130**, 1996
 Songaila, A., & Cowie, L. L. 1996, *AJ*, **112**, 335
 Vacca, W. D., Cushing, M. C., & Rayner, J. T. 2003, *PASP*, **115**, 389
 Warren, S. J., Hambly, N. C., Dye, S., et al. 2007, *MNRAS*, **375**, 213
 Weiner, B. J., Coil, A. L., Prochaska, J. X., et al. 2009, *ApJ*, **692**, 187
 Wiersma, R. P. C., Schaye, J., Dalla Vecchia, C., et al. 2010, *MNRAS*, **409**, 132
 Willott, C. J., Delorme, P., Reylé, C., et al. 2010, *AJ*, **139**, 906
 Worseck, G., Prochaska, J. X., McQuinn, M., et al. 2011, *ApJ*, **733**, 24